

SINGULARITY, WEIGHTED UNIFORM APPROXIMATION, INTERSECTIONS AND RATES

DMITRY KLEINBOCK, NIKOLAY MOSHCHEVITIN, JACQUELINE M. WARREN,
AND BARAK WEISS

ABSTRACT. A classical argument was introduced by Khintchine in 1926 in order to exhibit the existence of totally irrational singular linear forms in two variables. This argument was subsequently revisited and extended by many authors. For instance, in 1959 Jarník used it to show that for $n \geq 2$ and for any non-increasing positive f there are totally irrational matrices $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all large enough t there are $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$\|\mathbf{q}\| \leq t \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}\| \leq f(t).$$

We denote the collection of such matrices by $UA_{m,n}^*(f)$. We adapt Khintchine's argument to show that the sets $UA_{m,n}^*(f)$, and their weighted analogues $UA_{m,n}^*(f, \boldsymbol{\omega})$, intersect many manifolds and fractals, and have strong intersection properties. For example, we show that:

- When $n \geq 2$, the set $\bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} UA^*(f, \boldsymbol{\omega})$, where the intersection is over all weights $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, is nonempty, and moreover intersects many manifolds and fractals;
- For $n \geq 2$, there are vectors in \mathbb{R}^n which are simultaneously k -singular for every k , in the sense of Yu;
- when $n \geq 3$, $UA_{1,n}^*(f) + UA_{1,n}^*(f) = \mathbb{R}^n$.

We also obtain new bounds on the rate of singularity which can be attained by column vectors in analytic submanifolds of dimension at least 2 in \mathbb{R}^n .

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background and history. In 1926, Khintchine [Kh] introduced the property of singularity of vectors, which later was extended to systems of linear forms by Jarník [J3]: A matrix $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ (viewed as a system of m linear forms in n variables) is *singular* (notation: $A \in \text{Sing}_{m,n}$) if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $t \geq t_0$ there exist $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|\mathbf{q}\| \leq t \quad \text{and} \quad \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t^{n/m}}.$$

Here $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ stands for the collection of $m \times n$ real matrices, and $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the supremum norm on \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n , although the choice of the norm will be immaterial for this work.

Date: September 2024.

Note that (1.1) always has nontrivial integer solutions if $\varepsilon = 1$ (Dirichlet's Theorem). Khintchine, and then Jarník in bigger generality, showed that while for $m = n = 1$ the only singular numbers are rationals, for $\max(m, n) > 1$ there exist uncountably many singular matrices which are *totally irrational*, that is, not contained in any rational affine subspace of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$. We will denote by $\text{Sing}_{m,n}^*$ the set of totally irrational singular $m \times n$ matrices. Since the work of Khintchine a large body of work in Diophantine approximation has been devoted to the understanding of $\text{Sing}_{m,n}^*$ and related sets. See [M1] for a detailed survey and an extensive bibliography, and [KKLM, DFSU, BCC] for the computation of the Hausdorff dimension of $\text{Sing}_{m,n}$ and for a discussion of related work.

A natural way to generalize (1.1) is to replace $\frac{\varepsilon}{t^{n/m}}$ in the right hand side by an arbitrary approximating function.

Definition 1.1. Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, say that $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ is *uniformly f -approximable*¹, or *f -uniform* for brevity, if for every sufficiently large $t > 0$ one can find $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ with

$$(1.2) \quad \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}\| \leq f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{q}\| \leq t.$$

Denote by $\text{UA}_{m,n}(f)$ the set of f -uniform $m \times n$ matrices, and by $\text{UA}_{m,n}^*(f)$ the set of totally irrational $A \in \text{UA}_{m,n}(f)$.

We remark that in general the field of *uniform Diophantine approximation* deals with the solvability of systems of type (1.1) or (1.2) for *all large enough* values of t , as opposed to the (much better understood) theory of *asymptotic approximation*. There one can define the sets $\mathcal{A}_{m,n}(f)$ of f -approximable $m \times n$ matrices, where those systems are required to have integer solutions for *an unbounded set* of $t > 0$.

Dirichlet's Theorem asserts that $\text{UA}_{m,n}(\phi_{n/m}) = M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, where we use the notation $\phi_a(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t^{-a}$. Also one clearly has

$$\text{Sing}_{m,n} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{UA}_{m,n}(\varepsilon \phi_{n/m}),$$

and, more generally, $\text{UA}_{m,n}(f) \subset \text{Sing}_{m,n}$ as long as f satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad t^{n/m} f(t) \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It is well-known (see [C, §V.7]) that the set of singular matrices is a nullset in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, and hence so is the set of f -uniform matrices for f satisfying (1.3). It is also clear that matrices A such that $A\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}$ for some $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ are in $\text{UA}_{m,n}(f)$ for any f . On the other hand we have:

Theorem 1.2 (Jarník [J3]). *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (a) *If $n > 1$, then for any non-increasing $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the set of totally irrational f -uniform $m \times n$ matrices is uncountable and dense.*

¹This property has also been called ' f -Dirichlet' and ' f -singular' in the literature, see e.g. [KWa] and [DFSU].

(b) *If, in addition, one assumes that*

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} tf(t) = \infty,$$

then for any $m > 1$ the set of totally irrational f -uniform $1 \times n$ matrices (column vectors) is uncountable and dense.

Remark 1.3. The above theorem is often stated in a simplified form by means of introducing the notion of the *uniform Diophantine exponent* $\hat{\omega}(A)$ to be the supremum of a so that A is ϕ_a -uniform. In other words,

$$(1.5) \quad \hat{\omega}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ a > 0 \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for all large enough } t > 0 \\ \exists \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m \\ \text{such that (1.2) holds for } f = \phi_a \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Then Theorem 1.2 asserts that there exist uncountably many totally irrational $m \times n$ matrices A with $\hat{\omega}(A) = \infty$ whenever $n > 1$, and uncountably many totally irrational $m \times 1$ matrices (column vectors) \mathbf{x} with $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$.

Note that in the column vector case the extra condition (1.4) cannot be removed: indeed, if \mathbf{x} is such that $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) > 1$, then one has $\hat{\omega}(x_i) > 1$ for every component x_i of \mathbf{x} , which necessarily implies that $x_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all i . See also a discussion in [DFSU, §3.2].

The argument of Khintchine and Jarník has been utilized and extended by many people, e.g. [Da, W, KWe2]. Most recently, in [KMW] three of the authors of the present paper in the case $m = 1$ showed that there exist uncountably many f -uniform row vectors on certain submanifolds and fractals. As a special case, they showed that if Y is either a connected analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension at least 2 not contained in a rational affine hyperplane, or a product $\mathcal{C} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}$ of $n \geq 2$ copies of the middle-third Cantor set \mathcal{C} , then Y contains uncountably many f -uniform vectors for any approximating function f .

1.2. Diophantine systems. The goal of this paper is to strengthen the aforementioned results, namely provide conditions on countably many maps $\{\varphi_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ from some metric space Y to $M_{m_k, n_k}(\mathbb{R})$ under which there is an uncountable and dense set of $y \in Y$ for which $\varphi_k(y)$ are f -uniform for every k . All this will follow from an abstract result (Theorem 1.5) which will generalize many constructions of singular/uniform objects existing in the literature. The proof of Theorem 1.5 utilizes once again the original strategy introduced by Khintchine.

In order to state our construction in full generality we introduce the notion of a *Diophantine system*, which is a triple $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$, where:

- X is a topological space;
- $\mathcal{D} = \{d_s : s \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is a sequence of continuous functions on X taking nonnegative values (*generalized distance functions*);
- $\mathcal{H} = \{h_s : s \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers; we will say that h_s is the *height* associated with d_s .

Here \mathcal{I} is a fixed countable set² of indices. This generalizes the definition of a *Diophantine space* originally introduced in [FSU]; namely, by a Diophantine space one means a triple (X, \mathcal{R}, H) , where X is a complete metric space, \mathcal{R} is a countable dense subset of X , and $H : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ gives the heights of points in \mathcal{R} . To view it as a Diophantine system as in our definition one can simply enumerate the elements of $\mathcal{R} = \{r_s : s \in \mathcal{I}\}$ and let $d_s(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{dist}(x, r_s)$ and $h_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(r_s)$. Similarly one can treat a more general situation when \mathcal{R} is a countable collection of closed subsets of X (*resonant sets*), which in our notation are simply the zero sets of the generalized distance functions d_s . Our setup however makes it possible to define the distance from each individual set in a different way, as well as count the same set several (perhaps infinitely many) times.

Now suppose we are given a Diophantine system $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$. Then we can introduce the notion of f -uniform points for any function $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ (the *approximating function*):

Definition 1.4. $x \in X$ is (\mathcal{X}, f) -uniform if for every sufficiently large t one can find $s \in \mathcal{I}$ such that

$$(1.6) \quad d_s(x) \leq f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad h_s \leq t.$$

We will denote by $\text{UA}_{\mathcal{X}}(f)$ the set of (\mathcal{X}, f) -uniform points of X .

As the reader can easily check, the following choices define a Diophantine system, which we refer to as the *standard Diophantine system* $\mathcal{X}_{m,n}$:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} X &= M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}^m \times (\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}), \\ h_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} &= \|\mathbf{q}\|, \quad d_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(A) = \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}\|. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that a matrix is $(\mathcal{X}_{m,n}, f)$ -uniform if and only if it is f -uniform in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Trivially every point in the union of resonant sets $d_s^{-1}(\{0\})$ is (\mathcal{X}, f) -uniform for any choice of \mathcal{H} and f . In the next section we will state our main theorem, which provides a set of conditions guaranteeing the existence of uncountably many non-trivial (\mathcal{X}, f) -uniform points. More generally, it involves an auxiliary metric space Y and countably many maps from Y to possibly different metric spaces X_i , each endowed with its own Diophantine system.

