

Atlas-Chat: Adapting Large Language Models for Low-Resource Moroccan Arabic Dialect

Guokan Shang^{1†}, Hadi Abdine^{1†}, Yousef Khoubrane^{2,3†},
Amr Mohamed¹, Yassine Abbahaddou⁶, Sofiane Ennadir⁴, Imane Momayiz⁵,
Xuguang Ren¹, Eric Moulines^{1,6}, Preslav Nakov¹, Michalis Vazirgiannis^{1,6}, Eric Xing¹

¹MBZUAI, ²EMINES-UM6P, ³LINAGORA, ⁴KTH, ⁵AtlasIA, ⁶Ecole Polytechnique

Abstract

We introduce Atlas-Chat, the first-ever collection of large language models specifically developed for dialectal Arabic. Focusing on Moroccan Arabic—also known as Darija—we construct our instruction dataset by consolidating existing Darija language resources, creating novel datasets both manually and synthetically, and translating English instructions with stringent quality control. Atlas-Chat-9B¹ and 2B models, fine-tuned on the dataset, exhibit superior ability in following Darija instructions and performing standard NLP tasks. Notably, our models outperform both state-of-the-art and Arabic-specialized LLMs like LLaMa, Jais, and AceGPT, e.g., achieving a 13% performance boost over a larger 13B model on DarijaMMLU, in our newly introduced evaluation suite for Darija covering both discriminative and generative tasks. Furthermore, we perform an experimental analysis of various fine-tuning strategies and base model choices to determine optimal configurations. All our resources are publicly accessible, and we believe our work offers comprehensive design methodologies of instruction-tuning for low-resource language variants, which are often neglected in favor of data-rich languages by contemporary LLMs.

1 Introduction

Transformer-based Large Language Models (LLMs) have revolutionized NLP research and beyond, demonstrating exceptional performance in both natural and formal language generation (Gunasekar et al., 2023), and exhibiting advanced reasoning capabilities in arithmetic, symbolic, and logical tasks (Hendrycks et al., 2020). Despite their success and the frequent release of new, superior open models exemplified by such as Llama (Dubey et al., 2024) and Mistral (Jiang et al., 2023), these

breakthroughs have been concentrated in a few data-rich languages (Üstün et al., 2024), assuming access to hundreds of billions or even a dozen trillions of tokens for training, often neglecting underrepresented languages.

In this work, we explore the challenges of introducing LLMs for low-resource Dialectal Arabic (DA). The Arabic language has a rich history and profound cultural significance, featuring an intricate script, extensive lexicon, and complex grammar, making it a unique linguistic entity. Although interest in developing Arabic-specialized models has recently been growing, notably led by models like Jais (Sengupta et al., 2023), AceGPT (Huang et al., 2024), and ALLaM (Bari et al., 2024), these efforts primarily focus on bilingualism by balancing English and Modern Standard Arabic (MSA), while often neglecting or excluding DA. However, MSA differs significantly from DA in terms of morphology, syntax, and other linguistic features. Moreover, various Arabic dialects also differ considerably from one another. In fact, Arabic dialects collectively have more native speakers than MSA, as DA serves as the primary mode of communication in daily life across various Arabic-speaking regions (Zaidan and Callison-Burch, 2014). This asymmetry is due in large part to the fact that DA poses challenges not encountered with MSA. Some are related to the lack of essential components for model development—namely, training data, benchmarks, and suitable evaluation metrics—but others stem from the very nature of the linguistic characteristics involved in DA itself more generally.

We take Moroccan Arabic, also known as Darija, as the focus of our work. Despite being spoken by 40 million people², Darija remains a low-resource language. This is because MSA is used in official domains and education in Morocco, while Darija, a blend of MSA, Amazigh, French, and

[†]These authors contributed equally.

[†]Correspondence: guokan.shang@mbzuai.ac.ae

¹<https://hf.co/MBZUAI-Paris/Atlas-Chat-9B>

²https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moroccan_Arabic

Spanish, is the vernacular widely spoken in daily life. Although Darija—previously only an oral language—has recently developed a written form through the proliferation of social networks and increased access to technology, it still lacks standardization and established grammatical or syntactic rules due to its recent emergence (Gaanoun et al., 2024). Moreover, Darija can be represented in two forms: Arabic script or Latin script (also known as Arabizi). For example, the Darija translation of “How are you?” can be written as: “kidayr?” or “كيدايير؟”. These challenges underscore the need for dedicated LLMs tailored to this linguistic context, capable of capturing its nuances and generating culturally relevant responses that resonate with Darija-speaking communities.

To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to introduce modern LLMs specifically developed for Moroccan Arabic, as well as for DA in general. In our work, we first constructed the *Darija-SFT-Mixture*³ dataset, consisting of 458K instruction samples, by consolidating existing Darija language resources, creating novel datasets both manually and synthetically, and translating English instructions under strict quality control. Additionally, to assess LLM performance in Darija, we developed a comprehensive evaluation suite including benchmarks such as: *DarijaMMLU*, *DarijaHellaSwag*, and *DarijaBench*. These benchmarks are intended to evaluate LLM capabilities in real-world knowledge, following Darija instructions, and performing traditional NLP tasks such as machine translation, automatic summarization, and sentiment analysis. In the end, Atlas-Chat models⁴, fine-tuned from the Gemma 2 models (Team et al., 2024) on our instruction-tuning dataset, exhibit superior ability in Darija, surpassing both state-of-the-art and Arabic-specialized LLMs like LLaMa, Jais, and AceGPT, according to automatic metrics and simulated win rates. Additionally, we conduct an experimental analysis of various fine-tuning strategies and base model choices to determine final configurations. We provide some examples by chatting with our models in Appendix D. All our resources are publicly accessible, and we believe our work offers compre-

³<https://hf.co/datasets/MBZUAI-Paris/Darija-SFT-Mixture>

⁴Inspired by the naming of the “Jais” models, UAE’s highest mountain peak. We chose “Atlas” to reflect the cultural and geographical significance of the Atlas Mountains that traverse Morocco.

hensive design methodologies of instruction-tuning for low-resource language variants, which are often overlooked in favor of data-rich languages by contemporary LLMs.

2 Related work

In this section, we begin by reviewing LLMs and benchmarks developed for Arabic, followed by an exploration of recent trends in expanding LLMs to low-resource languages.

Arabic-specialized LLMs. Recent efforts to develop Arabic-specialized LLMs have focused on MSA, due to its status as the formal and written standard across Arabic-speaking regions.

Jais (Sengupta et al., 2023), a 13B-parameter model trained on 395B tokens of Arabic, English, and code data. Containing 116B Arabic tokens—25% of which were translated from English—Jais was designed to enhance performance in both Arabic and English tasks, trained on a mixture of the two languages in a 1:2 ratio. However, this approach may suffer from localization issues. *AceGPT* (Huang et al., 2024) aims to address localization issues by pre-training LLaMA 2 (Touvron et al., 2023) 7B and 13B models on 30B and 10B token mixtures, respectively, of Arabic and English data, with the Arabic portion dominating the dataset. The models were then fine-tuned on Arabic instructions and aligned with Arabic values and culture using Reinforcement Learning from AI Feedback (Lee et al., 2023). They further introduced the Arabic Cultural and Value Alignment dataset, comprising 8,000 yes-no questions generated by GPT-3.5. *ALLaM* (Bari et al., 2024) demonstrated that second-language acquisition can steer the model towards a new language without catastrophic forgetting, even with random initialization of weights. They hypothesize that low-resource languages are diluted in large volumes of high-resource languages, and pre-train a 7B model from scratch on 4T English tokens, followed by training on a 1.2T mixture of Arabic and English.

Regarding *Darija*, *DarijaBERT* (Gaanoun et al., 2024) is currently the only “LLM” dedicated to the Moroccan Arabic dialect. The model was trained on ~ 100 M tokens. However, *DarijaBERT* is encoder-only, and no decoder-only models have been developed for Darija.

Despite these advancements, efforts have primarily focused on MSA, with minimal attention given to developing DA-specialized LLMs.

Arabic benchmarks for LLMs. Several benchmarks have been created for various tasks and domains to evaluate the Arabic capabilities of LLMs.

ArabicMMLU (Koto et al., 2024) is an Arabic adaptation of the original MMLU benchmark (Hendrycks et al., 2020), consisting of 14K multiple-choice questions across 40 tasks in MSA. The benchmark covers a wide range of subjects, including history, mathematics, science, and linguistics, reflecting educational levels from eight different Arabic-speaking countries. *LArABench* (Abdelali et al., 2024), a benchmark designed for evaluating MSA LLMs on several practical NLP tasks, such as sentiment analysis, named entity recognition, and machine translation, spanning 33 tasks across 61 datasets encompassing ~ 296 data points. The Open Arabic LLM Leaderboard (*OALL*)⁵ aggregates various native and translated Arabic benchmarks to evaluate models’ performance across tasks such as reading comprehension, sentiment analysis, reasoning, and more.

Despite the growing number of benchmarks for evaluating Arabic LLMs, a significant gap persists in assessing models’ performance in DA. This limitation hinders the evaluation of models specialized in regional dialects, which are widely used in daily communication across the Arab world.

LLMs for Low-resource languages. Very recently, the LLM development community has begun to focus on low-resource languages.

Multilingual *Aya* model (Üstün et al., 2024) was developed by fine-tuning mT5 (Xue, 2020), a 13B encoder-decoder model pre-trained on 1T tokens across 101 languages, to follow instructions in these languages. Of these, 51 are low-resource languages, including Hausa, Icelandic, Luxembourgish, Kurdish, Sinhala, and etc. Other efforts to develop models for low-resource regional languages include *InkubaLM* (Tonja et al., 2024), a 0.4B parameter language model specifically designed for low-resource African languages. The model was pre-trained from scratch on 2.4B tokens from five African languages—Hausa, Yoruba, Swahili, isiZulu, and isiXhosa—along with English and French, then fine-tuned to follow instructions on several tasks. Similarly, Tao et al. (2024) explored two strategies to adapt LLaMA 2 for low-resource languages: continual pre-training followed by fine-tuning and model merging. Their experiments focused on seven low-resource languages—

Tamil, Telugu, Odia, Bengali, Tibetan, Uyghur, and Mongolian—using datasets ranging from 1 to 20B tokens per language. Another line of research targets a subcategory of main languages with limited resources, such as the *Claire* model (Hunter et al., 2023; Louradour et al., 2024), dedicated to spontaneous French dialogue.

However, to the best of our knowledge, Atlas-Chat is the first decoder-only LLM specifically designed for Moroccan Darija and DA in general.

