

THE DIRECTED VIETORIS-RIPS COMPLEX AND HOMOTOPY AND SINGULAR HOMOLOGY GROUPS OF FINITE DIGRAPHS

NIKOLA MILIĆEVIĆ, NICHOLAS A. SCOVILLE

ABSTRACT. We prove analogues of classical results for higher homotopy groups and singular homology groups of pseudotopological spaces. Pseudotopological spaces are a generalization of (Čech) closure spaces which are in turn a generalization of topological spaces. Pseudotopological spaces also include graphs and directed graphs as full subcategories. Thus they are a bridge that connects classical algebraic topology with the more applied side of topology. More specifically, we show the existence of a long exact sequence for homotopy groups of pairs of pseudotopological spaces and that a weak homotopy equivalence induces isomorphisms for homology groups. Our main result is the construction of weak homotopy equivalences between the geometric realizations of directed Vietoris-Rips complexes and their underlying directed graphs. This implies that singular homology groups of finite directed graphs can be efficiently calculated from finite combinatorial structures, despite their associated chain groups being infinite dimensional. This work is similar to the work of McCord for finite topological spaces but in the context of pseudotopological spaces. Our results also give a novel approach for studying (higher) homotopy groups of discrete mathematical structures such as (directed) graphs or digital images.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Related works	4
2. Background	5
2.1. Pseudotopological spaces	5
2.2. Closure spaces and topological spaces	6
2.3. Modifications and inclusion adjunctions	8
2.4. Limits and colimits of diagrams of spaces	8
2.5. Compactness of spaces	11
2.6. Exponential objects	12
2.7. CW complexes	13
2.8. Graphs as closure spaces	14
3. Homotopy and homology for pseudotopological spaces	15
3.1. π_0 in PsTop	15
3.2. Homotopies of maps in PsTop	16
3.3. Mapping cylinder in PsTop	16
3.4. Homotopy groups of pseudotopological spaces	18
3.5. Relative homotopy groups	19
3.6. Weak homotopy equivalences	21
3.7. Singular (co)homology of pseudotopological spaces	23
4. Main results	25
4.1. Directed Vietoris-Rips complexes	25

4.2. Definition of f_X	27
4.3. f_X is a weak homotopy equivalence	31
5. Discussion	32
Appendix A. Proofs about interiors operators	33
Appendix B. Proof of Theorem 3.11	37
Acknowledgments	39
References	39

1. INTRODUCTION

Singular homology groups of topological spaces are difficult to compute due to the chain groups having infinite dimension, even for spaces of finite cardinality. Computing higher homotopy groups of topological spaces presents an even greater challenge. An elegant classical solution is to replace the space with a CW complex (or a simplicial complex) that is (weak) homotopy equivalent to the original space. However, for finite topological spaces, their non-Hausdorff nature generally disallows having continuous maps to a Hausdorff space like a CW complex that are not constant. Thus, finite spaces are generally not homotopy equivalent to a CW complex. Therefore, to effectively compute these algebraic invariants of finite spaces the best hope is to find a weak homotopy equivalence to a CW complex, as weak homotopy equivalences will also induce isomorphisms on singular homology. This is precisely what McCord did with the following celebrated result:

Theorem. [36, Theorem 1]

- (1) For each finite topological space X there exists a finite simplicial complex K and a weak homotopy equivalence $f: |K| \rightarrow X$.
- (2) For each finite simplicial complex K there exists a finite topological space X and a weak homotopy equivalence $f: |K| \rightarrow X$.

An immediate consequence of this result was the surprising realization that finite topological spaces have more interesting singular homology and higher homotopy groups than one might imagine; homology and homotopy groups of any finite simplicial complex can be realized as singular homology groups and homotopy groups, respectively, of a finite topological space.

Finite topological spaces are equivalent to a preorder on a set [2], i.e., a set with a transitive and reflexive relation (X, E) . However, in applied topology data typically does not come with the transitivity assumption; we only have a set with a reflexive relation (X, E) , i.e., a simple directed graph, or digraph for short, with a loop at each vertex [31, 29, 12, 24].

The category of topological spaces, **Top**, and the category of digraphs, **DiGph**, are both full subcategories of Čech closure spaces, **Cl** [16]. Closure spaces are a generalization of topological spaces where one does not require the closure operator to be idempotent [48]. This suggests we can develop a common homotopy and homology theory for seemingly very distinct objects: the classical topological spaces and the objects studied in applied topology [11, 44, 10]. However, just like in the case of finite topological spaces, the singular homology groups of finite digraphs are difficult to compute on their own

due to the infinite dimensional chain groups. By leveraging analogues of classical long exact sequences like the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, some examples of singular homology groups of undirected graphs can be computed [38]. Using a covering space theory of closure spaces, Rieser computed examples of fundamental groups of finite closure spaces [44]. However, so far there is no analogue of McCord’s result for computing singular homology and homotopy groups of arbitrary finite digraphs more effectively.

In this paper, we show that McCord’s result (part (1)) can be extended to finite digraphs, which are equivalent to finite closure spaces, i.e., we can drop the transitivity requirement for (X, E) . Part (2) of McCord’s theorem is immediately true in **Cl**, as every topological space is a closure space which is why we are only interested in part (1). In particular, in part (2), given an abstract simplicial complex, McCord showed that its geometric realization is weak homotopy equivalent to its barycentric subdivision (as a finite set with the partial order given by inclusion).

Given a finite digraph (X, E) , we consider its directed Vietoris-Rips complex $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ (also known as the directed clique complex or directed flag complex) which is the collection of all subsets $\sigma \subset X$ on which there is an ordering $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ such that $v_i E v_j$ whenever $v_i < v_j$. Note that E potentially having bidirected edges implies that there might be more than one such ordering of vertices in σ . If E is symmetric on all of X , this definition recovers the usual Vietoris-Rips complex $\text{VR}(X, E)$ (also called the clique complex or the flag complex) on (X, E) . McCord showed that a finite T_0 topological space (a partial order (X, E)) is weakly homotopy equivalent to the geometric realization of its order complex, which consists of all chains in the preorder (X, E) (part (1) of the theorem). As the directed Vietoris-Rips complex is an obvious generalization of the order complex (when transitivity of E is not certain), the directed Vietoris-Rips complex is the natural candidate for us to consider for our main result. In other words, our main result is the following:

Theorem (Theorem 4.10). *For each finite digraph (X, E) there exists a finite abstract simplicial complex $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ and a weak homotopy equivalence $f_X: |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)| \rightarrow (X, E)$ which is a morphism in **Cl**.*

This result allows us to more effectively compute higher homotopy groups of finite digraphs (or equivalently finite closure spaces) by using combinatorial structures such as simplicial complexes.

In order to prove our results, we extended classical results from algebraic topology from **Top** to the larger setting of **Cl**. This was not entirely trivial, since **Top** is a reflective but not coreflective subcategory of **Cl** and thus colimits of diagrams of topological spaces are not “well behaved” in **Cl**. Furthermore, for the sake of generality and a very technical reason (Remark 3.4), we develop all this theory for an even larger category of spaces, the category of pseudotopological spaces, **PsTop** [40]. However, finite pseudotopological spaces coincide with finite closure spaces, for which our main result is intended. As an example we prove the following important result in **PsTop**.

Theorem (Theorem 3.11). *Let $f: (X; X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (Y; Y_1, Y_2)$ be a map of excisive triads in **PsTop** such that $f: (X_i, X_1 \cap X_2) \rightarrow (Y_i, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ is an n -equivalence for $i = 1, 2$. Then $f: (X, X_i) \rightarrow (Y, Y_i)$ is an n -equivalence for $i = 1, 2$.*

Furthermore, we prove the following theorem which allows us to compute singular (co)homology groups of finite digraphs more effectively.

Theorem (Theorem 3.18). *A weak homotopy equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of pseudotopological spaces induces isomorphisms $f_*: H_n(X; G) \rightarrow H_n(Y; G)$ and $f^*: H^n(Y; G) \rightarrow H^n(X; G)$ for all n and all coefficient groups G .*

In summary, this manuscript further develops singular homology and higher homotopy groups in **PsTop**. Our results apply to the important full subcategory digraphs, **DiGph**, which is useful for applications. These homology and homotopy groups are a natural extension of homology and homotopy groups of topological spaces. Our main result allows us to compute them by replacing the digraph with its directed Vietoris-Rips complex.

This manuscript is structured as follows. In Section 2 we recall the necessary background material on pseudotopological and closure spaces. In Section 3 we define higher homotopy groups and singular homology groups for pseudotopological spaces. We show these groups satisfy analogues of classical results from algebraic topology. For the sake of readability, some of the proofs in Section 3 are relegated to the Appendix. In Section 4 we prove our main result. In Section 5 we discuss the meta implications of this work.

Related works. It should be noted that some of the same results on the weak homotopy type of pseudotopological spaces have been arrived at independently, using very different methods than those employed in this paper, by Jonathan Treviño-Marroquín [47]. To our knowledge Demaria and Bogin [14] were the first to extend classical algebraic topology to closure spaces. Many different singular homology theories and homotopy theories of closure spaces were developed in [10, 11, 38]. Model structures on pseudotopological spaces were developed by Rieser in [45] and Ebel and Kapulkin in [18]. The study of CW complexes and their properties in **CI** was done by Bubenik [9]. Riser studied a fundamental group and covering spaces of closure spaces in [44]. Babson, Barcelo, de Longueville, Kramer, Laubenbacher, and Weaver developed a discrete homotopy theory of simple graphs, which they called A -theory [6, 5, 3]. Barcelo, Capraro and White [4] defined a cubical singular homology theory for simple graphs. Dochtermann [17] developed a homotopy theory for simple graphs, called \times -homotopy theory. A special case of graphs, in which the underlying set is a finite subset of the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n , is studied in digital topology and digital homotopy theories [8, 30]. Grigor'yan, Lin, Muranov, and Yau [22] developed a homotopy theory for directed graphs. Almost all of these different homotopy and homology theories for graphs were shown to fall under a common uniform framework of varying products and interval objects in the category of closure spaces [11]. Vietoris introduced what is now known as the Vietoris-Rips complex (also known as clique or flag complex) of an undirected graph [49]. To our knowledge the first definition of a directed clique complex for a directed graph was given in [34]. However, this definition assumes the digraph does not have any bidirected edges. Our definition in this work is for digraphs potentially having some bidirected edges and is thus the most general.

2. BACKGROUND

Here we give some of the necessary definitions and background results for topological, closure, and pseudotopological spaces that will be used throughout this paper. The proofs of some lemmas are relegated to the Appendix. We refer to [7, Chapter 1] and [40] for background on pseudotopological spaces. We refer to [48] for background on closure spaces.

2.1. Pseudotopological spaces.

Definition 2.1. [26, Chapter 11] Let X be a non-empty set. A **filter** on X is a collection F of non-empty subsets of X satisfying

- (1) $X \in F$ and $\emptyset \notin F$.
- (2) If $A, B \in F$, then $A \cap B \in F$.
- (3) If $A \in F$ with $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$, then $B \in F$.

For any set X , let $\mathbb{F}(X)$ denote the set of filters on X .

Definition 2.2. A **convergence space** is a pair (X, Λ) where $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{F}(X) \times X$ is a relation between X and the set of filters on X satisfying

- (1) If $(\lambda, x) \in \Lambda$ and $\lambda \subseteq \lambda'$, then $(\lambda', x) \in \Lambda$ (upward closure).
- (2) $\dot{x} \in \Lambda$ where \dot{x} denotes the filter generated by x .

Any such relation Λ is called a **convergence structure** or **convergence** on X . If $(\lambda, x) \in \Lambda$, we write $\lambda \rightarrow x$ and say that λ **converges** to x or that x is a **limit point** of λ . Note that there is no requirement that when $\lambda \rightarrow x$, every element of λ must contain x . In addition we have that

- 3) Whenever $(\lambda, x), (\lambda', x) \in \Lambda$, we have $(\lambda \cap \lambda', x) \in \Lambda$,
we say that (X, Λ) is a **limit space**.

Remark 2.3. Some authors (for example in [7]) mean limit space when they write convergence space.

Definition 2.4. Let (X, Λ_X) and (Y, Λ_Y) be convergence spaces, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Then f is **continuous** if whenever $\lambda \rightarrow x \in \Lambda_X$, we have $f(\lambda) \rightarrow f(x) \in \Lambda_Y$. Here $f(\lambda)$ is the filter in Y generated by the collection $\{f(U) \subseteq Y : U \in \lambda\}$ of subsets of Y .

Given two convergence structures Λ and Λ' on X , we say that Λ is **finer** than Λ' and Λ' is **coarser** than Λ if for all $x \in X$, $\{\lambda \mid (\lambda, x) \in \Lambda\} \subset \{\lambda' \mid (\lambda', x) \in \Lambda'\}$. In other words for all x , Λ has fewer convergent filters to x than Λ' . Clearly Λ is finer than Λ' if and only if the identity function $\text{id}_X: (X, \Lambda) \rightarrow (X, \Lambda')$ is continuous.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, Λ) be a convergence space and let $A \subset X$. The **subspace convergence** on A is the convergence structure Λ_A on A defined by $\lambda \rightarrow x$ in Λ_A if and only if the filter generated by λ on X converges to x in Λ . We say that (A, Λ_A) is a **subspace** of (X, Λ) . The inclusion map $(A, \Lambda_A) \hookrightarrow (X, \Lambda)$ is clearly continuous.

Example 2.6. The finest convergence structure on X is called the **discrete convergence** where for every $x \in X$ we have $\dot{x} \rightarrow x$ as the only filter that converges to x . If (X, Λ) is such that Λ is the discrete convergence, then any function $f: (X, \Lambda) \rightarrow (Y, \Lambda_Y)$ is continuous for any convergence space (Y, Λ_Y) . That is because for all $x \in X$ we have that \dot{x} is the only filter converging to x and thus $f(\dot{x}) = f(\dot{x}) \rightarrow x$ in Λ_Y .

Example 2.7. The coarsest convergence structure on X is called the **indiscrete convergence** where for every $x \in X$, we declare that every $\lambda \in 2^{\mathbb{F}(X)}$ converges to x , i.e., all the filters on X converge to x . If (X, Λ) is such that Λ is the indiscrete convergence, then any function $f: (Y, \Lambda_Y) \rightarrow (X, \Lambda)$ is continuous for any convergence space (Y, Λ_Y) . That is because if $\lambda \rightarrow y$ in Λ_Y we have $f(\lambda) \rightarrow f(y)$ by definition as Λ is indiscrete.

Definition 2.8. Let (X, Λ) be a convergence space. For all $x \in X$, the filter

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \bigcap \{\lambda \mid \lambda \rightarrow x\}$$

is called the **neighborhood filter** of x and its elements are called the **neighborhoods** of x . A set $A \subset X$ is called **open** if it is a neighborhood of each of its points. For each $A \subset X$ the set

$$a(A) = \{x \in X \mid \exists \lambda \rightarrow x, A \in \lambda\}$$

is called the **adherence** of A . Call $A \subset X$ **closed** if $a(A) = A$.

Definition 2.9. An **ultrafilter** γ on a set X is a filter such that for all $A \subset X$, either $A \in \gamma$ or $X \setminus A \in \gamma$. A convergence space (X, Λ) is called a **pseudotopological space**, (also called Choquet spaces [7]), if $\lambda \rightarrow x$ whenever every ultrafilter γ finer than λ also converges to x . We denote the category of pseudotopological spaces and continuous maps between pseudotopological spaces by **PsTop**.

The following is straightforward.

Lemma 2.10. Let $f: (X, \Lambda_X) \rightarrow (Y, \Lambda_Y)$ be a continuous map of pseudotopological spaces. Then $f(a_X(A)) \subset a_Y(f(A))$ for all $A \subset X$, where a_X and a_Y are the adherences in (X, Λ_X) and (Y, Λ_Y) , respectively.

Example 2.11. Let (X, Λ) be such that Λ is the discrete convergence. Then (X, Λ) is a pseudotopological space as \dot{x} is an ultrafilter for all $x \in X$ and \dot{x} is the only filter converging to x by definition. Thus, we say (X, Λ) is a **discrete pseudotopological space**. Furthermore, for any pseudotopological space (X', Λ') any function $f: (X, \Lambda) \rightarrow (X', \Lambda')$ is continuous in **PsTop**.

Example 2.12. Let (X, Λ) be such that Λ is the indiscrete convergence. Then (X, Λ) is a pseudotopological space for all $x \in X$ every filter on X (and thus ultrafilter) converges to x by definition. Thus, we say (X, Λ) is an **indiscrete pseudotopological space**. Furthermore, for any pseudotopological space (X', Λ') any function $f: (X', \Lambda') \rightarrow (X, \Lambda)$ is continuous in **PsTop**.

2.2. Closure spaces and topological spaces. A (Čech) **closure space** is a pair (X, c) where X is a set and $c: 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$ is a function such that

- (1) $c(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.
- (2) $A \subset c(A)$ for all $A \subset X$.
- (3) $c(A \cup B) = c(A) \cup c(B)$.

If $c(A) = c(c(A))$ for all $A \subset X$ we say (X, c) is a **topological space**. This alternative definition of topological spaces is equivalent to the standard one, in terms of declaring what subsets are called open, [48]. A **continuous map** of closure spaces $f: (X, c) \rightarrow (Y, d)$ is a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(c(A)) \subset d(f(A))$. We denote the category of closure

spaces and continuous maps of closure spaces by **Cl**. We denote the full subcategory of closure spaces, i.e, the topological spaces, by **Top**.

