

Residue currents of cohesive modules and the generalized Poincaré-Lelong formula on complex manifolds

Zhaoting Wei
Texas A&M University-Commerce
zhaoting.wei@tamuc.edu

Abstract

Cohesive module provides a tool to study coherent sheaves on complex manifolds by global analytic methods. In this paper we develop the theory of residue currents for cohesive modules on complex manifolds. In particular we prove that they have the duality principle and satisfy the comparison formula. As an application, we prove a generalized version of the Poincaré-Lelong formula for cohesive modules, which applies to coherent sheaves without globally defined locally free resolutions.

Key words: cohesive modules, residue currents, superconnections, Poincaré-Lelong formula

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 32C30, 32A27, 14F06, 32J25

1 Introduction

Let X be a complex manifold, and let

$$0 \longrightarrow E^{-N} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow E^{-1} \longrightarrow E^0 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (1.1)$$

be a generically exact complex of holomorphic vector bundles over X . In [AW07], Andersson and Wulcan constructed an $(\text{End}E)$ -valued current R^E , which is called the *residue current* associated with the complex E^\bullet . The main result that they proved is the *duality principle*, which claims that if the corresponding complex of locally free sheaves is exact at each level $r < 0$, then R^E has the property that a holomorphic section ϕ of E^0 belongs to $\text{im}(E^{-1} \rightarrow E^0)$ if and only if $R^E\phi = 0$.

Andersson's and Wulcan's construction is a generalization of the residue current of a holomorphic function in [HL71] and the Coleff-Herrera current of a tuple of holomorphic functions in [CH78]. These development has led to many results in commutative algebra and complex geometry. For example in [LW18] and [LW21], Lärkäng and Wulcan gave a generalization of the *Poincaré-Lelong formula* in the framework of residue currents.

Suppose that (1.1), as a complex of locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules, is a locally free resolution of a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathfrak{F} . The current R^E is considered as a current representation of the sheaf \mathfrak{F} . However, given a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathfrak{F} on a complex manifold X , although locally free resolution of \mathfrak{F} always exists *locally*, it may not exist *globally*. See [Voi02, Corollary A.5] for an example of a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module which does not admit a globally defined locally free resolution. Thus unless one is restricted to the setting where global resolutions of locally free sheaves always exist, e.g. X is a projective manifold, it is not always possible to use the residue current introduced in [AW07] to study the global properties of \mathfrak{F} .

In [Blo10] Block introduced the concept of *cohesive modules*. For a complex manifold X , a cohesive module \mathcal{E} on X consists of a cochain complex of C^∞ vector bundles E^\bullet together with a flat $\bar{\partial}$ -superconnection A^{E^\bullet} . Cohesive modules on X form a dg-category $B(X)$. Block proved in [Blo10] that if X is compact, then $B(X)$ gives a dg-enhancement of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$, the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X . Moreover, [CHL21] generalizes the result in [Blo10] to the case that X is non-compact with a slightly more restricted definition of coherent sheaves. See Section 2 for a quick review of cohesive modules.

Block's result makes it possible to apply global analytic method to the study of coherent sheaves. See [BSW23] for one application. In this paper we construct the residue current $R^\mathcal{E}$ of a cohesive module \mathcal{E} . We also show that the residue current of a cohesive module has duality principle as expected. See Theorem 5.11.

One of the advantages of the dg-category of cohesive modules $B(X)$ over the derived category $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ is that any quasi-isomorphism in $B(X)$ has a homotopy inverse. In this paper we give a *comparison formula* for residue currents of cohesive modules, which gives the compatibility of residue currents with morphisms between cohesive modules. In particular we show that residue currents are invariant modulo coboundary under homotopy invertible morphisms. See Corollary 6.2.

As an application, we prove the generalized *Poincaré-Lelong formula* in the framework of cohesive modules as follows

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p p!} \text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^\mathcal{E}) = [\mathcal{E}], \quad (1.2)$$

where Tr_s denotes the *supertrace*. Here we do not assume the global existence of locally free resolutions, hence the result applies to general complex manifolds, projective or not. See Theorem 7.3 for details.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we review cohesive modules on complex manifolds. In Section 3 we review pseudomeromorphic and almost semimeromorphic currents on complex manifolds. In Section 4 we define residue currents for cohesive modules and study their initial properties. In Section 5 we study the vanishing property of residue currents, which leads to the duality principle as in Theorem 5.11. In Section 6 we give the comparison formula of residue currents under morphisms between cohesive modules. Finally in Section 7 we give and prove the generalized Poincaré-Lelong formula in Theorem 7.3.

Related works

Twisting cochain, which was introduced by Toledo and Tong in [TT78], is another approach to the global study of coherent sheaves on non-projective complex manifolds. Actually a twisting cochain consists of Čech style higher structures, while a cohesive module consists of Dolbeault style higher structures. In [JL21] and [Joh23], Johansson and Lärkäng developed the theory of residue currents for twisting cochains. In [Joh23] Johansson also proved the duality principle and comparison formula for residue currents of twisting cochains. A large part of the current paper can be considered as a parallel work to [JL21] and [Joh23] and much of the inspirations come from there. We expect close relation between the residue currents defined in the current paper and those defined in [JL21] and [Joh23].

We also notice that in [Han24] Han introduced characteristic currents on cohesive modules. Notice that for complexes of holomorphic vector bundles, residue currents and characteristic currents are closely related as shown in [LW22]. It will be interesting to find similar relation between the constructions in [Han24] and in this paper.

Acknowledgment

The author wants to thank Zhizhang Xie and Jinmin Wang for very inspiring discussions. He also wants to thank Richard Lärkäng for kindly answering questions on residue currents for twisting cochains.

2 A review of cohesive modules on complex manifolds

2.1 The definition of cohesive modules

We first fix some notations. Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n . Let TX and \overline{TX} be the holomorphic and antiholomorphic tangent bundle. Let $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ be the corresponding real tangent bundle and $T_{\mathbb{C}}X = T_{\mathbb{R}}X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be its complexification. We have the decomposition $T_{\mathbb{C}}X = TX \oplus \overline{TX}$. Let $\Omega_X^{p,q}$ be the sheaf of smooth (p, q) -forms on X .

The concept of cohesive modules is introduced by Block in [Blo10].

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a complex manifold. A cohesive module on X is a bounded, finite rank, \mathbb{Z} -graded, C^∞ -vector bundle E^\bullet on X together with a superconnection with total degree 1*

$$A^{E^\bullet} : \wedge^\bullet \overline{T^*X} \times E^\bullet \rightarrow \wedge^\bullet \overline{T^*X} \times E^\bullet$$

such that $A^{E^\bullet} \circ A^{E^\bullet} = 0$.

In more details, A^{E^\bullet} decomposes into

$$A^{E^\bullet} = v_0 + \nabla^{E^\bullet} + v_2 + \dots \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\nabla^{E^\bullet} : E^\bullet \rightarrow \overline{T^*X} \times E^\bullet$$

is a $\bar{\partial}$ -connection, and for $i \neq 1$

$$v_i \in C^\infty(X, \wedge^i \overline{T^*X} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{1-i}(E^\bullet)) \quad (2.2)$$

is $C^\infty(X)$ -linear. Here $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the graded tensor product. The equation $A^{E^\bullet} \circ A^{E^\bullet} = 0$ decomposes into

$$\begin{aligned} v_0^2 &= 0, \\ \nabla^{E^\bullet} v_0 &= 0, \\ (\nabla^{E^\bullet})^2 + [v_0, v_2] &= 0, \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Cohesive modules on X forms a dg-category denoted by $B(X)$. In more details, let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{F} = (F^\bullet, A^{F^\bullet})$ be two cohesive modules on X where

$$A^{E^\bullet} = v_0 + \nabla^{E^\bullet} + v_2 + \dots$$

and

$$A^{F^\bullet} = u_0 + \nabla^{F^\bullet} + u_2 + \dots$$

A morphism $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of degree k is given by

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1 + \dots \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\phi_i \in C^\infty(X, \wedge^i \overline{T^*X} \hat{\otimes} \text{Hom}^{k-i}(E^\bullet, F^\bullet))$$

is $C^\infty(X)$ -linear.

For

$$\phi = \alpha \hat{\otimes} u \in C^\infty(X, \wedge^i \overline{T^*X} \hat{\otimes} \text{Hom}^{k-i}(E^\bullet, F^\bullet))$$

and

$$\psi = \beta \hat{\otimes} v \in C^\infty(X, \wedge^j \overline{T^*X} \hat{\otimes} \text{Hom}^{l-j}(F^\bullet, G^\bullet)),$$

their composition $\psi\phi$ is defined as

$$\psi\phi := (-1)^{(l-j)i} \beta \alpha \hat{\otimes} v u \in C^\infty(X, \wedge^{i+j} \overline{T^*X} \hat{\otimes} \text{Hom}^{k+l-i-j}(E^\bullet, G^\bullet)) \quad (2.5)$$

The differential of ϕ is given by

$$D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} \phi = A^{F^\bullet} \phi - (-1)^k \phi A^{E^\bullet}. \quad (2.6)$$

More explicitly, the l th component of $d\phi$ is

$$(D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} \phi)_l \in C^\infty(X, \wedge^l \overline{T^*X} \hat{\otimes} \text{Hom}^{k-l+1}(E^\bullet, F^\bullet))$$

which is given by

$$(D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} \phi)_l = \sum_{i \neq 1} (u_i \phi_{l-i} - (-1)^k \phi_{l-i} v_i) + \nabla^{F^\bullet} \phi_{l-1} - (-1)^k \phi_{l-1} \nabla^{E^\bullet}. \quad (2.7)$$

Remark 2.1. In [BSW23] cohesive modules are called antiholomorphic superconnections.

We can define mapping cones and shift in $B(X)$. For a degree zero closed map $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{F} = (F^\bullet, A^{F^\bullet})$, its mapping cone $(C^\bullet, A_\phi^{C^\bullet})$ is defined by

$$C^n = E^{n+1} \oplus F^n \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$A^{C^\bullet} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{E^\bullet} & 0 \\ \phi(-1)^{\deg(\cdot)} & A^{F^\bullet} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.9)$$

The shift of \mathcal{E} is $\mathcal{E}[1]$ where

$$E[1]^n = E^{n+1} \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$A^{E^\bullet}[1] = A^{E^\bullet}(-1)^{\deg(\cdot)}.$$

It is clear that they give $B(X)$ a pre-triangulated structure hence its homotopy category $\underline{B}(X)$ is a triangulated category.

For later purpose, we recall the following definition

Definition 2.2. A degree 0 closed morphism ϕ between cohesive modules \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} is called a gauge equivalence if it admits an inverse in $B(X)$, i.e. if there exists a degree 0 closed morphism ψ from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{E} such that $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

A degree 0 closed morphism ϕ is called a homotopy equivalence if it induces an isomorphism in the homotopy category $\underline{B}(X)$.

We will need the following results.

Proposition 2.1. A degree 0 closed morphism ϕ between cohesive modules $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{F} = (F^\bullet, A^{F^\bullet})$ is a gauge equivalence if and only if its degree 0 component $\phi^0 : (E^\bullet, v_0) \rightarrow (F^\bullet, u_0)$ is invertible at each degree. It is a homotopy equivalence if and only if ϕ^0 is a quasi-isomorphism of cochain complexes.

Proof. The first claim is obvious. The second claim is proved in [Blo10, Proposition 2.9] or [BSW23, Proposition 6.4.1]. \square

2.2 Pull-backs of cohesive modules

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic map between complex manifolds.

Lemma 2.2. Let \mathcal{E} be a bounded complexes of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules with globally bounded coherent cohomologies. Then

$$f^*\mathcal{E} := f^{-1}\mathcal{E} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X \quad (2.11)$$

is a bounded complexes of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with globally bounded coherent cohomologies.