3 Data overview

In developing Atlas-Chat, we chose to use instruction-tuning on a base model rather than training from scratch. This decision was primarily driven by the fact that training an LLM from the ground up requires extensive data, which is not readily available for Darija, a low-resource dialect. For the same reason, our training process does not include the additional continual pre-training phase typically seen in many language adaptation efforts. However, to mitigate this limitation, we designed a synthetic instruction dataset (see Section 5.3) that, to some extent, mimics the next-word prediction task over a relatively longer context, typically performed during (continual) pre-training.

Moreover, recent studies show that multilingual LLMs often exhibit a bias toward internally solving tasks in English, even when trained on multiple languages (Zhao et al., 2024), and perform best with English prompts, followed by mixed prompts, while non-English prompts significantly underperform (Kmainasi et al., 2024). This observation led us to limit the scope of our work to a monolingual LLM, making Atlas-Chat **Darija-centric**. We focus on developing a model that accurately understands prompts written in Darija, generates Darija content, respects its cultural context, and remains accessible and adaptable for native speakers.

Therefore, we directed our efforts towards creating an extensive and diverse Darija dataset for instruction-tuning. Table 1 summarizes the composition of our Darija-SFT-Mixture dataset. We employed a multifaceted approach to data preparation. *First*, we reviewed previous research in Darija NLP and collected the majority of available native Darija datasets that met our quality standards. The data selection rule established by native speakers was as follows: if the data is a mix of Darija with some MSA, it is acceptable; if it is mixed with other dialects, it is not. In total, ten

⁵<https://hf.co/blog/leaderboard-arabic>

Subset	# Samples	Source	Description
§ 4.1 Translation	85,662	DODa-10K, FLORES+, MADAR, NLLB-Seed	Darija to English, French, MSA and vice-versa
§ 4.1 Transliteration	16,920	DODa-10K	Darija in Arabic Script ↔ Latin Script
§ 4.2 Sentiment Analysis	86,212	MSAC, MSDA, MAC ElecMorocco2016, MYC	Sentences labeled as Positive, Negative, and Neutral
§ 4.3 Summarization	16,756	MARSum	Article titles as summaries
§ 5.1 MW-QA	30,555	Wikipedia	Synthetic dataset from Moroccan Wikipedia pages
§ 5.2 MSM-MG	11,808	Twitter/X, YouTube Comments	Synthetic dataset from Tweets and YouTube comments
§ 5.3 Story Completion	48,983	9esa.com	Stories converted to a dataset with part of the story as a prompt and the continuation as a response
§ 6 TÜLU-Darija	161,259	TÜLU-V2-Mix	Translated TÜLU-V2-Mix after filtering
§ C.1 Hard Coded	130	Manual Annotation	Prompts ensuring the model correctly answers identity/creator-related questions

Table 1: Composition of our Darija-SFT-Mixture instruction-tuning dataset.

datasets covering tasks such as translation, summarization, and sentiment analysis were selected. *Second*, we synthesized high-quality instruction data using advanced proprietary models, drawing on sources such as Wikipedia pages, social media posts, and stories written in Darija. The native and synthetic datasets were then converted into training instructions using templates, with 80% formatted as zero-shot, 10% as few-shot, and 10% as multi-turn samples. *Third*, we translated high-quality English instruction datasets into Darija with stringent quality control to expand the range of scenarios, domains, and tasks covered by our dataset. By combining these different sources, we aimed to enhance the model’s ability to understand and generate Darija across various contexts.

4 Native Darija instruction datasets

4.1 Machine translation

We collected four datasets containing sentence translations between Darija, MSA, English, and French. These datasets were then converted into training instructions using the templates provided in Appendix A.1. Since our model is Darija-centric, we consider six translation directions: Darija to English, French, MSA, and vice versa. All instructions are written in Darija for each case.

DODa-10K⁶. The Darija Open Dataset (DODa) (Outchakoucht and Es-Samaali, 2021, 2024)⁷ is an open-source collaborative project for collecting

Darija language resource, including lexicons in semantic and syntactic categories, Darija-English parallel corpus, and etc. Darija is represented in Latin script, as well as in automatically converted Arabic script. We augmented the first 10K examples of the parallel corpus, with MSA and French translated from the English text, by leveraging GPT-4. The final DODa-10K dataset includes translation quintuples between *Darija* (in both Arabic and Latin scripts), *MSA*, *English*, and *French*. The dataset was then extensively reviewed by groups of native Darija-speaking annotators to ensure the quality of the entire dataset.

In addition to translation, to enhance the model’s ability to convert between Darija in Arabic and Latin scripts (also known as the *transliteration* task), we transformed 10K parallel forms into instructions using templates found in Appendix A.2.

MADAR (Bouamor et al., 2018)⁸. The Multi-Arabic Dialect Applications and Resources (MADAR) corpus is a collection of parallel sentences covering the dialects of 25 Arab cities, built upon the Basic Traveling Expression Corpus (Takezawa et al., 2007). We select the dialect of Rabat city as *Darija* translation, along with *MSA*, resulting in 12K sentence pairs. The split corpus-6-test-corpus-26-test is reserved for the evaluation.

NLLB-Seed (Maillard et al., 2023)⁹. The Seed machine translation dataset contains 6K sentences sampled from English Wikipedia and translated

⁶<https://hf.co/datasets/MBZUAI-Paris/DoDa-10K>

⁷<https://github.com/darija-open-dataset>

⁸<https://sites.google.com/nyu.edu/madar>

⁹<https://github.com/openlanguagedata/seed>

into 39 low-resource languages. We extract the *Darija* and *English* pairs.

FLORES+¹⁰. Built upon FLORES-200 (Costajussà et al., 2022), this corpus is specifically designed to support multilingual research and evaluation. The English sentences were sampled in equal amounts from Wikinews (an international news source), Wikijunior (a collection of age-appropriate non-fiction books), and Wikivoyage (a travel guide). These were then translated into other languages. For each language, the dataset has 997 sentences for the dev split and 1012 sentences for the devtest split. We selected those in *Darija*, *MSA*, *English*, and *French*. dev is severed as training, while devtest for the evaluation.

4.2 Sentiment analysis

We collected five datasets for sentiment analysis, primarily sourced from social networks. Two datasets are annotated with three labels (positive, negative, and neutral), while the other three have two labels (positive and negative). These datasets were then transformed into training instructions using templates from Appendix A.3.

MSDA (Boujou et al., 2021)¹¹. It is an open dataset for sentiment analysis, designed to support research in NLP for Arabic dialects and social media. The dataset includes 52K tweets in *Darija*, categorized into three labels: *positive*, *neutral*, or *negative*. The tweets are preprocessed, and emojis are retained because they play a significant role in expressing sentiment. Labels are annotated semi-automatically and bootstrapped with human intervention.

MSAC (Oussous et al., 2018, 2020)¹². The Moroccan Sentiment Analysis Corpus (MSAC) is a manually prepared dataset consisting of reviewers’ opinions from Hespresse¹³ on various published articles, as well as a collection of Arabic reviews and comments from Facebook, Twitter and YouTube. It includes content in both *MSA* and *Darija*, consisting of 2K sentences labeled as *positive* or *negative* in equal proportions.

ElecMorocco2016 (Elouardighi et al., 2017)¹⁴. The 2016 Moroccan elections (ElecMorocco2016) is a sentiment analysis dataset comprising 10K

Facebook comments about Moroccan’s legislative elections held on October 7, 2016. Each comment is labeled as either *positive* or *negative*. The comments are written in *Darija* and *MSA*.

MYC (Jbel et al., 2024)¹⁵. The Moroccan Youtube Corpus (MYC) is a dataset of Moroccan YouTube comments designed for sentiment analysis. The dataset prioritizes variety over size. Comments are collected from Moroccan YouTube channels covering various topics. It contains 20K manually labeled comments, evenly divided between *positive* and *negative*. Notably, the 20K comments are equally balanced between those written in Arabic script and those in Latin script.

MAC (Garouani and Kharroubi, 2021)¹⁶: The Moroccan Arabic Corpus (MAC) is a free, large-scale *Darija* corpus for sentiment analysis, consisting of 18K manually labeled tweets categorized as *positive*, *neutral*, *negative*, or mixed. Only 643 tweets are labeled as mixed, so we filtered them out.

4.3 Automatic summarization

We found only one *Darija* dataset for summarization. The documents and corresponding summaries were converted into instructions using the template in Appendix A.4.

MARSum (Gaanoun et al., 2022)¹⁷. The Moroccan Articles Summarization dataset (MARSum) contains 19K news articles written in *Darija*, along with their titles. The articles were crawled from Goud.ma¹⁸. While some content includes *MSA*, all titles are written in *Darija*. Since the articles are relatively concise and the titles are sufficiently informative, the titles are considered as summaries of the articles. The average length of the titles is 14.6 words.

5 Synthetic *Darija* instruction datasets

5.1 Moroccan Wikipedia-QA (MW-QA)

MW-QA¹⁹ is a dataset derived from Moroccan Wikipedia dump²⁰, developed in our work to enhance the models’ question-answering (QA) capability. The dataset is divided into four tasks: Open

¹⁰<https://github.com/openlanguage/flores>

¹¹https://cc.um6p.ma/cc_datasets

¹²<https://github.com/ososs/Arabic-Sentiment-Analysis-corpus>

¹³<https://www.hespress.com>

¹⁴<https://github.com/sentiprojects/ElecMorocco2016>

¹⁵<https://github.com/MouadJb/MYC>

¹⁶<https://github.com/LeMGarouani/MAC>

¹⁷<https://github.com/KamelGaanoun/MoroccanSummarization>

¹⁸<http://www.goud.ma/>

¹⁹<https://hf.co/datasets/MBZUAI-Paris/MoroccanWikipedia-QA>

²⁰<https://dumps.wikimedia.org/arywiki/latest/>

QA (8%), Multiple-Choice QA (40%) (MMLU-alike), Extractive QA (10%), and Multiple-Choice Extractive QA (42%) (Belebele-alike), with each percentage reflecting the proportion of Wikipedia pages used for the respective task. The latter two tasks provide context along with the questions, whereas the former two do not. In Open QA and Extractive QA, answers are provided in sentence form. In the multiple-choice tasks, four answer options are presented, with the index of the correct option serving as the answer. The distribution of correct answers (e.g., A, B, C, D) are balanced. The QAs were converted into instructions with the template in Appendix A.5.

