## A NOTE ON INVARIANTS OF FOLIATED 3-SPHERE BUNDLES

### NILS PRIGGE

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that  $H^*(BSO(4);\mathbb{Q})$  injects into the group cohomology of Diff<sup>+</sup>( $\mathbb{S}^3$ ) with rational coefficients. The proof is based on an idea of Nariman who proved that the monomials in the Euler and Pontrjagin classes are nontrivial in  $H^*(BDiff^{\delta}_{+}(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1});\mathbb{Q})$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $BS\Gamma_d$  be the classifying space of Haefliger structures for codimension d foliations that are transversely oriented and denote by  $v : BS\Gamma_d \to BGL_d^+(\mathbb{R})$  the map that records the normal bundle of the foliation (see [Hae71] for details). We consider the space of bundle maps  $Bun(TM, v^*\gamma_d)$  where  $\gamma_d$  denotes the universal oriented vector bundle over  $BGL_d^+(\mathbb{R})$ . It has a  $Diff_+(M)$ -action by precomposition with the differential of a diffeomorphism, and Nariman proved (cf. [Nar17] and [Nar23, Cor. 2.5]) that the map  $BDiff^{\delta}_+(M) \to BDiff_+(M)$  induced by the inclusion of  $Diff^{\delta}_+(M)$ , the group of diffeomorphisms with the discrete topology, factors through an acyclic map

$$\beta : \mathrm{BDiff}^{\partial}_{+}(M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}(TM, \nu^* \gamma_d) //\mathrm{Diff}_{+}(M).$$

This means in particular that  $\beta$  induces an isomorphism

(1.1) 
$$H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}_+(M);\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\mathrm{Bun}(TM,\nu^*\gamma_d)//\mathrm{Diff}_+(M);\mathbb{Q}),$$

and under this isomorphism the map on cohomology induced by  $BDiff^{\delta}_{+}(M) \rightarrow BDiff_{+}(M)$  agrees with the map induced by the projection

$$p: \operatorname{Bun}(TM, \nu^* \gamma_d) / / \operatorname{Diff}_+(M) \to \operatorname{BDiff}_+(M).$$

If a Lie group G acts smoothly on M, there is a commutative diagram

and Nariman shows in [Nar23, Sect. 3] that if *G* is a torus acting freely on *M*, then Bun(TM,  $\nu^*\gamma_d$ ) has a *G*-fixed point so the left vertical map has a section. Hence, the map on cohomology induced by BG  $\rightarrow$  BDiff<sub>+</sub>(*M*) factors as

$$H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+(M)) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}_+(M)) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathrm{B}G).$$

For an odd sphere one can define classes  $e, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1} \in H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}))$ that pull back to the Euler and Pontrjagin classes along the map  $\mathrm{BSO}(2n) \to \mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$  induced by the action of  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ . If we further restrict to the free  $S^1$ -action, the image of the monomials in the Euler and Pontrjagin classes in the cohomology of  $\mathrm{BS}^1$  are nontrivial and it follows that they are nontrivial in  $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^+_{+}(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}))$  [Nar23, Thm 1.2]. But this poses the question about injectivity of the map

(1.3) 
$$\mathbb{Q}[e, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}] \subset H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+^{\delta}(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}); \mathbb{Q}).$$

The obvious obstacle to making a statement about injectivity of (1.3) is that the Krull dimension of  $H^*(\mathbb{B}S^1;\mathbb{Q})$  is too small. Instead, we should use the action of the maximal torus  $T^n \subset SO(2n)$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ . However,  $T^n$  is not acting freely on  $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$  so that one does not know whether  $Bun(T\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, v^*\gamma_{2n-1})$  has a  $T^n$ -fixed point from Nariman's construction. The main result of this note circumvents the need to construct actual fixed points for n = 2.

**Theorem 1.4.** The induced map on cohomology

(1.5) 
$$H^*(\mathrm{B}T^2;\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathrm{Bun}(T\mathbb{S}^3,\nu^*\gamma_3)/\!\!/ T^2;\mathbb{Q})$$

is an injection.