1.3. The main result. To state our main abstract theorem, it will be convenient to introduce some more terminology. Let Y be a metric space and let \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R} be two collections of proper closed subsets of Y . Say that \mathcal{L} is *totally dense relative to* \mathcal{R} if

$$(1.8) \quad \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}} L \text{ is dense in } Y,$$

²For our exposition it will be convenient to have a freedom of choice of \mathcal{I} instead of always using $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{N}$.

and

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \forall \text{ open } W \subset Y, \forall L \in \mathcal{L} \text{ such that } L \cap W \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } \forall R \in \mathcal{R} \\ & \exists L' \in \mathcal{L} \text{ such that } L' \cap L \cap W \neq \emptyset \text{ and } L' \not\subset R. \end{aligned}$$

We will say that \mathcal{L} is *totally dense* if it is totally dense relative to the collection of all closed nowhere dense subsets of Y . In this case (1.9) is equivalent to

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \forall \text{ open } W \subset Y \text{ and } \forall L \in \mathcal{L} \text{ such that } L \cap W \neq \emptyset \\ & \text{the closure of } \bigcup_{L' \in \mathcal{L}: L' \cap L \cap W \neq \emptyset} L' \text{ has a non-empty interior.} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for any $1 \leq d < n$ the collection \mathcal{L} of d -dimensional rational affine subspaces of $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$ is totally dense, with the union in (1.9) being dense in \mathbb{R}^n . More examples will be described later in the paper. On the other hand, the collection of straight lines in \mathbb{R}^3 that are parallel to one of the coordinate axes satisfies properties (1.8) and (1.9) with $\mathcal{R} =$ all planes in \mathbb{R}^3 , but not (1.10).

Here is another definition which will be important for us throughout the paper. Let us say that \mathcal{L} *respects* a subset R of Y if whenever $L \in \mathcal{L}$ is such that $L \cap R$ has non-empty interior in L (in the topology induced from Y), it follows that $L \subset R$. In other words, elements L of \mathcal{L} are not allowed to behave disrespectfully by intersecting R in an open set and then wandering off. We will say that \mathcal{L} *respects* \mathcal{R} if it respects every $R \in \mathcal{R}$. An example: if \mathcal{L} is either connected or has no isolated points, it respects any singleton $\{y\} \subset Y$. Another example is given by collections \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} consisting of analytic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n (where we equip them with the metric inherited from the ambient space \mathbb{R}^n) such that every $L \in \mathcal{L}$ is connected, and every $R \in \mathcal{R}$ is closed; see Lemma 3.2 for more details.

Our final definition involves a collection \mathcal{L} of proper closed subsets of Y , a Diophantine system $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$, and a map $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$. Let us say that \mathcal{L} is *aligned with \mathcal{X} via φ* if for any $L \in \mathcal{L}$ there exists $s \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $d_s|_{\varphi(L)} \equiv 0$; in other words, if $\varphi(L)$ is contained in one of the resonant sets $d_s^{-1}(\{0\})$. In the special case when $Y = X$ and $\varphi = \text{Id}$ we will simply say that \mathcal{L} is aligned with \mathcal{X} . Note that this property is independent both of the choice of the heights functions h_s and of a reparametrization of generalized distance functions as long as their zero sets are fixed.

Now we are ready to state the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 1.5. *Let Y be a locally compact metric space. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ suppose we are given a Diophantine system*

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{X}_k = (X_k, \mathcal{D}_k = \{d_{k,s} : s \in \mathcal{I}\}, \mathcal{H}_k = \{h_{k,s} : s \in \mathcal{I}\})$$

and a non-increasing function $f_k : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and let φ_k be a continuous map from Y to X_k . Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} be countable collections of closed subsets of Y such that \mathcal{L} is totally dense relative to \mathcal{R} , respects \mathcal{R} , and is aligned with \mathcal{X}_k via φ_k for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:

(a) *the set*

$$(1.12) \quad \bigcap_k \varphi_k^{-1}(\text{UA}_{\mathcal{X}_k}(f_k)) \setminus \bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} R$$

is dense in Y .

(b) *In addition, if every $L \in \mathcal{L}$ is either connected or has no isolated points, the set (1.12) is uncountable.*

Remark 1.6. A few comments are in order.

- (1) In the above statement we are working with an *indexed collection* \mathcal{X}_k , which is shorthand for a function $k \mapsto \mathcal{X}_k$; we do not assume that this function is injective. Also it might happen that the underlying set X_k of $\mathcal{X}_k = (X_k, \mathcal{D}_k, \mathcal{H}_k)$ arises several times, with distinct generalized distance functions or height functions.
- (2) Neither the approximation functions f_k nor the heights \mathcal{H}_k appear in the conditions of the theorem. Moreover, the collections \mathcal{D}_k of generalized distance functions appear only through their zero sets $d_{k,s}^{-1}(\{0\})$. Thus if the assumptions of the above theorem are satisfied, then they are satisfied for all positive non-increasing functions f_k , all choices of the heights, and all choices of generalized distance functions as long as their zero sets are fixed.
- (3) It is easy to see that Theorem 1.5 holds for finite intersections, that is, when the set of indices k is finite; this follows immediately from the statement of the theorem, taking $f_k, \varphi_k, \mathcal{X}_k$ the same for all sufficiently large k .

Theorem 1.5 is proved in §2, and in §3 we show that it implies a strengthening of Theorem 1.2(a), making it possible to avoid countably many proper analytic submanifolds in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ (see Theorem 3.3). In the remainder of the introduction we present a list of additional applications of Theorem 1.5.

1.4. Matrices uniformly approximable with different weights. Many results in Diophantine approximation extend to *approximation with weights*, an approach in which one treats differently different linear forms A_i (the rows of A), as well as different components of \mathbf{q} .

For an $(m+n)$ -tuple of positive weights³ $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m+n}$, where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, let us introduce *quasi-norms*

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_i |x_i|^{1/\alpha_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_j |y_j|^{1/\beta_j}$$

on \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n respectively. Then, for f as above, one says that $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ is $(f, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ -uniform, denoted by $A \in \text{UA}_{m,n}(f, \boldsymbol{\omega})$, if for every sufficiently large

³In the literature it is often assumed that the weight vectors are normalized so that $\sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_j \beta_j = 1$, but for this work it makes no difference, since our results are valid for arbitrary choice of approximating functions.

t one can find $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ with

$$(1.13) \quad \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}\|_\alpha \leq f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{q}\|_\beta \leq t.$$

In other words, we are considering the solvability of the system

$$\begin{cases} |A_i \mathbf{q} - p_i| \leq f(t)^{\alpha_i}, & i = 1, \dots, m; \\ |q_j| \leq t^{\beta_j}, & j = 1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

See [KR] for a discussion. Clearly the unweighted case corresponds to the choice $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (1, \dots, 1)$. As in Definition 1.1, let us denote by $\text{UA}_{m,n}^*(f, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ the set of totally irrational $A \in \text{UA}_{m,n}(f, \boldsymbol{\omega})$.

It was essentially shown in [KMW] that for any $n \geq 2$, any $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n+1}$ and every positive non-increasing function f , there are uncountably many totally irrational row vectors which are $(f, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ -uniform. Using Theorem 1.5 we can extend these results to matrices which are simultaneously $(f_k, \boldsymbol{\omega}_k)$ -uniform for countably many k .

Theorem 1.7. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (a) *For any sequence $\boldsymbol{\omega}_1, \boldsymbol{\omega}_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m+n}$ of weight vectors and any sequence f_1, f_2, \dots of positive non-increasing functions, the intersection $\bigcap_k \text{UA}_{m,n}^*(f_k, \boldsymbol{\omega}_k)$ is dense and uncountable.*
- (b) *The intersection $\bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m+n}} \text{UA}_{m,n}^*(f, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is dense and uncountable for any positive non-increasing f .*

In §3 we prove an even stronger statement, with extra uniformity in choosing approximating vectors and making it possible to avoid countably many proper analytic submanifolds (see Theorem 3.4).

1.5. Uniform approximation of higher order on submanifolds. In §4 we show that in the row vector case ($m = 1$) vectors satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 1.7 exist on many manifolds and fractals. For example the following theorem, which is a special case of Theorem 4.2, was already proved in [KMW]:

Theorem 1.8. *Let Y be a connected analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension at least 2 not contained in a rational affine hyperplane, and let f be any positive non-increasing function. Then the intersection of $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f)$ with Y is dense and uncountable.*

Our proof, based on Theorem 1.5, makes it possible to streamline the argument and derive other related results. In particular, one benefit of our level of abstraction is that we can similarly study uniform approximation of higher order. Let us introduce the following

Definition 1.9. Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $1 \leq g \leq n$, say that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is f -uniform of order g (notation: $\mathbf{x} \in \text{UA}_{1,n}(f; g)$, or $\mathbf{x} \in \text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f; g)$ if \mathbf{x} is totally irrational) if for every sufficiently large $t > 0$ one can find linearly independent $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_g$ in \mathbb{Z}^n and $p_1, \dots, p_g \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, g\}, \quad \|\mathbf{q}_i\| \leq t \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} - p_i| \leq f(t).$$

Note that any rational affine subspace of codimension g is an intersection of g rational affine hyperplanes with linearly independent normal vectors, and hence its every point is f -uniform of order g for any f . The following generalization of Theorem 1.8 is a special case of Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 1.10. *Let Y be a connected analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension $d \geq 2$ not contained in a rational affine hyperplane, let f be any positive non-increasing function, and let $1 \leq g \leq d - 1$. Then the intersection of Y with $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f; g)$ is dense and uncountable.*

1.6. Vectors which are k -singular for all k . A vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called k -singular [KPW] if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $Q_0 > 0$ so that for all $Q > Q_0$ there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that

$$\deg(P) \leq k, \quad H(P) \leq Q, \quad \text{and} \quad |P(\mathbf{x})| \leq \varepsilon Q^{-N(k,n)}.$$

Here $H(P)$ is the *height* of P , defined as the maximum of the absolute value of the coefficients of P , $\deg(P)$ is the total degree of P , and

$$(1.14) \quad N(k, n) = \binom{k+n}{n} - 1.$$

Note that when $k = 1$, k -singularity is the same as singularity of \mathbf{x} considered as a row vector in $M_{1,n}(\mathbb{R})$, and the existence of singular vectors in certain manifolds and fractals is one of the main results of [KMW].

The study of k -singular vectors is related to approximation of \mathbf{x} by vectors with algebraic coefficients of degree at most k ; see e.g. [Y]. Following [KPW], let us say that \mathbf{x} is k -algebraic if there is a nonzero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $P(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $\deg P = k$, and we say it is algebraic if it is k -algebraic for some k . It is easy to see that a k -algebraic vector is k' -singular for every $k' \geq k$, and it was shown in [KPW] that for $n \geq 2$ and for each k there are k -singular vectors which are not k -algebraic. In §5 we show:

Theorem 1.11. *If $n \geq 2$, then there is a dense uncountable set of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that \mathbf{x} is k -singular for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, but \mathbf{x} is not algebraic.*

Theorem 1.11 answers a question raised in [KPW, §2.3] regarding the existence of vectors which are (k, ε) -Dirichlet improvable for some fixed ε , for all positive integers k . Note that we are going to prove a statement much more general than Theorem 1.11; namely, we will discuss vectors that are uniformly f_k -approximable of degree k for each k , where f_k is a sequence of arbitrary positive non-increasing functions; moreover, those vectors will be found in many manifolds and fractals.