Definition 2.13. Let (X, c) be a closure space and let $A \subset X$. The **subspace closure operation** on A is $c_A(B) := A \cap c(B)$ for all $B \subset A$. We say that (A, c_A) is a **subspace** of (X, c) . The inclusion map $(A, c_A) \hookrightarrow (X, c)$ is clearly continuous.

Theorem 2.14. [48, Theorem 17.A.13] *A map of closure spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous iff the range restriction $f: X \rightarrow f(X)$ is continuous.*

Definition 2.15. Let (X, c) be a closure space. The **interior operator** of (X, c) is a function $i: 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$ defined by $i(A) = X \setminus c(X \setminus A)$. A set U is a **neighborhood** of A if $A \subset i(U)$. We say a collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is an **interior cover** of (X, c) if $X = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} i(U)$.

Theorem 2.16. [48, Theorem 16.A.4 and Corollary 16.A.5] *A function $f: (X, c) \rightarrow (Y, d)$ between closure spaces is continuous at $x \in X$ if and only if for every neighborhood $V \subset Y$ of $f(x)$, the inverse image $f^{-1}(V)$ is a neighborhood of x . Equivalently, f is continuous at x if and only if for each neighborhood $V \subset Y$ of $f(x)$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x such that $f(U) \subset V$.*

We consider each closure space (X, c) as a pseudotopological space by declaring that $\mathcal{U}(x) \rightarrow x$ for all $x \in X$, where $\mathcal{U}(x)$ is the filter consisting of all neighborhoods of x in (X, c) . An alternative definition of a closure space is that of a pseudotopological space for which the neighborhood filter (Definition 2.8) at each point always converges to the point. In this case one sets the closure operator to be the adherence operator of the pseudotopological space. We will present this alternative definition as a proposition here. For a proof, see [40, Chapter 2].

Proposition 2.17. *A pseudotopological space (X, Λ) is a closure space if $\mathcal{U}(x) \rightarrow x$ (Definition 2.8) for all $x \in X$.*

Proposition 2.18. [40, Remark 2.3.1.2] **Cl** is a full subcategory of **PsTop**.

Example 2.19. Let (X, Λ) be a discrete pseudotopological space. Then for all $x \in X$, $\mathcal{U}(x) = \{\dot{x}\} \rightarrow x$ and thus the neighborhood filter converges to x . Thus (X, Λ) is a closure space. One also computes that $a(A) = A$ for all $A \subset X$, where a is the adherence for Λ or the underlying closure operator. Furthermore, the closure operator a is idempotent and thus (X, a) is a topological space. Thus we say (X, Λ) or equivalently (X, a) is a **discrete closure (topological) space**. Additionally, for any closure (resp. topological) space (Y, d) any function $f: (X, a) \rightarrow (Y, d)$ is continuous in **Cl** (resp. **Top**).

Example 2.20. Let (X, Λ) be an indiscrete pseudotopological space. Then for all $x \in X$, $\mathcal{U}(x) \rightarrow x$ by definition of the indiscrete convergence structure and thus the neighborhood filter converges to x . Thus (X, Λ) is a closure space. One also computes that $a(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $a(A) = X$ for all $A \subset X$, where a is the adherence for Λ or the underlying closure operator. Furthermore, the closure operator a is idempotent and thus (X, a) is a topological space. Thus we say (X, Λ) or equivalently (X, a) is an **indiscrete closure (topological) space**. Furthermore, for any closure (resp. topological) space (Y, d) any function $f: (Y, d) \rightarrow (X, a)$ is continuous in **Cl** (resp. in **Top**).

The definition of interior covers of closure spaces motivates the following definition of interior covers of pseudotopological spaces.

Definition 2.21. Let (X, Λ) be a pseudotopological space. For $A \subset X$ a **neighborhood** of A is a subset $U \subset X$ such that U is a neighborhood of A in the closure space (X, a) . That is $A \subset i(U)$ where $i(U) = X \setminus a(X \setminus U)$. An **interior cover** of (X, Λ) is a cover of X that happens to be an interior cover of (X, a) .

We have the following version of the pasting lemma.

Proposition 2.22. [40, Proposition A.2.2][Pasting Lemma] Let (X, c) be a closure space and let (X', Λ) be a limit space. If A_1 and A_2 are closed subsets of (X, c) such that $X = A_1 \cup A_2$, then a map $f: (X, c) \rightarrow (X', \Lambda)$ is continuous iff the restrictions $f|_{A_i}$ are continuous for $i = 1, 2$.

2.3. Modifications and inclusion adjunctions. The following describes different modifications of one type of space to another. These modifications are also functors on the underlying categories.

- Let $(X, \Lambda) \in \mathbf{PsTop}$. Define $(X, a) \in \mathbf{Cl}$ by

$$a(A) = \{x \in X \mid \exists \lambda \rightarrow x, A \in \lambda\},$$

that is, $a(A)$ is the adherence of A in (X, Λ) . One can check that adherences satisfy the closure axioms [7, Lemma 1.3.3]. The closure space (X, a) is the finest closure space coarser than (X, Λ) , called the **closure modification** of Λ .

- Let $(X, c) \in \mathbf{Cl}$. Define $(X, \tau(c)) \in \mathbf{Top}$ by

$$\tau(c)(A) = \bigcap \{K \subset X \mid c(K) = K, A \subset K\},$$

that is, $\tau(c)(A)$ is the intersection of all closed sets in (X, c) that contain A . The topological space $(X, \tau(c))$ is the finest topological space coarser than (X, c) , called the **topological modification** of (X, c) .

Proposition 2.23. [41, Proposition 2.3.1.5] The following are composite adjunctions, with right adjoints being inclusion functors of full subcategories, between \mathbf{Top} and \mathbf{PsTop} .

$$\mathbf{Top} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\tau} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \end{array} \mathbf{Cl} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{a} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \end{array} \mathbf{PsTop}$$

2.4. Limits and colimits of diagrams of spaces. Let $\mathbf{C} \in \{\mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}, \mathbf{PsTop}\}$ represent any of the categories of spaces among topological, closure, or pseudotopological spaces. If X is an object in \mathbf{C} we say X is a \mathbf{C} -space or that X is a set with a \mathbf{C} -structure. Here we recall limits and colimits of diagrams of \mathbf{C} -spaces and recall constructions that show \mathbf{C} is complete and co-complete. For a more detailed treatment of limits and colimits of \mathbf{C} -spaces, we refer the reader to [40, Chapter 1].

Let $X: \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a diagram of \mathbf{C} -spaces, i.e., X is a functor from some indexing category \mathbf{I} to \mathbf{C} . More specifically, for all objects i in \mathbf{I} we have a \mathbf{C} -space X_i and for every morphism $\varphi: i \rightarrow j$ in \mathbf{I} we have a continuous map $X_\varphi: X_i \rightarrow X_j$.

Definition 2.24. A **limit** of the \mathbf{I} -diagram X in \mathbf{C} is given by a \mathbf{C} -space $\lim_{\mathbf{I}} X$ together with continuous maps $p_i: \lim_{\mathbf{I}} X \rightarrow X_i$ such that

- (1) For $\varphi: i \rightarrow j$ a morphism in \mathbf{I} we have $p_j = X_\varphi \circ p_i$.

- (2) For any \mathbf{C} -space Y and any family of continuous maps $q_i: Y \rightarrow X_i$, indexed by \mathbf{I} , such that for all $\varphi: i \rightarrow j$ in \mathbf{I} we have $q_j = X_\varphi \circ q_i$ there exists a unique continuous map $q: Y \rightarrow \lim_{\mathbf{I}} X$ such that $q_i = p_i \circ q$ for every object i in \mathbf{I} .

Dually, we define colimits of \mathbf{C} -spaces.

Definition 2.25. A **colimit** of the \mathbf{I} -diagram X in \mathbf{C} is given by a \mathbf{C} -space $\text{colim}_{\mathbf{I}} X$ together with continuous maps $s_i: X_i \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\mathbf{I}} X$ such that

- (1) For $\varphi: i \rightarrow j$ a morphism in \mathbf{I} we have $s_i = s_j \circ X_\varphi$.
(2) For any \mathbf{C} -space Y and any family of continuous maps $t_i: X_i \rightarrow Y$, indexed by \mathbf{I} , such that for all $\varphi: i \rightarrow j$ in \mathbf{I} we have $t_i = t_j \circ X_\varphi$ there exists a unique continuous map $t: \text{colim}_{\mathbf{I}} X \rightarrow Y$ such that $t_i = t \circ s_i$ for every object i in \mathbf{I} .

Remark 2.26. An immediate consequence of the inclusion functors being right adjoints in Proposition 2.23 is that limits of diagrams are preserved as we move up the categories, as right adjoints preserve limits. For example, a limit of topological spaces is still a topological space in \mathbf{Cl} and \mathbf{PsTop} . However, colimits do not have to be preserved. In particular, a colimit of a diagram of topological spaces in \mathbf{Cl} does not have to be a topological space, see for example [48, Introduction to Section 33.B] and [9].

Recall that a **concrete category** is a pair (\mathbf{K}, U) where \mathbf{K} is a category and $U: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a faithful functor from \mathbf{K} to the category of sets. For \mathbf{C} -spaces we have the forgetful functors $U: \mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}, \mathbf{PsTop} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ that given a topological, closure, or pseudotopological space return the underlying set, forgetting the structure. These forgetful functors make any $\mathbf{C} \in \{\mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}, \mathbf{PsTop}\}$ into a concrete category. Furthermore, by Examples 2.11 and 2.19, U is a right adjoint to the functor $\text{di}: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that assigns to any set Y the discrete topological structure on Y . In other words, we have canonical bijections for any \mathbf{C} -space X and any set Y :

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\text{di}(Y), X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}(Y, U(X)).$$

Similarly, U is left adjoint to the functor $\text{ind}: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that assigns to any set Y the indiscrete topological structure on Y , by Examples 2.12 and 2.20. That is we have canonical bijections for any \mathbf{C} -space X and any set Y :

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, \text{ind}(Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}(U(X), Y).$$

Since U is both a left and right adjoint, it preserves limits and colimits. This means that in order to compute limits or colimits in any of these categories we can compute the limits or colimits in \mathbf{Set} . Afterwards we associate the coarsest or finest, respectively, \mathbf{C} -structures on the limits or colimits in \mathbf{Set} that will make them limits or colimits in \mathbf{C} .

Example 2.27. Let I be a set, and let \mathbf{I} the category whose objects are the elements of I and whose morphisms are only the identity morphisms.

- (1) For a diagram of \mathbf{C} -spaces $X: \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $\lim_{\mathbf{I}} X_i$ is the product $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ with projection maps $p_i: \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow X_i$ and the \mathbf{C} -space structure on the underlying set of $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is the coarsest \mathbf{C} -structure on $\prod_{x \in I} X_i$ making all the projection maps continuous. We call $\lim_{\mathbf{I}} X_i$ the **product** \mathbf{C} -structure.

If $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{PsTop}$, a filter λ on $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ converges to x in $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ if and only if $p_i(\lambda)$ converges to $p_i(x)$ in the convergence structure on X_i .

In the case that $\mathbf{C} \in \{\mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}\}$ we can also describe the \mathbf{C} -structure on $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ i.e., the closure operator on $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$, in the following way. For all $x \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$, let \mathcal{U}_x denote the collection of sets of the form

$$\bigcap \{p_i^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in A\},$$

where $A \subset I$ is finite and V_i is a neighborhood of $p_i(x)$ in X_i . For $B \subset X$, let

$$c(B) = \{x \in X \mid \forall U \in \mathcal{U}_x, U \cap B \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The closure c is called the **product closure** for $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ and $(\prod_{i \in I} X_i, c)$ is called the **product closure space**. For closure spaces (X, c) and (Y, d) , their product is denoted by $(X \times Y, c \times d)$. If X is a diagram of topological spaces, the product closure is topological and the resulting topological space is said to have the **product topology**.

- (2) For a diagram of \mathbf{C} -spaces $X: \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $\text{colim}_{\mathbf{I}} X_i$ is the coproduct $\coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ with coprojection (inclusion) maps $s_i: X_i \rightarrow \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ and the \mathbf{C} -structure on the underlying set of $\coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ is the finest \mathbf{C} -structure making all the coprojection maps continuous. We call $\text{colim}_{\mathbf{I}} X_i$ the **coproduct \mathbf{C} -structure**.

Let $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{PsTop}$ and let $x \in \sqcup_{i \in I} X_i$. Suppose k is such that $x \in X_k$. Then, a filter λ on $\sqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ converges to $x \in \sqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ if and only if $\lambda = \dot{x}$ or there is a filter λ_k converging to x in the convergence structure on X_k , such that $s_i(\lambda_k) \subset \lambda$.

In the case that $\mathbf{C} \in \{\mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}\}$, the **coproduct** of $\{(X_i, c_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is the disjoint union of sets $X = \sqcup_i X_i$ with the closure operation c for X defined by $c(\sqcup_i A_i) := \sqcup_i c_i(A_i)$ for all subsets $\sqcup_i A_i$ of $\sqcup_{i \in I} X_i$. If all X_i are topological spaces, then c is a topological closure operator.

Example 2.28. Let \mathbf{I} be the category with two objects, 1 and 2, and two parallel morphisms from 1 to 2. Then an \mathbf{I} -diagram of \mathbf{C} -spaces $X: \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ consists of a pair of continuous

$$\text{maps } X_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} X_2.$$

- (1) We call $\text{lim}_{\mathbf{I}} X$ the **equalizer** of f and g . In particular, the equalizer consists of the \mathbf{C} -space E and map $\iota: E \rightarrow X_1$ defined in the following manner. Let $E = \{x \in X_1 \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$ with ι the inclusion map and the \mathbf{C} -structure on E being the subspace \mathbf{C} -structure induced from X_1 (Definitions 2.5 and 2.13).
- (2) We call $\text{colim}_{\mathbf{I}} X$ the **coequalizer** of f and g . In particular, the coequalizer consists of the \mathbf{C} -space Q and a map $q: X_2 \rightarrow Q$ defined in the following manner. Let Q be the quotient set X_2/\sim , where \sim is the equivalence relation given by $f(x) \sim g(x)$, $\forall x \in X_1$. Let $q: X_2 \rightarrow Q$ be the quotient map. Then the \mathbf{C} -structure on Q is the finest \mathbf{C} -structure making q continuous.

In the case that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cl}$, the coequalizer consists of the closure space (Q, c_q) , where for any $A \subset Q$, set $c_q(A) = q(c_2(q^{-1}(A)))$. In the case that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Top}$ the quotient topology on Q is the finest topology making q continuous. This coincides with the topological modification of c_q .

Theorem 2.29. [40, Theorem 1.2.1.10] *The product and equalizer defined above are the categorical product and equalizer in \mathbf{C} and hence \mathbf{C} is complete. The coproduct and coequalizer defined above are the categorical coproduct and coequalizer in \mathbf{C} and hence \mathbf{C} is co-complete.*

We now consider pushouts of \mathbf{C} -spaces.

Definition 2.30. Consider the maps of \mathbf{C} -spaces f and i in the following diagram.

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (A, b) & \xrightarrow{f} & (Y, d) \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow j \\ (X, c) & \dashrightarrow^g & (Z, e) \end{array}$$

The **pushout** of f and i is the colimit of the above diagram, given by a \mathbf{C} -space Z and continuous maps $g: X \rightarrow Z$, $j: Y \rightarrow Z$. The set Z can be taken to be $Z = (Y \amalg X)/\sim$, where for all $a \in A$, $f(a) \sim g(a)$, the induced functions j and g . In the case that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{PsTop}$, we have written out the adherence operators b, c, d and e on A, X, Y and Z respectively in (1) for convenience. However the adherence operators are not used to compute the pushout in (1), the convergence structures are. In the case that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Cl}$, then b, c, d and e are the closure operators on A, X, Y and Z , respectively. In either case, for $B \subset Z$ we have

$$e(B) = j(d(j^{-1}(B))) \cup g(c(g^{-1}(B))).$$

If $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Top}$, the topological closure operator on Z is the topological modification of e .

2.5. Compactness of spaces. Here we discuss the notion of compactness of \mathbf{C} -spaces for $\mathbf{C} \in \{\mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}, \mathbf{PsTop}\}$.

Definition 2.31. Let X be a pseudotopological space and let $x \in X$. A **local covering system** at x is a collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of X such that $\mathcal{C} \cap \lambda \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \rightarrow x$ in X . For a subset A of X , a **covering system** of A is a collection of subsets of X , \mathcal{C} , that is a local covering system at each point of A .

Lemma 2.32. Let X be a pseudotopological space and \mathcal{U} an interior cover of X . Then \mathcal{U} is a covering system of X .

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and let $\lambda \rightarrow x$ in X . Since \mathcal{U} is an interior cover of X , there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that U is a neighborhood of x . In particular U belongs to the neighborhood filter at x , and therefore U belongs to every filter on X that converges to x . Therefore $U \in \lambda$ and thus $\mathcal{U} \cap \lambda \neq \emptyset$. Therefore \mathcal{U} is a local covering system at x , and therefore \mathcal{U} is a covering system of x . \square

The following are usually taken to be results for characterizing compactness of pseudotopological and closure spaces, but we will take them as definitions of compactness.

Definition 2.33. [7, Proposition 1.4.15] A pseudotopological space X is **compact** if for every covering system \mathcal{C} of X , there is a finite subsystem $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ which covers X (\mathcal{C}' does not have to be a covering system of X , only a cover of X).

In particular if X is a compact pseudotopological space, then every interior cover of X has a finite subcover by Lemma 2.32.

Definition 2.34. [48, Theorem 41.A.9] A closure space X is **compact** if every interior cover \mathcal{U} of X has a finite subcover (the finite subcover does not have to be an interior cover).

