

MODULAR REPRESENTATION OF REDUCTIVE LIE ALGEBRAS AND RELATED COMBINATORIAL CATEGORY

AN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce and study a “combinatorial” category related to the representations of reduced enveloping algebras of reductive Lie algebras in “standard Levi form”. It is compatible with the so-called AJS category in [AJS94], where AJS category is an important role in studying the Lusztig’s conjecture on characters of irreducible modules of algebraic group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The graded categories	3
2.1. Preliminaries	3
2.2. Definition of graded categories	4
2.3. Subset R_π	6
3. Deformed baby Verma module	6
3.1. Definition	7
3.2. Properties	9
3.3. Twists and homomorphisms	11
3.4. Duality	15
3.5. A-block	19
3.6. Extensions in rank 1 cases	22
4. A combinatorial category	25
References	28

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B35, 17B50,

Key words and phrases. combinatorial catehor, reduced enveloping algebra.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) Gr: 12071136.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ be the corresponding Lie algebra, which is naturally a restricted Lie algebra. The p -character of simple \mathfrak{g} -module is a linear function χ on \mathfrak{g} such that $x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p$ annihilate the simple module for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then p -characters help us reduce the representations of \mathfrak{g} to the reduced enveloping algebra $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) / (x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p \mid x \in \mathfrak{g})$. To figure out the representation of \mathfrak{g} , it is enough to study the representation of each single reduced enveloping algebra. The general results of Kac and Weisfeiler ([KW71]) continue to reduce the representation of reduced enveloping algebra into the case p -character is nilpotent, where nilpotent p -character means it vanishes on some Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . With our “combinatorial” category, we obtain a description of the character of simple modules in “standard Levi form”

The restricted enveloping algebra is a special case of reduced enveloping algebra such that p -character is zero. The representations of restricted enveloping algebras are closely related to the rational representation of G . In particular, Lusztig’s conjecture on the algebraic group with expression of the characters of the simple modules ([Lu80]) has an equivalent description in restricted enveloping algebra. For the general nonzero p -character, there is a certain case that has a precise classification of simple modules by the highest weights. Following Friedlander and Parshall [FP90], this case is called the “standard Levi form”. The restricted enveloping algebra is also a special case of the “standard Levi form”. Lusztig [Lu97] also proposed a conjecture of the character of simple modules in “standard Levi form”.

To attach Lusztig’s conjecture on the irreducible character over the reductive algebraic groups as well as restricted enveloping algebras, Anderson, Jantzen, and Soergel [AJS94] developed some results in a graded category of restricted enveloping algebra over some commutative rings. They relate the multiplicities of simple modules with the rank of some object in a combinatorial category, which has been called the Anderson-Jantzen-Soergel category. Recently, Abe [Abe23] constructed the Hecke actions on the Anderson-Jantzen-Soergel category and related the multiplicities of simple modules with coefficients in the Soergel bimodule.

The graded category of reduced enveloping algebra in “standard Levi form” is investigated by Jantzen [Ja98, Ja00, Ja04] and Westaway [We22, We23]. They give some restrictions on the structural map in their results. We follow their constructions and develop a combinatorial category compatible with the Anderson-Jantzen-Soergel category, which means it is equivalent to the Anderson-Jantzen-Soergel category when p -character is zero. We hope

there is also a Hecke action on it and solve Lusztig's conjecture on "standard Levi form" in terms of coefficients in Soergel bimodules.

2. THE GRADED CATEGORIES

2.1. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, we always assume k is an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic p . Let G be a connected algebraic group over k and set $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$ satisfying the hypotheses:

- (1) The derived group of G is simply connected.
- (2) The prime p is good for G .
- (3) There exists a non-degenerate G -invariant bilinear form of \mathfrak{g} .