Proof. The coherence is given by [GR84, Section 1.2.6]. The global boundedness is clear from the definition and the fact that $f^*\mathcal{O}_Y^N = \mathcal{O}_X^N$. \square

Hence we can define the left derived functor

$$Lf^* : D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(Y) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X). \quad (2.12)$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $\mathcal{E} \in D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(Y)$ is a bounded complex of flat \mathcal{O}_Y -modules, then we have*

$$Lf^*\mathcal{E} = f^*\mathcal{E}. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. By [Sta24, Tag 064K], any bounded complex of flat modules is K-flat. Then the lemma is a consequence of [Sta24, Tag 06YJ]. \square

We can also define the pull-backs of cohesive modules. Notice that f^* maps T^*Y to T^*X , hence $\wedge^i T^*X$ is a $\wedge^i f^*T^*Y$ -module.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet}) \in B(Y)$ be a cohesive module on Y . We define its pull-back $f_b^*\mathcal{E}$ to be*

$$(f^*E^\bullet, f^*A^{E^\bullet})$$

where f^*E^\bullet is the pull-back graded vector bundle and $f^*A^{E^\bullet}$ is the pull-back superconnection. In more details, if

$$A^{E^\bullet} = v_0 + \nabla^{E^\bullet} + v_2 + \dots$$

is the decomposition in (2.1). Then

$$f^*A^{E^\bullet} = f^*v_0 + f^*\nabla^{E^\bullet} + f^*v_2 + \dots \quad (2.14)$$

where $f^*\nabla^{E^\bullet}$ is the pull-back connection on f^*E^\bullet , and f^*v_i is the pull-back form valued in $\wedge^i T^*X \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{1-i}(E^\bullet)$.

If $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism, then we have the pull-back morphism $f_b^*\phi : f_b^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow f_b^*\mathcal{F}$ defined by pulling back each component of ϕ .

In particular, if $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ is an open or closed embedding, then we denote $i_b^*\mathcal{E}$ by $\mathcal{E}|_X$.

It is easy to see that f_b^* defines a dg-functor $B(Y) \rightarrow B(X)$ hence we get the functor $f_b^* : \underline{B}(Y) \rightarrow \underline{B}(X)$.

The following proposition implies that a cohesive module is locally the same as a cochain complex of holomorphic vector bundles.

Proposition 2.4. *For a cohesive module $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ on X . For any $x \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood V of x and a flat $\bar{\partial}$ -connection $\bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V}$ on $E^\bullet|_V$ such that*

1. $\bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V}(v_0) = 0$, i.e. $(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V})$ is a cohesive module on V with $v_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$;
2. There exists a gauge equivalence $J : (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})|_V \xrightarrow{\sim} (E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V})$.

Proof. See [Blo10, Lemma 4.5] or [BSW23, Theorem 5.2.1]. \square

Remark 2.2. *Notice that the gauge equivalence J in Proposition 2.4 does not change the map $v_0 : E^\bullet \rightarrow E^{\bullet+1}$.*

2.3 Coherent sheaves and an equivalent of categories

Cohesive modules are closely related to coherent sheaves on X . Let \mathcal{O}_X be the sheaf of holomorphic functions. We call a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathfrak{E} *coherent* if it satisfies the following two conditions

1. \mathfrak{E} is of finite type over \mathcal{O}_X , that is, every point in X has an open neighborhood U in X such that there is a surjective morphism $\mathcal{O}_X^n|_U \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}|_U$ for some natural number n ;
2. for any open set $U \subseteq X$, any natural number n , and any morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_X^n|_U \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}|_U$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, the kernel of φ is of finite type.

Let $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with coherent cohomologies.

Theorem 2.5. *[[Blo10, Theorem 4.3], [BSW23, Theorem 6.5.1]] If X is a compact complex manifold, then there exists an equivalence $\underline{E}_X : \underline{B}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ as triangulated categories. Here $\underline{B}(X)$ is the homotopy category of $B(X)$.*

In [CHL21] the result of Theorem 2.5 is generalized to noncompact complex manifold. Recall that a coherent sheaf \mathfrak{E} is called *globally bounded* if there exists an open covering U_i of X and integers $a < b$ and $N > 0$ such that on each U_i there exists a bounded complex of finitely generated locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{S}_i^\bullet which is concentrated in degrees $[a, b]$ and each \mathcal{S}_i^j has rank $\leq N$, together with a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{S}_i^\bullet \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}^\bullet|_{U_i}$.

Let $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$ be the full subcategory of $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ whose objects are bounded complexes of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with globally bounded coherent cohomologies. When X is compact, it is clear that $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$ coincides with $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$. Moreover if X is compact and $\mathfrak{F} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$, then for any open subset $V \subset X$, it is clear that the restriction $\mathfrak{F}|_V$ is in $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(V)$.

Remark 2.3. *In this paper when we talk about complexes of sheaves with coherent cohomologies, we always assume it is globally bounded.*

Theorem 2.6 ([CHL21] Theorem 8.3). *If X is a complex manifold, then there exists an equivalence $\underline{E}_X : \underline{B}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$ as triangulated categories.*

For an object $\mathfrak{F} \in D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$, if $\mathcal{E} \in B(X)$ is a cohesive module such that $\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{E})$ is quasi-isomorphic to \mathfrak{F} , then we call \mathcal{E} a *cohesive resolution* of \mathfrak{F} . In particular we can talk about cohesive resolutions of a single coherent sheaf, considered as a complex of sheaves concentrated in degree 0. Theorem 2.6 implies that cohesive resolutions always exist.

For later applications we give the construction of the functor \underline{E}_X here. For a cohesive module $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$, we define $\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{E})$ to be the cochain complex $(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$, where the sheaf \mathfrak{E}^\bullet is given by

$$\mathfrak{E}^n(U) := \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q) \quad (2.15)$$

and $d : \mathfrak{E}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}^{n+1}$ is exactly A^{E^\bullet} .

The following results are part of Theorem 2.6. We state them for later for the convenience of later applications.

Proposition 2.7. *The cochain complex cochain complex $(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ above has (globally bounded) coherent cohomologies.*

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.4. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Any closed degree 0 morphism $\phi : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ induces a cochain map*

$$\underline{F}_X(\phi) : (\mathfrak{E}_1^\bullet, d) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}_2^\bullet, d).$$

Moreover if ϕ is a homotopy equivalence, then $\underline{F}_X(\phi)$ is homotopic invertible. If ϕ is a gauge equivalence, then on each degree k , the map

$$\underline{F}_X(\phi) : \mathfrak{E}_1^k \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_2^k$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the definition. \square

Remark 2.4. *In [CHL21, Theorem 8.3], the result is stated for the derived category of globally bounded perfect complexes instead of $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$. Nevertheless it is easy to see that these two categories are equivalent for nonsingular X .*

For later applications we want to explicitly state the following results, which are implied in Theorem 2.5 and 2.6.

Corollary 2.9. *Any quasi-isomorphism in $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$ is induced by a homotopy equivalence in $B(X)$.*

Corollary 2.10. *For $\mathfrak{S} \in D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{E} \in B(X)$ such that $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathfrak{S}$, we have*

$$\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)}(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}[i]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\underline{B}(X)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}[i]), \text{ for any } i. \quad (2.16)$$

In particular if \mathfrak{S} is a single globally bounded coherent sheaf, then

$$\text{Ext}_X^i(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\underline{B}(X)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}[i]), \text{ for any } i \geq 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Recall that we have the pull-back dg-functor $f_b^* : B(Y) \rightarrow B(X)$ and the induced functor $\underline{f}_b^* : \underline{B}(Y) \rightarrow \underline{B}(X)$. We have the following result.

Proposition 2.11. *Under the equivalence of categories in Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6, $\underline{f}_b^* : \underline{B}(Y) \rightarrow \underline{B}(X)$ is compatible with the left derived pull-back functor $Lf^* : D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(Y) \rightarrow D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [BSW23, Proposition 6.6]: We can check that for any $\mathcal{E} \in \underline{B}(Y)$, its image $\underline{F}_Y(\mathcal{E}) \in D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(Y)$ is a bounded complex of flat \mathcal{O}_Y -modules. Then the proposition is a consequence of Lemma 2.3 and Definition 2.3. Notice that we do not need X or Y to be compact. \square

2.4 Currents and cohesive modules

For the definition of currents on complex manifolds, see [GH94, Chapter 3, Section 1]. Let $\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}$ denote the sheaf of (p, q) -currents on X . There is a natural embedding $\Omega_X^{p,q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ be a cohesive module on X . Recall we have

$$A^{E^\bullet} = v_0 + \nabla^{E^\bullet} + v_2 + \dots$$

It is clear that ∇^{E^\bullet} induces a map

$$\nabla^{E^\bullet} : \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q} \otimes E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q+1} \otimes E^\bullet \quad (2.18)$$

and for $i \neq 1$, v_i induces a map

$$\nabla^{E^\bullet} : \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q} \otimes E^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q+1-i} \otimes E^{\bullet+i}. \quad (2.19)$$

Similar to the construction in Section 2.3, we can define a cochain complex of sheaves $\tilde{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\bullet, d)$ where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^n(U) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_X^{0,p} \otimes E^q) \quad (2.20)$$

and $d : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{n+1}$ is exactly A^{E^\bullet} . It is clear that $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\bullet, d)$ is also a cochain complex of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Moreover the embedding $\Omega_X^{p,q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}$ induces a cochain map $i : (\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\bullet, d)$.

Proposition 2.12. *The above cochain map $i : (\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\bullet, d)$ is a quasi-isomorphism of cochain complexes of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.*

Proof. The claim is local so it is sufficient to prove the proposition on a small open subset $V \subset X$. By Proposition 2.4, for V sufficiently small, we have a gauge equivalence $J : (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})|_V \xrightarrow{\sim} (E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \nabla^{E^\bullet|_V})$, which induces automorphisms

$$J : \mathcal{E}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^n \text{ and } \tilde{J} : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^n|_V \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^n|_V$$

for each n . See Proposition 2.8. Let \hat{d} denote the cochain map $\mathcal{E}^n|_V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{n+1}|_V$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^n|_V \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{n+1}|_V$ induced by $v_0 + \nabla^{E^\bullet|_V}$. We thus obtain degreewise isomorphisms

$$J : (\mathcal{E}^\bullet|_V, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}^\bullet|_V, \hat{d}) \text{ and } \tilde{J} : (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\bullet|_V, d) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\bullet|_V, \hat{d}) \quad (2.21)$$

which are compatible with the embedding $i : \mathcal{E}^\bullet|_V \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\bullet|_V$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that

$$i : (\mathcal{E}^\bullet|_V, \hat{d}) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\bullet|_V, \hat{d})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Now $\nabla^{E^\bullet|_V}$ gives $E^\bullet|_V$ a structure of holomorphic vector bundle on V , so $(\mathcal{E}^\bullet|_V, \hat{d})$ is the Dolbeault complex associated to a bounded cochain complex of holomorphic vector bundles. The claim is an easy consequence of standard results in complex geometry as in [GH94, Chapter 3, Section 1]. \square

Corollary 2.13. For $x \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^n)$, if there exists $\tilde{y} \in \Gamma(X, \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{n-1})$ such that $d(\tilde{y}) = x$, then there exists $y \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^{n-1})$ such that $d(y) = x$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.12 and the fact that both \mathfrak{E}^n and $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^n$ are soft sheaves for each n . \square

3 Pseudomeromorphic and almost semimeromorphic currents

In this section we review pseudomeromorphic and almost semimeromorphic currents following [AW10] and [AW18].

3.1 Scalar valued currents

Let s be a holomorphic section of a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle L over X . The *principal value current* $[1/s]$ can be defined as

$$[1/s] := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \chi(|s|^2/\epsilon) \frac{1}{s},$$

where $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth cut-off function, i.e., $\chi(t) = 0$ in a neighborhood of zero and $\chi(t) = 1$ when $|t| \gg 1$. A current is *semimeromorphic* if it is of the form $[\omega/s] := \omega[1/s]$, where ω is a smooth form with values in L .

Recall that a modification is a proper surjective holomorphic map $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ where X and X' are complex spaces, such that there exists a nowhere dense analytic subset $E \subset X$ such that

$$\pi|_{X' \setminus \pi^{-1}(E)} : X' \setminus \pi^{-1}(E) \rightarrow X \setminus E$$

is a biholomorphic isomorphism.

Definition 3.1. A current a is *almost semimeromorphic* on X , written $a \in ASM(X)$, if there is a modification $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ such that

$$a = \pi_*(\omega/s),$$

where ω/s is a semimeromorphic current in X' .

A current a is *locally almost semimeromorphic* on X , written $a \in LASM(X)$, if there is an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X such that $a|_{U_i} \in ASM(U_i)$ for each U_i .

For $a \in LASM(X)$, the Zariski-singular support of a , denoted by $ZSS(a)$ is the smallest analytic subset of X where a is not smooth. $ZSS(a)$ has positive codimension in X .

Remark 3.1. $ZSS(a)$ is not the support of a . The latter is defined for general currents.

