ON ISOMETRIC UNIVERSALITY OF SPACES OF METRICS

YOSHITO ISHIKI AND KATSUHISA KOSHINO

ABSTRACT. A metric space (M,d) is said to be universal for a class of metric spaces if all metric spaces in the class can be isometrically embedded into (M,d). In this paper, for a metrizable space Z possessing abundant subspaces, we first prove that the space of bounded metrics on Z is universal for all bounded metric spaces (with restricted cardinality). Next, in contrast, we show that if Z is an infinite discrete space, then the space of metrics on Z is universal for all separable metric spaces. As a corollary of our results, if Z is non-compact, or uncountable and compact, then the space of metrics on Z is universal for all compact metric spaces. In addition, if Z is compact and countable, then there exists a compact metric space that can not be isometrically embedded into the space of metrics on Z.

1. Intoroduction

1.1. **Backgrounds.** For a metrizable space X, let $\operatorname{CPM}(X)$ be the set of all continuous pseudometrics on X. The continuity of a pseudometric d on a set X means that d is continuous as a map from $X \times X$ to $[0, \infty)$. We denote by $\operatorname{Met}(X)$ the set of metrics on X that generate the same topology of X. For $d, e \in \operatorname{CPM}(X)$, we define a metric \mathcal{D}_X by

$$\mathcal{D}_X(d, e) = \sup_{x,y \in X} |d(x, y) - e(x, y)|.$$

We represent the restricted metric $\mathcal{D}_X |_{\operatorname{Met}(X)^2}$ as the original symbol \mathcal{D}_X . We also denote by $\operatorname{BMet}(X)$ (resp. $\operatorname{BCPM}(X)$) the set of all bounded metrics in $\operatorname{Met}(X)$ (resp. all bounded pseudometrics in $\operatorname{CPM}(X)$). Remark that if X is compact, then $\operatorname{Met}(X) = \operatorname{BMet}(X)$.

The first author introduced the concept of $\operatorname{Met}(X)$ as a moduli space of "geometries" on X, and clarified the denseness and Borel hierarchy of a subset $\{d \in \operatorname{Met}(X) \mid (X,d) \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{P}\}$ in $\operatorname{Met}(X)$ for a certain property \mathcal{P} on metrics spaces. For more information, see [13], [14], [15], [17], [19], and [21]. The second author determined topological types of $\operatorname{BMet}(X)$ with respect to not only uniform topologies but also compact-open topologies (see [25] and [24]). He [26] also proved

Date: September 27, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C35; Secondary 54E35.

Key words and phrases. spaces of metrics, sup-metric, universality, isometric embeddings.

that $\mathrm{BMet}(X)$ is completely metrizable if and only if X is σ -compact when X is separable. In this paper, we will investigate the isometric universality of $(\mathrm{Met}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of metric spaces. In this setting, a metric space (X,d) is said to be universal for \mathcal{C} or \mathcal{C} -universal if every $(S,m) \in \mathcal{C}$ can be isometrically embedded into (X,d). Let \mathcal{S} the class of all separable metric spaces.

There are so many results on the universality for some classes of metric spaces. We focus only on theorems related to the present paper. Fréchet established that the space $(\ell^{\infty}, ||*||_{\ell^{\infty}})$ of bounded functions on N is universal for S, which is today called the Fréchet embedding theorem (see [31, Proposition 1.17]). Note that ℓ^{∞} is not separable. As long as the authors know, it was Urysohn who first constructed a separable metric space universal for S, which space is called the Urysohn universal metric space (\mathbb{U}, ρ) (see [36], [28] and [32]). Banach and Mazur proved that the space $C_b([0,1])$ of all continuous bounded functions on [0, 1] is universal for S (see [3, Theorem 10 in Chapter XI] and [2, Theorem 1.4.4], see also [34] and [11]). For every subset R of $[0, \infty)$ with $0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and for every ultrametrizable space X, as a non-Archimedean analogue of CPM(X), we can define the space $(UCPM(X,R), \mathcal{UD}_X)$ of R-valued ultrametrics equipped with the non-Archimedean sup-metric. In this paper, we omit the details of $(UCPM(X, R), \mathcal{UD}_X)$ (see [14], [18], [16], and [22]). In [16] and [22], the first author in the present paper showed that, for every subset R of $[0,\infty)$ with $0 \in R$, and for every infinite compact ultrametrizable space X, the ultrametric space $(UCPM(X,R), \mathcal{UD}_X)$ of continuous pseudo-ultrametrics is isometric to the R-Urysohn universal ultrametric space, i.e., a non-Archimedean analogue of (\mathbb{U}, ρ) . Thus UCPM(X, R) is universal for all separable Rvalued ultrametric spaces. Based on this non-Archimedean theorem, we can consider that the present paper deals with an Archimedean analogue of the results in [16] and [22].

- 1.2. Main results. In this paper, for a metrizable space Z possessing abundant subspaces, we first prove that the space of bounded metrics on Z is universal for all bounded metric spaces (with restricted cardinality). Next, in contrast, we show that if Z is an infinite discrete space, then the space of metrics on Z is universal for all separable metric spaces. Third, we investigate the spaces of metrics on compact and countable metrizable spaces.
- 1.2.1. Spaces possessing abundant subspaces. Throughout this paper, we often use the set-theoretic notation of ordinals and cardinals. The symbol ω_0 stands for the first infinite ordinal, which is equal to $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as a set. The discrete topology of ω_0 is the same to the order topology on ω_0 . Moreover, for two ordinals α, β , the relation $\alpha < \beta$ means that $\alpha \in \beta$. In this paper, we will deal with both the discrete topology and

the order topology on a cardinal κ . We will clarify which topology is being used to ensure that no confusion can arise.

Let κ be a cardinal. We denote by S_{κ} the class of all metric spaces of weight κ . For example, $S_{\aleph_0} = S$. For a class \mathcal{C} of metric spaces, we denote by B(\mathcal{C}) the all bounded metric spaces belonging to \mathcal{C} . For example, the class B(\mathcal{S}) consists of all separable bounded metric spaces.

For two topological spaces X and Y, we denote by $X \oplus Y$ the topological sum of X and Y. Let $\{pt\}$ denote the one-point space.

The next theorem is our first result.

Theorem 1.1. Let Z be a metrizable space, and let (X, d) be a metric space such that:

(U1) there exists a metric space (Y, D) containing X as a subspace such that $D|_{X^2} = d$, and there exists a closed topological embedding from $Y \oplus \{pt\}$ to Z.

Then there exists an isometric embedding $J: (X, d) \to (\text{Met}(Z), \mathcal{D}_Z)$. Moreover, if d is bounded, we can choose J so that J is a map from (X, d) into BMet(Z).

