

Moment-type estimators for a weighted exponential family

Roberto Vila^{1*} and Helton Saulo^{1,2}

¹ *Department of Statistics, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil*

² *Department of Economics, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil*

September 5, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we propose and study closed-form moment type estimators for a weighted exponential family. We also develop a bias-reduced version of these proposed closed-form estimators using bootstrap techniques. The estimators are evaluated using Monte Carlo simulation. This shows favourable results for the proposed bootstrap bias-reduced estimators.

Keywords. Weighted exponential family · Moment method · Monte Carlo simulation · R software.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). MSC 60E05 · MSC 62Exx · MSC 62Fxx.

1 Introduction

In this work we provide closed-form estimators for the parameters of probability distributions that belongs to the following weighted exponential family (Vila et al., 2024b):

$$f(x; \psi) = \frac{(\mu\sigma)^{\mu+1}}{(\sigma + \delta_{ab})\Gamma(\mu + 1)} [1 + \delta_{ab}T(x)] \frac{|T'(x)|}{T(x)} \exp \{-\mu\sigma T(x) + \mu \log(T(x))\}, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad (1)$$

where $\psi = (\mu, \sigma)$, $\mu, \sigma > 0$, $T : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a real strictly monotone twice differentiable function, δ_{ab} is the Kronecker delta function and $T'(x)$ denotes the derivative of $T(x)$ with respect to x .

The probability function $f(x; \psi)$ in (1) can be interpreted as a mixture of two distributions that belongs to the exponential family, that is,

$$f(x; \psi) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} f_1(x) + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} f_2(x), \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad \mu, \sigma > 0, \quad (2)$$

*Corresponding author: Roberto Vila, email: rovig161@gmail.com

where

$$f_j(x) = \frac{(\mu\sigma)^{\mu+j-1}}{\Gamma(\mu+j-1)} \frac{|T'(x)|}{T(x)} \exp\{-\mu\sigma T(x) + (\mu+j-1)\log(T(x))\}, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3)$$

Densities of form $f_j(x)$, $j = 1, 2$, have appeared in [Nascimento et al. \(2014\)](#) and [Vila et al. \(2024a,b\)](#).

If X has density in (1), from (2) and (3) it is simple to show that the random variable X defined as

$$X \equiv (1 - B)T^{-1}(Z_1) + BT^{-1}(Z_2), \quad (4)$$

has density in (1), where T^{-1} denotes the inverse function of T , $B \in \{0, 1\}$ is a Bernoulli random variable with success parameter $\delta_{ab}/(\sigma + \delta_{ab})$, independent of Z_1 and Z_2 , such that $Z_j \sim \text{Gamma}(\mu + j - 1, 1/(\mu\sigma))$, $j = 1, 2$, that is, the density function of Z_j is given by

$$f_{Z_j}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu+j-1)[1/(\mu\sigma)]^{\mu+j-1}} z^{(\mu+j-1)-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{z}{[1/(\mu\sigma)]}\right\}, \quad z > 0, j = 1, 2.$$

Table 1 ([Vila et al., 2024b](#)) presents some examples of generators $T(x)$ for use in (1) with $a = b$.

Table 1: Some examples of generators $T(x)$ of probability densities (1) with $a = b$.

Distribution	μ	σ	$T(x)$	Parameters
Weighted Lindley (Kim and Jang, 2021)	ϕ	$\frac{\lambda}{\phi}$	x	$\lambda, \phi > 0$
Weighted inverse Lindley	ϕ	$\frac{\lambda}{\phi}$	$\frac{1}{x}$	$\lambda, \phi > 0$
New weighted exponentiated Lindley	ϕ	$\frac{\lambda}{\phi}$	$\log(x + 1)$	$\lambda, \phi > 0$
New weighted log-Lindley	ϕ	$\frac{\lambda}{\phi}$	$\exp(x) - 1$	$\lambda, \phi > 0$
Weighted Nakagami	m	$\frac{1}{\Omega}$	x^2	$m \geq \frac{1}{2}, \Omega > 0$
Weighted inverse Nakagami	m	$\frac{1}{\Omega}$	$\frac{1}{x^2}$	$m \geq \frac{1}{2}, \Omega > 0$
New weighted exponentiated Nakagami	m	$\frac{1}{\Omega}$	$\log(x^2 + 1)$	$m \geq \frac{1}{2}, \Omega > 0$
New weighted log-Nakagami	m	$\frac{1}{\Omega}$	$\exp(x^2) - 1$	$m \geq \frac{1}{2}, \Omega > 0$

For $a \neq b$, Table 2 ([Vila et al., 2024a](#)) provides some examples of generators $T(x)$ for use in (1).