Proposition 3.1. (Locally) almost semimeromorphic currents on X form a graded commutative algebra over smooth forms. The class of (locally) almost semimeromorphic currents on X is closed under ∂ .

Proof. For the almost semimeromorphic case see [AW18, Section 4.1 and Proposition 4.16]. The locally almost semimeromorphic case follows immediately. \square

In general $\text{LASM}(X)$ is not closed under $\bar{\partial}$. Actually we have the following more general concept: For an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ with coordinates (t_1, \dots, t_N) , we have

$$\tau := \bar{\partial} \left[\frac{1}{t_{i_1}} \right] \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial} \left[\frac{1}{t_{i_q}} \right] \wedge \left[\frac{1}{t_{i_{q+1}}} \right] \wedge \dots \wedge \left[\frac{1}{t_{i_{q+k}}} \right] \wedge \alpha \quad (3.1)$$

where $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{q+k}} \geq 1$ and α is a C^∞ -form on U with compact support. According to [AW18, Section 2], τ is a well-defined current. It τ is a current on a complex manifold X , we call τ an *elementary current* if there exists a local chart $\{U_\sigma\}$ of X such that τ is of the form of (3.1) when restricted to each U_σ .

Definition 3.2 ([AW10] Section 2). *Let X be a complex manifold (or more generally, a complex analytic space). A current T on X is said to be a pseudomeromorphic current if it can be written as a locally finite sum*

$$T = \sum \Pi_* \tau_l \quad (3.2)$$

where τ_l is an elementary current on some complex manifold \tilde{X}_r and $\Pi = \Pi_1 \circ \dots \circ \Pi_r$ is a composition of resolutions of singularities

$$\Pi_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow X_1 \subset X, \dots, \Pi_r : \tilde{X}_r \rightarrow X_r \subset \tilde{X}_{r-1}.$$

We denote the set of pseudomeromorphic currents on X by $\text{PM}(X)$.

Locally almost semimeromorphic currents are special cases of pseudomeromorphic currents.

Proposition 3.2. *The class of pseudomeromorphic currents is closed under multiplication with smooth forms and under ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$. Moreover, a locally almost semimeromorphic current can act on a pseudomeromorphic current from both sides.*

Proof. See [AW18, Section 2.1 and Section 4.2]. Notice that although [AW18, Section 4.2] only discusses left action, we can define right action in the same way. \square

Let $Z \subset X$ be an analytic subvariety. Integration along Z gives a current on X which we denote by $[Z]$. In particular if Z has *pure* codimension p in X , i.e. every irreducible component of Z has the same codimension p , then $[Z]$ is a (p, p) -current on X

Proposition 3.3. *Let $Z \subset X$ be an analytic subvariety. Then the current $[Z]$ is a pseudomeromorphic current on X .*

Proof. It is actually implied by the local computation as in [And05, Theorem 1.1]. \square

One important property of pseudomeromorphic currents is that they satisfy the following *dimension principle*.

Proposition 3.4 ([AW10] Corollary 2.4). *Let T be a pseudomeromorphic $(*, q)$ -current on X with support on a subvariety Z . If $\text{codim} Z \geq q + 1$, then $T = 0$.*

Given a pseudomeromorphic current T and an analytic subset Z , as in [AW10, Section 2], the restriction of T to $X \setminus Z$ has an extension to X in the following way: Let χ be a cut-off function as above. For a local chart U of X , let F be a section of a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle such that $Z \cap U = \{F = 0\}$. We define

$$\chi_\epsilon := \chi(|F|^2/\epsilon) \quad (3.3)$$

and then

$$(\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} T)|_U := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \chi(|F|^2/\epsilon) T|_U. \quad (3.4)$$

It is clear that

$$(\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} T)|_{X \setminus Z} = T|_{X \setminus Z}. \quad (3.5)$$

By [AW18, Lemma 2.6], the $(\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} T)|_U$'s glue together to a pseudomeromorphic current $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} T$ on X . It is clear that we have

$$\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z}(\alpha \wedge T) = \alpha \wedge \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} T \quad (3.6)$$

for any C^∞ -form α .

Definition 3.3. *A pseudomeromorphic current T on X is said to have the standard extension property (SEP) if $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} T = T$ for any analytic subset Z of positive codimension.*

Proposition 3.5. *Any $a \in \text{LASM}(X)$ has SEP.*

Proof. It follows from Definition 3.1, Proposition 3.4, and (3.6). \square

Definition 3.4. *Let $Z \subset X$ be an analytic subset of codimension ≥ 1 . For α a smooth form on $X \setminus Z$, we say α has a LASM extension to X , if there exists an $a \in \text{LASM}(X)$ such that $a|_{X \setminus Z} = \alpha$.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let $Z \subset X$ be an analytic subset of codimension ≥ 1 . If α is a smooth form on $X \setminus Z$, and α has an extension as a locally almost semimeromorphic current a on X , then such extension is unique.*

Proof. If a and b are two such extensions, then $a|_{X \setminus Z} = b|_{X \setminus Z} = \alpha$. Since a and b are both LASM hence both have SEP, we know

$$a = \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z}(a|_{X \setminus Z}) = \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} \alpha = \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z}(b|_{X \setminus Z}) = b.$$

\square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $Z \subset X$ be an analytic subset of codimension ≥ 1 . If α is a smooth form on $X \setminus Z$ such that α locally has LASM extension, i.e. there exists an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X such that $\alpha|_{(X \setminus Z) \cap U_i}$ has a LASM extension to U_i for each i , then α has a LASM extension to X .*

Proof. Let a_i be the LASM extension of $\alpha|_{(X \setminus Z) \cap U_i}$ to U_i . By Lemma 3.6, $a_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = a_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$. We can then glue a_i to a current a on X by partition of unity. a is clearly LASM. \square

In particular, if α is a smooth form on $X \setminus Z$, and α has an extension as a locally almost semimeromorphic current a on X , then the extension is given by

$$a = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \chi_\epsilon \alpha. \quad (3.7)$$

where χ_ϵ is given as in (3.3).

Proposition 3.8. *Let $a \in \text{ASM}(X)$. Let $Z = \text{ZSS}(a)$ be the smallest analytic subset of X where a is not smooth. Then $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z}(\bar{\partial}a) \in \text{ASM}(X)$.*

Moreover if $a \in \text{LASM}(X)$ and $Z = \text{ZSS}(a)$. Then $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z}(\bar{\partial}a) \in \text{LASM}(X)$.

Proof. The almost semimeromorphic case is proved in [AW18, Proposition 4.16]. The locally almost semimeromorphic case follows immediately. \square

Definition 3.5. *Let a be a locally almost semimeromorphic current on X . Let $Z = \text{ZSS}(a)$ be as before. The residue $R(a)$ of a is defined by*

$$R(a) := \bar{\partial}a - \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} \bar{\partial}a. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that

$$\text{supp} R(a) \subseteq Z. \quad (3.9)$$

Since a is locally almost semimeromorphic, and thus has the SEP, it follows by (3.7) that $R(a)$ is locally given by

$$R(a) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (\bar{\partial}(\chi_\epsilon a) - \chi_\epsilon \bar{\partial}a) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\partial} \chi_\epsilon \wedge a. \quad (3.10)$$

It follows directly from for example (3.10) that if ψ is a smooth form, then

$$R(\psi \wedge a) = (-1)^{\deg \psi} \psi \wedge R(a). \quad (3.11)$$

3.2 Bundle valued currents

Let X be a complex manifold and E be a C^∞ -complex vector bundle on X . We can define almost semimeromorphic, locally almost semimeromorphic, and pseudomeromorphic currents on X valued in E in the same way and we denote them by $\text{ASM}(X, E)$, $\text{LASM}(X, E)$, and $\text{PM}(X, E)$, respectively. In the same way we can define $\text{ASM}(X, \text{End}(E))$, $\text{LASM}(X, \text{End}(E))$, and $\text{PM}(X, \text{End}(E))$.

All results and definitions except Proposition 3.8 and Definition 3.5 hold automatically in the bundle valued case.

Proposition 3.9. *For any $a \in \text{LASM}(X, E)$ and any $\bar{\partial}$ -connection ∇''_E on E , let $Z = \text{ZSS}(a)$. Then $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z}(\nabla''_E(a)) \in \text{LASM}(X, E)$.*

Proof. The statement is local so we can assume that $\nabla''_E = \bar{\partial} + \omega$ where ω is a smooth $(0, 1)$ -form valued in $\text{End}(E)$. We know $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z}(\bar{\partial}(a)) \in \text{LASM}(X, E)$ by Proposition 3.8. Moreover $\omega a \in \text{LASM}(X, E)$ since $\text{LASM}(X, E)$ is an algebra over smooth forms. By Proposition 3.5, ωa has SEP, hence $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z}(\omega a) = \omega a \in \text{LASM}(X, E)$.

Definition 3.6. For $a \in \text{LASM}(X, E)$. Pick a $\bar{\partial}$ -connection ∇''_E on E , we define the residue $R(a)$ of a as

$$R(a) = \nabla''_E(a) - \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} \nabla''_E(a). \quad (3.12)$$

□

It is easy to see that $R(a)$ is independent of the choice of the $\bar{\partial}$ -connection ∇''_E .

4 Residue currents of cohesive modules

4.1 Minimal right inverses of maps between vector bundles

Definition 4.1. Let E and F be two complex vector spaces with Hermitian metrics. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ be a complex linear map. The minimal right inverse of ϕ is a map $\sigma : F \rightarrow E$ which satisfies

1. $(\phi\sigma)|_{\text{im } \phi} = \text{id}_{\text{im } \phi}$;
2. $\sigma|_{(\text{im } \phi)^\perp} = 0$;
3. $\text{im } \sigma \perp \ker \phi$

on each fiber. In other words, since ϕ induces a fiberwise isomorphism $(\ker \phi)^\perp \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{im } \phi$, σ is defined to be ϕ^{-1} on $\text{im } \phi$ and 0 on $(\text{im } \phi)^\perp$.

Let X be a smooth manifold and $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ be a map between C^∞ vector bundles with Hermitian metrics. It is clear that $\text{rank } \phi$ is a lower semicontinuous function on X . Let $Z \subset X$ be the subset consisting of $x \in X$ such that $\text{im } \phi_x$ does not get its maximal rank. Then $X \setminus Z$ is a nonempty open subset of X . Let σ be the fiberwise minimal right inverse of ϕ . Then it is clear that σ is a C^∞ -map from F to E when restricted to $X \setminus Z$.

Example 4.1. Let \mathbb{C}^m be the n -dimensional trivial vector bundle on X equipped with the standard Hermitian metric. A map $\phi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is given by

$$\phi = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$$

where f_1, \dots, f_m are C^∞ -functions on X .

We need to distinguish two cases.

1. If all f_i 's are identically 0 on X , then the maximal rank of $\text{im } \phi$ is 0, hence $Z = \emptyset$ and $\sigma \equiv 0$.

2. If some f_i 's are not identically 0 on X , then the maximal rank of $\text{im } \phi$ is 1, hence

$$Z = \{x \in X \mid f_1(x) = \dots = f_m(x) = 0\}$$

and

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in Z \\ \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{f_1} \\ \dots \\ \overline{f_m} \end{pmatrix} & x \in X \setminus Z. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that in the second case, $\sigma(x)$ is C^∞ on $X \setminus Z$ but not C^∞ on X . Moreover, even if X is a complex manifold and all f_i 's are holomorphic functions, σ is not holomorphic even when restricted to $X \setminus Z$.

4.2 Minimal right inverses and cohesive modules

Now let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ be a cohesive module on X as in Definition 2.1, where

$$A^{E^\bullet} = v_0 + \nabla^{E^\bullet} + v_2 + \dots$$

as before. Let $Z_i \subset X$ be the subset of X consisting of $x \in X$ such that $v_0^i : E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1}$ does not get its maximal rank.

Proposition 4.1. *Each Z_i is an analytic subvariety of X with codimension ≥ 1 .*

Proof. The claim is local. By [BSW23, Theorem 5.2.1], for any $x \in X$, there exists a open neighborhood U of x , on which we have a flat $\bar{\partial}$ connection $\bar{\partial}^{E^i}$ on each E^i such that $\bar{\partial}^{E^i} v_0 = 0$, i.e. $v_0^i : E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1}$ is a holomorphic map under this new holomorphic structure on E^\bullet . The claim then follows immediately. \square

Let $Z := \cup_i Z_i$. Then Z is still an analytic subvariety of X with codimension ≥ 1 .

