

# AN UNEXPECTED PROPERTY OF $\mathbf{g}$ -VECTORS FOR RANK 3 MUTATION-CYCLIC QUIVERS

JIHYUN LEE AND KYUNGYONG LEE

ABSTRACT. Let  $Q$  be a rank 3 mutation-cyclic quiver. It is known that every  $\mathbf{c}$ -vector of  $Q$  is a solution to a quadratic equation of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} \pm q_{ij} x_i x_j = 1,$$

where  $q_{ij}$  is the number of arrows between the vertices  $i$  and  $j$  in  $Q$ . A similar property holds for  $\mathbf{c}$ -vectors of any acyclic quiver. In this paper, we show that  $\mathbf{g}$ -vectors of  $Q$  enjoy an unexpected property. More precisely, every  $\mathbf{g}$ -vector of  $Q$  is a solution to a quadratic equation of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} p_{ij} x_i x_j = 1,$$

where  $p_{ij}$  is the number of arrows between the vertices  $i$  and  $j$  in another quiver  $P$  obtained by mutating  $Q$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the context of cluster algebras,  $\mathbf{g}$ -vectors, along with  $\mathbf{c}$ -vectors, serve as fundamental objects. The study of  $\mathbf{g}$ -vectors is crucial for understanding the properties of cluster variables.

When a quiver  $Q$  is acyclic, it is known that the positive  $\mathbf{c}$ -vectors of  $Q$  are real Schur roots, that is, the dimension vectors of indecomposable rigid modules over  $Q$  [1, 6, 7, 10, 11]. In particular, every  $\mathbf{c}$ -vector of  $Q$  is a real root, hence is a solution to a quadratic equation of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \pm q_{ij} x_i x_j = 1,$$

where  $n$  is the number of vertices of  $Q$  and  $q_{ij}$  is the number of arrows between the vertices  $i$  and  $j$  in  $Q$ . It is also shown [2, 9] that the same property holds for  $\mathbf{c}$ -vectors of rank 3 mutation-cyclic quivers.

For quivers of rank at most 2, explicit formulas for  $\mathbf{g}$ -vectors are well-understood. This paper focuses on the  $\mathbf{g}$ -vectors of rank 3 mutation-cyclic quivers.

Let  $Q$  be a rank 3 mutation-cyclic quiver. Our main theorem states that for any reduced mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w}$  from  $Q$  whose first mutation occurs at vertex  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , each column vector  $\mathbf{g}_i^{\mathbf{w}}$  in the  $G^{\mathbf{w}}$ -matrix, referred to as a  $\mathbf{g}$ -vector, satisfies the quadratic equation

$$q(\mathbf{g}_i^{\mathbf{w}}) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + |b_{12}^{[k]}| x_1 x_2 + |b_{23}^{[k]}| x_2 x_3 + |b_{31}^{[k]}| x_3 x_1 = 1,$$

---

*Date:* September 4, 2024.

The authors were supported by the University of Alabama, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, and the NSF grant DMS 2042786 and DMS 2302620.

where the coefficients are determined by the number of arrows between vertices  $i$  and  $j$  in the quiver  $Q^{[k]}$  obtained by mutating  $Q$  at the vertex  $k$ .

*Acknowledgements.* We would like to thank Tucker Ervin, Blake Jackson, Jae-Hoon Kwon, Kyu-Hwan Lee, and Ahmet Seven for the correspondences.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. To a quiver  $Q$  with vertices labeled  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , we can associate an  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix, i.e. the matrix is in correspondence with a quiver  $Q$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $B = [b_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix. This  $B$  will be called an *exchange matrix*. This matrix determines a quiver  $Q$  as follows:  $b_{ij} > 0$  if and only if  $Q$  has  $b_{ij}$  arrows from vertex  $i$  to vertex  $j$ . Sometimes we write  $B = B(Q)$ .

Then, we extend the notion of mutation from quivers to (exchange) matrices.

**Definition 2.2.** (*Matrix mutation*)

We review the definition of matrix mutations, following [3]. Now assume that  $M = [m_{ij}]$  is an  $2n \times n$  matrix of integers. Let  $\mathcal{I} := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be the set of indices. For  $\mathbf{w} = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t]$ ,  $i_j \in \mathcal{I}$ , we define the matrix  $M^{\mathbf{w}} = [m_{ij}^{\mathbf{w}}]$  inductively: the initial matrix is  $M$  for  $\mathbf{w} = []$ , and assuming we have  $M^{\mathbf{w}}$ , define the matrix  $M^{\mathbf{w}[k]} = [m_{ij}^{\mathbf{w}[k]}]$  for  $k \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $\mathbf{w}[k] := [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, k]$  by

$$(2.3) \quad m_{ij}^{\mathbf{w}[k]} = \begin{cases} -m_{ij}^{\mathbf{w}} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k, \\ m_{ij}^{\mathbf{w}} + \text{sgn}(m_{ik}^{\mathbf{w}}) \max(m_{ik}^{\mathbf{w}} m_{kj}^{\mathbf{w}}, 0) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{sgn}(a) \in \{1, 0, -1\}$  is the signature of  $a$ . The matrix  $M^{\mathbf{w}[k]}$  is called the *mutation of  $M^{\mathbf{w}}$  at index (or label)  $k$* ,  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}[k]$  are called *mutation sequences*, and  $n$  is the *rank*. If the mutation sequence  $[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t]$  satisfies  $i_j \neq i_{j+1}$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , then it is said to be *reduced*.