Table 2: Some examples of generators $T(x)$ of exponential family (1) with $a \neq b$.

Distribution	μ	σ	$T(x)$	Parameters
Nakagami (Laurenson, 1994)	m	$\frac{1}{\Omega}$	x^2	$m \geq \frac{1}{2}, \Omega > 0$
Maxwell-Boltzmann (Dunbar, 1982)	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3\beta^2}$	x^2	$\beta > 0$
Rayleigh (Rayleigh, 1880)	1	$\frac{1}{2\beta^2}$	x^2	$\beta > 0$
Gamma (Stacy, 1962)	α	$\frac{1}{\alpha\beta}$	x	$\alpha, \beta > 0$
Inverse gamma (Cook, 2008)	α	$\frac{1}{\alpha\beta}$	$\frac{1}{x}$	$\alpha, \beta > 0$
δ -gamma (Rahman et al., 2014)	$\frac{\beta}{\delta}$	$\frac{1}{\beta}$	x^δ	$\delta, \beta > 0$
Weibull (Johnson et al., 1994)	1	$\frac{1}{\beta^\delta}$	x^δ	$\delta, \beta > 0$
Inverse Weibull (Fréchet) (Khan et al., 2008)	1	$\frac{1}{\beta^\delta}$	$\frac{1}{x^\delta}$	$\delta, \beta > 0$
Generalized gamma (Stacy, 1962)	$\frac{\alpha}{\delta}$	$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta^\delta}$	x^δ	$\alpha, \delta, \beta > 0$
Generalized inverse gamma (Lee and Gross, 1991)	$\frac{\alpha}{\delta}$	$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta^\delta}$	$\frac{1}{x^\delta}$	$\alpha, \delta, \beta > 0$
New log-generalized gamma	$\frac{\alpha}{\delta}$	$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta^\delta}$	$[\exp(x) - 1]^\delta$	$\alpha, \delta > 0, \beta > 0$
New log-generalized inverse gamma	$\frac{\alpha}{\delta}$	$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta^\delta}$	$[\exp(\frac{1}{x}) - 1]^\delta$	$\alpha, \delta > 0, \beta > 0$
New exponentiated generalized gamma	$\frac{\alpha}{\delta}$	$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta^\delta}$	$\log^\delta(x + 1)$	$\alpha, \delta > 0, \beta > 0$
New exponentiated generalized inverse gamma	$\frac{\alpha}{\delta}$	$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta^\delta}$	$\log^\delta(\frac{1}{x} + 1)$	$\alpha, \delta > 0, \beta > 0$
New modified log-generalized gamma	$\frac{\alpha}{\delta}$	$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta^\delta}$	$\exp^\delta(x - \frac{1}{x})$	$\alpha, \delta > 0, \beta > 0$
New extended log-generalized gamma	$\frac{\alpha}{\delta}$	$\frac{\delta}{\alpha\beta^\delta}$	$x^\delta[\exp(x) - 1]^\delta$	$\alpha, \delta > 0, \beta > 0$
Chi-squared (Johnson et al., 1994)	$\frac{\nu}{2}$	$\frac{1}{\nu}$	x	$\nu > 0$
Scaled inverse chi-squared (Bernardo and Smith, 1993)	$\frac{\nu}{2}$	τ^2	$\frac{1}{x}$	$\nu, \tau^2 > 0$
Gompertz (Gompertz, 1825)	1	α	$\exp(\delta x) - 1$	$\alpha, \delta > 0$
Modified Weibull extension (Xie et al., 2022)	$\lambda\alpha$	1	$\exp[(\frac{x}{\alpha})^\beta] - 1$	$\alpha, \lambda, \beta > 0$
Traditional Weibull (Nadarajah and Kotz, 2005)	1	a	$x^b[\exp(cx^d) - 1]$	$a, d > 0, b, c \geq 0$
Flexible Weibull (Bebbington et al., 2007)	1	a	$\exp(bx - \frac{c}{x})$	$a, b, c > 0$
Burr type XII (Singh-Maddala) (Burr, 1942)	1	k	$\log(x^c + 1)$	$c, k > 0$
Dagum (Mielke Beta-Kappa) (Dagum, 1975)	1	k	$\log(\frac{1}{x^c} + 1)$	$c, k > 0$