We equip each E^i with a Hermitian metric and call such $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ a *Hermitian cohesive module*. We do not assume any compatibility between v_0 and the metric.

Let $\sigma^i : E^{i+1} \rightarrow E^i$ be the fiberwise minimal right inverse of $v_0^i : E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1}$. Then σ^i is a C^∞ -map when restricted to $X \setminus Z$. To simplify the notation, we denote

$$\sigma := \sum_i \sigma^i \in \text{End}^{-1}(E^\bullet). \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.2. *We have $\sigma^2 = 0$.*

Proof. By Definition 4.1, we have

$$\text{im } \sigma^i = (\ker v_0^i)^\perp \subset (\text{im } v_0^{i-1})^\perp$$

and $\sigma^{i-1}|_{(\text{im } v_0^{i-1})^\perp} = 0$. Hence $\sigma^{i-1}\sigma^i = 0$ for each i . \square

4.3 The residue current of a cohesive module

Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ be a Hermitian cohesive module on X . Let $Z = \cup_i Z_i$ be as before and σ be as in (4.1). We denote

$$A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet} := \nabla^{E^\bullet} + v_2 + \dots = A^{E^\bullet} - v_0.$$

The $\bar{\partial}$ -connection ∇^{E^\bullet} induces a $\bar{\partial}$ -connection on $\text{End} E^\bullet$, which we still denote by ∇^{E^\bullet} .

Let $V \subset X$ be an open subset. For $a \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(E^\bullet))$, we define $A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(a) \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(E^\bullet))$ as

$$A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(a) := \nabla^{E^\bullet}(a) + [v_2, a] + [v_3, a] + \dots \quad (4.2)$$

where $[v_i, a]$ is the graded commutator with respect to the total degree. We can define $A^{E^\bullet}(a)$ in a similar way.

We know that $\sigma \in C^\infty(X \setminus Z, \text{End}^{-1}(E^\bullet))$ when we restrict it to $X \setminus Z$. We then define

$$u^\mathcal{E} \in \Gamma(X \setminus Z, \Omega_X^{0, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(E^\bullet))$$

of total degree -1 as

$$u^\mathcal{E} := \sigma(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} = \sigma - \sigma A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma) + \sigma(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^2 - \dots \quad (4.3)$$

Remark 4.1. Since $A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)$ is in $\Gamma(X \setminus Z, \Omega_X^{0, \geq 1} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}(E^\bullet))$, the sum on the right hand side of (4.3) is finite.

Remark 4.2. In [JL21, Equation (4.2)], the analogue of $u^\mathcal{E}$ for twisting cochains is given by

$$u = \sigma(\text{id} - \bar{\partial}\sigma)^{-1}.$$

In a private communication, Lärkäng showed the author that the u in [JL21] is actually equal to

$$\sigma^0(\text{id} + (a'(\sigma^0) - \bar{\partial}(\sigma^0)))^{-1}$$

which is analogous to the $u^\mathcal{E}$ in (4.3).

For later applications we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. For any $j \geq 0$, we have

$$\sigma(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^j = (A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^j \sigma \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 we have $\sigma\sigma = 0$. Since $A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}$ is a derivation and σ has degree -1 , we have

$$A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)\sigma = \sigma A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma). \quad (4.5)$$

(4.4) then follows immediately. \square

Proposition 4.4. *The form $u^\mathcal{E}$ has a locally almost semimeromorphic (LASM) extension to X .*

Proof. By the same argument as in [AW18, Example 4.18] we know that σ has an extension to a LASM current on X . We then consider

$$A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma) := \nabla^{E^\bullet}(\sigma) + [v_2, \sigma] + [v_3, \sigma] + \dots$$

Since LASM currents form an algebra over smooth forms, $[v_i, \sigma]$ has an extension to a LASM current on X for $i \geq 2$. By Proposition 3.9, $\nabla^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)$ also has an extension to a LASM current on X . Hence $A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)$ has an extension to a LASM current on X .

Finally by (4.3), $u^\mathcal{E} = \sigma - \sigma A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma) + \sigma(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^2 - \dots$ also has an extension to a LASM current on X . \square

Let $U^\mathcal{E}$ be the LASM extension of $u^\mathcal{E}$ to X . By (3.7),

$$U^\mathcal{E} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \chi_\epsilon u^\mathcal{E}. \quad (4.6)$$

$U^\mathcal{E}$ is an $\text{End}(E^\bullet)$ -valued LASM $(0, \bullet)$ -current on X with total degree -1 .

Definition 4.2. *Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ be a Hermitian cohesive module on X . Let $U^\mathcal{E}$ be as above, We define the residue current $R^\mathcal{E}$ associated to \mathcal{E} as*

$$R^\mathcal{E} := \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - A^{E^\bullet}(U^\mathcal{E}) = \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - A^{E^\bullet}U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{E}A^{E^\bullet}. \quad (4.7)$$

$R^\mathcal{E}$ is an $\text{End}(E^\bullet)$ -valued pseudomeromorphic (PM) $(0, \bullet)$ -current on X with total degree 0.

It is clear that $R^\mathcal{E}$ satisfies

$$A^{E^\bullet}(R^\mathcal{E}) = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Remark 4.3. *If $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ is a bounded complex of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles, i.e. $v_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, then $R^\mathcal{E}$ coincide with the residue current constructed in [AW07, Section 2].*

Remark 4.4. *In general $R^\mathcal{E}$ is not a LASM current.*

Definition 4.3. *Let X, Z , and $U^\mathcal{E}$ be as above. Recall the residue $R(U^\mathcal{E})$ of $U^\mathcal{E}$ is the current*

$$R(U^\mathcal{E}) := \nabla^{E^\bullet}(U^\mathcal{E}) - \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} \nabla^{E^\bullet}(U^\mathcal{E}) \quad (4.9)$$

We define the current $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$ as

$$\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E} := R^\mathcal{E} + R(U^\mathcal{E}). \quad (4.10)$$

Lemma 4.5. *We have*

$$\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E} = \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} A^{E^\bullet}(U^\mathcal{E}). \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. Since $U^\mathcal{E}$ is a LASM current and v_i is smooth for each $i \neq 1$, we know that $[v_i, U^\mathcal{E}]$ is LASM for each $i \neq 1$. Hence

$$R(U^\mathcal{E}) = A^{E^\bullet}{}''(U^\mathcal{E}) - \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} A^{E^\bullet}{}''(U^\mathcal{E}) \quad (4.12)$$

and (4.11) follows. \square

It is clear that $R(U^\mathcal{E})|_{X \setminus Z} = 0$ hence

$$\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}|_{X \setminus Z} = R^\mathcal{E}|_{X \setminus Z}. \quad (4.13)$$

Lemma 4.6. *The current $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$ in Definition 4.3 is a LASM current. Moreover it is the (unique) LASM extension of $\text{id}_{E^\bullet} - A^{E^\bullet}{}''(u^\mathcal{E})$ to X .*

Proof. Since both id_{E^\bullet} and $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} A^{E^\bullet}{}''(U^\mathcal{E})$ are LASM currents, it is clear that $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$ is LASM. \square

Remark 4.5. *Conceptually (4.10) means that $R^\mathcal{E}$ can be decomposed into the difference of the LASM part $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$ and the residual part $R(U^\mathcal{E})$.*

We will use the following notation frequently in this paper.

Definition 4.4. *We denote by $R_{q \rightarrow l}^\mathcal{E}$ the component of $R^\mathcal{E}$ that maps $\Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,\bullet} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$ to $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}^{0,\bullet} \hat{\otimes} E^l)$. We use similar notations for $R(U^\mathcal{E})$ and $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$.*

Recall we define the complex of sheaves $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ as in (2.15). We have the following result, which generalizes the duality principle in [AW07, Proposition 2.3].

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet}{}'')$ be a Hermitian cohesive module on X . Let*

$$s \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^k) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

be such that $A^{E^\bullet}{}''(s) = 0$.

1. *If $R^\mathcal{E}(s) = 0$, then there exists a*

$$t \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^{k-1}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k-1} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

such that $A^{E^\bullet}{}''(t) = s$.

2. *If $R_{q \rightarrow l}^\mathcal{E} = 0$ for any $q \leq k-1$ and any l . If there exists a*

$$t \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^{k-1}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k-1} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

such that $A^{E^\bullet}{}''(t) = s$, then $R^\mathcal{E}(s) = 0$.

Proof. If $R^\mathcal{E}(s) = 0$, then by (4.7) we have

$$0 = s - A^{E^\bullet}{}''(U^\mathcal{E}(s)) - U^\mathcal{E}(A^{E^\bullet}{}''(s)).$$

Since $A^{E^\bullet}{}''(s) = 0$, we get

$$s = A^{E^\bullet}{}''(U^\mathcal{E}(s))$$

with $U^\mathcal{E}(s) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{k-1}$ where $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{k-1} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k-1} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X^{0,p} \otimes E^q)$ as in (2.20). By Corollary 2.13, there exists a

$$t \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^{k-1}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k-1} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

such that $A^{E^\bullet}{}''(t) = s$.

On the other hand if $A^{E^\bullet}{}''(t) = s$. Since $A^{E^\bullet}{}''(R^\mathcal{E}) = 0$ we get

$$R^\mathcal{E}(s) = A^{E^\bullet}{}''(R^\mathcal{E}(t)).$$

Since $t \in \bigoplus_{p+q=k-1} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$ we get $R^\mathcal{E}(t) = 0$ hence $R^\mathcal{E}(s) = 0$. \square

Remark 4.6. [Joh23, Proposition 4.2] gives a similar result in the framework of twisting cochains.

Remark 4.7. We will see in Section 5 cases that $R_{q \rightarrow l}^\mathcal{E}$ indeed vanishes for any $q \leq k-1$ and any l .

5 Vanishing of residue currents

Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet}{}'')$ be a Hermitian cohesive module on a complex manifold X . By (4.10) we can decompose the residue $R^\mathcal{E}$ as

$$R^\mathcal{E} = \tilde{R}^\mathcal{E} - R(U^\mathcal{E}). \quad (5.1)$$

We will study the vanishing of $R(U^\mathcal{E})$ and $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$ separately.

5.1 Vanishing of $R(U^\mathcal{E})$

We first study the vanishing conditions of $R(U^\mathcal{E})$.

Recall that $Z_i \subset X$ is the subvariety of X consisting of $x \in X$ such that $v_0^i : E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1}$ does not get its maximal rank.

We have the following vanishing result on $R(U^\mathcal{E})$, which is an analogue to [Joh23, Proposition 4.4].

Proposition 5.1. *Let $U^\mathcal{E}$ be the current defined in (4.6) and $R(U^\mathcal{E})$ be its residue as in Definition 4.3. Then for any $k \geq q$ we have*

$$R(U^\mathcal{E})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Moreover if there exists a pair of integers l, q such that $l \leq q - 1$ and the subvarieties Z_i 's satisfy

$$\text{codim}(Z_m) \geq q - m + 1, \text{ for } l \leq m \leq q - 1, \quad (5.3)$$

then for any $k \geq l$ we have

$$R(U^\mathcal{E})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

where $R(U^\mathcal{E})_{q \rightarrow k}$ is the component of $R(U^\mathcal{E})$ as in Definition 4.4.

Remark 5.1. If $Z_m = \emptyset$, then we set $\text{codim}(Z_m) = \infty$.

Proof. Recall that $U^\mathcal{E}$ is the LASM extension of

$$u^\mathcal{E} = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \sigma(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j$$

Lemma 4.3 tells us $\sigma(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j = (A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma$. To abuse the notation we denote the LASM extension of $(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma$ also by $(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma$. We then have the residues $R((A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma)$.

To prove (5.2) and (5.4) it is sufficient to prove

$$R((A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k} = 0, \text{ for any } j. \quad (5.5)$$

Notice that since σ lowers the E^\bullet degree by 1 and $A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma)$ lowers the E^\bullet degree by at least 1, (5.5) holds for $j \geq q - k$ by degree reason. In particular (5.2) is trivial by degree reason.

We then prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *We have the inclusion*

$$\text{supp}[R((A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k}] \subset \bigcup_{k \leq m \leq q-1} Z_m. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Recall that σ is the sum of its component $\sigma^i : E^{i+1} \rightarrow E^i$ where the latter is the fiberwise minimal right inverse of $v_0^i : E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1}$.

