

Inviscid Limit of the Stochastic Hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equations and Invariant Measures for the Euler Equations in \mathbb{R}^2

Zdzisław Brzeźniak

Dept. of Mathematics, University of York

zdzislaw.brzezniak@york.ac.uk

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8731-6523>

Matteo Ferrari

Dept. of Mathematics “Felice Casorati”, University of Pavia

Dept. of Mathematics and Applications, University of Milano Bicocca

matteo.ferrari@unimib.it

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6585-2210>

September 27, 2024

Abstract

We prove the existence and some moment estimates for an invariant measure μ for the two-dimensional (2D) deterministic Euler equations on the unbounded domain \mathbb{R}^2 and with highly regular initial data. The result is achieved by first showing the existence of Markov stationary processes which solve the hyperviscous 2D Navier-Stokes equations with kinematic viscosity $\nu > 0$ and an additive stochastic noise scaling as $\sqrt{\nu}$. We then study the inviscid limit and prove that, as ν tends to 0, these processes converge, in an appropriate trajectory space, to a pathwise stationary solution to the Euler equations. Its law is the sought invariant measure μ .

Keywords: deterministic 2D Euler equations, invariant measure, stochastic hyperviscous 2D Navier-Stokes equations, unbounded domain, inviscid limit.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Notations and preliminaries	4
2.1 General notations	4
2.2 Functional setting for fluid dynamics equations	8
2.3 The 2D incompressible Euler Equation	10
2.4 The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process	11
3. Main result	13
4. The stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equation	13
5. Stationary solutions for the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equation	29
5.1 Markov property	30
5.2 Invariant measure	31
5.3 Construction of stationary solutions	37
6. Invariant measure for the deterministic Euler Equation	41
6.1 The inviscid limit	44
6.2 Moment estimates	50
Appendices	51
A. Auxiliary results	51
B. Grönwall Lemmas	53
References	54

1 Introduction

The most famous equations in fluid dynamics, at least from a mathematical point of view, are the Navier-Stokes equations for homogeneous incompressible fluids

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u = f - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0. \end{cases}$$

They describe time and space evolution of the flow-velocity vector field u and the pressure scalar field p of any fluid, given constant parameters $\nu, \rho > 0$ and a vector field f . The parameter ν is the kinematic viscosity, ρ is the density of the fluid, while f is interpreted as an external force per unit of mass acting on the fluid and can be either deterministic or stochastic.

By formally setting the kinematic viscosity ν to zero, one obtains the Euler equations for homogeneous incompressible fluids

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u = f - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The main physical difference between the two systems is the following. The Navier-Stokes equations describe the motion of viscous Newtonian fluids, while the Euler equations predict the behaviour of inviscid fluids.

The problems of the well-posedness, the existence and the uniqueness of solutions for both equations have been widely investigated in literature and are completely solved in the two-dimensional (2D) case, see, for instance, [Wol33; Lad69; Tem01; Jud63; MB02]. Further interesting properties, both from a mathematical viewpoint and for the practical implications in understanding the long-term behaviour and statistical properties of turbulent flows, concern the invariant measures for the two equations. Formally speaking, invariant measures are spatial distributions of the fluid, which remain stationary as time evolves. Rigorous definitions will be given in Sections 2 and 5.

A classical method for proving the existence of invariant measures for partial differential equations, both in the deterministic and stochastic setting, is the Krylov-Bogoliubov method; see, for instance, [DZ14; DZ96; BG99]. It has been successfully applied to the stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, starting from the celebrated paper [Fla94]. Instead, concerning the deterministic Euler equations, earlier works have identified invariant measures, in the case of bounded domains and periodic boundary conditions, often employing the Gibbs measure [ARH79; AH89; AC90; Cip99; Bir06]. Kuksin's influential studies have demonstrated that stationary solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations with stochastic forcing converge to non-trivial stationary solutions of the deterministic Euler equations as viscosity vanishes and the noise vanishes as well [Kuk04; Kuk06; Kuk07; Kuk08].

The approach in this paper builds upon foundational works, including [Fer23] and [Lat23], which investigate the Eulerian limits and their connection to the stationary solutions of the 2D hypoviscous and hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equations as viscosity vanishes, in the case of bounded domains with periodic boundary conditions. Instead, we focus on the case of the unbounded domain \mathbb{R}^2 , inspired by recent advances in stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) on unbounded domains, which involve the continuity of the solution flow with respect to weak topologies, a crucial aspect for handling the lack of compact embeddings in unbounded domains.

Specifically, we start from the stochastic hyperviscous 2D Navier-Stokes equations on the domain \mathbb{R}^2

$$dX_t + [\nu A^\alpha X_t + B(X_t)] dt = \beta_\nu dW_t, \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha > 1$, W is a cylindrical Wiener noise, and $\beta_\nu > 0$ is a coefficient to be appropriately chosen. The higher-order dissipation terms given by the power $\alpha > 1$ of the Stokes operator regularise the solutions, and allow us to derive powerful *a priori* estimates. The scaling factor β_ν in front of the external forcing is of paramount importance. The following theorem gathers known results from the literature which clarify the role this factor plays in the deterministic setting on bounded domains.

Theorem 1.1 ([Tem95, Theorem 10.1]). *Assume that $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded domain with periodic or Dirichlet boundary conditions and let V denote the space of divergence-free vector fields in $H^1(\mathcal{D}; \mathbb{R}^2)$. If $\nu > 0$ and $f \in V'$, then there exists $u \in V$ such that*

$$\nu {}_{V'}\langle Au, v \rangle_V + b(u, u, v) = {}_{V'}\langle f, v \rangle_V. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, if there exists $c > 0$ depending only on \mathcal{D} , such that

$$\|f\|_{V'} < c\nu^2,$$

then the $u \in V$ from above is unique.

Remark 1.2. The last theorem motivates the following claim: if the coefficient β_ν in equation (1.2) converges sufficiently rapidly to 0, as $\nu \rightarrow 0$, then the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations vanish in the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ and can not converge to solutions to the Euler equations. We will make this claim more precise.

Let us consider the setting of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\tilde{f} \in V'$. For all $\nu > 0$, let $\beta_\nu > 0$, and let $u^\nu \in V$ satisfy equation (1.3) with $f = \beta_\nu \tilde{f}$. Then, in the limit as $\nu \rightarrow 0$, the following statements hold.

- If $\beta_\nu = o(1)$, then $\nu u^\nu \rightarrow 0$ in V . In particular $B(u^\nu) \rightarrow 0$ in V' .
- If $\beta_\nu = o(\nu)$, then $u^\nu \rightarrow 0$ in V .

Indeed, if we test the equation (1.3) with $f = \beta_\nu \tilde{f}$, against $u^\nu \in V$, by recalling that $b(u^\nu, u^\nu, u^\nu) = 0$,

$$\nu \|u^\nu\|_V^2 = \beta_\nu \langle \tilde{f}, u^\nu \rangle_V \leq \beta_\nu \|\tilde{f}\|_{V'} \|u^\nu\|_V,$$

which implies

$$\nu \|u^\nu\|_V \leq \beta_\nu \|\tilde{f}\|_{V'},$$

from which the assertions follow.

Furthermore, the coefficient β_ν in equation (1.2) is also related to a uniqueness result for the invariant measure of the equation, as the following theorem clarifies, in the stochastic case on a domain with periodic boundary conditions.

Theorem 1.3 ([EMS01, Theorem 1]). *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume that $\nu > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2$ such that $|k| \leq N$, the coefficient $\sigma_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $\sigma_{-k} = \overline{\sigma_k}$. Further assume that*

$$W_t := \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2 \\ |k| \leq N}} \sigma_k w_k(t) e_k, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where $\{w_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2\}$ is a family of independent complex-valued Wiener processes satisfying $w_{-k} = \overline{w_k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2$, and $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the space \mathbb{L}^2 of square-integrable divergence-free vector fields on \mathbb{T}^2 . If

$$\mathbb{E} \|W_1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2 \\ |k| \leq N}} |\sigma_k|^2 \leq c \nu^3 N^2,$$

then the stochastic equation

$$dX_t + [\nu AX_t + B(X_t)] dt = dW_t, \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

has a unique invariant measure on \mathbb{L}^2 .

Remark 1.4. We rephrase the statement of the theorem to account for the coefficient β_ν in front of the noise.

There exists $c > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume that $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2$ there exist w_k, σ_k, e_k as in the statement of Theorem 1.3. Let us define $\tilde{W}_t := \sum \{\sigma_k w_k(t) e_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_*^2, |k| \leq N\}$, for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, for any $\nu > 0$, let us choose $\beta_\nu > 0$ and consider the equation (1.4) with $W_t := \beta_\nu \tilde{W}_t$, $t \geq 0$. If

$$\beta_\nu^2 \leq \frac{cN^2}{\mathbb{E} \|\tilde{W}_1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2} \nu^3, \quad \forall \nu > 0,$$

then for any $\nu > 0$ the equation (1.4) has a unique invariant measure on \mathbb{L}^2 .

Remarks 1.2 and 1.4 motivate the following discussion. If we want to construct an invariant measure for the Euler equations by studying the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes equations, then we need β_ν to converge to 0 less rapidly than ν . In particular, β_ν^2 can not be bounded from above by ν^3 . Hence, the Navier-Stokes equations may not enjoy the uniqueness result for the invariant measure.

Remark 1.5. Let us now consider the setting of our equation (1.2) with $\alpha > 1$, and hint at the reason for our choice of the constant β_ν , see Theorem 5.12 for the details. Assume that $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ is the space of square-integrable divergence-free vector fields on \mathbb{R}^2 . If we suppose that the H -valued process X^ν is a solution to the equation (1.2), then the Itô formula applied to the process $\|X^\nu\|^2$ gives

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_t^\nu\|^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} X_s^\nu\|^2 ds = \mathbb{E} \|X_0^\nu\|^2 + \beta_\nu^2 C t, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

for a constant $C > 0$ that depends on the Wiener process. If, in addition, X^ν is stationary, *i.e.* its law is an invariant measure, hence constant in time, then the first terms in both sides of the equality cancel out, and the integral in time is easily computed. We are left with

$$\nu t \left(2\mathbb{E} \|A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} X_0^\nu\|^2 - \frac{\beta_\nu^2}{\nu} C \right) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The last equality, together with the stationarity of the law, implies

$$\mathbb{E}\|A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}X_s^\nu\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\|A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}X_0^\nu\|^2 = \frac{\beta_\nu^2}{\nu}C, \quad \forall s \geq 0,$$

which becomes a uniform estimate with respect to the kinematic viscosity ν if $\beta_\nu = \sqrt{\nu}$, and thus gives a powerful property to study the inviscid limit.

The last remark, inspired by [Lat23, Proposition 4.2], motivates the choice of the factor $\beta_\nu = \sqrt{\nu}$ in our equation (1.2).

Once the well-posedness of the equation (1.2) with $\beta_\nu := \sqrt{\nu}$ has been investigated, we establish the existence of invariant measures, by employing a version of the Krylov–Bogoliubov method tailored to weak topologies. This technique was introduced in [MS99], and successfully applied to the stochastic non-linear beam and wave equations [BOS16], the Navier–Stokes equations in unbounded domains [BMO17; BF19], the stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Bloch equation [BGL20], the stochastic damped Euler Equation [BF20], and the stochastic non-linear and damped Schrödinger equation [BFZ24]. The lack of compactness has been addressed also in [BL06; BL04], where the first named author and Li established the existence of a compact absorbing set for the 2D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations with additive noise in a certain class of unbounded domains.

Later, we use the found invariant measure to construct stationary solutions to the stochastic hyperviscous 2D Navier–Stokes equations, and finally perform the inviscid limit by means of the Jakubowski’s version [Jak97, Theorem 2] of the Skorokhod Theorem. To this end, we find a sufficiently large space in which the laws of the viscous sequence are tight. This space has also to be small enough so that, after using the Jakubowski theorem, the convergence in that space is strong enough for the sequences of viscous solutions, as well as some auxiliary processes, to be convergent. A similar technique has been applied for finite-dimensional approximations to SPDEs in [BO13; BM13; BMO17].

Finally, the process resulting from the inviscid limit is proved to be stationary and its law is the sought invariant measure for the Euler equations, which inherits the moment estimates valid for the approximating sequence.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present some notations and preliminaries on frequently used functional spaces, operators and stochastic processes. Section 3 gathers the main results of the paper. Section 4 formulates and solves the stochastic hyperviscous 2D Navier–Stokes equations, whose Markov property and invariant measure are later studied in Section 5. In Section 6, we rigorously pass the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations to the inviscid limit. Hence, we derive an invariant measure for the deterministic Euler equations. Finally, the appendices gather some auxiliary results and technical lemmas used throughout the paper.

2 Notations and preliminaries

2.1 General notations

Notation 2.1. Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be topological spaces. We denote by $C(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y})$ the vector space of continuous functions $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, we simply write $C(\mathcal{X})$ instead of $C(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{R})$. The subspace of $C(\mathcal{X})$ consisting of bounded functions is denoted by $C_b(\mathcal{X})$. A function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is sequentially continuous, and we write $f \in SC(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y})$, if for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{X} convergent to x in \mathcal{X} , the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f(x)$ in \mathcal{Y} . If $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$ we write $SC(\mathcal{X})$ instead of $SC(\mathcal{X}; \mathbb{R})$.

If \mathcal{X} is compact and $(\mathcal{Y}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed vector space, then $C(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y})$ is endowed with the usual supremum norm, *i.e.* $\|f\|_{C(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y})} := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|f(x)\|$, for $f \in C(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y})$.

Remark 2.2. If a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ between topological spaces is continuous, then it is sequentially continuous, while the converse is generally false, *i.e.* $C(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y}) \subsetneq SC(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y})$, see [AVA90, Example 1, Chapter 3].

A topological space \mathcal{X} is said to be sequential if sequentially closed subsets are topologically closed. Therefore, under the additional assumption of \mathcal{X} being sequential, a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is sequentially continuous if and only if it is continuous, see [AVA90, Proposition 3, Chapter 3]. As a matter of fact, this universal property characterises sequential spaces: a topological space \mathcal{X} is sequential if and only if for any topological space \mathcal{Y} , we have $C(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y}) = SC(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y})$. Let us recall, see *e.g.* [AVA90, Chapters 1, 2], that metrizable spaces are sequential spaces.

Notation 2.3. Assume that \mathcal{X} is a topological space. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}}$ its Borel σ -algebra and by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ the family of probability measures on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}})$. Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{X})$ will denote the Banach space of

measurable and bounded functions $\varphi : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$, endowed with the sup norm, *i.e.* $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |\varphi(x)|$, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{X})$.

Two probability measures μ, ν on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}})$ are equal if and only if

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi d\nu, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{X}).$$

Let $\mu, \mu_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ in the weak sense in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, or simply in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{X}} f d\mu_n = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f d\mu, \quad \forall f \in C_b(\mathcal{X}).$$

Assume that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ and that \mathcal{Y} is another topological space. If $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a Borel measurable function, we denote the pushforward measure of μ via Φ by

$$\Phi_*\mu : \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}} \ni E \mapsto \mu(\Phi^{-1}(E)) \in [0, 1],$$

which is a probability measure on $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}})$.

Notation 2.4. A filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is said to be augmented or to satisfy the usual conditions if it is right-continuous and complete, namely if

- $\mathcal{F}_t = \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s$, for any $t \geq 0$,
- \mathbb{P} -null sets belong to \mathcal{F}_t , for every $t \geq 0$, *i.e.* if $E \subset \Omega$ is such that there exists $N \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(N) = 0$ and $E \subseteq N$, then $E \in \mathcal{F}_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Notation 2.5. Assume that \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} are topological spaces. We say that \mathcal{X} is continuously embedded into \mathcal{Y} , and we write $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, if $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ and the map $\iota : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto x \in \mathcal{Y}$ is continuous. The map ι will be referred to as the natural embedding.

Assume now that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are Banach spaces. We say that \mathcal{X} is compactly embedded into \mathcal{Y} , and we write $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow_c \mathcal{Y}$, if $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and the natural embedding is a compact linear operator, *i.e.* if closed balls in \mathcal{X} are compact in \mathcal{Y} .

Remark 2.6. If \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} are Polish spaces such that $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, then

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}} \cap \mathcal{X}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}} \cap \mathcal{X} := \{U \cap \mathcal{X} : U \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}\}$. Indeed, if we denote by $\iota : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the natural embedding, Kuratowski's Theorem [Kur66, Theorem 1, Section V, Chapter 39] implies that $\iota(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}$, see also [Kec95, Theorem 15.1]. Then $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ because $\iota(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$ by direct inspection. Then [Loj88, Theorem 4.3.3] yields $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}} \cap \mathcal{X}$.

In particular,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

Indeed, if $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}}$, then, by (2.1), there exists $F \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ such that $E = F \cap \mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ as discussed, we infer that $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Notation 2.7. Assume that $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ the Banach space of linear and bounded operators $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, endowed with the operator norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})} := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Assume that $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a separable Hilbert space, with induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. The symbol $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$ will denote the subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of trace-class operators, see *e.g.* [Mor18, Section 4.4]. If $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then its trace $\text{Tr}[T] \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as follows. If $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal complete system for \mathcal{H} , then

$$\text{Tr}[T] := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Te_n, e_n \rangle,$$

where the definition can be shown to be independent of the orthonormal complete system.

Remark 2.8. Assuming \mathcal{H} to be a separable Hilbert space, if $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then both ST and TS belong to $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, the functional $\text{Tr} : \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H}) \ni T \mapsto \text{Tr}[T] \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies the properties

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[TS] &= \text{Tr}[ST], & \forall S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H}), \\ \text{Tr}[TS] &\leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \text{Tr}[T], & \forall S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), T \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H}), T \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We refer to [Mor18] for a complete dissertation on the topic.

Notation 2.9. Assume that \mathcal{X} is a Banach space. We denote by \mathcal{X}_w the topological space $(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^w)$, where the weak topology $\tau_{\mathcal{X}}^w$ is the smallest topology on \mathcal{X} with respect to which every linear $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. If $\tau_{\mathcal{X}}^s$ denotes the strong topology on \mathcal{X} (i.e., the natural topology induced by the norm), we will, with a slight abuse of notation, simply denote the topological space $(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^s)$ by \mathcal{X} . We denote by \mathcal{X}_{bw} the topological space $(\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^{bw})$, where the bounded weak topology $\tau_{\mathcal{X}}^{bw}$ is the largest topology on \mathcal{X} that coincides with the weak topology on norm-bounded sets, i.e. $C \subset \mathcal{X}_{bw}$ is closed if and only if $C \cap \bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed in \mathcal{X}_w for every closed ball $\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathcal{X}$. We refer to [Day73, Section II.5] for details about the bounded weak topology.

Remark 2.10. If \mathcal{X} is an infinite-dimensional Banach space, then the topological space \mathcal{X}_w is not sequential, see [GKP16, Theorem 1.5], in particular, it is not metrizable.

Remark 2.11. Assuming \mathcal{X} to be a Banach space, we have

$$\tau_{\mathcal{X}}^w \subset \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^{bw} \subset \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^s. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence, for any topological space \mathcal{Y}

$$C(\mathcal{X}_w; \mathcal{Y}) \subset C(\mathcal{X}_{bw}; \mathcal{Y}) \subset C(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{Y}).$$

We show the first inclusion in (2.2). Fix $O \in \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^w$, and a closed ball $\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$ in \mathcal{X} . $\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed in \mathcal{X}_w by [Bre10, Theorem 3.7]. Moreover, O^c is closed in \mathcal{X}_w because $O \in \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^w$. Therefore, $O^c \cap \bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is the intersection of closed sets in \mathcal{X}_w , hence it is closed in \mathcal{X}_w . By arbitrariness of $\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$ and definition of bounded weak topology, this implies that O^c is closed in \mathcal{X}_{bw} , hence $O \in \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^{bw}$.

We now prove the second inclusion in (2.2). Fix $O \in \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^{bw}$, then we will show that O^c is sequentially closed in \mathcal{X} , which implies that $O \in \tau_{\mathcal{X}}^s$ because \mathcal{X} is sequential. Fix $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in O^c convergent in \mathcal{X} to x . Since the strong topology is larger than the weak topology, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x in \mathcal{X}_w . Moreover, assuming $\|\cdot\|$ to be the norm on \mathcal{X} , and letting $R := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|$, we consider the strongly closed ball $\bar{B}_R^{\mathcal{X}} := \{y \in \mathcal{X} : \|y\| \leq R\}$. By direct inspection, $x_n \in O^c \cap \bar{B}_R^{\mathcal{X}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and, by definition of bounded weak topology, $O^c \cap \bar{B}_R^{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed in \mathcal{X}_w . Therefore, $x \in (O^c \cap \bar{B}_R^{\mathcal{X}}) \subset O^c$.

Remark 2.12. If \mathcal{X} is a Banach space, then compact sets in \mathcal{X}_w are compact in \mathcal{X}_{bw} .

Fix a compact set K in \mathcal{X}_w and a collection \mathcal{C} of open sets in \mathcal{X}_{bw} that cover K . K is bounded in \mathcal{X} by [Bre10, Corollary 2.4 and Exercise 3.1], hence there exists a strongly closed ball $\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that $K \subset \bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$. For any $O \in \mathcal{C}$, we know that $O^c \cap \bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed in \mathcal{X}_w by definition of bounded weak topology, hence $(O^c \cap \bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}})^c = O \cup (\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}})^c$ is open in \mathcal{X}_w . Moreover, the collection $\{O \cup (\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}})^c\}_{O \in \mathcal{C}}$ covers K because $O \subseteq O \cup (\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}})^c$ for any $O \in \mathcal{C}$ and K is covered by \mathcal{C} . Therefore, by definition of compact set in \mathcal{X}_w , we can extract $O_1, \dots, O_N \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\{O_n \cup (\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}})^c\}_{n=1}^N$ still covers K . However, $K \subset \bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}}$, hence

$$K = (\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}})^c \cap K \subseteq (\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}})^c \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^N (O_n \cup (\bar{B}^{\mathcal{X}})^c) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N O_n,$$

which proves that K is compact in \mathcal{X}_{bw} .

Remark 2.13. If \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space, then for any topological space \mathcal{Y}

$$SC(\mathcal{H}_w; \mathcal{Y}) = C(\mathcal{H}_{bw}; \mathcal{Y}).$$

Let $f \in C(\mathcal{H}_{bw}; \mathcal{Y})$ and fix a convergent sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{H}_w to some limit $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, denoting $\|\cdot\|$ the norm in \mathcal{H} , there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the strongly closed ball $\bar{B} := \{y \in \mathcal{H} : \|y\| \leq k\}$ contains both x and x_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If C is a closed set in \mathcal{Y} , then its inverse image $f^{-1}(C)$ is closed in \mathcal{H}_{bw} , hence $f^{-1}(C) \cap \bar{B}$ is weakly closed by definition of bounded-weak topology. However $f^{-1}(C) \cap \bar{B}$

is the inverse image of C via the restriction $f|_{\bar{B}}$ of f to \bar{B} . Thus we proved that $f|_{\bar{B}} \in C(\bar{B}_w; \mathcal{Y})$. In particular, $f(x_n) = f|_{\bar{B}}(x_n)$ tends to $f|_{\bar{B}}(x) = f(x)$ in \mathcal{Y} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $f \in SC(\mathcal{H}_w; \mathcal{Y})$.

On the other hand, fix $f \in SC(\mathcal{H}_w; \mathcal{Y})$, consider a strongly closed ball $\bar{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and the restriction $f|_{\bar{B}}$. If $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \bar{B}$ is a weakly convergent sequence, then its limit belongs to \bar{B} by [Bre10, Theorem 3.7], thus $f|_{\bar{B}} \in SC(\bar{B}_w; \mathcal{Y})$. However, \bar{B}_w is metrizable by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem, hence sequential. Therefore, $f|_{\bar{B}} \in C(\bar{B}_w; \mathcal{Y})$. This implies that, assuming C to be a closed set in \mathcal{Y} , the inverse image $(f|_{\bar{B}})^{-1}(C) = f^{-1}(C) \cap \bar{B}$ is weakly closed, thus $f^{-1}(C)$ is bounded-weakly closed. Hence $f \in C(\mathcal{H}_{bw}; \mathcal{Y})$.

Remark 2.14. If \mathcal{X} is a separable Banach space, then the Borel sigma algebras generated by the strong, bounded weak, and weak topologies coincide:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}_w} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}_{bw}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

We refer to [Ziz03, Theorem 7.19] or [Edg79, Corollary 2.4] for the proof of this statement. See also [MS01, Introduction].

In particular, assuming \mathcal{H} to be a separable Hilbert space, if a function $f : \mathcal{H}_w \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially continuous, then it is $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}}$ -measurable. Indeed if $f \in SC(\mathcal{H}_w)$, then $f \in C(\mathcal{H}_{bw})$ by Remark 2.13, then f is $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}_{bw}}$ -measurable, however $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}_{bw}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Remark 2.15. Assume that \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space and let \mathcal{Y} be a Banach space. If $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, then $\mathcal{H}_{bw} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.

If indeed $\iota : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ denotes the natural embedding, the assertion is equivalent to proving that $\iota \in C(\mathcal{H}_{bw}; \mathcal{Y})$, which is again equivalent to showing that $\iota \in SC(\mathcal{H}_w; \mathcal{Y})$ by Remark 2.13. Therefore, let us fix a weakly convergent sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then the sequence is convergent in \mathcal{Y} because of the compact embedding $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, and the assertion follows.

Notation 2.16. Assume that $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ is a separable real Hilbert space. If $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the Fourier transform of a Bochner-integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}[f] : \mathbb{R}^d \ni \xi \mapsto \mathcal{F}[f](\xi) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) dx \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The resulting function $\mathcal{F}[f] : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is bounded and continuous. By the Plancherel Theorem, the linear operator $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}) \ni f \mapsto \mathcal{F}[f] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H})$ is a linear isometry with respect to the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H})$ -norm. By a density argument, this operator admits a unique extension to a unitary operator

$$\mathcal{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}).$$

In particular, for any $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H})$ we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H})} = \|\mathcal{F}[f]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H})}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \mathcal{F}[f](\xi), \mathcal{F}[g](\xi) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} d\xi. \quad (2.4)$$

In addition, \mathcal{F} is a continuous endomorphism when restricted to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H})$, endowed with its canonical LF topology. Therefore, its unique transpose is well-defined and it is a continuous endomorphism, still denoted by \mathcal{F} , acting on the space of tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is identified with its topological dual by the usual Riesz identification. Moreover, by denoting ${}_{\mathcal{H}'} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ the duality product, we have

$$\mathcal{F} \left[{}_{\mathcal{H}'} \langle x, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right] = {}_{\mathcal{H}'} \langle x, \mathcal{F}[f] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}'. \quad (2.5)$$

We recall the definition of the classical Hilbert-valued fractional Sobolev spaces. See [Tem01, Chapter 3, Section 2.3].

Notation 2.17. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we recall the definition of the Sobolev space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}) : [x \mapsto (1 + |x|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}[f](x)] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}) \right\},$$

which is a Hilbert space if endowed with the inner product

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|^2)^s \langle \mathcal{F}[f](x), \mathcal{F}[g](x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dx, \quad \forall f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H}),$$

and with the induced norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{H})}$.