As our second result, Theorem 1.1 yields the following theorem stating that if a metric space Z contains abundant spaces as subspaces, then Met(Z) is universal.

Theorem 1.2. Let C be a class of metrizable spaces and Z be a metrizable space. Assume that the following condition is satisfied:

(U2) for each $(X, d) \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a metric space (Y, D) containing X as a subspace such that $D|_{X^2} = d$, and there exists a closed topological embedding from $Y \oplus \{pt\}$ to Z.

Then the space $(\text{Met}(Z), \mathcal{D}_Z)$ is universal for \mathfrak{C} , and $(\text{BMet}(Z), \mathcal{D}_Z)$ is universal for $B(\mathfrak{C})$.

We denote by \mathbf{Q} (resp. Γ) the Hilbert cube, i.e, the countable power of [0,1] (resp. the Cantor set, i.e., the countable power of $\{0,1\}$). Namely, $\mathbf{Q} = [0,1]^{\aleph_0}$ and $\Gamma = \{0,1\}^{\aleph_0}$. For each infinite cardinal κ , we also denote by $\ell^2(\kappa)$ the Hilbert space of weight κ (see [35, p.16]). Let $B(\kappa)$ be the countable power of the discrete space of cardinality κ . Namely, $B(\kappa) = \kappa^{\aleph_0}$, where κ is equipped with the discrete topology.

We denote by \mathcal{T} the class of all totally bounded metric spaces. As a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we provide several universality of spaces of metrics.

Theorem 1.3. The following statements are true:

- (1) For every infinite cardinal κ , the space $(\text{Met}(\ell^2(\kappa)), \mathcal{D}_{\ell^2(\kappa)})$ and $(\text{Met}(B(\kappa)), \mathcal{D}_{B(\kappa)})$ are universal for S_{κ} . Moreover, the spaces $(\text{BMet}(\ell^2(\kappa)), \mathcal{D}_{\ell^2(\kappa)})$ and $(\text{BMet}(B(\kappa)), \mathcal{D}_{B(\kappa)})$ are universal for $B(S_{\kappa})$
- (2) The space $(BMet(\mathbf{Q}), \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Q}})$ is universal for \mathfrak{T} .

- (3) For any metrizable space Z such that there exists a continuous surjection from Z to \mathbf{Q} , the space $(\mathrm{BMet}(Z), \mathcal{D}_Z)$ is universal for \mathfrak{T} . In particular, $(\mathrm{BMet}(\Gamma), \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma})$ is universal for \mathfrak{T} .
- (4) For every uncountable Polish space X, the space $(BMet(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ is universal for \mathfrak{T} .

Remark 1.1. There exists a continuous surjection $\beta \colon [0,1] \to \mathbf{Q}$ (this is the Hahn–Mazurkiewicz theorem, see [38, Theorem 31.5]). Thus, the unit interval [0,1] satisfies the assumption of Statement (3) in Theorem 1.3.

Due to the same argument as Theorem 1.2, we can construct some isometric embeddings from a given bounded metric space (X, d) into Met(X) and BMet(X). Namely, the following theorem is an analogue of the Kuratowski embedding theorem for spaces of metrics (for this famous embeddings, see [10, p.100]).

Theorem 1.4. Let X be a metrizable space, and take $d \in Met(X)$. Assume that either of the following is true:

- (1) the space X contains a subset S such that S is uniformly homeomorphic to X (with respect to d) and its closure $CL_X(S)$ is a proper subset of X;
- (2) the space X contains a closed proper subset S homeomorphic to X.

Then there exits an isometric embedding from (X, d) into the space $(Met(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$. Moreover, if (X, d) is bounded, it can be isometrically embedded into $(BMet(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$.

1.2.2. Discrete spaces. In the theorems explained above, we focus on a space X that contains abundant spaces as subspaces. In the contrast, we next shall consider discrete spaces. For a set S, we denote by $\ell^{\infty}(S)$ the set of all bounded functions from S to \mathbb{R} equipped with the supmetric $\|*\|_{\ell^{\infty}}$ (ℓ^{∞} -norm). Based on a different method from the above theorems, we verify the universality of the space of metrics on discrete spaces.

Theorem 1.5. Let κ be an infinite cardinal, and regard it as a discrete space. Then the following statements are true:

- (1) The space $(\ell^{\infty}(\kappa), ||*||_{\ell^{\infty}})$ can be isometrically embedded into the space $(\operatorname{Met}(\kappa), \mathcal{D}_{\kappa})$. In particular, the space $(\operatorname{Met}(\kappa), \mathcal{D}_{\kappa})$ is universal for S_{κ} .
- (2) The space $(BMet(\kappa), \mathcal{D}_{\kappa})$ is universal for $B(S_{\kappa})$.

Corollary 1.6. Let Z be a metrizable space, and κ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that either of the following is true:

- (1) the space Z contains a discrete space of cardinality κ ;
- (2) the space Z has weight κ , and κ has uncountable cofinality.

Then $(\text{Met}(Z), \mathcal{D}_Z)$ is universal for S_{κ} , and $(\text{BMet}(Z), \mathcal{D}_Z)$ is universal for $B(S_{\kappa})$.

Concentrating on the universality for separable or compact metric spaces, we summarize our theorems appearing above as follows:

Corollary 1.7. Let X be an infinite metric space.

- (1) If X is compact and uncountable, then $(Met(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ is universal for \mathfrak{T} .
- (2) If X is non-compact, then $(Met(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ contains an isometric copy of $(\ell^{\infty}, ||*||_{\ell^{\infty}})$. In particular, $(Met(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ is universal for S. Moreover, the space $(BMet(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ is universal for B(S).

As a consequence, if X is non-compact, or compact and uncountable, then $(\mathrm{BMet}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ is universal for all compact metric spaces.

1.3. Case of compact and countable spaces. Based on Corollary 1.7, a next point of concern is what happens for Met(X) when X is compact and countable. Employing results from the theory of Banach spaces, we prove the theorem concerning the lack of the universality.

Theorem 1.8. Let X be a compact and countable metrizable space. Then the space $(Met(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ is not universal for all compact metric spaces. Namely, there exists a compact metric space that can not be isometrically embedded into $(Met(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$.

We denote by $c_0(\omega_0)$ the set of all maps $f: \omega_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n) = 0$, equipped with the supremum metric. In contrast to Theorem 1.8, we obtain the next isometric embeddings from compact subsets of $c_0(\omega_0)$ into Met(X), where X is compact and countable.