By definition

$$R((A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma) = \nabla^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma - \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} \nabla^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma.$$

Since $\nabla^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}$ preserves the E^\bullet degree, we have

$$R((A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k} = R(((A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k}). \quad (5.7)$$

Recall

$$A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma) = \nabla^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma) + [v_2, \sigma] + [v_3, \sigma] + \dots$$

We know $\nabla^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}$ preserves the E^\bullet degree and the v_i 's lower the E^\bullet degree. So the component $[(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma]_{q \rightarrow k}$ only involves the σ^m 's with $k \leq m \leq q - 1$.

It is clear that σ^m is smooth outside Z_m . So $[(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma]_{q \rightarrow k}$ is smooth outside $\bigcup_{k \leq m \leq q-1} Z_m$. On the other hand the residue $R(a)$ vanishes on the open subset where a is smooth. We then get (5.6). \square

We then proof Proposition 5.1 by downward induction on k . First for $k = q - 1$, we only need to prove (5.5) for $j=0$. Actually Lemma 5.2 and (5.3) tell us that $R(\sigma)_{q \rightarrow q-1}$ has support of codimension ≥ 2 . On the other hand we know that $R(\sigma)_{q \rightarrow q-1}$ is a $(0, 1)$ -pseudomeromorphic (PM) current. So the dimension principle in Proposition 3.4 tells us that

$$R(\sigma)_{q \rightarrow q-1} = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Now consider $k_0 \leq q - 2$. Assume that (5.5) holds for $k = k_0 + 1, \dots, q - 1$ and $j = 0, \dots, q - k_0 - 2$. Consider $R((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^j \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0}$ for $j \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & R((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^j \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0} \\ &= R(((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^j \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0}) \\ &= R((\nabla^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0} ((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^{q-k_0-1} R([v_i, \sigma](A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

As before we see that $(\nabla^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0}$ is smooth outside Z_{k_0} . By (3.11), outside Z_{k_0} we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R((\nabla^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0} ((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+1}) \\ &= (\nabla^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0} R(((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

which vanishes by the induction hypothesis. As a result we know the support of

$$R((\nabla^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0} ((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+1})$$

is contained in Z_{k_0} , whose codimension is at least $q - k_0 + 1$ by (5.3). On the other hand

$$R((\nabla^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0} ((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+1})$$

is a $(0, q - k_0)$ -PM current. So the dimension principle in Proposition 3.4 tells us that

$$R((\nabla^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0} ((A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+1}) = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

Now for each $2 \leq i \leq q - k_0 - 1$ we look at $R([v_i, \sigma](A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0}$. We know that

$$\begin{aligned} & R([v_i, \sigma](A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0} \\ &= R((v_i \sigma (A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0}) + R((\sigma v_i (A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Actually $R(v_i \sigma (A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)$ vanishes by Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. On the other hand, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & R((\sigma v_i (A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0}) \\ &= R(\sigma_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0} (v_i (A_{\geq 1}^{E^{\bullet\bullet}}(\sigma))^{j-1} \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Again $\sigma_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0}$ is smooth outside Z_{k_0} . By (3.11), outside Z_{k_0} we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R(\sigma_{k_0+1 \rightarrow k_0}(v_i(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime\prime}(\sigma))^{j-1}\sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+1}) \\ &= (\sigma v_i)_{k_0+i \rightarrow k_0} R(((A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime\prime}(\sigma))^{j-1}\sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0+i}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

which vanishes by the induction hypothesis. As a result we know the support of

$$R((\sigma v_i(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime\prime}(\sigma))^{j-1}\sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0})$$

is contained in Z_{k_0} , whose codimension is at least $q - k_0 + 1$ by (5.3). Again

$$R((\sigma v_i(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime\prime}(\sigma))^{j-1}\sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0})$$

is a $(0, q - k_0)$ -PM current. So the dimension principle Proposition 3.4 tells us that

$$R((\sigma v_i(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime\prime}(\sigma))^{j-1}\sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0}) = 0 \quad (5.15)$$

hence

$$R([v_i, \sigma](A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime\prime}(\sigma))^{j-1}\sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0} = 0 \quad (5.16)$$

for each $2 \leq i \leq q - k_0 - 1$. By (5.9) we get

$$R((A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet \prime\prime}(\sigma))^j \sigma)_{q \rightarrow k_0} = 0. \quad (5.17)$$

We finished the induction hence completed the proof of Proposition 5.1. \square

For a Hermitian cohesive module $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet \prime\prime})$, recall that in Section 2.3 we defined the functor $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$, which is a bounded complex with (globally bounded) coherent cohomologies according to Proposition 2.7.

We have the following result on the codimension of Z_m .

Proposition 5.3. *1. If the complex $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\leq n_0$, then we have $Z_m = \emptyset$ for any $m \geq n_0$.*

2. If the complex $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0$, then we have

$$\text{codim}(Z_m) \geq n_0 - m, \text{ for } m \leq n_0 - 1. \quad (5.18)$$

Moreover we have $Z_{m-1} \subseteq Z_m$ for $m \leq n_0 - 1$.

Proof. For any $x \in X$, let V be a neighborhood of x which is sufficiently small. It is sufficient to prove the proposition for $Z_m \cap V$.

By Proposition 2.4 on V we have a gauge equivalence

$$J : (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet \prime\prime})|_V \xrightarrow{\sim} (E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V \prime\prime}) \quad (5.19)$$

where $(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V \prime\prime})$ is a bounded cochain complex of holomorphic vector bundles on V , whose associated cochain complex of locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules are denoted by $(\overline{\mathfrak{E}}|_V^\bullet, v_0)$. Notice that v_0 is unchanged under the gauge equivalence.

By Proposition 2.8 J induces a degreewise isomorphism

$$\underline{E}_V(J) : (\mathcal{E}|_V, d) \rightarrow \underline{E}_V(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V}). \quad (5.20)$$

Moreover by the construction of \underline{E}_V as in (2.15), $\underline{E}_V(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V, v_0)$. We thus obtain a quasi-isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{E}|_V, d) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V, v_0). \quad (5.21)$$

For Part 1, we know $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V, v_0)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\leq n_0$. By definition $Z_m \cap V$ is the subset of V of points such that $v_0 : \overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V^{m+1}$ does not obtain its maximal rank. So it is clear that $Z_m \cap V = \emptyset$ for $m \geq n_0$.

For Part 2, let n_1 be the minimal degree such that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V^{n_1} \neq 0$. Since $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V, v_0)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0$, the sequence of locally free sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V^{n_1} \xrightarrow{v_0} \dots \xrightarrow{v_0} \overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V^{n_0} \quad (5.22)$$

is exact. The result then follows from the same argument as in the proof of [Eis95, Theorem 20.9 and Corollary 20.12]. See also [L19, Section 2.7]. \square

Proposition 5.4. *For any l we have*

$$Z_{l-1} \subseteq \text{supp} \mathfrak{H}^l(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d), \quad (5.23)$$

where $\mathfrak{H}^l(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d)$ is the l th cohomology sheaf of the complex $\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d)$.

Proof. We first prove the following lemma which is a special case of Proposition 5.4.

Lemma 5.5. *If the complex $\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0$, then we have*

$$Z_{n_0-1} \subseteq \text{supp} \mathfrak{H}^{n_0}(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d). \quad (5.24)$$

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Recall Z_{n_0-1} consists of $x \in X$ such that $v_0^{n_0-1} : E^{n_0-1} \rightarrow E^{n_0}$ does not get its maximal rank. On the other hand we consider $v_0^{n_0} : E^{n_0} \rightarrow E^{n_0+1}$, since $\dim \ker v_0^{n_0}$ is an upper semicontinuous function on X , it is clear that $\mathfrak{H}^{n_0}(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d)_x \neq 0$ at such x . Hence we get the inclusion in (5.24). \square

Now we come back to the general case. By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.3, for any $x \in X$, there exists a neighborhood V of x which is sufficiently small such that we can consider $(E^\bullet|_V, v_0)$ as a bounded cochain complex of holomorphic vector bundles.

Consider the holomorphic map $v_0^{l-1} : E^{l-1}|_V \rightarrow E^l|_V$. Then $\ker v_0^{l-1}$ is a coherent sheaf on V , hence by Syzygy, it has a bounded locally free resolution if V is sufficiently small, i.e. there exists a bounded complex of holomorphic vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}^N \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_0} \dots \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_0} \tilde{E}^{l-2} \quad (5.25)$$

on V together with a map of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\eta : \tilde{E}^{l-2} \rightarrow \ker v_0^{l-1}$ such that the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}^N \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_0} \dots \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_0} \tilde{E}^{l-2} \xrightarrow{\eta} \ker v_0^{l-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.26)$$

is acyclic. Now let $i : \ker v_0^{l-1} \hookrightarrow E^{l-1}|_V$ be the embedding. The bounded complex of holomorphic vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}^N \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_0} \dots \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_0} \tilde{E}^{l-2} \xrightarrow{i \circ \eta} E^{l-1}|_V \xrightarrow{v_0^{l-1}} E^l|_V \xrightarrow{v_0^l} \dots \quad (5.27)$$

has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq l$, so by Lemma 5.5 we have

$$Z_{l-1} \cap V \subseteq \text{supp} \mathfrak{H}^l(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d) \cap V. \quad (5.28)$$

Since (5.28) holds for any V , we get (5.23). \square

Corollary 5.6. *For a Hermitian cohesive module $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ on X and $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$.*

1. *If the complex $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\leq n_0$, then for any q and any $k \geq n_0$, we have*

$$R(U^\mathcal{E})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (5.29)$$

2. *If the complex $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0$, then for any $q \leq n_0 - 1$ and any k , we have*

$$R(U^\mathcal{E})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (5.30)$$

3. *If there exist integers $m_0 \geq 1$ and n_0 such that for any $q \leq n_0$, the q th cohomology sheaf $\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies*

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp} \mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq m_0, \quad (5.31)$$

then for any $q \leq n_0$ and any $k \geq q - m_0 + 1$ we have

$$R(U^\mathcal{E})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (5.32)$$

In particular if $\text{codim}(\text{supp} \mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq m_0$ for any q , then (5.32) holds for any q and any $k \geq q - m_0 + 1$.

Proof. Part 1 and 2 are direct consequences of Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.3. Part 3 is also a consequence of Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.3, and Proposition 5.4. \square

5.2 Vanishing of $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$

To study the vanishing of $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$ we first study $u = \sigma(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1}$ defined in (4.3) in more details.

We first notice that u is a smooth form on $X \setminus Z$. We define another smooth form Q on $X \setminus Z$ as

$$Q := \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - v_0(\sigma). \quad (5.33)$$

We have the following result on $u^\mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 5.7. *On $X \setminus Z$ we have*

$$A^{E^\bullet} u^\mathcal{E} = \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - Q(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} + u^\mathcal{E} A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(Q)(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1}. \quad (5.34)$$

Proof. By definition we know

$$\begin{aligned} A^{E^\bullet} u &= A^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} - \sigma A^{E^\bullet}((\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1}) \\ &= A^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} \\ &\quad + \sigma(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} A^{E^\bullet}((\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)))(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} \\ &= A^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} + u^\mathcal{E} A^{E^\bullet}(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

We know

$$A^{E^\bullet}(\sigma) = v_0(\sigma) + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma) = \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - Q(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)) \quad (5.36)$$

hence the first term on the right hand side of (5.35) becomes

$$A^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} = \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - Q(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1}. \quad (5.37)$$

Moreover $A^{E^\bullet}(\text{id}_{E^\bullet}) = 0$ and $A^{E^\bullet} A^{E^\bullet} = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A^{E^\bullet}(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma)) &= A^{E^\bullet}(A^{E^\bullet}(\sigma) - v_0(\sigma)) \\ &= A^{E^\bullet}(-v_0(\sigma)) \\ &= A^{E^\bullet}(Q - \text{id}_{E^\bullet}) \\ &= A^{E^\bullet}(Q). \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

Moreover since

$$v_0(Q) = v_0(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} - v_0(\sigma)) = v_0(\text{id}_{E^\bullet}) - v_0(v_0(\sigma)) = 0 \quad (5.39)$$

we get $A^{E^\bullet}(Q) = A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(Q)$. So the second term on the right hand side of (5.35) becomes

$$u A^{E^\bullet}(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1} = u^\mathcal{E} A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(Q)(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}(\sigma))^{-1}. \quad (5.40)$$

We thus obtain (5.34). \square

Proposition 5.8. For a fixed integer n_0 , If the cohomology sheaf $\mathfrak{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ of the complex $\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ is such that for all $q \leq n_0$, either $\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d) = 0$ or

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq 1, \quad (5.41)$$

then for any $q \leq n_0$ and any k , we have

$$(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} - A^{E^\bullet}{}''(u))_{q \rightarrow k} = 0 \quad (5.42)$$

on $X \setminus Z$.