**Definition 2.4.** (*C-matrix, c-vector and G-matrix, g-vector*)

We also recall the definitions of the **c**-vector and **g**-vector as introduced in [3, 4]. Let  $B$  be an  $n \times n$  initial exchange matrix and  $C$  be an initial  $C$ -matrix. Consider the  $2n \times n$  matrix  $M = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ C \end{pmatrix}$  and a mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w} = [i_1, \dots, i_t]$ . After the mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w}$ , i.e., at the indices  $i_1, \dots, i_t$  consecutively, we obtain  $\begin{pmatrix} B^{\mathbf{w}} \\ C^{\mathbf{w}} \end{pmatrix}$ . Write their entries as  $B^{\mathbf{w}} = [b_{ij}^{\mathbf{w}}]$ ,  $C^{\mathbf{w}} = [c_{ij}^{\mathbf{w}}] = [\mathbf{c}_1^{\mathbf{w}} \ \dots \ \mathbf{c}_n^{\mathbf{w}}]$ , where  $\mathbf{c}_i^{\mathbf{w}}$  are the column vectors. The resulting column vectors  $\mathbf{c}_i^{\mathbf{w}}$  are called **c**-vectors of  $B$  for  $\mathbf{w}$ , and the matrix  $C^{\mathbf{w}}$  is called a *C-matrix* of  $B$  for  $\mathbf{w}$ . And  $G^{\mathbf{w}} = ((C^{\mathbf{w}})^{-1})^T$  matrix is called a *G-matrix* of  $B$  for  $\mathbf{w}$ . From the matrix  $G^{\mathbf{w}} = [g_{ij}^{\mathbf{w}}] = [\mathbf{g}_1^{\mathbf{w}} \ \dots \ \mathbf{g}_n^{\mathbf{w}}]$ , each column vector  $\mathbf{g}_i^{\mathbf{w}}$  is called a **g**-vector of  $B$  for any  $\mathbf{w}$ .

Although this definition of the  $G$ -matrix is clearly established, it requires computing the inverse of a matrix, which can be cumbersome. Additionally, for proving our main theorem, this approach is less convenient, as it complicates the recursive relationships between  $G$ -matrices during mutations. Therefore, following the notation developed in [8], we adopt a modified approach to defining  $G$ -matrix mutation that better suits our needs.

Following [8], we first extend the notation  $[b]_+ = \max(b, 0)$  to matrices, writing  $[B]_+$  for the matrix obtained from  $B$  by applying the operation  $b \mapsto [b]_+$  to all entries of  $B$ . For matrix index  $l$ , we denote by  $B^{\bullet l}$  the matrix obtained from  $B$  by replacing all entries outside of the  $l$ -th column with zeros; the matrix  $B^{l\bullet}$  is defined similarly using the  $l$ -th row instead of the column.

Note that the operations  $B \mapsto [B]_+$  and  $B \mapsto B^{\bullet l}$  commute with each other, making the notation  $[B]_+^{\bullet l}$  (and  $[B]_+^{l\bullet}$ ) straightforward. Using this formalism, we can rephrase the sign-coherence condition [5] for a  $C$ -matrix as follows :

$$(2.5) \quad \text{For every } j, \text{ there exists the sign } \varepsilon_j(C) = \pm 1 \text{ such that } [-\varepsilon_j(C)C]_+^{\bullet j} = 0.$$

For each mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w} = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t]$ , we then inductively define the  $C, G$ -matrix of  $B$  as follows. The following definition provides a recursive method to find the  $C$  and  $G$ -matrices by using the previous  $C$  and  $G$ -matrices.

**Definition 2.6.** (*C, G-matrix mutation*)

Let  $C$  and  $G$  be the initial  $C$  and  $G$ -matrices, both of which are  $n \times n$  identity matrices. Given a mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w} = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t]$ , suppose that  $C^{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $G^{\mathbf{w}}$  are defined. We aim to define  $C^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}}$  and  $G^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}}$  such that  $\mu_k(B^{\mathbf{w}}, C^{\mathbf{w}}, G^{\mathbf{w}}) = (B^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}}, C^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}}, G^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}})$ .

Then, under the assumption that  $C$  satisfies (2.5), we have

$$(2.7) \quad C^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}} = C^{\mathbf{w}}(J_k + [\varepsilon_k(C^{\mathbf{w}})B^{\mathbf{w}}]_+^{\bullet k}), \quad G^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}} = G^{\mathbf{w}}(J_k + [-\varepsilon_k(C^{\mathbf{w}})B^{\mathbf{w}}]_+^{\bullet k}),$$

where we use the notation  $J_k$  to denote the diagonal matrix obtained from the identity matrix by replacing the  $(k, k)$ -entry with  $-1$ .