2.2 Functional setting for fluid dynamics equations

A variant of the classical Sobolev spaces, tailored to deal with the equations of incompressible fluid dynamics, is given in the following definition.

Definition 2.18. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the Sobolev space of divergence-free vector fields

$$H^s := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) : [x \mapsto (1 + |x|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}[u](x)] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2), \operatorname{div} u = 0 \right\},$$

where $|x|$ and $x \cdot y$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, denote the standard Euclidean norm and inner product in \mathbb{R}^2 . We recall that, for $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$, the distribution $\operatorname{div} u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{div} u := i \mathcal{F}^{-1} [x \cdot \mathcal{F}[u](x)], \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $x \cdot \mathcal{F}[u](x)$ is the real-valued distribution given by the euclidean product in \mathbb{R}^2 between the smooth function $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni x \mapsto x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and the distribution $\mathcal{F}[u] \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$. The linear space H^s is endowed with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^s} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |x|^2)^s \mathcal{F}[u](x) \cdot \mathcal{F}[v](x) \, dx, \quad \forall u, v \in H^s,$$

which makes H^s a separable Hilbert space. The induced norm is denoted as $\| \cdot \|_{H^s}$.

Notation 2.19. If $s > 0$, the topological dual space of H^s is H^{-s} . The duality product is denoted by

$${}_{H^{-s}} \langle u, v \rangle_{H^s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{F}[u](x) \cdot \mathcal{F}[v](x) \, dx, \quad \forall u \in H^{-s}, v \in H^s.$$

If $s = 0$ we use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} H &:= H^0, \\ \|u\| &:= \|u\|_{H^0} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall u \in H, \\ \langle u, v \rangle &:= \langle u, v \rangle_{H^0} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(x) \cdot v(x) \, dx, \quad \forall u, v \in H, \end{aligned}$$

where the equalities hold thanks to the properties (2.3) and (2.4).

Remark 2.20. If $u \in H$ and $v \in H^s$ for some $s > 0$, then, by direct inspection, since $H^s \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow H^{-s}$,

$$\langle u, v \rangle = {}_{H^{-s}} \langle u, v \rangle_{H^s}.$$

Definition 2.21. The Hilbert space H is a closed subspace of $H^0(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$, thus an orthogonal projection

$$\Pi : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H,$$

called Leray projector, is well-defined, see *e.g.* [Bre10, Proposition 5.3, Corollary 5.4]. It is known that the operator Π can be extended in such a way that, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Pi(H^s(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)) = H^s$.

We derive the following trivial lemma from the definition of the divergence-free Sobolev spaces and the Hölder inequality.

Lemma 2.22 (Interpolation inequality). *Assume that $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p < q$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. If $u \in H^q$, then*

$$\|u\|_{H^r} \leq \|u\|_{H^p}^\lambda \|u\|_{H^q}^{1-\lambda}, \quad r := \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)q.$$

The Laplacian on the Sobolev spaces of divergence-free vector fields is a paramount operator in fluid dynamics that has been extensively studied in the literature. We give here the definition of its powers, that will allow us to study the hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equations.

Definition 2.23. For $\alpha \geq 1$, we define

$$A^\alpha u := \mathcal{F}^{-1} [x \mapsto (1 + |x|^2)^\alpha \mathcal{F}[u]], \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2),$$

where $x \mapsto (1 + |x|^2)^\alpha \mathcal{F}[u]$ is the \mathbb{R}^2 -valued distribution given by the product between the smooth function $\mathbb{R}^2 \ni x \mapsto (1 + |x|^2)^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and the distribution $\mathcal{F}[u] \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$. If $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $A := A^1 : H^{2+s} \rightarrow H^s$ is commonly called the Stokes operator. Whereas, for $\alpha > 1$, the operator $A^\alpha : H^{2\alpha+s} \rightarrow H^s$, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, will be referred to as the hyperviscous Stokes operator.

Remark 2.24. Assume that $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. We will show that the linear operator $A^\alpha : H^{2\alpha+s} \rightarrow H^s$ is well-defined.

Let $u \in H^{2\alpha+s}$, then $A^\alpha u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ by direct inspection. We only need to prove that $\operatorname{div}(A^\alpha u) = 0$ in the distributional sense. Indeed, we have in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\operatorname{div}(A^\alpha u) = i\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[x \cdot \mathcal{F}[A^\alpha u](x) \right] = i\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[(1 + |x|^2)^\alpha x \cdot \mathcal{F}[u](x) \right],$$

where we used Definitions 2.18, 2.23. The right-hand side vanishes because $u \in H^{2\alpha+s}$ implies $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, which entails $x \cdot \mathcal{F}[u](x) = 0$ in the distributional sense in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$.

Remark 2.25. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, the linear operator $A^\alpha : H^{2\alpha+s} \rightarrow H^s$ is positive definite, bounded, self-adjoint in H^s and has spectrum $[1, +\infty)$. Moreover, given $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$, then

$$u \in H^{2\alpha+s} \iff A^\alpha u \in H^s,$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^{2\alpha+s}} &= \|A^\alpha u\|_{H^s}, & \forall u \in H^{2\alpha+s}, \\ \langle u, v \rangle_{H^{2\alpha+s}} &= \langle A^\alpha u, A^\alpha v \rangle_{H^s}, & \forall u, v \in H^{2\alpha+s}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, if $u \in H^{2+s}$, then by the properties of the Fourier transform,

$$Au = u - \Delta u \in H^s.$$

Eventually, if we introduce the normed subspace $D_{H^s}(A^\alpha) := (H^{2\alpha+s}, \|\cdot\|_{H^s}) \subset H^s$, then, assuming $\nu > 0$, the operator

$$-\nu A^\alpha : D_{H^s}(A^\alpha) \subset H^s \rightarrow H^s,$$

generates a contraction analytic semigroup $\{e^{-\nu t A^\alpha}\}_{t \geq 0}$ of linear bounded operators in H^s .

Eventually, one needs to formally introduce the non-linear term that appears in both the Navier-Stokes and the Euler equations.

Lemma 2.26. *Assume that $p, q, r \geq 0$ satisfy the assumption*

$$\begin{cases} p + q + r \geq 1, & \text{if } p, q, r \neq 1, \\ p + q + r > 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then the trilinear form

$$b : H^p \times H^{q+1} \times H^r \ni (u, v, w) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \begin{pmatrix} u \cdot \nabla v_1 \\ u \cdot \nabla v_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot w \, d\mathcal{L}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_j u_i \partial_i v_j \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

is well-defined and continuous, where we denoted by \mathcal{L}^2 the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 . Moreover, whenever the expressions make sense,

$$b(u, v, w) = b(u, w, v), \tag{2.6}$$

$$b(u, v, v) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$b(u, u, Au) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. The well-posedness of the trilinear form comes from [Tem95, Lemma 2.1]. The two properties in equations (2.6), (2.7) follow by a density argument from [Tem95, equations (2.33), (2.34)].

The last property (2.8) is proved in [Tem95, Lemma 3.1] in the case of periodic boundary conditions, however, its proof can be adapted also to the case of the unbounded domain \mathbb{R}^2 as follows. Let us suppose that $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$, with $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, then by (2.7) and integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} b(u, u, Au) &= b(u, u, u) - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_k^2 u_j) u_i (\partial_i u_j) \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_k u_j) (\partial_k u_i) (\partial_i u_j) \, d\mathcal{L}^2 + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_k u_j) u_i (\partial_{ik}^2 u_j) \, d\mathcal{L}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The first sum vanishes because a simple calculation shows that

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 (\partial_k u_j)(\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i u_j) = (\operatorname{div} u) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 (\partial_i u_j)^2 \right) = 0.$$

The second sum vanishes because $(\partial_k u_j)(\partial_{ik}^2 u_j) = \partial_i((\partial_k u_j)^2)/2$, hence, by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_k u_j)(\partial_k u_i)(\partial_i u_j) \, d\mathcal{L}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_i \partial_i((\partial_k u_j)^2) \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\operatorname{div} u) \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^2 (\partial_k u_j)^2 \right) \, d\mathcal{L}^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The general case is obtained by recalling that compactly supported smooth vector fields are dense in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, hence also in its subspace H^s . \square

Definition 2.27. Let p, q, r satisfy the assumption of Lemma 2.26, then we define the bilinear continuous operator

$$B : H^p \times H^{q+1} \ni (u, v) \mapsto \Pi \begin{pmatrix} u \cdot \nabla v_1 \\ u \cdot \nabla v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \Pi \operatorname{div}(u \otimes v) \in H^{-r}.$$

With a slight abuse of notation, by B we will also denote the corresponding quadratic map

$$B : H^{p \vee (q+1)} \ni u \mapsto B(u) := B(u, u) \in H^{-r},$$

called Navier-Stokes non-linearity.

In the following lemma we summarise some frequently used estimates on the operators b and B .

Lemma 2.28. Assume that $\sigma > 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a finite constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|B(u, v)\|_{H^{\sigma-1}} \leq c \|u\|_{H^{\sigma-1}} \|v\|_{H^\sigma}, \quad \forall u \in H^{\sigma-1}, v \in H^\sigma, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\|B(u, v)\| \leq c \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}}, \quad \forall u \in H^1, v \in H^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\|B(u, v)\|_{H^{-\varepsilon}} \leq c \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}, \quad \forall u \in H^1, v \in H^1, \quad (2.11)$$

$$|b(u, v, w)| \leq c \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1} \|w\|_{H^\varepsilon}, \quad \forall u \in H^1, v \in H^1, w \in H^\varepsilon. \quad (2.12)$$

In particular, $B \in C(H^\sigma; H^{\sigma-1})$.

Proof. The first estimate is taken from [KP86, Lemma 1.3]. Then the continuity of $B : H^\sigma \rightarrow H^{\sigma-1}$ follows directly from

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(u) - B(y)\|_{H^{\sigma-1}} &= \|B(u - v, u) + B(v, u - v)\|_{H^{\sigma-1}} \\ &\leq c \|u - v\|_{H^{\sigma-1}} \|u\|_{H^\sigma} + c \|v\|_{H^{\sigma-1}} \|u - v\|_{H^\sigma} \\ &\leq c (\|u\|_{H^\sigma} + \|v\|_{H^\sigma}) \|u - v\|_{H^\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $B : H^\sigma \rightarrow H^{\sigma-1}$ is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets of H^σ . The second estimate comes from the continuity of the bilinear operator B , see Definition 2.27 with $p = 1, q = \varepsilon, r = 0$. The third and fourth estimates follow from the continuity of the operators b and B , see Definition 2.27 and Lemma 2.26 with $p = 1, q = 0, r = \varepsilon$. \square

2.3 The 2D incompressible Euler Equation

We project the Euler equations (1.1) on the space H of divergence-free square-integrable vector fields, by means of the Leray operator. This allows us to incorporate the incompressibility condition in the functional setting and to cancel out the gradient of the pressure. The equations thus reduce to the following (2D incompressible) Euler Equation

$$u' + B(u) = 0, \quad \text{on } (0, +\infty). \quad (EE)$$

We give the definition of a solution to this equation and recall an existence and uniqueness result from the literature.

Definition 2.29. Assume that $\sigma > 2$. We say that a function $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\sigma)$ is a solution to the Euler Equation (EE) if in $H^{\sigma-1}$

$$u(t) + \int_0^t B(u(s)) \, ds = u(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Remark 2.30. If $t > 0$ and $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\sigma)$, then the integral in equation (2.13) converges in $H^{\sigma-1}$ by Lemma 2.28, hence the equation (2.13) holds in $H^{\sigma-1}$. However, since both $u(t)$ and $u(0)$ belong to H^σ , the equation defines the integral in H^σ and the equality is thus true in H^σ .

Theorem 2.31 ([KP86, Theorem III], [KP87, Theorem II]). *Assume that $\sigma > 2$. If $x \in H^\sigma$, then there exists a unique solution u^x to the Euler Equation (EE) such that $u^x(0) = x$. Moreover, the Euler flow map*

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times H^\sigma \ni (t, x) \mapsto \Phi(t, x) := u^x(t) \in H^\sigma,$$

is continuous.

We aim to prove the existence of an invariant measure for the Euler Equation, whose definition is given below.

Definition 2.32. Assume that $\sigma > 2$. We say that a probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma)$ is an invariant measure for the Euler Equation (EE) if

$$(\Phi_t)_* \mu = \mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where, for $t \geq 0$,

$$\Phi_t : H^\sigma \ni x \mapsto \Phi(t, x) \in H^\sigma.$$

2.4 The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

As explained in the introduction, the invariant measure for the Euler Equation (EE) will be obtained as the law of stationary solutions, which are constructed as the limit of stationary solutions for appropriate Navier-Stokes equations, as the kinematic viscosity tends to 0. In order to obtain good estimates for the invariant measures of the Navier-Stokes equation, *i.e.*, uniform with respect to the kinematic viscosity, we add a white noise to the equation that vanishes in the inviscid limit together with the diffusion term.

A usual tool to study the solution to stochastic partial differential equations with additive noise exploits the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, as briefly explained in Remark 4.4, see [DZ14, Chapter 5] for a complete dissertation. Therefore, we now consider the equation that defines this stochastic process, adapted to our setting, and recall its main properties.

Theorem 2.33. *Assume that $\beta \geq 0$ and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be an augmented filtered probability space with an adapted H^β -valued Wiener process W . Assume also that $\alpha > 1$ and $\nu > 0$. Then, there exists a pathwise unique H^β -valued predictable process Z with regularity*

$$Z \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H^{\beta+\alpha}) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^\beta)), \quad \forall T > 0, \quad (2.14)$$

that satisfies the weak formulation for the equation

$$\begin{cases} dZ_t + \nu A^\alpha Z_t \, dt = \sqrt{\nu} \, dW_t, & \text{for } t > 0, \\ Z_0 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

i.e. if $\phi \in H^{\beta+2\alpha}$, then \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\langle Z_t, \phi \rangle_{H^\beta} + \nu \int_0^t \langle Z_s, A^\alpha \phi \rangle_{H^\beta} \, ds = \sqrt{\nu} \langle W_t, \phi \rangle_{H^\beta}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, Z satisfies the mild formulation for equation (2.15), i.e. \mathbb{P} -a.s. in H^β

$$Z_t = \sqrt{\nu} \int_0^t e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} \, dW_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.16)$$

and the strong formulation, i.e. \mathbb{P} -a.s. in $H^{\beta-\alpha}$

$$Z_t + \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha Z_s \, ds = \sqrt{\nu} W_t, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.17)$$

where a continuous modification for both integral processes in equations (2.16), (2.17) is employed.

Proof. All the assertions follow from the general theory for linear equations with additive noise from [DZ14, Chapter 5].

Adapting the notation from the cited reference, we see that the Hilbert spaces U and H are both replaced in our scenario by H^β , while the linear operator A is replaced by $-\nu A^\alpha$, which generates a contraction analytic semigroup $\{e^{-\nu t A^\alpha}\}_{t \geq 0}$ of linear bounded operators in H^β . The Q -Wiener process in [DZ14], with $Q \in \mathcal{L}_1(U)$ and reproducing kernel $U_0 := Q^{1/2}(U)$, is replaced by the H^β -valued Wiener process W with covariance operator $Q_\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^\beta)$, and reproducing kernel $U := Q_\beta^{1/2}(H^\beta)$. The operator B in the reference is, in our setting, simply $\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{1}_{H^\beta}$, while the deterministic function f , and the initial random variable ξ are both chosen to be 0. Hence, the pathwise uniqueness result for the weak formulation and the validity of the mild formulation (2.16), $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$ in H^β , follow from [DZ14, Theorem 5.4], while the pathwise continuity in H^β is stated in [DZ14, Theorem 5.4]. In order to apply these theorems, the following condition has to be verified: there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$ such that

$$\int_0^1 t^{-2\gamma} \operatorname{Tr} [e^{-2\nu t A^\alpha} Q_\beta] dt < +\infty.$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to notice that

$$\operatorname{Tr} [e^{-2\nu t A^\alpha} Q_\beta] \leq \|e^{-2\nu t A^\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^\beta)} \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\beta] \leq \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\beta] < +\infty,$$

because $Q_\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^\beta)$ and the semigroup is contractive.

As far as the strong formulation in equation (2.17) is concerned, we refer to [DZ14, Section 5.6]. Let $\iota : H^\beta \rightarrow H^{-2\alpha}$ denote the inclusion embedding under the Riesz identification $H = H'$, then ιW is an $H^{-2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process with covariance operator $Q := \iota Q_\beta \iota^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^{-2\alpha})$ and with the same reproducing kernel $Q^{1/2}(H^{-2\alpha}) = Q_\beta^{1/2}(H^\beta) =: U$. The validity of equation (2.17) follows from [DZ14, Theorem 5.38], once the hypotheses are verified. To this end, we observe that $H^\beta \hookrightarrow H$, where, in this new setting, H coincides with the space $\{x \in H^{-2\alpha} : A^\alpha x \in H^{-2\alpha}\}$ endowed with the norm $\|A^\alpha x\|_{H^{-2\alpha}} = \|x\|$. Since U is Hilbert-Schmidt embedded into H^β , we also have the Hilbert-Schmidt embedding $\tilde{\iota} : U \hookrightarrow H$ and the Hilbert-Schmidt property for the operator $A^\alpha \tilde{\iota} Q^{1/2} : H^{-2\alpha} \rightarrow H^{-2\alpha}$.

We show the regularity in equation (2.14). It follows from the Itô formula, see [DZ14, Theorem 4.32] or [Par79, Theorem 1.2], applied to the H^β -valued Itô process Z and to the function $F : H^\beta \ni x \mapsto \|x\|_{H^\beta}^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$. This F is twice Fréchet differentiable, its differential at $x \in H^\beta$ is the vector $F'(x) = 2x \in H^\beta$ and its second derivative at $x \in H^\beta$ is the operator $F''(x) = 2\mathbb{1}_{H^\beta} \in \mathcal{L}(H^\beta)$. In particular, $F \in C^2(H^\beta)$. This allows us to apply the Itô formula to the H^β -valued Itô process Z and obtain, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$

$$\|Z_t\|_{H^\beta}^2 = -2\nu \int_0^t \langle Z_s, A^\alpha Z_s \rangle_{H^\beta} ds + 2\sqrt{\nu} \int_0^t \langle Z_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^\beta} + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\beta]t, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Assume that $T > 0$ and take the supremum for $t \in [0, T]$ to both members of the last equality, then compute the expectation. We reach

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Z_t\|_{H^\beta}^2 = -2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|Z_s\|_{H^{\beta+\alpha}}^2 ds + 2\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle Z_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^\beta} + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\beta]T. \quad (2.18)$$

We estimate the middle term on the right-hand side, thanks to the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, see [DZ14, Section 6.4] or [Hyt+16, Theorem 4.2.25, Proposition 4.2.14], and the Young inequality. For a constant $c > 0$ that depends on W

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle Z_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^\beta} &\leq 2c\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|Z_s\|_{H^\beta}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2c\sqrt{\nu T} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Z_t\|_{H^\beta} \\ &\leq 2c^2\nu T + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Z_t\|_{H^\beta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We insert this estimate back into equation (2.18) and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Z_t\|_{H^\beta}^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|Z_s\|_{H^{\beta+\alpha}}^2 ds \leq (2c^2 + \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\beta])\nu T.$$

The sought regularity in equation (2.14) follows from this last estimate and the pathwise continuity, which we already discussed. \square

3 Main result

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $\sigma > 2$. There exists an invariant measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma)$ for the Euler Equation (EE), see Definition 2.32, that satisfies the following properties. There exists a finite constant $C_\sigma > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^\sigma} \|x\|_{H^\sigma}^2 d\mu(x) &= C_\sigma, \\ \int_{H^\sigma} \|x\|_{H^1}^{2n} d\mu(x) &\leq (2n-1)!! C_\sigma^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, assuming that $0 < \beta < (2C_\sigma)^{-1}$,

$$\int_{H^\sigma} e^{\beta \|x\|_{H^\sigma}^2} d\mu(x) \leq 2e^{\frac{2\beta C_\sigma}{1-2\beta C_\sigma}}.$$

4 The stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equation

This section is devoted to the study of the 2D hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equations, projected onto the space of square-integrable divergence-free vector fields, with an additive white noise, and coupled with an initial condition that can be either deterministic or random. First, we give the definition of solution for the equation and specify its uniqueness property. Next, we construct a solution that satisfies these properties and obtain some further regularities.

Definition 4.1. Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. We say that the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equation

$$dX_t + \nu A^\alpha X_t dt + B(X_t) dt = \sqrt{\nu} dW_t, \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad (\text{HNS}_{\nu,\alpha})$$

has a solution if there exists an augmented filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ with an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process W , and a predictable process $X : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}$ such that

- X has \mathbb{P} -a.s. trajectories in $C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$,
- the following identity holds \mathbb{P} -a.s. in H^α

$$X_t + \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha X_s ds + \int_0^t B(X_s) ds = X_0 + \sqrt{\nu} W_t, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.1)$$

In this case, we say that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X)$ is a solution to the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu,\alpha})$ (with kinematic viscosity ν and hyperviscous power α).

The definition of solution for the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equation is given in a weak probabilistic sense, *i.e.* with a non-fixed filtered probability space or Wiener process, in anticipation of Sections 5.1 and 5.3, where we will need to work with different probability spaces.

Definition 4.2. Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. We say that the solutions to the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu,\alpha})$ are pathwise unique if, given two solutions $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^1 = X_0^2) = 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \{X_t^1 = X_t^2\}\right) = 1.$$

Definition 4.3. Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. We say that the solutions to the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu,\alpha})$ are unique in law if, given two solutions $(\Omega^i, \mathcal{F}^i, \{\mathcal{F}_t^i\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}^i; W^i; X^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $(X_0^1)_* \mathbb{P}^1 = (X_0^2)_* \mathbb{P}^2$ on $(H^{2\alpha}, \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}})$, then for all $T > 0$

$$(X^1)_* \mathbb{P}^1 = (X^2)_* \mathbb{P}^2 \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})).$$

Remark 4.4. Fix $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. In order to study the solvability of the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu,\alpha})$, we formally differentiate in time the process $V := X - Z$, where Z is defined in Theorem 2.33 as the solution of the stochastic equation (2.15). We have, without any claim of rigour:

$$\begin{aligned} dV_t &= dX_t - dZ_t \\ &= -\nu A^\alpha X_t dt - B(X_t) dt + \sqrt{\nu} dW_t - (\nu A^\alpha Z_t dt + \sqrt{\nu} dW_t) \\ &= -\nu A^\alpha V_t dt - B(V_t + Z_t) dt, \\ V_0 &= X_0 - Z_0 = X_0. \end{aligned}$$

In this new equation, the stochastic term is reduced to the term Z inside the non-linearity and does not explicitly appear in the dynamics for V . Therefore, we are led to consider the following deterministic equation, for some $x \in H^{2\alpha}$,

$$\begin{cases} v' + \nu A^\alpha v + B(v + z) = 0, & \text{in } (0, +\infty), \\ v(0) = x, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where z is a deterministic function which plays the role of a trajectory of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, hence, it is supposed to have its regularity. Once the existence and uniqueness for the solution to this deterministic equation will have been proved, a map $\mathcal{V} : z \mapsto v$ will be properly defined, and the process X will be recovered as $X := \mathcal{V}(Z) + Z$.

Before proceeding with the technique described in the above remark, we present a simple lemma which clarifies the definition of solution for the deterministic problem (4.2).

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that $\nu > 0$, $\alpha > 1$, $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and $z \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha})$. A function $v \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$ satisfies the mild formulation in $H^{2\alpha}$ for the problem (4.2), i.e.,*

$$v(t) + \int_0^t e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} B(v(s) + z(s)) ds = e^{-\nu t A^\alpha} x, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (4.3)$$

if and only if it satisfies in H^α the strong formulation, i.e.,

$$v(t) + \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha v(s) ds + \int_0^t B(v(s) + z(s)) ds = x, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. The proof of the lemma is classical, see, for instance, [RR04, Theorems 12.14, 12.16].

Let us suppose that $v \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$ satisfies the mild formulation in $H^{2\alpha}$. First we notice that $v(0) = x$ and we recall that $e^{-\nu \cdot A^\alpha} x \in C^1((0, +\infty); H^\alpha)$ by the analyticity of the semigroup.

As for the integral term, let us momentarily denote $T := \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t > 0, 0 < s < t\}$, \bar{T} its closure, and $f : \bar{T} \ni (s, t) \mapsto e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} B(v(s) + z(s)) \in H^\alpha$. We notice that $f \in C(\bar{T}; H^\alpha)$ by composition of the continuous functions $B(v + z) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha-1})$ by Lemma 2.28, $e^{-\nu r A^\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}(H^\alpha)$ for any $r \geq 0$, and $e^{-\nu \cdot A^\alpha} y \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha)$ for any $y \in H^\alpha$. Moreover, the functions $(s, +\infty) \ni t \mapsto f(s, t) \in H^\alpha$ are well-defined for all $s \geq 0$, and continuously differentiable by the analyticity of the semigroup, hence $\partial_t f \in C(T; H^\alpha)$. These facts allow to apply the Leibniz Integral Rule, see [Fol99, Theorem 2.27], and infer that $v = e^{-\nu \cdot A^\alpha} x - \int_0^\cdot f(s, \cdot) ds \in C^1((0, +\infty); H^\alpha)$ with derivative given at all times $t > 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &= -\nu A^\alpha e^{-\nu t A^\alpha} x + \int_0^t \nu A^\alpha e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} B(v(s) + z(s)) ds - B(v(t) + z(t)) \\ &= -\nu A^\alpha \left(e^{-\nu t A^\alpha} x - \int_0^t e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} B(v(s) + z(s)) ds \right) - B(v(t) + z(t)) \\ &= -\nu A^\alpha v(t) - B(v(t) + z(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus then gives equation (4.4) in H^α .

Conversely, if $v \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$ satisfies the strong formulation in H^α , then we first notice that all the terms in (4.4) make sense in H^α , indeed $A^\alpha v \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha)$ and $B(v + z) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha-1})$ by Lemma 2.28. Also, $v(0) = x$ by direct inspection. Furthermore, $A^\alpha v \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$, thus $v - x = -\nu \int_0^\cdot A^\alpha v(s) ds - \int_0^\cdot B(v(s) + z(s)) ds \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$. By differentiating in time the equation (4.4), in the sense of H -valued functions on $[0, +\infty)$, we obtain in H

$$v'(t) + \nu A^\alpha v(t) + B(v(t) + z(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.5)$$

In order to obtain the equation (4.3) from this last identity, we fix $t \geq 0$ and compute the derivative of $(0, t) \ni s \mapsto e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} v(s) \in H$, thanks to the differentiability of both $(0, +\infty) \ni r \mapsto e^{-\nu r A^\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $v : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow H$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} v(s) &= \nu A^\alpha e^{-\nu A^\alpha(t-s)} v(s) + e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} v'(s) \\ &= e^{-\nu A^\alpha(t-s)} (\nu A^\alpha v(s) + e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} [-\nu A^\alpha v(s) - B(v(s) + z(s))]) \\ &= -e^{-\nu A^\alpha(t-s)} B(v(s) + z(s)). \end{aligned}$$

For the last equalities, we used the fact that $A^\alpha v(s) \in H$ for all $s \in (0, t)$ to exchange the semigroup with the operator A^α , and implemented the equation (4.5). The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus gives the equation (4.3), whose terms, however, make all sense in $H^{2\alpha}$. \square

We now state and prove the main theorem that concerns the existence, uniqueness and dependence on parameters, for the deterministic problem (4.2).