Proof. By (5.34) an the identity

$$(\text{id}_{E^\bullet} + A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}{}''(\sigma))^{-1} = \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}{}''(\sigma) + (A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}{}''(\sigma))^2 - \dots,$$

it is sufficient to prove that on $X \setminus Z$ we have

$$(Q(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}{}''(\sigma))^j)_{q \rightarrow k} = 0 \quad (5.43)$$

and

$$(u^\mathcal{E} A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}{}''(Q)(A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}{}''(\sigma))^j)_{q \rightarrow k} = 0 \quad (5.44)$$

for any $j \geq 0$ and any $q \leq n_0$.

Now since $(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0 + 1$ or

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^l(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq 1$$

for $l \leq n_0$, via the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.3 we know the complex

$$(E^\bullet, v_0)|_{X \setminus Z}$$

is exact at degree $\leq n_0 - 1$. Then it is easy to see that on $X \setminus Z$ we have

$$Q_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r,s)} = 0, \text{ and } A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}{}''(Q)_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r,s)} = 0 \quad (5.45)$$

for any $s < n_0$. Since $A_{\geq 1}^{E^\bullet}{}''(\sigma)^j$ does not increase the degree on E^\bullet , we get (5.43) and (5.44). \square

Corollary 5.9. If there exists an integer n_0 such that for any $q \leq n_0$, the q th cohomology sheaf $\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq 1, \quad (5.46)$$

then for any $q \leq n_0$ and any k , we have

$$\tilde{R}_{q \rightarrow k}^\mathcal{E} = 0. \quad (5.47)$$

In particular if $\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^l(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq 1$ for any l , then $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E} = 0$.

Proof. Recall (4.11) gives us $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E} = \text{id}_{E^\bullet} - \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(U^\mathcal{E})$. By (3.5) we know that

$$\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(U^\mathcal{E})|_{X \setminus Z} = A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(U^\mathcal{E})|_{X \setminus Z} = A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(U^\mathcal{E}|_{X \setminus Z}) = A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(u^\mathcal{E}). \quad (5.48)$$

By Lemma 4.6, $\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$ is the unique locally almost semimeromorphic (LASM) extension of $\text{id}_{E^\bullet} - A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(u^\mathcal{E})$ to X . Now the claims follow from Proposition 5.8. \square

The following result is on the vanishing of the residue current $R^\mathcal{E}$.

Corollary 5.10. *1. If the complex $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0$, then for any $q \leq n_0 - 1$ and any k , we have*

$$R_{q \rightarrow k}^\mathcal{E} = 0. \quad (5.49)$$

2. If there exist integers $m_0 \geq 1$ and n_0 such that for any $q \leq n_0$, the q th cohomology sheaf $\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp} \mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq m_0, \quad (5.50)$$

then for any $q \leq n_0$ and any $k \geq q - m_0 + 1$ we have

$$R_{q \rightarrow k}^\mathcal{E} = 0. \quad (5.51)$$

In particular if $\text{codim}(\text{supp} \mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq m_0$ for any q , then (5.51) holds for any $q \leq n_0$ and any $k \geq q - m_0 + 1$.

Proof. They are direct consequences of (4.10), Corollary 5.6, and Corollary 5.9. \square

We then have the following precise form of the duality principle.

Theorem 5.11. *Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime})$ be a Hermitian cohesive module on a complex manifold X . If the complex $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0$, Let*

$$s \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^k) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

be such that $A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(s) = 0$.

1. If $k \leq n_0 - 1$, then we must have $R^\mathcal{E}(s) = 0$ and a

$$t \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^{k-1}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k-1} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

such that $A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(t) = s$.

2. If $k = n_0$, then there exists a

$$t \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^{k-1}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k-1} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

such that $A^{E^\bullet \prime \prime}(t) = s$ if and only if $R^\mathcal{E}(s) = 0$.

Proof. Both statements are direct consequences of Theorem 4.7 and Corollary 5.10 Part 1. \square

Remark 5.2. See [Joh23, Theorem 1.1] for a similar result in the framework of twisting cochains.

Remark 5.3. In Theorem 5.11, even if we make the stronger assumption that $(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomology concentrated in degree $= n_0$, for $k \geq n_0 + 1$, there may still exist

$$s \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^k) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

and

$$t \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{E}^{k-1}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k-1} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$$

such that $s = A^{E^\bullet} t$ but $R^\mathcal{E}(s) \neq 0$.

For example let $E^\bullet = \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ be the trivial line bundle concentrated in degree 0. Let $\nabla^{E^\bullet} = \bar{\partial}$ and all v_i 's be 0. Then $u^\mathcal{E} \equiv 0$ hence $U^\mathcal{E} \equiv 0$ and (4.7) give $R^\mathcal{E} = \text{id}_{\underline{\mathbb{C}}}$.

Now consider a non-holomorphic C^∞ -function t on X . We have

$$A^{E^\bullet} t = \bar{\partial}(t) \neq 0.$$

Let $s = \bar{\partial}(t) \in C^\infty(X, \overline{T^*X}) \subset \bigoplus_{p+q=1} \Gamma(X, \Omega^{0,p} \hat{\otimes} E^q)$. We have $s = A^{E^\bullet} t$ but $R^\mathcal{E}(s) = s \neq 0$.

6 A comparison formula for residue currents of Hermitian cohesive modules

6.1 A comparison formula

In this section we generalize the results in [Lj9]. Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{F} = (F^\bullet, A^{F^\bullet})$ be two Hermitian cohesive modules on X and $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a closed degree 0 morphism.

Let $U^\mathcal{E}, R^\mathcal{E}, R(U^\mathcal{E}), \tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$, and $U^\mathcal{F}, R^\mathcal{F}, R(U^\mathcal{F}), \tilde{R}^\mathcal{F}$ be currents defined in Section 4.3 associated with \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} respectively. Since both $U^\mathcal{E}$ and $U^\mathcal{F}$ are locally almost semimeromorphic (LASM), and ϕ is smooth, by Proposition 3.1 we can define the product current $U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}$, whose differential is

$$D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}) := A^{F^\bullet} U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E} A^{E^\bullet}$$

Let $Z \subset X$ be the of points at which $E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1}$ or $F^j \rightarrow F^{j+1}$ does not obtain its maximal rank for some i or j . Then Z is still an analytic subvariety of X with codimension ≥ 1 . As before we define the residue of $U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}$ as

$$R(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}) := D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}) - \mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}) \quad (6.1)$$

We define the current \tilde{M}^ϕ as

$$\tilde{M}^\phi := \tilde{R}^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi \tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}. \quad (6.2)$$

It is clear that \tilde{M}^ϕ is a LASM current with total degree -1 . We then define the pseudomeromorphic (PM) current M^ϕ as

$$M^\phi := \tilde{M}^\phi + R(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}) \quad (6.3)$$

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{F} = (F^\bullet, A^{F^\bullet})$ be two Hermitian cohesive modules on X and $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a closed degree 0 morphism. The residue currents $R^\mathcal{E}$ and $R^\mathcal{F}$ are related via the morphism ϕ in the sense that*

$$R^\mathcal{F} \phi - \phi R^\mathcal{E} = D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(M^\phi). \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. Since $D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}} \phi = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}) &= A^{F^\bullet}(U^\mathcal{F}) \phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi A^{E^\bullet}(U^\mathcal{E}) \\ &= (\text{id}_{F^\bullet} - R^\mathcal{F}) \phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi (\text{id}_{E^\bullet} - R^\mathcal{E}) \\ &= \phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi - R^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E} + U^\mathcal{F} \phi R^\mathcal{E}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Recall that by Proposition 3.2, the right hand side of (6.5) is a well-defined PM current. By (4.13) we further have

$$D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E})|_{X \setminus Z} = (\phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi - \tilde{R}^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E} + U^\mathcal{F} \phi \tilde{R}^\mathcal{E})|_{X \setminus Z}. \quad (6.6)$$

Notice that $\phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi - \tilde{R}^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E} + U^\mathcal{F} \phi \tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$ is a LASM current on X , hence it is a LASM extension of $D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E})|_{X \setminus Z}$. On the other hand $\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E})$ is also a LASM extension of $D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E})|_{X \setminus Z}$. By the uniqueness of LASM extension as in Lemma 3.6, we must have

$$\mathbf{1}_{X \setminus Z} D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}) = \phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi - \tilde{R}^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E} + U^\mathcal{F} \phi \tilde{R}^\mathcal{E} \quad (6.7)$$

hence

$$R(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}) = \phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi - \tilde{R}^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E} + U^\mathcal{F} \phi \tilde{R}^\mathcal{E} - D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}). \quad (6.8)$$

(6.2), (6.3), and (6.8) give

$$M^\phi = \phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi - D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}). \quad (6.9)$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(M^\phi) &= D^{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}(\phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F} \phi) \\ &= \phi A^{E^\bullet}(U^\mathcal{E}) - A^{F^\bullet} U^\mathcal{F} \phi \\ &= \phi (\text{id}_{E^\bullet} - R^\mathcal{E}) - (\text{id}_{F^\bullet} - R^\mathcal{F}) \phi \\ &= R^\mathcal{F} \phi - \phi R^\mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

as expected. \square

Corollary 6.2. Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{F} = (F^\bullet, A^{F^\bullet})$ be two Hermitian cohesive modules on X . Let $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be two closed degree 0 morphisms which are homotopic inverse to each other, i.e. there exists degree -1 morphisms $\tau : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $\gamma : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\psi\phi - \text{id}_{E^\bullet} = A^{E^\bullet}(\tau), \text{ and } \phi\psi - \text{id}_{F^\bullet} = A^{F^\bullet}(\gamma). \quad (6.11)$$

Then $R^\mathcal{E}$ is homotopic to $\psi R^\mathcal{F} \phi$ and $R^\mathcal{F}$ is homotopic to $\phi R^\mathcal{E} \psi$. More precisely, let M^ϕ and M^ψ be currents associated with ϕ and ψ as in (6.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^\mathcal{E} - \psi R^\mathcal{F} \phi &= A^{E^\bullet}(M^\psi \phi - R^\mathcal{E} \tau), \\ R^\mathcal{F} - \phi R^\mathcal{E} \psi &= A^{F^\bullet}(M^\phi \psi - R^\mathcal{F} \gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.1 and (6.11). \square

Remark 6.1. See [Joh23, Theorem 1.3] for a similar result in the framework of twisting cochains.

Remark 6.2. Theorem 2.5 implies that if \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are two Hermitian cohesive modules on X which are cohesive resolutions of the same object in $D_{\text{coh}}^{\text{gb}}(X)$, then the morphisms ϕ, ψ, τ , and γ in (6.11) exists. Corollary 6.2 tells us that in this case the residue currents $R^\mathcal{E}$ and $R^\mathcal{F}$ are essentially the same.

6.2 Vanishing of M^ϕ

We have the following results on the vanishing of $R(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E}), \tilde{M}^\phi$, and M^ϕ .

Proposition 6.3. Let $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a closed degree 0 morphism between Hermitian cohesive modules on X and $R(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E})$ be as in (6.1). For any $k \geq q - 1$ we have

$$R(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (6.13)$$

Moreover if there exists a pair of integers l, q such that $l \leq q - 2$ and the subvarieties $Z_i^\mathcal{E}$'s and $Z_i^\mathcal{F}$'s satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim} Z_m^\mathcal{E} &\geq q - m + 1, \text{ for } l + 1 \leq m \leq q - 1, \text{ and} \\ \text{codim} Z_m^\mathcal{F} &\geq q - m, \text{ for } l \leq m \leq q - 2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Then for any $p \geq 0$ and $k \geq l$ we have

$$R(U^\mathcal{F} \phi U^\mathcal{E})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (6.15)$$

Proof. Since ϕ is of degree 0, it does not increase the degree on E^\bullet . Hence the proof is similar to that of Proposition 5.1 and is left to the readers. \square

Remark 6.3. Proposition 6.3 is a generalization of [Lř9, Proposition 3.6]. See [Joh23, Proposition 5.2] for a similar result in the framework of twisting cochains.