A topological space X is **compact** if every open cover has a finite subcover. As expected, the definitions of compactness agree across the categories **Top**, **Cl** and **Pstop**. For example, if X is a topological space then X is a compact pseudotopological space if and only if X is a compact topological space.

Proposition 2.35. [7, Proposition 1.4.7] *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of pseudotopological spaces. If X is compact then $f(X)$ as a subspace of Y is compact.*

Lemma 2.36. Let X be a compact topological space. Let Y be a pseudotopological space with interior cover \mathcal{V} , and suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. Then there exists an (finite) open cover \mathcal{U} of X such that for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$, there is a $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $f(U) \subset V$.

Proof. Let d be the adherence operator on Y . Since $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is morphism in **Pstop** the function $f: X \rightarrow (Y, d)$ is a morphism in **Cl**. Since \mathcal{V} is an interior cover, for all $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood of $f(x)$ in \mathcal{V} . Label this neighborhood by $V_{f(x)}$. By Theorem 2.16, for the neighborhood $V_{f(x)} \subset Y$ of $f(x)$ there exists a neighborhood $W_x \subset X$ of x in X such that $f(W_x) \subset V_{f(x)}$. Since W_x is a neighborhood of x and X is topological, there is an open set $U_x \subset W_x$ with $x \in U_x$ for every $x \in X$. The collection $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$ is thus an open cover of X which refines $\{W_x\}_{x \in X}$ by construction. Since X is compact, $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$ admits a finite subcover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{x_i}\}_{i=1}^n$, and \mathcal{U} satisfies the conclusion of the lemma, by construction. \square

2.6. Exponential objects. Let \mathbf{C} be a category with finite products. An object X of \mathbf{C} is called **exponentiable** if product with X is left adjoint, i.e., if there are natural bijections

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X \times Z, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(Z, Y^X),$$

for all objects Y and Z of \mathbf{C} . The **power object** Y^X is given by the right adjoint $-^X$ of $X \times -$.

Suppose now that $\mathbf{C} \in \{\mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}, \mathbf{PsTop}\}$. Then \mathbf{C} is a concrete category over **Set** and constant maps are morphisms in \mathbf{C} . Let X be an exponential object in \mathbf{C} . In particular if $Z = \{*\}$ is the one-point space, i.e., is the terminal object in \mathbf{C} , we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X \times \{*\}, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\{*\}, Y^X)$. Thus there is a natural bijection between $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, Y)$ and the elements of the power object Y^X . This means that there are canonical function spaces for exponential objects X . Furthermore, let $L_X, R_X: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the functors $Y \mapsto Y \times X$ and $Y \mapsto Y^X$, respectively. The **evaluation mapping** $\mathrm{ev}_X: L_X \circ R_X \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the co-unit of the adjunction, in particular $\mathrm{ev}_{X,Y}: Y^X \times X \rightarrow Y$ is a natural morphism in \mathbf{C} , for every Y in \mathbf{C} . With the identification $Y^X \approx \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, Y)$, the \mathbf{C} -structure on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, Y)$ must be so that $\mathrm{ev}_{X,Y}: \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(X, Y) \times X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. Furthermore, one computes that $\mathrm{ev}_{X,Y}(f, x) = f(x)$.

Example 2.37. Let (X, Λ_X) and (Y, Λ_Y) be pseudotopological spaces. The **continuous convergence structure** Λ_c on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{PsTop}}((X, \Lambda_X), (Y, \Lambda_Y))$, which is in fact pseudotopological [7, Theorem 1.55], is given by

$$\mathcal{H} \rightarrow f \text{ in } \Lambda_c \text{ if and only if } \mathrm{ev}_{X,Y}(\mathcal{H} \times \lambda) \rightarrow f(x) \forall (\lambda, x) \in \Lambda_X.$$

In other words, Λ_c is the coarsest convergence on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{PsTop}}((X, \Lambda_X), (Y, \Lambda_Y))$ such that the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_{X,Y}$ is continuous. Let a_c denote the adherence operator associated to Λ_c .

Example 2.38. We have the following examples of exponential objects in different concrete categories over **Set** together with function spaces for exponential objects.

- (1) Let \mathbf{Top}_2 be the category of T_2 (Hausdorff) topological spaces. A T_2 topological space X is exponential in \mathbf{Top}_2 if and only if X is locally compact. In particular, if X is locally compact, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}_2}(X, Y)$ has a canonical topology that makes the evaluation map continuous. This is the well known **compact open topology**. See [20, 37] for more details.
- (2) Consider \mathbf{Top} , the category of topological spaces. A topological space X is exponential in \mathbf{Top} if and only if X is quasi locally compact. Once again, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(X, Y)$ with the canonical compact open topology makes the evaluation map continuous. See [13, 25] for more details.
- (3) Consider \mathbf{PsTop} , the category of pseudotopological spaces. The category \mathbf{PsTop} is Cartesian closed and therefore any object X of \mathbf{PsTop} is exponential. The pseudotopological structure on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PsTop}}(X, Y)$ that makes the evaluation map continuous, for any pseudotopological spaces X and Y , is the one described in Example 2.37. See [19, 39] for more details.
- (4) Consider \mathbf{Cl} , the category of closure spaces. A closure space X is exponential in \mathbf{Cl} if and only if X is finitely generated, i.e. X is a colimit of a diagram of finite spaces. It can be shown that this is equivalent to the requirement that every point in X has a smallest neighborhood. The closure space structure on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cl}}(X, Y)$ making the evaluation map continuous, for given closure spaces X and Y , is the following. First consider X and Y as objects in \mathbf{PsTop} and consider the continuous convergence structure Λ_c on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PsTop}}(X, Y)$ from Example 2.37. Note that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PsTop}}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cl}}(X, Y)$ as sets, since as \mathbf{Cl} is a full subcategory of \mathbf{PsTop} . Consider the adherence operator a_c associated to Λ_c and make $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cl}}(X, Y)$ into a closure space, where the closure operation is precisely a_c . See [27, 43] for more details.

Remark 2.39. In Example 2.38, we have a sequence of full subcategories $\mathbf{Top}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Top} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Cl} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PsTop}$. Furthermore, as we go from \mathbf{Top}_2 through \mathbf{Top} and finally \mathbf{PsTop} the collection of exponentiable objects keeps expanding. Surprisingly, the collection of exponentiable objects shrinks when going from \mathbf{Top} to \mathbf{Cl} . In particular, the unit interval I with its standard topology is compact and hence a locally compact topological space and is thus an exponentiable object in \mathbf{Top} . However, no point in I has a smallest neighborhood with respect to the topology as one can find arbitrarily small open euclidean balls around each point. Thus I is not exponentiable in \mathbf{Cl} .

2.7. CW complexes. Let $\mathbf{C} \in \{\mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}, \mathbf{PsTop}\}$. Here we show how to construct CW complexes in \mathbf{C} .

Let S^n be the topological n -sphere and D^n the topological n -disk. A \mathbf{C} -space X is obtained from a \mathbf{C} -space A by **attaching cells** if there exists a pushout in \mathbf{C} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_j S_j^{n_j-1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\ \downarrow \coprod_j \iota_j & & \downarrow \iota_A \\ \coprod_j D_j^{n_j} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & X \end{array}$$

where for each j , $D_j^{n_j}$ is the n_j -dimensional disk and $\iota_j: S_j^{n_j-1} \rightarrow D_j^{n_j}$ is the inclusion of its boundary. We call φ the **cell-attaching map**. If for all j , $n_j = n$ we say that X is obtained from A by **attaching n -cells**.

For a \mathbf{C} -space X and a closed subspace A , we say the pair (X, A) is a **relative CW complex** in \mathbf{C} if X is the colimit of a diagram of \mathbf{C} -spaces

$$A = X^{-1} \hookrightarrow X^0 \hookrightarrow X^1 \hookrightarrow X^2 \hookrightarrow \dots,$$

where for all $n \geq 0$, X^n is obtained from X^{n-1} by attaching n -cells. If $A = \emptyset$, we say X is a **CW complex** in \mathbf{C} . If the total numbers of cells attached is finite, we call X a **finite CW complex** in \mathbf{C} . If for each $n \geq 0$, there are only finitely many n -cells attached, we call X a **CW complex of finite type** in \mathbf{C} . If no more cells are attached after a certain $n \geq 0$, we say X is a **finite-dimensional CW complex** in \mathbf{C} .

It was shown in [9] that finite-dimensional CW complexes, CW complexes of finite type, and finite relative CW complexes need not be topological in \mathbf{Cl} or \mathbf{PsTop} . However, this does not happen in the case of finite CW complexes. In particular, from [18, Corollary 4.26] we immediately have the following.

Proposition 2.40. *The construction of finite CW complexes in the categories \mathbf{Top} and \mathbf{PsTop} agree. In particular, the construction of finite CW complexes in the categories \mathbf{Top} and \mathbf{Cl} agree.*

The construction of finite CW complexes in \mathbf{Top} and \mathbf{Cl} agreeing is also a special case of applying [9, Theorems 1.4 and 1.5].

2.8. Graphs as closure spaces. Here we recall how the categories of simple directed graphs and simple undirected graphs are isomorphic to full subcategories of closure spaces. For a set X let $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.41. A **simple directed graph**, or **digraph** for short, is a pair (X, E) where X is a set and E is a relation on X such that $E \cap \Delta_X = \emptyset$, that is E is not reflexive. A **simple undirected graph**, or **graph** for short, is a digraph (X, E) such that E is symmetric. A **spatial digraph** is a pair (X, E) where X is a set and E is a reflexive relation on X , that is $\Delta_X \subset E$. To each simple digraph (X, E) we can associate a spatial digraph (X, \overline{E}) where $\overline{E} = E \sqcup \Delta_X$. A **digraph morphism** $f: (X, E) \rightarrow (Y, F)$ is a set map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that whenever xEx' we have $f(x)Ff(x')$.

If (X, E) is a digraph and $A \subset X$, the **induced subgraph** (A, E) is defined by aE_Aa' if and only if aEa' for all $a, a' \in A$. We denote the category of digraphs and digraph morphisms by \mathbf{DiGph} . Let \mathbf{Gph} be the full subcategory of \mathbf{DiGph} consisting of graphs.

Definition 2.42. A closure space (X, c) is **Alexandroff** if $c(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} c(x)$ for all $A \subset X$. A closure space (X, c) is **symmetric** if whenever $y \in c(x)$, we have $x \in c(y)$. Let \mathbf{Cl}_a , \mathbf{Cl}_{sA} denote the full subcategories of \mathbf{Cl} consisting of Alexandroff and symmetric Alexandroff closure spaces, respectively.

To each digraph (X, E) we can associate an Alexandroff closure space (X, c_E) defined by $c_E(x) = \{y \in X \mid xEy\}$ and $c_E(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} c_E(x)$. Obviously if E was symmetric, then (X, c_E) will be a symmetric Alexandroff closure space. Conversely, given an Alexandroff closure space (X, c) we can associate with it a digraph (X, E_c) defined by xE_cy if and

only if $y \in c(x)$ and if (X, c) was a symmetric Alexandroff closure space then E_c will also be symmetric. These assignments are functorial and furthermore are inverses to one-another. In particular, if (X, E) is a digraph and $A \subset X$ then the induced subgraph (A, E_A) corresponds to the subspace closure on A induced from (X, c_E) . In other words we have the following:

Proposition 2.43. *The categories \mathbf{Cl}_A and \mathbf{DiGph} are isomorphic. This isomorphism also restricts to the subcategories \mathbf{Cl}_{sA} and \mathbf{Gph} .*

The proof follows from definitions, see [11, 16] for example.

Remark 2.44. Note that every finite pseudotopological space is in fact a finite closure space and hence equivalent to a digraph. Indeed, let (X, Λ) be a finite pseudotopological space. Since X is a finite set, for each $x \in X$, the collection $\{\lambda \mid \lambda \rightarrow x\}$ is finite. Because every pseudotopological space is a limit space, we have that for all $x \in X$, $\mathcal{U}(x) = \bigcap \{\lambda \mid \lambda \rightarrow x\} \rightarrow x$ which by Proposition 2.17 shows that (X, Λ) is a closure space.

3. HOMOTOPY AND HOMOLOGY FOR PSEUDOTOPOLOGICAL SPACES

In this section, we develop the basics of homotopy and singular homology groups of pseudotopological spaces. Most of the results, if not the proofs, are completely analogous to the standard results about homotopy and singular homology groups of topological spaces. Of particular interest in this section is a pseudotopological version of the Quillen fiber lemma, Corollary 3.13, which plays a key role in our main result, Theorem 4.10.

One of the main results of [45] for our purposes is that \mathbf{PsTop} is an I-category ([45, Corollary 4.23]). In particular, this means that \mathbf{PsTop} has a cylinder object given by the obvious cylinder construction; that is, for any pseudotopological space X , the **cylinder object** is given by $(X \times [0, 1], i_0, i_1, p)$ where $[0, 1] = I$ is the topological unit interval, $i_0, i_1: X \rightarrow X \times [0, 1]$ by $i_0(x) = (x, 0)$ and $i_1(x) = (x, 1)$ are **inclusions**, and $p: X \times I \rightarrow X$ by $p(x, t) = x$ is the **projection**.

3.1. π_0 in \mathbf{PsTop} . Let X be a pseudotopological space. A **path** from x to y in X is a continuous map $\alpha: I \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha(0) = x$ and $\alpha(1) = y$. If α is a path, its **inverse path** is the map $\bar{\alpha}: I \rightarrow X$ defined by $t \mapsto 1 - t$. If α and β are two paths in X such that $\alpha(1) = \beta(0)$, the **concatenation** of α and β , $\alpha * \beta$, is path in X from $\alpha(0)$ to $\beta(1)$ defined by

$$\alpha * \beta(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(2t), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \beta(2t - 1), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

We put a relation on X by saying $x \sim y$ if there is a path from x to y . By reversing and concatenating paths, which is made possible by Propositions 2.22 and 2.40, it follows that \sim is an equivalence relation on X . The equivalence classes of \sim are called the **path components** of X . We write $\pi_0(X)$ for the set of path components of X . We say X is **path-connected** or **0-connected** if $\pi_0(X)$ is a singleton. Equivalently, X is path connected if there is a path between any pair of points in X .

3.2. Homotopies of maps in PsTop. This existence of a cylinder object yields the definition of homotopy as the obvious one. That is, continuous maps $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ in **PsTop** are **homotopic**, and we write $f \simeq g$ if there exists a continuous $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $H \circ i_0 = f$ and $H \circ i_1 = g$ (equiv. $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $H(x, 1) = g(x)$). The map H is called a **homotopy** between f and g , denoted $H: f \simeq g$. Given $H: f \simeq g$, the **inverse homotopy** $-H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is the map $(x, t) \mapsto (x, 1 - t)$. We have $-H: g \simeq f$. If $H: f \simeq g$ and $L: g \simeq h$ are given, the **glued homotopy** $H + L: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is given by

$$H + L(x, t) = \begin{cases} H(x, 2t), & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ L(x, 2t - 1), & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases},$$

and we have $H + L: f \simeq h$. This is indeed a continuous map by Propositions 2.22 and 2.40. This was also argued more directly in [45, Section 3.2.1]. It follows that \simeq is an equivalence relation on $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PsTop}}(X, Y)$. For a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ its equivalence class is denoted by $[f]$ and is called the **homotopy class** of f . The set of homotopy classes of maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is denoted by $[X, Y]$. For a subspace $A \subset X$, we say a homotopy $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is **relative** to A if for all $t \in I$, we have $H(x, t) = f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in A$. That is, the homotopy H is constant on A . In this case we write $H: f \simeq g$ (rel A). We will use H_t to denote the map $H_t: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$.

We say two pseudotopological spaces X and Y are **homotopy equivalent** or have the same **homotopy type** if there exist continuous maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $fg \simeq \text{id}_Y$ and $gf \simeq \text{id}_X$. If X and Y are homotopy equivalent via maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ we call f and g **homotopy equivalences** and we say g is a **homotopy inverse** of f . A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a **null homotopic** if it is homotopic to a constant map and in this case the homotopy is called a **null homotopy**. For a subspace A of X a map $r: X \rightarrow X$ is a **retraction** of X onto A if $r(X) = A$ and $r|_A = \text{id}_A$, and we say A is a **retract** of X . A **deformation retraction** is a homotopy between the identity map on X and a retraction r . In this case, we say X **deformation retracts onto** A . If a deformation retraction is a homotopy relative to A , we say it is a **strong deformation retraction**. A space X is **contractible** if X deformation retracts to a one point space and a null homotopy of id_X is called a **contraction**.

Lemma 3.1. Let Y be a closure space containing a point a such that the only neighborhood in Y containing a is Y itself. Then Y is contractible.

Proof. Define $H: Y \times I \rightarrow Y$ by $H(y, t) = \begin{cases} y, & 0 \leq t < 1, \\ a, & t = 1 \end{cases}$. We need to show that H is

continuous. Let $(y, t) \in Y \times I$ and let U be a neighborhood of $H(y, t)$ in Y . There are two cases to consider.

Case 1: Suppose that $t = 1$ or $y = a$. Then $H(y, t) = a$ and $U = Y$ by assumption. Thus $Y \times I$ is a neighborhood of (y, t) such that $H(Y \times I) \subset Y = U$.

Case 2: Suppose that $t < 1$. Then $H(y, t) = y$ and $H^{-1}(U) = U \times [0, 1)$ is a neighborhood of (y, t) in $Y \times I$ such that $H(U \times [0, 1)) \subset U$.

Thus, H is continuous by Theorem 2.16. □

3.3. Mapping cylinder in PsTop. Here we define the mapping cylinder in **PsTop**. We will be using the fact that **PsTop** is Cartesian closed.