**2.1. Examples of Definition 2.6.** As examples of how to calculate  $C$  and  $G$ -matrices in Definition 2.6, consider the following quiver  $Q$  as an initial quiver:

$$(2.8) \quad Q = \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \\ \swarrow 3 \quad \searrow 3 \\ \textcircled{1} \quad \quad \quad \textcircled{3} \\ \quad \quad \quad \nearrow 3 \end{array}, \quad B(Q) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the initial framed quiver  $F$  corresponding to the given quiver  $Q$  with an initial  $C$ -matrix, which is the identity matrix, is represented by the matrix  $M$  :

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & 3 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously, the initial  $G$ -matrix is also the identity matrix.

We will consider the following mutation sequences :  $\mathbf{w} = [2]$ ,  $[2, 3]$ , or  $[2, 3, 1]$ .

i)  $\mu_2(G) = G^{[2]}$

Clearly,  $B$  corresponds to a quiver  $Q$  on 3 vertices, and  $B^{[2]} = \mu_2(B)$ . Notice that  $k = 2$ . Since the initial  $C$ -matrix  $C$  and  $G$ -matrix  $G$  are identity matrices, we have  $C = G = I$ .

Then  $G^{[2]} = G(J_2 + [-\varepsilon_2(C)B]_+^{\bullet 2})$ . First, we check the sign. There exists  $\varepsilon_2(C) = \pm 1$  such that  $[-\varepsilon_2(C)C]_+^{\bullet 2}$ . In this case,  $\varepsilon_2(C) = 1$  since this satisfies (2.5) i.e.

$$[-C]_+^{\bullet 2} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}_+^{\bullet 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_+^{\bullet 2} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^{[2]} &= G(J_2 + [-\varepsilon_2(C)B]_+^{\bullet 2}) \\ &= G(J_2 + [-B]_+^{\bullet 2}) \\ &= I \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + [-B]_+^{\bullet 2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $[-B]_+^{\bullet 2}$  is obtained by the following procedure:

$$[-B]_+^{\bullet 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_+^{\bullet 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_+^{\bullet 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we have

$$G^{[2]} = I \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

ii)  $\mu_3(G^{[2]}) = G^{[2,3]}$

Suppose we know that  $B^{[2]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & -6 \\ -3 & 0 & 3 \\ 6 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and now  $B^{[2,3]} = \mu_3(B^{[2]})$ . Notice that  $k = 3$ . Also, we have  $C^{[2]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $G^{[2]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  from the previous case.

Then  $G^{[2,3]} = G^{[2]}(J_3 + [-\varepsilon_3(C^{[2]})B^{[2]}]_+^{\bullet 3})$ . First, we check the sign. There exists  $\varepsilon_3(C^{[2]}) = \pm 1$  such that  $[-\varepsilon_3(C^{[2]})C^{[2]}]_+^{\bullet 3}$ . In this case,  $\varepsilon_3(C^{[2]}) = 1$  since this satisfies (2.5) i.e.

$$[-C^{[2]}]_+^{\bullet 3} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}_+^{\bullet 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_+^{\bullet 3} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Now we have

$$G^{[2,3]} = G^{[2]}(J_3 + [-\varepsilon_3(C^{[2]})B^{[2]}]_+^{\bullet 3}) = G^{[2]}(J_3 + [-B^{[2]}]_+^{\bullet 3}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + [-B^{[2]}]_+^{\bullet 3} \right).$$

Here  $[-B^{[2]}]_+^{\bullet 3}$  is obtained by the following procedure:

$$[-B^{[2]}]_+^{\bullet 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 6 \\ 3 & 0 & -3 \\ -6 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_+^{\bullet 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_+^{\bullet 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we have

$$G^{[2,3]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

iii)  $\mu_1(G^{[2,3]}) = G^{[2,3,1]}$

Suppose we know that  $B^{[2,3]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -15 & 6 \\ 15 & 0 & -3 \\ -6 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and now  $B^{[2,3,1]} = \mu_1(B^{[2,3]})$ . Notice that  $k = 3$ . Also, we have  $C^{[2,3]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $G^{[2,3]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  from the previous case.