Theorem 1.9. Let X be a compact and countably infinite metrizable space. Then every compact subset of $c_0(\omega_0)$ can be isometrically embedded into the space $(\text{Met}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$. Namely, the space $(\text{Met}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ is universal for all compact subsets of $c_0(\omega_0)$.

Combining Theorem 1.9 and Aharoni's result [1], we have:

Corollary 1.10. Let X be a compact countably infinite metrizable space. Then every compact metric space can be bi-Lipschitz embedded into Met(X).

The organization of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we prepare basic statements on spaces on metrics, and extensions of metrics. Section 3 is devoted to proving Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.5 and Corollaries 1.6 and 1.7. Section 5 shows Theorems 1.8, 1.9, and Corollary 1.10. Section 6 exhibits several questions on the universality of spaces of metrics.

Acknowledgements. The first author was supported by JSPS KAK-ENHI Grant Number JP24KJ0182.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review basic facts on spaces of metrics.

2.1. Basic facts on spaces of metrics.

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a metrizable space. Then we have

$$CPM(X) + Met(X) \subseteq Met(X)$$
.

Namely, if $d \in CPM(X)$ and $e \in Met(X)$, then $d + e \in Met(X)$. Moreover, if both d and e are bounded, the so is d + e.

Proof. As is easily observed, d+e is a metric on X. Define a map $f:(X,d+e)\to (X,e)$ by f(x)=x. Since d+e is continuous on $X\times X$, all open balls of (X,d+e) are also open in X. Thus the map f is an open map. By $e(f(x),f(y))\leq (d+e)(x,y)$ for all $x,y\in X$, the map f is 1-Lipschitz, in particular, it is continuous. This implies that f is a homeomorphism. Hence $d+e\in \operatorname{Met}(X)$.

Corollary 2.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of metric spaces. If X is a metrizable space, and the space CPM(X) (resp. BCPM(X)) is universal for \mathcal{C} , then so is the space Met(X) (resp. BMet(X)).

Proof. We will show that for every $(Z, w) \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists an isometric embedding $f: Z \to \operatorname{Met}(X)$. Using the assumption, we obtain an isometric embedding $g: (Z, w) \to (\operatorname{CPM}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$. Take a metric d in $\operatorname{Met}(X)$, and define a map $f: Z \to \operatorname{CPM}(X)$ by f(z) = g(z) + d. Lemma 2.1 implies that f is actually a map into $\operatorname{Met}(X)$. Since we have $\mathcal{D}_X(f(x), f(y)) = \mathcal{D}_X(g(x), g(y))$, we conclude that f is an isometric embedding. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let C be a class of metric spaces, and X be a metrizable space. Then the space CPM(X) (resp. BCPM(X)) is universal for C if and only if so is Met(X) (resp. BMet(X)).

Let X and Y be a metrizable spaces, and $f: X \to Y$ be a continuous map. Then for each $d \in \operatorname{CPM}(Y)$, we define a pseudometric f^*d on X by $f^*d(x,y) = d(f(x),f(y))$. We denote by $f^*:\operatorname{CPM}(Y) \to \operatorname{CPM}(X)$ the map defined by $d \mapsto f^*d$.

Lemma 2.4. Let X and Y be metrizable spaces, and let $f: X \to Y$ be a continuous map. Assume that f is surjective. Then the following statements are true:

- (1) The map $f^* : \mathrm{CPM}(Y) \to \mathrm{CPM}(X)$ is an isometric embedding such that $f^*(\mathrm{BCPM}(Y)) \subseteq \mathrm{BCPM}(X)$.
- (2) There exists an isometric embedding $h : Met(Y) \to Met(X)$ such that $h(BMet(Y)) \subseteq BMet(X)$.
- (3) For a class \mathfrak{C} of metric spaces, if Met(Y) (resp. BMet(Y)) is universal for \mathfrak{C} , then so is Met(X) (resp. BMet(X)).

Proof. First let us verify (1). Since f is surjective, for every pair $d, e \in CPM(Y)$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}_X(f^*d, f^*e) = \sup_{x,y \in X} |f^*d(x,y) - f^*e(x,y)|$$

$$= \sup_{x,y \in X} |d(f(x), f(y)) - e(f(x), f(y))|$$

$$= \sup_{u,v \in Y} |d(u,v) - e(u,v)| = \mathcal{D}_Y(d,e).$$

This proves (1). Next, we show (2). Take $\rho \in BMet(X)$ and define a map $h \colon Met(Y) \to Met(X)$ by $h(d) = f^*d + \rho$. Then the map h is as desired. Statement (3) is deduced from Statement (2).

Proposition 2.5. Let X be a metrizable space, and H be a dense subset of X. Then the map $r \colon \operatorname{Met}(X) \to \operatorname{Met}(H)$ defined by $r(d) = d|_{H^2}$ is isometric embedding and $r(\operatorname{BMet}(X)) \subseteq \operatorname{BMet}(H)$.

Proof. For every pair $d, e \in \text{Met}(X)$, define a map $s: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ by s(x,y) = |d(x,y) - e(x,y)|. Then it is continuous. Since H is dense in X, we see that $H \times H$ is dense in $X \times X$. Thus, we obtain $\sup_{(x,y)\in X\times X} s(x,y) = \sup_{(u,v)\in H\times H} s(u,v)$. This identity is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_X(d,e) = \mathcal{D}_H(r(d),r(e))$. This completes the proof.

2.2. Extensions of metrics. Before proving our main results, we will cite theorems on extensions of metrics. Combining the efforts by C. Bessaga [6], T. Banakh [4], O. Pikhurko [33] and M. Zarichnyi [39], we have the following theorem (see [5, Theorem 1.2]).

Theorem 2.6. Let (X,d) be a metric space and A be a closed subset of X. There is a continuous function \mathbb{B} : BMet $(A) \to \text{BMet}(X)$ such that $\mathbb{B}(d)|_{A^2} = d$ and $\mathcal{D}_A(d,\rho) = \mathcal{D}_X(\mathbb{B}(d),\mathbb{B}(\rho))$ for all metrics $d, \rho \in \text{BMet}(A)$.

We will also make use of the first author's isometric extension theorem of metrics [20, Theorem 1.1] that treats not only bounded metrics, but also unbounded ones.

Theorem 2.7. Let X be a metrizable space, and A be a closed subset of X. Then there exists a map $\mathbb{E} \colon \operatorname{Met}(A) \to \operatorname{Met}(X)$ such that

- (1) for every $d \in Met(A)$ we have $\mathbb{E}(d)|_{A^2} = d$;
- (2) the map \mathbb{E} is an isometric embedding, i.e., for every pair $d, e \in \text{Met}(A)$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_A(d,e) = \mathcal{D}_X(\mathbb{E}(d),\mathbb{E}(e));$$

- (3) $\mathbb{E}(\mathrm{BMet}(A)) \subseteq \mathrm{BMet}(X)$;
- (4) if $d \in Met(A)$ is complete, then so is $\mathbb{E}(d)$.