Definition 3.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous between pseudotopological spaces. The **mapping cylinder** of f , denoted M_f , is given by the pushout of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow i_0 & & \downarrow j \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{g} & M_f \end{array}$$

where $i_0(x) = (x, 0)$. The mapping cone is explicitly given by

$$M_f = ((X \times I) \sqcup Y) / ((x, 0) \sim f(x))$$

$j(y) = [y]$, and $g(x, t) = [x, t]$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{PsTop} . Then f factors as $f = r \circ i$ where $i: X \rightarrow M_f$ is the inclusion given by composition

$$X \xrightarrow{i_1} X \times I \xrightarrow{g} M_f,$$

$i_1(x) = (x, 1)$, and $r: M_f \rightarrow Y$, $r([x, t]) = f(x)$ and $r([y]) = y$, is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. Note that $i: X \rightarrow M_f$ is given by $i(x) = [x, 1]$. Clearly $f = r \circ i$. We first check that r is indeed continuous. Let $k: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ be the map $(x, t) \mapsto f(x)$. Note that k factors as $k = f \circ \pi_X$, where $\pi_X: X \times I \rightarrow X$ is the canonical projection, and thus k is continuous. Note that r is the unique continuous map induced by the pushout M_f and the maps $h: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ and $\text{id}_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ X \times I & \longrightarrow & M_f \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \searrow \text{id}_Y \\ \downarrow r \\ Y \end{array}$$

$\swarrow k$

From the commutative diagram above, we have that $r \circ j = \text{id}_Y$. Thus, we only need to show $j \circ r \simeq \text{id}_{M_f}$.

Since \mathbf{PsTop} is Cartesian closed, the unit interval I is an exponentiable object, i.e., the functor $- \times I: \mathbf{PsTop} \rightarrow \mathbf{PsTop}$ is a left adjoint (Example 2.38(3)). Therefore

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times I & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}_I} & Y \times I \\ \downarrow i_0 \times \text{id}_I & & \downarrow j \times \text{id}_I \\ X \times I \times I & \xrightarrow{g \times \text{id}_I} & M_f \times I \end{array}$$

is also a pushout. Define $m: X \times I \times I \rightarrow M_f$ by $m(x, t, s) = [x, t(1-s)]$ and $\ell: Y \times I \rightarrow M_f$ by $\ell(y, s) = [y]$. Write $m = g \circ m': X \times I \times I \rightarrow X \times I \rightarrow M_f$ where m' is the map $(t, s) \rightarrow t(1-s)$. Then m' is a map between topological spaces $I \times I \rightarrow I$ and is known to be continuous. Similarly, we can write ℓ as a composition $\ell = j \circ \pi_Y$, where

$\pi_Y: Y \times I \rightarrow Y$ is the canonical projection, yielding that ℓ is also continuous. Furthermore, $m \circ (i_0 \times \text{id}_I) = \ell \circ (f \times \text{id}_I)$ so that there is a unique continuous map $H: M_f \times I \rightarrow M_f$ given by the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \times I & \xrightarrow{f \times \text{id}_I} & Y \times I \\
 \downarrow i_0 \times \text{id}_I & & \downarrow j \times \text{id}_I \\
 X \times I \times I & \xrightarrow{g \times \text{id}_I} & M_f \times I \\
 \downarrow m & \searrow H & \downarrow \ell \\
 & & M_f
 \end{array}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 H([x, t], 0) &= [x, t] = \text{id}_{M_f}[x, t] \\
 H([y], 0) &= [y] = \text{id}_{M_f}[y]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 H([x, t], 1) &= [x, 0] = [f(x)] = j(f(x)) = j \circ r([x, t]) \\
 H([y], 1) &= [y] = j(y) = j \circ r([y]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore H is a homotopy and thus $j \circ r \simeq \text{id}_{M_f}$. □

Remark 3.4. Since I is not an exponentiable object in **Cl** (Remark 2.39), we cannot guarantee the existence of mapping cylinders in **Cl**. More precisely, the pushout M_f still exists as a closure space as **Cl** is cocomplete. However in arguing for the homotopy $H: M_f \times I \rightarrow M_f$ between id_{M_f} and $r: M_f \rightarrow Y$ being continuous, in **PsTop** we used the fact that product with I preserves pushouts. We cannot do this in **Cl**. Mapping cylinders will be of great use in our proofs and thus the need to work in **PsTop** instead of **Cl**.

3.4. Homotopy groups of pseudotopological spaces. We now define homotopy groups of pseudotopological spaces and derive the long exact sequence.

We call a pair (X, x_0) consisting of a pseudotopological space X and a **base point** $x_0 \in X$ a **pointed space**. A **pointed map** $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x_0) = y_0$. A homotopy $H: f \simeq g$ is **pointed** if H_t is pointed for all $t \in I$. Denote the set of pointed homotopy classes by $[(X, x_0), (Y, y_0)]$ or by $[X, Y]^0$ (with fixed base points assumed). We can analogously define concepts such as **pointed homotopy equivalence**, **pointed contractible** and **pointed null homotopy** for instance.

A **pair** (X, A) of **pseudotopological space** consists of a pseudotopological space X with a subspace A of X . A **continuous map of pairs** $f: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(A) \subset B$. A **homotopy of pairs** is then a homotopy $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that H_t is a map of pairs for all $t \in I$. We write $[(X, A), (Y, B)]$ for the homotopy classes of maps of pairs. Note that if X is a closure space (resp. topological space), then A is a closure space (resp. topological space) as the categories **Cl** and **Top** are reflective subcategories of **PsTop** (Remark 2.26).

Lemma 3.5. Let $q: X \rightarrow Y$ be a quotient map of pseudotopological spaces. Suppose $\{H_t: Y \rightarrow Z\}_{t \in I}$ is a family of functions (not necessarily continuous) such that the collection $H_t \circ q, t \in I$, is a homotopy. Then the family H_t is also a homotopy.

Proof. The product $q \times \text{id}_X: X \times I \rightarrow Y \times I$. This is because a quotient map is an example of a colimit and \mathbf{PsTop} is Cartesian closed and thus I is an exponentiable object and therefore it preserves colimits. The composition $H \circ (q \times \text{id}_X)$ is continuous by assumption and thus by colimit property of quotients, the map H must be continuous as well. \square

A continuous map of pairs $f: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ into a pointed space induces a pointed map $\bar{f}: X/A \rightarrow Y$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.5 the assignment $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ induces a bijection

$$[(X, A), (Y, y_0)] \cong [X/A, Y]^0.$$

With this in mind, when we have a pair (X, A) we consider the quotient space X/A as a pointed space (with A identified with a point) with base point $\{A\}$. If $A = \emptyset$, then $X/A = X^+$ is the space X with a separate base point.

Let I^n be the n -fold product of I with itself. Denote by ∂I^n the set $\partial I^n = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I^n: t_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for at least one } i\}$, with the subspace structure induced from I^n , for $n \geq 1$. We set $I^0 = \{0\}$, and $\partial I^0 = \emptyset$. In $I^n/\partial I^n$ we use ∂I^n as the base point. For $n = 0$ we have $I^0/\partial I^0 = \{0\} \sqcup \{*\}$, that is we get an additional disjoint base point $*$.

The n -th homotopy group, $n \geq 1$, of a pointed pseudotopological space (X, x_0) is the set of relative homotopy classes of maps

$$\pi_n(X, x_0) = [(I^n, \partial I^n), (X, x_0)],$$

with the following group structure. Suppose that $[f], [g] \in \pi_n(X, x_0)$. Then a representative of $[f] + [g]$ is $f +_i g$ where

$$(2) \quad (f +_i g)(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \begin{cases} f(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 2t_i, \dots, t_n), & 0 \leq t_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ g(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 2t_i - 1, \dots, t_n) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t_i \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

This construction extends the usual definition of homotopy groups for topological spaces. Furthermore, the proof of [15, Proposition 6.1.1] applies verbatim and it follows that for $n \geq 2$, $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ are abelian groups and that the definition of $[f] + [g]$ does not depend on the choice of $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Finally, if X is a path connected pseudotopological space, then $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ does not depend on the base point x_0 and we write $\pi_n(X)$ instead. For $n = 0$, $\pi_0(X, x_0)$ is the set of path components of X , $\pi_0(X)$, with base point at $[x_0]$.

3.5. Relative homotopy groups. We now define relative homotopy groups (sets) for a pointed pair (X, A) , i.e., a pair of spaces (X, A) with a base point $x_0 \in A \subset X$. For $n \geq 1$, let $J^n = \partial I^n \times I \cup I^n \times \{0\} \subset \partial I^{n+1} \subset I^{n+1}$ as a subspace of I^{n+1} . Since I^{n+1} is topological, so is J^n . Let $J^0 = \{0\} \subset I$. Then define

$$\pi_{n+1}(X, A, x_0) = [(I^{n+1}, \partial I^{n+1}, J^n), (X, A, x_0)].$$

In other words, $\pi_{n+1}(X, A, x_0)$ is set of homotopy classes of maps of triples. A map of triples $f: (I^{n+1}, \partial I^{n+1}, J^n) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$ means that $f: I^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ is a map such that $f(\partial I^{n+1}) \subset A$ and $f(J^n) \subset \{x_0\}$. A homotopy of maps of triples is a homotopy H such that each H_t is also a map of triples for all $t \in I$. For $n \geq 1$, π_{n+1} has a group structure, the same one as defined in (2). For $n = 0$, there is no group structure.

Lemma 3.6. The space J^n is a deformation retraction on I^{n+1} . Given a set of faces F of I^{n-1} and their union $J_F^{n-1}, J_F^{n-1} \subset I^n$ is the inclusion of a deformation retraction.

Proof. Both deformation retractions are achieved via straight line homotopies. For example, there are unique straight lines between $a = (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and every point in J^n . Similarly for J_F^{n-1} . \square

If $f: (X, A, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, B, y_0)$ is a map of pointed pairs, i.e., the map preserves the base point, then composition with f induces a map $f_*: \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y, B, y_0)$ which is a group homomorphism for $n \geq 2$. In the same fashion, a map of pointed spaces $f: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ induces a group homomorphism $f_*: \pi_n(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y, y_0)$ for $n \geq 1$. Additionally, $g_* \circ f_* = (g \circ f)_*$ and $\text{id}_* = \text{id}$. These observations show that π_n is a functor. Furthermore, $f \simeq g$ implies that $f_* = g_*$ and $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$ is abelian for $n \geq 3$.

Given $f: (I^{n+1}, \partial I^{n+1}, J^n) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$, restricting to I^n , identified with $I^n \times \{1\}$ in I^{n+1} , we have a map $\partial f: (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (A, x_0)$. By considering homotopy classes this induces a **boundary operator** $\partial: \pi_{n+1}(X, A, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_n(A, x_0)$. The boundary operator ∂ is a group homomorphism for $n \geq 1$ and for $n = 0$ we have $\partial([f]) = [f(1)]$. Furthermore, there is a morphism $j_*: \pi_n(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_n(X, A, x_0)$ where we identify $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ with $\pi_n(X, \{x_0\}, x_0)$ and define j_* to be the morphism induced by inclusion $j: (X, \{x_0\}, x_0) \hookrightarrow (X, A, x_0)$.

Theorem 3.7. *Given a pointed pair of pseudotopological spaces (X, A, x_0) , the sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_n(A, x_0) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_n(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{j_*} \pi_n(X, A, x_0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \rightarrow \pi_1(X, A, x_0) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_0(A, x_0) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_0(X, x_0),$$

is exact, where i_, j_* are the maps induced by inclusions $i: (A, x_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ and $j: (X, x_0, x_0) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$, respectively and ∂ is the boundary operator described above.*

Proof. We prove exactness at $\pi_n(A, x_0)$, $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ and $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$, for all n .

Exactness at $\pi_n(A, x_0)$. We show that $\text{im}(\partial) \subset \ker(i_*)$. Let f be a representative of a homotopy class $[f] \in \pi_{n+1}(X, A, x_0)$, $f: (I^{n+1}, \partial I^{n+1}, J^n) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$. By definition, $\partial f = f|_{I^n \times \{1\}}: (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (A, x_0)$. Then $i_*(\partial f): (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ is homotopic to the constant map, relative to the boundary, as the original map f is such a homotopy.

We now show that $\ker(i_*) \subset \text{im}(\partial)$. Let f be a representative of a homotopy class $[f] \in \pi_n(A, x_0)$ such that $i_*f = f: (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ is homotopic to the constant map at x_0, c_{x_0} . Such a homotopy is a map $H: I^n \times I$ satisfying $H_1 = f, H_0 = c_{x_0}$ and $H|_{\partial I^n \times I} = c_{x_0}$. This means that H is a map of triples $H: (I^{n+1}, \partial I^{n+1}, J^n) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$ and $\partial H = f$, by construction.

Exactness at $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$. We show that $\text{im}(j_*) \subset \ker(\partial)$. Let $f: (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ be a representative of a homotopy class $[f] \in \pi_n(X, x_0)$. The map j_*f is a map of triples $f: (I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$. In particular $j_*f|_{I^{n-1} \times \{1\}} = c_{x_0}$, the constant map at x_0 . In other words, $\partial j_*f = c_{x_0}$.

We now show that $\ker(\partial) \subset \text{im}(j_*)$. Let $f: (I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$ be a representative of a homotopy class $[f] \in \pi_n(X, A, x_0)$ which lies in $\ker(\partial)$. In other words, $\partial f: (I^{n-1} \times \{1\}, \partial I^{n-1} \times \{1\}) \rightarrow (A, x_0)$ is homotopic to the constant map c_{x_0} , at x_0 , relative to the boundary. Let H be a homotopy relative to the boundary, between ∂f and c_{x_0} . Define $H': J^n = I^n \times \{0\} \cup \partial I^n \times I \rightarrow X$ by setting $H' = f$ on $I^n \times \{0\}$, the homotopy H on $I^{n-1} \times \{1\} \times I$ and is equal to the constant map c_{x_0} on the rest of $\partial I^n \times I$. Therefore H' is continuous by Proposition 2.22. By Lemma 3.6 there exists a map $F: I^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ which

restricts to H' on J^n , that is a homotopy of maps of triples $(I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$ between f and $F_1: (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$. Hence $[f] = j_*([F_1])$.

Exactness at $\pi_n(X, x_0)$. We show that $\text{im}(i_*) \subset \ker(j_*)$. Let $f: (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (A, x_0)$ be a representative of a homotopy class $[f] \in \pi_n(A, x_0)$. Then $i_*(f) = f: (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ is the map f , with an expanded codomain. Furthermore, $j_*i_*f = f$ as a map of triples $f: (I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$, but from the original definition of f we have $f(I^n) \subset A$. Let $H: I^n \times I \rightarrow I^n$ be the strong deformation retraction from Lemma 3.6 of I^n to J^{n-1} . Then $f \circ H_0 = f$ and $f \circ H_1 = c_{x_0}$, the constant map at x_0 . Thus $f \circ H$ is a homotopy between f and c_{x_0} in $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$.

We now show that $\ker(j_*) \subset \text{im}(i_*)$. Let $f: (I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ be a representative of a homotopy class $[f] \in \pi_n(X, x_0)$ such that $j_*f = f: (I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$ is null homotopic in $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$. Let $H: I^n \times I$ be a homotopy between $f: (I^n, \partial I^n, x_0) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$ and the constant map at x_0 , c_{x_0} . This implies that $H(I^n \times \{1\}) \subset \{x_0\} \subset A$ and $H(\partial I^n \times I) \subset A$. Furthermore, $I^n \times I$ strongly deformation retracts to $I^n \times \{1\} \cup \partial I^n \times I$, which is a "reflected" copy of J^n , by Lemma 3.6. Composing H with this deformation retraction we get that f is homotopic relative to ∂I^n to a map g , whose image is contained in A . \square

3.6. Weak homotopy equivalences. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of pseudotopological spaces is called an **n -equivalence**, if for all $x \in X$, $f_*: \pi_k(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y, f(x))$ is an isomorphism for all $0 \leq k < n$ and a surjective homomorphism for $k = n$. A map of pairs $f: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ is called an **n -equivalence** if $(f_*)^{-1}(\text{Im}(\pi_0(B) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y))) = \text{Im}(\pi_0(A) \rightarrow \pi_0(X))$ and for all $a \in A$, $\pi_k(X, A, a) \rightarrow (Y, B, f(a))$ is an isomorphism for all $0 \leq k < n$ and a surjective homomorphism for $k = n$. Note that the condition of path components is automatically satisfied if both X and Y are path connected. In both the absolute and relative cases, f is called a **weak homotopy equivalence** if f is an n -equivalence for all n . We say that the pair (X, A) of pseudotopological spaces is n -connected if $\pi_i(X, A) = 0$ for all $i \leq n$. The following are inspired by the work of May in [35].

Definition 3.8. A map $i: A \rightarrow X$ of pseudotopological spaces has the **homotopy extension property** (HEP) for a pseudotopological space Y if for each homotopy $h: A \times I \rightarrow Y$ and each map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $fi(a) = h(a, 0)$ there exists a homotopy $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $H(i(a), t) = h(a, t)$. The map $i: A \rightarrow X$ is a **cofibration** if it has the HEP for all pseudotopological spaces Y . The HEP can be summarized with the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X & & \\
 & \nearrow i & & \searrow i_0^X & \\
 A & & & & Y \\
 & \searrow i_0^A & & \nearrow i \times id & \\
 & & A \times I & & \\
 & & & \nearrow h & \\
 & & & & X \times I \dashrightarrow Y
 \end{array}$$

f (curved arrow from X to Y)
 H (dashed arrow from $X \times I$ to Y)
 h (curved arrow from $A \times I$ to Y)

Lemma 3.9. If a pair of topological Hausdorff spaces (X, A) satisfies the HEP in **Top**, then (X, A) satisfies the HEP in **PsTop**.

