FRACTIONAL α -BERNSTEIN-KANTOROVICH OPERATORS OF ORDER β : A NEW CONSTRUCTION AND APPROXIMATION RESULTS

Jaspreet Kaur^{1,2,a}, Meenu Goyal^{2,b}, Khursheed J. Ansari^{3,c}

Department of Mathematics, GLA University, Mathura-281406, India
 Department of Mathematics, Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala-147004, India
 Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Khalid University, 61413, Abha, Saudi Arabia

^ajazzbagri3@gmail.com, ^bmeenu_rani@thapar.edu and ^cansari.jkhursheed@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In the current article, we establish a distinct version of the operators defined by Berwal $et\ al.$, which is the Kantorovich type modification of α -Bernstein operators to approximate Lebesgue's integrable functions. We define its modification that can preserve the linear function and analyze its characteristics. Additionally, we construct the bivariate of blending type operators by Berwal $et\ al.$ We analyze both its the convergence and error of approximation properties by using the conventional tools of approximation theory. Finally, we demonstrate our results by presenting examples that highlight graphical visuals using MATLAB.

Keywords: Positive linear operators, Convergence, Modulus of continuity. Mathematics Subject Classification(2020): 26A15, 40A05, 41A10, 41A25, 41A35.

1. Introduction

Bernstein operators were introduced by S. N. Bernstein to give the proof of the very well-known Weierstrass approximation theorem. These operators are the most simplest and constructive operators with so many remarkable properties. Due to these properties, later these polynomials became famous in many computer applications. These operators are useful to approximate continuous functions. These are extended in several directions. Kantorovich [18] introduced the classical Bernstein-Kantorovich operators, defined as

$$K_n(f;x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} f(t) dt$$

$$= (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}(x) \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{k+t}{n+1}\right) dt, \quad x \in [0,1], \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.1)

where $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ is an integrable function and $p_{n,k}(x)$ are known as Bernstein basis polynomials defined as

$$p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Also, another generalizations of these operators are still studied by many researchers as [13, 14, 15]. Baskakov [4] and Szász [23] introduced the positive linear operators to approximate the continuous functions on infinite interval. The integral operators associated with these operators can be seen in [2, 3, 7, 9, 21]. In order to reduce the error of approximation of the operators, generalizations of the positive linear operators has been started as one can see [10, 20, 22]. Chen *et al.* [11] introduced the modification of Bernstein polynomials depending on a real parameter $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, which are defined as

$$T_{n,\alpha}(f;x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n,k}^{\alpha}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \qquad (1.2)$$

where

$$b_{n,k}^{\alpha}(x) = \left[\binom{n-2}{k} (1-\alpha)x + \binom{n-2}{k-2} (1-\alpha)(1-x) + \binom{n}{k} \alpha x (1-x) \right] x^{k-1} (1-x)^{n-k-1}, \ n \ge 2.$$
(1.3)

For n = 1, we have $b_{1,0}^{\alpha}(x) = 1 - x$, $b_{1,1}^{\alpha}(x) = x$.

The authors studied its shape-preserving as well as approximation properties. In 2019, Deo and Pratap [12] presented the Kantorovich variant of α -Bernstein operators and studied the direct approximation theorem, and asymptotic results for these operators. Kajla and Acar [16] introduced the Durrmeyer modification of the summation operators (1.2) and studied the rate of convergence and some approximation properties. Kajla and Goyal [17] modified the Durrmeyer variant of these operators by using Păltănea basis function in an integral depending on a parameter $\rho > 0$.

Baytunç et al. [5] generalize the Bernstein-Kantorovich operators using Riemann-Liouville type fractional integers. The authors studied the approximation properties of these operators. Recently, Berwal et al. defined the Kantorovich type generalization of α -Bernstein operators given by Deo and Pratap [12] for $f \in C[0,1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the following way:

$$K_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(f;x) = \Gamma(\beta+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n,k}^{\alpha}(x) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f\left(\frac{k+t}{n+1}\right) dt, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\alpha \in [0,1], \beta \in (0,1]$ and using the following definitions:

Definition 1. The Gamma function also known as Euler's Gamma function denoted by $\Gamma:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\Gamma(\beta) = \int_0^\infty t^{\beta - 1} e^{t - 1} dt, \quad Re(\beta) > 0,$$

and by using analytic continuation for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ except the simple poles at the non-positive integers.