1.7. $\text{Sing}_{1,n}^* + \text{Sing}_{1,n}^* = \mathbb{R}^n$ and generalizations. Here we consider the case $m = 1$. It is known [DFSU] that for rapidly decaying f the sets $\text{UA}_{1,n}(f)$ have very small Hausdorff dimension. The next theorem shows that when $n \geq 3$ the sum of two such sets is the whole space.

Theorem 1.12. *If $n \geq 3$, then for any two non-increasing functions $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ one has*

$$\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f_1) + \text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f_2) = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

See Schleisitz [Schl, §3] for related work. We prove this theorem in §6 in a more general weighted form, and discuss various extensions.

1.8. Improved rates of singularity. Recall from Theorem 1.2 that for $n > 1$, for any positive non-increasing f one can find an $m \times n$ matrix which is totally irrational and f -uniform. For $n = 1$ and $m > 1$, that is for the case of column vectors, this is no longer the case. Indeed, as was mentioned in Remark 1.3, for all totally irrational $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \cong M_{m,1}(\mathbb{R})$ one has $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$, and, according to Theorem 1.2(b), this maximum value is attained on an uncountable dense set.

It is natural to inquire about the value of $\hat{\omega}$ that vectors in certain fractals and submanifolds may attain. One can approach this question by the standard transference argument as in [C, Ch. V, §2, Thm. II] and [J1] and show that any column vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \cong M_{n,1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}^T) = \infty$ satisfies

$$(1.15) \quad \hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

Therefore an application of Theorem 1.8 coupled with transference will produce, for manifolds Y as in that theorem, a dense uncountable set of $\mathbf{x} \in Y$ satisfying (1.15).

Is it natural to seek an improvement of the above bound; however replacing $\frac{1}{n-1}$ with 1 on an arbitrary analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n is an impossible task. Indeed, in [KMo] it was shown that certain submanifolds do not contain column vectors \mathbf{x} with $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$. Namely, letting $H_n \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ be the unique positive root of the equation $x + \dots + x^{n+1} = 1$, any \mathbf{x} in the sphere $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum x_i^2 = 1\}$ satisfies $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) \leq H_{n-1}$, and any \mathbf{x} in the paraboloid $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_n = \sum_{i < n} x_i^2\}$ satisfies $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) \leq H_n$. Furthermore, in [KMW] it was shown that there are d -dimensional affine subspaces $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that any $\mathbf{x} \in L$ satisfies $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{d+1}{n-d}$, which is strictly smaller than one for $d < n - 2$. In §7, using uniform approximation of higher order, i.e. Theorem 1.10, we are able to improve the bound in (1.15) and prove the following

Theorem 1.13. *Let Y be a connected analytic submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong M_{n,1}(\mathbb{R})$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ not contained in a rational affine hyperplane. Suppose that a function f satisfies*

$$(1.16) \quad t^{\frac{1}{n-d+1}} \cdot f(t) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{monotonically as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the intersection of $\text{UA}_{n,1}^(f)$ with Y is dense and uncountable. In particular, there exists a dense and uncountable set of totally irrational $\mathbf{x} \in Y$ satisfying $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{1}{n-d+1}$.*

1.9. Other applications. In the last section of the paper we briefly survey a few other settings where our main theorem can be applied. This includes: a relationship between the conclusion of our main theorem and the absence of Kan–Moshchevitin phenomenon as exhibited in [KaMo]; Diophantine approximation with restrictions on \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} ; inhomogeneous approximation; approximating subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d by rational subspaces. Proofs will appear in a sequel to this paper.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Yitwah Cheung, Vasilii Nekrasov, Nicolas de Saxcé and Damien Roy for helpful discussions. The authors are grateful for funding by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-2155111, the Austrian Science Fund FWF, Project I 5554, the Israel Science Foundation under grants ISF 2019/19 and ISF-NSFC 3739/21, and the Zuckerman STEM Leadership Program.

2. PROOF OF THE ABSTRACT RESULT

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Recall that the statement of the theorem involves two countable collections \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} of subsets of Y . In the course of the proof we will *index* those collections by \mathbb{N} , writing $\mathcal{L} = \{L_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \{R_\ell : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$. That is, essentially we will work with functions $i \mapsto L_i$ and $\ell \mapsto R_\ell$, and will not assume these functions to be injective.

Take a non-empty open $W \subset Y$, which, since Y is locally compact, we can assume to be relatively compact. We will inductively construct a nested sequence of open sets $U_\ell \subseteq U_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W$, an increasing sequence $T_\ell \rightarrow \infty$, and a sequence of indices i_ℓ so that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$:

- (i) $\emptyset \neq \overline{U_\ell} \subseteq U_{\ell-1}$,
- (ii) $L_{i_\ell} \cap U_\ell \neq \emptyset$ and $U_\ell \cap R_\ell = \emptyset$,
- (iii) for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ there exists $s = s(k, \ell) \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $d_{k,s(k,\ell)} \equiv 0$ on $\varphi_k(L_{i_\ell})$ and $h_{k,s(k,\ell)} \leq T_\ell$,
- (iv) for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$ and all $x \in U_\ell$, $d_{k,s(k,\ell-1)}(\varphi_k(x)) < f_k(T_\ell)$, where $s(k, \ell - 1)$ is as in (iii) (for $\ell - 1$).

Step 1: Sufficiency. Let us first check that this is sufficient for Part (a) of the theorem. First observe that (i) and the relative compactness of W imply that $\bigcap_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{U_\ell}$ is non-empty. We claim that

$$x \in \bigcap_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{U_\ell} \implies \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi_k(x) \text{ is } (\mathcal{X}_k, f_k)\text{-uniform.}$$

Indeed, for any k take $T \geq T_k$, and let ℓ be such that $T_\ell \leq T < T_{\ell+1}$; then clearly $\ell \geq k$. Take $s = s(k, \ell)$. Then $h_{k,s} \leq T_\ell \leq T$ by (iii), and by (iv) and since f_k is non-increasing and $x \in U_{\ell+1}$, we have that

$$d_{k,s}(\varphi_k(x)) < f_k(T_{\ell+1}) \leq f_k(T).$$

Therefore $\varphi_k(x)$ is (\mathcal{X}_k, f_k) -uniform. Also from (ii) it follows that $x \notin \bigcup_\ell R_\ell$. Thus x belongs to the set (1.12), which implies its density and finishes the proof of (a).

Step 2: Base case of induction. By the total density of \mathcal{L} relative to \mathcal{R} there exists i_1 so that

$$L_{i_1} \cap W \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad L_{i_1} \not\subset R_1.$$

Since \mathcal{L} is aligned with \mathcal{X}_1 via φ_1 , there exists $s = s(1, 1)$ such that $\varphi_1(L_{i_1})$ is contained in $d_{1,s}^{-1}(\{0\})$. Choose T_1 so that $T_1 > h_{1,s}$, and let $z \in L_{i_1} \cap W$.

Choose a neighborhood \hat{U}_1 of z so that $\overline{\hat{U}_1} \subset W$. Since \mathcal{L} respects R_1 and the latter is closed, there is an open set $U_1 \subset \hat{U}_1$ such that $L_{i_1} \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $U_1 \cap R_1 = \emptyset$. This choice ensures that (ii) holds; (iii) holds by the choice of s and T_1 , and (i) holds since $U_1 \subset \hat{U}_1$ and $U_0 = W$. Item (iv) is vacuous for $\ell = 1$. This completes the base case.

Step 3: Inductive step. Assume we have U_ℓ, T_ℓ, i_ℓ satisfying the inductive hypotheses. In view of (1.9) and (ii), there exists $i_{\ell+1}$ so that

$$L_{i_{\ell+1}} \cap L_{i_\ell} \cap U_\ell \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad L_{i_{\ell+1}} \not\subset R_{\ell+1}.$$

Since for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the collection \mathcal{L} is aligned with \mathcal{X}_k via φ_k , for each $k = 1, \dots, \ell+1$ there is $s(k, \ell+1) \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\varphi_k(L_{i_{\ell+1}}) \subset d_{k,s(k,\ell+1)}^{-1}(\{0\})$. Let

$$T_{\ell+1} > \max \left(T_\ell \cup \{h_{k,s(k,\ell+1)}\} : k = 1, \dots, \ell+1 \right),$$

and let $z \in L_{i_{\ell+1}} \cap L_{i_\ell} \cap U_\ell$. Since for $k = 1, \dots, \ell$ the functions $d_{k,s(k,\ell)} \circ \varphi_k$ are continuous and vanish at $z \in L_{i_\ell}$, there is a neighborhood $\hat{U}_{\ell+1}$ of z such that $\overline{\hat{U}_{\ell+1}} \subset U_\ell$ and

$$d_{k,s(k,\ell)}(\varphi_k(y)) < f_k(T_{\ell+1}) \quad \text{for all } y \in \hat{U}_{\ell+1}.$$

Again, since $R_{\ell+1}$ is closed and is respected by \mathcal{L} , it follows that there is an open set $U_{\ell+1} \subset \hat{U}_{\ell+1}$ such that

$$L_{i_{\ell+1}} \cap U_{\ell+1} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad U_{\ell+1} \cap R_{\ell+1} = \emptyset.$$

This choice, and the inductive hypothesis, ensure that (ii) holds for $\ell+1$; (iii) holds for $\ell+1$ by the choice of $s(k, \ell+1)$ and $T_{\ell+1}$, and (i) and (iv) hold for $\ell+1$ since $U_{\ell+1} \subset \hat{U}_{\ell+1}$. This finishes the proof of (a).

Step 4: Part (b). The second part of Theorem 1.5 easily follows from (a). Indeed, arguing by contradiction, assume that the set (1.12) consists of countably many points $\mathcal{R}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then one can replace \mathcal{R} with $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}_0$ which will still satisfy (1.9) and be respected by \mathcal{L} (here we use the fact that every $L \in \mathcal{L}$ is either connected or has no isolated points). Thus the set (1.12) with this new choice of \mathcal{R} will be empty, contradicting Theorem 1.5(a). \square

3. WEIGHTED SIMULTANEOUS APPROXIMATION

In this section we consider the standard Diophantine system $\mathcal{X}_{m,n}$ as in (1.7). We are going to apply Theorem 1.5 with $\varphi_k = \text{Id}$ for each k and with the collection \mathcal{L} parametrized by the direct product of \mathbb{Z}^m and $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Namely we will consider the collection

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} : \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m\}, \\ \text{where } L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : A\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove the following

Proposition 3.1. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$, and let Y be a non-empty open subset of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the collection*

$$(3.2) \quad \{L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \cap Y : \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m\}$$

is totally dense.