Proof. Since (X, A) has the HEP in **Top**, there exists a retract $r: X \times I \rightarrow (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$. Let Y be a pseudotopological space and let $f: A \times I \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \times \{0\} \rightarrow Y$ be such that $f(a, 0) = g(a, 0)$ for all $a \in A$. Define $H: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ by

$$H(x, t) = \begin{cases} f \circ r(x, t), & \forall (x, t) \in X \times I \text{ such that } r(x, t) \in A \times I \\ g \circ r(x, t), & \forall (x, t) \text{ such that } r(x, t) \in X \times \{0\} \end{cases}.$$

H is well defined by assumptions on f and g . Since A and X are Hausdorff and the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow X$ is a cofibration in **Top**, A is closed in X . Therefore, $\{A \times I, X \times \{0\}\}$ is a closed cover of $(X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$. Therefore the map $f \cup g: (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous by Proposition 2.22. Hence as $H = (f \cup g) \circ r$, we have that H is continuous. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let X be a finite CW complex and let A be a subcomplex. Then the pair (X, A) has the HEP, in **PsTop**.*

Let X be a pseudotopological space with subspaces A and B . We call the triple $(X; A, B)$ a **triad**. If $\{A, B\}$ is an interior cover for X , we call $(X; A, B)$ an **excisive triad**. A **map of a triads** $f: (X; A, B) \rightarrow (Y; C, D)$ is a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(A) \subset C$ and $f(B) \subset D$. The statement and proof of Theorem 3.11 below is borrowed almost verbatim from Hatcher [23, Proposition 4K.1], however there are a few instances where the arguments might not carry over when going from **Top** to **PsTop**. We write out the proof for completeness sake in the Appendix. This way the reader can verify the arguments carry over, albeit with small modifications.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $f: (X; X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (Y; Y_1, Y_2)$ be a map of excisive triads in **PsTop** such that $f: (X_i, X_1 \cap X_2) \rightarrow (Y_i, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ is an n -equivalence for $i = 1, 2$. Then $f: (X, X_i) \rightarrow (Y, Y_i)$ is an n -equivalence for $i = 1, 2$.*

Corollary 3.12. *Let $f: (X; X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (Y; Y_1, Y_2)$ be a map of excisive triads such that $f: X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \cap Y_2$, $f: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$, and $f: X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ are weak equivalences. Then f is a weak equivalence.*

Corollary 3.13. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of pseudotopological spaces and let \mathcal{U} be a finite interior cover for Y . Let \mathcal{V} be the the closure of \mathcal{U} under finite intersections. That is*

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V \subset X \mid \exists U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}, V = \bigcap_n U_n\}.$$

If $f^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$ is a weak equivalence for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$, then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2\}$ is an interior cover of Y consisting of two elements. Note that by Theorem 2.16, $\{f^{-1}(U_1), f^{-1}(U_2)\}$ is an interior cover of X . Then the statement follows from Corollary 3.12. The general case of finite interior covers can be reduced to the cover by two elements in the following way. Given a finite interior cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$, we create a new interior cover by two elements by defining $U'_1 = U_1$ and $U'_2 = \bigcup_{i=2}^n U_i$. \square

Remark 3.14. In the category of topological spaces, **Top**, the statement of Corollary 3.13 is true for arbitrary open covers [15, Theorem 6.7.11]. The argument goes as follows. By compactness of I^n , we can reduce the case to finite open covers and then apply

Theorem 3.11. However, since we are working with interior covers, in **Cl** or **PsTop**, compactness means that we only get a finite subcover (Section 2.5), which might not be an interior cover and thus we cannot apply Theorem 3.11 to the subcover.

3.7. Singular (co)homology of pseudotopological spaces. The n -dimensional standard simplex is the topological space

$$|\Delta^n| = \{(t_0, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1, t_i \geq 0\},$$

with the topology inherited from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . For a set of points $v_0, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we denote by $[v_0, \dots, v_m]$ the convex hull of v_0, \dots, v_m in \mathbb{R}^k . Thus, we have $|\Delta^n| = [e_0, \dots, e_n]$ where the e_i 's are the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let X be a pseudotopological space. A map $\sigma : |\Delta^n| \rightarrow X$ of pseudotopological spaces is called a **singular n -simplex**. We denote by $C_n(X)$ the free abelian group generated by all singular n -simplices, that is $C_n(X) = \mathbb{Z}\langle\{\sigma : |\Delta^n| \rightarrow X \mid \sigma \text{ is continuous}\}\rangle$. An element $c \in C_n(X)$ is called a **singular n -chain**. The **singular boundary operator** ∂_n is a group homomorphism $\partial_n : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X)$ defined on singular simplices by

$$\partial_n \sigma = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \sigma|_{[e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_n]}$$

where the notation \hat{e}_i means that e_i is excluded. Then, ∂_n is extended linearly to all of $C_n(X)$. If $c \in \ker \partial_n$ we call the chain c a **cycle**. If $c \in \text{Im} \partial_n$, we call c a **boundary**. For $n = 0$, ∂_0 is set to be the zero morphism. A straightforward computation, just like in **Top**, shows that $\partial_{n-1} \partial_n = 0$ and thus we have a chain complex of abelian groups $(C_\bullet(X), \partial_\bullet)$. We denote the homology groups of this chain complex by $H_n(X)$ and we call them the **singular homology groups** of X . In particular, by definition the abelian group $H_n(X) = \ker \partial_n / \text{Im} \partial_{n+1}$ are the n -cycles modulo boundaries.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of pseudotopological spaces. If $\sigma : |\Delta^n| \rightarrow X$ is a singular n -simplex on X , then $f \circ \sigma$ is a singular simplex on Y . Thus we have an induced chain map $f_\# : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_n(Y)$. One can show this map respects boundary operators and therefore induces a map $f_* : H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(Y)$ on homology.

Let X be a pseudotopological space and let A be a subspace. The chains on A are also chains on X , that is there is an inclusion of subgroups $C_n(A) \hookrightarrow C_n(X)$. Let $C_n(X, A)$, be the quotient group $C_n(X)/C_n(A)$. The boundary map $\partial_n : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X)$ takes $C_n(A)$ to $C_{n-1}(A)$, hence it induces a quotient boundary map $\partial_n : C_n(X, A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X, A)$. Therefore we have a chain complex of abelian groups $(C_\bullet(X, A), \partial_\bullet)$. The **relative singular homology groups** $H_n(X, A)$, are the homology groups of the this chain complex. Standard arguments from homological algebra give the following:

Theorem 3.15. *Given a pair of pseudotopological spaces (X, A) , there exists a long exact sequence of homology groups:*

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{n-1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_0(X, A) \rightarrow 0$$

where $i : C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(X)$ is the inclusion and $j : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_n(X, A)$ is the quotient map.

Let G be an abelian group. We define $C_n(X; G)$ to be the singular chains on X with coefficients in G , that is $C_n(X; G) = C_n(X) \otimes G$. We still get a chain complex $(C_\bullet(X; G), \partial_\bullet)$

whose homology groups we denote by $H_n(X; G)$. We call these the singular homology groups of X with coefficients in G .

For a chain complex of abelian groups $(C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$, let $(C_G^\bullet, \delta^\bullet)$ be its associated cochain complex, with coefficients in G . Elements of C_G^n are called n -**cochains** value in G . More specifically, $C_G^n = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(C_n, G)$. The **coboundary operator** $\delta^{n-1}: C_G^{n-1} \rightarrow C_G^n$ is the dual of the boundary operator; for a cochain $\alpha \in C_G^{n-1}$ we have $\delta^{n-1}(\alpha) = \alpha \circ \delta_n$. As $\partial\partial = 0$ it then follows that $\delta\delta = 0$ and thus $(C_G^\bullet, \delta^\bullet)$ is indeed a cochain of groups with coefficients in G . The cohomology groups of this cochain complex are called **singular cohomology groups with coefficients in G** of X . We denote these cohomology groups by $H^n(X; G)$.

Applying this construction to singular chain complexes of pseudotopological spaces, we obtain singular cochains of pseudotopological spaces. That is, we define $C^n(X; G) = C_G^n(X)$. For a pair of spaces (X, A) , the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(X) \rightarrow C_n(X)/C_n(A) \rightarrow 0$$

dualizes to the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_n(X, A; G) \rightarrow C_n(X; G) \rightarrow C_n(A; G) \rightarrow 0$$

where by definition $C_n(X, A; G) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(C_n(X)/C_n(A), G) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(C_n(X, A), G)$. As we have a short exact sequence of cochain complexes, standard arguments in homological algebra give us the following.

Theorem 3.16. *Given a pair of pseudotopological spaces (X, A) , there exists a long exact sequence of cohomology groups:*

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^n(X, A; G) \rightarrow H^n(X; G) \rightarrow H^n(A; G) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X, A; G) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X; G) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Given a map of pseudotopological spaces $f: X \rightarrow Y$, composing with f induces a map $f^*: H^n(Y; G) \rightarrow H^n(X; G)$. The following is an expected result.

Theorem 3.17. *If $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ are homotopic maps of pseudotopological spaces, then $f_* = g_*: H_n(X; G) \rightarrow H_n(Y; G)$ and $f^* = g^*: H^n(Y; G) \rightarrow H^n(X; G)$ for any $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. The proof is a verbatim replication of a standard one used for topological spaces, for example see [46, Theorem 4.4.9]. \square

Theorem 3.18. *A weak homotopy equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of pseudotopological spaces induces isomorphisms $f_*: H_n(X; G) \rightarrow H_n(Y; G)$ and $f^*: H^n(Y; G) \rightarrow H^n(X; G)$ for all n and all coefficient groups G .*

Proof. Replace Y by the mapping cylinder M_f and note that from the long exact homotopy, homology and cohomology exact sequences of the pair (M_f, X) (Theorems 3.7, 3.15 and 3.16), it suffices to show that if (A, B) is an n -connected pair of path connected pseudotopological spaces, then $H_i(A, B; G) = 0$ and $H^i(A, B; G) = 0$ for all $i \leq n$ and all G .

Let $\alpha = \sum_j n_j \sigma_j$ be a relative cycle representative of an element in $H_k(A, B; G)$, for some singular k simplices $\sigma_j: |\Delta^k| \rightarrow A$. Build a finite Δ complex K from a disjoint union of k simplices, one for each σ_j , by identifying all $(k-1)$ dimensional faces of these k simplices for which the corresponding restrictions of the σ_j 's are equal. This Δ

complex has its usual topological structure by Proposition 2.40. Thus the σ_j 's induce a map $\sigma: K \rightarrow A$. Since α is a relative cycle, $\partial\alpha$ is a chain in A .

Let $L \subset K$ be the subcomplex consisting of $(k-1)$ simplices corresponding to the singular $(k-1)$ simplices in $\partial\alpha$, that is $\sigma(L) \subset A$. The chain α is the image under the chain map $\sigma_\#$ of a chain $\tilde{\alpha}$ in K , with $\partial\tilde{\alpha}$ a chain in L . In relative homology we then have $\sigma_*[\tilde{\alpha}] = [\alpha]$. If we assume $\pi_i(A, B) = 0$ for $i \leq k$, then $\sigma: (K, L) \rightarrow (A, B)$ is homotopic rel L to a map with image in B . This fact was shown when arguing for exactness at $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.7. Hence $\sigma_*[\tilde{\alpha}]$ is in the image of the map $H_k(A, A; G) \rightarrow H_k(A, B; G)$, and since $H_k(A, A; G) = 0$ we conclude that $[\alpha] = \sigma_*[\tilde{\alpha}] = 0$. This proves the result for homology, and the result for cohomology then follows by the universal coefficient theorem (the universal coefficient theorem is an entirely algebraic result involving chain complexes and so it doesn't depend on the category of spaces we are working with). \square

4. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we show our main result. Recall **Gph** and **DiGph**, the categories of graphs and digraphs with graph morphisms, respectively. As per the discussion in Section 2, we can assume that our graphs are reflexive relations by associating them with their spatial digraphs.

4.1. Directed Vietoris-Rips complexes. Let $V =$ be a finite set. An **(abstract) simplicial complex** K on V is a collection of nonempty subsets of V such that

- (1) If $\sigma \in K$ and $\tau \subseteq \sigma$, then $\tau \in K$.
- (2) $\{v\} \in K$ for every $v \in V$.

The set V is called the **vertex set** of K . If $\sigma \in K$ with cardinality $n+1$, for some $n \geq 0$, we say that σ is an n -**simplex** of K . If K' is any other simplicial complex, a **simplicial map** $f: K \rightarrow K'$ is a function from the vertex set of K to the vertex set of K' such that if σ is a simplex of K , then $f(\sigma)$ is a simplex of K' . If $\tau \subset \sigma$ we say that τ is a **face** of σ .

We say that L is a **subcomplex** of K if $L \subseteq K$ and L is a simplicial complex. In addition, if every simplex of K whose vertices are in L is also a simplex of L , we say that L is a **full subcomplex** of K . Let **Simp** denote the category of abstract simplicial complexes with morphisms given by simplicial maps.

Let K be a simplicial complex. We think of K as a category whose objects are the simplices in K and there is a unique morphism $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ if and only if $\sigma \subset \tau$. Pick a total order on the vertex set of K , V , and define a functor $F: K \rightarrow \mathbf{PsTop}$ in the following way. For any n -simplex $\sigma \in K$, let $F(\sigma) = |\Delta^n|$ be the standard n -simplex. The order on the vertex set then specifies a unique bijection between the elements of σ and vertices of $|\Delta^n|$, ordered in the usual way $e_0 < e_1 < \dots < e_n$. If $\tau \subset \sigma$ is a face of σ , then this bijection specifies a unique m -dimensional face of $|\Delta^n|$. Define $F(\tau) \rightarrow F(\sigma)$ to be the unique affine linear embedding of $|\Delta^m|$ as that distinguished face of $|\Delta^n|$, such that the map on vertices is order-preserving. The **geometric realization** of K , $|K|$ is defined as

$$|K| = \text{colim}_{\sigma \in K} F(\sigma).$$

In particular $|K| = \coprod_{\sigma \in K} F(\sigma) / \sim$, where $x \sim F(\tau \subset \sigma)(x)$, that is a point $x \in F(\tau)$ is identified with its image under the inclusion $F(\tau \subset \sigma): F(\tau) \hookrightarrow F(\sigma)$, for all $\tau \subset \sigma$.

Note that if K is finite, its geometric realization $|K|$ is an example of a finite CW complex and is thus a topological space by Proposition 2.40.

Definition 4.1. For $(X, E) \in \mathbf{DiGph}$, let $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$, the **directed Vietoris-Rips complex**, be the set (simplicial complex)

$$\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E) = \{\sigma \subset X \mid \exists \text{ an ordering of points in } \sigma, \sigma = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\} \text{ with } i < j \implies x_i E x_j\}$$

This is also sometimes called the **directed clique complex** of (X, E) [33]. If (X, E) had no bidirected edges, $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ would correspond to totally ordered subsets of (X, E) . Thus $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ is a generalization of the order complex assigned to a partially ordered set. The order complex was shown by McCord [36] to be weakly homotopy equivalent to its underlying poset.

For $(X, E) \in \mathbf{Gph}$ let $\text{VR}(X, E)$ be the set of clique subgraphs of (X, E) , that is,

$$\text{VR}(X, E) = \{\sigma \subset X \mid \forall x, x' \in \sigma, x E x'\}.$$

We call $\text{VR}(X, E)$ the **Vietoris-Rips complex** of (X, E) . This is also sometimes called the **clique complex** of (X, E) .

Note that $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ and $\text{VR}(X, E)$ coincide when (X, E) is a graph. See Figure 1 for some examples of the $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ construction.




FIGURE 1. Three different digraphs (top) and the geometric realizations of their respective directed Vietoris-Rips complexes (bottom).

Lemma 4.2. Let (X, E) be an digraph (resp. a graph). For each $x \in X$, if $U_x = \{y \in X \mid y E x\}$, then $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(U_x)|$ (resp. $|\text{VR}(U_x)|$) is a cone with apex corresponding to x and is therefore contractible (here we consider U_x as an induced subgraph of (X, E)).

Proof. We prove the directed case, and the undirected case will thus follow. Let $x \in X$, $U_x = \{y \in X \mid y E x\}$, and $V_x = U_x \setminus \{x\}$. We prove that $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(U_x)$ is a cone with apex x over the simplicial complex $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(V_x)$. Note that every simplex n -simplex of $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(V_x)$ has an ordering of vertices of the form y_0, \dots, y_n , where $y_i E y_j$, for $i < j$ in (X, E) . Furthermore, since $y_i \in V_x$ for all i , we also have that $y_i E x$. Therefore $\{y_0, \dots, y_n, x\}$ is a simplex in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(U_x)$. Furthermore every simplex of $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(U_x) \setminus \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(V_x)$ is of the form $\{y_0, \dots, y_n, x\}$

where y_0, \dots, y_n is an ordering of vertices of a simplex of V_x . Therefore $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(U_x)|$ is cone with apex at x and therefore contractible. \square

Proposition 4.3. $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(-): \mathbf{DiGph} \rightarrow \mathbf{Simp}$ and $\text{VR}(-): \mathbf{Gph} \rightarrow \mathbf{Simp}$ are functors.

Proof. We prove that $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(-)$ and $\text{VR}(-)$ send morphisms to themselves, that is if $f: (X, E) \rightarrow (Y, F)$ is a morphism of graphs, the induced morphisms by the functors will be the same set theoretic function f . We prove the directed case and the undirected case will follow immediately.