Then \mathfrak{g} has a structure as a restricted Lie algebra over k . Fix a maximal toral T in G and set $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie } T$. Let $X = X(T)$ be the additive group of characters and $R \subset X$ be the root system of G with respect to T . Denote \mathfrak{g}_α the corresponding root subspace of \mathfrak{g} for $\alpha \in R$. Choose a positive system R^+ , we have a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$, where $\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{n}^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. Set $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ be the corresponding Borel subalgebra.

For each $\alpha \in R$, let α^\vee denote the corresponding coroot. The affine reflection on X is given by $s_{\alpha, mp}(\lambda) = \lambda - (\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle - mp)\alpha$. Write W_p the affine Weyl group of R generated by all $s_{\alpha, mp}$ with $\alpha \in R$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and write W the finite Weyl group generated by $s_\alpha = s_{\alpha, 0}$ with $\alpha \in R$. Denote the longest element in W by w_0 . The dot action of W_p on X is given by $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$, where ρ is half the sum of all positive roots.

Each $\lambda \in X$ defines a linear form $d\lambda$ on \mathfrak{h} by taking the derivative, which induces an embedding from X/pX into \mathfrak{h}^* . For each $\alpha \in R$, we set h_α in \mathfrak{h} satisfy $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \equiv d\lambda(h_\alpha) \pmod{p}$. Choose $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in R$ such that $[x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha$.

We denote by $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . For each $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, the reduced enveloping algebra $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is the quotient of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal I_χ generated by all $x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Each simple \mathfrak{g} -module is in a natural way a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module for some $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $S(\mathfrak{h}) = S$ be the symmetric algebra over \mathfrak{h} , which is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{h})$ in a natural way.

We call a linear function $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is of standard Levi form if $\chi(\mathfrak{b}) = 0$ and there exists a subset I of all simple roots such that

$$\chi(x_{-\alpha}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha \in I, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \notin I. \end{cases}$$

We fix $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ of standard Levi form and I as in the definition. Note that $R_I = R \cap \mathbb{Z}I$ is also a root system and we write $R_I^+ = R^+ \cap \mathbb{Z}I$. We have a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_I = \mathfrak{n}_I \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_I^-$,

where $\mathfrak{n}_I = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_I^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{n}_I^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_I^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. The affine Weyl group $W_{I,p}$ of R_I is generated by $s_{\alpha,mp}$ with $\alpha \in I$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The finite Weyl group W_I is generated by $s_{\alpha,0}$ with $\alpha \in R_I$ and we denote by w_I the longest element in W_I .

Set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}_I^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be the parabolic subalgebra in \mathfrak{g} , we have $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

2.2. Definition of graded categories. Let J be the ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $X^p - X^{[p]} - \chi(X)^p$ with $X \in \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$. Set $U_\chi = U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})/J$. Let U^0 be the image of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{h})$ in U_χ , which is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{h})$. The PBW theorem shows that

$$U_\chi \simeq U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes U^0 \otimes U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}).$$

The action of \mathfrak{h} stabilizes J and induces an action on U_χ . Set $(U_\chi)_{\mu+\mathbb{Z}I}$ equal to the direct sum of weight spaces with weights in $\mu + \mathbb{Z}I \in X/\mathbb{Z}I$. We get therefore an $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading on U_χ . Note that there is a partial ordering relation on $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ with $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$ if and only if $\mu - \lambda \in \sum_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus \mathbb{Z}I} \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \alpha + \mathbb{Z}I$. The usual order relation on X can also be obtained from this relation if $I = \emptyset$.