Then,  $G^{[2,3,1]} = G^{[2,3]}(J_1 + [-\varepsilon_1(C^{[2,3]})B^{[2,3]}]_+^{\bullet 1})$ . First, check the sign. There exists  $\varepsilon_1(C^{[2,3]}) = \pm 1$  such that  $[-\varepsilon_1(C^{[2,3]})C^{[2,3]}]_+^{\bullet 1}$ . In this case,  $\varepsilon_1(C^{[2,3]}) = 1$  since this satisfies (2.5) i.e.

$$[-C^{[2,3]}]_{+}^{\bullet 1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{+}^{\bullet 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\bullet 1} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^{[2,3,1]} &= G^{[2,3]}(J_1 + [-\varepsilon_1(C^{[2,3]})B^{[2,3]}]_{+}^{\bullet 1}) \\ &= G^{[2,3]}(J_1 + [-B^{[2,3]}]_{+}^{\bullet 1}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + [-B^{[2,3]}]_{+}^{\bullet 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $[-B^{[2,3]}]_{+}^{\bullet 1}$  is obtained by the following procedure:

$$[-B^{[2,3]}]_{+}^{\bullet 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 15 & -6 \\ -15 & 0 & 3 \\ 6 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{+}^{\bullet 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 15 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\bullet 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we have

$$G^{[2,3,1]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 3. RANK 3 MUTATION-CYCLIC QUIVERS

**Definition 3.1.** (Mutation-cyclic) We say that a quiver  $Q$  is *mutation-cyclic* if for any sequence of mutations  $\mathbf{w}$  applied to  $Q$ , the resulting quiver  $Q^{\mathbf{w}}$  is cyclic. That is, no matter how many times or in which order mutations are performed on  $Q$ , the quiver retains the property of being cyclic. Formally, let  $\mu_k$  denote the mutation at vertex  $k$ . Then  $Q$  is mutation cyclic if for any finite sequence of mutations  $\mu_{i_1}, \mu_{i_2}, \dots, \mu_{i_t}$  applied to  $Q$ , the resulting quiver  $\mu_{i_t} \cdots \mu_{i_2} \mu_{i_1}(Q)$  is cyclic.

In order to state our theorem, we fix  $Q$  as a rank 3 mutation-cyclic quiver.

**Definition 3.2.** ( $A$ -matrix)

Let  $B$  be a  $3 \times 3$  skew-symmetric matrix. The *pseudo-Cartan companion*, or  $A$ -matrix, of  $B$  is the  $3 \times 3$  symmetric matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  such that  $a_{ii} = 2$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and  $a_{ij} = |b_{ij}|$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

**Remark.** In [10], it is shown that for skew-symmetric cluster algebras, the  $c$ -vectors associated with an acyclic seed define a quasi-Cartan companion<sup>1</sup>. Here, we needed to define the pseudo-Cartan companion, denoted by  $A$ , as a fundamental tool to prove Theorem 3.1.

**Definition 3.3.** ( $A$ -mutation)

Let  $B$  be a  $3 \times 3$  exchange matrix corresponding to rank 3 mutation cyclic quiver. For each mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w} = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t]$ , we inductively define the  $A$ -matrix of  $B$  as follows.

Assume  $A$  is a pseudo-Cartan companion of the initial  $B$ . Let  $\mathbf{w} = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t]$  be a mutation sequence. Suppose that  $A^{\mathbf{w}}$  is defined. We seek to define  $A^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}}$  such that  $\mu_k(A^{\mathbf{w}}) = A^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}}$ .

For each  $l \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{k\}$ , we define a  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $D^{(k,l)} = [d_{rs}^{(k,l)}]$  as follows:

<sup>1</sup>The key difference between the pseudo-Cartan companion and the quasi-Cartan companion lies in the definition of the off-diagonal entries. In the quasi-Cartan companion, the off-diagonal entries  $a_{ij}$  satisfy the condition  $|a_{ij}| = |b_{ij}|$  for all  $i \neq j$  while in the pseudo-Cartan companion, they are given by  $a_{ij} = |b_{ij}|$ .

$$d_{rs}^{(k,l)} = \begin{cases} a_{kl}^{\mathbf{w}} & \text{if } r = k, s = l, \\ -1 & \text{if } r = s = l, \\ 1 & \text{if } r = s \neq l, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

These matrices  $D^{(k,l)}$  are used in the mutation formula to update  $A^{\mathbf{w}}$  to  $A^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}}$ . Specifically, after mutating at  $k$ , we have:

$$A^{\mathbf{w}^{[k]}} = (D^{(k,l)})^T A^{\mathbf{w}} D^{(k,l)}.$$

We can now state our main results. When a rank 3 mutation cyclic quiver is given by  $Q$ , let a reduced mutation sequence be  $\mathbf{w} = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t]$  with  $i_r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . For simplicity, let  $\mathbf{i} = i_1$ , the first mutation in a mutation sequence. Then after mutating at vertex  $\mathbf{i}$ , we will have a corresponding  $B^{[\mathbf{i}]} = [b_{ij}^{[\mathbf{i}]}]$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *We have  $A^{\mathbf{w}} = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})^T A^{[\mathbf{i}]} (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$  for any reduced mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w}$  with length of at least 1, starting with  $\mathbf{i}$ .*