Let $f: (X, E) \rightarrow (Y, F)$ be a graph homomorphism of digraphs. Let $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$. Then there exists an ordering of points in σ , $\sigma = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $x_i E x_j$ for all $i < j$. Since f is a graph morphism, we have $f(x_i) E f(x_j)$ for all $i < j$ and thus $f(\sigma)$ is a simplex in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(Y, F)$. \square

Lemma 4.4. If A is an induced subgraph of a digraph X , then $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A)$ is a full subcomplex of $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X)$. If A is an induced subgraph of a graph X , then $\text{VR}(A)$ is a full subcomplex of $\text{VR}(X)$.

Proof. We prove the directed case. The undirected case will then follow. Let $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X)$ be such that $\sigma \subset A$, i.e., the vertices of σ are in A . Let $\sigma = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ be an ordering of elements in σ that gave us that $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X)$. Then $x_i E x_j$ in X , for $i < j$. As A is an induced subgraph of X , we also have $x_i E_A x_j$, for each $i < j$. Therefore, $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A)$. \square

Let (X, E) be a digraph. For each $x \in X$, let $U_x = \{y \mid y E x\}$. Since E is reflexive, $x \in U_x$ so that $x \notin X \setminus U_x$. Furthermore, every $y \in X$ such that $y E x$ satisfies $y \in U_x$, hence $y \notin X \setminus U_x$. It follows that $x \notin c_E(X \setminus U_x)$, hence $x \in X \setminus c_E(X \setminus U_x)$. Thus U_x is a neighborhood of x , in fact it is the smallest neighborhood of x . It follows that $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$ is an interior cover of (X, c_E) .

Lemma 4.5. If (X, E) is a digraph or a graph and $x \in X$, then U_x (as an induced subgraph) is contractible.

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 3.1 by setting $U_x = Y$ and $x = a$. \square

4.2. Definition of f_X . Here we define a map $f_X: |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)| \rightarrow (X, E)$ between the geometric realization of the directed Vietoris-Rips complex and the its underlying digraph, that we will later show is a weak homotopy equivalence in \mathbf{PsTop} . Naturally, the map f_X will depend on E and not just X but that will be clear from the definition, however there are choices involved with defining f_X and it is not unique in any way. In order to keep the notation somewhat simple we have opted for f_X and not $f_{(X, E)}$ as the symbol. The relation E will always be clear from context. The same definition of f_X will work for graphs as well. Thus, let (X, E) be a given digraph.

Let $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ be an n -simplex in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$. Here we are simply enumerating the vertices of σ and this enumeration has nothing to do with an ordering that was used to determine that $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$. The geometric realization of σ , $|\sigma|$ is the standard n -simplex $|\Delta^n|$ (which is guaranteed by Proposition 2.40 to indeed be homeomorphic to $|\Delta^n|$). Thus the v_i 's can be viewed as a finite collection of points in Euclidean space

in general position, yielding a Voronoi diagram subdivision of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Thus to each v_i there is an assigned $V(v_i)$, its Voronoi cell, which is geometrically a convex polytope of points in \mathbb{R}^n that are closest to v_i , or lie on an intersection of hyperplane bisectors among several of v_i 's. Let $\sigma_i = V(v_i) \cap |\sigma|$, that is σ_i is the intersection of $V(v_i)$ with the n -simplex spanned by the v_i 's. Since $|\sigma|$ is also convex, each σ_i is convex.

Let bar_σ , called the barycenter of $|\sigma|$, be the point in $|\sigma|$ that is equidistant to all the v_i 's. If σ is a 0-simplex, say $\sigma = \{v\}$, the barycenter is the point v . For $\tau \subset \sigma$, let $\sigma_\tau = \bigcap_{i, v_i \in \tau} \sigma_i = \bigcap_{i, v_i \in \tau} \partial \sigma_i$; that is, σ_τ are the points in $|\sigma|$ that are equidistant from all the vertices in τ . In particular, $\sigma_\sigma = \{\text{bar}_\sigma\}$.

We define f_X by induction on the n -skeleta of $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$. Recall that the n -skeleton of $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$ is the geometric realization of the abstract simplicial subcomplex of $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ consisting of only m -simplices in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$, $m \leq n$.

Suppose that f_X is defined on the $(n - 1)$ -skeleton of $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$, $n \geq 1$. Let $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ be an n -simplex, where now we have assumed a specific ordering of vertices that was used to determine that $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$, i.e., we have $v_i E v_j$ for $i < j$ in (X, E) . Since f_X is already defined on all m -simplices, $m \leq n$, we only need to extend f_X on the interior of $|\sigma|$, in $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$. We define $f_X(x)$, $x \in \text{interior}(|\sigma|)$ by considering two cases:

- (1) If x has a closest point in $|\sigma|$, say v_i , that is $x \in \text{interior}(\sigma_i)$, we define $f_X(x) = v_i$.
- (2) Let τ be the largest subset of σ such that $x \in \sigma_\tau \cap \text{interior}(|\sigma|)$. Set $f_X(x) = v_k$ where $k = \sup\{i \mid v_i \in \tau\}$. In particular, if $\tau = \sigma$ then $x = \text{bar}_\sigma$ and $f_X(x) = v_n$.

Geometrically, we are mapping the points closest to v_i in $|\sigma|$ to v_i . When considering points on the boundaries of Voronoi cells, we map the points to the point in σ with the largest index that is involved with the boundary. See Figure 2 for a colored illustration, where we have chosen a total ordering of vertices of a digraph and a graph with 3 elements that is consistent across all the skeleta of the resulting directed Vietoris-Rips complex.




FIGURE 2. Example of a map f_X on a $|\Delta^2| = |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)| = |\text{VR}(X, F)|$ (left) produced from the digraph (X, E) (middle) or the graph (X, F) (right).

However, because faces of simplices in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ could have been considered with different orderings as we move up the n -skeleta, the map f_X could send points in lower

dimensional faces of σ_τ that are not in the interior of $|\sigma|$ in $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$ to different choices of vertices in (X, E) . See Example 4.6.

Example 4.6. Let (X, E) be the clique graph on 3 vertices, $X = \{x, y, z\}$. Then $|\text{VR}(X, E)|$ is a $|\Delta^2|$. Suppose that for the triangle $[x, y, z]$ we have picked the ordering $x = v_0, y = v_1, z = v_2$, that for the edge $[x, y]$ we picked the ordering $x = w_1, y = w_0$, that for the edge $[x, z]$ we picked the ordering $x = u_1, z = u_0$ and that for the edge $[y, z]$ we picked the ordering $y = q_1, z = q_0$. Then the definition of f_X will be different from the one given in Figure 2 for the same clique graph. See Figure 3 for a colored illustration.




FIGURE 3. Example of a map f_X on a $|\Delta^2| = |\text{VR}(X, E)|$ (left) produced from the graph (X, E) (right).

We claim that f_X is continuous, irregardless of the different choices in defining f_X due to potentially different orderings of vertices considered in different skeleta. Furthermore, as $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$ is a topological space and we view (X, E) as a closure space, f_X is a morphism in **Cl**.

Proposition 4.7. *The function $f_X: |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)| \rightarrow (X, E)$ is a continuous map in **Cl**.*

Proof. We prove by induction on n that f_X is continuous on the n -skeleton of $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$. Suppose $n = 0$. The 0-skeleton of $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$ are the vertices of X with the discrete topology and any set map out of that space is continuous in **Cl**. In particular, f_X is continuous on the 0-skeleton.

Now suppose that the map f_X is continuous on the $(n-1)$ -skeleton, $n \geq 1$. It is sufficient to show that the restrictions of f_X to all $|\sigma|$, for σ an n -simplex in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ are continuous, since then f_X will be continuous on the n -skeleton by the pasting lemma (Proposition 2.22). Let $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_n\} \in |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$, where $v_i E v_j$, for $i < j$. We show that f_X is continuous at all $x \in |\sigma|$, relying on Theorem 2.16. For $v \in (X, E)$, its minimal neighborhood, when considering (X, E) as a closure space, is the set $U_v = \{v' \in X \mid v' E v\}$. There are several cases to consider. In Case 1, x has a unique vertex v_i in σ that is closest to it and in that case x belongs to σ_i and is not on the boundary of any σ_j 's. The other possibility is that x could be equidistant from multiple vertices in $|\sigma|$. This possibility gives two additional cases. In Case 2, x is in the interior of $|\sigma|$ in $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$. In Case 3, x belongs to a lower dimensional face of $|\sigma|$.

- (1) Suppose we are in Case 1. Then x is in the interior of σ_i in $|\sigma|$ and $f_X(x) = v_i$. Since the interior of σ_i in σ is open in $|\sigma|$, we can find a small enough open neighborhood of x , W , such that $W \subset \text{interior}(\sigma_i)$. Thus $f(W) = \{v_i\} \subset U_{v_i}$ and hence f_X is continuous at x .
- (2) Suppose we are in Case 2. Let τ be the largest subset of σ such that $x \in \sigma_\tau$ and x is also in the interior of $|\sigma|$ in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$. Let $v \in \tau \subset \sigma$ be the vertex in the ordering we have chosen in σ with the highest index. Thus $f_X(x) = v$. By definition, $\sigma_\tau = \bigcap_{i, v_i \in \tau} \partial\sigma_i$. Hence, every neighborhood of x in σ will have an intersection with all σ_i (and their interiors), for i such that $v_i \in \tau$. Let W be a small enough open neighborhood of x , such that W only intersects the σ_i 's for $v_i \in \tau$, and no other Voronoi cells. Note that if $\tau = \sigma$, then $x = \text{bar}_\sigma$ and W will intersect all the Voronoi cells in σ . Thus, $f_X(W) = \{v_i \mid v_i \in \tau\}$. Furthermore, for i such that $v_i \in \tau$, we have $v_i E v$ by the choice of v . Thus $\{v_i \in \tau\} \subset U_v$ and therefore $f_X(W) \subset U_v$. It thus follows that f_X is continuous at x .
- (3) Suppose we are in Case 3. Let τ be the largest subset of σ such that $x \in \sigma_\tau$ and x belongs to a lower dimensional face of $|\sigma|$. Let $k = \sup\{i \mid v_i \in \tau\}$ be the highest index of vertices from σ that are in τ . Then $v_i E v_k$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. Assume that $\sigma' \subset \sigma$ is the smallest face of σ such that $x \in |\sigma'|$. Note that σ' cannot be a single point, as otherwise x would not be equidistant from multiple points in σ . Since $x \in \sigma_\tau$, we have that $\tau \subset \sigma'$. The maximality of τ in σ implies that τ is also the largest subset of σ' such that $x \in \sigma'_\tau$. When constructing $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ up to the $(n-1)$ -skeleton, the ordering used to determine $\sigma' \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ and the definition of f_X on $|\sigma'|$ could have been different from the one we have in σ . Suppose $|\sigma'| = m+1 < n$ and let $\sigma' = \{w_0, \dots, w_m\}$ be an ordering of vertices in σ' that was used to determine that $\sigma' \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$. In other words, we have $w_i E w_j$ for all $i < j$. Let $l = \sup\{i \mid w_i \in \tau\}$ be the highest index of vertices from σ' that are in τ . Then by definition, $f_X(x) = w_l$. Since $\sigma_\tau = \bigcap_{i, v_i \in \tau} \sigma_i$, any neighborhood of x intersects σ_i (and its interior), for all i such that $v_i \in \tau$. Let W be a small enough neighborhood of x in $|\sigma|$ such that W only intersects the σ_i 's for $v_i \in \tau$ and no other Voronoi cells. From the ordering and labeling of vertices in σ and σ' and the definition of τ , we have $v_i E w_l$ for all $v_i \in \tau$. Therefore, $f_X(W) = \{v_i \mid v_i \in \tau\} \subset U_{w_l} = \{v' \in X \mid v' E w_l\}$. Therefore f_X is continuous at x . here

□

When (X, E) is a graph, we can impose a total order on the vertices of X , and enumerate them as $\{x_0, \dots, x_N\}$. The following is an immediate consequence of the definition of f_X and the fact we can make the choices of f_X on different skeleta we were making inductively consistent with the chosen total order as every edge in (X, E) is bidirected.

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ be an n -simplex in $\text{VR}(X, E)$, where the order is gotten by restricting the total order on X . Then f_X restricted to $|\sigma|$ has can be defined as*

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} v_i, & x \text{ is in the interior of } \sigma_i \\ v_j, & j = \sup\{k \mid x \in \partial\sigma_k\} \end{cases},$$

and this definition makes f_X a continuous map of closure spaces.

4.3. f_X is a weak homotopy equivalence. Here we show that the map $f_X: |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)| \rightarrow (X, E)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence in **PsTop**. For $A \subset X$, let (A, E) be the induced subgraph of (X, E) on A (We used the notation (A, E_A) for induced subgraphs before, but from now on for simplicity we write (A, E)).

Lemma 4.9. Let (X, E) be a digraph and let $A \subset X$. Then $f_X^{-1}(A)$ deformation retracts to $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)|$ in $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)$ is a full subcomplex of $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$. Let $C = X \setminus A$ be the complement of A , and consider the induced subgraph (C, E) . Then $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(C, E)$ is the set of simplices in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ whose geometric realization is disjoint from $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)|$. By Lemma 4.4, $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(C, E)$ is also a full subcomplex of $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$. Therefore for $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$, if the vertices of σ are in A , then $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)$. If the vertices of σ are in $C = X \setminus A$, then $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(C, E)$. Otherwise if σ has vertices both in A and C , then the geometric realization of σ , is a join $|\sigma| = |\alpha| * |\beta|$ of geometric realizations of a simplex $\alpha \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)$ and a simplex $\beta \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(C, E)$.

We define a retract $r: f_X^{-1}(A) \rightarrow |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)|$. Let $a \in f_X^{-1}(A)$. Then a is in the geometric realization of some simplex $\sigma \in \overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)$ with the property that $|\sigma| \cap |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)| \neq \emptyset$. If $|\sigma| \subset |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)|$, define $r(a) = a$. Otherwise, the vertices in σ lie both in A and C . Write $\sigma = \alpha \sqcup \beta$ where the vertices of α are in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)$ and the vertices of β are in $\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(C, E)$. As noted above, $|\sigma|$ is a join of $|\alpha|$ and $|\beta|$. Since we are in the case where $a \notin |\alpha|$, a lies on a unique line segment joining a point of $|\alpha|$ to a point of $|\beta|$. Denote the end point of this line segment that lies in $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)|$ by $s_\sigma(a)$. By construction of f_X , note that $f_X^{-1}(A) \cap |\sigma| = (\bigcup_{i \in \alpha} \text{interior}(\sigma_i)) \cup N$, where $N = \{x \in |\sigma| \mid \exists i \in \alpha, x \in \partial\sigma_i, f_X(x) \in A\}$ and σ_i are the Voronoi cells of $|\sigma|$ associated to vertices in α . The set N are the points of the boundaries of the Voronoi cells corresponding to vertices from α that are in $f_X^{-1}(A)$. Because $a \in f_X^{-1}(A) \cap |\sigma|$, any point on the line segment between a and $s_\sigma(a)$ also lies in $f_X^{-1}(A) \cap |\sigma|$ as we are moving closer and closer to $|\alpha|$, and σ_i being the Voronoi cells guarantee that. Define $r(a) = s_\sigma(a)$ and observe that r is the desired retract. Then the straight line homotopy is a homotopy between identity on $f^{-1}(A)$ and r and thus the result follows. \square

Theorem 4.10. For each finite digraph (X, E) there exist a weak homotopy equivalence $f_X: |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)| \rightarrow (X, E)$ in **PsTop** (f_X is a morphism in **Cl**, but our homotopy theory is defined in **PsTop**).

Proof. We proceed by induction on the cardinality of X , $n = |X|$. If $n = 1$ the claim is immediate. Suppose the claim is true for any digraph with cardinality $m < n$. Let (X, E) be a digraph with $|X| = n$. Consider the interior cover of (X, c_E) , $\mathcal{U} = \{U_x\}_{x \in X}$, where $U_x = \{y \in X \mid yEx\}$. If $\exists x \in X$ such that the cardinality of U_x is n , $|U_x| = n$, then $U_x = X$. By Lemma 3.1 it follows that (X, c_E) is contractible. Furthermore, as $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(U_x, E)|$ is always a cone by Lemma 4.2, and hence contractible, the claim follows. Now suppose that $\forall x \in X$, $|U_x| < n$. Let \mathcal{U}' be the closure of the collection \mathcal{U} under finite intersections, $\mathcal{U}' = \{A \subset X \mid \exists U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{U}, A = \bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i\}$. For each $A \in \mathcal{U}'$, $f_X^{-1}(A)$

deformation retracts to $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)|$ in $|\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(X, E)|$ by Lemma 4.9. Furthermore, by the induction hypothesis we have that $f_A: |\overrightarrow{\text{VR}}(A, E)| \rightarrow A$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Thus, by Corollary 3.13 the result follows. \square

5. DISCUSSION

We discuss the implications of this manuscript with several examples from applied topology.

Example 5.1. In [38], the author develops a Mayer–Vietoris long exact sequences and other tools to compute singular homology for closure spaces. In particular, the homology of the closure space of roots of unity (\mathbb{Z}_n, c_m) , where c_m is the m -nearest neighbors closure on the integers modulo n , is studied. One question that was left open was to compute the homology of (\mathbb{Z}_6, c_2) . Using Theorems 3.18 and 4.10, we see that

$$H_i(\mathbb{Z}_6, c_2) \cong H_i(|\text{VR}(\mathbb{Z}_6, c_2)|) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } i = 0, 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

since one computes that $|\text{VR}(\mathbb{Z}_6, c_2)|$ is the regular octahedron. More generally we can now compute the singular homology of the closure space (\mathbb{Z}_n, c_m) for any values of n and m by considering the associated Vietoris-Rips complex.