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that $\nu > 0$, $\alpha > 1$, $x \in H^{2\alpha}$, and $z \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha})$. Then, there exists a unique function*

$$v \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha}),$$

that satisfies the problem (4.2) in the mild sense in $H^{2\alpha}$, or strong sense in H^α , see Lemma 4.5. Furthermore, the map

$$\mathcal{V}_{\nu, \alpha} = \mathcal{V} : H^{2\alpha} \times C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \ni (x, z) \mapsto v \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$$

is such that $\mathcal{V}(0, 0) = 0$ and satisfies the following estimate. For every $T > 0$ and every $R > 0$ there exists $C = C(T, R, \nu, \alpha) > 0$ such that if $\|x_i\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \leq R$ and $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|z_i(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \leq R$, $i = 1, 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{V}(x_1, z_1)(t) - \mathcal{V}(x_2, z_2)(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \int_0^T \|\mathcal{V}(x_1, z_1)(t) - \mathcal{V}(x_2, z_2)(t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 dt \\ & \leq C \left(\|x_1 - x_2\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|z_1(t) - z_2(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Step 1. Let us fix $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. We introduce

$$\Gamma : H^{2\alpha} \times L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha}) \cap C_b(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}),$$

such that, for $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha)$,

$$\Gamma(x, f)(t) := e^{-\nu t A^\alpha} x - \int_0^t e^{-\nu(t-s)A^\alpha} f(s) ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

We prove that Γ is a well-defined bilinear operator and that it satisfies, for a constant $C_\nu > 0$ and for all $(x, f) \in H^{2\alpha} \times L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha)$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \|\Gamma(x, f)(t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 dt + \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\Gamma(x, f)(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \leq C_\nu \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + C_\nu \int_0^{+\infty} \|f(t)\|_{H^\alpha}^2 dt. \quad (4.7)$$

This directly implies that, for any $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and $T > 0$, the restriction

$$\Gamma_T^x : L^2(0, T; H^\alpha) \ni f \mapsto \Gamma_T^x f := \left(\Gamma(x, \tilde{f}) \right) \Big|_{[0, T]} \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha})$$

is linear and bounded, where $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha)$ is the trivial extension of $f \in L^2(0, T; H^\alpha)$, i.e. $\tilde{f}(t) = f(t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $\tilde{f}(t) = 0$ for $t > T$.

To this end, let us fix $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha)$. For a.e. $t \geq 0$, we calculate the Fourier transform of $\Gamma(x, f)(t)$, denoting it by $\hat{u}(t, \cdot)$, then for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(t, \xi) &= e^{-\nu t(1+|\xi|^2)^\alpha} \mathcal{F}[x](\xi) - \int_0^t e^{-\nu(t-s)(1+|\xi|^2)^\alpha} \mathcal{F}[f(s)](\xi) ds \\ &=: K_\xi(t) \mathcal{F}[x](\xi) - \left((\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+} K_\xi) * (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{F}[f(\cdot)](\xi)) \right)(t). \end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we defined $K_\xi : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto e^{-\nu t(1+|\xi|^2)^\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ and rewrote the second integral as a convolution. We use a straightforward generalisation of the Young convolution inequality, see [Bog07, Theorem 3.9.4]: for measurable functions $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\|g * h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \|h\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad \forall p, q, r \in [1, +\infty] \text{ s.t. } 1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}.$$

We employ this estimate with the choice of parameters $p = r = 2$ and $q = 1$, or $p = \infty$ and $q = r = 2$. We obtain for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}(\cdot, \xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 &\leq 2 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\nu t(1+|\xi|^2)^\alpha} |\mathcal{F}[x](\xi)|^2 dt + 2 \|\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+} K_\xi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \|\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{F}[f(\cdot)](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\alpha} |\mathcal{F}[x](\xi)|^2 + 2 \|K_\xi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 \|\mathcal{F}[f(\cdot)](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\|\hat{u}(\cdot, \xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq 2|\mathcal{F}[x](\xi)|^2 + 2\|K_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 \|\mathcal{F}[f(\cdot)](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_\xi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 &= \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\nu r(1+|\xi|^2)^\alpha} dr \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\nu^2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{-2\alpha}, \\ \|K_\xi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-2\nu r(1+|\xi|^2)^\alpha} dr = \frac{1}{2\nu} (1+|\xi|^2)^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini Theorem and the last calculations, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Gamma(x, f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})}^2 + \|\Gamma(x, f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha})}^2 \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{3\alpha} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi dt + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \geq 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{2\alpha} |\hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{3\alpha} \|\hat{u}(\cdot, \xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{2\alpha} \|\hat{u}(\cdot, \xi)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \left(2 + \frac{1}{\nu}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{2\alpha} |\mathcal{F}[x](\xi)|^2 d\xi + \left(\frac{1}{\nu} + \frac{2}{\nu^2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+|\xi|^2)^\alpha \|\mathcal{F}[f(\cdot)](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 d\xi \\ &= \left(2 + \frac{1}{\nu}\right) \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\nu}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is the sought estimate (4.7), once proved that $\Gamma(x, f)$ is continuous in time.

As for the continuity of $\Gamma(x, f)$, first observe that $\hat{u}(\cdot, \xi)$ is continuous in time for *a.e.* $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then take a sequence of times $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ convergent to a fixed $t \geq 0$. The above estimate allows to apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem and conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Gamma(x, f)(t_n) - \Gamma(x, f)(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{2\alpha} |\hat{u}(t_n, \xi) - \hat{u}(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi = 0.$$

Step 2. For fixed $T > 0$, we introduce the Banach space $(\mathcal{Y}_T, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_T &:= L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha}) \cap C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}), \\ \|v\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T}^2 &:= \|v\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha})}^2 + \|v\|_{C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})}^2, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{Y}_T. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $z \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha})$. We prove that the function

$$\Lambda_T : \mathcal{Y}_T \ni v \mapsto \Lambda_T(v) := \Gamma_T^x(B(v+z)) \in \mathcal{Y}_T$$

is a well-defined contraction on bounded sets of \mathcal{Y}_T .

First of all, we recall that for sufficiently regular $x_1, x_2, y \in H$

$$B(x_1 + y) - B(x_2 + y) = B(x_1 - x_2, x_1 + y) + B(x_2 + y, x_1 - x_2).$$

Therefore, thanks to the estimate (2.9) in Lemma 2.28 (with $\sigma = \alpha + 1 > 2$), and to the Sobolev embeddings, we have for $x_1, x_2, y \in H^{2\alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|B(x_1 + y) - B(x_2 + y)\|_{H^\alpha} &\leq \|B(x_1 - x_2, x_1 + y)\|_{H^\alpha} + \|B(x_2 + y, x_1 - x_2)\|_{H^\alpha} \\ &\leq c\|x_1 - x_2\|_{H^\alpha} \|x_1 + y\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} + c\|x_2 + y\|_{H^\alpha} \|x_1 - x_2\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \\ &\leq c\|x_1 - x_2\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \left[\|x_1\|_{H^{2\alpha}} + \|x_2\|_{H^{2\alpha}} + 2\|y\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we use this estimate together with the estimate (4.7) from the previous step, we obtain for all $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_T$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda_T(v_1) - \Lambda_T(v_2)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T}^2 &\leq C_\nu \|B(v_1+z) - B(v_2+z)\|_{L^2(0, T; H^\alpha)}^2 \\ &\leq 2c^2 C_\nu \int_0^T \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \left[\|v_1(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}} + \|v_2(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}} + 2\|z(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \right]^2 dt \\ &\leq 2c^2 C_\nu T \left[\|v_1\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T} + \|v_2\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T} + 2\|z\|_{C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})} \right]^2 \|v_1 - v_2\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have for all $v \in \mathcal{Y}_T$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda_T(v)\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T}^2 &\leq C_\nu \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + C_\nu \|B(v+z)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^\alpha)}^2 \\ &\leq C_\nu \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + 2c^2 C_\nu T \left[\|v\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T} + \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})} \right]^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we can choose $R > 0$ and $T > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 2c^2 C_\nu T \left[2R + 2 \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|z(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \right]^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \\ C_\nu \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + 2c^2 C_\nu T \left[R + \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})} \right]^4 \leq R^2 \end{cases},$$

which in turn means that Λ_T is an ε -contraction on the closed ball $\{v \in \mathcal{Y}_T : \|v\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T} \leq R\}$. Therefore, for a sufficiently small $T > 0$, there exists a unique fixed point $v \in \mathcal{Y}_T$ for Λ_T , which is the unique mild solution to equation (4.2) on the interval $[0, T]$.

Step 3. We prove a local uniqueness result for the solution.

Let us take $x_1, x_2 \in H^{2\alpha}$ and $z_1, z_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha})$. In the previous step we proved the existence of times $T_i > 0$, for $i = 1, 2$, and mild solutions $v_i \in C([0, T_i]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T_i; H^{3\alpha})$, to the equation (4.2) with parameters x_i, z_i , respectively. We restrict the functions to the time interval $[0, T]$, where $T := T_1 \wedge T_2$.

On the interval $[0, T]$, we denote $w = v_1 - v_2$, $\zeta = z_1 - z_2$ and $u_i = v_i + z_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $w \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha})$, $u_i \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})$, for $i = 1, 2$, and w satisfies in $H^{2\alpha}$ the equation

$$w(t) + \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha w(s) ds + \int_0^t B(u_1(s)) - B(u_2(s)) ds = x_1 - x_2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

If we compute the scalar distributional derivative in time, we obtain

$$w' + \nu A^\alpha w + B(u_1(s)) - B(u_2(s)) = 0,$$

thus we also have $w' \in L^2(0, T; H^\alpha)$. We now take the duality product of this last equation with $A^{2\alpha} w(s) \in H^{-\alpha}$, for *a.e.* $s \in [0, T]$, and integrate over the time interval $[0, t] \subseteq [0, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w(s), w'(s) \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds + \nu \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w(s), A^\alpha w(s) \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds \\ &= \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w(s), B(u_2(s)) - B(u_1(s)) \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

We recall from [Tem01, Chapter 3, Lemma 1.2] that the first integral equals $(\|w(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 - \|w(0)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2)/2$, while the integrand of the second term is simply $\|w(s)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2$ for almost any $s \in [0, t]$. Moreover, almost everywhere in time,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w, B(u_2) - B(u_1) \rangle_{H^\alpha} &= {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w, B(u_2, u_2 - u_1) + B(u_2 - u_1, u_1) \rangle_{H^\alpha} \\ &= - {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w, B(u_1, w + \zeta) \rangle_{H^\alpha} - {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w, B(w + \zeta, u_2) \rangle_{H^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

These last two terms can be controlled with the estimate (2.9) in Lemma 2.28 (with $\sigma = \alpha + 1 > 2$), the Sobolev embeddings and the Young inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w, B(u_1, w + \zeta) \rangle_{H^\alpha} \right| &\leq c \|u_1\|_{H^\alpha} \|w + \zeta\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \|A^{2\alpha} w\|_{H^{-\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|w\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} \|u_1\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|w + \zeta\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously

$$\left| {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} w, B(w + \zeta, u_2) \rangle_{H^\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|w\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} \|u_2\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|w + \zeta\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2.$$

Therefore, rewriting equation (4.8) as discussed and implementing these estimates, we obtain for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \|w(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \|w(s)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds \\ &\leq \|x_1 - x_2\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} T^2 \left[\|u_1\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2 + \|u_2\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2 \right] \|\zeta\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{\nu} T \left[\|u_1\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2 + \|u_2\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2 \right] \int_0^t \|w(s)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Grönwall's lemma yields for some finite constant $C = C(T, \nu, u_1, u_2) > 0$

$$\|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \leq C(\|x_1 - x_2\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \|z_1 - z_2\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.10)$$

If we insert this estimate back into equation (4.9), we also obtain

$$\int_0^T \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 dt \leq C(\|x_1 - x_2\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \|z_1 - z_2\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2). \quad (4.11)$$

The sought local uniqueness result can be directly inferred from the estimates (4.10) and (4.11). Specifically, if $x_1 = x_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$, then $v_1 = v_2$ in Y_T .

Step 4. We prove *a priori* estimates.

Assume that $T > 0$ and that $v \in \mathcal{Y}_T$ satisfies equation (4.3) on $[0, T]$, then we can differentiate in the distributional sense and obtain the differential equation in (4.2). We observe that $A^\alpha v$ and $B(v + z)$ belong to $L^2(0, T; H^\alpha)$, thus also $v' \in L^2(0, T; H^\alpha)$. In particular, the differential equation in (4.2) is to be interpreted in H^α almost everywhere in time.

For almost every time $t \in [0, T]$, we take the product in H between the differential equation in (4.2) and $Av(t) \in H^{3\alpha-2}$. We suppress for simplicity the dependence on t . Since $b(x, x, Ax) = 0$ for all $x \in H^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \nu \|v\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 &\leq |b(v + z, v + z, Av)| \\ &= |b(v, v, Av) + b(z, v, Av) + b(v + z, z, Av)| \\ &= |b(z, v, Av) + b(v + z, z, Av)| \\ &\leq c \|z\|_{H^1} (2\|v\|_{H^1} + \|z\|_{H^1}) \|Av\|_{H^{\alpha-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{2} \|v\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 + \frac{4c^2}{\nu} \|z\|_{H^1}^2 \|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{c^2}{\nu} \|z\|_{H^1}^4. \end{aligned}$$

We used the estimate (2.12) in Lemma 2.28 (with $\varepsilon = \alpha - 1 > 0$) and the Young inequality. We multiply by 2 the previous estimate and rename the constant $c > 0$. By integrating over the time interval $[0, t] \subseteq [0, T]$, we have for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds \leq \|x\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^1)}^2 \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{H^1}^2 ds + \frac{c}{\nu} T \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^1)}^4. \quad (4.12)$$

By Grönwall's lemma we obtain for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \left[\|x\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} T \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^1)}^4 \right] \exp \left[\frac{c}{\nu} T \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^1)}^2 \right] =: f(T), \quad (4.13)$$

where $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function depending only on x, z, ν . By inserting this estimate in the right-hand side of equation (4.12) and dividing by ν

$$\int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \|x\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu^2} T \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^1)}^2 [f(T) + \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^1)}^2]. \quad (4.14)$$

For almost every time $t \in [0, T]$, we take the duality product between the differential equation in (4.2) and $A^{2\alpha}v(t) \in H^{-\alpha}$. We suppress for simplicity the dependence on t .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \nu \|v\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 &\leq \left| {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha}v, B(v + z) \rangle_{H^\alpha} \right| \\ &= \left| {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha}v, B(v) + B(z, v) + B(v, z) + B(z) \rangle_{H^\alpha} \right| \\ &\leq c (\|v\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 + 2\|z\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \|v\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} + \|z\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2) \|A^{2\alpha}v\|_{H^{-\alpha}} \\ &\leq 2c (\|v\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 + \|z\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2) \|v\|_{H^{3\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{\nu}{2} \|v\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 + \frac{4c^2}{\nu} \|z\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^4 + \frac{4c^2}{\nu} \|v\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|v\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We used the estimate (2.9) in Lemma 2.28 (with $\sigma = \alpha + 1 > 2$), the Sobolev embeddings and the Young inequality. We multiply by 2 and rename the constant $c > 0$. By integrating over the time interval $[0, t] \subseteq [0, T]$, we have for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds \leq \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} T \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^4 + \frac{c}{\nu} \int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|v(s)\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds. \quad (4.15)$$

By Grönwall's lemma we obtain

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \leq \left[\|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu} T \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^4 \right] \exp \left[\frac{c}{\nu} \int_0^T \|v(s)\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds \right] =: g(T), \quad (4.16)$$

where $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous well-defined function, thanks to estimate (4.14), and it depends only on x, z, ν . If we insert this estimate in the right-hand side of equation (4.15) and later divide by ν , we have

$$\int_0^t \|v(s)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{c}{\nu^2} T \|z\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2 \left[\|z\|_{C([0,T];H^{2\alpha})}^2 + g^2(T) \right] =: h(T), \quad (4.17)$$

where also $h : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function depending on x, z, ν .

Step 5. We prove that the solution is global. Let us define

$$T_* := \sup \{ T > 0 \mid \exists v \in \mathcal{Y}_T : v = \Lambda_T(v) \}. \quad (4.18)$$

By Step 1, T_* is well-defined and $T_* > 0$. Moreover, by the local uniqueness result proved in Step 3, we can concatenate functions $v = \Lambda_T(v) \in \mathcal{Y}_T$, for $T \in (0, T_*)$ to get a unique function $v_* \in C([0, T_*]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L_{loc}^2([0, T_*]; H^{3\alpha})$ such that $v_*(t) = \Lambda_T(v_*|_{[0,T]})(t)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T < T_*$.

We will prove by contradiction that $T_* = +\infty$.

If $T_* < +\infty$, then take a sequence of times $T_n \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, convergent to T_* from below. Applying the *a priori* estimates (4.16), (4.17) from the previous step to v_* on $[0, T_n]$, yield

$$\int_0^{T_*} \|v_*(t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 dt + \sup_{t \in [0, T_*]} \|v_*(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| v_*|_{[0, T_n]} \right\|_{Y_{T_n}}^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [g(T_n) + h(T_n)] = g(T_*) + h(T_*).$$

This proves that $v_* \in L^\infty(0, T_*; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T_*; H^{3\alpha})$. Additionally, by Step 1, $\Gamma_{T_*}^x(B(v_* + z)) \in Y_{T_*}$, hence we can define $v_*(T_*) := \Gamma_{T_*}^x(B(v_* + z))(T_*)$ and obtain $v_* = \Lambda_{T_*}(v_*) \in Y_{T_*}$. In particular, T_* , as defined in equation (4.18), is a maximum.

Nevertheless, if T_* is a maximum, we can study the Cauchy problem (4.2) with starting point $v_*(T_*)$. The first step then gives $T' > 0$ and a solution $\bar{v} \in Y_{T'}$. If we let

$$v(t) := \begin{cases} v_*(t) & \text{if } t \in [0, T_*] \\ \bar{v}(t - T_*) & \text{if } t \in [T_*, T_* + T'] \end{cases},$$

then v is well-defined, and one easily verifies that $v = \Lambda_{T_*+T'}(v)$. This fact contradicts the definition of T_* in equation (4.18). We conclude by contradiction that $T_* = +\infty$, namely the unique local solution v_* is global.

Step 6. In the previous step we proved the existence of a unique solution $v \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$, thus ensuring the well-posedness of the function \mathcal{V} as in the statement of the theorem. It only remains to prove the additional estimate (4.6).

Assume that $T > 0$ and $(x_i, z_i) \in H^{2\alpha} \times C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})$, $i = 1, 2$, are such that $\|x_i\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \leq R$ and $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|z_i(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \leq R$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let us denote $v_i := \mathcal{V}(x_i, z_i)$, then estimates (4.16), (4.14) and (4.13) imply the existence of a constant $K > 0$ depending on $R, T, \nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$ such that

$$\|v_i + z_i\|_{C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})} \leq \|v_i\|_{C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})} + \|z_i\|_{C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})} \leq K.$$

We use this estimate in inequality (4.9): for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds \\ & \leq \|x_1 - x_2\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{2c}{\nu} T^2 K^2 \|z_1 - z_2\|_{C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})}^2 + \frac{2c}{\nu} T K^2 \int_0^t \|v_1(s) - v_2(s)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Grönwall's Lemma gives an estimate for $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2$. We insert this estimate back in (4.19) and find the sought inequality (4.6) for a new constant $C > 0$ depending on $R, T, \nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. \square

Before adapting the deterministic results of the last theorem to the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equation, as discussed in Remark 4.4, we present a version of Itô's Lemma tailored to the equation $(HNS_{\nu,\alpha})$.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that $\beta \geq 0$ and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be an augmented filtered probability space with an adapted H^β -valued Wiener process W . Let $Q_\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^\beta)$ denote the covariance operator of W_1 . Assume that $\gamma \in [0, \beta]$, $\nu > 0$, $\alpha > 1$ and further assume that there exists an H^γ -valued process X satisfying \mathbb{P} -a.s. in H^γ*

$$X_t + \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha X_s \, ds + \int_0^t B(X_s) \, ds = X_0 + \sqrt{\nu} W_t, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Let $\iota : H^\beta \rightarrow H^\gamma$ be the natural embedding and let $Q_\gamma := \iota Q_\beta \iota^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^\gamma)$. Assume that $h \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then \mathbb{P} -a.s., for all $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} h(\|X_t\|_{H^\gamma}^2) &= h(\|X_0\|_{H^\gamma}^2) - 2\nu \int_0^t \|X_s\|_{H^{\gamma+\alpha}}^2 h'(\|X_s\|_{H^\gamma}^2) \, ds \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \langle B(X_s), X_s \rangle_{H^\gamma} h'(\|X_s\|_{H^\gamma}^2) \, ds \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{\nu} \int_0^t h'(\|X_s\|_{H^\gamma}^2) \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^\gamma} \\ &\quad + 2\nu \int_0^t \|Q_\gamma^{1/2} X_s\|_{H^\gamma}^2 h''(\|X_s\|_{H^\gamma}^2) \, ds \\ &\quad + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\gamma] \int_0^t h'(\|X_s\|_{H^\gamma}^2) \, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Proof. The thesis follows from the Itô formula, see [DZ14, Theorem 4.32] or [Par79, Theorem 1.2], applied to the Itô process X and to the function

$$F : H^\gamma \ni x \mapsto F(x) := h(\|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2) \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

F is Fréchet differentiable and its differential at $x \in H^\gamma$ is the vector $F'(x) \in H^\gamma$ such that

$$F'(x) = 2h'(\|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2)x.$$

The function $F' : H^\gamma \ni x \mapsto F'(x) \in H^\gamma$ is again Fréchet differentiable and its derivative at $x \in H^\gamma$ is the operator $F''(x) \in \mathcal{L}(H^\gamma)$ such that for all $y \in H^\gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} F''(x)y &= 4h''(\|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2)\langle x, y \rangle_{H^\gamma} + 2h'(\|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2)y \\ &= \left[4h''(\|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2)\|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2 \Pi_x + 2h'(\|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2)\mathbb{I}_{H^\gamma} \right] y, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{I}_{H^γ} is the identity operator in H^γ and Π_x denotes the projection onto the subspace generated by x , i.e.

$$\Pi_x : H^\gamma \rightarrow H^\gamma, \quad \Pi_x y := \begin{cases} \frac{\langle x, y \rangle_{H^\gamma}}{\|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2} x, & \text{if } x \in H^\gamma \setminus \{0\} \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Hence, the function $F'' : H^\gamma \ni x \mapsto F''(x) \in \mathcal{L}(H^\gamma)$ is continuous, in particular, $F \in C^2(H^\gamma)$. This allows us to apply the Itô formula and obtain, \mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $t \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_t) &= F(X_0) - \int_0^t \langle F'(X_s), \nu A^\alpha X_s + B(X_s) \rangle_{H^\gamma} \, ds \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\nu} \int_0^t \langle F'(X_s), dW_s \rangle_{H^\gamma} \\ &\quad + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \operatorname{Tr}[F''(X_s)Q_\gamma] \, ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_\gamma := \iota Q_\beta \iota^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^\gamma)$ and $\iota : H^\beta \rightarrow H^\gamma$ is the Sobolev embedding. The thesis follows after expanding F, F' and F'' and observing that $\|X_s\|_{H^\gamma}^2 \operatorname{Tr}[\Pi_{X_s} Q_\gamma] = \|Q_\gamma^{1/2} X_s\|_{H^\gamma}^2$. Indeed, if $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a

complete orthonormal system for the separable Hilbert space H^γ , then by the self-adjointness of Π_x and Q_γ and by the usual properties of Hilbert spaces, we have for all $x \in H^\gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2 \operatorname{Tr}[\Pi_x Q_\gamma] &= \|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \Pi_x Q_\gamma e_n, e_n \rangle_{H^\gamma} \\ &= \|x\|_{H^\gamma}^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle Q_\gamma e_n, \Pi_x e_n \rangle_{H^\gamma} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, e_n \rangle_{H^\gamma} \langle e_n, Q_\gamma x \rangle_{H^\gamma} \\ &= \langle x, Q_\gamma x \rangle_{H^\gamma} \\ &= \|Q_\gamma^{1/2} x\|_{H^\gamma}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.8. *Assume that $\alpha > 1$.*

(i) *For any $\nu > 0$, the solutions to the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu, \alpha})$ are both pathwise unique and unique in law, see Definitions 4.2 and 4.3.*

(ii) *Assume that $\nu > 0$ and that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ is an augmented filtered probability space with an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process W . Then we have the following properties.*

(ii.a) *If $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}$ is an \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable, and if X^ξ is defined \mathbb{P} -a.s. by*

$$X_t^\xi := \mathcal{V}(\xi, Z)(t) + Z_t, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where Z, \mathcal{V} were introduced in Theorems 2.33 and 4.6, then $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^\xi)$ is a solution to the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu, \alpha})$, see Definition 4.1, such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^\xi = \xi) = 1$.

(ii.b) *For any $x \in H^{2\alpha}$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^x)$ be the pathwise unique solution to the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu, \alpha})$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^x = x) = 1$. Then, for any $T > 0$, the function*

$$H^{2\alpha} \ni x \mapsto X^x \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha}),$$

is \mathbb{P} -a.s. continuous.

(iii) *If $\nu > 0$ and if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X)$ is a solution to the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu, \alpha})$ such that*

$$X_0 \in \left(\bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\Omega; H^1) \right) \cap L^4(\Omega; H^\alpha) \cap L^2(\Omega; H^{2\alpha}),$$

then for all $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} X \in & \left(\bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^1)) \right) \cap L^4(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^\alpha)) \\ & \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})) \cap L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H^{3\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

(iv) *For any $p \geq 2$, there exists a finite constant $C_p > 0$, such that, if $\nu > 0$, and if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X)$ is a solution to the equation $(\text{HNS}_{\nu, \alpha})$, then the following estimates hold true for any $T > 0$:*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^1}^p + \nu \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p-2} dt \right] \leq C_p (\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^p + (T\nu)^{p/2}), \quad (4.22)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_T\|_{H^1}^p \leq e^{-(p-1)\nu T} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^p + C_p. \quad (4.23)$$

Moreover, there exists a finite constant $C > 0$ such that, if $\nu > 0$, and if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X)$ is a solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, then the following estimates hold true for any $T > 0$:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \nu \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 dt \right] \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu^2} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{T}{\nu} + T\nu \right), \quad (4.24)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \nu \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 dt \right] \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{T}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 \right. \\ \left. + T^2 \nu (T^3 + \nu) \right) \quad (4.25)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \nu \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 dt \right] \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu^2} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{T}{\nu^5} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{T^5}{\nu} + T^2 + T\nu \right) \quad (4.26)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_T\|_{H^\alpha}^4 \leq e^{-\nu T} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + C \left(\frac{1}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + T^4 + 1 \right), \quad (4.27)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_T\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \leq e^{-\nu T} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + C \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{T}{\nu^5} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + \frac{T^5}{\nu} + T^2 + 1 \right). \quad (4.28)$$

Proof of (i). Let $\nu > 0$ and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^i)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two solutions to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ such that the event $\Omega_2 := \{X_0^1 = X_0^2\}$ has probability $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_2) = 1$. Let us also choose $\Omega_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1) = 1$ and for every $\omega \in \Omega_1$ the corresponding trajectory $Z(\omega)$ of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process Z from Theorem 2.33 (with $\beta := 2\alpha$) has the regularity $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha})$ and satisfies the equality (2.17) in $H^{2\alpha}$. If $\omega \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$, we denote $x := X_0^1(\omega) = X_0^2(\omega)$ and $v_i := X^i(\omega) - Z(\omega)$, for $i = 1, 2$, then, recalling Definition 4.1, we have the following identity in H^α

$$v_i(t) + \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha v_i(s) ds + \int_0^t B(v_i(s) + Z_s(\omega)) ds = x, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The uniqueness result stated in Theorem 4.6 implies that $v_1 = v_2 = \mathcal{V}(x, Z(\omega))$ in $C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha})$. In particular,

$$1 = \mathbb{P}(\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2) \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \{v_1(t) = v_2(t)\} \right) = \mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \{X_t^1 = X_t^2\} \right).$$

The uniqueness in law property descends from [BM13, Corollary 7.7], see also [Ond04, Theorem 2]. \square

Proof of (ii.a). Let us fix $\nu > 0$ and an augmented filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ with an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process and an \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable, $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued random variable ξ . Let $\Omega_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ be as above, *i.e.*, such that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1) = 1$ and $Z(\omega) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_1$.