Definition 2. The beta function of find kind is defined by

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt \quad Re(x), Re(y) > 0.$$

The Gamma and beta functions are related by the following relationship:

$$B(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$$
 $x,y > 0.$

Definition 3. [19] The Riemann-Liouville type fractional integral $(I_{a^+}^{\beta}f)(x)$ of order $\beta > 0$, $(Re(\beta) > 0)$ for integrable function $f \in [0, \infty)$ is defined as

$$(_{a+}I_x^{\beta}f)(.) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{\beta-1} f(t) dt, \quad x > 0.$$

Motivated by these generalizations, we present the new positive linear operators using Riemann-Liouville type fractional integrals that can preserve linear functions and its generalization to approximate the functions of two variables.

The present article is structured as: In the section 2, we define a modification of the operators (1.4) introduced by Berwal *et al.* [6] in order to preserve the linear functions as well as constants. We study the convergence and linear precision property of these operators. In the next section 3, we define the bivariate of the operators and study its results. The last section 4 is equipped with some examples to justify the results of the operators and the effect of the different introduced parameters.

2. Preserving affine functions

The well-known Bernstein operators satisfy the linear precision property, but the operators (1.4) do not satisfy this property. So, we modify the operators to get this property. Therefore, we construct the operators in the following form:

$$A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(f;x) = \Gamma(\beta+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n,k}^{\alpha}(x) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} f\left(a_{n,k} \frac{k+t}{n+1}\right) dt, \tag{2.1}$$

where we need to choose $a_{n,k}$ in such a way that $A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_i;x) = e_i$, i = 0,1. Thus, by the moments of α -Bernstein operators, we require

(1)
$$\Gamma(\beta+1) \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} dt = 1,$$

(2) $\Gamma(\beta+1) \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} a_{n,k} \frac{k+t}{n+1} dt = \frac{k}{n}.$

By solving these equations, we get $a_{n,k} = \frac{k(n+1)(\beta+1)}{n[k(\beta)+1]}$.

Now we have the lemma as follows:

Lemma 1. We can compute the moments of our operators $A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(f;x)$ as follows:

$$A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_0; x) = 1;$$

$$A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_1; x) = x;$$

$$A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_2;x) = x^2 + \frac{n+2(1-\alpha)}{n^2}x(1-x) + \frac{\beta}{2+\beta}T_{n,\alpha}\left(\frac{t^2}{(nt(\beta+1)+1)^2};x\right).$$

Theorem 1. For any $f \in C[0,1]$, $\beta \in (0,1]$, we have $A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(f;x)$ converges uniformly to f(x).

Proof. Since $A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_0;x)=1$, $A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_1;x)=x$. Now, in order to prove the theorem, we need to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty}A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_2;x)=x^2$.

Consider $\mu_{n,k}(t) = \frac{t^2}{(nt(\beta+1)+1)^2}$. It is easy to check that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_{n,k}(t) = 0$. Thus,

$$A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_2;x) = x^2 + \frac{n + 2(1-\alpha)}{n^2}x(1-x) + \frac{\beta}{2+\beta}T_{n,\alpha}(\mu_{n,k}(t;x)).$$

Using these, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(e_2; x) = x^2.$$

As $T_{n,\alpha}(f;x) \to f(x)$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence, by using the Bohman-Korovkin theorem, we reach at the required result.

Corollary 1. The operators $A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(f;x)$ reproduces the linear polynomials that is $A_{n,\alpha}^{\beta}(at+b;x) = ax+b$, where $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. BIVARIATE OF THE OPERATORS