Example 5.2. Digital homotopy theory studies topological properties of digital images [29]. A digital image X is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^n along with an adjacency relation where two point \vec{x}, \vec{y} are adjacent if $|x_i - y_i| \leq 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. A digital image may thus be thought of as a graph whose vertices are points in \mathbb{Z}^n with edge relations constrained by the lattice. Both a digital π_1 [28] and digital π_2 [32] have been studied, and it has been shown that $\pi_1(C_n) \cong \pi_2(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ where C_n is any cycle of length ≥ 4 and S^2 is the digital image given by $\{\pm \mathbf{e}_1, \pm \mathbf{e}_2, \pm \mathbf{e}_3\}$ where \mathbf{e}_i is the i^{th} standard basis vector in \mathbb{Z}^3 . More generally, the authors in [31] showed that the digital fundamental group of a digital image is isomorphic to the edge group of its clique complex. This latter group is well-known to be isomorphic to the fundamental group of the geometric realization of the clique complex so that the digital fundamental group of a digital image X (defined purely in terms of digital homotopy classes of digital loops) turns out to be computed by simply computing the classical fundamental group of the geometric realization of X .

If we instead view a digital image as a closure space, we may associate an alternative version of homotopy groups as well as homology groups to digital images, namely, the classical homotopy and homology groups of the closure space. Theorem 4.10 may be used to compute these alternative homotopy and homology groups by constructing the Vietoris-Rips complex of the graph. The result mentioned in the above paragraph then implies that the alternate fundamental group of X coincides with its digital fundamental group. Whether or not the higher digital homotopy groups coincide with the higher homotopy groups induced from X as a closure space is unknown. In particular, the digital image S^2 described above as a closure space is homeomorphic to (\mathbb{Z}_6, c_2) whose Vietoris-Rips complex is the regular octahedron. Thus, in this case we also have an isomorphism of digital homotopy groups and the classical homotopy groups in degree 2 as well. We conjecture that we have isomorphisms of all higher homotopy groups, for all digital images.

Example 5.3. The Vietoris-Rips and the Čech complex are two commonly used constructions in topological data analysis [21, 42]. More specifically if (X, d) is a metric space and $P \subset X$ is a finite point sample, the (ambient) Čech complex at scale $r \geq 0$ of P is the abstract simplicial complex defined by

$$\check{C}_r(P) = \{\sigma \subset P \mid \bigcap_{v \in \sigma} B_r(v) \neq \emptyset\},$$

where $B_r(v)$ is the closed ball of radius r in (X, d) centered at p . The Vietoris-Rips complex at scale r of P is obtained by constructing a graph on P , (P, E) by declaring that xEy if and only if $d(x, y) \leq r$, and then taking the clique complex of this graph. Computing the Čech complex is hard due to the complicated combinatorics and thus the Vietoris-Rips complex is a preferred choice, as one only needs to consider pairwise distances in the construction. The famous nerve theorem states that for a paracompact Hausdorff topological space X , the Čech nerve of a “good” cover is homotopy equivalent to X . If for example (X, d) is the euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m , then the Čech complex described above is precisely the nerve of the cover by closed balls of radius r , centered at points of P . If the cover is “good” meaning that all finite intersections of balls are contractible, the nerve theorem says that $\bigcup_{p \in P} B_r(p)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\check{C}_r(P)$. Thus, even though the Čech complex is hard to compute, it is considered desirable as it preserves the homotopy type of $\bigcup_{p \in P} B_r(p)$, if the cover is good. On the other hand, the Vietoris-Rips complex in general will not have the same homotopy type as $\bigcup_{p \in P} B_r(p)$ [1], even if the cover is good. Our work instead shows that the (directed) Vietoris-Rips complex always preserves the weak homotopy type of the underlying graph (P, E) .

APPENDIX A. PROOFS ABOUT INTERIORS OPERATORS

We have the following characterization of continuity of maps of closure spaces in terms of interiors of sets.

Lemma A.1. A function $f: (X, c) \rightarrow (Y, d)$ between closure spaces is continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(i_d(A)) \subset i_c(f^{-1}(A))$ for all $A \subset Y$.

Proof. Suppose that f is continuous, that is $f(c(A)) \subset d(f(A))$ for all $A \subset X$. Since closure operations on sets are order-preserving relations under \subset , we have that for all $A \subset Y$, $c(f^{-1}(A)) \subset f^{-1}(d(A))$ is an equivalent characterization of continuity. Let $A \subset Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(i_d(A)) &= f^{-1}(Y \setminus d(Y \setminus A)) = f^{-1}(Y) \setminus f^{-1}(d(Y \setminus A)) = \\ &= X \setminus f^{-1}(d(Y \setminus A)) \subset X \setminus c(f^{-1}(Y \setminus A)) = \\ &= X \setminus c(f^{-1}(Y) \setminus f^{-1}(A)) = X \setminus c(X \setminus f^{-1}(A)) = i_c(f^{-1}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that for all $A \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(i_d(A)) \subset i_c(f^{-1}(A))$. Let $A \subset Y$. Then

$$f^{-1}(i_d(Y \setminus A)) = f^{-1}(Y \setminus d(A)) = f^{-1}(Y) \setminus f^{-1}(d(A)) = X \setminus f^{-1}(d(A)) \subset i_c(f^{-1}(Y \setminus A)).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} i_c(f^{-1}(Y \setminus A)) &= X \setminus c(X \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus A)) = X \setminus c(X \setminus (f^{-1}(Y) \setminus f^{-1}(A))) = \\ &= X \setminus c(X \setminus (X \setminus f^{-1}(A))) = X \setminus c(f^{-1}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

From these it follows that $c(f^{-1}(A)) \subset f^{-1}(d(A))$ and hence f is continuous. \square

Let (X, Λ_X) and (Y, Λ_Y) be pseudotopological spaces. Let c_X and c_Y be the adherence operators for Λ_X and Λ_Y respectively. A continuous map $f: (X, \Lambda_X) \rightarrow (Y, \Lambda_Y)$ is a **closed map** if for all $A \subset X$ that are closed, i.e., $c_X(A) = A$, we have $c_Y(f(A)) = f(A)$, that is $f(A)$ is closed in (Y, Λ_Y) . Note that this immediately also defines a closed map of closure and topological spaces.

Assuming that the map i in (1) (the definition of a pushout of \mathbf{C} -spaces, Definition 2.30) is an inclusion, we can take $Z = (X \setminus A) \sqcup Y$ in the definition of the pushout of \mathbf{C} -spaces, for $\mathbf{C} \in \{\mathbf{Top}, \mathbf{Cl}, \mathbf{PsTop}\}$. We then have the following characterization the adherence operator on the pushout.

Lemma A.2. Assume that i in the pushout (1) is a closed inclusion. Then the following are true:

- (1) Let $B \subset Y \subset Z$. Then $e(B) = d(B)$.
- (2) Let $B \subset X \setminus A \subset Z$. Then $e(B) = g(c(B))$.
- (3) Let $B \subset Z$. Then $e(B) = d(B \cap Y) \cup g(c(B \cap (X \setminus A)))$.
- (4) j is a closed inclusion.
- (5) $i_e(B) = i_{X \setminus A}(B \cap (X \setminus A)) \sqcup (i_d(B \cap Y) \setminus f(A \cap c((X \setminus A) \setminus B)))$, where $i_{X \setminus A}$ is the interior operator associated to the subspace closure operator $c_{X \setminus A}$ on $X \setminus A$ induced by the closure operator c for the closure space (X, c) . Finally, i_e and i_d are the interior operators for (Z, e) and (Y, d) , respectively.

Proof. The proofs of (1) – (4) follow almost immediately from definitions and are also available in [9, Lemma 2.4] in the case of closure spaces, but they apply verbatim for the adherence operators in question. All that is left is to prove (5).

By definition we have $Z \setminus i_e(B) = e(Z \setminus B)$. From (3) we have

$$e(Z \setminus B) = d((Z \setminus B) \cap Y) \cup g(c((Z \setminus B) \cap (X \setminus A))).$$

Observe that

$$(Z \setminus B) \cap Y = ((Y \sqcup (X \setminus A)) \setminus B) \cap Y = Y \setminus B = Y \setminus (B \cap Y).$$

Similarly

$$(Z \setminus B) \cap (X \setminus A) = (X \setminus A) \setminus B = (X \setminus A) \setminus (B \cap (X \setminus A)).$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} g(c((X \setminus A) \setminus B)) &= g(c((X \setminus A) \setminus B) \cap (X \setminus A)) \sqcup g(c((X \setminus A) \setminus B) \cap A) \\ &= g(c_{X \setminus A}((X \setminus A) \setminus B)) \sqcup g(c((X \setminus A) \setminus B) \cap A) \\ &= c_{X \setminus A}((X \setminus A) \setminus B) \sqcup f((c(X \setminus A) \setminus B) \cap A). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all this we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
i_e(B) &= Z \setminus e(Z \setminus B) \\
&= Z \setminus [(d((Z \setminus B) \cap Y)) \cup g(c((Z \setminus B) \cap (X \setminus A)))] \\
&= Z \setminus (d(Y \setminus B) \cup g(c(X \setminus A) \setminus B)) \\
&= (Z \setminus d(Y \setminus B)) \cap (Z \setminus g(c((X \setminus A) \setminus B))) \\
&= ((Y \setminus d(Y \setminus B)) \sqcup (X \setminus A)) \cap ((X \setminus A) \setminus c_{X \setminus A}((X \setminus A) \setminus B) \sqcup Y \setminus f(A \cap c(X \setminus A) \setminus B)) \\
&= (i_d(B \cap Y) \sqcup (X \setminus A)) \cap (i_{X \setminus A}(B \cap (X \setminus A)) \sqcup Y \setminus f(A \cap c((X \setminus A) \setminus B))) \\
&= i_{X \setminus A}(B \cap (X \setminus A)) \sqcup (i_d(B \cap Y) \setminus f(A \cap c((X \setminus A) \setminus B))).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall the definition of excisive triads and maps of excisive triads (Section 3.6).

Example A.3. Let $f: (X; A, B) \rightarrow (Y; C, D)$ be a map of excisive triads. One might try to replace Y by the mapping cylinder of f , and hope to have a map (inclusion) of excisive triads $\tilde{f}: (X; A, B) \rightarrow (M_f; M_{f|_A}, M_{f|_B})$ where $M_{f|_A}$ and $M_{f|_B}$ are the mapping cylinders of restrictions of f to A and B respectively. Clearly, \tilde{f} is a map of triads $\tilde{f}: (X; A, B) \rightarrow (M_f; M_{f|_A}, M_{f|_B})$, however $(M_f; M_{f|_A}, M_{f|_B})$ may not be excisive. As an example, we have the following construction borrowed from [23, Chapter 4.K]. Suppose $X = \{A, B\}$ i.e., X has two points A and B , with the discrete topology and if $Y = \{C\} = \{D\}$ is a singleton space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is the constant map. Then the interior of $M_{f|_A}$ in M_f will not contain the point $\{C\}$ in M_f . Same for the interior of $M_{f|_B}$ and thus $(M_f; M_{f|_A}, M_{f|_B})$ is not excisive. However, one can still show \tilde{f} is a map of excisive triads, but we need to be more nuanced in selecting the subsets of M_f .

The following result shows us how to select interior covers of mapping cylinders that are induced from interior covers of the underlying spaces in order to avoid the issue from Example A.3.

Lemma A.4. Let $f: (X; X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (Y; Y_1, Y_2)$ be a map of excisive triads. Let M_f be the mapping cylinder of f . Let $M_i = M_{f|_{X_i}} \cup f^{-1}(Y_i) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})$, for $i = 1, 2$, where $M_{f|_{X_i}} = ((X_i \times I) \sqcup Y_i) / ((x, 0) \sim f(x))$ is the mapping cylinder of $f|_{X_i}: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$. Then $(M_f; M_1, M_2)$ is an excisive triad and the induced map $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow M_f$ is a map of excisive triads $\tilde{f}: (X; X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (M_f; M_1, M_2)$.

Proof of Lemma A.4. Clearly by construction we have $\tilde{f}(X_i) \subset M_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. What is left to argue is that M_1 and M_2 form an interior cover of M_f . Let i_f and c_f be the interior and adherence operators of M_f , respectively. We rely on Lemma A.2 to compute interiors of M_1 and M_2 in M_f . First we explicitly match the notation in the pushouts in Definition 2.30 and Definition 3.2. That is, we replace A by X , $X \setminus A$ by $X \times (0, 1]$, Z by M_f , and we keep Y as is. Note also that the inclusion $i_0: X \hookrightarrow X \times [0, 1]$ is closed. Therefore by Lemma A.2 we have:

$$i_f(M_1) = i_{X \times (0, 1]}(M_1 \cap (X \times (0, 1])) \sqcup (i_d(M_1 \cap Y) \setminus f((X \times \{0\}) \cap c \times \tau((X \times (0, 1]) \setminus M_1))),$$

where $i_{X \times (0,1]}$ is the interior operator for the subspace $X \times (0, 1]$ of $X \times I$, and $c \times \tau$ is the adherence for $X \times I$. Note that $M_1 \cap Y = Y_1$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} X \times (0, 1] \setminus M_1 &= X \times (0, 1] \setminus (X_1 \times [0, 1] \cup f^{-1}(Y_1) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})) \\ &= ((X \setminus X_1) \times (0, 1]) \cap ((X \setminus f^{-1}(Y_1) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})) \sqcup (X \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1])) \\ &= (X \setminus f^{-1}(Y_1) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})) \sqcup ((X \setminus X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]), \end{aligned}$$

since $X \setminus f^{-1}(Y_1) \subset X \setminus X_1$ (as $X_1 \subset f^{-1}(Y_1)$). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c \times \tau((X \times (0, 1]) \setminus M_1) &= c(X \setminus f^{-1}(Y_1)) \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup c(X \setminus X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \\ &= X \setminus i_c(f^{-1}(Y_1)) \times [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup c(X \setminus X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f((X \times \{0\}) \cap c \times \tau((X \times (0, 1]) \setminus M_1)) = f(X \setminus i_c(f^{-1}(Y_1))) \subset f(X \setminus f^{-1}(i_d(Y_1)))$$

since $f^{-1}(i_d(Y_1)) \subset i_c(f^{-1}(Y_1))$ by Lemma A.1. Furthermore $i_d(Y_1) \setminus f(X \setminus f^{-1}(i_d(Y_1))) = i_d(Y_1) \subset i_d(Y_1) \setminus f(X \setminus i_c(f^{-1}(Y_1)))$. All of this together gives

$$i_d(Y_1) \subset i_d(M_1 \cap Y) \setminus f((X \times \{0\}) \cap c \times \tau((X \times (0, 1]) \setminus M_1)).$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{X \times (0,1]}((X \times (0, 1]) \setminus (M_1 \cap (X \times (0, 1]))) &= c_{X \times (0,1]}((X \times (0, 1]) \setminus M_1) \\ &= c_{X \times (0,1]}(((X \setminus X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \cup ((X \setminus f^{-1}(Y_1) \times (0, \frac{1}{2}))) = \\ &= (c(X \setminus X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \cup (c(X \setminus f^{-1}(Y_1)) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} i_{X \times (0,1]}(M_1 \cap (X \times (0, 1])) &= (X \times (0, 1]) \setminus c_{X \times (0,1]}((X \times (0, 1]) \setminus (M_1 \cap (X \times (0, 1]))) \\ &= (X \times (0, 1]) \setminus ((c(X \setminus X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \\ &\quad \cup (c(X \setminus f^{-1}(Y_1)) \times (0, \frac{1}{2}))) \\ &= ((X \setminus c(X \setminus X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \sqcup (X \times (0, \frac{1}{2}))) \\ &\quad \cap ((X \setminus c(X \setminus f^{-1}(Y_1)) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})) \sqcup (X \times (\frac{1}{2}, 1])) \\ &= ((i_c(X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \sqcup (X \times (0, \frac{1}{2}))) \\ &\quad \cap ((i_c(f^{-1}(Y_1)) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})) \sqcup (X \times (\frac{1}{2}, 1))) \\ &= (i_c(X_1) \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \sqcup (i_c(f^{-1}(Y_1)) \times (0, \frac{1}{2})), \end{aligned}$$

since $X_1 \subset f^{-1}(Y_1)$, implying that $i_c(X_1) \subset i_c(f^{-1}(Y_1))$. For the same reason and the above equality, we have

$$i_c(X_1) \times (0, 1] \subset i_{X \times (0, 1]}(M_1 \cap (X \times (0, 1])).$$

In everything so far, we could have replaced X_1 and X_2 and Y_1 by Y_2 and gotten analogous statements. Finally, we thus have $(i_c(X_i) \times (0, 1]) \sqcup i_d(Y_i) \subset i_f(M_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Since X_1, X_2 and Y_1, Y_2 were interior covers for X and Y , respectively, the result follows. \square

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.11

Here we prove Theorem 3.11. Before we proceed, we need to also prove a couple of preliminary results. In what follows it will be useful to consider an alternate and equivalent definition of homotopy groups. For convenience sake we will work with the following alternate but equivalent definitions of homotopy groups in what follows.