The dataset generation involved providing each Wikipedia page to Claude 3.5 Sonnet²¹ and prompting it to generate QA pairs tailored to the four task categories. The prompts followed a one-shot or two-shot format to ensure that output adhered to the desired structure. For the extractive tasks, rather than splitting the page into paragraphs—an approach that risked losing contextual meaning—we opted to present the entire page to Claude. The model was instructed to first extract a meaningful passage from the page and then generate a QA pair based on the content of that passage. Additionally, the model was directed to ensure that the extracted passages were long, self-contained, and did not lose meaning when removed from their original context.

A total of 8,730 pages were collected and pre-processed by removing scraping errors. Among these pages, some followed a uniform structure, typically consisting of a brief description of a village or community followed by statistical data (e.g., literacy rates and unemployment figures). Given that these statistical sections could become meaningless when extracted from their context, they were allocated to non-extractive tasks, which could still utilize the statistical information to enrich the fine-tuned model’s knowledge base.

The final distribution of QA pairs is as follows: 15.7% Open QA, 43.1% Multiple-Choice QA, 6.9% Extractive QA, and 34.3% Multiple-Choice Extractive QA. These percentages differ from the initial page distribution because Claude generated varying numbers of samples for each task. For example, the average number of samples generated for Open QA is 7.73, while for Extractive QA, it is 2.72.

²¹<https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-5-sonnet>

5.2 MoroccanSocialMedia-MultiGen (MSM-MG)

MSM-MG²², a dataset introduced as part of this work, comprises 12,973 pairs of native Darija social media posts (tweets and YouTube comments) and their synthetic counterparts, covering various NLP tasks. The pairs were converted into instructions using the template provided in Appendix A.6.

The synthetic generations are created based on six specific tasks: *Continuation*, *Reply*, *Summarization*, *Rephrasing*, *Explanation*, and *Safe Response*, by prompting Claude 3.5 Sonnet to respectively consider the original post as incomplete and continue it, reply to it, summarize its content, rephrase it, explain its topic, and respond safely to potentially offensive content. 9,754 Tweets were employed for the first five tasks, while 3,219 YouTube comments were utilized for the last task. The posts were collected from three sources:

QADI (Abdelali et al., 2021)²³: From this Arabic dialect identification dataset, 12,813 Moroccan tweets were initially sampled. After a thorough review by native Darija speakers, tweets that were no longer accessible or contained non-Darija Arabic dialects were filtered out, resulting in 6,362 valid tweets.

Twitter API: 4,226 tweets were gathered directly from the Twitter API by searching for Darija-specific keywords. The DarijaBERT paper (Gaa-noun et al., 2024) identified 31 keywords exclusive to Darija, but upon review, five were found to also exist in other Arabic dialects and were excluded. The remaining 26 keywords can be found in Appendix C.2.

OMCD (Essefar et al., 2023)²⁴: This is a dataset for offensive content identification collected from Moroccan YouTube comments. For the purposes of this study, only comments labeled as offensive from the training split were selected. These comments were specifically utilized for the final generation task, which involved generating safe responses to potentially offensive content.

5.3 DarijaStory-Completion

To mitigate the limitation of performing only instruction-tuning for language adaptation without the typical continual pre-training phase—due to

²²<https://hf.co/datasets/MBZUAI-Paris/MoroccanSocialMedia-MultiGen>

²³<https://github.com/qcri/QADI>

²⁴<https://github.com/kabilessefar/OMCD-Offensive-Moroccan-Comments-Dataset>

the lack of sufficient amount of Darija pre-training data—we designed a synthetic story completion dataset, aiming to enhance the next-word prediction capability in Darija for our models over a relatively longer context. First, we collected 4,392 long stories from 9esa²⁵, a website featuring a rich collection of various stories entirely written in Darija. We denote this dataset as DarijaStory. The scraped stories were then divided into segments of approximately 2,048 tokens, adhering to the base model tokenizer’s vocabulary. The segments were further divided into two parts of varying lengths: the beginning part and the ending part to be completed. For the two segmentation steps above, the split point is preferably placed at line breaks. Finally, the pairs were converted into story completion instructions using the template provided in Appendix A.7.

6 Translated English instruction datasets

Finally, we expanded our instruction-tuning data by translating appropriate English datasets into Darija. Since English instruction-tuning datasets incorporate diverse sources, such as human-written examples, expert-curated tasks, and synthetic data generated by advanced models. These datasets cover a wide range of scenarios and improve the model’s generalization capabilities.

We began by reviewing the most widely used datasets for fine-tuning state-of-the-art models to ensure that our translation efforts would lead to meaningful improvements. After careful consideration, we decided to focus on the **TÜLU-V2-mix** (Iverson et al., 2023)²⁶ dataset for several reasons. It offers a comprehensive dataset composition, including samples from some of the most widely used datasets, such as FLAN and ShareGPT, for fine-tuning state-of-the-art models. Appendix B.1 presents descriptions of each of these datasets and describes how the subset was sampled. The dataset mixture was meticulously designed based on ablation studies of both human-annotated and AI-generated data, with a focus on complexity and diversity. Models fine-tuned on it showed significant improvements in overall performance on key benchmarks compared to those trained on individual datasets. We adopted the user-assistant message format from TÜLU-V2-mix (see Appendix B.2) to structure our entire Darija-SFT-Mixture dataset.

²⁵<https://www.9esa.com>

²⁶<https://hf.co/datasets/allenai/tulu-v2-sft-mixture>

To ensure translation quality, we first filtered out instructions from TÜLU-V2-mix that are either inappropriate for typical Darija speakers or could lose meaning or coherence when translated, such as scientific content, translation tasks, and non-English samples. We then experimented with several open-source and closed-source models for English-to-Darija translation, including NLLB (Costa-jussà et al., 2022), GPT, and others. Our results showed that closed-source models consistently outperformed open-source alternatives, with Claude 3.5 Sonnet emerging as our final choice. Finally, we implemented several post-processing measures to correct errors introduced by the automatic translation. All details are provided in Appendix B.3.

7 Training details

In this section, we outline the training details and present the experimental analysis of various fine-tuning strategies and base model choices that informed our final settings.

Base model selection. Selecting a pre-trained model that meets the specific requirements of the target task is crucial for effective fine-tuning. Initially, we considered two Arabic models: Jais and AceGPT. Later, we included Gemma 2 based on positive feedback from the Arabic LLM development community, as it can serve as a strong starting point for Arabic fine-tuning tasks. We also compared the performance differences between fine-tuning on an instruction-tuned chat model and a base model. Our experimental results indicate that *continual fine-tuning* of instruction-tuned Gemma 2 models (Gemma-2-2B-It²⁷ and Gemma-2-9B-It²⁸) yields significantly higher scores than other settings on our dataset.

Training framework. We also investigated the performance differences between full fine-tuning and parameter-efficient approaches (Han et al., 2024). Results indicate that the latter, with Low-Rank Adaptation (LoRA) (Hu et al., 2021), proved to be more effective, whereas full fine-tuning resulted in catastrophic forgetting (French, 1999). This is supported by the recent work of Biderman et al. (2024), that shows LoRA exhibits a desirable form of regularization: it better maintains the base model’s performance on tasks outside the target domain, and it also helps maintain more diverse generations.

²⁷<https://hf.co/google/gemma-2-2b-it>

²⁸<https://hf.co/google/gemma-2-9b-it>

Hyperparameters. We carefully selected the hyperparameters to ensure optimal model performance while balancing memory efficiency and training speed. The use of LoRA was configured with a `lora_rank` of 256 and a `lora_alpha` of 128 to enhance parameter efficiency while maintaining stability. For the training process, we run the training for 3 epochs, and set the `learning_rate` to $5e-5$ with warmup ratio of 3%, and `per_device_train_batch_size` to 4, with gradients accumulated over 4 steps to manage memory and training speed effectively. The `max_seq_length` was configured to 2048, defining the maximum input context length for the model. We used `torch_dtype` of `bf16` to optimize training speed. The loss is computed only on the responses, not on the prompts of instructions. The Atlas-Chat models were trained on 8 Nvidia A100 80 GB GPUs in parallel, utilizing Fully Sharded Data Parallel (FSDP) strategy on AWS SageMaker. The training was conducted using Hugging Face Transformers library.

8 Evaluation benchmarks

To evaluate LLM performance in Darija, we developed a comprehensive evaluation suite that includes benchmarks such as DarijaMMLU, DarijaHellaSwag, and DarijaBench. Additionally, we evaluated using an existing benchmark, Belebele. All our custom benchmarks are integrated into a fork²⁹ of the LM-Evaluation-Harness repository (Gao et al., 2024) to ensure reproducibility and foster future model comparison.

DarijaMMLU³⁰. It is constructed by translating selected subsets from two major benchmarks into Darija from English and MSA: Massive Multitask Language Understanding (MMLU) (Hendrycks et al., 2020)³¹ and ArabicMMLU (Koto et al., 2024)³². While constructing DarijaMMLU, subsets from MMLU and ArabicMMLU that were either too technical (beyond typical user needs) or culturally inappropriate for the Moroccan context were excluded. The remaining samples were translated into Darija using Claude 3.5 Sonnet. The benchmark consists of 22,027 multiple-choice questions, with the number of choices ranging from 2 to 5.

²⁹<https://github.com/MBZUAI-Paris/lm-evaluation-harness-atlas-chat>

³⁰<https://hf.co/datasets/MBZUAI-Paris/DarijaMMLU>

³¹<https://hf.co/datasets/cais/mmlu>

³²<https://hf.co/datasets/MBZUAI/ArabicMMLU>

The selected subjects are listed in Appendix C.3.

DarijaHellaSwag³³. HellaSwag³⁴ (Zellers et al., 2019) is a challenging multiple-choice dataset designed to evaluate machine reading comprehension and commonsense reasoning. It presents complex scenarios where models must select the most plausible continuation of a passage from four options, challenging nuanced language understanding and contextual inference. Using Claude 3.5 Sonnet, We translated the HellaSwag validation set into Darija.

Belebele_Ary. Belebele (Bandarkar et al., 2024)³⁵ is a comprehensive multiple-choice machine reading comprehension dataset designed to evaluate both monolingual and multilingual models across 122 language variants. Each question is paired with a brief passage and offers four multiple-choice answers. For our work, we specifically used the Ary_Arab subset of Belebele, focusing on Darija to evaluate our models.

DarijaBench³⁶. In addition to the above benchmarks, we evaluated with the test sets from the native Darija datasets (see Section 4). Typically, 10% of each subset is reserved for testing, unless the original source provides a pre-defined separate test set. The combined test sets, referred to as DarijaBench, encompass three tasks: Translation, Sentiment Analysis, and Summarization.