Let A be a commutative Noetherian k -algebra over U^0 with the structural map $\pi: U^0 \rightarrow A$. We now define the category $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g})$ whose objects are $U_\chi \otimes A$ -module M with an $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I \in X/\mathbb{Z}I} M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ as a k -vector space and each $M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ are finitely generated over A .
- (2) The action of U_χ and A are commutative and compatible with the $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading, that is, $AM_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \subset M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ and $(U_\chi)_{\mu+\mathbb{Z}I} M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \subset M_{\lambda+\mu+\mathbb{Z}I}$ for all $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I, \mu + \mathbb{Z}I \in X/\mathbb{Z}I$.
- (3) There is a weight decomposition $M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = \bigoplus_{d\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \mu \in \lambda+\mathbb{Z}I+pX} M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}^{d\mu}$ with

$$h.m = (\pi(h) + d\mu(h))m$$

for each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, and $m \in M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}^{d\mu}$

The morphisms of $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g})$ are $U_\chi \otimes A$ -homomorphisms preserving the $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading. For convenience, we sometimes denote \mathcal{C}_A instead of $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g})$ if there is no confusion possible. This category is precisely the category in [Ja00, 3.2] and [We22, 3.2]. It is compatible with the category in [AJS94, 2.3/4] when $\chi = 0$.

Suppose that $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$ and $m \in M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}^{d\mu}$. Note that $(\pi(h_\alpha) + d\mu(h_\alpha))^p - (\pi(h_\alpha) + d\mu(h_\alpha)) = \pi(h_\alpha)^p - \pi(h_\alpha)$. Then the central element $h_\alpha^p - h_\alpha$ acts as multiplication by $\pi(h_\alpha)^p - \pi(h_\alpha)$ on all $M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}^{d\mu}$ and hence on M .

When $A = F$ is a field, let ξ be a linear function on \mathfrak{g} such that $\xi(h_\alpha)$ is in some purely inseparable extension of F with $\xi(h_\alpha)^p = \pi(h_\alpha)^p - \pi(h_\alpha)$, $\xi(x_{-\alpha}) = 1$ for $\alpha \in I$ and $\xi(x_{-\alpha}) = 0$

for $\alpha \in R \setminus I$. Denote by

$$U_\xi(\mathfrak{g} \otimes F) = U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes F) / (X^p - X^{[p]} - \xi(X)^p \mid X \in \mathfrak{g})$$

the reduced enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes F$ over F , which is well-defined since $\xi(X)^p \in F$ by definition. Then the category \mathcal{C}_F is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -graded $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g} \otimes F)$ -modules satisfy (2)(3) in definition.

If $A = k$ and $\pi = 0$, the category \mathcal{C}_k is equivalent to a subcategory of $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -graded $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, cf.[Ja98, 11.5]

Let $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$. For $\nu \in X$, we define a grading shift object $M\langle\nu + \mathbb{Z}I\rangle$ via defining $(M\langle\nu + \mathbb{Z}I\rangle)_{\mu + \mathbb{Z}I} = M_{\nu - \mu + \mathbb{Z}I}$.

For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$ and $\lambda \in X$, we have $x_\alpha \cdot M_{\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I} \subset M_{\lambda + \alpha + \mathbb{Z}I}$. We get therefore each

$$M^{[\lambda + \mathbb{Z}R]} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}R} M_{\lambda + \mu + \mathbb{Z}I}$$

is a $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -graded submodule of M and is an object in \mathcal{C}_A . We have $M = \bigoplus_{\nu \in X/\mathbb{Z}R} M^{[\nu + \mathbb{Z}R]}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_A^{[\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I]}$ be the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}_A consisting of $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$ such that $M = M^{[\lambda + \mathbb{Z}R]}$, and then we have $\mathcal{C}_A = \bigoplus_{\nu \in X/\mathbb{Z}R} \mathcal{C}_A^{[\nu + \mathbb{Z}I]}$. Each $\mathcal{C}_A^{[\nu + \mathbb{Z}I]}$ is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}R/\mathbb{Z}I$ -graded $U_\chi \otimes A$ -module such that $X^p - X^{[p]}$ acts as multiplication $\xi(X)^p$ and satisfy (2) in definition.

Suppose $A = F$ is a field, and $\mathbb{Z}R = \mathbb{Z}I$, then each $\mathcal{C}_F^{[\nu + \mathbb{Z}R]}$ is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g} \otimes F)$ -module. It follows that \mathcal{C}_F are direct sum of some subcategories with each direct summand is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g} \otimes F)$ -modules over F .