In practical scenarios containing multiple variables such as inventory levels, customer expenditures, market values of the stocks etc., the applications of mathematical methods are essential. Frequently, these models include functions those are dependent upon two or more variables. They can be seen in many other subjects as Fluid Dynamics, Economics, Continuum Mechanics, and Thermodynamics. This showcases the importance of the multi-variable functions that are used to understand and solve complex issues in various domains. These applications motivate the researchers to find the positive linear operators that can approximate such kinds of functions. We define the bivariate of the operators (1.4) for $f \in C(I^2)$, where $I^2 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) = \Gamma(\beta_{1}+1)\Gamma(\beta_{2}+1)\sum_{l=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{m}b_{n,k}^{\alpha_{1}}(x)b_{m,j}^{\alpha_{2}}(y)\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{(1-t)^{\beta_{1}-1}(1-s)^{\beta_{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\beta_{1})\Gamma(\beta_{2})}f\left(\frac{k+t}{n+1},\frac{j+s}{m+1}\right)dsdt,$$
(3.1)

where $(x,y) \in I^2$, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0,1]$. When $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$ we get back the classical α -Bernstein Kantorovich operators. Moreover, by taking $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$, the operators reduced back to Bernstein-Kantorovich operators. Due to the importance of the moments to find the results of positive linear operators, we first compute the moments for the operators (3.1).

Lemma 2. The operators (3.1) satisfy the following identities:

$$\begin{split} K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(e_{00};x,y) =& 1; \\ K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(e_{10};x,y) =& \frac{nx}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)(\beta_{1}+1)}; \\ K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(e_{01};x,y) =& \frac{my}{m+1} + \frac{1}{(m+1)(\beta_{2}+1)}; \\ K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(e_{20};x,y) =& \frac{n^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \left[x^{2} + \frac{n+2(1-\alpha_{1})}{n^{2}} x(1-x) \right] \\ & + \frac{2nx}{(\beta_{1}+1)(n+1)^{2}} + \frac{2}{(n+1)^{2}(2+\beta_{1})(1+\beta_{1})}; \\ K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(e_{02};x,y) =& \frac{m^{2}}{(m+1)^{2}} \left[y^{2} + \frac{m+2(1-\alpha_{2})}{m^{2}} y(1-y) \right] \\ & + \frac{2my}{(\beta_{2}+1)(m+1)^{2}} + \frac{2}{(m+1)^{2}(2+\beta_{2})(1+\beta_{2})}; \end{split}$$

Theorem 2. For every $f \in C(I^2)$, the operators (3.1) converges uniformly to f(x,y).

Proof. The proof is straightforward by using Lemma 2 and Volkov's theorem. Hence, we omit the details. \Box

Definition 4. ([1], p.80) For any $g \in C(I^2)$, the complete modulus of continuity is defined as

$$\omega(g; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup\{ |g(u, v) - g(x, y)| : (u, v), (x, y) \in I^2, |u - x| \leq \delta_1, |v - y| \leq \delta_2 \},$$
 or
$$\omega(g; \delta) = \sup\{ |g(u, v) - g(x, y)| : \sqrt{(u - x)^2 + (v - y)^2} \leq \delta, (u, v), (x, y) \in I^2 \},$$

Theorem 3. Let $f \in C(I^2)$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0,1]$. Then for all $(x,y) \in I^2$, we have

$$\mid K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) \mid \leq 4\omega(f;\delta_{n,\alpha_{1},\beta_{1}}^{2}(x),\delta_{m,\alpha_{2},\beta_{2}}^{2}(y)),$$

where $\delta_{n,\alpha_1,\beta_1}^2(x) := K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)^2;x,y)$ and $\delta_{m,\alpha_2,\beta_2}^2(y) := K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((s-y)^2;x,y)$

Proof. Consider

$$\left| \begin{array}{ll} K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) \mid & \leq & K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\mid f(t,s) - f(x,y) \mid ; x,y) \\ \\ & \leq & K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{\mid t - x \mid}{\delta_{1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\mid s - y \mid}{\delta_{2}} \right) \omega(f;\delta_{1},\delta_{2}); x,y \right) \\ \\ & \leq & \omega(f;\delta_{1},\delta_{2}) \left[1 + \frac{K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}}{\delta_{1}} \right] \\ \\ & \times \left[1 + \frac{K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}}{\delta_{2}} \right].$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(\mid t-x\mid;x,y) \le \sqrt{K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)^2;x,y)},$$

$$K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\mid s-y\mid ;x,y)\leq \sqrt{K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((s-y)^{2};x,y)}.$$

Therefore

$$| K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) |$$

$$\leq \omega(f;\delta_{1},\delta_{2}) \left(1 + \frac{K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((t-x)^{2};x,y)}{\delta_{1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((s-y)^{2};x,y)}{\delta_{2}} \right).$$

Now, by choosing $\delta_1 = \delta_{n,\alpha_1,\beta_1}^2(x)$ and $\delta_2 = \delta_{m,\alpha_2,\beta_2}^2(y)$, we get the required inequality.