Moreover, there exists an isometric operator extending pseudometrics $\mathbb{F} \colon \mathrm{CPM}(A) \to \mathrm{CPM}(X)$ such that $\mathbb{F}|_{\mathrm{Met}(A)} = \mathbb{E}$.

2.3. Embeddings into linear spaces.

Lemma 2.8. Let κ be an infinite cardinal. The space $(\ell^{\infty}(\kappa), ||*||_{\ell^{\infty}})$ is universal for S_{κ} .

Proof. The proof is the same as that of the Fréchet embedding theorem (see [31, Proposition 1.17]). For $(X, d) \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$, take a dense subset $\{q_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}<\kappa}$, and define $f: X \to \ell^{\infty}(\kappa)$ by $x \mapsto (d(x, q_{\alpha}) - d(q_{\alpha}, q_{0}))_{{\alpha}<\kappa}$. Then f is an isometric embedding from (X, d) into $(\ell^{\infty}(\kappa), ||*||_{\ell^{\infty}})$. \square

Lemma 2.9. Let κ be an infinite cardinal. For every completely metrizable space Z of weight κ , there exists a topological embedding $f: Z \to \ell^2(\kappa)$ such that f(Z) is closed in $\ell^2(\kappa)$.

Proof. See [35, Theorem 6.2.4].

3. Spaces with abundant subspaces

To prove our main results, we will show the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a metrizable space. Then for every metric $d \in \text{Met}(X)$, there is an isometric embedding form (X,d) into the space $(\text{Met}(X \oplus \{pt\}), \mathcal{D}_{X \oplus \{pt\}})$. Moreover, if d is bounded, then (X,d) can be isometrically embedded into $\text{BMet}(X \oplus \{pt\})$.

Proof. For each $u \in X$, we shall define $d_u \in \text{Met}(X \oplus \{pt\})$ as follows:

$$d_{u}(x,y) = \begin{cases} d(x,y) & \text{if } x, y \in X; \\ d(x,u) + 1 & \text{if } x \in X \text{ and } y = pt; \\ d(y,u) + 1 & \text{if } x = pt \text{ and } y \in X; \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y = pt \end{cases}$$

Define $I: X \to \operatorname{Met}(X \oplus \{pt\})$ by $u \mapsto d_u$. Let us verify that the map I is an isometric embedding. Fix any $u, v \in X$ and any $x, y \in X \oplus \{pt\}$. By the definition of d_u and d_v , if $(x, y) \in X^2$ or x = y = pt, then $|d_u(x, y) - d_v(x, y)| = 0$. In the case where $x \in X$ and y = pt, we obtain

$$|d_u(x,y) - d_v(x,y)| = |(d(x,u) + 1) - (d(x,v) + 1)| \le d(u,v).$$

Similarly, when x = pt and $y \in X$, we also obtain $|d_u(x, y) - d_v(x, y)| \le d(u, v)$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{X \oplus \{pt\}}(d_u, d_v) \le d(u, v)$. Moreover, we also have

$$|d_u(u, pt) - d_v(u, pt)| = |(d(u, u) + 1) - (d(u, v) + 1)| = d(u, v).$$

This implies that $\mathcal{D}_{X \oplus \{pt\}}(d_u, d_v) = d(u, v)$. Therefore, the map I is an isometric embedding. If d is bounded, then each I(z) belongs to $\mathrm{BMet}(X \oplus \{pt\})$. This finishes the proof.

Now we shall prove Theorems 1.1–1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Take an extension (Y, D) of X stated in (U1). It follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists an isometric embedding $I: (Y, D) \to (\text{Met}(Y \oplus \{pt\}), \mathcal{D}_{Y \oplus \{pt\}})$. By virtue of Theorem 2.7, we can obtain a continuous operator $\mathbb{E} \colon \text{Met}(Y \oplus \{pt\}) \to \text{Met}(Z)$ such that

- (1) for any $d \in \text{Met}(Y \oplus \{pt\})$, we have $\mathbb{E}(d)|_{(Y \oplus \{pt\})^2} = d$;
- (2) for any $d, \rho \in \text{Met}(Y \oplus \{pt\})$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y \oplus \{pt\}}(d, \rho) = \mathcal{D}_Z(\mathbb{E}(d), \mathbb{E}(\rho)).$$

Write $\iota: (X, d) \to (Y, D)$ as the inclusion map. Thus, the composition $J = \mathbb{E} \circ I \circ \iota: (X, d) \to (\operatorname{Met}(Z), \mathcal{D}_Z)$ is an isometric embedding. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we show the universality of $\operatorname{Met}(\ell^2(\kappa))$. Take $(X,d) \in \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$. Let (Y,D) be the completion of (X,d). Notice that the space $Y \oplus \{pt\}$ is completely metrizable. Due to Lemma 2.9, there exists a topological embedding $f \colon Y \oplus \{pt\} \to \ell^2(\kappa)$ such that $f(Y \oplus \{pt\})$ is closed in $\ell^2(\kappa)$. Then the condition (U2) in Theorem 1.2 is fulfilled. Thus, Theorem 1.2 implies that the space $(\operatorname{Met}(\ell^2(\kappa)), \mathcal{D}_{\ell^2(\kappa)})$ is universal for \mathcal{S}_{κ} (resp. the space $(\operatorname{BMet}(\ell^2(\kappa)), \mathcal{D}_{\ell^2(\kappa)})$ is universal for $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}_{\kappa})$). We next consider $\mathcal{B}(\kappa)$. Employing Engelking's result [8, Theorem] stating that that every completely metrizable space of weight κ is a closed image of $\mathcal{B}(\kappa)$, we obtain a closed surjective map $h \colon \mathcal{B}(\kappa) \to \ell^2(\kappa)$. Then Lemma 2.4 shows that $\operatorname{Met}(\ell^2(\kappa))$ is a metric subspace of $\operatorname{Met}(\mathcal{B}(\kappa))$. Hence $(\operatorname{Met}(\mathcal{B}(\kappa)), \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}(\kappa)})$ is also universal for \mathcal{S}_{κ} and $(\operatorname{BMet}(\mathcal{B}(\kappa)), \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}(\kappa)})$ is universal for $\mathcal{B}(\kappa)$.