Let U_I be the image of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_I)$ in $U_\chi = U(\mathfrak{g})/J$ by regarding $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_I)$ as a subalgebra of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$. We also have an isomorphism of vector spaces by the PBW theorem

$$U_I \simeq U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-) \otimes U^0 \otimes U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I).$$

Since the $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading on the subalgebra of U_χ can be obtained from the $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading on U_χ . Similarly, we can define several categories

- (1) Define the category $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{h})$ to be the category of $U^0 \otimes A$ -module with analogous conditions.
- (2) Define the category $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{b})$ to be the category of $U^0 U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes A$ -module with analogous conditions.
- (3) Define the category $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$ to be the category of $U_I \otimes A$ -module with analogous conditions.

- (4) Define the category $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{p})$ to be the category of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-)U^0U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes A$ -module with analogous conditions.

Note that $(U_\chi)_{0+\mathbb{Z}I} = U_I$. For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$ and $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \in X/\mathbb{Z}I$, each $M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ is a $U_I \otimes A$ -module and hence an object in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$. For any $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(M, N)$, we have $f_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}: M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \rightarrow N_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Keep assumptions above.*

- (1) *There exists enough projectives in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$, $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{p})$.*
- (2) *Any projective object in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$, $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{b})$ or $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{p})$ is still projective over A .*

Proof. (1) followed by Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.13 in [We22] and (2) followed by Lemma 2.7(c) in [AJS94]. \square

2.3. Subset R_π . We introduce a subset of R which plays a key role in \mathcal{C}_A . Let A be a U^0 -algebra with structural map $\pi: U^0 \rightarrow A$. We denote

$$R_\pi := \{\alpha \in R \mid \pi(h_\alpha)^p - \pi(h_\alpha) \text{ is not a unit}\},$$

and set $R_\pi^+ = R^+ \cap R_\pi$. We have $R_\pi = R_\pi^+ \cup -R_\pi^+$. If $A = F$ is a field, then $R_\pi = \{\alpha \in R \mid \pi(h_\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$.

Set $B = U^0[(h_\alpha^{p-1} - 1)^{-1} \mid \alpha \in R]$. For any $\alpha \in R^+$, denote $B^\alpha = B[h_\beta^{-1} \mid \beta \in R^+, \beta \neq \alpha]$ and $B^\emptyset = B[h_\beta \mid \beta \in R^+]$. We have the following observation, cf.[AJS94, 5.3].

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a U^0 -algebra with structural map $\pi: U^0 \rightarrow A$.*

- (1) *A is a B^\emptyset -algebra if and only if $R_\pi = \emptyset$.*
- (2) *For each B -algebra A and $\alpha \in R^+$, we have A is a B^α -algebra if and only if $R_\pi^+ \subset \{\alpha\}$.*
- (3) *If A is a field. Then A is a B -algebra if and only if $R_\pi = \{\alpha \in R \mid \pi(h_\alpha) = 0\}$.*

The assumption in [We22] that $\pi(h_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R_I$ is equivalent to $R_I \subset R_\pi$. When $A = k$ and $\pi = 0$, we have $R_\pi = R$. In the case of $A = F$ is a field. The subset $R_\pi \cap I$ determines the isomorphism class of irreducible object in \mathcal{C}_F (see Corollary 3.3) and $R_\pi \setminus R_I$ determines the irreducibility of some modules (see Corollary 3.14).

3. DEFORMED BABY VERMA MODULE

In this section, we always assume that A is a Noetherian commutative U^0 -algebra with structural map $\pi: U^0 \rightarrow A$. We keep assume $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ has standard Levi form and that I is the set of simple roots α with $\chi(x_\alpha) = 0$ and ξ is the corresponding linear form when $A = F$ is a field. For any A -algebra A' , we shall assume it is Noetherian and commutative.