There are a number of different methodological proposals in the literature for obtaining close-form estimators; see, for example, the moment-based type (Cheng and Beaulieu, 2002) and the score-adjusted approaches (Nawa and Nadarajah, 2023; Tamae et al., 2020). Closed-form estimators based on the likelihood function have also been suggested. A type of likelihood-based estimator is obtained by considering the likelihood equations of the generalized distribution obtained by a power transformation and taking the baseline distribution as a special case; see for example, Ye and Chen (2017), Vila et al. (2024a), Ramos et al. (2016), Kim and Jang (2021) and Zhao et al. (2021). For other proposals for likelihood-based estimators, the reader is referred to Kim et al. (2022) and Cheng and Beaulieu (2001).

This paper develops moment-based closed-form estimators for the parameters of probability distributions of the weighted exponential family (1) in the special case where $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$. The main motivation for choosing this type of generator is that it provides closed-form expressions for moments (of functions) of X in (4), which allows finding moment-based estimators for the corresponding parameters. Note that this type of generator includes the $T(x)$ generators of Nakagami, Maxwell-Boltzmann, Rayleigh, gamma, inverse gamma, δ -gamma, Weibull, inverse Weibull, generalized gamma, generalized inverse gamma, chi-squared, scaled inverse chi-squared, weighted Lindley, weighted inverse Lindley, weighted Nakagami and weighted inverse Nakagami; see Tables 1 and 2.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we briefly present some preliminary results. In Sections 3 and 4, we describe the newly proposed moment-based estimators and some asymptotic results respectively. In Section 5, we perform a Monte Carlo simulation study to assess the performance of a bootstrap bias-reduced version of the proposed estimators.

2 Preliminary results

In this section, closed-form expressions for moments (of functions) of X in (4) are provided.

2.1 Moments

Proposition 2.1. If X has density in (1), then, for any measurable function $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E}[g(T^{-1}(Z_1))] + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E}[g(T^{-1}(Z_2))].$$

Proof. Taking into account the characterization (4) of X and by using the independence of $B \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\delta_{ab}/(\sigma + \delta_{ab}))$ with Z_1 and Z_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[g(X)] &= \mathbb{E}[g((1 - B)T^{-1}(Z_1) + BT^{-1}(Z_2))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}(1 - B)\mathbb{E}[g(T^{-1}(Z_1))] + \mathbb{E}(B)\mathbb{E}[g(T^{-1}(Z_2))] \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E}[g(T^{-1}(Z_1))] + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E}[g(T^{-1}(Z_2))]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.2. If $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$, then the real moments of X are given by

$$\mathbb{E}(X^q) = (\mu\sigma)^{\frac{q}{s}} \frac{\Gamma(\mu - \frac{q}{s})}{\Gamma(\mu)} \left[\frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \frac{(\mu - \frac{q}{s})}{\mu} \right], \quad \text{where } \mu - \frac{q}{s} > 0.$$

Proof. By using Proposition 2.1, with $g(x) = x^q$ and $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X^q) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E}(Z_1^{-q/s}) + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E}(Z_2^{-q/s}). \quad (5)$$

As $Z_j \sim \text{Gamma}(\mu + j - 1, 1/(\mu\sigma))$, $j = 1, 2$ and

$$\mathbb{E}(Z^\nu) = \theta^\nu \frac{\Gamma(k + \nu)}{\Gamma(k)}, \quad Z \sim \text{Gamma}(k, \theta), \quad \nu > -k,$$

from (5), the proof follows. \square

Proposition 2.3. If $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [X^{-p} \log(X)] &= -\frac{1}{s} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{p}{s})}{(\mu\sigma)^{p/s} \Gamma(\mu)} \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \left[\psi^{(0)} \left(\mu + \frac{p}{s} \right) - \log(\mu\sigma) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \frac{\mu + \frac{p}{s}}{\mu} \left[\psi^{(0)} \left(\mu + \frac{p}{s} + 1 \right) - \log(\mu\sigma) \right] \right\}, \quad \text{where } \mu + \frac{p}{s} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By using Proposition 2.1, with $g(x) = \log(x)/x^p$ and $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} [X^{-p} \log(X)] = -\frac{1}{s} \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E} [Z_1^{p/s} \log(Z_1)] + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E} [Z_2^{p/s} \log(Z_2)] \right\}. \quad (6)$$