Let us prove Statement (2). Similarly to the above proof, take $(X,d) \in \mathcal{T}$. Let (Y,D) be the completion of (X,d). Then (Y,D) is compact. According to the method of the proof of the Urysohn metrization theorem (see, for example, [38, Theorem 23.1] and [30, Theorem 34.1]), there exists a topological embedding $f: Y \oplus \{pt\} \to \mathbf{Q}$. Since Y is compact, the embedding f is a closed map. This means that the condition (U2) in Theorem 1.2 is fulfilled. Using Theorem 1.2 again, we see that $\mathrm{Met}(\mathbf{Q}), \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is universal for \mathcal{T} .

Now we shall show Statement (3). Take a continuous surjection $f: Z \to \mathbf{Q}$. Thus, Lemma 2.4 shows that there exists an isometric embeddings from $\mathrm{BMet}(\mathbf{Q})$ into $\mathrm{BMet}(Z)$. Thus, the space $(\mathrm{BMet}(Z), \mathcal{D}_Z)$ is universal for \mathfrak{T} . In particular, since there exists a continuous surjection $\alpha\colon\Gamma\to\mathbf{Q}$ (see [38, Theorem 30.7]), we see that $(\mathrm{Met}(\Gamma),\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma})$ is universal for \mathfrak{T} .

Next let us show Statement (4). Take an arbitrary uncountable Polish space X. Then there exists an topological embedding $\iota \colon \Gamma \to X$ (the

Cantor-Bendixson theorem, see [23, Corollary 6.5]). Thus by Theorem 2.6 or Theorem 2.7, we obtain an isometric embedding from $\mathrm{BMet}(\Gamma)$ into $\mathrm{BMet}(X)$. Combining this isometric embedding and Statement (3) with respect to Γ in Theorem 1.3, we conclude that $(\mathrm{BMet}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ is universal for \mathfrak{I} .

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We first assume that (1) is satisfied. Take a uniform homeomorphism $f: (S, d|_{S^2}) \to (X, d)$. Since f and f^{-1} is uniformly continuous, there exists an extension space (Y, D) of (X, d) and a homeomorphism $F: Y \to \operatorname{CL}_X(S)$ such that $D|_{X^2} = d$ and $F|_X = f$. By the assumption that $\operatorname{CL}_X(S)$ is a proper subset of X, we can take $p \in X \setminus \operatorname{CL}_X(S)$. Since $Y \oplus \{pt\}$ is homeomorphic to $\operatorname{CL}_X(S) \sqcup \{p\}$, by Theorems 1.1, there exists an isometric embedding $(X, d) \to \operatorname{Met}(\operatorname{CL}_X(S) \sqcup \{p\})$. Due to Theorem 2.7, $\operatorname{Met}(\operatorname{CL}_X(S) \sqcup \{p\})$ can be isometrically embedded into $\operatorname{Met}(X)$. As a result, we also obtain an isometric embedding (X, d) into $\operatorname{Met}(X)$.

To prove the case of (2), take any $p \in X \setminus S$. Since $X \bigoplus \{pt\}$ is homeomorphic to $S \cup \{p\}$, due to Theorems 1.1 and 2.7, there is an isometric embedding from (X, d) into Met(X). This finishes the proof.

4. The countable discrete spaces

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.5 and Corollaries 1.6 and 1.7.

Lemma 4.1. Let X be a set. If a map $w: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ satisfies:

- (1) for every pair $x, y \in X$, we have d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (2) for every pair $x, y \in X$, we have w(x, y) = w(y, x);
- (3) there exists $L \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every distinct pair $x, y \in X$, we have $w(x, y) \in [L, 2L]$,

then w is a metric and it generates the discrete topology on X.

Proof. It suffices to show that w satisfies the triangle inequality. For every triple $x, y, z \in X$, the condition (3) implies that

$$w(x, y) \le 2L = L + L \le w(x, z) + w(z, y).$$

Thus, the map w satisfies the triangle inequality.

For a set S, we denote by $[S]^2$ the set $\{\{p,q\} \mid p,q \in S, p \neq q\}$. Namely, $[S]^2$ is the set of all subsets of S consisting of exact two points. Note that if S is infinite, then $\operatorname{Card}(S) = \operatorname{Card}([S]^2)$, where "Card" means the cadinality. Let us provide the proof of Theorem 1.5. Proof of Theorem 1.5. For each $t \in [0, \infty)$, we define a map $R_t : \mathbb{R} \to [-t, t]$ by

$$R_t(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \le x; \\ x & \text{if } x \in [-t, t]; \\ -t & \text{if } x \le -t. \end{cases}$$

Note that R_t is 1-Lipschitz, and R_t is the identity on [-t, t]. Hence, if $x, y \in [-t, t]$, then we have $|R_t(x) - R_t(y)| = |x - y|$.

Take a family $\{S_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ consisting of mutually disjoint subspaces of κ such that $\operatorname{Card}(S_i) = \kappa$ and $\kappa = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} S_i$. Using $\operatorname{Card}([S_i]^2) = \kappa$, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we also take a surjective map $\tau_i \colon [S_i]^2 \to \kappa$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define a map $F_i \colon \ell^{\infty}(\kappa) \to \ell^{\infty}([S_i]^2)$ by

$$F_i(a)(\{p,q\}) = R_{2^i} \circ a(\tau_i(\{p,q\})) + 3 \cdot 2^i.$$

Note that for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $F_i(a)(\{p,q\}) \in [2^{i+1}, 2 \cdot 2^{i+1}]$ for all $a \in \ell^{\infty}(\kappa)$ and $\{p,q\} \in [S_i]^2$. We next define a map $G_i : \ell^{\infty}(\kappa) \to \operatorname{Met}(S_i)$ by

$$G_i(a)(p,q) = \begin{cases} F_i(a)(\{p,q\}) & \text{if } p \neq q; \\ 0 & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases}$$

Since $F_i(a)(\{p,q\}) \in [2^{i+1}, 2 \cdot 2^{i+1}]$, Lemma 4.1 $(L=2^{i+1})$ establishes that the function $G_i(a)$ actually belongs to $Met(S_i)$.