3.1. Definition. For each $\lambda \in X$, let $A_\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{h})$ denote the $U^0 \otimes A$ -module A where each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ acts as multiplication by $d\lambda(h) + \pi(h)$. It can be extended into $U^0 U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes A$ -module via the projection $U^0 U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow U^0$ and can be regarded as an object in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{b})$. Then we have induced module

$$Z_A(\lambda) = U_\chi \otimes_{U^0 U_\chi(\mathfrak{n})} A_\lambda,$$

which is called the deformed baby Verma module. (This name comes from [So95]). As a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes A$ -module, we have an isomorphism $Z_A(\lambda) \simeq U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes A$. The $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading on $Z_A(\lambda)$ is given by

$$Z_A(\lambda)_{\mu+\mathbb{Z}I} = U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-)_{\mu-\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \otimes A_\lambda$$

for any $\mu \in X$. We have $Z_A(\lambda)$ is an object in \mathcal{C}_A .

Similarly, for each $\lambda \in X$, we can define the deformed baby Verma module in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$ as

$$Z_{A,I}(\lambda) = U_I \otimes_{U^0 U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I)} A_\lambda,$$

where $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I)$ acts as 0 on A_λ . We can regard $Z_{A,I}(\lambda)$ as a object in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{p})$ via the projection $\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_I$, and then we have $Z_A(\lambda) = U_\chi \otimes_{U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-) U^0 U_\chi(\mathfrak{n})} Z_{A,I}(\lambda)$. Since $(U_\chi)_{0+\mathbb{Z}I} = U_I$, we also can get $Z_A(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{A,I}(\lambda)$.

When $A = F$ is a field. Consider as a $U_I \otimes F$ -module, each deformed baby Verma module in $\mathcal{C}_F(\mathfrak{g}_I)$ is isomorphic to some induced module

$$Z_{F,I}(d\lambda + \pi) = U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U_\xi(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{n}_I)} F_\lambda,$$

where F_λ is 1-dimensional $U_\xi(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{n}_I)$ -module with $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ acts as multiplication by $d\lambda(h) + \pi(h)$ and \mathfrak{n}_I acts as zero. This module is extended from baby Verma module over an algebraically closed field. Since we have $\xi(h)^p = (d\lambda(h) + \pi(h))^p - (d\lambda(h) + \pi(h)) = \pi(h)^p - \pi(h)$, the induced module is well-defined. Note that $\chi|_{\mathfrak{g}_I}$ is regular nilpotent, we have $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-) \otimes F$ is simple over itself. Since we have an isomorphism of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-) \otimes F$ -modules $Z_{F,I}(\lambda) \simeq U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-) \otimes F$, each $Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$ is irreducible for $\lambda \in X$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A = F$ be a field, then $Z_F(\lambda)$ has a unique maximal submodule.*

Proof. Note that $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{<0+\mathbb{Z}I} = \bigoplus_{\nu+\mathbb{Z}I < 0+\mathbb{Z}I} (U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{\nu+\mathbb{Z}I}$ is an ideal in $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$ with quotient $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{0+\mathbb{Z}I} = U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-) \otimes F$. Since $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-) \otimes F$ is a simple algebra, then $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{<0+\mathbb{Z}I}$ is the radical of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$. Under the isomorphism $Z_F(\lambda) \simeq U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$ as a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$ -module, every proper submodules of $Z_F(\lambda)$ are contained in the subspace $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{<0+\mathbb{Z}I} \otimes F$. Hence $Z_F(\lambda)$ has a unique maximal submodule. \square

When $A = F$ is a field, we denote the unique irreducible quotient of $Z_F(\lambda)$ as $L_F(\lambda) = Z_F(\lambda)/\text{Rad}Z_F(\lambda)$. We have obviously $\text{Rad}Z_F(\lambda)$ and $L_F(\lambda)$ are both in \mathcal{C}_F . Each simple

module in \mathcal{C}_F is of form $L_F(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in X$. Note that the surjective map $Z_F(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L_F(\lambda)$ induce a nonzero map $Z_F(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{F,I}(\lambda) \rightarrow L_F(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ in $\mathcal{C}_F(\mathfrak{g}_I)$. The simplicity of $Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$ shows that $Z_{F,I}(\lambda) = L_F(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$.