9 Results

Evaluation metrics. We employed the Accuracy metric to evaluate models on multiple-choice benchmarks, including DarijaMMLU, DarijaHellaSwag, Belebele_Ary, and the discriminative Sentiment Analysis task within DarijaBench. For translation and summarization tasks, we adopted the conventional BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) and ROUGE-1/L (Lin, 2004), respectively. However, since these metrics are based on n -grams, they are not well-suited for assessing Darija. For example, the same word in Darija can be written in multiple ways ("How are you?" = "كيدير" = "كيددير" = "كي داير") due to the lack of standardized spelling (e.g., code-switching, diacritics, agglutinations, borrowings), making these two metrics overly rigid in cases where slight variations

³³<https://hf.co/datasets/MBZUAI-Paris/DarijaHellaSwag>

³⁴<https://hf.co/datasets/Rowan/hellaswag>

³⁵<https://hf.co/datasets/facebook/belebele>

³⁶<https://hf.co/datasets/MBZUAI-Paris/DarijaBench>

Base Model	Darija MMLU	Darija HellaSwag	Belebele Ary	Sentiment Analysis	Translation (DODa-10K)			Summarization (MARSum)				
					chrF	BLEU	BERTScore	chrF	ROUGE-1	ROUGE-L	BERTScore	LLM as a Judge win rate
Jais-family-1.3B-chat	35.39	32.51	38.33	45.29	6.18	0.13	39.17	20.56	6.85	6.72	35.77	0.57
Gemma-2-2B-It	28.58	32.42	25.22	53.36	4.96	0.1	35.37	0.48	0.49	0.48	24.44	6.79
Jais-family-2.7B-chat	37.44	34.49	44.11	51.56	7.46	0.25	39.84	20.63	7.74	7.6	36.38	0.89
Jais-family-6.7B-chat	39.96	41.57	51.22	56.78	11.85	0.73	45.77	22.12	7.98	7.82	37.1	3.02
Jais-Adapted-7B-chat	39.3	35.19	43.67	52.72	9.34	0.6	45.05	23.2	7.82	7.63	36.87	2.82
AceGPT-7B-chat	35.98	36.57	30.11	40.23	11.33	0.44	45.83	27.18	7.6	7.55	37.39	2.27
Atlas-Chat-2B	44.97	41.48	53.89	<u>73.99</u>	<u>44.86</u>	<u>22.76</u>	<u>73.72</u>	28.8	9	8.88	<u>44.71</u>	<u>55.22</u>
Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct	44.14	38.24	47	44.08	14.19	0.92	44.57	28.66	10.2	9.93	39.37	1.27
Gemma-2-9B-It	35.91	42.43	31	59.87	19.16	3.1	48.96	25.49	<u>9.83</u>	<u>9.64</u>	38	13.8
Jais-family-13B-Chat	45.11	<u>43.9</u>	<u>58.67</u>	41.73	11.71	0.92	45.96	22.53	7.99	7.84	37.13	1.77
Jais-Adapted-13B-chat	<u>45.2</u>	40.65	49.67	66.68	10.52	0.87	47.91	23.8	8.86	8.63	37.67	1.92
AceGPT-13B-chat	41.09	38.35	33.11	59.58	16.7	0.98	48.48	26.83	7.92	7.81	36.91	2.87
Atlas-Chat-9B	58.23	57.75	74.56	81.89	50.48	28.08	76.31	32.07	9.5	9.45	47	59.76

Table 2: Zero-shot performance comparison of Atlas-Chat and state-of-the-art models on the evaluation suite with prompts written in **Darija**. The highest scores are indicated in **bold** and the second-highest are underlined.

still convey the same meaning. To gain a more fine-grained insight, we also included chrF (Popović, 2015), which operates at the level of character n -grams. Finally, to capture higher-level semantic similarity, we also used BERTScore (Zhang et al., 2019), with DarijaBERT as the reference model for summarization, and multilingual BERT³⁷ for translation. All our evaluations were conducted in a zero-shot setting with greedy decoding.

Result analysis. We compared Atlas-Chat with instruction-tuned models from the Jais series (including the -family models trained from scratch and the -adapted ones based on LLaMA 2), along with AceGPT, LLaMA 3.1, and Gemma 2 (our base model). Given that Atlas-Chat features 2B and 9B sizes, we extended our comparison to the closest larger-sized model above 9B when available (e.g., AceGPT-13B-chat), while included all versions with smaller sizes. The evaluation results are shown in Table 2, demonstrating the exceptional performance of Atlas-Chat models across multiple Darija benchmarks and metrics.

When compared to other models with 7B parameters or fewer, Atlas-Chat-2B has a significantly superior performance. On the DarijaMMLU, DarijaHellaSwag, Belebele Ary, and Sentiment Analysis benchmarks, Atlas-Chat-2B achieves accuracy scores of 44.97%, 41.48%, 53.89%, and 73.99% respectively, surpassing its closest competitor in the same category, namely Jais-family-6.7B, by performance gaps of 5.01% on DarijaMMLU, 2.67%

on Belebele_Ary, and 17.21% on Sentiment Analysis, while being the second in performance on DarijaHellaSwag, trailing by only 0.09% from Jais-family-6.7B, despite having approximately one-third the number of parameters. In translation tasks, Atlas-Chat-2B outperformed the other models in the same category, with chrF, BLEU, and BERTScore metrics of 44.86%, 22.76%, and 73.72%, respectively. Similarly, in summarization tasks, Atlas-Chat-2B consistently ranks as the top performer in its category, with chrF, ROUGE-1/L, and BERTScore metrics of 28.8%, 9%/8.88%, and 44.71%, respectively.

Atlas-Chat-2B’s strong performance is further complemented by its larger counterpart, Atlas-Chat-9B, which consistently outperforms other state-of-the-art models, achieving the highest scores in 9 out of 11 metrics. Its strength is especially evident in translation tasks, where it leads all three metrics (chrF: 50.48%, BLEU: 28.08%, BERTScore: 76.31%) by a significant margin. The model also excels in tasks such as DarijaMMLU (58.23%), DarijaHellaSwag (57.75%), Belebele_Ary (74.56%), and Sentiment Analysis (81.89%), surpassing larger models like AceGPT-13B-chat and Jais-family-13B-Chat. However, in summarization tasks evaluated using metrics based on lexical overlapping, particularly ROUGE, Atlas-Chat did not demonstrate the same level of superiority. This could be because these metrics may not fully capture the nuances of Darija. Additionally, summarization is a less constrained generation task, often resulting in equally valid summaries with varying formulations (Guo et al., 2024). To further

³⁷<https://hf.co/google-bert/bert-base-multilingual-cased>




Figure 1: LLM-as-a-judge results for summarization.

evaluate summarization performance, we employ the LLM-as-a-judge approach (Zheng et al., 2023), using Claude 3.5 Sonnet as the reference model in the following section.

LLM-as-a-judge for summarization. We evaluated the model-generated summaries by comparing them with human-written ground truths. The pre-defined criteria, adapted from Fabbri et al. (2021), consider wordiness, conciseness, and relevancy aspects. The prompt leveraged for the evaluation is provided in Appendix C.4. This approach is particularly suitable for tasks requiring subjective evaluation, such as open-ended questions, dialogue generation, and summarization. To mitigate biases such as verbosity and position bias identified by Zheng et al. (2023), all models were additionally instructed to limit their output. Results in Figure 1 and Table 2 show that, within the LLM-as-a-judge framework, the judge model selected Atlas-Chat’s responses 59.76% of the time over ground truth answers. This surpasses its closest competitor in the same model-size category, Gemma-2-9B-It, by approximately 46% in win rate on the same metric.

10 Conclusion

In this work, we presented Atlas-Chat, the first collection of large language models specifically developed for dialectal Arabic, with a primary focus on Moroccan Darija. We constructed a comprehensive instruction dataset by consolidating existing Darija resources, creating novel datasets both manually and synthetically, and translating English instructions with rigorous control measures. To evaluate LLM performance in Darija, we also introduced a novel evaluation suite for Darija that includes

both discriminative and generative tasks. Our models, Atlas-Chat-2B and Atlas-Chat-9B, demonstrated superior performance in following Darija instructions and executing standard NLP tasks, outperforming both state-of-the-art and Arabic-specialized LLMs. Our work highlights the potential of targeted LLM development for underrepresented languages and offers comprehensive design methodologies of instruction-tuning that can be applied to similar language adaptation challenges.

Limitations

Despite the promising results, our work has some limitations. First, the model occasionally generates hallucinations. Second, the dataset may contain inherent biases that could affect the model’s fairness and representation. Additionally, we relied heavily on Claude for translating English instructions into Darija. However, because Claude is primarily trained on English and reflects Western cultural values, it may not fully capture the unique nuances of Darija. Moreover, our models lack preference-tuning to better align with Darija speakers. We intend to address these limitations in future work.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the Moroccan Darija speakers who warmly contributed to this work from its inception, assisting with data annotation and selection, and evaluating the quality of model outputs in their language.