**Corollary 3.2.** *Define a quadratic form*

$$q(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} b_{ij}^{[\mathbf{i}]} x_i x_j,$$

where  $b_{ij}^{[\mathbf{i}]} > 0$  is the number of arrow between  $i$  and  $j$  in  $\mu_{\mathbf{i}}(Q)$ . Then for any reduced mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w} = [\mathbf{i}, i_2, \dots, i_t]$  and for any  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , the column vector  $\mathbf{g}_i^{\mathbf{w}}$  in the corresponding  $G$ -matrix is a solution to the following equation :

$$(3.4) \quad q(\mathbf{g}_i^{\mathbf{w}}) = q \left( \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + |b_{12}^{[\mathbf{i}]}| x_1 x_2 + |b_{23}^{[\mathbf{i}]}| x_2 x_3 + |b_{31}^{[\mathbf{i}]}| x_3 x_1 = 1.$$

### 3.1. Examples of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

**Example 3.3.** Here is a specific example of the identity  $A^{\mathbf{w}} = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})^T A^{[\mathbf{i}]} (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$ .

Start with a case when  $\mathbf{w} = [2, 3]$ , and  $m = 1$ . Then  $\mathbf{i} = 2$  in this case. We are going to show the identity  $A^{[2,3]} = (G^{[2,3,1]})^T A^{[2]} (G^{[2,3,1]})$  as an example.

If we take 2.8 as an our initial quiver,  $\mu_2(Q) = Q^{[2]}$  can be depicted as

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \\ \swarrow 3 \quad \searrow 3 \\ \textcircled{1} \quad \quad \quad \textcircled{3} \\ \quad \quad \quad \nearrow 3 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{2} \\ \swarrow 3 \quad \searrow 3 \\ \textcircled{1} \quad \quad \quad \textcircled{3} \\ \quad \quad \quad \nearrow 6 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Observe that  $\mu_2(Q)$  has 3 arrows from vertex 1 to vertex 2, 3 arrows from vertex 2 to vertex 3, 6 arrows from vertex 3 to vertex 1, i.e.,  $b_{12}^{[2]} = 3, b_{23}^{[2]} = 3, b_{31}^{[2]} = 6$ . So, by the Definition 3.2,  $A^{[2]} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

From subsection 2.1, we have the  $G$ -matrix for sequence  $\mathbf{w} = [2, 3, 1]$ , that is  $G^{[2,3,1]} = \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Thus, we can easily get that  $(G^{[2,3,1]})^T A^{[2]}(G^{[2,3,1]}) = \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 15 & 6 \\ 15 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

On the other hand,  $A^{[2,3]}$  can be obtained by using either  $D^{(3,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $D^{(3,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ :

$$A^{[2,3]} = (D^{(3,1)})^T A^{[2]} D^{(3,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 15 & 6 \\ 15 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{[2,3]} = (D^{(3,2)})^T A^{[2]} D^{(3,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 15 & 6 \\ 15 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence,

$$A^{[2,3]} = (G^{[2,3,1]})^T A^{[2]}(G^{[2,3,1]}).$$

Furthermore,

$$A^{[2,3,1]} = (G^{[2,3,1,2]})^T A^{[2]}(G^{[2,3,1,2]}) = \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 522 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -6 & -90 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 522 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -6 & -90 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 15 & 6 \\ 15 & 2 & 87 \\ 6 & 87 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{[2,3,1,2]} = (G^{[2,3,1,2,1]})^T A^{[2]}(G^{[2,3,1,2,1]}) = \begin{bmatrix} 7795 & 522 & 6 \\ 15 & 1 & 0 \\ -1344 & -90 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7795 & 522 & 6 \\ 15 & 1 & 0 \\ -1344 & -90 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 15 & 1299 \\ 15 & 2 & 87 \\ 1299 & 87 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Example 3.4.** Again, in the context of subsection 2.1, we have the following  $G$ -matrices for each sequence respectively :  $G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $G^{[2]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $G^{[2,3]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $G^{[2,3,1]} = \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . See that each of those mutation sequences has  $\mathbf{i} = 2$  as the first mutation in the mutation sequence.

As we have  $b_{12}^{[2]} = 3, b_{23}^{[2]} = 3, b_{31}^{[2]} = 6$ , each  $\mathbf{g}_i^{\mathbf{w}} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$  from  $G^{\mathbf{w}}$ -matrix is a solution to the equation

$$q(\mathbf{g}_i^{\mathbf{w}}) = q\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}\right) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 3x_1x_2 + 3x_2x_3 + 6x_3x_1 = 1,$$

i.e.


$$q(1, 0, 0) = q(0, 1, 0) = q(0, 0, 1) = q(3, -1, 0) = q(6, 0, -1) = q(35, 0, -6) = 1.$$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

We will prove that  $A^{\mathbf{w}} = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})^T A^{[\mathbf{i}]}(G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$  for any reduced mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w}$  with a length of at least 1, starting with  $\mathbf{i}$ , by induction. Let us note that for  $\mu_m(G^{\mathbf{w}}, A^{\mathbf{w}}) = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})^T A^{[\mathbf{i}]}(G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$ .

Our proof consists of two parts, which together imply Theorem 3.1.