Definition 5. ([1], p.81) For any $g \in C(I^2)$, the partial modulus of continuity is defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega^1(g;\delta_1) & = & \sup\{\mid g(x_1,y) - g(x_2,y) \mid : y \in I, \mid x_1 - x_2 \mid \leq \delta_1\}, \\ and & \omega^2(g;\delta_2) & = & \sup\{\mid g(x,y_1) - g(x,y_2) \mid : x \in I, \mid y_1 - y_2 \mid \leq \delta_2\}. \end{array}$$

Theorem 4. Let $f \in C(I^2)$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0,1]$. Then for all $(x,y) \in I^2$, we have

$$\mid K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) \mid \leq 2[\omega^{1}(f;\delta_{n,\alpha_{1},\beta_{1}}^{2}(x)) + \omega^{2}(f;\delta_{m,\alpha_{2},\beta_{2}}^{2}(y))],$$

where $\delta_{n,\alpha_1,\beta_1}^2(x)$ and $\delta_{m,\alpha_2,\beta_2}^2(y)$ are as defined in Theorem 3.

Proof. Using the linearity of the operators, we get

$$\begin{split} & \mid K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) \mid \\ & \leq K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\mid f(t,s) - f(x,s) \mid ; x,y) + K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\mid f(x,s) - f(x,y) \mid ; x,y) \\ & \leq K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\omega^{1}(f;\mid t-x\mid);x,y) + K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\omega^{2}(f;\mid s-y\mid);x,y) \\ & \leq \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((t-x)^{2};x,y)}}{\delta_{1}}\right) \omega^{1}(f;\delta_{1}) + \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((s-y)^{2};x,y)}}{\delta_{2}}\right) \omega^{2}(f;\delta_{2}). \end{split}$$

On choosing $\delta_1 := \delta_{n,\alpha_1,\beta_1}^2(x)$ and $\delta_2 := \delta_{m,\alpha_2,\beta_2}^2(y)$, we reach at the desired outcome.

Theorem 5. For any $f \in C^2(I^2)$ and $(x,y) \in I^2$ we obtain:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n(K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)) = \left(-x + \frac{1}{\beta_1 + 1}\right) f_x(x,y) + \left(-y + \frac{1}{\beta_2 + 1}\right) f_y(x,y) + \frac{1}{2} \left[x(1-x)f_{xx}(x,y) + y(1-y)f_{yy}(x,y)\right].$$

Proof. Using the Taylor's formula for a fixed point $(x, y) \in I^2$

$$f(t,s) = f(x,y) + f_x(x,y)(t-x) + f_y(x,y)(s-y) + \frac{1}{2} \left[f_{xx}(x,y)(t-x)^2 + 2f_{xy}(x,y)(t-x)(s-y) + f_{yy}(x,y)(s-y)^2 \right] + \Theta(t,s) \{ (t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2 \},$$

where $\lim_{(t,s)\to(x,y)} \Theta(t,s) = 0$ and $(x,y)\in I^2$.

Applying the operators and using its linearity property

$$n\left(K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y)-f(x,y)\right) = n\left(f_{x}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(t-x;x,y)+f_{y}(x,y)J_{n,n,\rho_{1},\rho_{1}}^{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{1}}(s-y;x,y)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left\{f_{xx}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((t-x)^{2};x,y)\right\} + 2f_{xy}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((t-x)(s-y);x,y) + f_{yy}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((s-y)^{2};x,y)\right\} + K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\Theta(t,s)\{(t-x)^{2}+(s-y)^{2}\};x,y)\right).$$
(3.2)