Now we define a map $H: \ell^{\infty}(\kappa) \to \operatorname{Met}(\kappa)$ by

$$H(a)(p,q) = \begin{cases} G_i(a)(p,q) & \text{if } p, q \in S_i; \\ \max\{2^{i+2}, 2^{j+2}\} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } p \in S_i \text{ and } q \in S_j. \end{cases}$$

Note that each H(a) becomes a metric and it belongs to $\operatorname{Met}(\kappa)$ since the diameter $\operatorname{diam}_{G_i(a)}(S_i) \leq 2^{i+2}$ for all $a \in \ell^{\infty}(\kappa)$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Now let us verify that H is an isometric embedding, i.e., we will show $\mathcal{D}_{\kappa}(H(a), H(b)) = \|a - b\|_{\ell^{\infty}}$ for arbitrary maps $a, b \in \ell^{\infty}(\kappa)$. Since H(a)(p,q) = H(b)(p,q) for all p,q such that $p \in S_i$ and $q \in S_j$ for distinct i and j, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\kappa}(H(a), H(b)) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sup_{p, q \in S_i} |H(a)(p, q) - H(b)(p, q)|$$

$$= \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sup_{p, q \in S_i} |F_i(a)(p, q) - F_i(b)(p, q)|$$

$$= \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sup_{p, q \in S_i} |R_{2^i}(a(\tau_i(\{p, q\}))) - R_{2^i}(b(\tau_i(\{p, q\})))|.$$

This means that

(A1)
$$\mathcal{D}_{\kappa}(H(a), H(b)) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sup_{p, q \in S_i} |R_{2^i}(a(\tau_i(\{p, q\}))) - R_{2^i}(b(\tau_i(\{p, q\})))|.$$

For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, from the fact that R_{2^i} is 1-Lipschitz, it follows that

$$|R_{2i}(a(\tau_i(\{p,q\}))) - R_{2i}(b(\tau_i(\{p,q\})))|$$

$$\leq |a(\tau_i(\{p,q\})) - b(\tau_i(\{p,q\}))| \leq ||a-b||_{\ell^{\infty}}$$

for every $\{p,q\} \in [S_i]^2$. According to the inequality (A1), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\kappa}(H(a), H(b)) \le ||a - b||_{\ell^{\infty}}.$$

Next, we will show the opposite inequality. Since a and b are bounded functions, we can take a sufficiently large number $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\{a(s), b(s)\} \subseteq [-2^N, 2^N]$ for all $s \in \kappa$. Notice that N is depending on a and b. Then, since R_{2^N} is the identity on $[-2^N, 2^N]$, for every $\{p, q\} \in [S_N]^2$, due to (A1), we have

$$|a(\tau_N(\{p,q\})) - b(\tau_N(\{p,q\}))|$$

= $|R_{2^N}(a(\tau_N(\{p,q\}))) - R_{2^N}(b(\tau_N(\{p,q\})))| \le \mathcal{D}_{\kappa}(H(a), H(b)).$

Since τ_N is surjection, we observe that

$$||a-b||_{\ell^{\infty}} \leq \mathcal{D}_{\kappa}(H(a), H(b)).$$

Then we conclude that $\mathcal{D}_{\kappa}(H(a), H(b)) = ||a - b||_{\ell^{\infty}}$. Thus, the space $\ell^{\infty}(\kappa)$ is a metric subspace of $\operatorname{Met}(\kappa)$. Therefore Lemma 2.8 completes the proof of Statement (1) in the theorem.

Next, we will show that $\mathrm{BMet}(\kappa)$ is universal for all bounded metric spaces of weight κ . Take an arbitrary bounded metric space (X,d) of weight κ . We can regard (X,d) as a bounded subspace of $\ell^{\infty}(\kappa)$ by Lemma 2.8. In this setting, we can take a sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $f(s) \in [-2^N, 2^N]$ for all $s \in \kappa$ and $f \in X$ because X is bounded. Using the same method explained above, we can isometrically embed (X,d) into $\mathrm{BMet}(\coprod_{i=0}^N S_i)$. Since $\coprod_{i=0}^N S_i$ is homeomorphic to the discrete space κ , we obtain an isometric embedding from (X,d) into $\mathrm{BMet}(\kappa)$. This proves Statement (2) in the theorem. Therefore, we completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Remark 4.1. We can obtain another proof of the universality of $Met(\kappa)$ for S_{κ} (Statement (2) and the latter part of Statement (1) in Theorem 1.5) as follows: Take a dense subset H of $\ell^2(\kappa)$ such that $Card(H) = \kappa$, and take a surjection $f : \kappa \to H$. Since κ is equipped with the discrete topology in this setting, the map f is continuous. Then, using Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we obtain isometric embeddings

$$\operatorname{Met}(\ell^2(\kappa)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Met}(H) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Met}(\kappa).$$

From these isometric embeddings and Statement (1) in Theorem 1.3, we deduce that $Met(\kappa)$ is universal for \mathcal{S}_{κ} .

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Statement (1) follows from Theorems 2.7 and 1.5. Next we prove (2). Since Z is a metrizable space, we can take a family $\{S_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of closed discrete subsets of Z such that $\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} S_i$

is dense in Z. Since Z has weight κ , we have $\kappa = \operatorname{Card}(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} S_i)$. Due to the assumption that κ has uncountable cofinality, we can find $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\operatorname{Card}(S_i) = \kappa$. Therefore Statement (2) is deduced from (1).

Recall that the symbol ω_0 stands for the countably infinite discrete space.

Proof of Corollary 1.7. First, Statement (1) follows from Statement (4) in Theorem 1.3 since compact metrics spaces are Polish. Let us verify Statement (2). Since X is non-compact, then X contains a closed subset S that is homeomorphic to ω_0 . Then Theorem 2.7 implies that there exists an isometric embedding $\mathbb{E} \colon \operatorname{Met}(\omega_0) \to \operatorname{Met}(X)$ such that $\mathbb{E}(\operatorname{BMet}(\omega_0)) \subseteq \operatorname{BMet}(X)$. Thus, Theorem 1.5 for ω_0 proves Statement (2). This finishes the proof of Corollary 1.7.

5. Case of compact countable spaces

In this section, we will observe phenomena in Met(X) when X is compact and countable.

An ordinal α is said to be a *succesor* if there exists an ordinal $\beta < \alpha$ such that $\alpha = \beta + 1$. In what follows, the symbol ω_1 stands for the first uncountable ordinal. We begin with the classification of countable compact spaces.

Lemma 5.1. If X is a compact metrizable space such that $Card(X) \leq \aleph_0$, then X is homeomorphic to a countable successor ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ equipped with the order topology.

Proof. See [27], and [29, Theorem 4]. \square

For the proof of Theorem 1.8, we prepare several statements on isometric embeddings between Banach spaces. For a topological space X, we denote by $C_b(X)$ the set of all real-valued continuous bounded functions on X. We also denote by $\|*\|$ the sup-metric on $C_b(X)$.

Theorem 5.2. For a countable successor ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$, there exists a sufficiently large countable successor ordinal $\theta < \omega_1$ such that there is no isometric embedding from $C_b(\theta)$ into $C_b(\alpha)$, where we regard θ and α as ordered topological spaces.