**Corollary 1.6.** The subring  $\mathbb{Q}[p_1, e] \subset H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{Q})$  defined by the Euler and Pontrjagin class injects into  $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}_+(\mathbb{S}^3); \mathbb{Q})$ 

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathbb{Q}[p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, e] \subset H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}; \mathbb{Q}))$  injects into  $H^*(\mathbb{B}T^n; \mathbb{Q})$  under the map  $\mathbb{B}T^n \to \mathrm{BDiff}_+(\mathbb{S}^{2n-1})$ . It then follows from commutativity of (1.2) and Theorem 1.4 that the composite

$$\mathbb{Q}[p_1, e] \to H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}_+(\mathbb{S}^3)) \to H^*(\mathrm{Bun}(T\mathbb{S}^3, \nu^*\gamma_3)//\mathrm{Diff}_+(\mathbb{S}^3)).$$

 $\square$ 

is a injection, so that the first map is injective as well.

*Remark* 1.7. In a forthcoming paper [Pri] that previously appeared as an appendix to [Nar23] we proved that  $H^*(BSO(4);\mathbb{R})$  injects into the smooth cohomology  $H^*_{sm}(Diff_+(\mathbb{S}^3);\mathbb{R})$  using the method developed by Haefliger [Hae78]. This statement follows from Corollary 1.6, but the real homotopy theory computation is still interesting as it showcases an interesting relation between  $p_1^2$  and a continuously varying cohomology class as pointed out by Morita (cf. [Nar23]).

The idea for the proof of Theorem 1.4 is quite simple and we learned it from [AP93, Example 3.1.16]. Given a space *X* with a torus action so that infinitely many distinct subtori  $K_i \subset T$  of codimension 1 occur as stabilizers, the projection  $p: X/\!/T \to BT$  induces an injection on cohomology. This is because the map induced by the projection  $p: X/\!/K_i \to BK_i$  is an injection on cohomology (as there is a  $K_i$ -fixed point) and from the commutativity of the diagram

$$H^*(X/\!/K_i) \longleftarrow H^*(X/\!/T)$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$H^*(BK_i) \longleftarrow H^*(BT)$$

it follows that

$$\ker(H^*(\mathsf{B}T) \to H^*(X/\!\!/T)) \subset \ker(H^*(\mathsf{B}T) \to H^*(\mathsf{B}K_i)),$$

where ker( $H^*(BT) \rightarrow H^*(BK_i)$ ) is an ideal generated by a linear polynomial (with integral coefficients)  $f_i \in H^2(BT)$ . Hence, an element  $x \in \text{ker}(H^*(BT) \rightarrow H^*(X//T))$  is divisible by infinitely may distinct linear polynomials  $f_i$  as the tori  $K_i$  are distinct and therefore x = 0.

So the idea of the proof is to construct bundle maps  $\tau \in \text{Bun}(T\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}, \nu^*\gamma_{2n-1})$  with prescribed stabilizers, which as it turns out is still difficult to do. However, for n = 2 we are able to show that there exists infinitely many tori  $K \subset T^2$  with the property that

$$H^*(\mathsf{B}K) \hookrightarrow H^*(\mathsf{Bun}(T\mathbb{S}^3, \nu^*\gamma_3)//K).$$

without using actual fixed points of the action, which proves Theorem 1.4.

2

#### 2. Main result

For integers  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we denote by  $c_{m,n} \colon S^1 \to T^2$  the group homomorphism defined by  $c_{m,n}(\lambda) = (\lambda^m, \lambda^n)$ . Codimension 1 tori are indexed by integers  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2/((m, n) \sim -(m, n))$  with gcd(m, n) = 1 as the image  $K_{m,n} = im(c_{m,n})$ . Theorem 1.4 follows from the following statement which we prove in this section.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be odd and n = m + 2, then the map

$$H^*(\mathsf{B}K_{m,n};\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathsf{Bun}(T\mathbb{S}^3,\nu^*\gamma_3)//K_{m,n};\mathbb{Q})$$

is injective.