We define the process X^ξ as follows

$$X^\xi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto X_t(\omega) := \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_1}(\omega) [\mathcal{V}(\xi(\omega), Z(\omega))(t) + Z_t(\omega)] \in H^{2\alpha},$$

where \mathcal{V} and Z were defined in Theorems 4.6 and 2.33, respectively. By direct inspection, $\mathbb{P}(X_0^\xi = \xi) \geq \mathbb{P}(\Omega_1) = 1$. We now show that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^\xi)$ is a solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, according to Definition 4.1.

First of all, the \mathcal{F}_0 -measurability of ξ , the predictability of Z , see Theorem 2.33, the continuity of the function \mathcal{V} introduced in Theorem 4.6 and the continuity of the evaluation map $\iota_t : C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \ni u \rightarrow u(t) \in H^{2\alpha}$, for $t \geq 0$, imply the predictability for X^ξ with values in $H^{2\alpha}$.

If $\omega \in \Omega_1$, then $Z_t(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{V}(\xi(\omega), Z(\omega))$ belong to $C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$ by definition of Ω_1 and by Theorem 4.6, respectively. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(X^\xi \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})) \geq \mathbb{P}(\Omega_1) = 1.$$

Finally, if $\omega \in \Omega_1$, we denote $z := Z(\omega)$ and $v := \mathcal{V}(\xi(\omega), Z(\omega))$. Then $X^\xi(\omega)$ satisfies the following

equation in H^α for all $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^\xi(\omega) &= v(t) + z(t) \\ &= -\nu \int_0^t A^\alpha v(s) ds - \int_0^t B(v(s) + z(s)) ds + \xi(\omega) - \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha z(s) ds + \sqrt{\nu} W_t(\omega) \\ &= -\nu \int_0^t A^\alpha X_s^\xi(\omega) ds - \int_0^t B(X_s^\xi(\omega)) ds + \xi(\omega) + \sqrt{\nu} W_t(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, equation (4.1) for X^ξ is satisfied $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$ in H^α . \square

Proof of (ii.b). Recall from (ii.a) that, given $\nu > 0$ and an augmented filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ with an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process W and given $x \in H^{2\alpha}$, the solution $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^x)$ is given by

$$X^x : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto X_t^x(\omega) := \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_1}(\omega) [\mathcal{V}(x, Z(\omega))(t) + Z_t(\omega)] \in H^{2\alpha},$$

where $\Omega_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ is such that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1) = 1$ and $Z(\omega) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{3\alpha})$ for any $\omega \in \Omega_1$. Then, the continuity of the map \mathcal{V} in Theorem 4.6 gives the additional continuity of the map

$$H^{2\alpha} \ni x \mapsto X^x(\omega) \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha}),$$

for any $T > 0$ and any $\omega \in \Omega_1$.

The solution $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^x)$ is pathwise unique by (i). Therefore, X^x is indistinguishable from any other process X such that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X)$ is a solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ with $\mathbb{P}(X_0 = x) = 1$. \square

Proof of (iii). The regularities stated in equation (4.21) trivially derive from the estimates (4.22), (4.25), (4.26), and from the path regularities for solutions to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, see Definition 4.1. \square

Proof of (iv). Let us fix $\nu > 0$ and $T > 0$. Assume that $p \geq 2$. We apply seven times the Itô formula in Lemma 4.7 to the process X , twice to the function $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni r \mapsto r^{p/2} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and with $\gamma = 1$, once to the function $h = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+} : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni r \mapsto r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and with $\gamma = \alpha$, twice to the function $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni r \mapsto r^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and with $\gamma = \alpha$, and twice to $h = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+} : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni r \mapsto r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\gamma = 2\alpha$.

Estimate (4.22). For $\gamma = 1$, the non-linearity in equation (4.20) vanishes because of the well-known property $\langle B(x), x \rangle_{H^1} = \langle B(x), Ax \rangle = 0$, for all $x \in H^2$. Moreover, by simple properties of bounded operators and traces

$$\|Q_1^{1/2} x\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|Q_1^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1)}^2 \|x\|_{H^1}^2 = \|Q_1\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^1)} \|x\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \text{Tr}[Q_1] \|x\|_{H^1}^2, \quad \forall x \in H^1. \quad (4.29)$$

In addition, for $h(r) = r^{p/2}$, $r \geq 0$, we have $h'(r) = pr^{(p-2)/2}/2$ and $h''(r) = p(p-2)r^{(p-4)/2}/4$. We compute the supremum in time over the interval $[0, T]$ on both sides of equation (4.20), then take the expectation and finally use the estimate (4.29). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^1}^p + p\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^1}^{p-2} ds \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^p + p\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \|X_s\|_{H^1}^{p-2} \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^1} + \frac{p(p-1)\nu}{2} \text{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^{p-2} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

We control the second term on the right-hand side, thanks to the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, see [DZ14, Section 6.4] or [Hyt+16, Theorem 4.2.25, Proposition 4.2.14], and by the Schwarz inequality. For a constant $c > 0$ that depends on W

$$\begin{aligned} p\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \|X_s\|_{H^1}^{p-2} \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^1} & \leq cp\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^{2p-2} ds \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq cp\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p/2} \left(\int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p-2} dt \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^1}^p + \frac{c^2 p^2 \nu}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p-2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By plugging this last estimate into equation (4.30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^1}^p + p\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p-2} dt \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^p + \frac{p\nu}{2} (c^2 p + (p-1) \text{Tr}[Q_1]) \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p-2} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

If $p > 2$, the last term in the right-hand side of equation (4.31) can be controlled by the Young inequality with conjugate exponents $p/2$ and $p/(p-2)$. For a finite constant $C > 0$, depending on p, W , that may vary from line to line

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p\nu}{2} (c^2 p + (p-1) \text{Tr}[Q_1]) \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p-2} dt & \leq CT\nu \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p-2} \\ & \leq C(T\nu)^{p/2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^1}^p. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality remains trivially true for $p = 2$. We then insert this last estimate into equation (4.31), and rearrange the terms. We find a new constant $C_p > 0$ depending on p and W such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^1}^p + \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_t\|_{H^1}^{p-2} dt \leq C_p (\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^p + (T\nu)^{p/2}),$$

which gives the sought estimate (4.22).

Estimate (4.24). The last but one term in equation (4.20), with $\gamma = \alpha$ and $h = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, is equal to 0, and the last term is deterministic. We compute the supremum in time over the interval $[0, T]$ on both sides of equation (4.20), then take the expectation. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 ds & \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^2 - 2 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle B(X_s), X_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds \\ & \quad + 2\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} \\ & \quad + \nu \text{Tr}[Q_\alpha] T. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

The non-linear term no longer vanishes and has to be controlled by estimate (2.10) in Lemma 2.28 (with $\varepsilon = \alpha - 1 > 0$), the Young inequality, and by the estimate (4.22) proved above (with $p = 2$):

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 2 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle B(X_s), X_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds \right| & \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\langle B(X_s), A^\alpha X_s \rangle| ds \\ & \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha} \|A^\alpha X_s\| ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds + \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 ds \\ & \leq \frac{C_2}{\nu^2} (\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^2 + T\nu) + \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

We control the third term on the right-hand side of the inequality (4.32), thanks to the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, see [DZ14, Section 6.4] or [Hyt+16, Theorem 4.2.25, Proposition 4.2.14], and the Schwarz inequality. For a constant $c > 0$ that depends on W

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} & \leq 2c\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ & \leq 2c\sqrt{\nu T} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha} \\ & \leq 2c^2\nu T + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

We plug the estimates (4.33) and (4.34) into equation (4.32), and rearrange the terms:

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 dt \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \frac{C}{\nu^2} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{T}{\nu} + 2c^2 \nu T,$$

which is the sought estimate (4.24), after renaming the constants.

Estimate (4.25). By simple properties of bounded operators and traces

$$\|Q_\alpha^{1/2} x\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \leq \|Q_\alpha^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^\alpha)}^2 \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^2 = \|Q_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^\alpha)} \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \leq \text{Tr}[Q_\alpha] \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^2, \quad \forall x \in H^\alpha.$$

In addition, if $h(r) = r^2$, $r \geq 0$, we have $h'(r) = 2r$ and $h''(r) = 2$. We employ these considerations in equation (4.20) with $\gamma = \alpha$. We compute the supremum in time over the interval $[0, T]$ on both sides of equation (4.20), then take the expectation and finally use the estimate (4.29). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + 4\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 - 4 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle B(X_s), X_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \\ & \quad + 4\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} \\ & \quad + 6\nu \text{Tr}[Q_\alpha] \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

The non-linear term no longer vanishes and has to be controlled by estimate (2.10) in Lemma 2.28 for an appropriate $\varepsilon > 0$ to be determined, and the Young inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 4 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle B(X_s), X_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \right| \\ & \leq 4 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\langle B(X_s), A^\alpha X_s \rangle| \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \\ & \leq 4 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1} \|X_s\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}} \|A^\alpha X_s\| \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \\ & = 4 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1} \|X_s\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha} \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha} ds \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

We now employ the interpolation inequality in Lemma 2.22 with the choices $r = 1 + \varepsilon$, $q = \alpha$, $\lambda = 1/2$, and the Sobolev embeddings

$$\|X_s\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}}^2 \leq \|X_s\|_{H^{2(1+\varepsilon)-\alpha}} \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \leq \|X_s\|_{H^1} \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}, \tag{4.37}$$

where the last inequality holds as soon as

$$2(1 + \varepsilon) - \alpha \leq 1 \quad \iff \quad \varepsilon \leq \frac{\alpha - 1}{2}.$$

We insert the estimate (4.37) into the first integral in the last side of the chain of inequalities (4.36), and conclude by the Young and the Hölder inequality. We get, for a finite constant $C > 0$, independent of ν

or T

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{\nu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \\
& \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^3 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \frac{2}{\nu} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^3 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} ds \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{C}{\nu^2} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^3 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} ds \right)^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{C}{\nu^2} T \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^1}^6 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{C}{\nu^3} T C_8 (\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + T^4 \nu^4),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the estimate (4.22) proved above, with $p = 8$. If we insert this last estimate into the last line of equation (4.36), and rename the constant $C > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| 4 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle B(X_s), X_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + C \left(\frac{T}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + T^5 \nu \right) + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

We control the third term on the right-hand side of the inequality (4.35), thanks to the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, see [DZ14, Section 6.4] or [Hyt+16, Theorem 4.2.25, Proposition 4.2.14], and the Schwarz inequality. For a constant $c > 0$ that depends on W

$$\begin{aligned}
4\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} & \leq 4c\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^6 ds \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
& \leq 4c\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \left(\int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + 16c^2 \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

By plugging the estimates (4.38) and (4.39) into equation (4.35), and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3}{4} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 dt \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + C \left(\frac{T}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + T^5 \nu \right) + 2\nu (3 \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\alpha] + 8c^2) \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 dt \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + C \left(\frac{T}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + T^5 \nu \right) + 2\nu (3 \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\alpha] + 8c^2) T \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2, \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + C \left(\frac{T}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + T^5 \nu \right) + CT^2 \nu^2 + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we applied the Hölder inequality followed by the Young inequality.

After rearranging the terms and renaming the constants, we reach the sought estimate (4.25).

Estimate (4.26). We apply again the Itô formula in Lemma 4.7, with $h = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and $\gamma = 2\alpha$. The last but one term in equation (4.20) is equal to 0 and the last term is deterministic. We take the supremum in time and the expectation to both sides.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds & = \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 - 2 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} X_s, B(X_s) \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds \\
& \quad + 2\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^{2\alpha}} \\
& \quad + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_{2\alpha}] T.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

The non-linear term can be controlled by estimate (2.9) (with $\sigma = \alpha + 1 > 2$), by the Young inequality, the Sobolev embeddings, and by the estimate (4.25):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| 2 \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t H^{-\alpha} \langle A^{2\alpha} X_s, B(X_s) \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds \right| \\
& \leq 2c \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha} \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \|A^{2\alpha} X_s\|_{H^{-\alpha}} ds \\
& \leq \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds + \frac{c^2}{\nu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \\
& \leq \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds + \frac{c^2}{\nu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds \\
& \leq \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds + C \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{T}{\nu^5} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + \frac{T^5}{\nu} + T^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

We control the third term on the right-hand side of equation (4.40), thanks to Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, see [DZ14, Section 6.4] or [Hyt+16, Theorem 4.2.25, Proposition 4.2.14], and the Young inequality: for a constant $c > 0$ that depends on W

$$\begin{aligned}
2\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_0^t \langle X_s, dW_s \rangle_{H^{2\alpha}} & \leq 2c\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq 2c\sqrt{\nu T} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \\
& \leq 2c^2\nu T + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

We use estimates (4.41) and (4.42) to bound from above the right-hand side of equation (4.40). After rearranging the terms, we find a finite constant $C > 0$, that depends only on W , such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \nu \int_0^T \|X_t\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 dt \right] \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu^2} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{T}{\nu^5} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + \frac{T^5}{\nu} + T^2 + T\nu \right).$$

Hence, we proved the sought estimate (4.26).

Estimate (4.23). As far as the fourth estimate is concerned, we fix $s \in [0, T]$ and apply the Itô formula in Lemma 4.7 with $\gamma = 1$, $h(r) = r^{q/2}$, for $r \geq 0$, and to the process $\{X_{t+s}\}_{t \geq 0}$, which is an Itô process adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_{t+s}\}_{t \geq 0}$ and with $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process $\{W_{t+s}\}_{t \geq 0}$. We reach, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$ for all $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X_{t+s}\|_{H^1}^q & = \|X_s\|_{H^1}^q - q\nu \int_0^t \langle A^\alpha X_{u+s}, X_{u+s} \rangle_{H^1} \|X_{u+s}\|_{H^1}^{q-2} du \\
& \quad - q \int_0^t \langle B(X_{u+s}), X_{u+s} \rangle_{H^1} \|X_{u+s}\|_{H^1}^{q-2} du \\
& \quad + q\sqrt{\nu} \int_0^t \|X_{u+s}\|_{H^1}^{q-2} \langle X_{u+s}, dW_{u+s} \rangle_{H^1} \\
& \quad + \frac{q(q-2)\nu}{2} \int_0^t \|Q_1^{1/2} X_{u+s}\|_{H^1}^2 \|X_{u+s}\|_{H^1}^{q-4} du \\
& \quad + \frac{q\nu}{2} \text{Tr}[Q_1] \int_0^t \|X_{u+s}\|_{H^1}^{q-2} du.
\end{aligned}$$

The non-linear term vanishes because of the known property $\langle B(x), x \rangle_{H^1} = \langle B(x), Ax \rangle = 0$ for $x \in H^2$. In addition, we evaluate the above expression at the time $t = T - s$ and perform the change of variable $u \mapsto u + s$ in the integrals. Next, we take the expectation to both sides, which cancels the Itô integral.

We are left with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|X_T\|_{H^1}^q &= \mathbb{E}\|X_s\|_{H^1}^q - q\nu \mathbb{E} \int_s^T \|X_u\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_u\|_{H^1}^{q-2} du \\ &\quad + \frac{q(q-2)\nu}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_s^T \|Q_1^{1/2} X_u\|_{H^1}^2 \|X_u\|_{H^1}^{q-4} du \\ &\quad + \frac{q\nu}{2} \text{Tr}[Q_1] \int_s^T \mathbb{E}\|X_u\|_{H^1}^{q-2} du.\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the right-hand side from above thanks to $\|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \geq \|x\|_{H^1}$ and to $\|Q_1^{1/2}x\|_{H^1} \leq \text{Tr}[Q_1]\|x\|_{H^1}$ for $x \in H^1$, see equation (4.29). We reach

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_T\|_{H^1}^q \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_s\|_{H^1}^q - q\nu \mathbb{E} \int_s^T \|X_u\|_{H^1}^q du + \frac{q(q-1)\nu}{2} \text{Tr}[Q_1] \int_s^T \mathbb{E}\|X_u\|_{H^1}^{q-2} du.$$

When $q > 2$, the last integral can be controlled with the Hölder inequality with conjugate exponents $q/2$ and $q/(q-2)$ as follows

$$\frac{q(q-1)\nu}{2} \text{Tr}[Q_1] \int_s^T \mathbb{E}\|X_u\|_{H^1}^{q-2} du \leq \nu C_q(T-s) + \nu \int_s^T \mathbb{E}\|X_u\|_{H^1}^q du,$$

where we introduced a constant $C_q > 0$ that depends only on q and $\text{Tr}[Q_1]$. The inequality remains true also for $p = 2$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_T\|_{H^1}^q \leq \mathbb{E}\|X_s\|_{H^1}^q - (q-1)\nu \int_s^T \mathbb{E}\|X_u\|_{H^1}^q du + \nu C_q(T-s).$$

By resorting to the version of Grönwall's Lemma B.2, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_T\|_{H^1}^q \leq \frac{C_q}{q-1} + e^{-(q-1)\nu T} \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|_{H^1}^q,$$

which gives the sought estimate (4.23), after appropriately renaming the constant $C_q > 0$.

Estimate (4.27). As for the fifth estimate, we start from the Itô formula in Lemma 4.7 with $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni r \mapsto r^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\gamma = \alpha$. We take the expectation to both members of equation (4.20), which cancels out the Itô integral, and use Fubini's Theorem. We reach for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 &= \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 - 4\nu \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2\right] ds \\ &\quad - 4 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\langle B(X_s), X_s \rangle_{H^\alpha} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2\right] ds \\ &\quad + 4\nu \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\|Q_\alpha^{1/2} X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2\right] ds \\ &\quad + 2\nu \text{Tr}[Q_\alpha] \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 ds.\end{aligned}$$

We can compute the distributional derivative with respect to time and later estimate the right-hand side by

$$\|Q_\alpha^{1/2}x\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \leq \|Q_\alpha^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^\alpha)}^2 \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^2 = \|Q_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^\alpha)} \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \leq \text{Tr}[Q_\alpha] \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^2, \quad \forall x \in H^\alpha.$$

and by

$$|\langle B(x), x \rangle_{H^\alpha}| \leq \|B(x)\| \|A^\alpha x\| \leq \|x\|_{H^1} \|x\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}} \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}, \quad \forall x \in H^{2\alpha}.$$

We reach, after rearranging the terms, and by means of the Young inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}\|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + 4\nu \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2\right] \\ &\leq 4 \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_s\|_{H^1} \|X_s\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha} \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}\right] + 6\nu \text{Tr}[Q_\alpha] \mathbb{E}\|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\nu} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_s\|_{H^1}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2\right] + 2\nu \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2\right] + \frac{1}{2\nu} (6\nu \text{Tr}[Q_\alpha])^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \mathbb{E}\|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4\end{aligned}$$

We rearrange the terms once again, and use the estimate in equation (4.37), followed by Young inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \left[\|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \right] &\leq \frac{2}{\nu} \mathbb{E} \left[\|X_s\|_{H^1}^3 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2\nu} (6\nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\alpha])^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \left[\|X_s\|_{H^1}^6 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \right] + C\nu + \nu \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4. \end{aligned}$$

This finally leads, by the Sobolev embedding $\|x\|_{H^\alpha}^4 \leq \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2$, for $x \in H^{\alpha+1}$, to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \nu \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 \leq \frac{2}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \left[\|X_s\|_{H^1}^6 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \right] + C\nu$$

The last side is locally integrable in time thanks to (4.22). We apply the differential form of Grönwall's Lemma B.1 and obtain for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^4 &\leq e^{-\nu t} \left(\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \int_0^t e^{\nu s} \left(\frac{2}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \left[\|X_s\|_{H^1}^6 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \right] + C\nu \right) ds \right) \\ &\leq e^{-\nu t} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{2}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X_s\|_{H^1}^6 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds + C \\ &\leq e^{-\nu t} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{2}{\nu^4} C_8 \left(\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + (t\nu)^4 \right) + C. \end{aligned}$$

We used the linearity of the integral for the second inequality, computing the second part and estimating $e^{\nu s} \leq e^{\nu t}$ for the first part. We used estimate (4.22), with $p = 8$, for the last inequality. The wanted estimate (4.27) follows after renaming the constants.

Estimate (4.28). As for the last estimate, we start from the Itô formula in Lemma 4.7 with $h = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and $\gamma = 2\alpha$. The last but one term in equation (4.20) vanishes, while the last is deterministic. Next, we take the expectation to both members, which cancels out the Itô integral. We reach for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 = \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 - 2\nu \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|X_s\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} X_s, B(X_s) \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_{2\alpha}] t.$$

We can compute the distributional derivative with respect to time and later estimate the right-hand by (2.9) (with $\sigma = \alpha + 1 > 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 &= -2\nu \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 + 2 \mathbb{E} {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} X_t, B(X_t) \rangle_{H^\alpha} + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_{2\alpha}] \\ &\leq -2\nu \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 + \nu \mathbb{E} \|A^{2\alpha} X_t\|_{H^{-\alpha}}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \mathbb{E} [\|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \|X_t\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2] + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_{2\alpha}] \\ &\leq -\nu \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \mathbb{E} [\|X_t\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \|X_t\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2] + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

We used the Sobolev embedding $\|x\|_{H^{3\alpha}} \geq \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}$, for $x \in H^{3\alpha}$, in the last inequality. The right-hand side is locally integrable in time thanks to (4.25). We apply the differential form of Grönwall's Lemma B.1 and obtain for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|X_t\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 &\leq e^{-\nu t} \left(\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \int_0^t e^{\nu s} \left(\frac{1}{\nu} \mathbb{E} [\|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2] + \nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_{2\alpha}] \right) ds \right) \\ &\leq e^{-\nu t} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X_s\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \|X_s\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 ds + \operatorname{Tr}[Q_{2\alpha}] \\ &\leq e^{-\nu t} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu^2} C \left(\mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{T}{\nu^3} \mathbb{E} \|X_0\|_{H^1}^8 + T^2 \nu (T^3 + \nu) \right) + \operatorname{Tr}[Q_{2\alpha}]. \end{aligned}$$

We used the linearity of the integral for the second inequality, computing the second part and estimating $e^{\nu s} \leq e^{\nu t}$ for the first part. We used estimate (4.25), for the last inequality. The wanted estimate (4.28) follows after renaming the constants. \square

5 Stationary solutions for the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equation

In this section, we first prove that the solutions to the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equation, see Definition 4.1 and Theorem 4.8, enjoy the Markov property. Hence, we can define a Markov semigroup P

associated to the equation and prove the existence of an invariant measure for the semigroup P , together with some moment estimates. Next, given a fixed invariant measure μ_ν , we are able to construct a stationary solution to the equation ($HNS_{\nu,\alpha}$) distributed as μ_ν for all times. The stationarity allows us to obtain further moment estimates for the invariant measure, that are also uniform with respect to the kinematic viscosity ν .

5.1 Markov property

We start by recalling the definition of the usual semigroup associated with a solution to a stochastic equation.

Definition 5.1. Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. We define $P : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto P_t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha}))$ as follows. If $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^x)$ is a solution to the equation ($HNS_{\nu,\alpha}$) such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^x = x) = 1$, see Theorem 4.8 (ii.b), then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$ and $t \geq 0$ we let

$$P_t \varphi : H^{2\alpha} \ni x \mapsto \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t^x)] \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.1)$$

Remark 5.2. Assume that $t \geq 0$. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$, the definition of $P_t \varphi : H^{2\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is well-posed by Theorem 4.8 (ii.b). Moreover, $P_t \varphi \in \mathcal{B}(H^{2\alpha})$ by [Ond05, Corollary 23], and it is bounded by simple calculations. Moreover, by direct inspection, the function $P_t : \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha}) \ni \varphi \mapsto P_t \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$ is linear and bounded.

Finally, the definition depends only on the law of the solution, *i.e.* if $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}; \tilde{W}; \tilde{X}^x)$ is another solution to the equation ($HNS_{\nu,\alpha}$) such that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{X}_0^x = x) = 1$, then by the uniqueness in law property, see Theorem 4.8 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t^x)] &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi(X_t^x(\omega)) \, d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi(x) \, d((X_t^x)_* \mathbb{P})(x) \\ &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi(x) \, d((\tilde{X}_t^x)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}})(x) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \varphi(\tilde{X}_t^x(\omega)) \, d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\omega) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\tilde{X}_t^x)]. \end{aligned}$$

We will now prove that the semigroup P is the Markov semigroup associated to the solution of the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equation.

Theorem 5.3. Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. The solutions to the equation ($HNS_{\nu,\alpha}$) enjoy the Markov property with semigroup P . Namely, if $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^x)$ is a solution to the equation ($HNS_{\nu,\alpha}$) such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^x = x) = 1$, then for all $t \geq 0$, $h \geq 0$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_{t+h}^x) | \sigma(X_s^x : s \in [0, t])] = P_h \varphi(X_t^x), \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Proof. Assume the hypotheses and let $t \geq 0$, $h \geq 0$, $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$ be fixed. For any $y \in H^{2\alpha}$, we consider a solution $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^y)$ to the equation ($HNS_{\nu,\alpha}$) such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^y = y) = 1$.