Apply Holder's inequality to the last term of right hand side of (3.2) $nK_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(\Theta(t,s)\{(t-x)^2+(s-y)^2\};x,y)$

$$\leq n \left[K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\Theta^{2}(t,s);x,y) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left[K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(((t-x)^{2}+(s-y)^{2})^{2};x,y) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \left[K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\Theta^{2}(t,s);x,y) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times \sqrt{2}n \left[K_{n,n,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}((t-x)^{4};x,y) + J_{n,n,\rho_{1},\rho_{1}}^{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{1}}((s-y)^{4};x,y) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(3.3)$$

$$[:: (a+b)^2 \le 2(a^2+b^2)].$$

By using Theorem 2, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(\Theta^2(t,s);x,y) = 0.$$

With the help of central moments of order 4 in Lemma 2, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \, K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(\Theta(t,s)\{(t-x)^2 + (s-y)^2\}; x,y) = 0.$$

Using Lemma 2, (3.2) and (3.3), we get our required result.

Definition 6. For $0 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \le 1$, the Lipshitz class denoted by $Lip_M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ for two variables is defined as

$$Lip_M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) := \left\{ f : C(I^2) : |f(t, s) - f(x, y)| \le M|t - x|^{\gamma_1}|s - y|^{\gamma_2} \right\},\,$$

where M > 0 and $(t, s), (x, y) \in I^2$.

Theorem 6. For $f \in Lip_M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, we have the following inequality:

$$\mid K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) \mid \leq M[\delta_{n,\alpha_{1},\beta_{1}}^{2}]^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{2}}[\delta_{m,\alpha_{2},\beta_{2}}^{2}]^{\frac{\gamma_{2}}{2}},$$

where $\delta_{n,\alpha_1,\beta_1}^2(x)$ and $\delta_{m,\alpha_2,\beta_2}^2(y)$ are as defined in Theorem 3.

Proof. Let $f \in Lip_M(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$. Consider

$$\begin{split} \mid K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) \mid & \leq K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\mid f(t,s) - f(x,y) \mid ; x,y) \\ & \leq MK_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\mid t - x \mid^{\gamma_{1}}\mid s - y \mid^{\gamma_{2}}; x,y) \\ & \leq MK_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\mid t - x \mid^{\gamma_{1}}; x,y) \, K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(\mid s - y \mid^{\gamma_{2}}; x,y). \end{split}$$

By Hölder's inequality for $p = \frac{2}{\gamma_1}, q = \frac{2}{2-\gamma_1}$, we have

$$K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(\mid t-x\mid^{\gamma_1};x,y) \leq \left(K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)^2;x,y)\right)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{2}}.$$

Similarly for $p = \frac{2}{\gamma_2}$, $q = \frac{2}{2-\gamma_2}$, we have

$$K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(|s-y|^{\gamma_1};x,y) \le (K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((s-y)^2;x,y))^{\frac{\gamma_2}{2}}$$

Hence, the result.

Definition 7. Second order modulus of smoothness: For $g \in C(I^2)$

$$\omega_2(f;\delta) = \sup\{|f(x+2u,y+2v) - 2f(x+u,y+v) + f(x,y)|; (x,y), (x+2u,y+2v) \in I^2\}.$$

Also, we have the relationship between K-functional and second order modulus of continuity [8]:

$$K(f;\delta) \le C \left[\omega_2(f;\sqrt{\delta}) + \min(1,\delta) \|f\| \right]. \tag{3.4}$$

Theorem 7. For $f \in C(I^2)$, we get the error estimation in terms of first and second order modulus of continuity

where C > 0 is a constant.

Proof. Firstly, we define an auxiliary operators for $(x,y) \in I^2$ in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) & = & K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) + f(x,y) \\ & - f\left(\frac{nx}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{1}+1)(n+1)}, \frac{my}{m+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{2}+1)(m+1)}\right). \end{array}$$

By using this definition, we can get

$$\hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(t-x;x,y)=0; \qquad \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(s-y;x,y)=0.$$