Let D^n be the topological n -disk and let S^{n-1} be its boundary, ∂D^n , i.e. the topological $(n-1)$ -sphere. Note that maps $(I^n, \partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ in \mathbf{PsTop} are the same as pointed maps $(I^n/\partial I^n, \partial I^n/\partial I^n) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$. Thus by Lemma 3.5, $[(I^n, \partial I^n), (X, x_0)] \cong [I^n/\partial I^n, X]^0$. Note that in \mathbf{PsTop} , $I^n/\partial I^n$ is a topological space by Proposition 2.40 and thus homeomorphic to S^n . Thus we can define

$$\pi_n(X, x_0) = [(S^n, s_0), (X, x_0)],$$

where $s_0 = \partial I^n/\partial I^n$. The group operation on $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ is then defined as follows. For $[f], [g] \in \pi_n(X, x_0)$, let $[f] + [g]$ be represented by the homotopy class of the composition $S^n \xrightarrow{q} S^n \vee S^n \xrightarrow{f \vee g} X$, where q is a quotient map collapsing the equator in S^n to a point, and $S^n \vee S^n$ is a wedge of spheres, which is topological by Proposition 2.40. Similarly, we also define

$$\pi_n(X, A, x_0) = [(D^n, S^{n-1}, s_0), (X, A, x_0)],$$

noting that collapsing J^{n-1} to a point converts $(I^n, \partial I^n, J^{n-1})$ to (D^n, S^{n-1}, s_0) and this will not affect homotopy classes of maps out of either space, by Lemma 3.5.

The following proofs of Lemma B.1 and Theorem 3.11 are taken almost verbatim from the proof of [23, Proposition 4K.1], with small modifications invoking Lemma 2.36 to make sure the arguments carry over from \mathbf{Top} to \mathbf{PsTop} .

Lemma B.1. Let $j : (X, A) \hookrightarrow (Y, B)$ be an inclusion of pairs in \mathbf{PsTop} . The following are equivalent for all $n \geq 1$:

- (1) For all choices of basepoints the map $j_* : \pi_m(X, A) \rightarrow \pi_m(Y, B)$, induced by inclusion, is surjective for $m = n$ and is injective for $m = n - 1$.
- (2) Let ∂D^n be written as the union of hemispheres $\partial_+ D^n$ and $\partial_- D^n$ intersecting in S^{n-2} at the "equator". Then every map

$$(D^n \times \{0\} \cup \partial_+ D^n \times I, \partial_- D^n \times \{0\} \cup S^{n-2} \times I) \rightarrow (Y, B),$$

taking $(\partial_+ D^n \times \{1\}, S^{n-2} \times \{1\})$ to (X, A) , extends to map $(D^n \times I, \partial_- D^n \times I) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ taking $(D^n \times \{1\}, \partial_- D^n \times \{1\})$ to (X, A) .

- (3) Condition (2) with the added hypothesis that the restriction of the given map to $\partial_+ D^n \times I$ is independent of the I coordinate.

Proof. Note that (2) and (3) are equivalent since the stronger hypothesis in (3) can always be achieved by composing with a homotopy of $D^n \times I$ that shrinks $\partial_+ D^n \times I$ to $\partial_+ D^n \times \{1\}$. We now show that (3) implies (1) and that (1) implies (2).

(3) implies (1). Let $f : (\partial_+ D^n \times \{1\}, S^{n-2} \times \{1\}) \rightarrow (X, A)$ be a representative of an element in $\pi_{n-1}(X, A)$. Suppose that the relative homotopy class of f is in the kernel of j_* . Then there is an extension of f over $D^n \times \{0\} \cup \partial_+ D^n \times I$, with the constant homotopy on $\partial_+ D^n \times I$ and mapping $(D^n \times \{0\}, \partial_- D^n \times \{0\})$ to (Y, B) . Condition (3) then gives an extension over $D^n \times I$, whose restriction to $D^n \times \{1\}$ shows that f is null homotopic relative to A , and therefore $[f] = 0$ in $\pi_{n-1}(X, A)$. Hence j_* is injective. To check surjectivity of j_* , represent an element of $\pi_n(Y, B)$ by a map $f : D^n \times \{0\} \rightarrow Y$ taking $\partial_- D^n \times \{0\}$ to B and $\partial_+ D^n \times \{0\}$ to a chosen base point. Extend f over $\partial_+ D^n \times I$ via the constant homotopy, then extend over $D^n \times I$ by applying (3). This gives a homotopy of f to a map representing an element of the image of $j_* : \pi_n(X, A) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y, B)$.

(1) implies (2). Suppose f is as in the hypothesis in (2) is given. Since j_* is injective on $\pi_{n-1}(X, A)$, there is an extension of f over $D^n \times \{1\}$. Let $E^n \subset \partial_- D^n \times I$ be a small disk intersecting $\partial_- D^n \times \{1\}$ in a hemisphere $\partial_+ E^n$ of its boundary. We can assume the extended f has a constant value $x_0 \in A$ on $\partial_+ E^n$. Consider the extended f as representing an element of $\pi_n(Y, B, x_0)$. By the surjectivity part of (1), there is an extension of f over $D^n \times I$ taking $(E^n, \partial_- E^n)$ to (X, A) and the rest of $\partial_- D^n \times I$ to B . Compose this extended f with a deformation of $D^n \times I$ pushing E^n into $D^n \times \{1\}$. This gives the desired result. \square

Finally, we prove Theorem 3.11, which we reprinted below for convenience.

Theorem (Theorem 3.11). *Let $f : (X; X_1, X_2) \rightarrow (Y; Y_1, Y_2)$ be a map of excisive triads in \mathbf{PsTop} such that $f : (X_i, X_1 \cap X_2) \rightarrow (Y_i, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ is an n -equivalence for $i = 1, 2$. Then $f : (X, X_i) \rightarrow (Y, Y_i)$ is an n -equivalence for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Replacing Y by the mapping cylinder of f with its induced decomposition as an excisive triad, as in Lemma A.4, we may assume without loss of generality that f is an inclusion. Recall the conditions (1), (2) and (3) from Lemma B.1. By Lemma B.1 it suffices to show that condition (2) for the inclusions $(X_1, X_1 \cap X_2) \hookrightarrow (Y_1, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ and $(X_2, X_1 \cap X_2) \hookrightarrow (Y_2, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ implies (3) for the inclusion $(X, X_1) \hookrightarrow (Y, Y_1)$. Suppose $f : D^n \times \{0\} \cup \partial_+ D^n \times I \rightarrow Y$ is a map satisfying the hypothesis in condition (3). For what follows it will be convenient to identify D^n with I^n . The identification is such that $\partial_- D^n$ corresponds to the face $I^{n-1} \times \{1\}$, which we denote by $\partial_- I^n$, and $\partial_+ D^n$ corresponds to the remaining faces of I^n , which we denote by $\partial_+ I^n$. Under these correspondences, we have a map f on $I^n \times \{0\}$ taking $\partial_+ I^n \times \{0\}$ to X and $\partial_- I^n \times \{0\}$ to Y_1 , and on $\partial_+ I^n \times I$ we have the constant homotopy. Since $(Y; Y_1, Y_2)$ is an excisive triad, we can subdivide each of the I factors of $I^n \times \{0\}$ into subintervals so that f takes each of the resulting n dimensional subcubes of $I^n \times \{0\}$ into either Y_1 or Y_2 . This is possible to do by Lemma 2.36 and the Lebesgue number lemma. The extension of f we construct will have the following property:

(*) If K is a one of the subcubes of $I^n \times \{0\}$, or a lower dimensional face of such a cube, then the extension of f takes $(K \times I, K \times \{1\})$ to (Y_1, X_1) or (Y_2, X_2) whenever f takes K to Y_1 or Y_2 , respectively.

Initially f was defined on $\partial_+ I^n \times I$ with image in X , independent of the I coordinate, and we may assume the condition (*) holds here since we may assume that $X_1 = X \cap Y_1$ and $X_2 = X \cap Y_2$, these conditions holding for the mapping cylinder construction described in Lemma A.4. Suppose we now want to extend f over $K \times I$ for K one of the subcubes. We can assume that f has already been extended to $\partial_+ K \times I$ so that (*) is satisfied, by induction on n and on the sequence of subintervals of the last coordinate of $I^n \times \{0\}$. To extend f over $K \times I$, let us first deal with the cases that the given f takes $(K, \partial_- K)$ to $(Y_1, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ or $(Y_2, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$. Then by (2) for the inclusion $(X_1, X_1 \cap X_2) \hookrightarrow (Y_1, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ or $(X_2, X_1 \cap X_2) \hookrightarrow (Y_2, Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ we may extend f over $K \times I$ so that (*) is still satisfied. If neither of these two cases applies, then the given f takes $(K, \partial_- K)$ just to (Y_1, Y_1) or (Y_2, Y_2) , and we can apply (2) to construct the desired extension of f over $K \times I$. \square

Acknowledgments. This material is based upon work supported by the NSF under Grant No. DMS-1929284 while the first author was in residence at the Institute for Computational and Experimental Research in Mathematics in Providence, RI, during the Math+Neuroscience: Strengthening the Interplay Between Theory and Mathematics, Fall 2023 program. The second author was supported by an AMS-Simons Research Enhancement Grant for PUI Faculty. The authors would like to thank Antonio Rieser for helpful discussions and suggestions. This project was initiated during the workshop “Discrete and combinatorial homotopy theory” at the American Institute for Mathematics, and we thank AIM for the generous support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Michał Adamaszek, Florian Frick, and Adrien Vakili. “On homotopy types of Euclidean Rips complexes”. *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 58 (2017), pp. 526–542.
- [2] P. Alexandroff. “Diskrete Räume”. *Mat. Sbornik N.S.* 2.44 (1937), pp. 501–519.
- [3] Eric Babson et al. “Homotopy theory of graphs”. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* 24.1 (2006), pp. 31–44.
- [4] Hélène Barcelo, Valerio Capraro, and Jacob A White. “Discrete homology theory for metric spaces”. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 46.5 (2014), pp. 889–905.
- [5] Hélène Barcelo and Reinhard Laubenbacher. “Perspectives on A-homotopy theory and its applications”. *Discrete mathematics* 298.1-3 (2005), pp. 39–61.
- [6] Helene Barcelo et al. “Foundations of a connectivity theory for simplicial complexes”. *Advances in Applied Mathematics* 26.2 (2001), pp. 97–128.
- [7] R. Beattie and H.-P. Butzmann. *Convergence structures and applications to functional analysis*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002, pp. xiv+264. ISBN: 1-4020-0566-0. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-015-9942-9. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9942-9>
- [8] Laurence Boxer. “A classical construction for the digital fundamental group”. *J. Math. Imaging Vision* 10.1 (1999), pp. 51–62.
- [9] Peter Bubenik. “CW complexes in closure spaces”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13227* (2023).
- [10] Peter Bubenik and Nikola Milićević. “Eilenberg-Steenrod homology and cohomology theories for Čech’s closure spaces”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.13421* (2021).

- [11] Peter Bubenik and Nikola Milićević. “Homotopy, homology, and persistent homology using closure spaces”. *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology* (2024), pp. 1–63.
- [12] Tien Chih and Laura Scull. “A homotopy category for graphs”. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 53.4 (2021), pp. 1231–1251. ISSN: 0925-9899,1572-9192. DOI: 10.1007/s10801-020-00960-5. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-020-00960-5>.
- [13] Brian J Day and G Max Kelly. “On topological quotient maps preserved by pullbacks or products”. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*. Vol. 67. 3. Cambridge University Press, 1970, pp. 553–558.
- [14] Davide Carlo Demaria and Garbaccio Rosanna Bogin. “Homotopy and homology in pretopological spaces”. *Proceedings of the 11th Winter School on Abstract Analysis* (1984), pp. 119–126.
- [15] T. tom Dieck. *Algebraic topology*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008, pp. xii+567. ISBN: 978-3-03719-048-7. DOI: 10.4171/048. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4171/048>.
- [16] Dikran Dikranjan and Walter Tholen. *Categorical structure of closure operators: with applications to topology, algebra and discrete mathematics*. Vol. 346. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [17] Anton Dochtermann. “Hom complexes and homotopy theory in the category of graphs”. *European Journal of Combinatorics* 30.2 (2009), pp. 490–509.
- [18] Sterling Ebel and Chris Kapulkin. “Synthetic approach to the Quillen model structure on topological spaces”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.14235* (2023).
- [19] GA Edgar. “A cartesian closed category for topology”. *General Topology and its Applications* 6.1 (1976), pp. 65–72.
- [20] Ralph H Fox. “On topologies for function spaces”. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 51 (1945), pp. 429–432.
- [21] Robert Ghrist. “Homological algebra and data”. *The mathematics of data*. Vol. 25. IAS/Park City Math. Ser. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018, pp. 273–325.
- [22] Alexander Grigor’yan et al. “Homotopy theory for digraphs”. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 10.4 (2014), pp. 619–674.
- [23] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, pp. xii+544. ISBN: 0-521-79160-X; 0-521-79540-0.
- [24] C. Hobbs, K. Martino, and L. Scull. “Spider web graphs”. *PUMP J. Undergrad. Res.* 6 (2023), pp. 250–267. ISSN: 2765-8724,2576-3725. DOI: 10.46787/pump.v6i0.3332. URL: <https://doi.org/10.46787/pump.v6i0.3332>.
- [25] Karl H Hofmann and Jimmie D Lawson. “The spectral theory of distributive continuous lattices”. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 246 (1978), pp. 285–310.
- [26] K. Hrbacek and T. Jech. *Introduction to set theory*. Third. Vol. 220. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1999, pp. xii+291. ISBN: 0-8247-7915-0.
- [27] Eva Lowen-Colebunders and G Sonck. “Exponential objects and Cartesian closedness in the construct Prtop”. *Applied Categorical Structures* 1 (1993), pp. 345–360.

- [28] Gregory Lupton, John Oprea, and Nicholas A. Scoville. “A fundamental group for digital images”. *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.* 5.2 (2021), pp. 249–311. ISSN: 2367-1726,2367-1734. DOI: 10.1007/s41468-021-00067-1. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41468-021-00067-1>.
- [29] Gregory Lupton, John Oprea, and Nicholas A. Scoville. “Homotopy theory in digital topology”. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 67.1 (2022), pp. 112–165. ISSN: 0179-5376,1432-0444. DOI: 10.1007/s00454-021-00336-x. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00454-021-00336-x>.
- [30] Gregory Lupton, John Oprea, and Nicholas A. Scoville. “Homotopy theory in digital topology”. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 67.1 (2022), pp. 112–165.
- [31] Gregory Lupton and Nicholas A. Scoville. “Digital fundamental groups and edge groups of clique complexes”. *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.* 6.4 (2022), pp. 529–558. ISSN: 2367-1726,2367-1734. DOI: 10.1007/s41468-022-00095-5. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41468-022-00095-5>.
- [32] Gregory Lupton et al. *A Second Homotopy Group for Digital Images*. 2023. arXiv: 2310.08706 [math.AT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.08706>.
- [33] Paolo Masulli and Alessandro EP Villa. “Dynamics of evolving feed-forward neural networks and their topological invariants”. *Artificial Neural Networks and Machine Learning–ICANN 2016: 25th International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, Barcelona, Spain, September 6-9, 2016, Proceedings, Part I 25*. Springer. 2016, pp. 99–106.
- [34] Paolo Masulli and Alessandro EP Villa. “The topology of the directed clique complex as a network invariant”. *SpringerPlus* 5 (2016), pp. 1–12.
- [35] J Peter May. “Weak equivalences and quasifibrations”. *Groups of Self-Equivalences and Related Topics: Proceedings of a Conference held in Montreal, Canada, Aug. 8–12, 1988*. Springer. 2006, pp. 91–101.
- [36] Michael C McCord. “Singular homology groups and homotopy groups of finite topological spaces” (1966).
- [37] Ernest Michael. “Local compactness and Cartesian products of quotient maps and k -spaces”. *Annales de l’institut Fourier*. Vol. 18. 2. 1968, pp. 281–286.
- [38] Nikola Milićević. “Singular homology of roots of unity”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.00660* (2023).
- [39] Louis D Nel. “Cartesian closed coreflective hulls”. *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 2.1-3 (1977), pp. 269–283.
- [40] G. Preuss. *Foundations of topology*. An approach to convenient topology. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002, pp. xviii+303. ISBN: 1-4020-0891-0. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-010-0489-3. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0489-3>.
- [41] Gerhard Preuss. *Foundations of topology: an approach to convenient topology*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [42] Raul Rabadan and Andrew J. Blumberg. *Topological Data Analysis for Genomics and Evolution: Topology in Biology*. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [43] Günther Richter. “More on exponential objects in categories of pretopological spaces”. *Applied Categorical Structures* 5 (1997), pp. 309–319.
- [44] Antonio Rieser. “Čech closure spaces: a unified framework for discrete and continuous homotopy”. *Topology Appl.* 296 (2021), Paper No. 107613, 41. ISSN: 0166-8641. DOI: 10.1016/j.topol.2021.107613. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2021.107613>.
- [45] Antonio Rieser. *Cofibration and Model Category Structures for Discrete and Continuous Homotopy*. 2022. arXiv: 2209.13510 [math.AT].

- [46] Edwin H. Spanier. *Algebraic topology*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto-London, 1966, pp. xiv+528.
- [47] Jonathan Treviño-Marroquín. *Graphs and Their Vietoris-Rips Complexes Have the Same Pseudotopological Weak Homotopy Type*. 2024. arXiv: 2406.00149 [math.AT].
- [48] Eduard Čech. *Topological spaces*. Revised edition by Zdeněk Frolík and Miroslav Katětov, Scientific editor, Vlastimil Pták, Editor of the English translation, Charles O. Junge. Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague; Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1966, 893 pp. (errata insert).
- [49] Leopold Vietoris. “Über den höheren Zusammenhang kompakter Räume und eine Klasse von zusammenhangstreuen Abbildungen”. *Mathematische Annalen* 97.1 (1927), pp. 454–472.