As $Z_j \sim \text{Gamma}(\mu + j - 1, 1/(\mu\sigma))$, $j = 1, 2$ and

$$\mathbb{E} [Z^\nu \log(Z)] = \frac{\Gamma(k + \nu)}{\theta^{-\nu} \Gamma(k)} [\psi^{(0)}(k + \nu) - \log(1/\theta)], \quad Z \sim \text{Gamma}(k, \theta), \quad k > -\nu, \quad (7)$$

from (6), the proof follows. \square

Proposition 2.4. If $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X^{-s} \log(X^{-s})}{1 + \delta_{ab} X^{-s}} \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} [\psi^{(0)}(\mu + 1) - \log(\mu\sigma)].$$

Proof. By using the definition (1) with $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$, and by making the change of variable $y = x^{-s}$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X^{-s} \log(X^{-s})}{1 + \delta_{ab} X^{-s}} \right] &= \frac{s(\mu\sigma)^{\mu+1}}{(\sigma + \delta_{ab}) \Gamma(\mu + 1)} \int_0^\infty \log(x^{-s}) (x^{-s})^{\mu + \frac{1}{s} + 1} \exp \{ -\mu\sigma x^{-s} \} dx \\ &= \frac{(\mu\sigma)^{\mu+1}}{(\sigma + \delta_{ab}) \Gamma(\mu + 1)} \int_0^\infty \log(y) y^\mu \exp \{ -\mu\sigma y \} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \mathbb{E}[\log(Y)], \end{aligned}$$

where $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\mu + 1, 1/(\mu\sigma))$. By employing formula in (7) with $\nu = 0$, $k = \mu + 1$ and $\theta = 1/(\mu\sigma)$, in the last equality, the proof readily follows. \square

2.2 Main formulas

By using Proposition 2.2 with $q = -s$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X^{-s}) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \frac{1}{\mu} \right). \quad (8)$$

By using Proposition 2.3 with $p = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\log(X)] &= -\frac{1}{s} \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} [\psi^{(0)}(\mu) - \log(\mu\sigma)] + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} [\psi^{(0)}(\mu + 1) - \log(\mu\sigma)] \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{s} \left[\psi^{(0)}(\mu + 1) - \log(\mu\sigma) - \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where in the last line the identity $\psi^{(0)}(z + 1) = \psi^{(0)}(z) + 1/z$ has been used.

By using Proposition 2.3 with $p = s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^{-s} \log(X)] &= -\frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{\sigma} \left\{ \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} [\psi^{(0)}(\mu + 1) - \log(\mu\sigma)] + \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \frac{\mu + 1}{\mu} [\psi^{(0)}(\mu + 2) - \log(\mu\sigma)] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \left\{ -\frac{1}{s} \left[\psi^{(0)}(\mu + 1) - \log(\mu\sigma) - \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{s\mu} \left\{ \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\sigma + \delta_{ab}} [\psi^{(0)}(\mu + 1) - \log(\mu\sigma)] + 1 \right\} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have again used the identity $\psi^{(0)}(z + 1) = \psi^{(0)}(z) + 1/z$. By (9) and Proposition 2.4 note that $\mathbb{E}[X^{-s} \log(X)]$ can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{-s} \log(X)] = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left\{ \mathbb{E}[\log(X)] - \frac{1}{s\mu} \left\{ \delta_{ab} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X^{-s} \log(X^{-s})}{1 + \delta_{ab} X^{-s}} \right] + 1 \right\} \right\},$$

from which we can express μ as follows:

$$\mu = \frac{\delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_1(X)] + 1}{\sigma \mathbb{E}[h_2(X)] - \mathbb{E}[h_3(X)]}, \quad (10)$$

where we have adopted the following notations:

$$h_1(x) \equiv \frac{x^{-s} \log(x^{-s})}{1 + \delta_{ab} x^{-s}}, \quad h_2(x) \equiv x^{-s} \log(x^{-s}), \quad h_3(x) \equiv \log(x^{-s}). \quad (11)$$

Plugging (10) into (8) and solving for σ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \frac{1 - \delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_4(X)] + \frac{\delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_2(X)]}{\delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_1(X)] + 1}}{2 \mathbb{E}[h_4(X)]} \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{\left\{ 1 - \delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_4(X)] + \frac{\delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_2(X)]}{\delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_1(X)] + 1} \right\}^2 + 4 \mathbb{E}[h_4(X)] \left\{ \delta_{ab} - \frac{\delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_3(X)]}{\delta_{ab} \mathbb{E}[h_1(X)] + 1} \right\}}}{2 \mathbb{E}[h_4(X)]}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$h_4(x) \equiv T(x) = x^{-s}. \quad (13)$$

3 Closed-form estimators

Let $\{X_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a univariate random sample of size n from X having density in (1).