For $g \in C^2(I^2)$, we consider $\mid K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) \mid$

$$\leq \left| K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) \right| + \left| \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(g;x,y) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(g;x,y) - g(x,y) \right| + \left| g(x,y) - f(x,y) \right|$$

$$= \left| f\left(\frac{nx}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{1}+1)(n+1)}, \frac{my}{m+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{2}+1)(m+1)}\right) - f(x,y) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f-g;x,y) \right| + \left| \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(g;x,y) - g(x,y) \right| + \left| g(x,y) - f(x,y) \right|.$$

$$(3.5)$$

Now, by Taylor's polynomial for $g(t,s) \in C^2(I^2)$, we have

$$g(t,s) = g(x,y) + (t-x)\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial x} + \int_x^t (t-u)\frac{\partial^2 g(u,y)}{\partial u^2} du + (s-y)\frac{\partial g(x,y)}{\partial y} + \int_y^s (s-v)\frac{\partial^2 g(x,v)}{\partial v^2} dv.$$

Applying the operators $\hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(.;x,y)$ $\left|\hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(g;x,y) - g(x,y)\right|$

$$\leq \left| \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}} \left(\int_{x}^{t} (t-u) \frac{\partial^{2}g(u,y)}{\partial u^{2}} du; x, y \right) \right| + \left| \hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}} \left(\int_{y}^{s} (s-v) \frac{\partial^{2}g(x,v)}{\partial v^{2}} dv; x, y \right) \right|$$

$$\leq K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}} \left(\left| \int_{x}^{t} |t-u| \left| \frac{\partial^{2}g(u,y)}{\partial u^{2}} \right| du \right|; x, y \right)$$

$$+ \left| \int_{x}^{\frac{nx}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{1}+1)(n+1)}} \left| \frac{nx}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{1}+1)(n+1)} - u \right| \left| \frac{\partial^{2}g(u,y)}{\partial u^{2}} \right| du \right|$$

$$+ K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}} \left(\left| \int_{y}^{s} |s-v| \left| \frac{\partial^{2}g(x,v)}{\partial v^{2}} \right| dv \right|; x, y \right)$$

$$+ \left| \int_{y}^{\frac{my}{m+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{2}+1)(m+1)}} \left| \frac{my}{m+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{2}+1)(m+1)} - v \right| \left| \frac{\partial^{2}g(x,v)}{\partial v^{2}} \right| dv \right|$$

$$\leq \left[K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}} \left((t-x)^{2}; x, y \right) + \left(\frac{nx}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{1}+1)(n+1)} \right)^{2} \right] \|g\|_{C^{2}(I^{2})}$$

$$+ K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}} \left((s-y)^{2}; x, y \right) + \left(\frac{my}{m+1} + \frac{1}{(\beta_{2}+1)(m+1)} \right)^{2} \right] \|g\|_{C^{2}(I^{2})}$$

$$:= \Theta_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}} \left(x, y \right) \|g\|_{C^{2}(I^{2})} .$$

By using the definition of operators $\hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y)$, we obtain

$$|\hat{K}_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y)| \leq 3||f||_{C(I^{2})}.$$

Thus, the equation (3.5) becomes:

$$\mid K_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) \mid \leq 4 \|f - g\|_{C(I^{2})} + \Theta_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(x,y) \|g\|_{C^{2}(I^{2})} \\ + \omega \left(f;\mu_{n,m}(x,y)\right) \\ = 4 \left\{ \|f - g\|_{C(I^{2})} + \frac{1}{4} \Theta_{n,m,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}^{\beta_{1},\beta_{2}}(x,y) \|g\|_{C^{2}(I^{2})} \right\} + \omega (f;\mu_{n,m}(x,y)).$$

Taking the infimum over $g \in C^2(I^2)$ and using the relation (3.4), we get our desired result.