First of all, since $P_h \varphi \in \mathcal{B}(H^{2\alpha})$ by Definition 5.1, the function $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto P_h \varphi(X_t^x(\omega)) \in \mathbb{R}$ is $\sigma(X_t^x)$ -measurable, hence also $\sigma(X_s^x : s \in [0, t])$ -measurable. We need to show that, if $E \in \sigma(X_s^x : s \in [0, t])$, then

$$\mathbb{E}[P_h \varphi(X_t^x) \mathbf{1}_E] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_{t+h}^x) \mathbf{1}_E].$$

According to [Wil91, Theorem 9.2], since the family

$$\left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{X_{s_i}^x \in \Gamma_i\} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n \in \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}}, s_1, \dots, s_n \in [0, t] \right\}$$

is a π -system that generates the σ -algebra $\sigma(X_s^x : s \in [0, t])$, it is sufficient to prove that, for arbitrarily fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n \in \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n \in [0, t]$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[P_h \varphi(X_t^x) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(X_{t+h}^x) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x) \right]. \quad (5.2)$$

We start from the left-hand side and use the definition of semigroup in equation (5.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[P_h \varphi(X_t^x) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x) \right] &= \int_{\Omega} P_h \varphi(X_t^x(\omega)) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x(\omega)) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left(X_h^{X_t^x(\omega)}(\omega') \right) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x(\omega)) d\mathbb{P}(\omega') d\mathbb{P}(\omega). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Let us define, for $s \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_s : \Omega \times \Omega \ni (\omega, \omega') &\mapsto \tilde{X}_s(\omega, \omega') := \begin{cases} X_s^x(\omega), & \text{if } s \in [0, t], \\ X_{s-t}^{X_t^x(\omega)}(\omega'), & \text{if } s > t, \end{cases} \\ \tilde{W}_s : \Omega \times \Omega \ni (\omega, \omega') &\mapsto \tilde{W}_s(\omega, \omega') := \begin{cases} W_s(\omega), & \text{if } s \in [0, t], \\ W_{s-t}(\omega') + W_t(\omega), & \text{if } s > t, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and consider the filtered probability space $(\Omega \times \Omega, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s\}_{s \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ augmented from $(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_s \otimes \mathcal{F}_s\}_{s \geq 0}, \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P})$. We denote $\tilde{\Omega} := \Omega \times \Omega$. In particular, by the Lévy Martingale Characterization Theorem, see [DZ14, Theorem 4.6], \tilde{W} is an $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s\}_{s \geq 0}$ -adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process. See [DHV16, Lemma 4.8].

We will show now that $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}; \tilde{W}; \tilde{X})$ is a solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ such that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{X}_0 = x) = 1$. The path regularities required in Definition 4.1 and the initial condition are trivially satisfied. We only need to show the validity of the equality (4.1) for \tilde{W} and \tilde{X} , $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}-a.s.$ in H^α . If $s \in [0, t]$, then $\tilde{X}_s(\omega, \omega') = X_s^x(\omega)$ and $\tilde{W}_s(\omega, \omega') = W_s(\omega)$ for all $(\omega, \omega') \in \tilde{\Omega}$, hence there is nothing to prove. If $s > t$, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}-a.e.$ $(\omega, \omega') \in \tilde{\Omega}$, by a change of variable in time and by the definition of X^x in equation (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_s(\omega, \omega') &= X_{s-t}^{X_t^x(\omega)}(\omega') = -\nu \int_0^{s-t} A^\alpha X_r^{X_t^x(\omega)}(\omega') dr - \int_0^{s-t} B \left(X_r^{X_t^x(\omega)}(\omega') \right) dr + \sqrt{\nu} W_{s-t}(\omega') + X_t^x(\omega) \\ &= -\nu \int_t^s A^\alpha X_{r-t}^{X_t^x(\omega)}(\omega') dr - \int_t^s B \left(X_{r-t}^{X_t^x(\omega)}(\omega') \right) dr + \sqrt{\nu} W_{s-t}(\omega') \\ &\quad - \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha X_r^x(\omega) dr - \int_0^t B(X_r^x(\omega)) dr + \sqrt{\nu} W_t(\omega) + x \\ &= -\nu \int_0^s A^\alpha \tilde{X}_r(\omega, \omega') dr - \int_0^s B(\tilde{X}_r(\omega, \omega')) dr + \sqrt{\nu} \tilde{W}_s(\omega, \omega') + x. \end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness in law from Theorem 4.8 (i) yields $(\tilde{X})_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (X^x)_* \mathbb{P}$ on $\mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}))$ for any $T > 0$. Therefore, we can conclude the chain of equalities (5.3) by means of Fubini's Theorem and thanks to the fact that \tilde{X} and X^x share the same finite-dimensional laws on $\mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[P_h \varphi(X_t^x) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x) \right] &= \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \varphi \left(X_h^{X_t^x(\omega)}(\omega') \right) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x(\omega)) d(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P})(\omega, \omega') \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \varphi \left(\tilde{X}_{t+h}(\omega, \omega') \right) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_i}(\tilde{X}_{s_i}(\omega, \omega')) d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\omega, \omega') \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left(X_{t+h}^x(\omega) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x(\omega)) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(X_{t+h}^x) \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_i}(X_{s_i}^x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We obtained the sought equality (5.2) and the thesis follows. \square

5.2 Invariant measure

We now introduce the adjoint P^* to the Markov semigroup P , which will be later used to define the invariant measure for the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equations.

Definition 5.4. Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. We define the adjoint semigroup $P^* := \{P_t^*\}_{t \geq 0}$ of P , see Definition 5.1, as follows

$$P_t^* : \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha}) \ni \mu \mapsto P_t^* \mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha}), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$(P_t^* \mu)(U) := \int_{H^{2\alpha}} P_t \mathbf{1}_U d\mu, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{B}(H^{2\alpha}).$$

Remark 5.5. The definition of P^* is well-posed, for any choice of parameters $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. Namely, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ and any $t \geq 0$, one can easily verify that $P_t^* \mu$ is again a probability measure on $(H^{2\alpha}, \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}})$, thanks to the definition of P_t , see equation (5.1), to Theorem 4.8 (ii.b), and to the continuity of P_t .

Indeed, if $x \in H^{2\alpha}$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^x)$ be a solution to the equation (HNS $_{\nu, \alpha}$) such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^x = x) = 1$. Then we have for all $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (P_t^* \mu)(U) &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} P_t \mathbf{1}_U d\mu = \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{P}(X_t^x \in U) d\mu(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}}, \\ (P_t^* \mu)(H^{2\alpha}) &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{P}(X_t^x \in H^{2\alpha}) d\mu(x) = \mu(H^{2\alpha}) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and for any countable family of disjoint sets $U_i \in \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(P_t^* \mu) \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \right) = \int_{H^{2\alpha}} P_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{U_i} \right) d\mu = \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_t \mathbf{1}_{U_i} d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (P_t^* \mu)(U_i),$$

where we used, in order, the fact that the sets are disjoint, the linearity and continuity of P_t , and the Monotone Convergence Theorem.

Remark 5.6. One can prove, by a standard machine from measure theory, that for any $t \geq 0$ and any probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi d(P_t^* \mu) = \int_{H^{2\alpha}} P_t \varphi d\mu, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha}). \quad (5.4)$$

Indeed, if $\varphi = \mathbf{1}_U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}}$, then the property (5.4) is trivial.

If φ is simple, *i.e.* there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}}$ such that $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{U_i}$, then the property (5.4) follows by linearity of the integral and the previous step.

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$, then there exists a sequence of simple functions $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$ that converges $P_t^* \mu - a.s.$ to φ . In this general case, the property (5.4) follows by the Dominated Convergence Theorem and the previous step.

Definition 5.7. Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. A probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ is an invariant measure for the semigroup P , see Definition 5.1, if

$$P_t^* \mu = \mu, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

A standard tool to study the existence of invariant measure for stochastic partial differential equations is the Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem, see, for instance, [DZ96, Section 3.1]. This theorem requires the Feller property for the semigroup P and the tightness for the sequence of probability measures $\frac{1}{n} \int_0^n P_t^* \delta_x dt$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where x is any point in $H^{2\alpha}$.

In our scenario, the Feller property is satisfied thanks to Theorem 4.8 (ii.b). Were the equation set in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, the tightness property could be easily proved by showing some uniform estimates in time for the p -moment of the solution, where $p > 1$, with respect to the norm of some space $H^\sigma(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$, where $\sigma < 2\alpha$. Indeed, $H^\sigma(D; \mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow H^{2\alpha}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$ if D is bounded and $\sigma < 2\alpha$. Hence closed balls in $H^\sigma(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$ are compact in $H^{2\alpha}(D; \mathbb{R}^2)$, and can be thus utilized to verify the tightness of the sequence. However, in our study case, the equation is set in the unbounded domain \mathbb{R}^2 . In particular, the Sobolev embeddings are still continuous, yet not compact.

In order to address the problem, we resort to a different version of the Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem, see [MS99, Section 3], which weakens the requirement of tightness and strengthens the Feller property. Specifically, the compact set required by the tightness property can be replaced by a bounded set, provided the ambient space is endowed with the weak topology. Consequently, the Feller property has to be replaced by a sequentially weak version.

Theorem 5.8 ([MS99, Proposition 3.1]). *Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. Further, suppose that the semigroup P , see Definition 5.1, satisfies the following two hypotheses.*

(i) *(Sequentially weak Feller property) If $\varphi \in C_b(H_w^{2\alpha})$, $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{2\alpha}$ is a sequence weakly convergent to x in $H^{2\alpha}$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_t \varphi(x_n) = P_t \varphi(x), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

(ii) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (P_t^* \delta_x) \left(H^{2\alpha} \setminus \bar{B}_R^{H^{2\alpha}} \right) dt \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$\text{where } \bar{B}_R^{H^{2\alpha}} := \{y \in H^{2\alpha} : \|y\|_{H^{2\alpha}} \leq R\}.$$

Then there exists an invariant measure for the semigroup P .

An auxiliary result is required to prove the sequentially weak Feller property for the semigroup.

Lemma 5.9. *Assume that $\nu > 0$, $\alpha > 1$ and $z \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{2\alpha})$. Then the function*

$$H_w^{2\alpha} \ni x \mapsto \mathcal{V}(x, z) \in C([0, T]; H_w^{2\alpha}),$$

see Theorem 4.6, is sequentially continuous. Namely, the following property is satisfied. For any $x \in H^{2\alpha}$, any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $H^{2\alpha}$ weakly convergent to $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and any $T > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \langle y, \mathcal{V}(x_n, z)(t) - \mathcal{V}(x, z)(t) \rangle_{H^{2\alpha}} \right| = 0, \quad \forall y \in H^{-2\alpha}.$$

Proof. Step 1. Let us fix $T > 0$, $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly convergent to x in $H^{2\alpha}$. To ease the notation we denote for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &:= \mathcal{V}(x_n, z)|_{[0, T]} : [0, T] \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}, \\ \tilde{v}_n &:= \mathcal{V}(x_n, z)\mathbf{1}_{[0, T]} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}, \\ \tilde{f}_n &:= -\nu A^\alpha \tilde{v}_n - B(\tilde{v}_n + z)\mathbf{1}_{[0, T]} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We first prove that there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that the sequence $\{\tilde{v}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha})$.

The sequence

$$\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad H^{2\alpha}, \quad (5.5)$$

because it is weakly convergent in $H^{2\alpha}$. Hence the estimate (4.6) in Theorem 4.6 implies that $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha})$. In particular, the sequence

$$\{\tilde{v}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{3\alpha}). \quad (5.6)$$

Moreover, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \tilde{f}_n has compact support $[0, T]$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\tilde{f}_n(t)\|_{H^\alpha} dt \right)^2 &\leq T \int_0^T \|\tilde{f}_n(t)\|_{H^\alpha}^2 dt \\ &\leq 2T \int_0^T \nu^2 \|A^\alpha v_n(t)\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \|B(v_n(t) + z(t))\|_{H^\alpha}^2 dt \\ &\leq 2T \int_0^T \nu^2 \|v_n(t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 + c \|v_n(t) + z(t)\|_{H^\alpha} \|v_n(t) + z(t)\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} dt \\ &\leq 2\nu^2 T \int_0^T \|v_n(t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 dt + 4cT^2 \sup_{t \in [0, T]} [\|v_n(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 + \|z(t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

We used the Jensen inequality thanks to the compact support $[0, T]$ of \tilde{f}_n , the Young inequality, the estimate (2.9) from Lemma 2.28 (with $\sigma = \alpha + 1 > 2$), and the Sobolev embeddings. The last side of the chain of inequalities is uniformly bounded in n thanks to the estimate (4.6) with $z_1 := z$, $x_1 := x_n$

and $x_2 = z_2 := 0$ and to the boundedness of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $H^{2\alpha}$. This means that $\{\tilde{f}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}; H^\alpha)$, in particular

$$\{\mathcal{F}[\tilde{f}_n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in (C(\mathbb{R}; H^\alpha))^{\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^\alpha). \quad (5.7)$$

Let us now fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By direct inspection, the function \tilde{v}_n satisfies in H^α

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_n(t) &= \begin{cases} \int_0^t \tilde{f}_n(s) \, ds + x_n, & \forall t \in [0, T], \\ 0, & \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, T], \end{cases} \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}(t) \left(\int_0^t \tilde{f}_n(s) \, ds + x_n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Let $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal complete system for $H^{3\alpha}$, in particular $\{A^{2\alpha}e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ becomes an orthonormal complete system for $H^{-\alpha}$. Since $\tilde{v}_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{3\alpha})$, there exists $\{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_n(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_k(t) e_k, \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}[\psi_k](t) e_k, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where the series converge in $H^{3\alpha}$. We take the duality product in $H^{-\alpha}/H^\alpha$ of $A^{2\alpha}e_k$ with equation (5.8), for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and later derive in the distributional sense with respect to time:

$$\left({}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} e_k, \tilde{v}_n \rangle_{H^\alpha} \right)' = {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} e_k, \tilde{f}_n \rangle_{H^\alpha} + {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} e_k, x_n \rangle_{H^\alpha} \delta_0 - {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} e_k, \tilde{v}_n(T) \rangle_{H^\alpha} \delta_T.$$

We apply the distributional Fourier transform in time and resort to the property (2.5)

$$it \, {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} e_k, \mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t) \rangle_{H^\alpha} = {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} e_k, \mathcal{F}[\tilde{f}_n](t) \rangle_{H^\alpha} + (2\pi)^{-1/2} \, {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} e_k, x_n - \tilde{v}_n(T) e^{-itT} \rangle_{H^\alpha}.$$

We multiply both sides of the last equality by $\mathcal{F}[\psi_k](t)$ and sum over k . We obtain for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$it \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 = {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t), \mathcal{F}[\tilde{f}_n](t) \rangle_{H^\alpha} + (2\pi)^{-1/2} \, {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle A^{2\alpha} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t), x_n - \tilde{v}_n(T) e^{-itT} \rangle_{H^\alpha}.$$

We take the complex absolute value of both members and estimate the right-hand side

$$\begin{aligned} |t| \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 &\leq \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}} \left[\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{f}_n](t)\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + (2\pi)^{-1/2} (\|x_n\|_{H^\alpha} + \|\tilde{v}_n(T)\|_{H^\alpha}) \right] \\ &\leq c_1 \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where we introduced a finite constant $c_1 > 0$ independent of n , thanks to (5.7), (5.5) and to (5.6). A simple real-analysis exercise shows that

$$|t|^{2\gamma} \leq 2 \frac{1 + |t|}{1 + |t|^{1-2\gamma}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \gamma \in (0, 1/2). \quad (5.10)$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^{2\gamma} \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \, dt &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2}{1 + |t|^{1-2\gamma}} + \frac{|t| \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2}{1 + |t|^{1-2\gamma}} \, dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 + c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}}{1 + |t|^{1-2\gamma}} \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

where we employed equation (5.10) for the first inequality, and estimate (5.9) for the second, together with the embedding $H^{3\alpha} \hookrightarrow H^{2\alpha}$ and with $1 + |t|^{1-2\gamma} \geq 1$. We control the first integral by the Plancherel Theorem and the uniform bound in (5.6):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 \, dt = \|\tilde{v}_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{3\alpha})}^2 \leq C, \quad (5.12)$$

where $C > 0$ is a finite constant independent of n . Similarly, thanks to the Hölder inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}}{1 + |t|^{1-2\gamma}} dt \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(1 + |t|^{1-2\gamma})^2} dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{v}_n](t)\|_{H^{3\alpha}}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C', \quad (5.13)$$

where $C' > 0$ is a finite constant independent of n as soon as $\gamma < 1/4$. Indeed, the second factor is uniformly bounded by equation (5.12), while the first is finite for $\gamma < 1/4$. By plugging estimates (5.12) and (5.13) into (5.11) we conclude that

$$\{\tilde{v}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}).$$

Step 2. We proved in *Step 1* that the sequence $\{\tilde{v}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{3\alpha})$ for any $\gamma \in (0, 1/4)$. Moreover $H^{2\alpha}$ and $H^{3\alpha}$ are continuously embedded in $H_{loc}^{2\alpha}$, $H_{loc}^{3\alpha}$, respectively. In addition, [Tem01, Theorem 2.2, Chapter 3] states that $H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}; H_{loc}^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{loc}^{3\alpha})$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{loc}^{2\alpha})$. Therefore

$$\{\tilde{v}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{3\alpha}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{loc}^{2\alpha}).$$

Consequently, we find $\tilde{v} \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{3\alpha})$ and a subsequence $\{\tilde{v}_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\tilde{v}_{n_k} \longrightarrow \tilde{v} \quad \begin{cases} \text{weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H^{2\alpha}) \\ \text{weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}; H^{3\alpha}) \\ \text{strongly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}; H_{loc}^{2\alpha}) \end{cases}, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

If we restrict to the time interval $[0, T]$ we find

$$v_{n_k} = \tilde{v}_{n_k}|_{[0, T]} \longrightarrow \tilde{v}|_{[0, T]} \quad \begin{cases} \text{weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H^{2\alpha}) \\ \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha}) \\ \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^{2\alpha}) \end{cases}, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.14)$$

Step 3. We now prove that $\tilde{v}|_{[0, T]} = \mathcal{V}(x, z)|_{[0, T]}$ and that this is the limit of the whole sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, in the sense specified in (5.14).

With a slight abuse of notation we henceforth denote by \tilde{v} the restriction on the interval $[0, T]$ of the function \tilde{v} constructed in the previous step. We also denote by v the restriction to $[0, T]$ of $\mathcal{V}(x, z)$. We know from Theorem 4.6 that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the function v_{n_k} satisfies the following identity in H^α

$$v_{n_k}(t) + \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha v_{n_k}(s) ds + \int_0^t B(v_{n_k}(s) + z(s)) ds = x_{n_k}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

For fixed $t \in [0, T]$, we pass to the limit, for $k \rightarrow \infty$, each term in the previous equality thanks to the convergences in (5.14).

For all $y \in H^{-2\alpha}$, thanks to $v_{n_k} = \mathcal{V}(x_{n_k}, z) \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})$ and to its weak*-convergence in $L^\infty(0, T; H^{2\alpha})$ we have

$${}_{H^{-2\alpha}} \langle y, v_{n_k}(t) \rangle_{H^{2\alpha}} \longrightarrow {}_{H^{-2\alpha}} \langle y, \tilde{v}(t) \rangle_{H^{2\alpha}}, \quad \text{in } C([0, T]).$$

Since $v_{n_k}(0) = x_{n_k} \longrightarrow x$ weakly in $H^{2\alpha}$, we also deduce that $\tilde{v}(0) = x$.

Analogously, $A^\alpha v_{n_k} \longrightarrow A^\alpha \tilde{v}$ weakly in $L^2(0, T; H^\alpha)$, thanks to the weak-convergence in $L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha})$ of v_{n_k} . Hence, for all $y \in H^{-\alpha}$

$$\nu \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle y, A^\alpha v_{n_k}(s) \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds \longrightarrow \nu \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\alpha}} \langle y, A^\alpha \tilde{v}(s) \rangle_{H^\alpha} ds, \quad \text{in } C([0, T]).$$

For the integral of the non-linearity we apply Lemma A.1 (with $\beta = \alpha$) to the sequence $\{v_{n_k} + z\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma because the strong convergence in $L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^{2\alpha})$ implies strong convergence in $L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^{\alpha+1})$ and the weak*-convergence in $L^\infty(0, T; H^{2\alpha})$ implies boundedness in $L^\infty(0, T; H^{2\alpha})$, which yields boundedness in $L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$.

We thus find, for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\tilde{v}(t) + \nu \int_0^t A^\alpha \tilde{v}(s) ds + \int_0^t B(\tilde{v}(s) + z(s)) ds = x,$$

the equality holding in H^α .

We invoke the uniqueness result in Theorem 4.6 to infer that $\tilde{v} = v \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha})$.

We conclude this step by observing that the whole sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to v in the sense specified in (5.14). Indeed, let us choose arbitrarily a strictly increasing sequence of indices $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then, by Step 3, we can extract a subsequence $\{n_{i_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{v_{n_{i_k}}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some limit in the sense specified in (5.14). We proved in the present step that the limit is the function v from Theorem 4.6, in particular, it is independent of the sequence of indices $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Since the weak*-convergence in $L^\infty(0, T; H^{2\alpha})$, the weak-convergence in $L^2(0, T; H^{3\alpha})$ and the strong convergence in $L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^{2\alpha})$ are all metrizable on bounded sets, we obtain by the usual contradiction argument that the whole sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to v in the specified topologies.

Step 4. Let us fix $y \in H^{-2\alpha}$, then from the previous steps ${}_{H^{-2\alpha}}\langle y, v_n - v \rangle_{H^{2\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^\infty(0, T)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and also ${}_{H^{-2\alpha}}\langle y, v_n - v \rangle_{H^{2\alpha}} \in C([0, T])$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we reach

$${}_{H^{-2\alpha}}\langle y, v_n - v \rangle_{H^{2\alpha}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } C([0, T]), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is the sought thesis. \square

Theorem 5.10. Assume that $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. There exists an invariant measure for the semigroup P , see Definition 5.1. Moreover, if $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ is an invariant measure for the semigroup P , then

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^p d\mu_\nu(x) < +\infty, \quad \forall p \in (2, +\infty), \quad (5.15)$$

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^4 d\mu_\nu(x) < +\infty, \quad (5.16)$$

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 d\mu_\nu(x) < +\infty. \quad (5.17)$$

Proof. Step 1. The existence result follows from Theorem 5.8, whose hypotheses we will now verify.

As for the sequentially weak Feller property, let us take $x \in H^{2\alpha}$ and a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^{2\alpha}$ weakly convergent to x in $H^{2\alpha}$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^{x_n})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^x)$ be solutions to the equation (HNS $_{\nu, \alpha}$) such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^{x_n} = x_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_0^x = x) = 1$. It follows from Theorem 4.8 (ii.a) and Lemma 5.9 that $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(X_t^{x_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\mathcal{V}(x_n, Z)(t) + Z_t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\mathcal{V}(x, Z)(t) + Z_t) = \varphi(X_t^x), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Thanks to the boundedness of φ , the Dominated Convergence Theorem gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_t \varphi)(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t^{x_n})] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t^x)] = (P_t \varphi)(x), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

As far as the condition (ii) in Theorem 5.8 is concerned, we fix $\varepsilon > 0$, then for all $T, R > 0$, by the Chebyshev inequality and estimate (4.24)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (P_t^* \delta_x)(H^{2\alpha} \setminus \bar{B}_R^{H^{2\alpha}}) dt &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{P}(\|X_t^x\|_{H^{2\alpha}} > R) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{TR^2} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\|X_t^x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{TR^2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t^x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\nu TR^2} \left(\|x\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{\nu^2} \|x\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{T}{\nu} + T\nu \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of T and ν . The hypothesis is verified if we choose $R > 0$ such that

$$\frac{C}{\nu R^2} \left(\frac{1}{\nu} + \nu \right) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Step 2. Let us now prove the moment estimates for an invariant measure $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ for the semigroup P .

Assume that $p > 2$ and let, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_n : H^{2\alpha} \ni x \mapsto \|x\|_{H^1}^p \wedge n$. Further assume that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^x)$ is a solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X_0^x = x) = 1$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$, we have by the invariance property of μ_ν and the property (5.4) for P_1^*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^p \wedge n \, d\mu_\nu(x) &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi_n(x) \, d(P_1^* \mu_\nu)(x) \\ &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} (P_1 \varphi_n)(x) \, d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{E}[\|X_1^x\|_{H^1}^p \wedge n] \, d\mu_\nu(x). \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we used the definition of P_1 . Both the first and last members of this chain of equalities converge by the Monotone Convergence Theorem as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By uniqueness of the limit we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^p \, d\mu_\nu(x) &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{E}[\|X_1^x\|_{H^1}^p] \, d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &\leq \int_{H^{2\alpha}} C_p + e^{-(p-1)\nu} \|x\|_{H^1}^p \, d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &= C_p + e^{-(p-1)\nu} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^p \, d\mu_\nu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate (4.23) in Theorem 4.8 (iv). After rearranging the terms, we reach

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^p \, d\mu_\nu(x) \leq \frac{C_p}{1 - e^{-(p-1)\nu}} =: C_{p,\nu} < +\infty. \quad (5.18)$$

We argue *mutatis mutandis* to get the other estimates. With a similar argument as before we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^4 \, d\mu_\nu(x) &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{E}[\|X_1^x\|_{H^\alpha}^4] \, d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &\leq \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \left(e^{-\nu} \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^4 + \frac{C}{\nu^3} \|x\|_{H^1}^8 + 2C \right) \, d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &\leq e^{-\nu} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^4 \, d\mu_\nu(x) + \frac{C}{\nu^3} C_{8,\nu} + 2C, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate (4.27) from Theorem 4.8 (iv), and the finite constant $C_{p,\nu}$ from equation (5.18). The thesis follows by rearranging the terms. Analogously, making use of the estimate (4.28) from Theorem 4.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \, d\mu_\nu(x) &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{E}[\|X_1^x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2] \, d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &\leq e^{-\nu} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \, d\mu_\nu(x) + \frac{C}{\nu^2} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^\alpha}^4 \, d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{\nu^5} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^8 \, d\mu_\nu(x) + \frac{C}{\nu} + 2C, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the thesis by the estimates (5.15), and (5.16) just proved and by rearranging the terms. \square

5.3 Construction of stationary solutions

Once proved the existence of invariant measures for the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes Equation, we need to construct a solution whose law is a given invariant measure. This result is indeed essential to later study the inviscid limit to the Eulerian case, which will be performed by passing to the limit the stationary solutions in appropriate trajectory spaces.

Proposition 5.11. *Assume that $\alpha > 1$. There exists a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ enjoying the usual conditions, with an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process W , that satisfies the following property. If $\nu > 0$ and if $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ is an invariant measure for the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, see Definition*

5.7, then there exists a process $X^\nu : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}$ such that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^\nu)$ is a solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, see Definition 4.1, such that for all $T > 0$

$$X^\nu \in \left(\bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^1)) \right) \cap L^4(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^\alpha)) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})) \cap L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H^{3\alpha}), \quad (5.19)$$

and such that $(X_0^\nu)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$. In particular, X^ν is stationary, i.e.

$$(X_t^\nu)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha}), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Step 1. For fixed $\alpha > 1$, we will construct a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ that satisfies the usual conditions and enjoys the following properties.

- There exists an $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process W defined and adapted on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.
- For any $\nu > 0$, if $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ is an invariant measure for the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ (which exists thanks to Theorem 5.10), then there exists a random variable $\xi_\nu : \Omega \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}$ distributed as μ_ν .

First, we define $\Omega^1 := (H^{2\alpha})^{(0, +\infty)}$, we fix, for any $\nu > 0$, an invariant measure $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ for the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, and we let $\bar{\xi}_\nu : \Omega^1 \ni \omega \mapsto \omega(\nu) \in H^{2\alpha}$, for all $\nu > 0$. Then, [Coh13, Exercise 2, Section 10.6] states the existence of a σ -algebra \mathcal{F}^1 on Ω^1 and a probability measure \mathbb{P}^1 on $(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1)$ such that $\bar{\xi}_\nu$ is a random variable on $(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$ distributed as μ_ν , for any $\nu > 0$. Next, we endow $(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1)$ with an arbitrary filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t^1\}_{t \geq 0}$. In addition, we consider a filtered probability space $(\Omega^2, \mathcal{F}^2, \{\mathcal{F}_t^2\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}^2)$ with an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process \bar{W} .