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a closed degree 0 morphism between Hermitian cohesive modules on X and $R(U^{\mathcal{F}}\phi U^{\mathcal{E}})$ be as in (6.1). We consider complexes of sheaves $\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ and $\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{F}) = (\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)$.*

1. *If $(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\leq n_0 + 1$ and $(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\leq n_0$, then for any q and any $k \geq n_0$, we have*

$$R(U^{\mathcal{F}}\phi U^{\mathcal{E}})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (6.16)$$

2. *If $(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0$ and $(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)$ has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0 - 1$, then for any $q \leq n_0 - 1$ and any k , we have*

$$R(U^{\mathcal{F}}\phi U^{\mathcal{E}})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (6.17)$$

3. *If there exist integers $m_0 \geq 1$ and n_0 such that for any $q \leq n_0$ we have $\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies*

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq m_0, \quad (6.18)$$

and $\mathfrak{H}^{q-1}(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^{q-1}(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)) \geq m_0, \quad (6.19)$$

then for any $q \leq n_0$ and any $k \geq q - m_0$ we have

$$R(U^{\mathcal{F}}\phi U^{\mathcal{E}})_{q \rightarrow k} = 0. \quad (6.20)$$

In particular if (6.18) and (6.19) hold for any q , then (6.20) holds for any q and any $k \geq q - m_0$.

Proof. They are direct consequences of Proposition 6.3, Proposition 5.3, and Proposition 5.4. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a closed degree 0 morphism between Hermitian cohesive modules on X and $R(U^{\mathcal{F}}\phi U^{\mathcal{E}})$ be as in (6.1). If there exists an integer n_0 such that for any $q \leq n_0$ we have $\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies*

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq 1, \quad (6.21)$$

and $\mathfrak{H}^{q-1}(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^{q-1}(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)) \geq 1, \quad (6.22)$$

then for any $q \leq n_0$ and any k we have

$$\tilde{M}_{q \rightarrow k}^\phi = 0. \quad (6.23)$$

In particular if we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)) &\geq 1, \text{ and} \\ \text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)) &\geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

for any q , then $\tilde{M}^\phi = 0$.

Proof. By (6.2), $\tilde{M}^\phi = \tilde{R}^{\mathcal{F}}\phi U^\mathcal{E} - U^\mathcal{F}\phi\tilde{R}^\mathcal{E}$. Notice that $U^\mathcal{E}$ lowers the E^\bullet degree and ϕ does not increase the E^\bullet degree. Now the claims are consequences of Corollary 5.9. \square

Corollary 6.6. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a closed degree 0 morphism between Hermitian cohesive modules on X and $R(U^\mathcal{F}\phi U^\mathcal{E})$ be as in (6.1).*

1. *If (\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d) has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0$ and (\mathcal{F}^\bullet, d) has cohomologies concentrated in degrees $\geq n_0 - 1$, then for any $q \leq n_0 - 1$ and any k , we have*

$$M_{q \rightarrow k}^\phi = 0. \quad (6.25)$$

2. *If there exist integers $m_0 \geq 1$ and n_0 such that for any $q \leq n_0$ we have $\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies*

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^q(\mathcal{E}^\bullet, d)) \geq m_0, \quad (6.26)$$

and $\mathfrak{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, d)$ either vanishes or satisfies

$$\text{codim}(\text{supp}\mathfrak{H}^{q-1}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, d)) \geq m_0, \quad (6.27)$$

then for any $q \leq n_0$ and any $k \geq q - m_0$ we have

$$M_{q \rightarrow k}^\phi = 0. \quad (6.28)$$

In particular if (6.26) and (6.27) hold for any q , then (6.28) holds for any q and any $k \geq q - m_0$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Corollary 6.4 and Proposition 6.5. \square

7 A generalized Poincaré-Lelong formula

7.1 Some definitions and notations

7.1.1 Cycles

For a coherent sheaf \mathfrak{F} on X , the *cycle* of \mathfrak{F} is defined to be the current

$$[\mathfrak{F}] := \sum_i m_i [Z_i], \quad (7.1)$$

where the Z_i 's are the irreducible components of $\text{supp}\mathfrak{F}$, and m_i is the *multiplicity* of Z_i in \mathfrak{F} . See [Sta24, Tag 02QV] for details.

We say that a coherent sheaf \mathfrak{F} has *pure codimension* p if $\text{supp}\mathfrak{F}$ has pure codimension p , i.e. every irreducible component of $\text{supp}\mathfrak{F}$ has the same codimension p . If \mathfrak{F} is not pure, let $[\mathfrak{F}]_p$ denote the sum of codimension p components of $[\mathfrak{F}]$.

Now let $(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)$ be a bounded complex of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with coherent cohomologies. We define the *cycle* of $(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)$ to be

$$[(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)] := \sum_l (-1)^l [\mathfrak{H}^l(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)]. \quad (7.2)$$

It is clear that $[(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)] = [(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^\bullet, \tilde{d})]$ if $(\mathfrak{F}^\bullet, d)$ and $(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^\bullet, \tilde{d})$ are quasi-isomorphic.

For a Hermitian cohesive module $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ on X , we know $\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{E})$ is a bounded complex on X with coherent cohomologies. We then define the *cycle* of \mathcal{E} to be

$$[\mathcal{E}] := [\underline{E}_X(\mathcal{E})]. \quad (7.3)$$

7.1.2 Supertraces

Let E^\bullet be a bounded \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space over the base field \mathbb{K} . The *supertrace* is a map $\mathrm{Tr}_s : \mathrm{End}(E^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s(\phi) := \sum_l (-1)^l \mathrm{Tr}(\phi|_{E^l}). \quad (7.4)$$

Now let E^\bullet be a bounded \mathbb{Z} -graded complex vector bundle over a complex manifold X . We can extend the supertrace in (7.4) to a map

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s : \Omega^{\bullet, \bullet} \otimes \mathrm{End}(E^\bullet) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet, \bullet} \quad (7.5)$$

given by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s(\omega \otimes \phi) := \omega \otimes \mathrm{Tr}_s(\phi). \quad (7.6)$$

It is clear that Tr_s vanishes on supercommutators and is invariant under conjugations. See [BSW23, Section 4.2] for some details.

7.1.3 ∂ -connections

Let E^\bullet be a \mathbb{Z} -graded complex vector bundle over a complex manifold X . Recall that we have $T_{\mathbb{C}}X = TX \oplus \overline{TX}$ where TX and \overline{TX} are the holomorphic and antiholomorphic tangent bundle, respectively.

A ∂ -connection on E^\bullet is a map

$$\nabla^{E^{\bullet, \prime}} : E^\bullet \rightarrow T^*X \times E^\bullet \quad (7.7)$$

such that

$$\nabla^{E^{\bullet, \prime}}(fe) = \partial(f)e + f\nabla^{E^{\bullet, \prime}}(e). \quad (7.8)$$

If we also have a $\bar{\partial}$ -connection $\nabla^{E^{\bullet, \prime\prime}}$ on E^\bullet , then we can form the connection ∇^{E^\bullet} as

$$\nabla^{E^\bullet} := \nabla^{E^{\bullet, \prime}} + \nabla^{E^{\bullet, \prime\prime}} \quad (7.9)$$

In general we do not impose any compatibility condition on $\nabla^{E^{\bullet, \prime}}$ and $\nabla^{E^{\bullet, \prime\prime}}$.

7.2 A review of the main results in [LW21]

Let us review the main results in [LW21]

Theorem 7.1 ([LW21] Theorem 1.1). *Let*

$$(E^\bullet, v) = 0 \rightarrow E^{-N} \xrightarrow{v_{-N}} \dots \xrightarrow{v_{-1}} E^0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.10)$$

be a bounded complex of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles on X . If all its cohomologies $\mathcal{H}^l(E^\bullet, v)$ have pure codimension $p \geq 1$ or vanish, and let ∇^{E^\bullet} be the connection on $\text{End}(E^\bullet)$ induced by an arbitrary ∂ -connection $\nabla^{E^{\bullet\prime}}$ and the known $\bar{\partial}$ -connection $\nabla^{E^{\bullet\prime\prime}}$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{pp!}} \sum_{l=0}^{N-p} (-1)^l \text{Tr}(\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_{-l-1}) \dots \nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_{-l-p}) R_{-l \rightarrow -l-p}) = [(E^\bullet, v)], \quad (7.11)$$

where R is the residue current of (E^\bullet, v) .

Theorem 7.2 ([LW21] Theorem 1.2). *Let \mathfrak{F} be a coherent sheaf on X of pure codimension p . Let (E^\bullet, v) be a Hermitian locally free resolution of \mathfrak{F} , and let ∇^{E^\bullet} be the connection on $\text{End}(E^\bullet)$ induced by an arbitrary ∂ -connection $\nabla^{E^{\bullet\prime}}$ and the known $\bar{\partial}$ -connection $\nabla^{E^{\bullet\prime\prime}}$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{pp!}} \text{Tr}(\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_{-1}) \dots \nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_{-p}) R_{0 \rightarrow -p}) = [\mathfrak{F}]. \quad (7.12)$$

For the relation between (7.12) and the classical Poincaré-Lelong formula see [LW21, Introduction].

Using the notation of supertrace, we can reformulate (7.11) as

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{pp!}} \text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v))^p R) = [(E^\bullet, v)] \quad (7.13)$$

and reformulate (7.12) as

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{pp!}} \text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v))^p R) = [\mathfrak{F}] \quad (7.14)$$

where $(\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v))^p$ denotes the composition of $\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v)$ for p times.

Actually by Part 2 of Corollary 5.10, the only non-zero components on the left hand side of (7.13) are

$$\text{Tr}(\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_{-l-1}) \dots \nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_{-l-p}) R_{-l \rightarrow -l-p}), \quad 0 \leq l \leq N - p,$$

and the only non-zero component on the left hand side of (7.14) is

$$\text{Tr}(\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_{-1}) \dots \nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_{-p}) R_{0 \rightarrow -p}).$$

7.3 A generalized Poincaré-Lelong formula for cohesive modules

In this subsection we state and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ be a Hermitian cohesive module on X with*

$$A^{E^\bullet} = v_0 + \nabla^{E^\bullet} + v_2 + \dots$$

Let $R^\mathcal{E}$ be the residue current as in Definition 4.2. Let ∇^{E^\bullet} be the connection on $\text{End}(E^\bullet)$ induced by an arbitrary ∂ -connection ∇^{E^\bullet} and the known $\bar{\partial}$ -connection $\bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}$.

Let $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ be the sheafification as Defined in Section 2.3. If all its cohomologies $\mathfrak{H}^l(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has pure codimension $p \geq 1$ or vanish, then we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p p!} \text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^\mathcal{E}) = [\mathcal{E}] \quad (7.15)$$

where $[\mathcal{E}]$ is given in (7.3).

In particular if \mathfrak{F} is a coherent sheaf on X with pure codimension p . Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ be a cohesive resolution of \mathfrak{F} equipped with a Hermitian metric. Let $R^\mathcal{E}$ and ∇^{E^\bullet} be as before, then we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p p!} \text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^\mathcal{E}) = [\mathfrak{F}]. \quad (7.16)$$

Proof. The strategy of the proof is to reduce to (7.13) via gauge equivalence and the comparison formula.

By Proposition 2.4, for any $x \in X$, there exists a small neighborhood V of x and a gauge equivalence

$$J : (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})|_V \xrightarrow{\sim} (E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''}) \quad (7.17)$$

where $(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''})$ is a complex of holomorphic vector bundles with the same v_0 .

Since the supertrace is invariant under conjugations, we know that

$$\text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^\mathcal{E}|_V) = \text{Tr}_s((J \circ (\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0)) \circ J^{-1})^p (J \circ R^\mathcal{E}|_V \circ J^{-1})) \quad (7.18)$$

Let $R^{\bar{\mathcal{E}}|_V}$ be the residue current associated with the complex of holomorphic vector bundles $(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''})$. By Corollary 6.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} J \circ R^\mathcal{E}|_V \circ J^{-1} &= R^{\bar{\mathcal{E}}|_V} + (v_0 + \bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''})(M^J \circ J^{-1}) \\ &= R^{\bar{\mathcal{E}}|_V} + v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}) + \bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''}(M^J \circ J^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (7.19)$$

where M^J is the current associated with J as in (6.3). Notice here the homotopy operator γ in (6.12) vanishes as $J \circ J^{-1} = \text{id}$.