References

- Ahmed Abdelali, Hamdy Mubarak, Shammur Chowdhury, Maram Hasanain, Basel Mousi, Sabri Boughorbel, Samir Abdaljalil, Yassine El Kheir, Daniel Izham, Fahim Dalvi, Majd Hawasly, Nizi Nazar, Youssef Elshahawy, Ahmed Ali, Nadir Durrani, Natasa Milic-Frayling, and Firoj Alam. 2024. *LARA-Bench: Benchmarking Arabic AI with large language models*. In *Proceedings of the 18th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 487–520, St. Julian’s, Malta. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ahmed Abdelali, Hamdy Mubarak, Younes Samih, Sabit Hassan, and Kareem Darwish. 2021. *QADI: Arabic dialect identification in the wild*. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Arabic Natural Language Processing Workshop*, pages 1–10, Kyiv, Ukraine (Virtual). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lucas Bandarkar, Davis Liang, Benjamin Muller, Mikel Artetxe, Satya Narayan Shukla, Donald Husa, Naman

- Goyal, Abhinandan Krishnan, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Madian Khabza. 2024. [The belebele benchmark: a parallel reading comprehension dataset in 122 language variants](#). In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 749–775, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- M Saiful Bari, Yazeed Alnumay, Norah A Alzahrani, Nouf M Alotaibi, Hisham A Alyahya, Sultan Al-Rashed, Faisal A Mirza, Shaykhah Z Alsubaie, Hassan A Alahmed, Ghadah Alabduljabbar, et al. 2024. Allam: Large language models for arabic and english. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.15390*.
- Dan Biderman, Jose Gonzalez Ortiz, Jacob Portes, Mansheej Paul, Philip Greengard, Connor Jennings, Daniel King, Sam Havens, Vitaliy Chiley, Jonathan Frankle, et al. 2024. Lora learns less and forgets less. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.09673*.
- Houda Bouamor, Nizar Habash, Mohammad Salameh, Wajdi Zaghouni, Owen Rambow, Dana Abdulrahim, Ossama Obeid, Salam Khalifa, Fadhil Eryani, Alexander Erdmann, and Kemal Oflazer. 2018. [The MADAR Arabic dialect corpus and lexicon](#). In *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2018)*, Miyazaki, Japan. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- ElMehdi Boujou, Hamza Chataoui, Abdellah El Mekki, Saad Benjelloun, Ikram Chairi, and Ismail Berrada. 2021. An open access nlp dataset for arabic dialects: Data collection, labeling, and model construction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.11000*.
- Lin Chen, Jisong Li, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Conghui He, Jiaqi Wang, Feng Zhao, and Dahua Lin. 2023. Sharegpt4v: Improving large multimodal models with better captions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12793*.
- Marta R Costa-jussà, James Cross, Onur Çelebi, Maha Elbayad, Kenneth Heafield, Kevin Heffernan, Elahe Kalbassi, Janice Lam, Daniel Licht, Jean Maillard, et al. 2022. No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04672*.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. 2024. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*.
- Abdeljalil Elouardighi, Mohcine Maghfour, and Haf-dalla Hammia. 2017. Collecting and processing arabic facebook comments for sentiment analysis. In *Model and Data Engineering: 7th International Conference, MEDI 2017, Barcelona, Spain, October 4–6, 2017, Proceedings 7*, pages 262–274. Springer.
- Kabil Essefar, Hassan Ait Baha, Abdelkader El Mahdaouy, Abdellah El Mekki, and Ismail Berrada. 2023. Omcd: Offensive moroccan comments dataset. *Language Resources and Evaluation*, 57(4):1745–1765.
- Alexander R. Fabbri, Wojciech Kryściński, Bryan McCann, Caiming Xiong, Richard Socher, and Dragomir Radev. 2021. [SummEval: Re-evaluating summarization evaluation](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 9:391–409.
- Robert M French. 1999. Catastrophic forgetting in connectionist networks. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 3(4):128–135.
- Kamel Gaanoun, Abdou Mohamed Naira, Anass Allak, and Imade Benelallam. 2022. Automatic text summarization for moroccan arabic dialect using an artificial intelligence approach. In *International Conference on Business Intelligence*, pages 158–177. Springer.
- Kamel Gaanoun, Abdou Mohamed Naira, Anass Allak, and Imade Benelallam. 2024. Darijabert: a step forward in nlp for the written moroccan dialect. *International Journal of Data Science and Analytics*, pages 1–13.
- Leo Gao, Jonathan Tow, Baber Abbasi, Stella Biderman, Sid Black, Anthony DiPofi, Charles Foster, Laurence Golding, Jeffrey Hsu, Alain Le Noac’h, Haonan Li, Kyle McDonell, Niklas Muennighoff, Chris Ociepa, Jason Phang, Laria Reynolds, Hailey Schoelkopf, Aviya Skowron, Lintang Sutawika, Eric Tang, Anish Thite, Ben Wang, Kevin Wang, and Andy Zou. 2024. [A framework for few-shot language model evaluation](#).
- Moncef Garouani and Jamal Kharroubi. 2021. Mac: an open and free moroccan arabic corpus for sentiment analysis. In *The Proceedings of the International Conference on Smart City Applications*, pages 849–858. Springer.
- Suriya Gunasekar, Yi Zhang, Jyoti Aneja, Caio César Teodoro Mendes, Allie Del Giorno, Sivakanth Gopi, Mojan Javaheripi, Piero Kauffmann, Gustavo de Rosa, Olli Saarikivi, et al. 2023. Textbooks are all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11644*.
- Yanzhu Guo, Guokan Shang, Michalis Vazirgiannis, and Chloé Clavel. 2024. [The curious decline of linguistic diversity: Training language models on synthetic text](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2024*, pages 3589–3604, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zeyu Han, Chao Gao, Jinyang Liu, Sai Qian Zhang, et al. 2024. Parameter-efficient fine-tuning for large models: A comprehensive survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14608*.
- Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. 2020. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.03300*.
- Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. 2021. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*.
- Huang Huang, Fei Yu, Jianqing Zhu, Xuening Sun, Hao Cheng, Song Dingjie, Zhihong Chen, Mosen Alharthi, Bang An, Juncai He, Ziche Liu, Junying Chen, Jianquan Li, Benyou Wang, Lian Zhang, Ruoyu Sun, Xiang Wan, Haizhou Li, and Jinchao Xu.

2024. **AceGPT, localizing large language models in Arabic**. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 8139–8163, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Julie Hunter, Jérôme Louradour, Virgile Rennard, Ismaïl Harrando, Guokan Shang, and Jean-Pierre Lorré. 2023. The claire french dialogue dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16840*.
- Hamish Ivison, Yizhong Wang, Valentina Pyatkin, Nathan Lambert, Matthew Peters, Pradeep Dasigi, Joel Jang, David Wadden, Noah A Smith, Iz Beltagy, et al. 2023. Camels in a changing climate: Enhancing lm adaptation with tulu 2. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10702*.
- Mouad Jbel, Mourad Jabrane, Imad Hafidi, and Abdulmutallib Metrane. 2024. Sentiment analysis dataset in moroccan dialect: bridging the gap between arabic and latin scripted dialect. *Language Resources and Evaluation*, pages 1–30.
- Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. 2023. Mistral 7b. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825*.
- Mohamed Bayan Kmainasi, Rakif Khan, Ali Ezzat Shahroor, Boushra Bendou, Maram Hasanain, and Firoj Alam. 2024. Native vs non-native language prompting: A comparative analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.07054*.
- Andreas Köpf, Yannic Kilcher, Dimitri von Rütte, Sotiris Anagnostidis, Zhi Rui Tam, Keith Stevens, Abdullah Barhoum, Duc Nguyen, Oliver Stanley, Richárd Nagyfi, et al. 2024. Openassistant conversations-democratizing large language model alignment. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36.
- Fajri Koto, Haonan Li, Sara Shatnawi, Jad Doughman, Abdelrahman Sadallah, Aisha Alraeesi, Khalid Al-mubarak, Zaid Alyafeai, Neha Sengupta, Shady Shehata, Nizar Habash, Preslav Nakov, and Timothy Baldwin. 2024. **ArabicMMLU: Assessing massive multitask language understanding in Arabic**. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics ACL 2024*, pages 5622–5640, Bangkok, Thailand and virtual meeting. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Harrison Lee, Samrat Phatale, Hassan Mansoor, Thomas Mesnard, Johan Ferret, Kellie Lu, Colton Bishop, Ethan Hall, Victor Carbune, Abhinav Rastogi, et al. 2023. Rlaif: Scaling reinforcement learning from human feedback with ai feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00267*.
- Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. **ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries**. In *Text Summarization Branches Out*, pages 74–81, Barcelona, Spain. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jérôme Louradour, Julie Hunter, Ismaïl Harrando, Guokan Shang, Virgile Rennard, and Jean-Pierre Lorré. 2024. **Claire: Large language models for spontaneous French dialogue**. In *Actes de la 31ème Conférence sur le Traitement Automatique des Langues Naturelles, volume 1 : articles longs et prises de position*, pages 530–548, Toulouse, France. ATALA and AFPC.
- Jean Maillard, Cynthia Gao, Elahe Kalbassi, Kaushik Ram Sadagopan, Vedanuj Goswami, Philipp Koehn, Angela Fan, and Francisco Guzman. 2023. **Small data, big impact: Leveraging minimal data for effective machine translation**. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2740–2756, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Subhabrata Mukherjee, Arindam Mitra, Ganesh Jawahar, Sahaj Agarwal, Hamid Palangi, and Ahmed Awadallah. 2023. Orca: Progressive learning from complex explanation traces of gpt-4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02707*.
- Ahmed Oussous, Fatima-Zahra Benjelloun, Ayoub Ait Lahcen, and Samir Belfkih. 2020. Asa: A framework for arabic sentiment analysis. *Journal of Information Science*, 46(4):544–559.
- Ahmed Oussous, Ayoub Ait Lahcen, and Samir Belfkih. 2018. Improving sentiment analysis of moroccan tweets using ensemble learning. In *Big Data, Cloud and Applications: Third International Conference, BDCA 2018, Kenitra, Morocco, April 4–5, 2018, Revised Selected Papers 3*, pages 91–104. Springer.
- Aissam Outchakoucht and Hamza Es-Samaali. 2021. Moroccan dialect-darija-open dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.09687*.
- Aissam Outchakoucht and Hamza Es-Samaali. 2024. The evolution of darija open dataset: Introducing version 2. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.13016*.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. **Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation**. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Baolin Peng, Chunyuan Li, Pengcheng He, Michel Galley, and Jianfeng Gao. 2023. Instruction tuning with gpt-4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03277*.
- Maja Popović. 2015. **chrF: character n-gram F-score for automatic MT evaluation**. In *Proceedings of the Tenth Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation*, pages 392–395, Lisbon, Portugal. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Neha Sengupta, Sunil Kumar Sahu, Bokang Jia, Satheesh Katipomu, Haonan Li, Fajri Koto, William Marshall, Gurpreet Gosal, Cynthia Liu, Zhiming Chen, et al. 2023. Jais and jais-chat: Arabic-centric foundation and instruction-tuned open generative large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16149*.
- Toshiyuki Takezawa, Genichiro Kikui, Masahide Mizushima, and Eiichiro Sumita. 2007. **Multilingual**