Theorem 8. Let $f, g \in C^2(I^2)$, then we have the following identity:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left[K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(fg;x,y) - K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y) K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(g;x,y) \right] = x(1-x) f_x(x,y) g_x(x,y) + y(1-y) f_y(x,y) g_y(x,y).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Proof. \ \, \text{By Taylor's series, we obtain} \\ & K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(fg;x,y) - K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y) K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(g;x,y) \\ & = K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(fg;x,y) - (fg)(x,y) - (f_x(x,y)g(x,y) + f(x,y)g_x(x,y))K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t-x;x,y) \\ & - (f_y(x,y)g(x,y) + f(x,y)g_y(x,y))K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(s-y;x,y) - \frac{1}{2}(f_{xx}(x,y)g(x,y) + 2f_x(x,y)g_x(x,y) \\ & + f(x,y)g_{xx}(x,y))K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)^2;x,y) - \frac{1}{2}(f_{yy}(x,y)g(x,y) + 2f_y(x,y)g_y(x,y) \\ & + f(x,y)g_{yy}(x,y))K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((s-y)^2;x,y) - (f(x,y)g_{xy}(x,y) + f_y(x,y)g_x(x,y) \\ & + f_x(x,y)g_y(x,y) + f_{xy}(x,y)g(x,y))K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)(s-y);x,y) \\ & - g(x,y) \left[K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y) - f(x,y) - f_x(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t-x;x,y) - f_y(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(s-y;x,y) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)^2;x,y) - \frac{1}{2}f_{yy}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((s-y)^2;x,y) \\ & - f_{xy}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)(s-y);x,y) \right] - K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y) \left[K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(g;x,y) - g(x,y) - g(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t-x)(s-y);x,y) \right] \\ & - g_x(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t-x;x,y) - g_{xy}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(s-y;x,y) - \frac{1}{2}g_{xx}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)^2;x,y) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}g_{yy}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t-x;x,y) - f_{xy}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t-x;x,y) - f_{xy}(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(t-x;x,$$

Now, applying the operators and taking limit as $n \to \infty$, we attain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n[K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(fg;x,y) - K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(g;x,y)] = x(1-x)f_x(x,y)g_x(x,y) + y(1-y)f_y(x,y)g_y(x,y).$$

 $+ f_y(x,y)g_x(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((t-x)(s-y);x,y) + f_y(x,y)g_y(x,y)K_{n,n,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}((s-y)^2;x,y)$

4. Numerical Examples

In this section, we will prove the convergence of the operators by choosing different values of the introduced shape parameters α_1, α_2 and β_1, β_2 and n, m taking into account different examples.

Example 1. Let $f(x) = e^{-x} \sin^2(xy)$ (red). In the Figure 1, we show the convergence of the operators (3.1) by choosing various values of α_1, α_2 . It represents the effect of the introduced shape parameters. The values of the parameters are as n = m = 25, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.5$, and $\alpha_1 = 0.8$, $\alpha_2 = 0.8$ (blue), $\alpha_1 = 0.3$, $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ (green). Figure 2 represents the error of approximation of the operators (3.1) from the given function f(x,y) defined as $E_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y) = |K_{n,m,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}^{\beta_1,\beta_2}(f;x,y) - f(x,y)|$ for the specific values of the parameter.




FIGURE 1. Convergence of the operators




FIGURE 2. Error analysis of the operators

Example 2. Consider $f(x,y) = x^4y^2 - 2x^2y^3 + xy^2$ (red). In the Figure 3, we show the convergence of the operators (3.1) for the different values of n = m = 20 (blue) and n = m = 30 (green), where other parameters are fixed as $\alpha_1 = 0.5$, $\alpha_2 = 0.4$, $\beta_1 = 0.8$, $\beta_2 = 0.8$. From the figure 3, it is clear that as we increase the values of n and m, the operators converge faster to the given function f(x,y).

Similarly, Figure 4 represents the error of approximation of the operators for the certain values of the parameters. The results from this figure are related to Figure 3 that is by increasing the values of n and m, the induced error is converging to zero fastly.

Example 3. Let $f(x,y) = y^2 \cos(x)$ (red). In the present example, we have studied the convergence of the operators (3.1) by varying the introduced parameters β_1 and β_2 . We consider n = m = 10, $\alpha_1 = 0.9$, $\alpha_2 = 0.8$, and $\beta_1 = 0.5$, $\beta_2 = 0.4$ (blue), $\beta_1 = 0.3$, $\beta_2 = 0.3$ (green) in Figure 5. Also for these certain parameters, we show the error of approximation in Figure 6.

Conclusion: The present article provides a new generalization of α -Bernstein operators of Kantorovich form to preserve the linear functions. In order to approximate the functions of two variables, we




FIGURE 3. Convergence of the operators




FIGURE 4. Error analysis of the operators




FIGURE 5. Convergence of the operators

also define the bivariate of these functions. Also, we present some numerical illustrations to justify our




Figure 6. Error analysis of the operators

theoretical results.