Since the cohomologies of $(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''})$ have codimension p , by Corollary 5.10 Part 2 and Corollary 6.6 Part 2 we have

$$R_{q \rightarrow k}^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V} = 0 \text{ for } k \geq q - p + 1 \quad (7.20)$$

and

$$M_{q \rightarrow k}^J = 0 \text{ for } k \geq q - p. \quad (7.21)$$

In other words

$$R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V} \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -p}(E^\bullet)) \quad (7.22)$$

and

$$M^J \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -p-1}(E^\bullet)). \quad (7.23)$$

Since $J^{-1} : (E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''}) \rightarrow (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})|_V$ is a degree 0 morphism, its components preserve or lower the E^\bullet degree. Hence

$$M^J \circ J^{-1} \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -p-1}(E^\bullet)). \quad (7.24)$$

Since v_0 increases the E^\bullet degree by 1, and $\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''}$ preserves the E^\bullet degree, we have

$$v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}) \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -p}(E^\bullet)) \quad (7.25)$$

and

$$\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''}(M^J \circ J^{-1}) \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -p-1}(E^\bullet)). \quad (7.26)$$

To simplify the notation, let us denote $\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_{V''}(M^J \circ J^{-1})$ by α . Then (7.19) becomes

$$J \circ R^{\mathcal{E}}|_V \circ J^{-1} = R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V} + v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}) + \alpha \quad (7.27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V} &\in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -p}(E^\bullet)), \\ v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}) &\in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -p}(E^\bullet)), \\ \alpha &\in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -p-1}(E^\bullet)). \end{aligned} \quad (7.28)$$

Next we prove the following lemma on the term $(J \circ (\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0)) \circ J^{-1})^p$.

Lemma 7.4. *There exists another ∂ -connection $\overline{\nabla}^{E^{\bullet \prime}}$ hence a connection $\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet} = \overline{\nabla}^{E^{\bullet \prime}} + \nabla^{E^{\bullet \prime \prime}}$ such that*

$$(J \circ (\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0)) \circ J^{-1})^p = (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p + \beta \quad (7.29)$$

where

$$\beta \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq p-1}(E^\bullet)). \quad (7.30)$$

Proof of Lemma 7.4. By (2.3) we have $\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0) = 0$ hence

$$J \circ (\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0)) \circ J^{-1} = J \circ (\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0)) \circ J^{-1} = (J \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ J^{-1})(J \circ v_0 \circ J^{-1}). \quad (7.31)$$

As in (2.4) we decompose J into

$$J = J_0 + J_1 + \dots \quad (7.32)$$

where

$$J_i \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{0,i} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{-i}(E^\bullet)).$$

In particular $J_0 \in \Gamma(V, \text{End}^0(E^\bullet))$ is invertible. Similarly we decompose J^{-1} into

$$J^{-1} = (J_0)^{-1} + (J^{-1})_1 + \dots \quad (7.33)$$

Notice that the 0th term of J^{-1} is $(J_0)^{-1}$.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} J \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ J^{-1} &= (J_0 + J_{\geq 1}) \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ (J_0^{-1} + (J^{-1})_{\geq 1}) \\ &= J_0 \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ J_0^{-1} + J_{\geq 1} \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ (J_0^{-1} + (J^{-1})_{\geq 1}) \\ &\quad + (J_0 + J_{\geq 1}) \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ (J^{-1})_{\geq 1} \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

$J_0 \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ J_0^{-1}$ is again a ∂ -connection, which we denote by $\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}$. Moreover the term

$$\begin{aligned} &J_{\geq 1} \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ (J_0^{-1} + (J^{-1})_{\geq 1}) + (J_0 + J_{\geq 1}) \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ (J^{-1})_{\geq 1} \\ &\in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq -1}(E^\bullet)) \end{aligned} \quad (7.35)$$

which we denote by β_1 . Hence (7.34) becomes

$$J \circ \nabla^{E^\bullet} \circ J^{-1} = \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet} + \beta_1 \quad (7.36)$$

On the other hand since v_0 is unchanged under conjugation by J , we know that

$$J \circ v_0 \circ J^{-1} = v_0 + \beta_2 \quad (7.37)$$

where $\beta_2 \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq 0}(E^\bullet))$.

Combine (7.31), (7.36), and (7.37) we get

$$\begin{aligned} J \circ (\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0)) \circ J^{-1} &= (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet} + \beta_1)(v_0 + \beta_2) \\ &= \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0) + \beta_1(v_0) + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(\beta_1) + \beta_1(\beta_2). \end{aligned} \quad (7.38)$$

We know $\beta_1(v_0) + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(\beta_1) + \beta_1(\beta_1) \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq 0}(E^\bullet))$, which we denote by β_3 .

(7.38) gives

$$(J \circ (\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0)) \circ J^{-1})^p = (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0) + \beta_3)^p = (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0) + \beta_3)^p. \quad (7.39)$$

Since $\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0) \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq 1}(E^\bullet))$ and $\beta_3 \in \Gamma(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet,\bullet} \hat{\otimes} \text{End}^{\leq 0}(E^\bullet))$, the expansion of the right hand side of (7.39) gives (7.29). We finish the proof of Lemma 7.4. \square

By (7.18), (7.27), and (7.29) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^\mathcal{E}|_V) &= \mathrm{Tr}_s((\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p + \beta)(R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V} + v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}) + \alpha) \\
&= \mathrm{Tr}_s((\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V}) + \mathrm{Tr}_s((\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p (v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}))) \\
&\quad + \mathrm{Tr}_s(\beta(R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V} + v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}) + \alpha) + (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \alpha).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.40}$$

By (7.28) and (7.30), and the fact that

$$(\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \in C^\infty(V, \Omega_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{End}^p(E^\bullet)),$$

we know that

$$\beta(R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V} + v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}) + \alpha) + (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \alpha \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{D}_X^{\bullet, \bullet} \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{End}^{\leq -1}(E^\bullet)) \tag{7.41}$$

hence its supertrace vanishes by degree reason. Therefore (7.40) gives

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^\mathcal{E}|_V) = \mathrm{Tr}_s((\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V}) + \mathrm{Tr}_s((\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p (v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}))). \tag{7.42}$$

We can prove that $\mathrm{Tr}_s((\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p (v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1})))$ also vanishes. Actually by definition

$$v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1}) = [v_0, M^J \circ J^{-1}] = v_0 \circ M^J \circ J^{-1} + M^J \circ J^{-1} \circ v_0, \tag{7.43}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the supercommutator. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.3 we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \circ v_0 = v_0 \circ (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p. \tag{7.44}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p (v_0(M^J \circ J^{-1})) \\
&= (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \circ v_0 \circ (M^J \circ J^{-1}) + (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \circ (M^J \circ J^{-1}) \circ v_0 \\
&= v_0 \circ (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \circ (M^J \circ J^{-1}) + (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \circ (M^J \circ J^{-1}) \circ v_0 \\
&= [v_0, (\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p \circ (M^J \circ J^{-1})]
\end{aligned} \tag{7.45}$$

whose supertrace vanishes since supertrace vanishes on supercommutators. Therefore (7.42) gives

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^\mathcal{E}|_V) = \mathrm{Tr}_s((\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V}). \tag{7.46}$$

Since $(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V''})$ is a complex of holomorphic vector bundles on V , by (7.13) we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{pp!}} \mathrm{Tr}_s((\overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\overline{\mathcal{E}}|_V}) = [(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \overline{\nabla}^{E^\bullet|_V''})]. \tag{7.47}$$

We know that

$$[\mathcal{E}] \cap V = [(E^\bullet|_V, v_0 + \bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}|_V)] \quad (7.48)$$

since J induces a quasi-isomorphism on the complex of sheaves. From (7.46) and (7.47) we know

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p p!} \text{Tr}_s((\bar{\nabla}^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\bar{\mathcal{E}}|_V}) = [\mathcal{E}] \cap V \quad (7.49)$$

for any sufficiently small open neighborhood V of $x \in X$. We thus get (7.15). The proof of (7.15) is the same. \square

We have the following result on the non-pure codimension case.

Corollary 7.5. *Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ be a Hermitian cohesive module on X . Let $\underline{F}_X(\mathcal{E}) = (\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ be the sheafification as Defined in Section 2.3. If all its cohomologies $\mathfrak{H}^i(\mathfrak{E}^\bullet, d)$ has codimension $p \geq 1$ or vanish, then we have*

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p p!} \text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\mathcal{E}}) = [\mathcal{E}]_p \quad (7.50)$$

where $[\mathcal{E}]_p$ is the sum over codimension p components of $[\mathcal{E}]$.

In particular if \mathfrak{F} is a coherent sheaf with codimension p . Let $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, A^{E^\bullet})$ be a cohesive resolution of \mathfrak{F} equipped with a Hermitian metric. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p p!} \text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\mathcal{E}}) = [\mathfrak{F}]_p. \quad (7.51)$$

Proof. Let W be the union of the components of $\text{supp } \mathcal{E}$ with codimension $\geq p + 1$. Then W is a subvariety of codimension $\geq p + 1$ in X .

Since $\mathcal{E}|_{X \setminus W}$ has pure codimension p , we can apply Theorem 7.3 to $X \setminus W$ and get

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p p!} \text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\mathcal{E}})|_{X \setminus W} = [\mathcal{E}]_p \cap (X \setminus W). \quad (7.52)$$

By Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.3, and Definition 4.2, both $\text{Tr}_s((\nabla^{E^\bullet}(v_0))^p R^{\mathcal{E}})$ and $[\mathcal{E}]_p$ are (p, p) -pseudomeromorphic current on X . As W has codimension $\geq p + 1$, we have (7.50) by the *dimension principle* given in Proposition 3.4. The proof of (7.51) is the same. \square

References

- [And05] Mats Andersson. Residues of holomorphic sections and Lelong currents. *Ark. Mat.*, 43(2):201–219, 2005.
- [AW07] Mats Andersson and Elizabeth Wulcan. Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 40(6):985–1007, 2007.
- [AW10] Mats Andersson and Elizabeth Wulcan. Decomposition of residue currents. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 638:103–118, 2010.

- [AW18] Mats Andersson and Elizabeth Wulcan. Direct images of semi-meromorphic currents. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 68(2):875–900, 2018.
- [Blo10] Jonathan Block. Duality and equivalence of module categories in noncommutative geometry. In *A celebration of the mathematical legacy of Raoul Bott*, volume 50 of *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, pages 311–339. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [BSW23] Jean-Michel Bismut, Shu Shen, and Zhaoting Wei. *Coherent Sheaves, Superconnections, and Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck*, volume 347 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [CH78] Nicolas R. Coleff and Miguel E. Herrera. *Les courants résiduels associés à une forme méromorphe*, volume 633 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [CHL21] Joseph Chuang, Julian Holstein, and Andrey Lazarev. Maurer-Cartan moduli and theorems of Riemann-Hilbert type. *Appl. Categ. Structures*, 29(4):685–728, 2021.
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [GH94] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original.
- [GR84] Hans Grauert and Reinhold Remmert. *Coherent analytic sheaves*, volume 265 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [Han24] Zhaobo Han. Characteristic currents on cohesive modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.09439*, 2024.
- [HL71] M. Herrera and D. Lieberman. Residues and principal values on complex spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 194:259–294, 1971.
- [JL21] Jimmy Johansson and Richard Lärkäng. An explicit isomorphism of different representations of the Ext functor using residue currents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.00480*, 2021.
- [Joh23] Jimmy Johansson. A residue current associated with a twisting cochain: duality and comparison formula. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02458*, 2023.
- [L19] Richard Lärkäng. A comparison formula for residue currents. *Math. Scand.*, 125(1):39–66, 2019.
- [LW18] Richard Lärkäng and Elizabeth Wulcan. Residue currents and fundamental cycles. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 67(3):1085–1114, 2018.

- [LW21] Richard Lärkäng and Elizabeth Wulcan. Residue currents and cycles of complexes of vector bundles. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)*, 30(5):961–984, 2021.
- [LW22] Richard Lärkäng and Elizabeth Wulcan. Chern currents of coherent sheaves. *Épjournal Géom. Algébrique*, 6:Art. 14, 26, 2022.
- [Sta24] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2024.
- [TT78] Domingo Toledo and Yue Lin L. Tong. Duality and intersection theory in complex manifolds. I. *Math. Ann.*, 237(1):41–77, 1978.
- [Voi02] Claire Voisin. A counterexample to the Hodge conjecture extended to Kähler varieties. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (20):1057–1075, 2002.