Proof. Clearly $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, and, furthermore, $L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} \cap M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}$ for any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. Moreover, any $B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Q})$ lies in an $L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}$ for some $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$. This implies (1.8).

Now fix $(\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \times (\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\})$ such that $L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, and choose an open $W \subset Y$ which intersects $L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0}$ non-trivially. Then one can pick an arbitrary

$$B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0} \cap W,$$

let E_B be the union of all the subspaces $L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}$ containing B (this clearly includes $L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0}$), and write

$$\begin{aligned} E_B &= \bigcup_{(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \times (\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}) : B\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}} \{A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : A\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, B\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \{A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : A\mathbf{q} = B\mathbf{q}\} \\ &= B + \bigcup_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, B\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \{C \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : C\mathbf{q} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the set of $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $B\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ contains $N\mathbb{Z}^n$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore one has

$$E_B \supset B + \{C \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Q}) : \text{rank } C < n\}.$$

If $n > m$ it is clear that E_B contains $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Q})$, which readily implies the total density of the collection (3.2) in an even stronger form: namely, that the union in (1.10) is dense in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$.

In general we see that $\overline{E_B} = B + R_{<n}$, where

$$R_{<n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{C \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : \text{rank } C < n\},$$

which is a proper algebraic subvariety of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ if $n \leq m$. Now let us consider the union of the sets E_B over all $B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0} \cap W$, and then take the closure, which is easily seen to have the following form:

$$\overline{\bigcup_{B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0} \cap W} E_B} = \{B \in \overline{W} : B\mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{p}_0\} + R_{<n}.$$

If $n = 1$ then $E_B = \{B\}$, and the above closure coincides with $L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{q}_0} \cap \overline{W}$, hence no total density. Now suppose that $1 < n \leq m$, and let $D \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\mathbf{q}_0 = D\mathbf{e}_n$. Then one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \{B \in \overline{W} : B\mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{p}_0\} + R_{<n} &= \{B \in \overline{W} : BD\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{p}_0\} + R_{<n} \\ &= (\{A \in \overline{W} : A\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{p}_0\} + R_{<n})D^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since $R_{<n}$ is invariant under right-multiplication by invertible matrices. Thus it suffices to prove the claim under the assumption that $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{e}_n$, that is, to show that for any non-empty open $W \subset M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ and any $\mathbf{p}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, the set $\{A \in \overline{W} : A\mathbf{e}_n = \mathbf{p}_0\} + R_{<n}$ contains some open neighborhood V of $L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{e}_n} \cap W$.

For that it will be convenient to write elements of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ in a column-vector notation. Namely, we can write

$$L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{e}_n} \cap W = \{[\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \ \mathbf{p}_0] : [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_{n-1}] \in W'\}$$

for some non-empty open subset W' of $M_{m \times (n-1)}$. Then it becomes clear that we can take

$$V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \ \mathbf{b}] : [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_{n-1}] \in W', \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m\},$$

simply because any matrix $[\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \ \mathbf{b}]$ with $[\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_{n-1}] \in W'$ can be written as

$$[\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_{n-1} \ \mathbf{p}_0] + [\mathbf{0} \ \cdots \ \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{p}_0] \in (L_{\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{e}_n} \cap W) + R_{<n}.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

With the help of this proposition we can easily derive Theorem 1.2(a) from Theorem 1.5. Indeed, it is clear that the collection \mathcal{L} as in (3.1) is aligned with $\mathcal{X}_{m,n}$, and its total density is provided by Proposition 3.1. Finally we can let \mathcal{R} be the collection of all proper rational affine subspaces of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$. Obviously if L and R are two subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space such that $L \cap R$ has non-empty interior in L , then $\dim(L \cap R) = \dim(L)$, which implies that $L \subset R$. Hence \mathcal{L} respects any subspace of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$. This verifies all the conditions of Theorem 1.5; and since elements of \mathcal{L} have no isolated points, part (ii) implies the uncountability of $\text{UA}_{m,n}^*(f)$ for any positive non-increasing f .

More generally, one can strengthen Theorem 1.2(a) using the fact that \mathcal{L} respects closed analytic submanifolds of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$. Recall that $\Psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, where U is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d with $d \leq k$, is called a *real analytic immersion* if it is injective, each of its coordinate functions $\Psi_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) is infinitely differentiable, the Taylor series of each Ψ_i converges in

a neighborhood of every $\mathbf{x} \in U$, and the derivative mapping $d_{\mathbf{x}}\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ has rank d . By a *d-dimensional real analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^k* we mean a subset $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ such that for every $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ there is a neighborhood $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ containing \mathbf{y} , an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and a real analytic immersion $\Psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $V \cap Y = \Psi(U)$.

The crucial property, which distinguishes real analytic submanifolds from smooth manifolds and follows easily from definitions, is the following

Lemma 3.2. *Let L, R be real analytic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^d (where we equip them with the topology inherited from the ambient space \mathbb{R}^d). Suppose that the intersection $L \cap R$ has nonempty interior in L , then this intersection is open in L ; and thus, if additionally L is connected and R is closed, then $L \subset R$.*

Arguing as in the above proof of Theorem 1.2(a), we arrive at

Theorem 3.3. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$, and let a non-increasing function $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and an arbitrary countable collection $\{R_\ell\}$ of proper closed analytic submanifolds of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ be given. Then $\text{UA}_{m,n}(f) \setminus \cup_\ell R_\ell$ is uncountable and dense in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$.*

Now recall that, as was mentioned in Remark 1.6(2), once the conditions of Theorem 1.5 are met, its conclusion follows regardless of how one modifies height functions and distance functions without changing the zero loci of the latter. In particular one can choose a weight vector $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m+n}$ as in §1.4 and, again with $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}^m \times (\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\})$, define

$$(3.3) \quad h_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\mathbf{q}\|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}\|_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}.$$

Then the same proof as above readily produces not only part (a) of Theorem 1.7, but also its stronger version with rational affine subspaces replaced by an arbitrary collection of proper analytic submanifolds of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$.

But in fact one can do much more. Take a subset \mathcal{W} of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m+n}$ and say that $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ is *(f, W)-uniform*, denoted with some abuse of notation by $A \in \text{UA}_{m,n}(f, \mathcal{W})$, if for every sufficiently large t one can find $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that for any $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \in \mathcal{W}$ the inequalities (1.13) hold. Clearly this is stronger than being *(f, ω)-uniform* for any $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathcal{W}$. Now let us say that \mathcal{W} as above is *away from walls* (cf. [KWe1]), abbreviated as AFW, if

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{m+n}) \in \mathcal{W}} \min_i \omega_i > 0.$$

The next statement follows from Theorem 1.5 as easily as the previous one did. It immediately implies both parts of Theorem 1.7.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$. Suppose that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we are given a non-increasing function $f_k : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and an AFW subset \mathcal{W}_k of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m+n}$. Then for any countable collection $\{R_\ell\}$ of proper analytic*

submanifolds of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, the set

$$\bigcap_k \text{UA}_{m,n}(f_k, \mathcal{W}_k) \setminus \bigcup_\ell R_\ell$$

is uncountable and dense in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, the set

$$\bigcap_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m+n}} \text{UA}_{m,n}(f, \omega) \setminus \bigcup_\ell R_\ell$$

is uncountable and dense in $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $\{R_\ell\}$ as above and any non-increasing positive function f .

Proof. Again we take $Y = M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) = X_k$, $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}^m \times (\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\})$, and $\varphi_k = \text{Id}$ for each k . But now the Diophantine systems associated with each X_k will be different. Namely we will let

$$(3.4) \quad h_{k,(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}_k} \|\mathbf{q}\|_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad d_{k,(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}_k} \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}\|_\alpha.$$

Because of the AFW property of each of the sets \mathcal{W}_k , for any (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) and any k one has $h_{k,(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})} < \infty$, and also $d_{k,(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})}(A) = 0$ if and only if $A \in L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}$. Thus the same argument, in view of Remark 1.6(2), yields the proof of the first part of the theorem. And for the ‘in particular’ part one simply writes $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m+n}$ as the union of countably many AFW subsets. \square

4. UNIFORMLY APPROXIMABLE ROW VECTORS ON ANALYTIC SUBMANIFOLDS AND SOME FRACTALS

Our next goal is to apply Theorem 1.5 to study uniform approximation on submanifolds Y of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$. It is clear that the method we are using in this paper (which is essentially Khintchine’s original argument) is not applicable if $\dim Y = 1$; and indeed, there are very few results in the literature dealing with singular vectors on curves, with many open questions, see e.g. [PR] and references therein. However the method does work if $m = 1$, Y is connected analytic submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong M_{1,n}(\mathbb{R})$, and the dimension of Y is at least 2, see Theorem 1.8.

In this section we will generalize the aforementioned theorem. But first let us observe that the situation gets more complicated when $\min(m, n) > 1$. The next proposition gives an example of a three-dimensional submanifold (in fact, an affine subspace) of $M_{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ which does not contain any f -uniform matrices if f decays rapidly enough. Let us recall that a real number α is badly approximable if

$$(4.1) \quad \inf_{q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} q \text{ dist}(\alpha q, \mathbb{Z}) > 0.$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be a badly approximable number, let $\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{5}+3}{2}$, and let Y be the set of 2×2 matrices of the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$. Then*

$\hat{\omega}(A) \leq \lambda$ for any totally irrational $A \in Y$; that is, no totally irrational $A \in Y$ is f -uniform as long as f decays faster than ϕ_λ .

Proof. We need to recall the notion of an ordinary Diophantine exponent, which is an asymptotic version of (1.5); namely,

$$(4.2) \quad \omega(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \left\{ a > 0 \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for an unbounded set of } t > 0 \\ \exists \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m \\ \text{such that (1.2) holds for } f = \phi_a \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ = \inf \left\{ a > 0 : \inf_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \|\mathbf{q}\|^a \text{dist}(A\mathbf{q}, \mathbb{Z}^m) > 0 \right\},$$

where the distance is computed using the supremum norm on \mathbb{R}^m . It follows from (4.1) that $\omega(\alpha) \leq 1$. Take an arbitrary $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1, \alpha, \beta$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and consider $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly we have

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} q \text{dist}(\mathbf{v}q, \mathbb{Z}^2) > 0;$$

hence $\omega(\mathbf{v}) \leq 1$ as well.