Proof. See [37, Lemma 5]. \Box

Remark 5.1. For a topological space T, and a countable ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$, we denote by $T^{(\alpha)}$ the α -th Cantor–Bendixson derivative of T. The paper [37, Lemma 5] indicates that, in general, if a countable compact metrizable space X and a countable ordinal α satisfy that $X^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$, and if a compact metrizable space Y satisfies that $Y^{(\alpha+1)} \neq \emptyset$, then the space $C_b(Y)$ can not be isometrically embedded into $C_b(X)$.

Theorem 5.3. Let R be a compact metrizable space and fix a metric $w \in \text{Met}(R)$ with the diameter $\text{diam}_w(R) \leq 1$, and let K denote the set of all $f \in C_b(R)$ such that $||f|| \leq 1$ and f is 1-Lipschitz with respect to w. Then K is a compact subset of $C_b(R)$, and for every Banach space B, the following conditions are equivalent to each other.

- (1) the space B contains an isometric copy of K equipped with the restricted metric induced by the supremum norm on $C_b(R)$;
- (2) there exists a linear map $T: C_b(R) \to B$ such that T is an isometric embedding;
- (3) the space $C_b(R)$ can be isometrically embedded into B.

Proof. Using the Arzera–Ascoli Theorem, we can observe that K is compact. The equivalence between (1) and (2) follows from [7, Theorem 3.1]. The implication "(3) \Longrightarrow (2)" can be deduced from [9, Corollary 3.3] and the fact that $C_b(R)$ is separable by the compactness of R.

We shall show our remaining main results in this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let X be a compact and countable metrizable space. Since X^2 is compact and countable, using Lemma 5.1, we can find a (countable) successor ordinal α homeomorphic to X^2 with respect to the order topology on α . By Theorem 5.2, we can also find a sufficiently large countable successor ordinal θ equipped with the order topology such that there does not exists an isometric embedding from $C_b(\theta)$ into $C_b(X^2)(=C_b(\alpha))$. Take a compact subset K of $C_b(\theta)$ mentioned in Theorem 5.3. Then Theorem 5.3 implies that the space K can not be isometrically embedded into $C_b(X^2)$. Since Met(X) is a metric subspace of $C_b(X^2)$, there is no isometric embedding $K \to Met(X)$. Therefore, we have constructed a compact metric space that can not be isometrically embedded into Met(X). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.5. Take a compact subset K of $c_0(\omega_0)$. Under the assumption, X is a compact and countably infinite metrizable space, and hence X contains an isometric copy of $\omega_0 + 1$. Thus Theorem 2.6 or 2.7 implies that $\operatorname{Met}(X)$ contains an isometric copy of $\operatorname{Met}(\omega_0 + 1)$. It remains to verify that K can be isometrically embedded into $\operatorname{Met}(\omega_0 + 1)$, where we regard $\omega_0 + 1$ as an ordered topological space, thus it is homeomorphic to the one-point compactification of the countably infinite discrete space. We define the function $L \colon \omega_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ by $L(s) = \inf_{f \in K} f(s)$. Since K is compact, for each $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$, we can take a finite ϵ -net T_{ϵ} of K. We also define $h_{\epsilon} \colon \omega_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ by $h_{\epsilon}(s) = \min_{f \in T_{\epsilon}} f(s)$. Since T_{ϵ} is a finite ϵ -net, the map h_{ϵ} belongs to $c_0(\omega_0)$ and $||h_{\epsilon} - L|| \le \epsilon$. Then we conclude that $L \in c_0(\omega_0)$. In this setting, the map $l \in c_0(\omega_0)$ defined by $l(s) = |L(s)| + 2^{-s}$ also belongs to $c_0(\omega_0)$. We may assume that

every $f \in K$ satisfies that f(s) > 0 for all $s \in \omega_0$ by replacing K with $K+l = \{f+l \mid f \in K\}$ if necessary. Put $U(s) = \sup_{f \in K} f(s)$. Since K is compact, we see that $U \in c_0(\omega_0)$ and U(s) > 0 by the similar method as L. Put $S_k = \{2k, 2k+1\}$. We then define $G: K \to \text{Met}(\omega_0 + 1)$ by

$$G(f)(p,q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = q; \\ f(k) & \text{if } p \neq q \text{ and } p, q \in S_k; \\ \max\{U(k), U(l)\} & \text{if } p \in S_k, \ q \in S_l, \text{and } k \neq l; \\ U(k) & \text{if } p = \omega_0, \text{ and } q \in S_k; \\ U(k) & \text{if } p \in S_k, \text{ and } q = \omega_0. \end{cases}$$

Under this definition, we can see that each G(f) actually belongs to $Met(\omega_0 + 1)$ (compare with [12, Definition 3.3]). Then, by the same method to the proof of Theorem 1.5, we can observe that G is an isometric embedding from K into $Met(\omega_0 + 1)$. As a consequence, the space Met(X) also contains an isometric copy of K. This finishes the proof.

Proof of Corollary 1.10. Corollary 1.10 follows from [1, Theorem]. \square

Remark 5.2. Using Theorems 5.3 and 1.8, we see that the space of all 1-Lipschitz functions $f: [0,1] \to [-1,1]$ can not be isometrically embedded into $C_b(\omega_0 + 1)$ because the space $C_b([0,1])$ is universal for all separable metric spaces (the Banach–Mazur theorem).

6. Questions

As stated in Theorem 1.4, if X has abundant subset, then Met(X) contains metric spaces homeomorphic to X. It is interesting what happens in the case where X is finite.

Question 6.1. For every finite metric space (X, d), does there exist an isometric embedding from (X, d) into Met(X)?

Our results states that the universality of BMet(X) for only bounded metric spaces. Does it have the universality for unbounded spaces?

Question 6.2. Let X be an infinite compact metrizable space. Then, is $(\mathrm{BMet}(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ universality for unbounded metric spaces?

The next question asks whether Met(X) contains an isometric copy of the Urysohn universal spaces or not.

Question 6.3. Let X be a metrizable space. Does $(Met(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ satisfy the finite injectivity? Namely, does $(Met(X), \mathcal{D}_X)$ satisfy that for every finite metric space (A, m), for every subset B of A, and for every isometric embedding $f: B \to Met(X)$, there exists an isometric embedding $F: A \to Met(X)$ such that $F|_B = f$?