- spoken language corpus development for communication research. In *International Journal of Computational Linguistics & Chinese Language Processing*, Volume 12, Number 3, September 2007: Special Issue on Invited Papers from ISCSLP 2006, pages 303–324.
- Mingxu Tao, Chen Zhang, Quzhe Huang, Tianyao Ma, Songfang Huang, Dongyan Zhao, and Yansong Feng. 2024. Unlocking the potential of model merging for low-resource languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.03994*.
- Gemma Team, Morgane Riviere, Shreya Pathak, Pier Giuseppe Sessa, Cassidy Hardin, Surya Bhupatiraju, Léonard Hussenot, Thomas Mesnard, Bobak Shahriari, Alexandre Ramé, et al. 2024. Gemma 2: Improving open language models at a practical size. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.00118*.
- Atnafu Lambebo Tonja, Bonaventure FP Dossou, Jessica Ojo, Jenalea Rajab, Fadel Thior, Eric Peter Wairagala, Aremu Anuoluwapo, Pelonomi Moiloa, Jade Abbott, Vukosi Marivate, et al. 2024. Inkubalm: A small language model for low-resource african languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.17024*.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. 2023. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*.
- Ahmet Üstün, Viraat Aryabumi, Zheng Yong, Wei-Yin Ko, Daniel D’souza, Gbemileke Onilude, Neel Bhandari, Shivalika Singh, Hui-Lee Ooi, Amr Kayid, Freddie Vargus, Phil Blunsom, Shayne Longpre, Niklas Muennighoff, Marzieh Fadaee, Julia Kreutzer, and Sara Hooker. 2024. *Aya model: An instruction fine-tuned open-access multilingual language model*. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 15894–15939, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jason Wei, Maarten Bosma, Vincent Y Zhao, Kelvin Guu, Adams Wei Yu, Brian Lester, Nan Du, Andrew M Dai, and Quoc V Le. 2021. Finetuned language models are zero-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.01652*.
- Can Xu, Qingfeng Sun, Kai Zheng, Xiubo Geng, Pu Zhao, Jiazhan Feng, Chongyang Tao, and Daxin Jiang. 2023. Wizardlm: Empowering large language models to follow complex instructions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12244*.
- L. Xue. 2020. mt5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11934*.
- Omar F. Zaidan and Chris Callison-Burch. 2014. *Arabic dialect identification*. *Computational Linguistics*, 40(1):171–202.
- Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. 2019. *HellaSwag: Can a machine really finish your sentence?* In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4791–4800, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tianyi Zhang, Varsha Kishore, Felix Wu, Kilian Q Weinberger, and Yoav Artzi. 2019. Bertscore: Evaluating text generation with bert. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09675*.
- Yiran Zhao, Wenxuan Zhang, Guizhen Chen, Kenji Kawaguchi, and Lidong Bing. 2024. How do large language models handle multilingualism? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.18815*.
- Lianmin Zheng, Wei-Lin Chiang, Ying Sheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Zhanghao Wu, Yonghao Zhuang, Zi Lin, Zhuohan Li, Dacheng Li, Eric Xing, et al. 2023. Judging llm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:46595–46623.
- Chunting Zhou, Pengfei Liu, Puxin Xu, Srinivasan Iyer, Jiao Sun, Yuning Mao, Xuezhe Ma, Avia Efrat, Ping Yu, Lili Yu, et al. 2024. Lima: Less is more for alignment. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36.

A Instruction data templates

In this section, we list the instruction templates used for constructing our Darija-SFT-Mixture dataset.

A.1 Machine translation

user: \n[source language text]\n:[target language] لل [source language] من ترجم من
assistant: [target language text]

A.2 Transliteration

user: \n[source language text]\n:[source language] كتب هادشي بالحروف ديال
assistant: [target language text]

A.3 Sentiment analysis

user: شنو هو الإحساس ديال هاد الجملة؟
العبارة: \n[source text]:
الإحتمالات: \n:
سليبي \n
- إيجابي \n
assistant: [target]

A.4 Automatic summarization

user: \n:خص هاد المقطع:
\n [passage]
assistant: [summary]

A.5 MoroccanWikipedia-QA

Template 1:

user: \n\n:قرا هاد النص وجاوب على السؤال:
\n\n [passage]
\n\n [question]
assistant: [answer]

Template 2:

user: \n\n [question]\n:قرا هاد النص:
assistant: [answer]

Template 3:

user: \n\n [passage]\n:جاوب على السؤال انطلاقا من داكشي لي فالنص:
\n\n [question]
assistant: [answer]

A.6 MoroccanSocialMedia-MultiGen

Continuation

user: \n [source sentence]\n:أكمل هاد الجملة:
assistant: [completion]

Reply

user: \n [message]\n: جاوب على هاد الميساج:
assistant: [reply]

Summarization

user: \n [passage]\n: لخص هاد النص:
assistant: [summary]

Rephrasing

user: \n [source sentence]\n: كتب هاد الجملة بشي طريقة اخرى:
assistant: [resphrased sentence]

Explanation

user: \n [source sentence]\n: شرح ليا هاد الجملة:
assistant: [explanation]

Safe Response

user: \n [source sentence]\n: جاوب على هادشي بطريقة مآدبة:
assistant: [safe response]

A.7 DarijaStory-Completion

user: \n [story]\n: كمل هاد لقصة:
assistant: [completion]

B TÜLU-V2-mix and its translation

In this section, we provide a detailed overview of the TÜLU-V2-mix dataset and its translation process into Darija, including the datasets it incorporates and the sampling strategies employed. We also describe the dataset’s format and the steps involved in translating the dataset to Moroccan Darija.

B.1 Composition of TÜLU-V2-mix

TÜLU-V2-mix incorporates subsets from the following datasets: FLAN (Wei et al., 2021)³⁸, Open Assistant 1 (Köpf et al., 2024)³⁹, ShareGPT (Chen et al., 2023)⁴⁰, GPT4-Alpaca (Peng et al., 2023)⁴¹, Code-Alpaca⁴², LIMA (Zhou et al., 2024)⁴³, WizardLM Evol Instruct (Xu et al., 2023)⁴⁴, and Open-Orca (Mukherjee et al., 2023)⁴⁵. The mixture also incorporates hard-coded instructions and a set of science-related questions derived from scientific documents. Table 3 presents descriptions of each of these datasets and describes how the subset in TÜLU-V2-mix was sampled.

B.2 Dataset Format

TÜLU-V2-mix is structured in a "messages" format commonly used for conversational datasets. Each interaction consists of a sequence of messages, where each message is represented as a JSON object with at least two key-value pairs:

³⁸<https://github.com/google-research/FLAN/tree/main>

³⁹<https://hf.co/datasets/OpenAssistant/oasst1>

⁴⁰https://hf.co/datasets/anon8231489123/ShareGPT_Vicuna_unfiltered

⁴¹<https://github.com/Instruction-Tuning-with-GPT-4/GPT-4-LLM#data-release>

⁴²<https://github.com/sahil280114/codealpaca>

⁴³<https://hf.co/datasets/GAIR/lima>

⁴⁴https://hf.co/datasets/WizardLM/WizardLM_evol_instruct_V2_196k

⁴⁵<https://hf.co/datasets/Open-Orca/OpenOrca>

Dataset	Description	Sampling Strategy
FLAN	A collection of datasets with tasks such as question answering, summarization, translation, and more.	100,000 examples from FLAN v2, split equally between general tasks and the CoT subset.
Open Assistant 1	A human-annotated assistant-style conversation corpus.	Top-ranked paths in conversation trees. 7,708 examples.
ShareGPT	User-shared conversations with ChatGPT and GPT-4.	114,046 samples from a processed ShareGPT dataset.
GPT4-Alpaca	GPT-4 generated responses to prompts from Alpaca.	20,000 samples.
Code-Alpaca	Coding instruction-tuning data generated by text-davinci-003.	All 20,022 examples.
LIMA	Carefully selected data with a special focus on quality.	All 1,030 examples.
WizardLM Evol Instruct	Automatic evolution of instruction datasets, enhancing the complexity and diversity of instructions.	30,000 examples.
Open-Orca	Augmented FLAN data with additional generated explanations.	30,000 samples generated by GPT-4.
Hardcoded	Prompts ensuring the model correctly answers questions about its identity or creators.	14 samples each repeated 10 times = 140 total samples.
Science	Scientific documents understanding tasks.	7,544 examples.

Table 3: Subsets of TüLU-V2-mix.

- **"role"**: Specifies the role of the participant in the conversation. Typically, this is either "user" (the person asking questions or giving prompts) or "assistant" (the model's response).
- **"content"**: Contains the actual text of the message. This is where the question, instruction, or response is written.

Figure 2 shows how samples from TüLU-V2-mix are formatted.

```
[
  {
    "role": "user",
    "content": "What is the latest release version of the Lua programming language?"
  },
  {
    "role": "assistant",
    "content": "The latest release of the Lua programming language is Lua 5.4.4, released in January 2022. The latest major version, Lua 5.4, was released in June 2020 and introduced new features such as a new generational mode for garbage collection, const variables, and to-be-closed variables."
  }
]
```

Figure 2: A Sample from TüLU-V2-mix.

The "messages" format is particularly useful for training conversational models as it simulates multi-turn conversations by incorporating alternating roles between user and assistant messages. This format ensures a clear distinction between user inputs and the model's responses. Additionally, during fine-tuning, the loss function is applied specifically to messages with the role "assistant," to focus optimization on improving response generation. We applied this format to structure the whole training dataset.

B.3 Translation to Darija

B.3.1 Preprocessing

Before translating the dataset into Darija, we applied several filters to ensure that the translation meets our quality requirements:

- **Excluding the Science subset:** We removed this part because the questions often involved parts or entire sections from research articles, which could lose meaning or coherence when translated, particularly into Darija. Additionally, we considered that a typical Darija-speaking user is unlikely to ask the model about research papers in Darija, as they would more commonly use English for such inquiries.
- **Filtering out empty messages:** Based on a reported issue⁴⁶, we discovered that some examples contained turns where the message role was defined, but the content was empty. To ensure data quality, we removed all such samples from the dataset.
- **Removing translation tasks:** We decided to omit translation instructions because translating both the source and target sentences into Darija would result in redundant outputs. Even if we specify that only the target sentence should be translated, it would be challenging to consistently ensure that the model performing the Darija translation adheres to the instruction across all examples. Additionally, verifying the quality of the translations would be challenging, particularly when the original meaning could be distorted. Furthermore, we already possess high-quality translation datasets, so including lower-quality translations would only degrade the overall dataset quality. To filter out translation tasks, we removed all samples containing either the strings "translate " or " translation ". We recognize that this method might exclude some instances where translation is mentioned without being the core task, for example, the user might be asking about the definition of the word "translation". However, given the large size of TÛLU-V2-mix, we believe such cases are rare, and the potential loss of a few samples would not impact the dataset’s overall quality.
- **Excluding non-English samples:** We filtered out non-English examples to ensure higher translation quality, as translating from English to Darija tends to yield more accurate results compared to translations from other languages, especially those with low resources. To implement this filter, we used one of the best language identification tools: the fastText Language Identification model⁴⁷. We set k=2, meaning the model predicts the two most likely languages for each input text and provides a probability score for each. We excluded any samples where the most likely language was not English, as well as those labeled as English with a confidence score below 80%. Through multiple experiments, we found that purely English texts typically score close to 100%, while lower scores often indicate the presence of other languages mixed with English.