Now we will use the inequalities due to Jarník relating ordinary and uniform exponents of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{v}^T :

$$(4.3) \quad \omega(\mathbf{v}) \geq \frac{\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{v})^2}{1 - \hat{\omega}(\mathbf{v})}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \hat{\omega}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{v}^T)} = 1$$

(see [J1] and [J2] respectively). It follows from (4.3) that $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{v}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$, and from (4.4) we can obtain $\hat{\omega}(\mathbf{v}^T) \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}+3}{2} = \lambda$. Thus for any $A \in M_{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ with (α, β) as its row vector we have $\hat{\omega}(A) \leq \hat{\omega}(\mathbf{v}^T) \leq \lambda$. \square

Our next result shows that such examples are impossible when $m = 1$ and the dimension d of a submanifold Y of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong M_{1,n}$ is at least 2. Further, we will exhibit vectors in Y which are f -uniform of order $d - 1$, as defined in §1.5.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \geq 2$, let Y be a d -dimensional connected analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , where $d \geq 2$, and let $1 \leq g \leq d - 1$. Suppose we are given an arbitrary non-increasing function $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a countable collection $\{R_\ell\}$ of proper closed analytic submanifolds of Y . Then the set*

$$(4.5) \quad Y \cap \text{UA}_{1,n}(f; g) \setminus \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} R_\ell$$

is uncountable and dense in Y . In particular, if one in addition assumes that Y is not contained in any proper rational affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then the set $Y \cap \text{UA}_{1,n}^(f; g)$ is uncountable and dense in Y .*

To prove Theorem 4.2 we first need to describe the Diophantine system responsible for approximation of order g . Unwrapping Definition 1.9, we can easily see that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is f -uniform of order g if and only if it is (\mathcal{X}, f) -uniform, where \mathcal{X} is the Diophantine system given by

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} X &= \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}^g \times ((\mathbb{Z}^n)^g \setminus \{\text{linearly dependent } g\text{-tuples}\}), \\ h_{p_1, \dots, p_g, \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_g} &= \max_{i=1, \dots, g} \|\mathbf{q}_i\|, \\ d_{p_1, \dots, p_g, \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_g}(\mathbf{x}) &= \max_{i=1, \dots, g} |\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} - p_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the zero locus of $d_{p_1, \dots, p_g, \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_g}$ is precisely the intersection of g rational affine hyperplanes L_{p_i, \mathbf{q}_i} , which is a codimension g rational affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^n ; moreover, any rational affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^n of codimension g can be (in many different ways) written as such an intersection. Consequently, the collection \mathcal{L} of all rational affine subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n of codimension g is aligned with \mathcal{X} as in (4.6). It is trivial to check that \mathcal{L} is totally dense and respects any closed analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . Hence the case $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$ of Theorem 4.2 easily follows from Theorem 1.5. Let us now prove the general case where Y is an arbitrary d -dimensional analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n . For the proof we will need the following

Lemma 4.3. *Let Y be a d -dimensional C^1 embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , where $d \geq 2$, and let $1 \leq g \leq d-1$. Then for any $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ there exists an open subset W of \mathbb{R}^n containing \mathbf{y} and a totally dense (in $Y \cap W$) collection \mathcal{L}_W consisting of intersections of rational affine subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n of codimension g with $Y \cap W$.*

Proof. For any $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ one can choose a neighborhood $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing \mathbf{y} , an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and a differentiable embedding $\Psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $V \cap Y = \Psi(U)$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in U$ be such that $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y}$; then $d_{\mathbf{x}}\Psi$ has rank d , and by permuting coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n without loss of generality we can assume that the leftmost $d \times d$ submatrix of $d_{\mathbf{x}}\Psi$ is non-singular. Then, in view of the implicit function theorem, we can choose an open subset W of V containing \mathbf{y} such that

$$W \cap Y = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d, \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)) : (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in O\},$$

where O is an open ball in \mathbb{R}^d and $\psi : O \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-d}$ is a differentiable function.

Now define

$$\mathcal{L}_W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L_M \cap W \cap Y : M \text{ is a rational affine subspace of } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ of codimension } g\},$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad L_M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in M\}.$$

Equivalently, $L_M \cap W \cap Y$ can be written as

$$(4.8) \quad \{(x_1, \dots, x_d, \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)) : (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in M \cap O\}.$$

Since $d \geq 2$ and $1 \leq g \leq d - 1$, the collection

$$\{M \cap O : M \text{ is a rational affine subspace of } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ of codimension } g\}$$

is totally dense in O , which immediately implies that \mathcal{L}_W is totally dense in $Y \cap W$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Our goal is to apply Theorem 1.5 locally in the neighborhood of any given point $\mathbf{y} \in Y$. Choose an open subset W of \mathbb{R}^n containing \mathbf{y} and a collection \mathcal{L}_W as in the above lemma. Since L_M as in (4.7) is a codimension g rational affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , it is clear that \mathcal{L} is aligned with the Diophantine system (4.6). Now let us recall that Y was assumed to be an analytic manifold; hence the map ψ is real analytic, and therefore every $L_M \cap W \cap Y$ is a connected analytic submanifold of $W \cap Y$. If R is a closed analytic submanifold of Y such that $L_M \cap W \cap R$ has non-empty interior in $L_M \cap W$, it follows that $L_M \subset W \cap R$, which, in view of Lemma 3.2, implies that $L_M \subset R$. Hence \mathcal{L}_W respects R , and we can apply Theorem 1.5(b) and conclude that the intersection of the set (4.5) with W is uncountable, finishing the proof of the theorem. \square

Let us now show that the same method can produce uniformly approximable vectors on certain fractals. Here is an example from [KMW]:

Theorem 4.4. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be perfect subsets of \mathbb{R} such that*

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbb{Q} \cap Y_k \text{ is dense in } Y_k \text{ for each } k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Let $Y = \prod_{j=1}^n Y_j$. Suppose we are given an arbitrary non-increasing function $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a countable collection $\{R_\ell\}$ of proper closed analytic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$Y \cap \text{UA}_{1,n}(f) \setminus \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} R_\ell$$

is uncountable and dense in Y . In particular, $Y \cap \text{UA}_{1,n}^(f)$ is uncountable and dense in Y .*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ be the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $\{A_i\}$ be the collection of all rational affine hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^n which are normal to one of $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2$ and have nontrivial intersection with Y ; that is, each of the A_i is of the form

$$A_i = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_{k_i} = r_i\}, \text{ where } r_i \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } k_i \in \{1, 2\};$$

note that necessarily we have $r_i \in Y_{k_i}$. We claim that the collection $\{Y \cap A_i\}$, which is obviously aligned with $\mathcal{X}_{1,n}$, is totally dense. Indeed, (1.8) clearly follows from (4.9). To prove (1.10), take an open subset W of \mathbb{R}^n of the form $I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$, where I_j are open intervals in \mathbb{R} , and suppose that

$$(4.10) \quad A = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 = r\}$$

intersects with Y non-trivially, that is, we have $r \in Y_1$. Then, again in view of (4.9), the union of subspaces A_j such that $A_j \cap A \cap Y \cap W \neq \emptyset$ is dense in $(I_1 \cap Y_1) \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}$, hence the union of corresponding intersections $Y \cap A_j$ is dense in $Y \cap (I_1 \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R})$.

It remains to prove that the collection $\{Y \cap A_i\}$ respects an arbitrary closed analytic submanifold R of \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that, for A as in (4.10), the intersection $Y \cap A \cap R$ has a non-empty interior in $Y \cap A$. Take a point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in the interior of $Y \cap A \cap R$; then there exists a neighborhood W of \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n such that $Y \cap A \cap R$ contains $(\{x_1\} \times Y_2 \times \dots \times Y_n) \cap W$. Since each of the sets Y_i is perfect, there are sequences in $Y \cap A \cap R$ approaching \mathbf{x} from each coordinate direction. This makes it possible to determine all partial derivatives of all orders of any analytic function on A at (x_1, \dots, x_n) by its values on $Y \cap A \cap R$. In particular, since R is a closed analytic manifold, it has to contain A , hence it is respected by $Y \cap A$.

Now we can conclude that Theorem 1.5 applies and implies the density of $Y \cap \text{UA}_{1,n}(f) \setminus \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} R_\ell$ for any countable set of proper closed analytic submanifolds R_ℓ of \mathbb{R}^n . The uncountability follows as well, since the sets $\{Y \cap A_i\}$ have no isolated points. □

Remark 4.5. Our arguments can be adapted to many other fractal sets. We sketch one more example, involving a ‘rational Koch snowflake’ which can be treated by our method. To define it, let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ be positive rational numbers, where $\alpha < \beta < \delta < 1$, and let Y be the attractor of the iterated function system $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4\}$, where $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unique orientation preserving contracting similarity map sending the points $\mathbf{x}_0 = (0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{y}_0 = (1, 0)$, to the points $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_1 &= (0, 0), & \mathbf{y}_1 &= (\alpha, 0) \\ \mathbf{x}_2 &= \mathbf{y}_1, & \mathbf{y}_2 &= (\beta, \gamma) \\ \mathbf{x}_3 &= \mathbf{y}_2, & \mathbf{y}_3 &= (\delta, 0) \\ \mathbf{x}_4 &= \mathbf{y}_3, & \mathbf{y}_4 &= (1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then Y is a topological curve (continuous image of a segment) of Hausdorff dimension greater than one. The usual version of the Koch snowflake (see [Fa, Figure 0.2]) has a similar description, with $\alpha = 1/3, \beta = 1/2, \gamma = \sqrt{3}/2, \delta = 2/3$.

For our application, it is important to note that if θ is the slope of the line from \mathbf{x}_2 to \mathbf{y}_2 then Y contains a dense collection of rational points Y_0 , and the horizontal lines and lines of slope θ through points of Y_0 intersect Y in an uncountable perfect set. This property makes it possible to repeat the proof of Theorem 5.3, taking the sets $\{A_i\}$ to be the lines having these two slopes and passing through Y_0 .