In the case of A being a ring and \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal in A , the irreducible object $L_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda)$ can be regarded as an object in \mathcal{C}_A which is annihilated by \mathfrak{m} . The irreducible object is indexed by X and the maximal ideals in A , see similar results in [GJ81, 1.7.3].

Different from the restricted case, not all $Z_F(\lambda)$ are different objects in \mathcal{C}_F for $A = F$ is a field. We have to answer when two deformed baby Verma modules are isomorphic.

We first see the coadjoint action of G in linear form ξ . Suppose $A = F$ is a field. Since $(\xi(x) + \xi(y))^p = \xi(x)^p + \xi(y)^p$ for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We have $(g \cdot \xi(x))^p \in F$ for any $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. It follows that $U_{g \cdot \xi}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes F)$ is well defined and we have $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g} \otimes F) \simeq U_{g \cdot \xi}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes F)$.

A decomposition $\xi = \xi_s + \xi_n$ is called Jordan decomposition, if there exists $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot \xi_s(\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-) = 0$, $g \cdot \xi_n(\mathfrak{b}) = 0$ and $g \cdot \xi_n(x_\alpha) = 0$ if $g \cdot \xi_s(h_\alpha) \neq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\xi = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \xi([X, \mathfrak{g}] = 0)\}$ be the stabilizer of ξ in \mathfrak{g} . We call ξ is regular if there exists $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot \xi$ is regular nilpotent over $[\mathfrak{g}_{(g \cdot \xi)_s}, \mathfrak{g}_{(g \cdot \xi)_s}]$, cf. [FP88, 4]. It is obvious that regular nilpotent linear form is regular.

Denote $W_{I,\pi} = \langle s_\alpha \in W_I \mid \alpha \in R_\pi \cap I \rangle$ be the subgroup of W_I .

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose B -algebra F is a field. Let $R_\pi^+ \cap R_I$ equal to \emptyset , $\{\alpha\}$, or R_I^+ . Then*

- (1) $Z_{F,I}(\lambda) \simeq Z_{F,I}(\mu)$ if and only if $\lambda \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$;
- (2) $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^\bullet(Z_{F,I}(\lambda), Z_{F,I}(\mu)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$;
- (3) The projective cover $Q_{F,I}(\lambda)$ of $Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$ is of length $|W_{I,\pi} \cdot \lambda|$ with all composition factors isomorphic to $Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}_F(\mathfrak{g}_I)$ is the direct sum of full subcategories with each isomorphic to the category of finite dimensional $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}_I \otimes F)$ -modules and $Z_{F,I}(\lambda) \simeq Z_{F,I}(d\lambda + \pi)$ as $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}_I)$ -modules. So $Z_{F,I}(\lambda) \simeq Z_{F,I}(\mu)$ if and only if $Z_{F,I}(d\lambda + \pi) \simeq Z_{F,I}(d\mu + \pi)$ and $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$.

In case of $R_\pi^+ \cap R_I = \emptyset$ or $R_\pi^+ \cap R_I = \{\alpha\}$ with $\alpha \notin I$, we have $(d\lambda + \pi)(h_\beta) \notin \mathbb{F}_p$ for any simple root β , and $Z_{F,I}(d\lambda + \pi)$ is projective $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g}_I \otimes F)$ -module, cf. [FP90, Theorem 4.2]. Note that $W_{I,\pi} = 1$, then (3) follows. Note that

$$\dim Z_{F,I}(d\lambda + \pi) = p^{\dim \mathfrak{n}_I} \dim Z_{F,I}(d\lambda + \pi)^{\mathfrak{n}_I},$$

cf. [Ja98, 10.9]. We have $\dim Z_{F,I}(d\lambda + \pi)^{\mathfrak{n}_I} = 1$, and then $Z_{F,I}(d\lambda + \pi) \simeq Z_{F,I}(d\mu + \pi)$ if and only if $d\lambda = d\mu$. Since $pX \cap \mathbb{Z}I = p\mathbb{Z}I$, we have $\lambda \in \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$ and (1)(2) follows.