Acknowledgment The first author is thankful to the "University Grants Commission (UGC) (1001/(CSIR-UGC NET DEC. 2017))" India for financial support to carry out the above research work.

Conflicts of interest Statement The corresponding author, on behalf of all the authors, declares the absence of any conflicts of interest.

Availability of Data This article is not subject to data sharing requirements.

References

- [1] G. A. Anastassiou and S. G. Gal. Approximation Theory: Moduli of Continuity and Global Ssmoothness Preservation. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [2] K. J. Ansari. On Kantorovich variant of Baskakov type operators preserving some functions. Filomat, 36(3):1049–1060, 2022.
- [3] K. J. Ansari, F. Özger, and Z. Ödemiş Özger. Numerical and theoretical approximation results for Schurer–Stancu operators with shape parameter λ. Comput. Appl. Math., 41(4):181, 2022.
- [4] V. A. Baskakov. An instance of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions. 113(2):249–251, 1957.
- [5] E. Baytunç, H. Aktuğlu, and N. I. Mahmudov. Approximation properties of Riemann-Liouville type fractional Bernstein-Kantorovich operators of order α. *Math. Found. Comput.*, 2023.
- [6] S. Berwal, S. A. Mohiuddine, A. Kajla, and A. Alotaibi. Approximation by Riemann-Liouville type fractional α-Bernstein-Kantorovich operators. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 47:8275–8288, 2024.
- [7] S. Bushnaq, K. Shah, S. Tahir, K. J. Ansari, M. Sarwar, and T. Abdeljawad. Computation of numerical solutions to variable order fractional differential equations by using non-orthogonal basis. *AIMS Math*, 7(6):10917–10938, 2022.
- [8] P. L. Butzer and H. Berens. Semi-groups of Operators and Approximation, volume 145. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [9] Q. B. Cai, K. J. Ansari, M. T. Ersoy, and F. Özger. Statistical blending-type approximation by a class of operators that includes shape parameters λ and α . Mathematics, 10(7):1149, 2022.
- [10] Q. B. Cai, B. Y. Lian, and G. Zhou. Approximation properties of λ-Bernstein operators. J. Inequal. Appl., 2018:1–11, 2018.
- [11] X. Chen, J. Tan, Z. Liu, and J. Xie. Approximation of functions by a new family of generalized Bernstein operators. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 450(1):244-261, 2017.
- [12] N. Deo and R. Pratap. α-Bernstein-Kantorovich operators. Afrika Mat. (3), 31(3-4):609-618, 2020.
- [13] Z. Ditzian and V. Totik. Moduli of Smoothness, 1987.
- [14] A. Gadjiev and A. Ghorbanalizadeh. Approximation properties of a new type Bernstein–Stancu polynomials of one and two variables. Appl. Math. Comput., 216(3):890–901, 2010.
- [15] V. Gupta and R. P. Agarwal. Convergence Eestimates in Approximation Theory, volume 13. Springer, 2014.
- [16] A. Kajla and T. Acar. Blending type approximation by generalized Bernstein-Durrmeyer type operators. Miskolc Math Notes, 19(1):319–336, 2018.
- [17] A. Kajla and M. Goyal. Generalized Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators of blending type. Afrika Mat. (3), 30(7-8):1103-1118, 2019.

- [18] L. V. Kantorovich. Sur certains développements suivant les polynômes de la forme de S. Bernstein, I, II, CR Acad. URSS, 563(568):595–600, 1930.
- [19] A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, and S. G. Samko. Fractional Integrals and Derivatives (Theory and Applications), 1993.
- [20] N. I. Mahmudov and P. Sabancıgil. Some approximation properties of Lupaş q-analogue of Bernstein operators. arXiv preprint arXiv:1012.4245, 2010.
- [21] S. Rahman and K. J. Ansari. Estimation using a summation integral operator of exponential type with a weight derived from the α -Baskakov basis function. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 47(4):2535–2547, 2024.
- [22] D. D. Stancu. Approximation of functions by a new class of linear polynomial operators. Rev. Roum. Math. Pores et Appl., 13(8):1173–1194, 1968.
- [23] O. Szász. Generalization of S. Bernstein's polynomials to the infinite interval. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., 45(3):239–245, 1950.