Finally, we define $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ as the completion of $(\Omega^1 \times \Omega^2, \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$ and, for all $t \geq 0$, we let \mathcal{F}_t be the completion with respect to \mathbb{P} of $\bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_s^2$. The so constructed filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfies the usual conditions.

Eventually, recalling that $\Omega := \Omega^1 \times \Omega^2$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} W_t : \Omega \ni (\omega_1, \omega_2) &\mapsto \bar{W}_t(\omega_2) \in H^{2\alpha}, & \forall t \geq 0, \\ \xi_\nu : \Omega \ni (\omega_1, \omega_2) &\mapsto \bar{\xi}_\nu(\omega_1) \in H^{2\alpha}, & \forall \nu > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy the required properties by direct inspection.

Step 2. Let us now fix $\nu > 0$. Theorem 4.8 (ii.a) states the existence of a process $X^{\xi_\nu} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}$, that we hereby denote simply by X^ν , such that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^\nu)$ is a solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ with $\mathbb{P}(X_0^\nu = \xi_\nu) = 1$. In addition, since $(\xi_\nu)_* \mathbb{P} = (X_0^\nu)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu_\nu$, the moment estimates (5.15), (5.16), (5.17) imply $X_0^\nu \in L^2(\Omega; H^{2\alpha}) \cap L^4(\Omega; H^\alpha) \cap L^p(\Omega; H^1)$ for all $p \geq 1$. Hence, by Theorem 4.8 (iii), the process X^ν has the sought regularities.

It only remains to show that X^ν is stationary. Let us fix $t \geq 0$, then $(X_t^\nu)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ if and only if

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi d\mu_\nu = \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi d((X_t^\nu)_* \mathbb{P}), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha}). \quad (5.20)$$

Let us fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_b(H^{2\alpha})$. The following equalities come from the invariance property of μ_ν , see Definition 5.7, the property (5.4) for P_t , the Change of Variable Theorem and the definition of P_t in equation (5.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi d\mu_\nu &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi d(P_t^* \mu_\nu) \\ &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} P_t \varphi d\mu_\nu \\ &= \int_{H^{2\alpha}} P_t \varphi d((\xi_\nu)_* \mathbb{P}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (P_t \varphi)((\xi_\nu)(\omega)) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(X_t^{\xi_\nu(\omega)}(\omega')) d\mathbb{P}(\omega') d\mathbb{P}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}_s : \Omega \times \Omega \ni (\omega, \omega') &\mapsto \tilde{X}_s(\omega, \omega') := X_s^{\xi_\nu(\omega)}(\omega') \in H^{2\alpha}, & \forall s \geq 0, \\ \tilde{W}_s : \Omega \times \Omega \ni (\omega, \omega') &\mapsto \tilde{W}_s(\omega, \omega') := W_s(\omega') \in H^{2\alpha}, & \forall s \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and consider the augmented filtered probability space $(\Omega \times \Omega, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s\}_{s \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ constructed, as already outlined in *Step 1*, from $(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_s \otimes \mathcal{F}_s\}_{s \geq 0}, \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P})$. We denote $\tilde{\Omega} := \Omega \times \Omega$. In particular, \tilde{W} is an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process. Moreover, by direct inspection, $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s\}_{s \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}; \tilde{W}; \tilde{X})$ is a solution to $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ such that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{X}_0 = \xi_\nu) = 1$. In particular, $(\tilde{X}_0)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (\xi_\nu)_* \mathbb{P}$, thus the uniqueness in law for the solution, see Theorem 4.8 (i), yields

$$(\tilde{X}_s)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (X_s^\nu)_* \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha}), \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we can conclude the chain of equalities above with

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi \, d\mu_\nu &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \varphi(\tilde{X}_t(\omega, \omega')) \, d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\omega, \omega') \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \varphi \, d((\tilde{X}_t)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi \, d((X_t^\nu)_* \mathbb{P}). \end{aligned}$$

We obtained the sought equality (5.20) and the claim follows. \square

Eventually, the stationary solutions provided in the previous theorem, also allow us to obtain further estimates for the invariant measure, as shown in the following result.

Theorem 5.12. *Assume that $\alpha > 1$. There exists a finite constant $C_\alpha > 0$ such that, assuming $\nu > 0$, if $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ is an invariant measure for the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, see Definition 5.7, then*

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \, d\mu_\nu(x) = C_\alpha, \quad (5.21)$$

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^{2n} \, d\mu_\nu(x) \leq (2n-1)!! C_\alpha^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.22)$$

Moreover, if $\beta > 0$ is such that $2\beta C_\alpha < 1$, then

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} e^{\beta \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} \, d\mu_\nu(x) \leq 2e^{\frac{2\beta C_\alpha}{1-2\beta C_\alpha}}. \quad (5.23)$$

Proof. Fix $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$. If $\mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ is an invariant measure for the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^\nu)$ be the solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ from Proposition 5.11 that satisfies

$$(X_t^\nu)_* \mathbb{P} = (X_0^\nu)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha}), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Equation (5.21). We apply the Itô formula in Lemma 4.7 to the stationary process X^ν and with the choice $\gamma = 1$ and $h = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}$. The last but one term in equation (4.20) vanishes, while the last is deterministic. Also, the non-linearity vanishes because of the well-known property $\langle B(x), x \rangle_{H^1} = \langle B(x), Ax \rangle = 0$, for all $x \in H^2$. Next, we take the expectation on both members of the equality and obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_t^\nu\|_{H^1}^2 + 2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \, ds = \mathbb{E} \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2 + \nu \text{Tr}[Q_1]t, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

with $Q_1 := \iota Q \iota^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^1)$, where $Q \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^{2\alpha})$ is the covariance operator of W_1 , and $\iota : H^{2\alpha} \rightarrow H^1$ is the Sobolev embedding. Since X^ν is stationary, the first terms on both sides are equal and cancel out. We apply Fubini's Theorem and rewrite

$$\int_0^t \nu \left(2\mathbb{E} \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 - \text{Tr}[Q_1] \right) \, ds = 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Since $\nu > 0$ this last equality implies for *a.e.* $s \geq 0$

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \, d\mu_\nu(x) = \mathbb{E} \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 = \frac{\text{Tr}[Q_1]}{2}.$$

The claim is proved with $C_\alpha := \text{Tr}[Q_1]/2$.

Equation (5.22). We prove the estimate (5.22) by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The base case follows from the previous part and the Sobolev embedding $\|x\|_{H^1} \leq \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}$ for $x \in H^{\alpha+1}$. Let us prove the inductive step. We apply the Itô formula in Lemma 4.7 to the stationary process X^ν and with the choices $\gamma = 1$ and $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni r \mapsto r^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The non-linearity vanishes because of the well-known property $\langle B(x), x \rangle_{H^1} = \langle B(x), Ax \rangle = 0$, for all $x \in H^2$. Next, we take the expectation on both members of the equation (4.20) and obtain for all $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \|X_t^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n+2} + 2(n+1)\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n} ds \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n+2} + 2n(n+1)\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|Q_1^{1/2} X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^2 \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2(n-1)} ds + (n+1)\nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n} ds \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n+2} + (n+1)(2n+1)\nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\|Q_1^{1/2}x\| \leq \operatorname{Tr}[Q_1]\|x\|_{H^1}$ for all $x \in H^1$, see equation (4.29). Since X^ν is stationary, the first terms on both sides of the inequality are equal and cancel out. We apply Fubini's Theorem, divide by $2(n+1)\nu$ and rewrite

$$\int_0^t \left(\mathbb{E} [\|X_s^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n}] - (2n+1) \frac{\operatorname{Tr}[Q_1]}{2} \mathbb{E} \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n} \right) ds \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

This last inequality implies, recalling that $(X_s^\nu)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ for all $s \geq 0$ and the Sobolev embedding $\|x\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2$ for $x \in H^{\alpha+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^{2+\delta}} \|x\|_{H^1}^{2n+2} d\mu_\nu(x) &\leq \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|x\|_{H^1}^{2n} d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\|X_s^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n} \right] \\ &\leq (2n+1) \frac{\operatorname{Tr}[Q_1]}{2} \mathbb{E} \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^{2n} \\ &= (2n+1) \frac{\operatorname{Tr}[Q_1]}{2} \int_{H^{2+\delta}} \|x\|_{H^1}^{2n} d\mu_\nu(x) \\ &\leq (2n+1)!! C_\alpha^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the inductive hypothesis in the last step and recalled, from the first part of the proof, that $C_\alpha := \operatorname{Tr}[Q_1]/2$.

Equation (5.23). Fix now $\beta > 0$, and apply the Itô formula in Lemma 4.7 with the choices $\gamma = 1$, $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \ni r \mapsto h(r) = e^{\beta r}$ and to the stationary process X^ν . After taking the expectation to both sides of equation (4.20), we obtain for all $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} e^{\beta \|X_t^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} &= \mathbb{E} e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} - 2\beta\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{\beta \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds \\ &\quad - 2\beta \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{\beta \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} \langle B(X_s^\nu), X_s^\nu \rangle_{H^1} ds \\ &\quad + 2\beta\sqrt{\nu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{\beta \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} \langle X_s^\nu, dW_s \rangle_{H^1} \\ &\quad + 2\beta^2\nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|Q_1^{1/2} X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^2 e^{\beta \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} ds \\ &\quad + \beta\nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{\beta \|X_s^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the stationarity of the process, the left-hand side and the first term on the right-hand side are equal. The integral of the non-linearity vanishes, because of the property $\langle B(x), x \rangle_{H^1} = \langle B(x), Ax \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in H^2$. The expectation of the Itô integral is 0 by the properties of Itô integration. We employ Fubini's Theorem and the stationarity of the process to compute the time integral in all the other terms. We have for all $t \geq 0$

$$0 = -2\beta\nu t \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \right] + 2\beta^2\nu t \mathbb{E} \left[\|Q_1^{1/2} X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2 e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} \right] + \beta\nu \operatorname{Tr}[Q_1] t \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} \right].$$

Let us divide by $\beta\nu t$, for $t > 0$, and rewrite as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} \left(\text{Tr}[Q_1] + 2\beta \|Q_1^{1/2} X_0^\nu\|^2 - 2 \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \right) \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2} \left(\text{Tr}[Q_1] + 2\beta \text{Tr}[Q_1] \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^1}^2 - 2 \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \right) \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} \left(\text{Tr}[Q_1] + 2(\beta \text{Tr}[Q_1] - 1) \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \right) \right] \\
&= 2 \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} \left(\text{Tr}[Q_1] - (1 - \beta \text{Tr}[Q_1]) \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \right) \right] - \text{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

where for the first inequality we used $\|Q_1^{1/2} x\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|x\|_{H^1}^2 \text{Tr}[\Pi_x Q_1]$ for all $x \in H^1$, see equation (4.29), while for the second we used the Sobolev embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow H^{\alpha+1}$. Let us baptise the real random variable in the big round brackets as

$$J := \text{Tr}[Q_1] - (1 - \beta \text{Tr}[Q_1]) \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2,$$

and assume that $1 - \beta \text{Tr}[Q_1] > 0$ (i.e. $2\beta C_\alpha < 1$), so that $\mathbb{P}(J < \text{Tr}[Q_1]) = 1$. We reach from (5.24)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} &\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} J \right] \\
&\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} J \mathbf{1}_{\{J \geq 0\}} \right] \\
&\leq 2 \text{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{J \geq 0\}} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $J = J \mathbf{1}_{\{J \geq 0\}} + J \mathbf{1}_{\{J < 0\}} \leq J \mathbf{1}_{\{J \geq 0\}} \leq \text{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbf{1}_{\{J \geq 0\}}$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. Observe that

$$J \geq 0 \iff \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \leq \frac{\text{Tr}[Q_1]}{1 - \beta \text{Tr}[Q_1]},$$

hence

$$\text{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} \leq 2 \text{Tr}[Q_1] \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\{J \geq 0\}} \right] \leq 2 \text{Tr}[Q_1] e^{\beta \frac{\text{Tr}[Q_1]}{1 - \beta \text{Tr}[Q_1]}} \mathbb{P}(J \geq 0).$$

We divide by $\text{Tr}[Q_1]$ and use a change of variable, recalling that X_0^ν is distributed as μ_ν

$$\int_{H^{2\alpha}} e^{\beta \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} d\mu_\nu(x) = \mathbb{E} e^{\beta \|X_0^\nu\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2} \leq 2 e^{\beta \frac{\text{Tr}[Q_1]}{1 - \beta \text{Tr}[Q_1]}}.$$

The sought estimate (5.23) follows. \square

6 Invariant measure for the deterministic Euler Equation

In this section, we study the inviscid limit of the stochastic hyperviscous Navier-Stokes equations. The passage to the limit, as $\nu \rightarrow 0^+$, for the stationary solutions to equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$, see Proposition 5.11, will be conducted in the spirit of the Skorokhod theorem. Hence, it is necessary to demonstrate some tightness property. However, the usual trajectory spaces for the solution have topologies that are too large to prove tightness. A suitable trajectory space, which allows for the proof of a tightness result and the application of Jakubowski's generalization of the Skorokhod theorem, is introduced in the next definition.

Definition 6.1. Assume that $\alpha > 1$ and let W be an $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process. Assuming $T > 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{Z}_T := L_w^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1}) \cap L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^\alpha) \cap C([0, T]; H_w^\alpha) \cap C([0, T]; U'),$$

where

- U is the reproducing kernel of W . In particular, U is compactly embedded into $H^{2\alpha}$ and simultaneously $H^{-2\alpha}$ is compactly embedded into U' :

$$U \hookrightarrow H^{2\alpha} \hookrightarrow H = H' \hookrightarrow H^{-2\alpha} \hookrightarrow U'.$$

- H_{loc}^α is the vector space H^α endowed with the Fréchet topology generated by the family of seminorms

$$H^\alpha \ni x \mapsto \llbracket x \rrbracket_{H_n^\alpha} := \inf \{ \|\varphi x\|_{H^\alpha} : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2), \text{supp } \varphi \supset \bar{B}_n, \varphi|_{\bar{B}_n} = 1, \nabla \varphi \cdot x = 0 \}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\bar{B}_n := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi| \leq n\}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^\alpha)$ is the linear space of all measurable functions $v : [0, T] \rightarrow H^\alpha$ such that the functions $\llbracket v \rrbracket_{H_n^\alpha} : [0, T] \ni t \mapsto \llbracket v(t) \rrbracket_{H_n^\alpha} \in [0, +\infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, belong to $L^2(0, T)$. It is endowed with the Fréchet topology generated by the family of seminorms

$$L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^\alpha) \ni v \mapsto \llbracket v \rrbracket_{L^2(0, T; H_n^\alpha)} := \left(\int_0^T \llbracket v(t) \rrbracket_{H_n^\alpha}^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (6.2)$$

- $L_w^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$ is the linear space $L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$ endowed with the weak topology, namely the smallest topology that makes all the linear maps $L_w^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous. In particular, a sequence of functions $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$ converges in $L_w^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$ if there exists $v \in L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \langle \phi(t), v_n(t) - v(t) \rangle_{H^{\alpha+1}} dt = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in L^2(0, T; H^{-\alpha-1}).$$

- $C([0, T]; H_w^\alpha)$ is the linear space of continuous functions $v : [0, T] \rightarrow H_w^\alpha$, where H_w^α is the space H^α endowed with weak topology, see Notation 2.9. Since the domain $[0, T]$ is a sequential space, the notion of continuity is equivalent to that of sequential continuity, see Notation 2.1. Namely, a function $v : [0, T] \rightarrow H^\alpha$ belongs to $C([0, T]; H_w^\alpha)$ if for any sequence of times $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, T]$ convergent to some $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle y, v(t_n) - v(t) \rangle_{H^\alpha} = 0, \quad \forall y \in H^{-\alpha}.$$

This space is endowed with the compact-open topology, that is the smallest topology that contains all the sets $\{f \in C([0, T]; H_w^\alpha) : f(K) \subset V\}$, where K varies among compact subsets of $[0, T]$, and V among open subsets of H_w^α .

We endow \mathcal{Z}_T with the smallest topology that makes the natural embeddings from \mathcal{Z}_T into each of its four components, continuous (*i.e.* the smallest topology which contains the topologies of all the four components).

Lemma 6.2. *Assume that $\alpha > 1$ and let W be an $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process. If $\beta \geq -2\alpha$ and $T > 0$, then $C([0, T]; H^\beta) \cap \mathcal{Z}_T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T}$.*

Proof. From the embeddings $H^\beta \hookrightarrow H^{-2\alpha} \hookrightarrow U'$, we infer that $C([0, T]; H^\beta)$ is continuously embedded into $C([0, T]; U')$. Therefore, by Kuratowski's theorem [Kur66, Theorem 1, Section V, Chapter 39], see also [Kec95, Theorem 15.1], the space $C([0, T]; H^\beta)$ is a Borel subset of $C([0, T]; U')$. Eventually, the intersection $C([0, T]; H^\beta) \cap \mathcal{Z}_T$ is a Borel subset of $C([0, T]; U') \cap \mathcal{Z}_T = \mathcal{Z}_T$. \square

The following tightness criterion and the subsequent lemma are taken from [BM13, Section 3.2], where the results are stated in a general abstract setting. The abstract Hilbert spaces U , V and H in the reference are replaced in our setting by U , $H^{\alpha+1}$ and H^α , respectively.

Theorem 6.3 (Tightness criterion). *Assume that $\alpha > 1$ and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be an augmented filtered probability space with an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process W . Let U denote the reproducing kernel of W . Let also $T > 0$ and $X^n : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow U'$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be adapted and pathwise continuous stochastic processes. Assume that*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^n\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \int_0^T \|X_t^n\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 dt \right] < +\infty. \quad (6.3)$$

*Assume also that the sequence $\{X^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the Aldous condition in U' , *i.e.*, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, there exists $\theta \in (0, T]$ such that, for every sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $[0, T]$ -valued stopping times, we have*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{t \in [0, \theta]} \mathbb{P}(\|X_{t+\tau_n}^n - X_{\tau_n}^n\|_{U'} \geq \eta) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then the laws on $(\mathcal{Z}_T, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T})$ of the stochastic processes form a tight sequence, i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{Z}_T$ such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(X^n \in K_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

The space \mathcal{Z}_T is constructed *ad hoc* to guarantee the thesis of Theorem 6.3. This space must be equipped with a topology that is sufficiently strong to facilitate the convergence of sequences utilized in the subsequent analysis. Simultaneously, the topology must be weak enough to ensure the tightness result, meaning that there exists a compact set within this topology that satisfies the criteria for tightness.

The Aldous property mentioned in Theorem 6.3 is quite intricate to be verified. Hence we present, in the following lemma, a sufficient condition to guarantee the Aldous property. We refer to [BM13, Section 3.2] for the proof of this result.

Lemma 6.4. *Assume that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ is an augmented filtered probability space. Let U' be an Hilbert space and $T > 0$. Let $X^n : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow U'$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be pathwise continuous stochastic processes. Assume that there exist $C > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ such that for every $t \in (0, T]$ and any sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $[0, T]$ -valued stopping times*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \|X_{\tau_n+t}^n - X_{\tau_n}^n\|_{U'} \leq Ct^\gamma, \quad (6.4)$$

then the sequence $\{X^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the Aldous condition in U' , see Theorem 6.3.

We recall the Jakubowski's version [Jak97, Theorem 2] of the Skorokhod theorem, see also [BO13], and deduce a simple corollary, that will later come in handy.

Theorem 6.5 (Jakubowski Theorem). *Let \mathcal{X} be a topological space such that there exists a continuous and injective function $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{X} -valued Borel random variables defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Suppose that the sequence of laws $\{(X_n)_* \mathbb{P}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight in $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}})$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{X_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, a Borel random variable $Y : (\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and a sequence $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{X} -valued Borel random variables defined on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ such that*

$$(X_{n_k})_* \mathbb{P} = (Y_k)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (6.5)$$

$$Y_k \longrightarrow Y, \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s. \text{ in } \mathcal{X}, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.6)$$

Corollary 6.6. *Let \mathcal{X} be a topological space such that there exists a continuous and injective function $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a tight sequence of probability measures on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}})$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a probability measure μ on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}})$ such that*

$$\mu_{n_k} \longrightarrow \mu, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Assume the hypotheses. Proposition 10.6.1 in [Coh13] states the existence of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ (which is the countable product of the probability spaces $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}}, \mu_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$) and of \mathcal{X} -valued random variables X_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, such that $(X_n)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu_n$ on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the assumptions of Jakubowski's Theorem 6.5. Consequently there exists a subsequence $\{X_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, a Borel random variable $Y : (\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and a sequence $\{Y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{X} -valued Borel random variables defined on $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ such that the properties in (6.5) and (6.6) hold true. In particular, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ convergence implies convergence in law, i.e.

$$\mu_{n_k} = (X_{n_k})_* \mathbb{P} = (Y_k)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow Y_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mu, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the convergence is meant in the weak sense in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, see Notation 2.3. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Assume that $\alpha > 1$ and let W be an $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process. If $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, both the spaces H_{bw}^β , and $\mathcal{Z}_T \times C([0, T]; H^\beta)$ with the product topology, satisfy the assumption of Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 6.6.*

Proof. Let us momentarily say that a topological space \mathcal{X} has the S property if there exists an injective and continuous function $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

As for the topological space H_{bw}^β , we consider a complete orthonormal system $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for the separable Hilbert space H^β and define the function

$$F : H^\beta \ni x \mapsto F(x) := \{\langle x, e_n \rangle_{H^\beta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Then F is linear, thus $F \in C(H_w^\beta; \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ by definition of weak topology, see Notation 2.9, hence $F \in C(H_{bw}^\beta; \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ by Remark 2.11. Also, it is injective. Let indeed $x, x' \in H^\beta$ be such that $F(x) = F(x')$, then $F(x - x') = 0$ by linearity, hence $x = x'$ thanks to

$$\|x - x'\|_{H^\beta}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x - x', e_n \rangle_{H^\beta}^2 = 0.$$

The spaces $C([0, T]; H^\beta)$ and $C([0, T]; U')$ have the S property because they are Polish, see [Bad70, Exposé 8, page 124, Remark (b)].

As for the space \mathcal{Z}_T , we reason as follows. Let $\iota : \mathcal{Z}_T \rightarrow C([0, T]; U')$ be the natural embedding, in particular, ι is injective and continuous. Let $F : C([0, T]; U') \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an injective and continuous map, which exists because $C([0, T]; U')$ has the S property. Then $F \circ \iota : \mathcal{Z}_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is continuous and injective. Hence \mathcal{Z}_T has the S property.

It only remains to prove that, if two topological spaces \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} have the S property, so does the space $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ with the product topology. Let $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be two injective and continuous functions, then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by f_n and g_n the n -th component of F and G , respectively. We define $H : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \ni (x, y) \mapsto H(x, y) := \{h_n(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, where, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$

$$h_{2n-1}(x, y) := f_n(x), \quad h_{2n}(x, y) := g_n(y).$$

By direct inspection, $h_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are continuous with respect to the product topology on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, thus so is H . Finally, H is injective. Let indeed $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ be such that $H(x, y) = H(x', y')$. Then $f_n(x) = h_{2n-1}(x, y) = h_{2n-1}(x', y') = f_n(x')$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in particular, $F(x) = F(x')$, thus $x = x'$ by injectivity of F . Analogously, $y = y'$ since G is injective and $g_n(y) = h_{2n}(x, y) = h_{2n}(x', y') = g_n(y')$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $(x, y) = (x', y')$. \square

6.1 The inviscid limit

Lemma 6.8. *Assume that $\alpha > 1$. The following objects exist:*

- an infinitesimal sequence $\{\nu_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, +\infty)$,
- solutions $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^j)$ to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ with kinematic viscosity ν_j , for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,
- an augmented filtered probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ with an adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process \tilde{W} ,
- $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted stochastic processes $\tilde{X} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow H^{\alpha+1}$, and $\tilde{X}^j : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$,
- probability measures $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{\alpha+1})$, and $\mu_j \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

and they enjoy the following properties:

- (i) $(\tilde{X}_t^j)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (X_t^j)_* \mathbb{P} = \mu_j \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$, for all $t \geq 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $(\tilde{X}_t)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{\alpha+1})$ for all $t \geq 0$,
- (iii) for all $T > 0$, $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{Z}_T$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$,
- (iv) for all $T > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{X}^j \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_T$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$,
- (v) $\tilde{X}^j \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ in \mathcal{Z}_T , as $j \rightarrow \infty$,
- (vi) $\tilde{X} \in \bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; C([0, T]; H^1)) \cap L^2(\tilde{\Omega} \times [0, T]; H^{\alpha+1})$,

Proof. Let us fix $\alpha > 1$ and take the augmented filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ with the adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener process W from Proposition 5.11. Let us consider a sequence $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, +\infty)$ convergent to 0. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ be an invariant measure for the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ with kinematic viscosity ν_n instead of ν (which exists thanks to Theorem 5.10). Let us also denote by X^n the process from Proposition 5.11, which satisfies the following properties: X^n has the

regularities listed in equation (5.19), $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}; W; X^n)$ is a solution to the equation $(HNS_{\nu, \alpha})$ with kinematic viscosity ν_n , X^n is stationary with law $\mu_n = (X_t^n)_* \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ at every time $t \geq 0$.

Step 1. Let us fix an arbitrary $T > 0$. We intend to apply Theorem 6.3 to $X^n : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H^{2\alpha}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in order to prove that their laws, on the space $(\mathcal{Z}_T, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T})$, form a tight sequence.

First, observe that $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued processes with continuous trajectories, see Definition 4.1. In particular, they are also U' -valued pathwise continuous processes, which allows us to apply Theorem 6.3.

In order to verify the hypothesis (6.3) of Theorem 6.3, we fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and resort to the estimate (4.22) in Theorem 4.8 (iv), with $p = 2$, and to the stationarity of X^n . For a constant $C > 0$ dependent only on W ,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^n\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C(\mathbb{E}\|X_0^n\|_{H^1}^2 + T\nu_n) \leq C \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 d\mu_n(x) + CT\nu_n,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t^n\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 dt \leq T\mathbb{E}\|X_t^n\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 = T \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 d\mu_n(x).$$

Equation (5.21) in Theorem 5.12, and the boundedness of the sequence $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, give the uniform bound in n .