- In subsection 4.1, we will show the base step of our induction. Specifically, we will prove the identity  $A^{\mathbf{w}} = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})^T A^{[\mathbf{i}]}(G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$  for  $\mathbf{w} = [\mathbf{i}]$  with  $\mathbf{i} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $m \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{\mathbf{i}\}$
- In subsection 4.2, we will show that for  $|\mathbf{w}| \geq 1$ , starting with  $\mathbf{i}$ , if the identity  $A^{\mathbf{w}} = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})^T A^{[\mathbf{i}]}(G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$  holds, then the identity  $A^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m,n]}})^T A^{[\mathbf{i}]}(G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m,n]}})$  also holds for  $m \neq n$ .



(4.1)

Let  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{12} & 0 & -b_{23} \\ -b_{13} & b_{23} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  be an initial exchange matrix corresponding to rank 3 mutation cyclic quiver (4.1), where  $b_{12}, b_{13}, b_{23} > 0$ .

**4.1. Base Step.** Let  $\mathbf{w} = [\mathbf{i}]$  be a mutation sequence and let us note that for  $\mu_n(G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}, A^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m,n]}}, A^{\mathbf{w}^{[m,n]}})$ . We will show the identity  $A^{[\mathbf{i}]} = (G^{[\mathbf{i},m]})^T A^{[\mathbf{i}]} (G^{[\mathbf{i},m]})$  for the cases where  $(\mathbf{i}, m) = (1, 2)$  and  $(\mathbf{i}, m) = (1, 3)$ . The remaining cases,  $(\mathbf{i}, m) = (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1)$ , and  $(3, 2)$ , can be verified through analogous steps.

Recall that  $G = I$ ,  $G^{[\mathbf{i}]} = G(J_{\mathbf{i}} + [-\varepsilon_{\mathbf{i}}(C)B]_{+}^{\bullet\mathbf{i}})$ , and  $G^{[\mathbf{i},m]} = G^{[\mathbf{i}]}(J_m + [-\varepsilon_m(C^{[\mathbf{i}]})B^{[\mathbf{i}]}]_{+}^{\bullet m})$  by (2.7). In what follows, LHS (resp. RHS) stands for the left hand side (resp. right hand side). We consider the case of  $\mathbf{i} = 1, m = 2$ , as the other cases are similar.

**LHS**  $A^{[1]}$

As  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & 2 & a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , by the mutation of  $A$ , we can easily get

$$A^{[1]} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & 2 & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**RHS**  $(G^{[1,2]})^T A^{[1]} (G^{[1,2]})$

As  $G = I$  and  $\varepsilon_1(C) = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{[1]} &= G(J_1 + [-\varepsilon_1(C)B]_{+}^{\bullet 1}) \\ &= G(J_1 + [-B]_{+}^{\bullet 1}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + [-B]_{+}^{\bullet 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $[-B]_{+}^{\bullet 1}$  is obtained by the following procedure:

$$[-B]_{+}^{\bullet 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & -b_{13} \\ -b_{12} & 0 & b_{23} \\ b_{13} & -b_{23} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{+}^{\bullet 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_{23} \\ b_{13} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{+}^{\bullet 1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So,

$$G^{[1]} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Now, as we have  $G^{[1]} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\varepsilon_2(C^{[1]}) = 1$ , and  $B^{[1]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & -b_{13} \\ -b_{12} & 0 & b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23} \\ b_{13} & -(b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  by the definition of mutation of  $B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{[1,2]} &= G^{[1]}(J_2 + [-\varepsilon_2(C^{[1]})B^{[1]}]_{+}^{\bullet 2}) \\ &= G^{[1]}(J_2 + [-B^{[1]}]_{+}^{\bullet 2}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + [-B^{[1]}]_{+}^{\bullet 2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $[-B^{[1]}]_{+}^{\bullet 2}$  is obtained by the following procedure:

$$[-B^{[1]}]_{+}^{\bullet 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{12} & 0 & -(b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23}) \\ -b_{13} & b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{+}^{\bullet 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_{13} \\ b_{1,2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{+}^{\bullet 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So,

$$G^{[1,2]} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{13} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ b_{13} & b_{12}b_{13}-b_{23} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, since  $b_{12}, b_{13}, b_{23} > 0$ , we have  $b_{12} = a_{12}$ ,  $b_{13} = a_{13}$ , and  $b_{23} = a_{23}$ . And thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &(G^{[1,2]})^T A^{[1]} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & b_{13} \\ 0 & -1 & b_{12}b_{13} - b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & 2 & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 + b_{13}a_{13} & -a_{12} + b_{13}(a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23}) & -a_{13} + 2b_{13} \\ -a_{12} + a_{13}(b_{12}b_{13} - b_{23}) & -2 + (b_{12}b_{13} - b_{23})(a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23}) & -(a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23}) + 2(b_{12}b_{13} - b_{23}) \\ a_{13} & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 + (a_{13})^2 & -a_{12} + a_{12}(a_{13})^2 - a_{13}a_{23} & a_{13} \\ -a_{12} + a_{12}(a_{13})^2 - a_{13}a_{23} & -2 + (a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23})^2 & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} \\ A_{13} & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} &(G^{[1,2]})^T A^{[1]} G^{[1,2]} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 + (a_{13})^2 & -a_{12} + a_{12}(a_{13})^2 - a_{13}a_{23} & a_{13} \\ -a_{12} + a_{12}(a_{13})^2 - a_{13}a_{23} & -2 + (a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23})^2 & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ b_{13} & b_{12}b_{13} - b_{23} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & 2 & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{12}a_{13} - a_{23} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A^{[1]} \end{aligned}$$