Finally let us remark that, again in view of Remark 1.6(2), with no extra work the statements proved in this section can be generalized to the set-up of weighted approximation. For example as follows:

Theorem 4.6. *Let $n \geq 2$, and suppose for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we are given a non-increasing function $f_k : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and an AFW subset \mathcal{W}_k of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n+1}$. Let Y be as in Theorems 4.2 or 4.4. Then for any countable collection $\{R_\ell : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of closed analytic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n not containing Y , the set*

$$\left(Y \cap \bigcap_k \text{UA}_{1,n}(f_k, \mathcal{W}_k) \right) \setminus \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} R_\ell$$

is uncountable and dense in Y . In particular, if one in addition assumes that Y is not contained in any proper rational affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then the set

$$Y \cap \bigcap_k \text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f_k, \mathcal{W}_k)$$

is uncountable and dense in Y .

5. VECTORS THAT ARE k -SINGULAR FOR ALL k

In this section we prove a strengthening of Theorem 1.11. To state it, let us consider a definition generalizing the notion of k -singular vectors. Namely, for a non-increasing $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ say that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is f -uniform of degree k if for any sufficiently large t there exists a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $\deg(P) \leq k$, $H(P) \leq t$, and $|P(\mathbf{x})| \leq f(t)$. Clearly \mathbf{x} , viewed as a row vector, is k -singular (as defined in §1.6) if and only if it is $\varepsilon\phi_{N_k}$ -uniform of degree k , where N_k is as in (1.14). Also it is clear that k -algebraic vectors (again see §1.6 for a definition) are f -uniform of degree k for any positive f .

Let us say that a submanifold $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *transcendental* if Y is not contained in an algebraic subvariety defined over \mathbb{Q} ; more concretely, if there is no nonzero polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ which vanishes on Y .

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, let Y be a transcendental analytic submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension $d \geq 2$. Suppose that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we are given a non-increasing $f_k : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then there is a dense uncountable set of $\mathbf{x} \in Y$ which are not algebraic and are f_k -uniform of degree k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, Y contains a dense uncountable set of \mathbf{x} which are not algebraic and are k -singular for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

This result extends [KMW, Theorem 1.7]; namely, there it was shown that Y as above contains uncountably many singular vectors.

The proof is based on the following observation. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $\varphi_k(\mathbf{x})$ the vector with coordinates consisting of all non-constant monomials of degree at most k in n variables x_1, \dots, x_n , viewed as a row vector in $\mathbb{R}^{N(k,n)}$, and for each k consider the standard Diophantine system $\mathcal{X}_{1,N(k,n)}$ on $X_k = \mathbb{R}^{N(k,n)} \cong M_{1,N(k,n)}$ with distance functions from rational affine hyperplanes and standard heights. Then it is clear that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is f_k -uniform of degree k if and only if $\varphi_k(\mathbf{x}) \in \text{UA}_{1,N(k,n)}(f_k)$. Furthermore we have the following

Lemma 5.2. *Let L be a subset of a rational affine hyperplane of \mathbb{R}^n . Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_k(L)$ is contained in a rational affine hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{N(k,n)}$.*

Proof. Because L is contained in a rational affine hyperplane, one of the variables can be solved for in terms of the others in a linear equation with rational coefficients. For simplicity in notation, we assume this is x_n . That is, we assume that there exist rational numbers c_0, \dots, c_n so that for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L$,

$$x_n = c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_{n-1} x_{n-1} + c_0.$$

To prove that $\varphi_k(L)$ is contained in a rational affine hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{N(k,n)}$, we need to show that there exists $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Q}^{N(k,n)}$ so that

$$\langle \mathbf{r}, \varphi_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_{n-1} x_{n-1} + c_0) \rangle \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{N(k,n)}$.

By combining like terms, we see that for any \mathbf{r} ,

$$\langle \mathbf{r}, \varphi_k(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_{n-1} x_{n-1} + c_0) \rangle$$

consists of monomials of degree at most k in $d - 1$ variables with rational coefficients. We seek rational numbers so that every non-constant monomial term in this expression has coefficient zero; the constant term is rational, and hence can be ignored. More precisely, this means that we seek a solution over \mathbb{Q} to a system of $N(k, n - 1)$ linear equations with $N(k, n)$ variables. Since $N(k, n) > N(k, n - 1)$, a rational solution will always exist. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Take Y as in the statement of Theorem 5.1 and argue as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Namely, for any $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ define

- a neighborhood W of \mathbf{y} such that $Y \cap W$ is a graph of an analytic function $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-d}$, and
- (using Lemma 4.3 with $g = 1$) a totally dense collection \mathcal{L}_W of intersections of some rational affine hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^n with $Y \cap W$.

Lemma 5.2] implies that \mathcal{L}_W as above is aligned with $\mathcal{X}_{1, N(k,n)}$ via φ_k . Finally let $\{R_\ell\}$ be the collection of sets of the form $\{\mathbf{y} \in Y : P(\mathbf{y}) = 0\}$, where P ranges over all nonzero polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Since Y is assumed to be transcendental, it follows that each of the sets R_ℓ is a proper subset of Y . It is easy to show that \mathcal{L}_W respects R_ℓ : indeed, any element L of \mathcal{L}_W is of the form (4.8), and the fact that $L \cap R_\ell$ has non-empty interior in L implies that $P(x_1, \dots, x_d, \psi(x_1, \dots, x_d)) = 0$ on an open subset of an affine hyperplane M of \mathbb{R}^d . Since $d \geq 2$ and φ is analytic, it follows that $L \subset R_\ell$. Hence Theorem 1.5 applies, and we conclude that the set

$$\bigcap_k \varphi_k^{-1}(\text{UA}_{1, N(k,n)}(f_k)) \setminus \bigcup_\ell R_\ell,$$

which contains the set of vectors that are not algebraic and are f_k -uniform of degree k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is uncountable and dense in Y for any choice of non-increasing functions $f_k : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. \square

Using similar ideas and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.5, one can establish a similar result for fractals:

Theorem 5.3. *Let Y be either the product of perfect subsets Y_1, \dots, Y_n of \mathbb{R} such that (4.9) holds ($n \geq 2$), or a rational Koch snowflake ($n = 2$). Then the conclusions of Theorem 5.1 hold for Y .*

6. SUMSETS OF SETS OF TOTALLY IRRATIONAL UNIFORMLY APPROXIMABLE VECTORS

Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.12, stating that when $n \geq 3$, the sum of $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f_1)$ with $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f_2)$ is \mathbb{R}^n for any pair of approximating functions f_1, f_2 . Clearly, by replacing each of the functions f_i with $\min(f_1, f_2)$, it is enough to take a non-increasing $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and prove that $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f) + \text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f) = \mathbb{R}^n$. Equivalently, since $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f)$ coincides with $-\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f)$, we need to show that for any fixed $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the intersection of $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f)$ and its translate $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f) - \mathbf{z}$ is not empty. Obviously this is the case if \mathbf{z} is in $\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f)$, so without loss of generality we can assume that \mathbf{z} itself is totally irrational. Unwrapping the definitions, we see that it amounts to finding $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such both \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}$ are totally irrational, and such that for every sufficiently large t one can find $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{q} - p| \leq f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{q}\| \leq t,$$

and also $\mathbf{q}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $p' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \cdot \mathbf{q}' - p'| \leq f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{q}'\| \leq t.$$

Rewriting the two systems of inequalities above as

$$\max(|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{q} - p|, |(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \cdot \mathbf{q}' - p'|) \leq f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \max(\|\mathbf{q}\|, \|\mathbf{q}'\|) \leq t,$$

we see that the problem reduces to a new Diophantine system on \mathbb{R}^n with $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\})$, $h_{p,p',\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'} = \max(\|\mathbf{q}\|, \|\mathbf{q}'\|)$, and $d_{p,p',\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'}(\mathbf{x}) = \max(|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{q} - p|, |(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \cdot \mathbf{q}' - p'|)$. Note that the zero locus of $d_{p,p',\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'}$ is precisely the intersection $L_{p,\mathbf{q}} \cap (L_{p',\mathbf{q}'} + \mathbf{z})$ of two hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^n ; in other words, the collection

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{z}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ L_{p,\mathbf{q}} \cap (L_{p',\mathbf{q}'} + \mathbf{z}) \mid \begin{array}{l} p, p' \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \\ \mathbf{q} \text{ and } \mathbf{q}' \text{ are not proportional} \end{array} \right\}$$

is aligned with the Diophantine system described above.

The crucial step of proof of Theorem 1.12 will be the following

Lemma 6.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{z}}$ is totally dense relative to the collection of all affine hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Note that we are not able to prove the stronger form of total density, that is, the validity of (1.10) for $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{z}}$.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Since the union of all rational affine hyperplanes is dense in \mathbb{R}^n , and since for any such hyperplane $L_{p,\mathbf{q}}$ the union

$$\bigcup_{p' \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{q}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{q}} L_{p,\mathbf{q}} \cap (L_{p',\mathbf{q}'} + \mathbf{z})$$

is dense in $L_{p,\mathbf{q}}$, it follows that $\bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{z}}} L$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e. condition (1.8) holds. Thus it remains to prove (1.9) with \mathcal{R} being the collection of all affine hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^n .

Take $L \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{z}}$, that is, $L = L_{p,\mathbf{q}} \cap (L_{p',\mathbf{q}'} + \mathbf{z})$ such that \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{q}' are not proportional, and an open subset W of \mathbb{R}^n such that $L \cap W \neq \emptyset$. For brevity denote $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_{p,\mathbf{q}}$ and $M' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L_{p',\mathbf{q}'} + \mathbf{z}$. Note that an affine hyperplane P is parallel to L if and only if its normal vector is a linear combination of \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{q}' . Since $n \geq 3$, the union of rational affine hyperplanes P which are not parallel to L and satisfy $P \cap W \cap L \neq \emptyset$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n ; hence the union of their intersections with $M' \cap W$ is dense in M' . The same argument can be applied to M : the union of translates $P + \mathbf{z}$ of all rational affine hyperplanes not parallel to L and satisfying $(P + \mathbf{z}) \cap W \cap L \neq \emptyset$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n ; hence the union of their intersections with $M \cap W$ is dense in M . It follows that the closure of the union of all $L' \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{z}}$ such that $L' \cap W \cap L \neq \emptyset$ contains $M \cup M'$, thus cannot be a subset of a single affine hyperplane. \square

Now, since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{z}}$ obviously respects any affine subspace in \mathbb{R}^n , we can apply Theorem 1.5 with arbitrary choices of height functions and \mathcal{R} being an arbitrary countable collection of affine hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^n . This, in particular, results in the following generalization of Theorem 1.12:

Theorem 6.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, let \mathcal{W} be an AFW subset of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n+1}$, and let $\{R_\ell\}$ be a countable collection of proper affine hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^n . Then for any non-increasing $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ one has*

$$\left(\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f, \mathcal{W}) \setminus \bigcup_{\ell} R_\ell \right) + \left(\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f, \mathcal{W}) \setminus \bigcup_{\ell} R_\ell \right) = \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$\left(\bigcap_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n+1}} \text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f, \omega) \right) + \left(\bigcap_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n+1}} \text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f, \omega) \right) = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Remark 6.3. It is not hard to show, by adapting the above proof, that for any $n \geq 3$, any sets of weights $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$, any positive non-increasing f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} , and any $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-1}$, we have

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} (\text{UA}_{1,n}^*(f_k, \omega_k) - \mathbf{x}_k) \neq \emptyset.$$

Note that our method gives no information on the intersection of translates of $\text{UA}_{1,2}(f)$. In particular, it is an open problem to determine whether for any non-increasing $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the sumset of $\text{UA}_{1,2}^*(f)$ with itself coincides with \mathbb{R}^2 .