For the remaining cases, by comparing [FP88, Theorem 4.4], it is enough to show that ξ is regular. If $R_\pi^+ \cap R_I = R_I^+$, we have $\xi(h_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R_I$ and hence ξ is a regular nilpotent linear form on \mathfrak{g}_I . If $R_\pi^+ \cap I = \{\alpha\}$ with $\alpha \in I$, then for any $\beta \in R_I^+$, $\pi(h_\beta) = 0$ only

when $\beta = \alpha$. Note that $-\alpha + \beta$ is not a negative root for any $\beta \in R_I^+ \setminus R_\pi^+$. By computation in [KW76, 3.8], there exists $g \in G$ such that $(g \cdot \xi)(\oplus_{\beta \neq -\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_\beta) = 0$, $(g \cdot \xi)(x_{-\alpha}) \neq 0$, $(g \cdot \xi)(h_\alpha) = 0$, and $(g \cdot \xi)(h_\beta) \neq 0$ for any $\beta \neq \pm\alpha$. It follows that $g \cdot \xi$ is regular nilpotent on $[\mathfrak{g}_{(g \cdot \xi)_s}, \mathfrak{g}_{(g \cdot \xi)_s}] = \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Then the linear form ξ is regular as desired. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose B -algebra F is a field and let $\lambda, \mu \in X$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $Z_F(\lambda) \simeq Z_F(\mu)$.
- (2) $L_F(\lambda) \simeq L_F(\mu)$.
- (3) $\lambda \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$.

Proof. If $Z_F(\lambda) \simeq Z_F(\mu)$, then $L_F(\lambda) \simeq L_F(\mu)$ is obviously. Suppose $L_F(\lambda) \simeq L_F(\mu)$, then we have $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$ as the largest nonzero $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading of homogeneous subspace. It follows that $L_F(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \simeq L_F(\mu)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$, and then we have $Z_{F,I}(\lambda) \simeq Z_{F,I}(\mu)$. We get therefore $\lambda \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$ by Lemma 3.2. Finally, suppose $\lambda \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$. We have $Z_F(\lambda) \simeq Z_F(\mu)$ by [We22, Proposition 6.2]. \square

3.2. Properties. We recall and generalize some results in [AJS94] and [We22]. It will be useful in the later sections.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in X$.*

- (1) *If $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), M) \neq 0$ for some $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$, then there exists $\mu + \mathbb{Z}I \in X/\mathbb{Z}I$ such that $M_{\mu+\mathbb{Z}I} \neq 0$ and $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. In particular, if $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \not\leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\mu)) = 0$.*
- (2) *If $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\mu)) = 0$ when $\text{Ext}^1(Z_{A,I}(\lambda), Z_{A,I}(\mu)) = 0$.*
- (3) *Suppose $A = F$ is a field. If $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \not\leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$, then*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(L_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(\text{Rad}Z_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)).$$

Proof. (1) See [We22, 5.2].

(2) Suppose that $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. Consider an arbitrary extension of $Z_A(\lambda)$ and $Z_A(\mu)$ in \mathcal{C}_A :

$$0 \rightarrow Z_A(\lambda) \rightarrow M \rightarrow Z_A(\mu) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $Z_A(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{A,I}(\lambda)$ and $Z_A(\mu)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_A(\mu)_{\mu+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{A,I}(\mu)$. If we restrict the extension to the subspace of degree of $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I$, it yields an extension

$$0 \rightarrow Z_{A,I}(\lambda) \rightarrow M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \rightarrow Z_{A,I}(\mu) \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$. Note that the generators of $Z_A(\lambda)$ and $Z_A(\mu)$ is contained in $Z_A(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ and $Z_A(\mu)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$. It follows that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\mu)) = 0$ when $\text{Ext}^1(Z_{A,I}(\lambda), Z_{A,I}(\mu)) = 0$.