**4.2. Inductive Step.** Recall that

$$A^{\mathbf{w}} \xrightarrow{\mu_m} A^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} = (D^{(m,l)})^T A^{\mathbf{w}} D^{(m,l)} \quad \text{for } l \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{m\}$$

Define  $G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}$  such that  $\mu_m(G^{\mathbf{w}}) = G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}$  for a reduced mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w} = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t]$  with  $i_r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . For simplicity, let  $p = i_t$ , the last mutation in the sequence.

Rewrite  $G$ -matrix mutation notation (2.7) as

$$(4.2) \quad G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} = G^{\mathbf{w}} (J_m + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_m^{\mathbf{w}}) b_{pm}^{\mathbf{w}}]_+ E^{pm}),$$

where  $J_l$  is the diagonal matrix obtained from the identity matrix by replacing the  $(l, l)$ -entry with  $-1$ , and where  $E^{ij}$  is the matrix whose only non-zero entry is a 1 in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $j^{\text{th}}$  column.

If you mutate at  $u \neq m$  consecutively, then we have

$$G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} \xrightarrow{\mu_u} G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m,u]}} = G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} (J_u + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}]_+ E^{mu}).$$

Suppose that  $A^{\mathbf{w}} = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})^T A^{[i]} (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$  holds for  $|\mathbf{w}| \geq 1$ . Keep in mind that  $G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m,u]}} = G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} (J_u + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}]_+ E^{mu})$  and  $A^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} = (D^{(m,l)})^T A^{\mathbf{w}} D^{(m,l)}$ .

Let  $N = J_u + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}]_+ E^{mu}$ . Then, we will derive that  $A^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} = (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m,u]}})^T A^{[i]} (G^{\mathbf{w}^{[m,u]}})$  by showing that  $D^{(m,l)} = N$ .

On the other hand,  $[-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}]_+ \neq 0$  if and only if  $-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} > 0$  if and only if  $\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) \neq \text{sgn}(b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$ . Then we can say that  $\text{sgn}(b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) = -\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}})$ .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} &= \text{sgn}(b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}) b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}} \\ &= |b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}| \end{aligned}$$

Mutating at vertex  $m$  again (undoing the previous mutation) does not affect the number of arrows from vertex  $m$  to vertex  $u$ , as these arrows are adjacent to vertex  $m$ . Only the direction of the arrows changes. Therefore,

$$|b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}^{[m]}}| = |-b_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}}| = a_{mu}^{\mathbf{w}}$$

As  $u$  depends on  $m$  since  $u$  cannot be the same as  $m$ , for  $\mathbf{w}^{[m,u]} = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, m, u]$ , we will check the case where  $m = 1$  and  $u \in \{2, 3\}$ .

**LHS**  $A^{\mathbf{w}} \xrightarrow{\mu_1} A^{\mathbf{w}^{[1]}} = (D^{(1,l)})^T A^{\mathbf{w}} D^{(1,l)}$  As  $l$  is not equal to  $m$  by the Definition 3.3,  $l$  could be either 2 or 3 in this case. Thus, we will have two types of  $D$  matrices, which are  $D^{(1,2)}, D^{(1,3)}$ .

Type 1:

$$d_{rs}^{(1,2)} = \begin{cases} a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} & \text{if } r = 1, s = 2, \\ -1 & \text{if } r = s = 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } r = s \neq 2, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{so, } D^{(1,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

<sup>2</sup>Note that since  $B$  is skew-symmetric, this results in a similar phenomenon as described in [10, Theorem 1.3], even though the assumptions are different.

Type 2:

$$d_{rs}^{(1,3)} = \begin{cases} a_{13}^w & \text{if } r = 1, s = 3, \\ -1 & \text{if } r = s = 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } r = s \neq 3, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{so, } D^{(1,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13}^w \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\boxed{\text{RHS}} \quad G^{\mathbf{w}[1]} \xrightarrow{\mu_u} G^{\mathbf{w}[1,u]} = G^{\mathbf{w}[1]}(J_u + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_u^{\mathbf{w}[1]})b_{1u}^{\mathbf{w}[1]}]_+ E^{1u}).$$

Case  $(m, u) = (1, 2)$ :