7. TRANSFERENCE AND IMPROVED RATES FOR VECTORS
IN ANALYTIC SUBMANIFOLDS OF \mathbb{R}^n

Given $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and real numbers $\tau, \varepsilon, t, \eta$, define the following parallelepipeds:

$$\Pi^{\tau, \varepsilon} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |z_j| \leq \tau, |z_0 + z_1 x_1 + \dots + z_n x_n| \leq \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$\Pi_{t, \eta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |z_0| \leq t, \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |z_0 x_j - z_j| \leq \eta\}.$$

Note that $\Pi^{\tau, \varepsilon}$ encodes information about approximations to \mathbf{x} as a linear form, while $\Pi_{t, \eta}$ encodes approximations to \mathbf{x} as a vector.

With these definitions, we have the following standard transference result:

Lemma 7.1. *Let $1 \leq g \leq n$, suppose that $\tau, \varepsilon, t, \eta$ satisfy*

$$(7.1) \quad \frac{\eta}{t} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}$$

and

$$(7.2) \quad \eta^{n-g} t = n^{2n} \tau^g,$$

and assume that the parallelepiped $\Pi^{\tau, \varepsilon}$ contains g linearly independent integer points. Then $\Pi_{t, \eta}$ contains a non-zero integer point.

Proof of Lemma 7.1. Let $\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_g$ be linearly independent integer points in $\Pi^{\tau, \varepsilon}$, let $L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{span}(\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_g)$ be the space generated by these points, and denote the orthogonal complement of L by L^\perp . Clearly $\Lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is a lattice in L , and since L^\perp is rational, $\Lambda^\perp = L^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is a lattice in L^\perp . It is well known that the covolumes $\text{covol}(L/\Lambda)$ and $\text{covol}(L^\perp/\Lambda^\perp)$ are equal to each other. Now define $\Omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L \cap \Pi^{\tau, \varepsilon}$ and $\Omega^\perp \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L^\perp \cap \Pi_{t, \eta}$, and denote by $\text{vol}_g \Omega$ and $\text{vol}_{n+1-g} \Omega^\perp$ respectively, the g -dimensional and $(n-g+1)$ -dimensional volumes of Ω and Ω^\perp .

Denote the vector $(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by \mathbf{x}_0 , and let

$$M = \{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : z_0 + z_1 x_1 + \dots + z_n x_n = 0\}$$

be the hyperplane orthogonal to \mathbf{x}_0 . Let us define

$$\theta_{\max} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{\mathbf{u} \in L, \mathbf{v} \in M} (\text{angle between } \mathbf{u} \text{ and } \mathbf{v})$$

to be the maximal angle between vectors in L and M , and

$$\theta_{\min} = \min_{\mathbf{u} \in L^\perp} (\text{angle between } \mathbf{u} \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_0).$$

We claim that

$$(7.3) \quad \theta_{\min} \leq \theta_{\max}.$$

Indeed, let \mathbf{u}_0 be the minimizer in the definition of θ_{\min} , let V be the two-dimensional subspace generated by \mathbf{x}_0 and \mathbf{u}_0 , and let \mathbf{u}_0^\perp and \mathbf{x}_0^\perp be unit vectors in V perpendicular to \mathbf{u}_0 and \mathbf{x}_0 respectively. Then

$$\theta_{\min} = \text{angle}(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{x}_0) = \text{angle}(\mathbf{u}_0^\perp, \mathbf{x}_0^\perp) \leq \theta_{\max}.$$

Let us now consider two cases. Suppose that $\sin \theta_{\min} \leq \frac{\eta}{t}$. Then we have a lower bound

$$(7.4) \quad \text{vol}_{n-g+1}\Omega^\perp \geq t\eta^{n-g},$$

and an upper bound

$$(7.5) \quad \text{vol}_g\Omega \leq 2n^{g/2}\tau^g.$$

Note that Ω contains the convex hull of $\{\pm\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \pm\mathbf{u}_g\}$, and the convex hull of $\{0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_g\}$ contains a fundamental domain for Λ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{covol}(L/\Lambda) = \text{covol}(L^\perp/\Lambda^\perp) &\leq \frac{g!}{2^g} \cdot \text{vol}_g\Omega \stackrel{(7.5)}{\leq} \frac{g!n^{g/2}}{2^{g-1}}\tau^g \\ &\stackrel{(7.2)}{\leq} \frac{t\eta^{n-g}}{2^{n-g+1}} \stackrel{(7.4)}{\leq} \frac{\text{vol}_{n-g+1}\Omega^\perp}{2^{n-g+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Minkowski Convex Body Theorem there exists a non-zero integer point in $\Omega^\perp \subset \Pi_{t,\eta}$. Hence we are done in this case.

Now suppose that $\sin \theta_{\min} > \frac{\eta}{t}$. Then

$$(7.6) \quad \text{vol}_{n-g+1}\Omega^\perp \geq \frac{\eta^{n-g+1}}{\sin \theta_{\min}}$$

and

$$(7.7) \quad \text{vol}_g\Omega \leq n^{g/2}\tau^{g-1} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\sin \theta_{\max}}.$$

Arguing as in the previous case but using (7.6) and (7.7) instead of (7.4) and (7.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{covol}(L/\Lambda) = \text{covol}(L^\perp/\Lambda^\perp) &\leq \frac{g!}{2^g} \cdot \text{vol}_g\Omega \leq \frac{g!n^{g/2}}{2^g} \cdot \frac{\tau^{g-1}\varepsilon}{\sin \theta_{\max}} \\ &\leq \frac{\eta}{t} \cdot \frac{\eta^{n-g}t}{2^{n-g+1} \sin \theta_{\min}} \leq \frac{\text{vol}_{n-g+1}\Omega^\perp}{2^{n-g+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and again by the Minkowski Convex Body Theorem we get a non-zero integer point in $\Omega^\perp \subset \Pi_{t,\eta}$. \square

Now let us state and prove a generalization of Theorem 1.13].

Theorem 7.2. *Let $n \geq 2$, let Y be a d -dimensional connected analytic submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong M_{n,1}(\mathbb{R})$, where $d \geq 2$, and let $\{R_\ell\}$ be a countable collection of proper closed analytic submanifolds of Y . Suppose that a function f satisfies (1.16). Then the set*

$$(7.8) \quad Y \cap \text{UA}_{n,1}(f) \setminus \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} R_\ell$$

is uncountable and dense in Y . In particular, if one in addition assumes that Y is not contained in any proper rational affine subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then the set $Y \cap \text{UA}_{n,1}^*(f)$ is uncountable and dense in Y .

Proof. For $t > 0$ and $g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d - 1$, let us set $\eta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(t)$ and $\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\frac{\eta^{n-g}t}{n^{2n}}\right)^{1/g}$, so that (7.2) is satisfied. By (1.16), we have that $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ monotonically when $t \rightarrow \infty$. The map $t \mapsto \tau$ is thus bijective, hence one can consider the inverse map $\tau \mapsto t(\tau)$ and define a positive function

$$\varepsilon = h(\tau) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\tau f(t(\tau))}{t(\tau)},$$

so that (7.1) holds. Note that h is non-increasing, since so is the function

$$h(\tau(t)) = \frac{\left(\frac{f(t)^{n-g}t}{n^{2n}}\right)^{1/g} f(t)}{t} = \frac{f(t)^{n/g}}{n^{2n/g}t^{1-1/g}}.$$

We will prove the implication

$$(7.9) \quad \mathbf{x}^T \in \text{UA}_{1,n}(h; g) \implies \mathbf{x} \in \text{UA}_{n,1}(f).$$

This, in view of Theorem 4.2, will immediately imply the conclusion of Theorem 7.2.

To prove (7.9), note that $\mathbf{x}^T \in \text{UA}_{1,n}(h; g)$ amounts to saying that for any large enough τ the parallelepiped $\Pi^{\tau, \varepsilon}$ contains g linearly independent integer points. In view of Lemma 7.1 we get that for all t large enough, the parallelepiped $\Pi_{t, \eta}$ contains a nonzero integer point $\mathbf{z} = (q, \mathbf{p})$. For t large enough, the first coordinate q will be nonzero; thus we can find $q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $q \leq t$ and $\|q\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}\| \leq f(t)$. \square

8. FURTHER APPLICATIONS

Most of the results described in this section are not proved in this paper; the proofs will appear elsewhere.

8.1. Irrationality measure functions and the Kan–Moshchevitin phenomenon. Another convenient way to describe various results in the theory of Diophantine approximation is through irrationality measure functions. Namely, given $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, one defines its *irrationality measure function* by

$$\psi_A : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad \psi_A(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf \{ \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}\| : \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \|\mathbf{q}\| \leq t, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m \}.$$

Then it is easy to see that A is f -uniform⁴ if and only if $\psi_A(t) \leq f(t)$ for all large enough t . Similarly for arbitrary Diophantine system $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$

⁴Likewise one can also use the function ψ_A to study *asymptotic approximation*: requiring that $\psi_A(t) \leq f(t)$ for an unbounded set of $t > 0$, one gets a definition of f -approximable systems of linear forms A .

one can introduce the irrationality measure function associated to $x \in X$:

$$\psi_x(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\{d_s(x) : h_s \leq t\};$$

then $x \in \text{UA}_{\mathcal{X}}(f)$ if and only if $\psi_x(t) \leq f(t)$ for all large enough t .