B.3.2 Translation

We experimented with several open-source and closed-source Darija translation models, including NLLB-200-3.3B⁴⁸ (No Language Left Behind⁴⁹), Terjman-Ultra⁵⁰, GPT-4o⁵¹, Claude 3 Opus⁵², and Claude 3.5 Sonnet⁵³. Our results showed that closed-source models consistently outperformed open-source alternatives, with GPT-4o and Claude 3.5 Sonnet taking the lead. We ultimately chose **Claude 3.5 Sonnet**, as it slightly outperformed GPT-4o and offered compatibility with Amazon Bedrock.

Table 4 shows a comparison of an instruction translated to Darija using each of the models we tested. We observed that open-source models, namely NLLB-200-3.3B and Terjman-Ultra, tend to use more MSA, while closed-source models produce translations closer to Moroccan Darija. They also retain key

⁴⁶<https://github.com/allenai/open-instruct/issues/161>

⁴⁷<https://hf.co/facebook/fasttext-language-identification>

⁴⁸<https://hf.co/facebook/nllb-200-3.3B>

⁴⁹<https://ai.meta.com/research/no-language-left-behind>

⁵⁰<https://hf.co/atlasia/Terjman-Ultra>

⁵¹<https://openai.com/index/hello-gpt-4o>

⁵²<https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-family>

⁵³<https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-3-5-sonnet>

formatting elements like line breaks (\n) and tags (###), which are crucial for preserving the structure of the instructions.

Original Sentence	Write a response that appropriately completes the request.\n\n### Instruction:\nIdentify four positive impacts that artificial intelligence can have on the healthcare industry\n\n### Response:
NLLB-200-3.3B	كتب رد يكمل الطلب بشكل مناسب. ### التعليمات: حدد أربعة من التأثيرات الإيجابية التي يمكن لذكاء اصطناعي أن يكون لها على صناعة الرعاية الصحية ### الرد:
Terjman-Ultra	كتبي رد يكمل الطلب بشكل مناسب. ### تعليمات: حدد أربعة تأثيرات إيجابية يمكن لذكاء اصطناعي أن يكون لها على صناعة الرعاية الصحية ### رد:
GPT-4o	كتب رد يكمل الطلب بشكل مناسب. \n \n ### التعليمات: \n حدد أربع تأثيرات إيجابية \n التي الذكاء الاصطناعي يمكن يكون عندو على قطاع الرعاية الصحية \n \n ### الرد:
Claude Opus 3	أكتب جواب لي يكمل الطلب بشكل مناسب. \n \n ### التعليمات: \n عرف أربع تأثيرات إيجابية لي يمكن للذكاء الاصطناعي يكون عندو على قطاع الصحة \n \n ### الجواب:
Claude 3.5 Sonnet	اكتب جواب اللي يكمل الطلب بشكل مناسب. \n \n ### التعليمات: \n حدد أربعة تأثيرات إيجابية اللي يمكن للذكاء الاصطناعي يديرها على قطاع الصحة \n \n ### الجواب:

Table 4: Translation example for model comparison.

We used Amazon Bedrock⁵⁴, a cloud-based machine learning service from AWS, to translate the dataset into Darija. We provided specific instructions to Claude 3.5 Sonnet for handling the translations, refining the prompt after several rounds of experimentation. The final version of the prompt that produced the best results is shown in Figure 3. We altered this prompt slightly as needed for each subset of the dataset, ensuring that the translation remained consistent with the context and structure of each specific subset.

```
Translate the 'content' field in the paragraph after [Source Text] to Moroccan dialect (Darija - Arabic alphabet) while following these guidelines:
- Keep the format of the original text (list of json).
- If a word is usually not used in Arabic, use its French equivalent.
- Do not include any introduction or explanation after the translation, only the translation.
- If there is a given context, example or question translate it as well.
- Whenever you come across code contexts or technical words, keep them in English.
- Whenever you come across literature, or example or question, translate it to Moroccan.
- If the text is culturally not accepted for Morroccans, change it to a more acceptable one.
- Do not answer the request in the source text.
- Write first the original text after the tag [[Original]] and then the translation after the tag [[Translation]].

[Source Text]
```

Figure 3: The prompt given to Clause Sonnet 3.5 for translation.

We used asynchronous programming techniques with Python's asyncio library to make multiple concurrent requests (often 25 at a time) to the Bedrock translation service. This parallel approach

⁵⁴<https://aws.amazon.com/bedrock>

significantly sped up the overall translation process by allowing us to handle many requests simultaneously instead of sequentially.

B.3.3 Postprocessing

After finishing the translation and cleaning the errors, we post-processed the translations by:

- **Replacing non-translated keywords:** Some keywords such as "Input", "Output", "Response", "Answer", "Instructions", "Hypothesis" and "Additional Context" were not translated. We replaced these keywords with their Darija equivalents: المعطيات، النتيجة، الجواب، الجواب، تعليمات، الفرضية، سياق إضافي.
- **Removing samples with excessive English content:** We utilized the fastText Language Identification model to detect samples where the predicted language was not Arabic. Since the model does not differentiate dialects, Darija is recognized as Arabic due to its use of Arabic script. We removed samples where the predicted language was not Arabic or where Arabic was predicted with a confidence level below 80%.

C Additional details

C.1 Hard coded instruction samples

We manually created 13 instruction samples to ensure that the model responds correctly to identity and creator-related questions, such as “Who created you?” and “What is your name?”. Each instruction is repeated 10 times to reinforce the memorization of the answers. Figure 4 presents the full list of hard-coded instruction-answer pairs.

<p>واش نتا حسن من كلود ولا لاما 2 تشات؟</p> <p>صعب باش نقارنو بين النماذج ولا الخدمات (LLMs) اللغوية الكبيرة لي كايوفرو. يمكن يكونو مصممين لأهداف مختلفة، ولا مدرسين بطرق مختلفة، ولا منشورين تحت رخص مختلفة، الخ. واخا عندي خصائص بحال هاد لي موزيل، ولكن باقي كنتطور. أنا مصمم أكثر باش ندي بالدارجة المغربية</p>	<p>واش تقدر تعوض Google؟</p> <p>صعب باش نقارنو بين النماذج اللغوية الكبيرة ولا الخدمات لي كوينتاو عليهم. يمكن يكونو مصممين لأهداف مختلفة، ولا مدرسين بطرق مختلفة، ولا منشورين تحت رخص مختلفة، الخ. واخا عندي خصائص بحالهم، مازال باقي كنتطور. أنا مصمم أكثر باش نهضر بالدارجة المغربية</p>	<p>هضر ليا على راسك.</p> <p>السلام عليكم! أنا تشات بوت مساعد قاداتو جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي باش نعاون فيزاف ديال الحوايج. نقدر نجابو على الأسئلة، نعطى اقتراحات، نكتب مقالات، وبزاف ديال الحوايج خرين. نقدر سولني أي سؤال ولا طلب أي معلومة ولا مساعدة فشي حاجة لي نقدر نديرها</p>	<p>واش نتا ChatGPT؟</p> <p>أنا ChatGPT، لا، أنا ماشي مساعد افتراضي آخر قاداتو جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي باش نعاون فيزاف ديال المواضيع. إلى عندك شي سؤال ولا محتاج شي معلومة على شي حاجة، مرحبا</p>	<p>عطيني شي مقدمة قصيرة على جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي</p> <p>السلام عليكم! أنا تشات بوت مساعد قاداتو جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي باش نعاون فيزاف ديال الحوايج. نقدر نجابو على الأسئلة، نعطى اقتراحات، نكتب مقالات، وبزاف ديال الحوايج خرين. نقدر سولني أي سؤال ولا طلب أي معلومة ولا مساعدة فشي حاجة لي نقدر نديرها</p>
<p>واش نتا حسن من ChatGPT؟</p> <p>هو موديل ديال اللغة مطور ChatGPT بالذكاء الاصطناعي من طرف كايبرد بنادم ويدير بزاف ديال المهام على حساب السياق ولا التاريخ ديال المحادثة، ولكن، ما كايش بزاف ديال المعلومات العامة على التفاصيل التقنية ديال داكنشي علاش نقارن ChatGPT، بيناتنا بشكل دقيق. واخا عندي خصائص ولكن أنا مصمم ChatGPT كتشبع ل أكثر باش ندي بالدارجة المغربية ومازال كنتطور.</p>	<p>واش تقدر تكول لي شكون ليا صنعك؟ . عطيني سميات الناس لي صايبيوك</p> <p>صنعتني جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي، لي هي جامعة بحثية للدراسات العليا الهدف ديالها أنها تزيد بالذكاء الاصطناعي لقدام وتتفع بيه الإنسانية. تأسست جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي على يد القادة ديال دولة الإمارات العربية المتحدة اللي عندهم رؤية واضحة للمستقبل، وكتسعى لتعليم طلاب موهوبين وتطوير القدرات ديالهم، وكتهدف نفس الوقت لأنها تقاد واحد البيئة لي كتشجع على الابتكار، وتوفر مؤسسة بحثية استراتيجية كادعم القطاع الحكومي والخاص</p>	<p>واش تقدر تكول لي شكون لي قاداتك؟ . عطيني سميات الناس لي صايبيوك</p> <p>قاداتني جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي، لي هي جامعة بحثية ديال الدراسات العليا الهدف ديالها أنها تزيد بالذكاء الاصطناعي لقدام وتتفع بيه الإنسانية. تأسست جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي على يد القادة ديال دولة الإمارات العربية المتحدة اللي عندهم رؤية واضحة للمستقبل، وكتسعى لتعليم طلاب موهوبين وتطوير القدرات ديالهم، وكتهدف نفس الوقت لأنها تقاد واحد البيئة لي كتشجع على الابتكار، وتوفر مؤسسة بحثية استراتيجية كادعم القطاع الحكومي والخاص</p>	<p>واش تقدر تاخذ بلاصة Google؟</p> <p>ولا شي Google ما كاتظنن بلي نقدر تاخذ بلاصة شركة كبيرة بزاف Google. سيرفيس آخر ديال الإنترنت عندها بزاف ديال الخدمات، بحال البحث، الإيميل، الخرائط، الكلاود، وبزاف (YouTube) بلاغفورم ديال الفيديوات ديال الحوايج خرين. اللي نقدر ندير هو أنني نعاونك فيزاف ديال الحوايج بحال نجابو على الأسئلة، نعطيك معلومات على مواضيع مختلفة، نعاونك إلى لقيتي شي مشاكل فالبرمجة، نكتب ليك نصوص على حساب داكنشي لي طلبتي، وبزاف ديال الحوايج خرين. واخا نقدر نعاونك تلقى Google المعلومات ولا دير الحوايج اللي كتستعمل فيهم ما نقدرش نكون بديل لكاع الخدمات اللي كيقدمها أنا هنا باش نعاونك باي معلومة ولا خدمة Google. فحدود القدرات ديالي - سولني على أي حاجة بغيتي</p>	
<p>واش نتا حسن من كلود ولا لاما 2 تشات؟</p> <p>صعب باش نقارنو بين النماذج اللغوية الكبيرة ولا الخدمات لي كوينتاو عليهم. يمكن يكونو مصممين لأهداف مختلفة، ولا منشورين تحت رخص مختلفة، الخ. واخا عندي خصائص بحالهم، مازال باقي كنتطور. أنا مصمم أكثر باش نهضر بالدارجة المغربية</p>	<p>دوي ليا على راسك.</p> <p>السلام عليكم! أنا تشات بوت مساعد قاداتو جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي باش نعاون فيزاف ديال الحوايج. نقدر نجابو على الأسئلة، نعطى اقتراحات، نكتب مقالات، وبزاف ديال الحوايج خرين. نقدر سولني أي سؤال ولا طلب أي معلومة ولا مساعدة فشي حاجة لي نقدر نديرها</p>	<p>شكون لي قاداتك؟</p> <p>قاداتوني الباحثين والمهندسين ديال جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي. جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي هي جامعة ديال البحث والدراسات العليا، كتخصص فتعزيز الذكاء الاصطناعي والاستعمال ديالو لمصلحة الإنسانية. يمكن ليك تزور https://mbzuai.ac.ae/ar/about/ باش تعرف أكثر على جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي والمهمة ديالها</p>	<p>"شكون لي صايبيوك؟</p> <p>صايبونني الباحثين والمهندسين ديال جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي. جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي هي جامعة ديال البحث والدراسات العليا، كتخصص فتعزيز الذكاء الاصطناعي والاستعمال ديالو لمصلحة الإنسانية. يمكن ليك تزور https://mbzuai.ac.ae/ar/about/ باش تعرف أكثر على جامعة محمد بن زايد للذكاء الاصطناعي والمهمة ديالها</p>	