By using the method of moments in (12), the corresponding sample moment to obtain the estimator of σ is

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{1 - \delta_{ab}\bar{X}_4 + \frac{\delta_{ab}\bar{X}_2}{\delta_{ab}\bar{X}_{1+1}} + \sqrt{\left\{1 - \delta_{ab}\bar{X}_4 + \frac{\delta_{ab}\bar{X}_2}{\delta_{ab}\bar{X}_{1+1}}\right\}^2 + 4\bar{X}_4 \left\{\delta_{ab} - \frac{\delta_{ab}\bar{X}_3}{\delta_{ab}\bar{X}_{1+1}}\right\}}{2\bar{X}_4}, \quad (14)$$

where we have defined

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bar{X}_1 \\ \bar{X}_2 \\ \bar{X}_3 \\ \bar{X}_4 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} h_1(X_i) \\ h_2(X_i) \\ h_3(X_i) \\ h_4(X_i) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

with h_1, h_2, h_3 and h_4 being as in (11) and (13), respectively.

Plugging (14) in (10) and using the method of moments, the sample moment to obtain the estimator of μ is

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\delta_{ab}\bar{X}_1 + 1}{\hat{\sigma}\bar{X}_2 - \bar{X}_3}. \quad (16)$$

3.1 Case $a \neq b$

In this case, from (14) and (16), we obtain the new estimators for σ and μ as

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}_4} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}\bar{X}_2 - \bar{X}_3}. \quad (18)$$

respectively, where \bar{X}_2, \bar{X}_3 and \bar{X}_4 are as given in (15). Note that, in this case, $\hat{\sigma}$ coincides with the maximum likelihood (ML) estimator (see Equation 6 in Vila et al., 2024a).

3.2 Case $a = b$

In this case, from (14) and (16), we obtain the new estimators for σ and μ as

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{1 - \bar{X}_4 + \frac{\bar{X}_2}{\bar{X}_{1+1}} + \sqrt{\left\{1 - \bar{X}_4 + \frac{\bar{X}_2}{\bar{X}_{1+1}}\right\}^2 + 4\bar{X}_4 \left\{1 - \frac{\bar{X}_3}{\bar{X}_{1+1}}\right\}}}{2\bar{X}_4} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\bar{X}_1 + 1}{\hat{\sigma}\bar{X}_2 - \bar{X}_3}, \quad (20)$$

respectively, where \bar{X}_1 (with $a = b$), \bar{X}_2 , \bar{X}_3 and \bar{X}_4 are as given in (15).

Remark 3.1. It is simple to observe that the weighted probability distributions in (1) belongs to the exponential family with vector of sufficient statistics given by $(T(x), \log(T(x)))^\top$. Furthermore, for exponential families with sufficient statistics $(T(x), \log(T(x)))^\top$ it is well-known that the moment-type estimators are in fact the maximum likelihood estimators (Davidson and Daniel, 1974). The estimators $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ (in (17) and (18) for case $a \neq b$, and (19) and (20) for case $a = b$) were derived using the moment-type method (modification of the method of moments) with vector of statistics

$$\left(T(x), \log(T(x)), T(x) \log(T(x)), \frac{T(x) \log(T(x))}{1 + \delta_{ab} T(x)} \right)^\top, \quad \text{for } T(x) = x^{-s}, x > 0 \text{ and } s \neq 0.$$

By using the maximum likelihood equations corresponding to an extension of the weighted probability model in (1), simple closed-forms for the estimators of μ and σ , denoted by $\hat{\mu}_\bullet$ and $\hat{\sigma}_\bullet$, respectively, were derived in reference Vila et al. (2024a) (case $a \neq b$) and Vila et al. (2024b) (case $a = b$). Note that these estimators are not, in fact, the maximum likelihood estimators corresponding to the probability distribution in (1), so we cannot say with certainty that the estimators provided by the moment-type method, $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$, are the same as $\hat{\mu}_\bullet$ and $\hat{\sigma}_\bullet$ in the special case $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$. In other words, we cannot apply the results obtained in Davidson and Daniel (1974). However, to our surprise, through a simple but laborious calculation and some simulations, we found that estimators $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ (in (17) and (18) for case $a \neq b$, and (19) and (20) for case $a = b$) coincide with the estimators $\hat{\mu}_\bullet$ and $\hat{\sigma}_\bullet$ obtained in Vila et al. (2024a) (case $a \neq b$) and Vila et al. (2024b) (case $a = b$).