We consider the  $T^2$ -action on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  given by  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cdot (z_1, z_2) = (\lambda_1 \cdot z_1, \lambda_2 \cdot z_2)$ , and we denote by  $(S^3, K_{m,n})$  the 3-sphere with the restricted  $K_{m,n}$ -action. For m = n = 1this corresponds to the usual free  $S^1$ -action on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  which we denote by  $(\mathbb{S}^3, S^1)$ .

Lemma 2.2. The map

$$\pi_{m,n}: (\mathbb{S}^3, \mathbb{S}^1) \to (\mathbb{S}^3, K_{m,n}), \qquad \pi_{m,n}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{(z_1^m, z_2^n)}{\|(z_1^m, z_2^n)\|}$$

is equivariant with respect to  $c_{m,n}$ .

The pullback  $\pi_{m,n}^*T\mathbb{S}^3$  is an  $S^1$ -equivariant vector bundle over  $(\mathbb{S}^3, S^1)$  and there is a canonical bundle map  $\bar{\pi}_{m,n} : \pi_{m,n}^*T\mathbb{S}^3 \to T\mathbb{S}^3$  covering  $\pi_{m,n}$  which is equivariant with respect to  $c_{m,n}$ . Precomposition with  $\bar{\pi}_{m,n}$  defines a map

(2.3)  $\psi: \operatorname{Bun}(T\mathbb{S}^3, \nu^*\gamma_3) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bun}(\pi^*_{m,n}T\mathbb{S}^3, \nu^*\gamma_3)$ 

which is equivariant by construction with respect to  $c_{m,n}^{-1}$ :  $K_{m,n} \to S^1$  if the inverse exists (i.e. if gcd(m, n) = 1).

**Lemma 2.4.** The map  $\psi$  in (2.3) is a rational equivalence.

*Proof.* The tangent bundle  $TS^3$  is (non-equivariantly) trivial and hence so is the pullback  $\pi_{m,n}^*TS^3$ . This implies that the space of bundle maps is (non-equivariantly) homotopy equivalent to map( $S^3$ ,  $\overline{B\Gamma}_3$ ), where  $\overline{B\Gamma}_d$  denotes the homotopy fibre of  $\nu : BS\Gamma_d \to BGL_d^+(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\overline{B\Gamma}_3$  is 4-connected [Thu74], these mapping spaces are connected and  $\psi$  corresponds to the map of mapping spaces induced by precomposition with  $\pi_{m,n}$ , which is a rational equivalence since  $\pi_{m,n}$ is (this follows directly by inspection of the rational models of mapping spaces, for example cf. [Ber15]).

In the following, we denote by  $V_k = (\mathbb{C}, \rho_k)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  the complex  $S^1$ -representation given by  $\rho_k(\lambda)(z) = \lambda^k \cdot z$ . We obtain  $T^2$ -representations by pulling back along the group homomorphism  $\Delta: T^2 \to S^1$  given by  $\Delta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lambda_2/\lambda_1$ . In the next section we show that  $TS^3$  is  $T^2$ -equivariantly V-trivial for  $V = \Delta^* V_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the trivial representation (see Lemma 3.1). This implies that  $\pi^*_{m,n}TS^3$  is  $c^*_{m,n}V$ -trivial and we use the following simple criterion to show that it is also  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -trivial.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let G be a Lie group acting on X and V, W be two G-representations. There is an isomorphism of equivariant G-vector bundles  $X \times V \cong_G X \times W$  if and only if there is a G-equivariant map  $X \to Iso(V, W)$ , where Iso(V, W) denotes the space of isomorphisms with respect to the conjugation G-action.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let n = m + 2, then the pullback  $\pi_{m,n}^*T\mathbb{S}^3$  is isomorphic to the trivial  $S^1$ -bundle  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , i.e.  $S^1$  acts diagonally on  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and trivially on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1 the tangent bundle  $TS^3$  is  $T^2$ -equivariantly *V*-trivial for  $V = \mathbb{R} \oplus \Delta^* V_1$ , and hence  $\pi^*_{m,n} TS^3 \cong_{S^1} S^3 \times (\mathbb{R} \oplus c^*_{m,n} \Delta^* V_1)$ . The statement follows from Lemma 2.5 if we can construct an  $S^1$ -equivariant map