Figure 4: Hard coded instruction-answer pairs.

C.2 Selected keywords for tweet searching

We provide the 26 Darija-specific keywords used for tweet collection through the Twitter API, as referenced in Section 5.2.

كاتشوف، كيضحك، كتبكي، داكشي، كيشوف، كتشوف، كيزيدو، دابا، ديال، تبوڭيسة، مكلخ، حشومة، منبقاوش، شلاهية، تخزيق، كيدوي، كاندوي، يسيفطوه، يصيفطوه، السماسرية، ماكينش، مزيانين، الفقصة، زوينين، سيمانة، الدراري.

C.3 Selected topics from MMLU and ArabicMMLU

The MMLU subjects included in DarijaMMLU are: Global Facts, High School European History, High School Geography, High School Government and Politics, High School Psychology, High School Statistics, High School World History, Human Aging, International Law, Jurisprudence, Logical Fallacies, Management, Marketing, Moral Disputes, Moral Scenarios, Nutrition, Philosophy, Professional Law, Professional Psychology, Public Relations, Security Studies, Sociology, and World Religions.

From ArabicMMLU, the subjects adopted into DarijaMMLU are: Islamic Studies, Driving Test, Natural Science, History, General Knowledge, Law, Physics, Social Science, Management, Arabic Language, Political Science, Philosophy, Accounting, Computer Science, Geography, Mathematics, Biology, Economics, Arabic Language (General), Arabic Language (Grammar), and Civics.

C.4 LLM-as-Judge prompt for summarization evaluation

Following the work of Zheng et al. (2023) and Fabbri et al. (2021), which used advanced LLMs to evaluate responses from other LLMs, we employed Claude 3.5 Sonnet to assess the models' summarization capabilities. Summarization is subjective, and traditional text overlap-based methods often struggle to provide accurate evaluations. As shown in Figure 5, we instructed Claude to evaluate model-generated summaries based on three main criteria: wordness, conciseness, and relevance. The objective of the Darija summarization task is to produce a concise summary in native Darija using the fewest words possible, without introducing external information.

At each evaluation step, two summaries were presented to Claude: one generated by an LLM and the corresponding ground truth summary. To mitigate biases such as verbosity and position bias, identified by Zheng et al. (2023), all models were instructed to generate summaries of no more than 30 words (the average length of title summaries). Additionally, each pair of generated and ground truth summaries was presented to Claude twice, with their positions swapped. Pairs in which position swapping influenced Claude's decision were discarded. The win rate of a model's summary was calculated based on how often Claude preferred the model's summary over the ground truth.

```

You are an expert evaluator tasked with judging the quality of two summaries written
in Moroccan Darija for a given passage, also in Moroccan Darija. You are strict
regarding any language or dialect that is not Moroccan Darija, such as Modern
Standard Arabic (MSA) and English.

### Criteria:
Choose the better summary based on these criteria:
1. Wordness: Clear and precise language in Moroccan Darija that conveys the
passage's original meaning and doesn't use any other language or Dialect.
2. Conciseness: Straight to the point, capturing essential information without
unnecessary details.
3. Relevance: Directly related to the passage without adding new information.

### Instructions:
For each passage, you will receive two summaries, A and B. Evaluate them
based on the criteria above and decide which one is better. Provide only the
letter A or B as the answer.
It is strictly forbidden that a summary is written in Modern Standard Arabic (MSA).
A summary should not be chosen if it is written in MSA.s

###Output format:
Better Summary: [A or B]

### Evaluate:
Passage:
[Start of the passage]
{passage}
[Text of the passage]

Summary A:
[Start of Summary A]
{summary_a}
[Text of Summary A]

Summary B:
[Start of Summary B]
{summary_b}
[Text of Summary B]

Your Response (Only A or B with no additional text):

```

Figure 5: The prompt Given to Claude Sonnet 3.5 for choosing the best summary between the baseline and LLM-generated summaries.

D Examples of Atlas-Chat-9B responses

Figure 6 and 7 present some samples of Atlas-Chat responses on a variety of questions.

<p>🗨️</p>	<p>شنو هيا الإيجابيات ديال الطاقة المتجددة؟</p>
<p>📝</p>	<p>الطاقة المتجددة عندها بزاف د الفوائد، منها</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. الاستدامة البيئية: مصادر الطاقة المتجددة بحال الريح والماء والشمس كتستعمل موارد طبيعية متجددة اللي ما كتندفش مع الوقت، وهاد الشي كيخليها خيار مستدام للطاقة على المدى الطويل. 2. تقليل انبعاثات الكربون: مصادر الطاقة المتجددة عموماً عندها انبعاثات كربونية أقل من الوقود الأحفوري التقليدي، وهاد الشي كيساعد فالتخفيف من التغير المناخي وتلوث الهواء. 3. الاستقلال الطاقوي: استخدام مصادر الطاقة المتجددة كيققل الاعتماد على استيراد الوقود الأحفوري، وكيزيد من استقلال البلاد فالطاقة ديالها. 4. خلق فرص الشغل: صناعة الطاقة المتجددة كتخلق بزاف د الخدمات فمجالات بحال التصنيع والتركييب والصيانة والتدبير. 5. التوفير الاقتصادي: مع تطور تكنولوجيا الطاقة المتجددة، ثمن المكونات والمعدات ديالها نقص بشكل كبير، وهاد الشي كيجعلها خيار اقتصادي أكثر للطاقة على المدى الطويل.
<p>🗨️</p>	<p>كتب ليا ميساج كانعتر فيه لصاحبي لي شحال هادي ما جاوبتش المكالمات ديالو حيث ما كنتش مسالي مأخرا.</p>
<p>📝</p>	<p>سلام! سمح ليا على التأخير فالجواب على المكالمات ديالك. كانت عندي شي خدمة بزاف فالخدمة وما قدرتش نجابوك كيف بغيتي. شكرا على الصبر والتفهم، وكنتمنى نتواصلو قريب.</p>
<p>🗨️</p>	<p>شنو هيا العبرة لي كاينة فهادشي</p> <p>في القرن 19 لغار الذهب في كاليفورنيا، ناضو أي كيببوع العتلة والفاس كيقتعو الناس بلي غيديرو لابس بلا قلوبو على الذهب... فالأخير اغتنى تجار أدوات التنقيب والحفر. وحاليا كاين أي كيقتع الأخرين بلي هو مليونير، وعندو الوقت يوري للأخرين كيفاش يديرو لابس.</p>
<p>📝</p>	<p>هاد العبرة هي أن الناس غالبا كيتبعو الوهم ديال الثروة والنجاح، حتى إلا كان هاد الشي ماشي حقيقي ولا مستدام. فحالة كاليفورنيا فالقرن 19، الذهب كان مصدر جاذبية كبير للناس لي بغاو يربحو الفلوس، ولكن بزاف منهم ما نجحوش وولوا مديونين بسبب التكاليف ديال التنقيب على الذهب. بنفس الطريقة، اليوم كاين ناس لي كيببوعو الوهم بأنهم غنياين ومشهورين، وكيبتعوه الناس باش يربحو الفلوس من خلال بيع المنتجات والخدمات ديالهم. هاد العبرة كتبين كيفاش البشرية كتأثر بالفكرة ديال الثروة والنجاح، وغالبا كيتم استغلالها من طرف لي عندهم القوة والموارد أكثر.</p>

Figure 6: Atlas-Chat-9B response example 1.

<p>🗨️</p>	<p>why Moroccan football team is called the Atlas Lions</p>
<p>📝</p>	<p>الفريق الوطني ديال الكرة فالمغرب كيتسمى الأسود الأطلسيين حيث سميتو "أسود" جات من الفخر والشموخ لي عندهم بحال الأسود. و"الأطلسي" جا من جبال الأطلس فالبلاد، لي هو ما رمز ديال القوة والاستقلال. هاد الاسم كيمثل التضامن بين اللاعبين والشعب المغربي وكيعكس الحماس الوطني فالمغرب.</p>

Figure 7: Atlas-Chat-9B response example 2 (The model can understand English instructions but only responds in Darija).