In 2009 the following result was proved by Kan and Moshchevitin [KaMo]: in the standard Diophantine system corresponding to approximations to one real number ($m = n = 1$), for any two different real numbers x, y with

$$\psi_x(t) > 0, \quad \psi_y(t) > 0 \quad \forall t$$

(a condition equivalent to $x, y \notin \mathbb{Q}$), the difference $\psi_x(t) - \psi_y(t)$ changes its sign infinitely often as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This phenomenon is not present when $\max(m, n) > 1$. And more generally, as long as the assumptions of our main theorem (Theorem 1.5) are satisfied, there exists pairs of points whose irrationality measure functions do not exhibit the pattern of infinitely many changes of signs. More precisely, suppose a Diophantine system

$$\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{D} = \{d_s : s \in \mathcal{I}\}, \mathcal{H} = \{h_s : s \in \mathcal{I}\})$$

and a countable collection \mathcal{L} of closed subsets of X satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.5 with $Y = X$, $\varphi_k \equiv \text{Id}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \{d_x s^{-1}(0) : s \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Then for any $x \in X$ such that

$$d_s(x) \neq 0 \quad \iff \quad \psi_x(t) > 0 \quad \forall t$$

one can apply the theorem with $f = \psi_x$ and conclude that there exists a dense set of $y \in X$ such that $\psi_y(t) > 0$ for all t and $\psi_y(t) < \psi_x(t)$ for all large enough t .

8.2. Inhomogeneous approximation and approximation with restrictions. It is natural to consider the problems of uniform approximation for systems of linear forms in the inhomogeneous set-up; that is, fix $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and, instead of (1.2), look for nontrivial integer solutions of the system

$$(8.1) \quad \|A\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{p}\| \leq f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{q}\| \leq t.$$

This calls for considering the collection

$$(8.2) \quad \{L_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{b}} : \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^m\},$$

where $L_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{b}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R}) : A\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{p}\},$

and proving its total density for arbitrary $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. And indeed it turns out to be possible to achieve this when $n > 1$, and thereby construct f -uniform systems of affine forms with an arbitrary fixed translation part. Moreover, in a forthcoming joint work with Vasily Nekrasov we take subsets P of \mathbb{R}^m and Q of \mathbb{Z}^n , and say that $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ is *f-uniform with respect to (P, Q)* if for all large enough $t > 0$ there exists $\mathbf{q} \in Q$ and $\mathbf{p} \in P$ satisfying (1.2). The classical theory of homogeneous approximation corresponds to $P = \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $Q = \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$. The following can be proved (work in progress):

Theorem 8.1. *The collection $\{L_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} : \mathbf{p} \in P, \mathbf{q} \in Q\}$ of subspaces of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ is totally dense if*

- (a) $Q = \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and P is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^m of rank $> m - n + 1$ (restricted numerators);
- (b) $Q = Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}$, where at least two of the sets Q_i are infinite (restricted denominators), and P is of bounded Hausdorff distance from \mathbb{R}^m .

Consequently (modulo Theorem 1.5) for any non-increasing $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the set of $m \times n$ matrices that are f -uniform with respect to (P, Q) and not contained in a given countable family of proper analytic submanifolds of $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ is uncountable and dense.

Note that both (a) and (b) implicitly assume that $n > 1$, and the set-up of (b) includes inhomogeneous approximation by letting $P = \mathbb{Z}^m + \mathbf{b}$ for a fixed $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. When $n = 1$, it is clear that for any fixed $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ the collection (8.2) is not totally dense. On the other hand one can study a doubly metric version of the problem, that is, the set of pairs (A, \mathbf{b}) such that (8.1) has a non-trivial integer solution for large enough t . It was recently proved by the second named author [M2] that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any non-increasing $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ there exists a dense and uncountable set of pairs $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \cong M_{m,2}$ such that the system of inequalities

$$\|q\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{p}\| \leq f(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |q| \leq t$$

has a non-zero integer solution (\mathbf{p}, q) for all large enough t . It is plausible that the method of proof of Theorem 8.1(b) can be used to generalize this to the weighted set-up. This is also a work in progress joint with Nekrasov.

8.3. Rational approximations to linear subspaces. In this subsection we fix $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and $a = 1, \dots, d - 1$, and consider a certain Diophantine system on $X = \text{Gr}_{d,a}$, the Grassmanian of a -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d . Following the set-up of [Schm], one can study the problems of approximation of an a -dimensional subspace A of \mathbb{R}^d by b -dimensional rational subspaces B of \mathbb{R}^d , where $1 \leq b < d$, in terms of the so-called *first angle* between the subspaces. The latter (or rather, formally speaking, the sine of the angle) is defined as follows:

$$\angle_1(A, B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in A \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{y} \in B \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{y}\|},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^d and on $\bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Note that $\angle_1(A, B) = 0$ if and only if $\dim(A \cap B) > 0$. Let us say that $A \in \text{Gr}_{d,a}$ is *completely irrational* if for any $(d - a)$ -dimensional rational subspace R we have $A \cap R = \{0\}$. We also define the *height* $H(B)$ of a rational subspace $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of dimension b in the natural way as the covolume of the b -dimensional lattice $B \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. Using the methods of this paper, namely Theorem 1.5, it is possible to prove the following

Theorem 8.2. *Let $1 \leq a, b < d$ with $\max(a, b) > 1$. Then for any non-increasing $f : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the set of completely irrational $A \in \text{Gr}_{d,a}$ such that the system of inequalities*

$$H(B) \leq t, \quad \angle_1(A, B) \leq f(t)$$

has a solution in b -dimensional rational subspaces B for all large enough t is uncountable and dense.

The case $d = 4$ and $a = b = 2$ is a recent result of Chebotarenko [Ch]. The above theorem, as well as several generalizations, will be proved in a forthcoming work.

REFERENCES

- [BCC] Y. Bugeaud, Y. Cheung and N. Chevalier, *Hausdorff dimension and uniform exponents in dimension two*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **167** (2019), 249–284.
- [C] J. W. S. Cassels, **An introduction to Diophantine approximation**, Cambridge Univ. Press (1957).
- [Ch] A. O. Chebotarenko, *On Angles between Linear Subspaces in \mathbb{R}^4 and the Singularity* (Russian), Mat. Zametki **115** (2024), no. 3, 450–457.
- [Da] S. G. Dani, *Divergent trajectories of flows on homogeneous spaces and Diophantine approximation*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **359** (1985), 55–89.
- [DFSU] T. Das, L. Fishman, D. Simmons and M. Urbański, *A variational principle in the parametric geometry of numbers*, Adv. Math. **437** (2024), Paper No. 109435, 130 pp.
- [Fa] K. Falconer, **Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications**, John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [FSU] L. Fishman, D. Simmons and M. Urbański, *Diophantine approximation in Banach spaces*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **26** (2014), no. 2, 363–384.
- [J1] V. Jarník, *Zum Khintchineschen “Übertragungssatz”*, Travaux de l’Institut Mathématique de Tbilissi, T. III (1938).
- [J2] ———, *Contribution à la théorie des approximations diophantiennes linéaires et homogènes*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **4** (1954), 330–353 (in Russian, French summary).
- [J3] ———, *Eine Bemerkung über diophantische Approximationen*, Math. Z. **72**, no. 1 (1959), 187–191.
- [KKLM] S. Kadyrov, D. Kleinbock, E. Lindenstrauss and G. A. Margulis, *Singular systems of linear forms and non-escape of mass in the space of lattices*, J. Anal. Math. **133** (2017), 253–277.
- [Kh] A. Ya. Khintchine, *Über eine Klasse linearer Diophantischer Approximationen*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo **50** (1926), 170–195.
- [KaMo] I. Kan and N. Moshchevitin, *Approximation to two real numbers*, Unif. Distrib. Theory **5**, no. 2 (2010), 79–86.
- [KMo] D. Kleinbock and N. Moshchevitin, *Simultaneous Diophantine approximation: sums of squares and homogeneous polynomials*, Acta Arith. **190** (2019), 87–100.
- [KMW] D. Kleinbock, N. Moshchevitin and B. Weiss, *Singular vectors on manifolds and fractals*, Israel J. Math. **245**, no 2 (2021), 589–613.
- [KPW] S. Kristensen, S. H. Pedersen and B. Weiss, *Some remarks on Mahler’s classification in higher dimension*, Moscow J. Comb. Number Theory **6** (2016), no. 2–3, 177–190.

- [KR] D. Kleinbock and A. Rao, *Weighted uniform Diophantine approximation of systems of linear forms*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **18** (2022), no. 3, 1095–1112.
- [KWa] D. Kleinbock and N. Wadleigh, *A zero-one law for improvements to Dirichlet’s Theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), no. 5, 1833–1844.
- [KWe1] D. Kleinbock and B. Weiss, *Dirichlet’s theorem on Diophantine approximation and homogeneous flows*, J. Mod. Dyn. **4** (2008), 43–62.
- [KWe2] ———, *Friendly measures, homogeneous flows and singular vectors*, in: Algebraic and Topological Dynamics, Contemp. Math. **211**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 281–292.
- [M1] N. Moshchevitin, *Khintchine’s singular Diophantine systems and their applications*, Russian Math. Surveys (2010), Vol. **65**, Issue 3, 433–511.
- [M2] ———, *Eine Bemerkung über inhomogene Approximation: ein kleines Opfer für Seigo Morimoto*, preprint (2024), available at https://old.mccme.ru/iu/postsript/f24/Moszhevitin-sem_Morimoto_mod_mod.pdf.
- [PR] A. Poëls and D. Roy, *Simultaneous rational approximation to successive powers of a real number*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), no. 9, 6385–6415.
- [Schl] J. Schleisnitz, *Metric results on inhomogeneously singular vectors*, preprint (2022), <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.01527.pdf>.
- [Schm] W. M. Schmidt, *On heights of algebraic subspaces and diophantine approximations*, Ann. Math. **85** (1967), 430–472.
- [W] B. Weiss, *Divergent trajectories on noncompact parameter spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004), no. 1, 94–149.
- [Y] K. R. Yu, *A generalization of Mahler’s classification to several variables*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **377** (1987) 113–126.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY, WALTHAM MA, USA
Email address: `kleinboc@brandeis.edu`

INSTITUT FÜR DISKRETE MATHEMATIK UND GEOMETRIE, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, FREIHAUS, WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE 8, A-1040, WIEN
Email address: `nikolai.moshchevitin@tuwien.ac.at`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, CA, USA
Email address: `j4warren@ucsd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV, ISRAEL
Email address: `barakw@tauex.tau.ac.il`