(2.7) 
$$\mathbb{S}^3 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R} \oplus c_{m,n}^* \Delta^* V_1).$$

We identify  $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^3, V) \cong \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$  and denote by  $D(\lambda) \in \text{SO}(2)$  the rotation corresponding to  $\lambda \in S^1$ . Then under this identification the  $S^1$ -action is given left multiplication with

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&0\\0&D(\lambda^{n-m})\end{array}\right)\in\mathrm{SO}(3).$$

If n - m = 2 then the double cover  $f: \mathbb{S}^3 \to SO(3) \subset GL_3(\mathbb{R})$  is  $S^1$ -equivariant with respect to this action by Lemma 3.4 which concludes the proof.

*Proof of Prop.* 2.1. It follows from Proposition 2.6 there is an equivariant homeomorphism  $\text{Bun}(\pi_{m,n}^*T\mathbb{S}^3, \nu^*\gamma_3) \approx_{S^1} \text{Bun}(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \nu^*\gamma_3)$ . The latter has a fixed point by the same argument as in [Nar23, Sect. 3] so that

$$H^*(\mathsf{B}S^1) \to H^*(\mathsf{Bun}(\pi^*_{m,n}T\mathbb{S}^3,\nu^*\gamma_3)/\!\!/S^1)$$

is injective. If  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is odd and n = m + 2 then gcd(m, n) = 1 and  $c_{m,n} \colon S^1 \to K_{m,n}$  is an isomorphism, and by Proposition 2.4 we have a commutative diagram

which proves the statement.

# 3. Two facts about $\mathbb{S}^3$

We prove two elementary statements about the 3-sphere that were used in Proposition 2.6, both relying on the fact that  $S^3$  can be identified with the group of unit quaternions.

**Lemma 3.1.** The tangent bundle  $TS^3$  is  $T^2$ -equivariantly V trivial for  $V = \Delta^* V_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , *i.e.* there is an isomorphism of  $T^2$ -equivariant vector bundles  $TS^3 \cong_{T^2} S^3 \times V$ .

*Proof.* Choose an inner product on  $\mathbb{H}$  with orthonormal basis given by 1, i, j, k and consider  $\mathbb{S}^3$  as the set of unit quaternions  $\{z_1 + z_2 j | z_i \in \mathbb{C}, |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{H}$  and  $T\mathbb{S}^3 = \{(w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{H} | w_2 \perp w_1\} \subset \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{H}$ . We choose a basis of  $T_1 \mathbb{S}^3$  given by  $e_1 = (1, i), e_2 = (1, j)$  and  $e_3 = (1, k)$  and define a trivialization of  $T\mathbb{S}^3$  by

$$t: \mathbb{S}^3 \times T_1 \mathbb{S}^3 \to T \mathbb{S}^3, \quad (p, X_1) \mapsto Dl_p(X_1)$$

where  $l_w : \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^3$  denotes left multiplication with  $w = z_1 + z_2 j \in \mathbb{S}^3$  so that

$$\begin{split} \tau(w,e_1) &= (w,z_1i-z_2k), \\ \tau(w,e_2) &= (w,-z_2+z_1j), \\ \tau(w,e_3) &= (w,z_2i+z_1k). \end{split}$$

The tangent bundle TS<sup>3</sup> has a left T<sup>2</sup>-action via the differential and one computes that

 $D\mu_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2})}\tau(w,e_{1}) = ((\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2})\cdot w,\lambda_{1}z_{1}i - \lambda_{2}z_{2}k),$  $D\mu_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2})}\tau(w,e_{2}) = ((\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2})\cdot w, -\lambda_{1}z_{2} + \lambda_{2}z_{1}j),$  $D\mu_{(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2})}\tau(w,e_{3}) = ((\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2})\cdot w,\lambda_{1}z_{2}i + \lambda_{2}z_{1}k),$  where  $\mu_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \colon \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^3$  denotes action of  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in T^2$ .