As for the second hypothesis of Theorem 6.3, that is the Aldous condition, we use Lemma 6.4 applied to $\{X^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. By Definition 4.1 we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, for all $t \geq 0$, in H^α

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^n &= X_0^n - \nu_n \int_0^t A^\alpha X_s^n ds - \int_0^t B(X_s^n) ds + \sqrt{\nu_n} W_t \\ &=: J_1^n + J_2^n(t) + J_3^n(t) + J_4^n(t). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\{\tau_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of stopping times in $[0, T]$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, T]$. The term $J_1^n := X_0^n$ satisfies hypothesis (6.4) for any $C, \gamma > 0$ because it is constant in time. As far as J_2^n is concerned:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|J_2^n(t + \tau_n) - J_2^n(\tau_n)\|_{U'} &\leq \nu_n \mathbb{E} \int_{\tau_n}^{t+\tau_n} \|A^\alpha X_s^n\|_{H^{1-\alpha}} ds \\ &\leq \nu_n \sqrt{t} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_{\tau_n}^{t+\tau_n} \|X_s^n\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\nu_n t} \left(\nu_n \mathbb{E} \int_0^{2T} \|X_s^n\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\nu_n t} C (\mathbb{E}\|X_0^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \nu_n T)^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{\nu_n t} C \left(\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^2 d\mu_n(x) + \nu_n T \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We first used the embedding $H^{1-\alpha} \hookrightarrow H^{-2\alpha} \hookrightarrow U'$, then the Hölder inequality, and at the end the estimate (4.22) for $p = 2$, with the fact that X_0^n is distributed as μ_n on $(H^{2\alpha}, \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}})$. Theorem 5.12 and the boundedness of the sequence $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ give the uniform bound in n .

For the non-linear part, we follow a similar reasoning and employ estimate (2.11) in Lemma 2.28 (with $\varepsilon = \alpha - 1 > 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|J_3^n(t + \tau_n) - J_3^n(\tau_n)\|_{U'} &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_{\tau_n}^{t+\tau_n} \|B(X_s^n)\|_{H^{1-\alpha}} ds \\ &\leq 2ct \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, 2T]} \|X_s^n\|_{H^1}^2 \\ &\leq Ct (\mathbb{E}\|X_0^n\|_{H^1}^2 + \nu_n T) \\ &= Ct \left(\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^2 d\mu_n(x) + \nu_n T \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last term is uniformly bounded in n , as already discussed.

As for J_4^n , we use the embedding $H^{2\alpha} \hookrightarrow U'$, Hölder's inequality and the covariance operator $Q \in \mathcal{L}_1(H^{2\alpha})$ of W_1

$$\mathbb{E}\|J_4^n(t + \tau_n) - J_4^n(\tau_n)\|_{U'} \leq \sqrt{\nu_n} \left[\mathbb{E}\|W_{t+\tau_n} - W_{\tau_n}\|_{H^{2\alpha}}^2 \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{\nu_n t} (\operatorname{Tr}[Q])^{1/2}.$$

The last term is uniformly bounded in n because $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 6.3 the laws of X^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, form a tight sequence on $(\mathcal{Z}_T, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T})$.

Step 2. Assume again that $T > 0$. We apply Theorem 6.5 to the sequence $\{(X^n, W)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathcal{Z}_T \times C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})$ -valued random variables, thanks to Lemma 6.7.

Observe that $W_*\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}))$ is tight because the space is separable and complete, see [Bil99, Theorem 1.3]. Furthermore, Step 1 assures that the sequence of laws $\{(X^n)_*\mathbb{P}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_T)$ is tight. These two facts imply that $\{(X^n, W)_*\mathbb{P}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight in $(\mathcal{Z}_T \times C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}), \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T \times C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})})$. Therefore, Theorem 6.5 returns a probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, a subsequence indexed by $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\mathcal{Z}_T \times C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})$ -valued random variables (\tilde{X}, \tilde{W}) , $(\tilde{X}^k, \tilde{W}^k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{X}^k, \tilde{W}^k)_*\tilde{\mathbb{P}} &= (X^{n_k}, W)_*\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_T \times C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})), & \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (\tilde{X}^k, \tilde{W}^k) &\longrightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{W}), & \text{in } \mathcal{Z}_T \times C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}), \tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s., \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T \times C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T} \times \mathcal{B}_{C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})}$, see [Bog07, Lemma 6.4.2, Volume II]. Therefore, the functions $\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}^k : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_T$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T}$ -measurable random variables, while $\tilde{W}, \tilde{W}^k : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{B}_{C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})}$ -measurable. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{X}^k)_*\tilde{\mathbb{P}} &= (X^{n_k})_*\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_T), & \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (\tilde{W}^k)_*\tilde{\mathbb{P}} &= \tilde{W}_*\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = W_*\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})), & \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

In particular, it follows easily from the Lévy Martingale Characterization Theorem, that \tilde{W}, \tilde{W}^k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted $H^{2\alpha}$ -valued Wiener processes, see, for instance, [DHV16, Lemma 4.8]. Eventually,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}^k &\longrightarrow \tilde{X}, & \text{in } \mathcal{Z}_T, \tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s., \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \\ \tilde{W}^k &\longrightarrow \tilde{W}, & \text{in } C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}), \tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s., \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Step 3. We prove further regularities for the stochastic processes $\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}^k : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow U'$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T > 0$. First, recall that $C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_T \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}_T}$ from Lemma 6.2. This fact, together with $X^{n_k} \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha})$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, see Definition 4.1, and by the property in (6.7), yields

$$\tilde{X}^k \in C([0, T]; H^{2\alpha}), \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$$

Additionally, by (6.7), recalling the estimate (4.22) from Theorem 4.8 (iv) and the stationarity of the process $\{X_t^{n_k}\}_{t \geq 0}$, we have for any $p \geq 2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\|\tilde{X}^k\|_{C([0, T]; H^1)}^p + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\|\tilde{X}^k\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})}^2 &= \int_{\mathcal{Z}_T} \|x\|_{C([0, T]; H^1)}^p + \|x\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})}^2 d((\tilde{X}^k)_*\tilde{\mathbb{P}})(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{Z}_T} \|x\|_{C([0, T]; H^1)}^p + \|x\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})}^2 d((X^{n_k})_*\mathbb{P})(x) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^{n_k}\|_{H^1}^p + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|X_t^{n_k}\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 dt \\ &\leq C_p (\mathbb{E}\|X_0^{n_k}\|_{H^1}^p + T\nu_{n_k}^{p/2}) + T \mathbb{E}\|X_0^{n_k}\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 \\ &= C_p \left(\int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^1}^p d\mu_{n_k} + T\nu_{n_k}^{p/2} \right) + T \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 d\mu_{n_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is uniformly bounded in k , thanks to Theorem 5.12 and to the boundedness of $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Consequently, there exists a subsequence of $\{\tilde{X}^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly convergent in $L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; C([0, T]; H^1))$ and in $L^2(\tilde{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1}))$. We can extract a further subsequence convergent $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ in $L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$, thus also in $L_w^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$. However, we know from (6.8) that the whole sequence $\{\tilde{X}^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ to \tilde{X} in \mathcal{Z}_T , thus also in $L_w^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$, see Definition 6.1. By uniqueness of the limit, we get

$$\tilde{X} \in \bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; C([0, T]; H^1)) \cap L^2(\tilde{\Omega} \times [0, T]; H^{\alpha+1}).$$

Step 4. We are going to show that the process $\tilde{X} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow U'$ is stationary and that it is $H^{\alpha+1}$ -valued.

By recalling that $\mathcal{B}_{H^{\alpha+1}} \cap H^{2\alpha} = \mathcal{B}_{H^{2\alpha}}$ from Remark 2.6, we can trivially extend the measures $\mu_{n_k} \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, to the measurable space $(H^{\alpha+1}, \mathcal{B}_{H^{\alpha+1}})$, by defining

$$\tilde{\mu}_{n_k} : \mathcal{B}_{H^{\alpha+1}} \ni E \mapsto \mu_{n_k}(E \cap H^{2\alpha}), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, since the measurable spaces $(H^{\alpha+1}, \mathcal{B}_{H^{\alpha+1}})$ and $(H_w^{\alpha+1}, \mathcal{B}_{H_w^{\alpha+1}})$ coincide, see Remark 2.14, we infer $\mathcal{P}(H^{\alpha+1}) = \mathcal{P}(H_w^{\alpha+1})$. If $R > 0$, let us denote $\bar{B}_R^{H^{\alpha+1}} := \{x \in H^{\alpha+1} : \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \leq R\}$, then we have for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{n_k}(H^{\alpha+1} \setminus \bar{B}_R^{H^{\alpha+1}}) &= \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{H^{\alpha+1} \setminus \bar{B}_R^{H^{\alpha+1}}} R^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{n_k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{H^{\alpha+1} \setminus \bar{B}_R^{H^{\alpha+1}}} \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{n_k}(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \|x\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}^2 d\mu_{n_k}(x) \\ &= \frac{C_\alpha}{R^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 5.12 in the last line. These calculations imply that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_{n_k}(H^{\alpha+1} \setminus \bar{B}_R^{H^{\alpha+1}}) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Closed balls in $H^{\alpha+1}$ are compact in $H_w^{\alpha+1}$ by the Banach-Alaoglu Theorem, thus they are compact also in $H_{bw}^{\alpha+1}$, as discussed in Remark 2.12. Therefore, we showed tightness on $H_{bw}^{\alpha+1}$ for the sequence of laws $\{\tilde{\mu}_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{P}(H_{bw}^{\alpha+1})$. By Lemma 6.7 and Corollary 6.6 of Jakubowski's Theorem, there exists a family of indices $\{k_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$, and a probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{\alpha+1})$ such that

$$\tilde{\mu}_{n_{k_j}} \longrightarrow \mu, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(H_{bw}^{\alpha+1}), \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.9)$$

Since the natural embedding $\iota : H^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow U'$ is measurable, we can trivially extend each $\tilde{\mu}_{n_{k_j}}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and μ to the measurable space $(U', \mathcal{B}_{U'})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_j : \mathcal{B}_{U'} \ni E \mapsto \iota_* \tilde{\mu}_{n_{k_j}}(E) &= \tilde{\mu}_{n_{k_j}}(E \cap H^{\alpha+1}) = \mu_{n_{k_j}}(E \cap H^{2\alpha}), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \bar{\mu} : \mathcal{B}_{U'} \ni E \mapsto \iota_* \mu(E) &= \mu(E \cap H^{\alpha+1}). \end{aligned}$$

We now show that the convergence in (6.9) implies

$$\bar{\mu}_{n_{k_j}} \longrightarrow \bar{\mu}, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(U'), \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.10)$$

Indeed, since $H^{\alpha+1}$ is compactly embedded into U' , we infer that $\iota \in C(H_{bw}^{\alpha+1}; U')$ from Remark 2.15. Hence, if $f \in C_b(U')$, then $f \circ \iota \in C_b(H_{bw}^{\alpha+1})$. Therefore, by the change of variable theorem and the convergence in (6.9), we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U'} f d\bar{\mu}_{n_{k_j}} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{H^{\alpha+1}} f \circ \iota d\tilde{\mu}_{n_{k_j}} = \int_{H^{\alpha+1}} f \circ \iota d\mu = \int_{U'} f d\bar{\mu},$$

which proves the convergence in (6.10)

By the convergence in (6.8) we know that $\tilde{X}^k \longrightarrow \tilde{X}$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ in $C([0, T]; U')$, in particular, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $(\tilde{X}_t^k)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow (\tilde{X}_t)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ in $\mathcal{P}(U')$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{X}_t)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} &\longleftarrow (\tilde{X}_t^{k_j})_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \bar{\mu}_j = (\tilde{X}_0^{k_j})_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \longrightarrow (\tilde{X}_0)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ &\quad \bar{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

where the convergences are all intended in $\mathcal{P}(U')$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. We conclude that \tilde{X}_t and \tilde{X}_0 have the same distribution $\bar{\mu}$ on $(U', \mathcal{B}_{U'})$, where $\bar{\mu}(H^{\alpha+1}) = \mu(H^{\alpha+1}) = 1$. In particular, the process \tilde{X} is $H^{\alpha+1}$ -valued and stationary. \square

Theorem 6.9. Assume that $\sigma > 2$. There exists an augmented filtered probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$, and an adapted H^σ -valued stochastic process \tilde{X} (the same from Lemma 6.8 with $\alpha := \sigma - 1$), such that

$$\tilde{X} \in \bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\tilde{\Omega}; C([0, T]; H^1)) \cap L^2(\tilde{\Omega} \times [0, T]; H^\sigma), \quad \forall T \geq 0,$$

and such that, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.e.$ $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$, the function $\tilde{X}(\omega) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow H^\sigma$ is a solution to the Euler Equation (EE). Moreover, this process is stationary, i.e. $(\tilde{X}_t)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (\tilde{X}_0)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma)$, for $t \geq 0$. In particular, the probability measure $(\tilde{X}_0)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma)$ is an invariant measure for the Euler Equation (EE), see Definition 2.32.

Proof. Step 1. For a fixed $\sigma > 2$, let us define $\alpha := \sigma - 1$ and for that α we take the objects constructed in Lemma 6.8. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let us define $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_t^j &:= \tilde{X}_t^j + \nu_j \int_0^t A^\alpha \tilde{X}_s^j ds + \int_0^t B(\tilde{X}_s^j) ds - \tilde{X}_0^j, \quad \text{in } H^{1-\alpha}, \\ M_t^j &:= X_t^j + \nu_j \int_0^t A^\alpha X_s^j ds + \int_0^t B(X_s^j) ds - X_0^j, \quad \text{in } H^\alpha, \\ \tilde{M}_t &:= \tilde{X}_t + \int_0^t B(\tilde{X}_s) ds - \tilde{X}_0, \quad \text{in } H^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $y \in H^{\alpha-1}$ and fix $t \in [0, T]$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that the real-valued random variables $H^{1-\alpha} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}}$ and $\langle M_t^j, y \rangle$ have the same distribution on $(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{B}_\mathbb{R})$.

First of all, let ι_3 be the natural embedding $\mathcal{Z}_T \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; H_w^\alpha)$. Let also $\iota_t : C([0, T]; H_w^\alpha) \ni u \mapsto u(t) \in H_w^\alpha$, and $p_y : H_w^\alpha \ni x \mapsto \langle y, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. Then both the evaluation map ι_t and the functional p_y are continuous with respect to the specified topologies. Therefore

$$\langle \tilde{X}_t^j, y \rangle_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (p_y \iota_t \iota_3 \tilde{X}^j)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (p_y \iota_t \iota_3 X^j)_* \mathbb{P} = \langle X_t^j, y \rangle_* \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Let ι_1 be the natural embedding $\mathcal{Z}_t \hookrightarrow L_w^2(0, t; H^{\alpha+1})$. Define the linear operator $l_y : L_w^2(0, t; H^{1-\alpha}) \ni u \mapsto \int_0^t H^{1-\alpha} \langle u(s), y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} ds \in \mathbb{R}$, which is sequentially continuous with the specified topology, thus measurable by Remark 2.14. Observe also that $A^\alpha : L_w^2(0, t; H^{\alpha+1}) \rightarrow L_w^2(0, t; H^{1-\alpha})$ is continuous. Therefore

$$\left(\int_0^t H^{1-\alpha} \langle A^\alpha \tilde{X}_s^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} ds \right)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (l_y A^\alpha \iota_1 \tilde{X}^j)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (l_y A^\alpha \iota_1 X^j)_* \mathbb{P} = \left(\int_0^t \langle A^\alpha X_s^j, y \rangle ds \right)_* \mathbb{P}.$$

We know from Lemma A.1 (with $\beta = \alpha - 1 > 0$) that the function $L^2(0, t; H_{loc}^\alpha) \ni u \mapsto B \circ u \in L^1(0, t; H_w^{1-\alpha})$ is sequentially continuous on bounded sets of $L^2(0, t; H^\alpha)$. Observe also that if $u_n \rightarrow u$ in \mathcal{Z}_t , then it converges in $L^2(0, t; H_{loc}^\alpha)$ and in $L_w^2(0, t; H^{\alpha+1})$, in particular it is bounded in $L^2(0, t; H^{\alpha+1})$, and so in $L^2(0, t; H^\alpha)$. Therefore the function $\tilde{B} : \mathcal{Z}_t \ni u \mapsto \tilde{B}(u) := B \circ u \in L^1(0, t; H_w^{1-\alpha})$ is sequentially continuous. Moreover, define the linear operator $r_y : L^1(0, t; H_w^{1-\alpha}) \ni u \mapsto \int_0^t H^{1-\alpha} \langle u(s), y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} ds \in \mathbb{R}$, which is sequentially continuous with the specified topology. Therefore the map $r_y \tilde{B} : \mathcal{Z}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also sequentially continuous, thus measurable by Remark 2.14. Then

$$\left(\int_0^t \langle B(\tilde{X}_s^j), y \rangle ds \right)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (r_y \tilde{B}(\tilde{X}^j))_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = (r_y \tilde{B}(X^j))_* \mathbb{P} = \left(\int_0^t \langle B(X_s^j), y \rangle ds \right)_* \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Step 2. Assume that $y \in H^{\alpha-1}$ and $t \in [0, T]$, then we show that $H^{1-\alpha} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow \langle \tilde{M}_t, y \rangle$ in $L^1(\tilde{\Omega})$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, by the Vitali Convergence Theorem.

We proceed to study the $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ convergence of each term separately, which in turn implies convergence in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -measure. As for the non-linear term, we infer from Lemma 6.8 (v) that the sequence $\{\tilde{X}^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ convergent in $L_w^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$, hence bounded in $L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$, hence bounded in $L^2(0, T; H^\alpha)$. It also converges $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ in $L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^\alpha)$ to \tilde{X} . Therefore, by Lemma A.1 (with $\beta = \alpha > 1$) and Remark 2.20, we have $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \langle B(\tilde{X}_s^j) - B(\tilde{X}_s), y \rangle ds = 0.$$

We proceed similarly for the linear term. The convergence in Lemma 6.8 (v) implies that $\{\tilde{X}^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ to \tilde{X} in $L^2(0, T; H_w^{\alpha+1})$. Thus, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t {}_{H^{1-\alpha}} \langle A^\alpha \tilde{X}_s^j - A^\alpha \tilde{X}_s, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} ds = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\alpha-1}} \langle A^\alpha y, \tilde{X}_s^j - \tilde{X}_s \rangle_{H^{\alpha+1}} ds = 0.$$

Finally, $\tilde{X}^j \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ in $C([0, T]; H_w^\alpha)$ by Lemma 6.8 (v), hence $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \tilde{X}_t^j - \tilde{X}_t, y \rangle = 0.$$

Moreover, we know from Step 1 that ${}_{H^{1-\alpha}} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}}$ has the same law in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ as $\langle M_t^j, y \rangle$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. In addition, $M^j = \sqrt{\nu_j} W$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$, in the trajectory space $C([0, T]; H^\alpha)$, hence

$$\left({}_{H^{1-\alpha}} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} \right)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \langle \sqrt{\nu_j} W, y \rangle_* \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}).$$

This fact gives a uniform bound in j in the space $L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$, thanks to

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left| {}_{H^{1-\alpha}} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} \right|^2 = \mathbb{E} |\langle \sqrt{\nu_j} W, y \rangle|^2 \leq \nu_j \|y\|^2 \mathbb{E} \|W_t\|^2, \quad (6.11)$$

where the last term is bounded in j because the sequence $\{\nu_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is infinitesimal. The uniform bound in $L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies uniform integrability.

To sum up, we obtained the sought uniform integrability and convergence in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -measure for the sequence $\left\{ {}_{H^{1-\alpha}} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real random variables. This allows us to apply Vitali's Convergence Theorem to infer that

$${}_{H^{1-\alpha}} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow \langle \tilde{X}_t, y \rangle + \int_0^t \langle B(\tilde{X}_s), y \rangle ds - \langle \tilde{X}_0, y \rangle = \langle \tilde{M}_t, y \rangle, \quad \text{in } L^1(\tilde{\Omega}), \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 3. We conclude the reasoning by proving that, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.e.$ $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $\tilde{X}(\omega)$ is a solution to the Euler Equation (EE).

Assume that $y \in H^{\alpha-1}$ and $t \in [0, T]$. We proved in Step 2 that ${}_{H^{1-\alpha}} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow \langle \tilde{M}_t, y \rangle$ in $L^1(\tilde{\Omega})$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. We see from estimate (6.11) that ${}_{H^{1-\alpha}} \langle \tilde{M}_t^j, y \rangle_{H^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. We infer by the uniqueness of the limit that $\langle \tilde{M}_t, y \rangle = 0$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ This fact implies, by arbitrariness of $y \in H^{\alpha-1}$, that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ in $H^{1-\alpha}$

$$\tilde{X}_t + \int_0^t B(\tilde{X}_s) ds = \tilde{X}_0. \quad (6.12)$$

Lemma 6.8 states that \tilde{X} is $H^{\alpha+1}$ -valued, thus the identity holds $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ in $H^{\alpha+1}$. Additionally, we infer from Lemma 6.8 (vi) that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{X} \in L^2(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})) = 1$, thus also $\tilde{X}_0 - \int_0^t B(\tilde{X}_s) ds \in C([0, T]; H^\alpha)$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ In particular $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{X} \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^\alpha)) = 1$, by arbitrariness of $T > 0$. It only remains to show that for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.e.$ $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $\tilde{X}(\omega) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{\alpha+1})$.

By recalling from Theorem 2.31 the definition of the Euler flow map Φ , and since \tilde{X}_0 is $H^{\alpha+1}$ -valued, we define the $H^{\alpha+1}$ -valued stochastic process

$$Y : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \tilde{\Omega} \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto Y_t(\omega) := \Phi(t, \tilde{X}_0(\omega)).$$

Then, by Theorem 2.31, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(Y \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{\alpha+1})) = 1$, and $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$

$$Y_t - \int_0^t B(Y_s) ds = \tilde{X}_0, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (6.13)$$

We compare equations (6.12) and (6.13) by means of estimate 2.9 in Lemma 2.28 (with $\sigma = \alpha + 1 > 2$), and infer that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$

$$\|\tilde{X}_t - Y_t\|_{H^\alpha} \leq \int_0^t \|\tilde{X}_s - Y_s\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \|\tilde{X}_s - Y_s\|_{H^\alpha} ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

This implies by the Grönwall Lemma and the fact that both \tilde{X} , and Y belong $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$ to $L^1(0, T; H^{\alpha+1})$ for any $T > 0$, that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} - a.s.$

$$\tilde{X}_t = Y_t = \Phi(t, \tilde{X}_0), \quad \text{in } H^\alpha, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Since, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}-a.e. \omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$, both functions $Y(\omega)$, and $\tilde{X}(\omega)$ are $H^{\alpha+1}$ -valued, and since $Y(\omega) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{\alpha+1})$, we infer that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{X} \in C(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{\alpha+1})) = 1$. In particular, for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}-a.e. \omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $\tilde{X}(\omega)$ is a solution to the Euler Equation (EE).

Step 4. Let us denote $\mu := (\tilde{X}_0)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma)$. Then we can prove that μ is an invariant measure for the Euler Equation (EE). If indeed $F \in \mathcal{B}_{H^\sigma}$, and $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\mu(F) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{X}_0 \in F) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{X}_t \in F) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\Phi(t, \tilde{X}_0) \in F) = \int_{H^\sigma} \mathbb{1}_F(\Phi_t) d\mu = \mu(\Phi_t^{-1}(F)) = (\Phi_t)_* \mu(F).$$

The second equality is due to the stationarity of \tilde{X} , see Lemma 6.8 (ii), while the third equality comes from the previous step. The chain of equalities implies $\mu = (\Phi_t)_* \mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma)$. \square

6.2 Moment estimates

This last part is devoted to providing some moment estimates to the invariant measure for the Euler Equation constructed above.

Theorem 6.10. *Assume that $\sigma > 2$. There exists a finite constant $C_\sigma > 0$ such that, if $0 < \beta < 2C_\sigma$, and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma)$ is the invariant measure for the Euler Equation (EE) constructed in Theorem 6.9, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{H^\sigma} \|x\|_{H^\sigma}^2 d\mu(x) &= C_\sigma, \\ \int_{H^\sigma} \|x\|_{H^1}^{2n} d\mu(x) &\leq (2n-1)!! C_\sigma^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \int_{H^\sigma} e^{\beta \|x\|_{H^\sigma}^2} d\mu(x) &\leq 2e^{\frac{2\beta C_\sigma}{1-2\beta C_\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us fix $\sigma > 2$ and consider the objects introduced in Lemma 6.8 for $\alpha := \sigma - 1$. In particular, we know from Theorem 6.9 that $\mu = (\tilde{X}_0)_* \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathcal{P}(H^\sigma)$ is an invariant measure for the deterministic Euler Equation, see Definition 2.32.

We denote the following Hilbert spaces

$$E_1 = E_3 := H^\sigma, \quad E_2 := H^1,$$

with the respective norms $\|\cdot\|_{E_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and observe that each E_i is compactly embedded into U' , where U is the reproducing kernel of the Wiener process W . Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > 0$, then we define $\varphi_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ as follows, for all $r \geq 0$

$$\varphi_1(r) := r^2, \quad \varphi_2(r) := r^{2n}, \quad \varphi_3(r) := e^{\beta r^2}.$$

We observe that each φ_i is strictly increasing. For any $i = 1, 2, 3$ we define

$$\eta_i : U' \ni x \mapsto \begin{cases} \varphi_i(\|x\|_{E_i}) & \forall x \in E_i \\ +\infty & \forall x \in U' \setminus E_i \end{cases}.$$

Fix $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Example 2.12 in [BS13] proves that $\eta_i : U' \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is lower semicontinuous, see [Bre10, Section 1.3] and the references therein for the definition and the main properties. In particular, if $x \in U'$ and if $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U'$ is a sequence convergent to x in U' , then $\eta_i(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_i(x_n)$.

Since, by Lemma 6.8 (v), $\tilde{X}^j \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}-a.s.$ in \mathcal{Z}_T , and since $\mathcal{Z}_T \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; U')$, if $\iota : H^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow U'$, and $\tilde{\iota} : H^{2\alpha} \rightarrow U'$ denote the natural embedding, then

$$\tilde{\iota} \tilde{X}_0^j \rightarrow \iota \tilde{X}_0, \quad \text{in } U', \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}-a.s., \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, thanks to the above discussion,

$$\eta_i(\iota \tilde{X}_0) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \eta_i(\tilde{\iota} \tilde{X}_0^j), \quad \tilde{\mathbb{P}}-a.s.$$

Let us take the expectation with respect to $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, that we denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$, to both members of this inequality and use Fatou's Lemma. We obtain

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\eta_i(\iota \tilde{X}_0)] \leq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \eta_i(\tilde{\iota} \tilde{X}_0^j)\right] \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\eta_i(\tilde{\iota} \tilde{X}_0^j)].$$

We denote by $\bar{\mu}$, and $\bar{\mu}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the trivial extensions to $(U', \mathcal{B}_{U'})$ of the probability measures $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H^{\alpha+1})$, and $\mu_j \in \mathcal{P}(H^{2\alpha})$ from Lemma 6.8, respectively. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu} : \mathcal{B}_{U'} \ni F &\mapsto \bar{\mu}(F) := \mu(F \cap H^{\alpha+1}) \\ \bar{\mu}_j : \mathcal{B}_{U'} \ni F &\mapsto \bar{\mu}_j(F) := \mu_j(F \cap H^{2\alpha}), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

We infer from Lemma 6.8 (i), (ii), that

$$\begin{aligned}(\iota\tilde{X}_0)_*\tilde{\mathbb{P}} &= \bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}(U') \\ (\tilde{\iota}\tilde{X}_0^j)_*\tilde{\mathbb{P}} &= \bar{\mu}_j \in \mathcal{P}(U'), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude the reasoning as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{H^{\alpha+1}} \varphi_i(\|x\|_{E_i}) d\mu(x) &= \int_{U'} \eta_i(x) d\bar{\mu}(x) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\eta_i(\iota\tilde{X}_0)] \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\eta_i(\tilde{\iota}\tilde{X}_0^j)] \\ &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U'} \eta_i(x) d\bar{\mu}_j(x) \\ &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{H^{2\alpha}} \varphi_i(\|x\|_{E_i}) d\mu_j(x).\end{aligned}$$

The theses follow from the last inequality, thanks to the uniform estimates in Theorem 5.12. \square

Appendices

A Auxiliary results

Lemma A.1. *Assume that $\beta > 0$. Let $u \in L^2(0, T; H^{\beta+1})$ and let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^2(0, T; H^{\beta+1})$ convergent to u in $L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^{\beta+1})$.*

- If $\beta > 1$, then for all $y \in H^{-\beta}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t \langle y, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} ds \right| = 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

- If $\beta \in (0, 1]$, then for all $y \in H^\beta$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t \langle B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)), y \rangle_{H^\beta} ds \right| = 0.$$

Proof. We give the proof only in the case $\beta > 1$, the complementary being analogous.