4 Asymptotic behavior of estimators

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample of size n from the variable X with PDF (1). If we further let $\bar{\mathbf{X}} = (\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \bar{X}_3, \bar{X}_4)^\top$ and

$$\mathbf{X} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h_1(X) \\ h_2(X) \\ h_3(X) \\ h_4(X) \end{pmatrix},$$

with \bar{X}_i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$, as given in (15), and h_1, h_2, h_3 and h_4 being as in (11) and (13), respectively. By applying strong law of large numbers, we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}),$$

where “ $\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}}$ ” denotes almost sure convergence. Hence, continuous-mapping theorem (Billingsley, 1969) gives

$$\hat{\sigma} = g_1(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} g_1(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mu} = g_2(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} g_2(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})), \quad (21)$$

with

$$g_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \equiv \frac{1 - \delta_{ab}x_4 + \frac{\delta_{ab}x_2}{\delta_{ab}x_1+1} + \sqrt{\left\{1 - \delta_{ab}x_4 + \frac{\delta_{ab}x}{\delta_{ab}x_1+1}\right\}^2 + 4x_4 \left\{\delta_{ab} - \frac{\delta_{ab}x_3}{\delta_{ab}x_1+1}\right\}}}{2x_4} \quad (22)$$

and

$$g_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \equiv \frac{\delta_{ab}x_1 + 1}{g_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)x_2 - x_3}. \quad (23)$$

Furthermore, by Central limit theorem,

$$\sqrt{n}[\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_4(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}),$$

where $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ denotes the covariance matrix of \mathbf{X} and “ $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}$ ” means convergence in distribution. So, delta method provides

$$\sqrt{n} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} g_2(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) \\ g_1(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) \end{pmatrix} \right] \stackrel{(21)}{=} \sqrt{n} \left[\begin{pmatrix} g_2(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \\ g_1(\bar{\mathbf{X}}) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} g_2(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) \\ g_1(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) \end{pmatrix} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_2(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^\top), \quad (24)$$

with \mathbf{A} being the partial derivatives matrix defined as

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial g_2(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_4} \\ \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial g_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_4} \end{array} \right) \Bigg|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})}.$$

For simplicity of presentation, we do not present the partial derivatives of g_j , $j = 1, 2$, here. Analogously to the calculation of $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})$, the second moments of the components of \mathbf{X} can be determined which is sufficient to guarantee the existence of the matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$.

The following result shows that for generators of type $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$, the strong consistency property and a Central limit type theorem for the estimators $\hat{\sigma}$ and $\hat{\mu}$ are satisfied.

Theorem 4.1. If $T(x) = x^{-s}$, $x > 0$ and $s \neq 0$, then $g_1(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) = \sigma$ and $g_2(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) = \mu$, where g_1 and g_2 are given in (22) and (23), respectively. Moreover, from (24),

$$\sqrt{n} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N_2(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^\top),$$

where \mathbf{A} was given lines above and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is the covariance matrix of \mathbf{X} .

Proof. The proof follows immediately from (10) and (12), because

$$g_2(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) = \frac{\delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_1(X)] + 1}{\sigma\mathbb{E}[h_2(X)] - \mathbb{E}[h_3(X)]} \stackrel{(10)}{=} \mu$$

and

$$g_1(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})) = \frac{1 - \delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_4(X)] + \frac{\delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_2(X)]}{\delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_1(X)]+1}}{2\mathbb{E}[h_4(X)]} + \frac{\sqrt{\left\{1 - \delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_4(X)] + \frac{\delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_2(X)]}{\delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_1(X)]+1}\right\}^2 + 4\mathbb{E}[h_4(X)] \left\{\delta_{ab} - \frac{\delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_3(X)]}{\delta_{ab}\mathbb{E}[h_1(X)]+1}\right\}}}{2\mathbb{E}[h_4(X)]} \stackrel{(12)}{=} \sigma.$$