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Aharoni, Every separable metric space is Lipschitz equivalent to a subset of c_0^+ , Israel J. Math. **19** (1974), 284–291, DOI:10.1007/BF02757727. MR 511661
- [2] F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, Topics in Banach space theory, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 233, Springer, [Cham], 2016, With a foreword by Gilles Godefory, DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-31557-7. MR 3526021
- [3] S. Banach, Theory of linear operations, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 38, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1987, Translated from the French by F. Jellett, With comments by A. Pełczyński and Cz. Bessaga. MR 880204
- [4] T. Banakh, AE(0)-spaces and regular operators extending (averaging) pseudometrics, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 42 (1994), no. 3, 197–206. MR 1811849
- [5] T. Banakh, N. Brodskiy, I. Stasyuk, and E. D. Tymchatyn, On continuous extension of uniformly continuous functions and metrics, Colloq. Math. 116 (2009), no. 2, 191–202, DOI:10.4064/cm116-2-4. MR 2520139
- [6] C. Bessaga, On linear operators and functors extending pseudometrics,
 Fund. Math. 142 (1993), no. 2, 101–122, DOI:10.4064/fm-142-2-101-122.
 MR 1211761
- [7] Y. Dutrieux and G. Lancien, Isometric embeddings of compact spaces into Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008), no. 2, 494–501, DOI:10.1016/j.jfa.2008.04.002. MR 2419968
- [8] R. Engelking, On closed images of the space of irrationals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 583–586, DOI:10.2307/2036424. MR 239571
- [9] G. Godefroy and N. J. Kalton, Lipschitz-free Banach spaces, Studia Math. 159 (2003), no. 1, 121–141, Dedicated to Professor Aleksander Pełczyński on the occasion of his 70th birthday, DOI:10.4064/sm159-1-6. MR 2030906
- [10] Juha Heinonen, Pekka Koskela, Nageswari Shanmugalingam, and Jeremy T. Tyson, Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015, An approach based on upper gradients, DOI:10.1017/CBO9781316135914. MR 3363168
- [11] S. Hencl, Isometrical embeddings of separable Banach spaces into the set of nowhere approximatively differentiable and nowhere Hölder functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 12, 3505–3511, DOI:10.1090/S0002-9939-00-05595-7. MR 1707147
- [12] Y. Ishiki, Quasi-symmetric invariant properties of Cantor metric spaces, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 69 (2019), no. 6, 2681–2721, DOI:10.5802/aif.3305.
- [13] _____, An interpolation of metrics and spaces of metrics, (2020), preprint, arXiv:2003.13277.
- [14] ______, An embedding, an extension, and an interpolation of ultrametrics, p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. 13 (2021), no. 2, 117–147, DOI:10.1134/S2070046621020023. MR 4265905
- [15] _____, On dense subsets in spaces of metrics, Colloq. Math. **170** (2022), no. 1, 27–39, DOI:10.4064/cm8580-9-2021. MR 4460212
- [16] ______, Constructions of Urysohn universal ultrametric spaces, (2023), arXiv:2302.00305, to appear in p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl.
- [17] _______, Extending proper metrics, Topology Appl. **325** (2023), Paper No. 108387, 11 pages, DOI:10.1016/j.topol.2022.108387. MR 4527953
- [18] _____, A factorization of metric spaces, 174 (2023), 101–119, Colloq. Math., DOI:10.4064/cm9066-6-2023.
- [19] _____, On comeager sets of metrics whose ranges are disconnected, Topology Appl. $\bf 327$ (2023), Paper No. 108442, 10 pages, DOI:10.1016/j.topol.2023.108442. MR 4548505

- [20] _____, An isometric extensor of metrics, (2024), preprint, arXiv:2407.03030.
- [21] ______, Strongly rigid metrics in spaces of metrics, Topology Proc. **63** (2024), 125–148, arXiv:2210.02170.
- [22] ______, Uniqueness and homogeneity of non-separable Urysohn universal ultrametric spaces, Topology Appl. **342** (2024), Paper No. 108762, DOI:10.1016/j.topol.2023.108762.
- [23] A. S. Kechris, Classical descriptive set theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, DOI:10.1007/978-1-4612-4190-4. MR 1321597
- [24] K. Koshino, Recognizing the topologies of spaces of metrics with the topology of uniform convergence, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 70 (2022), no. 2, 165–171, DOI:10.4064/ba220523-18-4. MR 4586584
- [25] ______, The topological type of spaces consisting of certain metrics on locally compact metrizable spaces with the compact-open topology, arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08615 (2022).
- [26] _____, On the Borel complexity and the complete metrizability of spaces of metrics, (2024), 5 pages, to appear in Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces, arXiv:2403.07421.
- [27] Stefan Mazurkiewicz and Wacław Sierpiński, Contribution à la topologie des ensembles dénombrables, Fundamenta Mathematicae 1 (1920), no. 1, 17–27, DOI:10.4064/fm-1-1-17-27.
- [28] J. Melleray, Some geometric and dynamical properties of the Urysohn space, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), no. 14, 1531–1560, DOI:10.1016/j.topol.2007.04.029. MR 2435148
- [29] C. Milliet, A remark on cantor derivative, preprint, arXiv:1104.0287 (2011).
- [30] J. R. Munkres, *Topology*, 2nd ed., Pearson modern classic, Pearson, New York, 2018, Originally published in 2000 [2018 reissue].
- [31] M. I. Ostrovskii, Metric embeddings, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 49, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013, Bilipschitz and coarse embeddings into Banach spaces, DOI:10.1515/9783110264012. MR 3114782
- [32] V. Pestov, Dynamics of Infinite-dimensional Groups, University Lecture Series, vol. 40, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006, DOI:10.1090/ulect/040. MR 2277969
- [33] O. Pikhurko, Extending metrics in compact pairs, Mat. Stud. 3 (1994), 103– 106, 122. MR 1692801
- [34] L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Every separable Banach space is isometric to a space of continuous nowhere differentiable functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), no. 12, 3649–3654, DOI:10.2307/2161889. MR 1328375
- [35] K. Sakai, Geometric aspects of general topology, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Tokyo, 2013, DOI:10.1007/978-4-431-54397-8. MR 3099433
- [36] P. Urysohn, Sur un espace métrique universel, Bull. Sci. Math. 51 (1927), 43–64 and 74–90.
- [37] R. Villa, Isometric embedding into spaces of continuous functions, Studia Math. 129 (1998), no. 3, 197–205, DOI:10.4064/sm-129-3-197-205. MR 1609675
- [38] S. Willard, General topology, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2004, Reprint of the 1970 original [Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA; MR0264581]. MR 2048350
- [39] M. Zarichnyi, Regular linear operators extending metrics: a short proof, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 44 (1996), no. 3, 267–270.

Tokyo Metropolitan University Minami-osawa Hachioji Tokyo 192-0397 Japan

 $Email\ address: {\tt ishiki-yoshito@tmu.ac.jp}$

(Katsuhisa Koshino) Faculty of Engineering Kanagawa University Yokohama, 221-8686 Japan

Email address: ft160229no@kanagawa-u.ac.jp