Step 1. Assume first that $y \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap H^{-\beta}$, in particular, there exists $R \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the support of y is contained in $\bar{B}_R := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi| \leq R\}$. Assume also that $x, z \in H^{\beta+1}$. Let us fix $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\bar{B}_R \subset \text{supp } \varphi \cap \text{supp } \psi$, $\varphi(\xi) = \psi(\xi) = 1$ for $\xi \in \bar{B}_R$, and $\nabla\varphi \cdot x = \nabla\psi \cdot z = 0$. Observe in particular that $B(x, z), B(\varphi x, \psi z) \in H \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$, then, thanks to the Plancherel Theorem,

and to the bounded support of y

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(x, z) \rangle_{H^\beta} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{F}[B(x, z)] \cdot \mathcal{F}[y] \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \begin{pmatrix} x \cdot \nabla z_1 \\ x \cdot \nabla z_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot y \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \\
&= \int_{\bar{B}_R} \begin{pmatrix} x \cdot \nabla z_1 \\ x \cdot \nabla z_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot y \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \\
&= \int_{\bar{B}_R} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi x \cdot \nabla(\psi z_1) \\ \varphi x \cdot \nabla(\psi z_2) \end{pmatrix} \cdot y \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi x \cdot \nabla(\psi z_1) \\ \varphi x \cdot \nabla(\psi z_2) \end{pmatrix} \cdot y \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{F}[B(\varphi x, \psi z)] \cdot \mathcal{F}[y] \, d\mathcal{L}^2 \\
&= {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(\varphi x, \psi z) \rangle_{H^\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

By estimate (2.9) in Lemma 2.28 (with $\sigma = \beta + 1 > 2$), there exists a finite constant $c > 0$, depending on β , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(x, z) \rangle_{H^\beta} \right| &= \left| {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(\varphi x, \psi z) \rangle_{H^\beta} \right| \\
&\leq c \|\varphi x\|_{H^\beta} \|\psi z\|_{H^{\beta+1}} \|y\|_{H^{-\beta}} \\
&\leq c \|\varphi x\|_{H^{\beta+1}} \|\psi z\|_{H^{\beta+1}} \|y\|_{H^{-\beta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the infimum to the first and last side of the last chain of inequalities, over all functions φ, ψ satisfying the previously stated conditions, we get

$$\left| {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(x, z) \rangle_{H^\beta} \right| \leq c \llbracket x \rrbracket_{H_R^{\beta+1}} \llbracket z \rrbracket_{H_R^{\beta+1}} \|y\|_{H^{-\beta}},$$

where we resorted to the seminorm on $H_{loc}^{\beta+1}$ analogous to the one defined in equation (6.1).

Step 2. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a.e. $s \in [0, T]$, we use the bilinearity of B with the estimate from the previous step:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} \right| &= \left| {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(u_n(s) - u(s), u_n(s)) - B(u(s), u_n(s) - u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} \right| \\
&\leq c \llbracket u_n(s) - u(s) \rrbracket_{H_R^{\beta+1}} \left(\llbracket u_n(s) \rrbracket_{H_R^{\beta+1}} + \llbracket u(s) \rrbracket_{H_R^{\beta+1}} \right) \|y\|_{H^{-\beta}} \\
&\leq c \llbracket u_n(s) - u(s) \rrbracket_{H_R^{\beta+1}} (\|u_n(s)\|_{H^{\beta+1}} + \|u(s)\|_{H^{\beta+1}}) \|y\|_{H^{-\beta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We integrate in time for $s \in [0, t] \subseteq [0, T]$ and resort to the Hölder inequality and to the seminorm on $L^2(0, T; H_{loc}^{\beta+1})$ analogous to the one defined in equation (6.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} \, ds \right| \\
&\leq c \llbracket u_n - u \rrbracket_{L^2(0, T; H_R^{\beta+1})} \left(\|u_n\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{\beta+1})} + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{\beta+1})} \right) \|y\|_{H^{-\beta}},
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit inferior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to both members of this last estimate, and by recalling the hypotheses of the lemma, we get the validity of equation (A.1) for $y \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap H^{-\beta}$.

Step 3. Assume now $y \in H^{-\beta}$ and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By density, there exists $y_\varepsilon \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap H^{-\beta}$ such that $\|y - y_\varepsilon\|_{H^{-\beta}} \leq \varepsilon$. We use once again the estimate from Step 1 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a.e. $s \in [0, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} \\
&= {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y - y_\varepsilon, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} + {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y_\varepsilon, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} \\
&\leq c \llbracket u_n(s) - u(s) \rrbracket_{H_R^{\beta+1}} (\|u_n(s)\|_{H^{\beta+1}} + \|u(s)\|_{H^{\beta+1}}) \|y - y_\varepsilon\|_{H^{-\beta}} + {}_{H^{-\beta}}\langle y_\varepsilon, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

We integrate over $s \in [0, t] \subseteq [0, T]$, estimate by the Hölder inequality and take the supremum for $t \in [0, T]$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\beta}} \langle y, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} ds \right| \\ & \leq c \|u_n - u\|_{L^2(0, T; H_R^{\beta+1})} (\|u_n\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{\beta+1})} + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; H^{\beta+1})}) \|y\|_{H^{-\beta}} \\ & \quad + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^t {}_{H^{-\beta}} \langle y_\varepsilon, B(u_n(s)) - B(u(s)) \rangle_{H^\beta} ds \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Both terms in the right-hand side are infinitesimal: the first thanks to the hypotheses, while the second by *Step 2*. Hence, the result follows by taking the limit inferior as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

B Grönwall Lemmas

We recall a weak version of the differential form of the classical Grönwall Lemma, which does not require the differentiability of functions.

Lemma B.1. *Assume that $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and that there exist $a, b \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that, in the distributional sense in $\mathbb{R}_+^* := (0, +\infty)$*

$$f' \leq af + b. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Then,

$$f(t) \leq e^{A(t)} \left(f(0) + \int_0^t b(s) e^{-A(s)} ds \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where

$$A(t) := \exp \int_0^t a(s) ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let us define $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(t) := f(t) e^{-A(t)} - \int_0^t b(s) e^{-A(s)} ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then the distributional derivative of g on the interval $\mathbb{R}_+^* := (0, +\infty)$, satisfies

$$g' = f' e^{-A} - a f e^{-A} - b e^{-A} \leq 0, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where we used the hypothesis in equation (B.1) for the inequality. Let $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ be such that $\rho \geq 0$ and $\int_0^{+\infty} \rho(s) ds = 1$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\rho_n(s) := n \rho(ns)$, $s > 0$, then

$$\rho_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*), \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \rho_n(s) ds = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let φ be a generic non-negative function in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us define

$$\psi_n := -\varphi + \rho_n \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(s) ds.$$

By direct inspection we have $\psi_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ and $\int_0^{+\infty} \psi_n(s) ds = 0$, in particular, its primitive $\Psi_n(t) := \int_0^t \psi_n(s) ds$, $t > 0$, satisfies again $\Psi_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$. Therefore, if we test the inequality (B.3) against Ψ_n we reach

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \geq {}_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+^*)} \langle g', \Psi_n \rangle_{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)} \\ & = - {}_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+^*)} \langle g, \psi_n \rangle_{C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*)} \\ & = \int_0^{+\infty} g(t) \varphi(t) dt - \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \rho_n(s) ds \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) dt \\ & = \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) \left(g(t) - \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \rho_n(s) ds \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

This implies, by arbitrariness of $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^*; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and continuity of g , that for all $t \geq 0$

$$g(t) \leq \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\rho_n(s) ds = \int_0^{+\infty} g(r/n)\rho(r) dr \longrightarrow g(0), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where we resorted to the Dominated Convergence Theorem to pass to the limit. The sought estimate (B.2) is derived from $g(t) \leq g(0)$, $t \geq 0$, by recalling the definition of g , and by multiplying by e^A . \square

We also recall a simple version of the integral Grönwall's Lemma that suits our necessities. Since we could not find a proper reference, we have provided a proof.

Lemma B.2. *Assume that $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative continuous function and that there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$f(t) \leq f(s) - C_1 \int_s^t f(r) dr + C_2(t - s), \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Then

$$f(t) \leq \frac{C_2}{C_1} + e^{-C_1 t} f(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Equation (B.4) directly implies that f is Lipschitz continuous, in particular, it is absolutely continuous. Therefore, there exists $f' \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$f(t) - f(s) = \int_s^t f'(r) dr, \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0.$$

This consideration allows to rewrite the inequality (B.4) in the following way

$$\int_s^t [f'(r) + C_1 f(r) - C_2] dr \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0.$$

This implies that, for almost any $r \geq 0$

$$f'(r) \leq -C_1 f(r) + C_2.$$

We reach the thesis by applying the differential form of Grönwall's Lemma B.1:

$$f(t) \leq e^{-C_1 t} \left(f(0) + \int_0^t C_2 e^{C_1 s} ds \right) = e^{-C_1 t} f(0) + \frac{C_2}{C_1} e^{-C_1 t} (e^{C_1 t} - 1) \leq \frac{C_2}{C_1} + e^{-C_1 t} f(0).$$

\square

Acknowledgements: Both authors gratefully acknowledge the hospitality and financial support of the Bernoulli Center at EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland. Matteo Ferrari also extends his thanks to the Department of Mathematics at the University of York, UK, for their warm hospitality, and to the Erasmus Programme for the funding that supported his stay in York, during which the research for this paper was conducted. Moreover, Matteo Ferrari is a member of the Italian "Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA)," which is part of the "Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM)."

References

- [AVA90] L. S. Pontryagin (eds.) A. V. Arkhangel'skiĭ V. V. Fedorchuk (auth.) *General Topology I: Basic Concepts and Constructions Dimension Theory*. 1st ed. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 17. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1990. ISBN: 978-3-642-64767-3. URL: <http://gen.lib.rus.ec/book/index.php?md5=f642e8f342c937a617b2a4dad9fbb650>.
- [AC90] Sergio Albeverio and Ana-Bela Cruzeiro. "Global flows with invariant (Gibbs) measures for Euler and Navier-Stokes two dimensional fluids." In: *Communications in mathematical physics* 129.3 (1990), pp. 431–444.

- [AH89] Sergio Albeverio and Raphael Høegh-Krohn. “Stochastic flows with stationary distribution for two-dimensional inviscid fluids.” In: *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 31.1 (1989), pp. 1–31. ISSN: 0304-4149,1879-209X. DOI: [10.1016/0304-4149\(89\)90100-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4149(89)90100-2). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4149\(89\)90100-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4149(89)90100-2).
- [ARH79] Sergio Albeverio, M Ribeiro de Faria, and Raphael Høegh-Krohn. “Stationary measures for the periodic Euler flow in two dimensions.” In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 20 (1979), pp. 585–595.
- [Bad70] Albert Badrikian. *Séminaire sur les Fonctions Aléatoires Linéaires et les Mesures Cylindriques*. Vol. 139. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970, pp. vii+221.
- [BF20] Hakima Bessaih and Benedetta Ferrario. “Invariant measures for stochastic damped 2D Euler equations.” In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 377.1 (2020), pp. 531–549. ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-020-03714-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-020-03714-3). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-020-03714-3>.
- [Bil99] Patrick Billingsley. *Convergence of probability measures*. Second. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999, pp. x+277. ISBN: 0-471-19745-9. DOI: [10.1002/9780470316962](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470316962). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470316962>.
- [Bir06] Andrei Biryuk. “On invariant measures of the 2D Euler equation.” In: *J. Stat. Phys.* 122.4 (2006), pp. 597–616. ISSN: 0022-4715,1572-9613. DOI: [10.1007/s10955-005-8011-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-005-8011-0). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-005-8011-0>.
- [Bog07] V. I. Bogachev. *Measure theory. Vol. I, II*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007, Vol. I: xviii+500 pp., Vol. II: xiv+575. ISBN: 978-3-540-34513-8. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-540-34514-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-34514-5). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-34514-5>.
- [Bre10] Haim Brezis. *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [BF19] Zdzisław Brzeźniak and Benedetta Ferrario. “Stationary Solutions for Stochastic Damped Navier-Stokes Equations in \mathbb{R}^d .” In: *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 68.1 (2019), pp. 105–138. ISSN: 0022-2518,1943-5258. DOI: [10.1512/iumj.2019.68.7551](https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2019.68.7551). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2019.68.7551>.
- [BFZ24] Zdzisław Brzeźniak, Benedetta Ferrario, and Margherita Zanella. “Invariant measures for a stochastic nonlinear and damped 2D Schrödinger equation.” In: *Nonlinearity* 37.1 (2024), Paper No. 015001, 66. ISSN: 0951-7715,1361-6544. DOI: [10.1088/1361-6544/ad0f3a](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/ad0f3a). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/ad0f3a>.
- [BG99] Zdzisław Brzeźniak and Dariusz Gałtarek. “Martingale solutions and invariant measures for stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces.” In: *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 84.2 (1999), pp. 187–225. ISSN: 0304-4149,1879-209X. DOI: [10.1016/S0304-4149\(99\)00034-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149(99)00034-4). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149\(99\)00034-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149(99)00034-4).
- [BGL20] Zdzisław Brzeźniak, Beniamin Goldys, and Kim Ngan Le. “Existence of a unique solution and invariant measures for the stochastic Landau-Lifshitz-Bloch equation.” In: *J. Differential Equations* 269.11 (2020), pp. 9471–9507. ISSN: 0022-0396,1090-2732. DOI: [10.1016/j.jde.2020.06.061](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2020.06.061). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2020.06.061>.
- [BL04] Zdzisław Brzeźniak and Yu-Hong Li. “Asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded domains—new developments.” In: *Recent developments in stochastic analysis and related topics*. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2004, pp. 78–111. ISBN: 981-256-104-8.
- [BL06] Zdzisław Brzeźniak and Yuhong Li. “Asymptotic Compactness and Absorbing Sets for 2D Stochastic Navier-Stokes Equations on Some Unbounded Domains.” In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 358.12 (2006), pp. 5587–5629. ISSN: 0002-9947,1088-6850. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9947-06-03923-7](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-06-03923-7). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-06-03923-7>.
- [BM13] Zdzisław Brzeźniak and Elżbieta Motyl. “Existence of a martingale solution of the stochastic Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded 2D and 3D domains.” In: *J. Differential Equations* 254.4 (2013), pp. 1627–1685. ISSN: 0022-0396,1090-2732. DOI: [10.1016/j.jde.2012.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2012.10.009). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2012.10.009>.
- [BMO17] Zdzisław Brzeźniak, Elżbieta Motyl, and Martin Ondřejat. “Invariant Measure for the Stochastic Navier-Stokes Equations in Unbounded 2D Domains.” In: *Ann. Probab.* 45.5 (2017), pp. 3145–3201. ISSN: 0091-1798,2168-894X. DOI: [10.1214/16-AOP1133](https://doi.org/10.1214/16-AOP1133). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1214/16-AOP1133>.

- [BO13] Zdzisław Brzeźniak and Martin Ondreját. “Stochastic Geometric Wave Equations with Values in Compact Riemannian Homogeneous Spaces.” In: *Ann. Probab.* 41.3B (2013), pp. 1938–1977. ISSN: 0091-1798,2168-894X. DOI: [10.1214/11-AOP690](https://doi.org/10.1214/11-AOP690). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1214/11-AOP690>.
- [BOS16] Zdzisław Brzeźniak, Martin Ondreját, and Jan Seidler. “Invariant measures for stochastic nonlinear beam and wave equations.” In: *J. Differential Equations* 260.5 (2016), pp. 4157–4179. ISSN: 0022-0396,1090-2732. DOI: [10.1016/j.jde.2015.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.11.007). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.11.007>.
- [BS13] Zdzisław Brzeźniak and Rafael Serrano. “Optimal Relaxed Control of Dissipative Stochastic Partial Differential Equations in Banach Spaces.” In: *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 51.3 (2013), pp. 2664–2703. ISSN: 0363-0129,1095-7138. DOI: [10.1137/100788574](https://doi.org/10.1137/100788574). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1137/100788574>.
- [Cip99] Fernanda Cipriano. “The two-dimensional Euler equation: a statistical study.” In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 201.1 (1999), pp. 139–154. ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916. DOI: [10.1007/s002200050552](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050552). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002200050552>.
- [Coh13] Donald L. Cohn. *Measure Theory*. Second. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks]. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013, pp. xxi+457. ISBN: 978-1-4614-6956-8. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4614-6956-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6956-8). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6956-8>.
- [DZ96] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Ergodicity for Infinite Dimensional Systems*. Vol. 229. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996, pp. xii+339. ISBN: 0-521-57900-7. DOI: [10.1017/CB09780511662829](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511662829). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511662829>.
- [DZ14] Giuseppe Da Prato and Jerzy Zabczyk. *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*. Second. Vol. 152. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014, pp. xviii+493. ISBN: 978-1-107-05584-1. DOI: [10.1017/CB09781107295513](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781107295513). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781107295513>.
- [Day73] Mahlon M. Day. *Normed linear spaces*. Third. Vol. Band 21. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973, pp. viii+211.
- [DHV16] Arnaud Debussche, Martina Hofmanová, and Julien Vovelle. “Degenerate parabolic stochastic partial differential equations: quasilinear case.” In: *Ann. Probab.* 44.3 (2016), pp. 1916–1955. ISSN: 0091-1798,2168-894X. DOI: [10.1214/15-AOP1013](https://doi.org/10.1214/15-AOP1013). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1214/15-AOP1013>.
- [EMS01] Weinan E, J. C. Mattingly, and Ya. Sinai. “Gibbsian dynamics and ergodicity for the stochastically forced Navier-Stokes equation.” In: vol. 224. 1. Dedicated to Joel L. Lebowitz. 2001, pp. 83–106. DOI: [10.1007/s002201224083](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002201224083). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002201224083>.
- [Edg79] G. A. Edgar. “Measurability in a Banach Space. II.” In: *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 28.4 (1979), pp. 559–579. ISSN: 0022-2518,1943-5258. DOI: [10.1512/iumj.1979.28.28039](https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1979.28.28039). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1979.28.28039>.
- [Fer23] Benedetta Ferrario. “On 2D Eulerian limits à la Kuksin.” In: *J. Differential Equations* 342 (2023), pp. 1–20. ISSN: 0022-0396. DOI: [10.1016/j.jde.2022.09.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2022.09.034). URL: <https://doi-org.libproxy.yorku.ca/10.1016/j.jde.2022.09.034>.
- [Fla94] Franco Flandoli. “Dissipativity and invariant measures for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations.” In: *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 1.4 (1994), pp. 403–423. ISSN: 1021-9722,1420-9004. DOI: [10.1007/BF01194988](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01194988). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01194988>.
- [Fol99] Gerald B Folland. *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications*. Vol. 40. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [GKP16] S. Gabrielyan, J. Kałkol, and G. Plebanek. “The Ascoli property for function spaces and the weak topology of Banach and Fréchet spaces.” In: *Studia Math.* 233.2 (2016), pp. 119–139. ISSN: 0039-3223,1730-6337. DOI: [10.4064/sm8289-4-2016](https://doi.org/10.4064/sm8289-4-2016). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm8289-4-2016>.
- [Hyt+16] Tuomas Hytönen et al. *Analysis in Banach spaces. Vol. I. Martingales and Littlewood-Paley theory*. Vol. 63. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. xvi+614. ISBN: 978-3-319-48519-5.
- [Jak97] A. Jakubowski. “The Almost Sure Skorokhod Representation for Subsequences in Nonmetric Spaces.” In: *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* 42.1 (1997), pp. 209–216. ISSN: 0040-361X. DOI: [10.1137/S0040585X97976052](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0040585X97976052). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0040585X97976052>.
- [Jud63] V. I. Judovič. “Non-stationary flows of an ideal incompressible fluid.” In: *Ž. Vyčisl. Mat i Mat. Fiz.* 3 (1963), pp. 1032–1066. ISSN: 0044-4669.

- [KP86] Tosio Kato and Gustavo Ponce. “Well-Posedness of the Euler and Navier-Stokes Equations in the Lebesgue Spaces $L^p_s(\mathbb{R}^2)$.” In: *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 2.1-2 (1986), pp. 73–88. ISSN: 0213-2230. DOI: [10.4171/RMI/26](https://doi.org/10.4171/RMI/26). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4171/RMI/26>.
- [KP87] Tosio Kato and Gustavo Ponce. “On Nonstationary Flows of Viscous and Ideal Fluids in $L^p_s(\mathbb{R}^2)$.” In: *Duke Math. J.* 55.3 (1987), pp. 487–499. ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: [10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05526-8](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05526-8). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05526-8>.
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*. Vol. 156. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, pp. xviii+402. ISBN: 0-387-94374-9. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-4190-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4190-4). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4190-4>.
- [Kuk04] Sergei B. Kuksin. “The Eulerian limit for 2D statistical hydrodynamics.” In: *J. Statist. Phys.* 115.1-2 (2004), pp. 469–492. ISSN: 0022-4715,1572-9613. DOI: [10.1023/B:JOSS.0000019830.64243.a2](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000019830.64243.a2). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000019830.64243.a2>.
- [Kuk06] Sergei B. Kuksin. *Randomly forced nonlinear PDEs and statistical hydrodynamics in 2 space dimensions*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2006, pp. x+93. ISBN: 3-03719-021-3. DOI: [10.4171/021](https://doi.org/10.4171/021). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4171/021>.
- [Kuk07] Sergei B. Kuksin. “Rigorous results and conjectures on stationary space-periodic 2D turbulence.” In: *Séminaire: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles. 2006–2007*. Sémin. Équ. Dériv. Partielles. École Polytech., Palaiseau, 2007, Exp. No. VII, 18. ISBN: 978-2-7302-1414-8.
- [Kuk08] Sergei B. Kuksin. “On distribution of energy and vorticity for solutions of 2D Navier-Stokes equation with small viscosity.” In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 284.2 (2008), pp. 407–424. ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-008-0577-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0577-3). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-008-0577-3>.
- [Kur66] K. Kuratowski. *Topology. Vol. I*. New. Translated from the French by J. Jaworowski. Academic Press, New York-London; Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe [Polish Scientific Publishers], Warsaw, 1966, pp. xx+560.
- [Lad69] Olga Aleksandrovna Ladyzhenskaya. “The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flows.” In: *Mathematics and its Applications*. 2 (1969).
- [Lat23] Mickaël Latocca. “Construction of high regularity invariant measures for the 2D Euler equations and remarks on the growth of the solutions.” In: *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 48.1 (2023), pp. 22–53. ISSN: 0360-5302,1532-4133. DOI: [10.1080/03605302.2022.2137679](https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2022.2137679). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2022.2137679>.
- [Łoj88] Stanisław Łojasiewicz. *An Introduction to the Theory of Real Functions*. Ed. by A. V. Ferreira. Third. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. With contributions by M. Kosiek, W. Mlak and Z. Opial, Translated from the Polish by G. H. Lawden. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1988, pp. x+230. ISBN: 0-471-91414-2.
- [MB02] Andrew J. Majda and Andrea L. Bertozzi. *Vorticity and incompressible flow*. Vol. 27. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, pp. xii+545. ISBN: 0-521-63057-6.
- [MS99] Bohdan Masłowski and Jan Seidler. “On sequentially weakly Feller solutions to SPDE’s.” In: *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.* 10.2 (1999), pp. 69–78. ISSN: 1120-6330,1720-0768.
- [MS01] Bohdan Masłowski and Jan Seidler. “Strong Feller Solutions to SPDE’s are Strong Feller in the Weak Topology.” In: *Studia Math.* 148.2 (2001), pp. 111–129. ISSN: 0039-3223,1730-6337. DOI: [10.4064/sm148-2-2](https://doi.org/10.4064/sm148-2-2). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm148-2-2>.
- [Mor18] Valter Moretti. *Spectral Theory and Quantum Mechanics: Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Theories, Symmetries and Introduction to the Algebraic Formulation*. Vol. 110. Springer, 2018.
- [Ond04] Martin Ondreját. “Uniqueness for stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces.” In: *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* 426 (2004), p. 63. ISSN: 0012-3862,1730-6310. DOI: [10.4064/dm426-0-1](https://doi.org/10.4064/dm426-0-1). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4064/dm426-0-1>.
- [Ond05] Martin Ondreját. “Brownian representations of cylindrical local martingales, martingale problem and strong Markov property of weak solutions of SPDEs in Banach spaces.” In: *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 55(130).4 (2005), pp. 1003–1039. ISSN: 0011-4642,1572-9141. DOI: [10.1007/s10587-005-0084-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10587-005-0084-z). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10587-005-0084-z>.

- [Par79] E. Pardoux. “Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Filtering of Diffusion Processes.” In: *Stochastics* 3.2 (1979), pp. 127–167. ISSN: 0090-9491. DOI: [10.1080/17442507908833142](https://doi.org/10.1080/17442507908833142). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17442507908833142>.
- [RR04] Michael Renardy and Robert C. Rogers. *An Introduction to Partial Differential Equations*. Second. Vol. 13. Texts in Applied Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004, pp. xiv+434. ISBN: 0-387-00444-0.
- [Tem95] Roger Temam. *Navier-Stokes Equations and Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Second. Vol. 66. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1995, pp. xiv+141. ISBN: 0-89871-340-4. DOI: [10.1137/1.9781611970050](https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611970050). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611970050>.
- [Tem01] Roger Temam. *Navier-Stokes equations*. Theory and numerical analysis, Reprint of the 1984 edition. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001, pp. xiv+408. ISBN: 0-8218-2737-5. DOI: [10.1090/chel/343](https://doi.org/10.1090/chel/343). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/chel/343>.
- [Wil91] David Williams. *Probability with Martingales*. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [Wol33] W. Wolibner. “Un théorème sur l’existence du mouvement plan d’un fluide parfait, homogène, incompressible, pendant un temps infiniment long.” In: *Math. Z.* 37.1 (1933), pp. 698–726. ISSN: 0025-5874,1432-1823. DOI: [10.1007/BF01474610](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01474610). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01474610>.
- [Ziz03] Václav Zizler. “Nonseparable Banach Spaces.” In: *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces*, Vol. 2. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003, pp. 1743–1816. ISBN: 0-444-51305-1. DOI: [10.1016/S1874-5849\(03\)80048-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-5849(03)80048-7). URL: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-5849\(03\)80048-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1874-5849(03)80048-7).