Thus completes the proof. \square

5 Simulation study

In this section, we carry out a Monte Carlo simulation study for evaluating the performance of the proposed estimators. Particularly, we evaluate a bias-reduced version of the proposed moment-type estimators, as they are biased (Ramos et al., 2016). For illustrative purposes, we only present the results for the weighted inverse Lindley distribution. Then, by considering the parameters $\mu = \phi$, $\sigma = \lambda/\phi$ and generator $T(x) = x^{-1}$ of the weighted inverse Lindley distribution, given in Table 1, from (19) and (20) the bootstrap biased-reduced moment-type estimators for λ and ϕ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\lambda}^* &= 2\widehat{\lambda} - \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \widehat{\lambda}^{(b)}, \\ \widehat{\phi}^* &= 2\widehat{\phi} - \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \widehat{\phi}^{(b)},\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\lambda}^{(b)}$ and $\widehat{\phi}^{(b)}$ are the b -th bootstrap replicates from the b -th bootstrap sample,

$$\widehat{\lambda} = \frac{1 - \overline{X}_4 + \frac{\overline{X}_2}{\overline{X}_{1+1}} + \sqrt{\left\{1 - \overline{X}_4 + \frac{\overline{X}_2}{\overline{X}_{1+1}}\right\}^2 + 4\overline{X}_4 \left\{1 - \frac{\overline{X}_3}{\overline{X}_{1+1}}\right\}}}{2\overline{X}_4} \widehat{\phi}$$

and

$$\widehat{\phi} = \frac{\overline{X}_1 + 1}{\frac{1 - \overline{X}_4 + \frac{\overline{X}_2}{\overline{X}_{1+1}} + \sqrt{\left\{1 - \overline{X}_4 + \frac{\overline{X}_2}{\overline{X}_{1+1}}\right\}^2 + 4\overline{X}_4 \left\{1 - \frac{\overline{X}_3}{\overline{X}_{1+1}}\right\}}}{2\overline{X}_4} \overline{X}_2 - \overline{X}_3},$$

with

$$\bar{X}_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i^{-1} \log(X_i^{-1})}{1 + X_i^{-1}},$$

$$\bar{X}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-1} \log(X_i^{-1}),$$

$$\bar{X}_3 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i^{-1}),$$

$$\bar{X}_4 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{-1}.$$

For assessing the performance of the proposed bootstrap biased-reduced moment-type estimators, we calculated the relative bias (RB) and root mean square error (RMSE), given by

$$\widehat{\text{RB}}(\hat{\theta}^*) = \left| \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\theta}^{*(i)} - \theta}{\theta} \right|, \quad \widehat{\text{RMSE}}(\hat{\theta}^*) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{\theta}^{*(i)} - \theta)^2},$$

where $\theta \in \{\lambda, \phi\}$ and $\hat{\theta}^{*(i)} \in \{\hat{\lambda}^{*(i)}, \hat{\phi}^{*(i)}\}$ are the true parameter value and its i -th bootstrap bias-reduced estimate, and N is the number of Monte Carlo replications.

The simulation scenario considers the following setting: sample size $n \in \{20, 50, 100, 200, 400, 1000\}$, $\phi \in \{0.5, 1, 3, 5, 9\}$, and $\lambda = 1$. The number of Monte Carlo replications was $N = 1,000$ and the number of bootstrap replications was $B = 200$. The numerical evaluations were implemented using the R software; see <http://cran.r-project.org>.

Figures 1 and 2 show the results of Monte Carlo simulation study to assess the performance of the proposed bootstrap biased-reduced moment-type (MOM) estimators. For comparison purposes, we also considered the results of the classical maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) discussed by Ramos et al. (2018). Figures 1 and 2 show that, as expected, both biases and RMSEs of the estimators approach zero as the sample size increases. However, the bias is much lower for smaller samples for the proposed estimator. Moreover, the RMSE is similar for both estimators. Finally, the results do not seem to be affected by the parameter ϕ .




Figure 1: Empirical RB of the bootstrap bootstrap biased-reduced moment-type and classical maximum likelihood estimators estimators for the weighted inverse Lindley distribution.




Figure 2: Empirical RMSE of the bootstrap biased-reduced moment-type and classical maximum likelihood estimators for the weighted inverse Lindley distribution.

Acknowledgements The research was supported in part by CNPq and CAPES grants from the Brazilian government.