We need to compute  $\tau^{-1} d\mu_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \tau$  in order to understand the induced  $T^2$ -action on  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times T_1 S^1$ . We see directly that

$$(3.2) D\mu_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}\tau(w,e_1) = \tau((\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\cdot w,e_1),$$

and for the other two cases let  $\psi \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 = \cos(\psi) + i \cdot \sin(\psi)$ , then a straight forward calculation shows that

(3.3) 
$$\begin{aligned} D\mu_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}\tau(w,e_2) &= \cos(\psi)\tau((\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\cdot w,e_2) - \sin(\psi)\tau((\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\cdot w,e_3)\\ D\mu_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}\tau(w,e_3) &= \sin(\psi)\tau((\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\cdot w,e_2) + \cos(\psi)\tau((\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\cdot w,e_3). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe that the coefficients in (3.3) only depend on  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  and not on w, so the induced action on  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times T_1 S^1$  is a product action. Hence,  $T_1 S^1$  is a  $T^2$ -representation and  $f: T_1 S^1 \to \mathbb{R} \oplus \Delta^* V_1$  defined by  $f(e_1) = (1,0), f(e_2) = (0,1)$  and  $f(e_3) = (0,i)$  is an isomorphism of  $T^2$ -representations.

**Lemma 3.4.** The double cover group homomorphism  $f : S^3 \to SO(3)$  is  $S^1$ -equivariant with respect to the  $S^1$ -action on SO(3) given by left multiplication with

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ 0 & D(\lambda^2) \end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SO}(3).$$

for  $\lambda \in S^1$ .

*Proof.* Identify  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the subspace of pure quaternions  $\{xi + yj + zk | x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{H}$  with the unit quaternions, i.e.  $z = z_1 + z_2 j \in \mathbb{S}^3$  and  $w = ix + z_3 j \in \mathbb{R}^3$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . We then define

$$f(z)(ix + jy + kz): = z \cdot w \cdot \overline{z},$$

which is a group homomorphism and preserves the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Observe that  $zj = j\overline{z}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and hence for any pure quaternion w = ix + zj and  $\lambda \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$  we have that

$$\lambda w \bar{\lambda} = ix + \lambda z j \bar{\lambda} = ix + \lambda z \lambda j = ix + \lambda^2 z j,$$

which corresponds to the rotation by

(3.5) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & D(\lambda^2) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SO}(3).$$

Finally, since

$$f(\lambda z)(w) = \lambda z \cdot w \cdot \bar{z}\bar{\lambda} = \lambda f(z)(w)\bar{\lambda}$$

this corresponds to the left multiplication of f(z) by (3.5).

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Sam Nariman for introducing me to this aspect of foliation theory and posing many interesting questions in our conversations. I also thank Ronno Das for numerous helpful discussions and reading this note. This research was supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation through grant no. 2019.0519.

#### References

- [AP93] C. Allday and V. Puppe. Cohomological methods in transformation groups, volume 32 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Ber15] A. Berglund. Rational homotopy theory of mapping spaces via Lie theory for  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras. Homology Homotopy Appl., 17(2):343–369, 2015.
- [Hae71] A. Haefliger. Homotopy and integrability. In Manifolds–Amsterdam 1970 (Proc. Nuffic Summer School), volume Vol. 197 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 133–163. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [Hae78] A. Haefliger. On the Gelfand-Fuks cohomology. Enseign. Math. (2), 24(1-2):143-160, 1978.

- [Nar17] S. Nariman. Stable homology of surface diffeomorphism groups made discrete. Geom. Topol., 21(5):3047–3092, 2017.
- [Nar23] S. Nariman. On invariants of foliated sphere bundles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16310*, 2023.
- [Pri] N. Prigge. A note on relative Gelfand-Fuks cohomology of spheres, to appear.
- [Thu74] W. Thurston. Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 80:304–307, 1974.

Stockholms universitet, Matematiska institutionen, 106 91 Stockholm  $\it Email \ address: nils.prigge@math.su.se$