Let  $N_1$  be  $J_2 + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_2^{\mathbf{w}[1]})b_{12}^{\mathbf{w}[1]}]_+ E^{12}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= J_2 + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_2^{\mathbf{w}[1]})b_{12}^{\mathbf{w}[1]}]_+ E^{12} \\ &= J_2 + |b_{12}^{\mathbf{w}[1]}| E^{12} \\ &= J_2 + |-b_{12}^w| E^{12} \\ &= J_2 + a_{12}^w E^{12} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \left( a_{12}^w \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{12}^w & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Case  $(m, u) = (1, 3)$ :

Let  $N_2$  be  $J_3 + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_3^{\mathbf{w}[1]})b_{13}^{\mathbf{w}[1]}]_+ E^{13}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &= J_3 + [-\text{sgn}(\mathbf{c}_3^{\mathbf{w}[1]})b_{13}^{\mathbf{w}[1]}]_+ E^{13} \\ &= J_3 + |b_{13}^{\mathbf{w}[1]}| E^{13} = J_3 + |-b_{13}^w| E^{13} \\ &= J_3 + a_{13}^w E^{13} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \left( a_{13}^w \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13}^w \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$N_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{12}^w & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = D^{(1,2)} \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13}^w \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = D^{(1,3)}.$$

Eventually, we will get  $A^{\mathbf{w}[1]}$  from both cases above :

$$\begin{aligned} (D^{(1,2)})^T A^{\mathbf{w}} D^{(1,2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{12}^w & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12}^w & a_{1,3}^w \\ a_{12}^w & 2 & a_{23}^w \\ a_{13}^w & a_{23}^w & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{12}^w & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12}^w & a_{1,3}^w \\ a_{12}^w & (a_{12}^w)^2 - 2 & a_{12}^w a_{13}^w - a_{23}^w \\ a_{13}^w & a_{23}^w & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{12}^w & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12}^w & a_{13}^w \\ a_{12}^w & 2 & a_{12}^w a_{13}^w - a_{23}^w \\ a_{13}^w & a_{12}^w a_{13}^w - a_{23}^w & 2 \end{bmatrix} = A^{\mathbf{w}[1]}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(D^{(1,3)})^T A^{\mathbf{w}} D^{(1,3)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} & a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} \\ a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} & 2 & a_{23}^{\mathbf{w}} \\ a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} & a_{23}^{\mathbf{w}} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} & a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} \\ a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} & 2 & a_{23}^{\mathbf{w}} \\ a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} & a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} - a_{23}^{\mathbf{w}} & (a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}})^2 - 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} & a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} \\ a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} & 2 & a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} - a_{23}^{\mathbf{w}} \\ a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} & a_{12}^{\mathbf{w}} a_{13}^{\mathbf{w}} - a_{23}^{\mathbf{w}} & 2 \end{bmatrix} = A^{\mathbf{w}[1]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, The remaining cases, when  $m = 2$  and  $m = 3$  can be verified through analogous steps. Therefore,  $D^{(m,l)} = N$ .

By the induction, 4.1 and 4.2, we can conclude that  $A^{\mathbf{w}[m]} = (G^{\mathbf{w}[m,u]})^T A^{\mathbf{i}}(G^{\mathbf{w}[m,u]})$  for any reduced mutation sequence  $\mathbf{w}$  with a length of at least 1, starting with  $\mathbf{i}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. N. Chávez. On the  $c$ -vectors of an acyclic cluster algebra. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015(6):1590–1600, 2015.
- [2] T. J. Ervin, B. Jackson, K. Lee, and S. D. Nguyen. Geometry of  $c$ -vectors and  $c$ -matrices for mutation-infinite quivers. in preparation.
- [3] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras i: foundations. *Journal of the American mathematical society*, 15(2):497–529, 2002.
- [4] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras iv: coefficients. *Compositio Mathematica*, 143(1):112–164, 2007.
- [5] M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel, and M. Kontsevich. Canonical bases for cluster algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(2):497–608, 2018.
- [6] A. Hubery and H. Krause. A categorification of non-crossing partitions. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 18(10):2273–2313, 2016.
- [7] K. Igusa and R. Schiffler. Exceptional sequences and clusters. *Journal of Algebra*, 323(8):2183–2202, 2010.
- [8] T. Nakanishi and A. Zelevinsky. On tropical dualities in cluster algebras. *Algebraic groups and quantum groups*, 565:217–226, 2012.
- [9] A. Seven. private communication.
- [10] A. Seven. Cluster algebras and symmetric matrices. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 143(2):469–478, 2015.
- [11] D. Speyer and H. Thomas. Acyclic cluster algebras revisited. In *Algebras, Quivers and Representations: The Abel Symposium 2011*, pages 275–298. Springer, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, TUSCALOOSA, AL 35487, U.S.A.

*Email address:* [jlee291@crimson.ua.edu](mailto:jlee291@crimson.ua.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, TUSCALOOSA, AL 35487, U.S.A. AND KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*Email address:* [kyungyong.lee@ua.edu](mailto:kyungyong.lee@ua.edu); [klee1@kias.re.kr](mailto:klee1@kias.re.kr)