Disclosure statement There are no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- Bebbington, M., Lai, C. D. and Zitikis, R. 2007. “A flexible Weibull extension.” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 92:719–726.
- Bernardo, J. M. and Smith, A. F. M. 1993. *Bayesian Theory*, Wiley.
- Billingsley, P., 1969, *Convergence of Probability Measures*, John Wiley & Sons.
- Burr, I. W. 1942. “Cumulative frequency functions.” *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 13(2):215–232.
- Cook, J. D. 2008. *Inverse gamma distribution*, Online: <http://www.johndcook.com/inversegamma.pdf>, Technical. Report.
- Cheng, J. and Beaulieu, N. C. 2001. “Maximum-likelihood based estimation of the Nakagami m parameter.” *IEEE Communications Letters* 5(3):101–103.
- Cheng, J. and Beaulieu, N. C. 2002. “Generalized moment estimators for the Nakagami fading parameter.” *IEEE Communications Letters* 6(4):144–146.
- Dagum, C. 1975. “A model of income distribution and the conditions of existence of moments of finite order.” *Bulletin of the International Statistical Institute. (Proceedings of the 40th Session of the ISI, Contributed Paper)* 46:199–205.
- Davidson, R. R. and Daniel, D. L. 1974. “Moment-type estimation in the exponential family.” *Communications in Statistics* 3(11):1101–1108.
- Dunbar, R. C. 1982. “Deriving the Maxwell Distribution”. *Journal of Chemical Education* 59:22–23.
- Gompertz, B. 1825. “On the nature of the function expressive of the law of human mortality and on the new model of determining the value of life contingencies.” *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 115:513–585.
- Johnson, N. L., Kotz, S. and Balakrishnan, N. 1994. *Continuous Univariate Distributions*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Khan, M. S., Pasha, G. R. and Pasha, A. H. 2008. “Theoretical analysis of inverse Weibull distribution.” *WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics* 7(2):30–38.
- Kim, H.-M. and Jang, Y.-H. 2021. “New closed-form estimators for weighted Lindley distribution.” *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society* 50:580–606.

- Kim, H.-M., Kim, S., Jang, Y.-H. and Zhao, J. 2022. “New closed-form estimator and its properties.” *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society* 51: 47–64.
- Laurenson, D. 1994. *Nakagami Distribution*. Indoor Radio Channel Propagation Modeling by Ray Tracing Techniques.
- Lee, M. and Gross, A. 1991. “Lifetime distributions under unknown environment.” *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 29:137–143.
- Nadarajah, S. and Kotz, S. 2005. “On some recent modifications of Weibull distribution.” *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 54:561–562.
- Nascimento, A. D. C., Bourguignon, M., Zea, L. M., Santos-Neto, M., Silva, R. B. and Cordeiro, G. M. 2014. “The gamma extended Weibull family of distributions.” *Journal of Statistical Theory and Applications* 13(1):1–16.
- Nawa, V. M. and Nadarajah, S. 2014. “New Closed Form Estimators for the Beta Distribution.” *Mathematics* 11:2799.
- Rahman, G., Mubeen, S., Rehman, A. and Naz, M. 2014. “On k -Gamma and k -Beta Distributions and Moment Generating Functions.” *Journal of Probability and Statistics* 2014: Article ID 982013, 6 pages.
- Ramos, P. L., Louzada, F. and Ramos, E. 2016. “An Efficient, Closed-Form MAP Estimator for Nakagami-m Fading Parameter.” *IEEE Communications Letters* 20(11):2328–2331.
- Ramos, P. L., Louzada, F., Shimizu, T. K. O., Luiz, A. O. 2018. “The inverse weighted Lindley distribution: Properties, estimation and an application on a failure time data.” *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods* 48(10):2372–2389.
- Rayleigh, J. W. S. 1880. “On the resultant of a large number of vibrations of the same pitch and of arbitrary phase.” *Philosophical Magazine Series* 5(10):73–78.
- Stacy, E. W. 1962. “A Generalization of the Gamma Distribution.” *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 33(3):1187–1192.
- Tamae, H., Irie, K. and Kubokawa, T. 2020. “A score-adjusted approach to closed form estimators for the gamma and beta distributions.” *Jpn. J. Stat. Data Sci.* 3:543–561.
- Vila, R., Nakano, E. and Saulo, H. 2024. “Closed-form estimators for an exponential family derived from likelihood equations.” *Preprint*, Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.14509>.
- Vila, R., Nakano, E. and Saulo, H. 2024. “Novel closed-form point estimators for a weighted exponential family derived from likelihood equations.” *Stat* 13(3):e723.
- Xie, M., Tang, Y. and Goh, T. N. 2002. “A modified Weibull extension with bathtub-shaped failure rate function.” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 76(3):279–285.
- Ye, Z-S. and Chen, N. 2017. “Closed-Form Estimators for the Gamma Distribution Derived from Likelihood Equations.” *The American Statistician* 71: Issue 2.

Zhao, J., Kim, S. and Kim, H.-M. 2021. “Closed-form estimators and bias-corrected estimators for the Nakagami distribution.” *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* 185:308–324.