(3) Suppose $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \not\leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$ for $\lambda, \mu \in X$. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Rad}Z_F(\lambda) \rightarrow Z_F(\lambda) \rightarrow L_F(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

By applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(-, L_F(\mu))$ for any $\mu \in X$ to this exact sequence and the fact $Z_F(\lambda)$ has a simple head $L_F(\lambda)$, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Rad}Z_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(L_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Z_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)).$$

Since $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \not\leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$, the last term is zero by (1) and the isomorphism follows. \square

A Z -filtration of $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$ is a chain of submodule

$$M_0 = 0 \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \cdots \subset M_r = M,$$

such that each M_i/M_{i-1} isomorphic to some $Z_A(\lambda_i)$ with $\lambda_i \in X$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$.*

- (1) *There exists a projective object Q in \mathcal{C}_A with a Z -filtration such that M is a homomorphic image of Q .*
- (2) *Suppose A is a local ring, then any projective object in \mathcal{C}_A has a Z -filtration.*

Proof. (1) See [We22, Theorem 4.10].

(2) Let M be a projective module in \mathcal{C}_A . Then there exists a projective object Q with an epimorphism from Q onto M . By the projectivity of M , the epimorphism has to split and we get therefore M is a direct summand of Q . Since we have a standard argument over local ring: Each direct summand of a module with a Z -filtration has a Z -filtration, cf. [AJS94, 2.16], then M has a Z -filtration as desired. \square

Suppose A' is an A -algebra, then A' is naturally a U^0 -algebra. From [AJS94, 3.1] or [We22, 5.3], we have extension of scalars functor $-\otimes_A A': \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{A'}$ which sends M to $M_{A'} = M \otimes_A A'$. We have the following results.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$ and A' is a A -algebra.*

- (1) *If M is projective, so is $M \otimes_A A'$ in $\mathcal{C}_{A'}$.*
- (2) *If M has a Z -filtration, then $M \otimes_A A'$ also has a Z -filtration.*
- (3) *If A' is flat over A . We have*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^i(M, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A'}}^i(M \otimes_A A', N \otimes_A A')$$

for all M, N in \mathcal{C}_A and $i \geq 0$.

Proof. (1) and (2) followed by Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8 in [We22]. (3) comes from [AJS94, Lemma 3.2]. \square

Suppose $A = F$ is a field. Since \mathcal{C}_F has enough projective object, we denote by $Q_F(\lambda)$ the unique projective cover of $L_F(\lambda)$ in \mathcal{C}_F for each $\lambda \in X$.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose A is a local ring with residue field F and $R_I \subset R_\pi$. Then there exists a projective object $Q_A(\lambda)$ in \mathcal{C}_A such that $Q_A(\lambda) \otimes F = Q_F(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Since $R_I \subset R_\pi$, it yields that $\pi(h_\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in R_I$. Then results follows from [We22, Theorem 8.29]. \square

We have the following results, which help us focus on the case of the field.

Lemma 3.8. *Let M, N be modules in \mathcal{C}_A that are projective over A . Let A' be an A -algebra with finite projective resolution as an A -module. Suppose*

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A/\mathfrak{m}}}^i(M \otimes A/\mathfrak{m}, N \otimes A/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$$

for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of A and all $i > 0$. Then

- (1) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(M, N)$ is a projective A -module.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^i(M \otimes A', N \otimes A') = 0$ for all $i > 0$
- (3) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(M, N) \otimes A' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(M \otimes A', N \otimes A')$

Proof. Since A is Noetherian, then $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a regular local ring. The results follow from [AJS94, 3.4]. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose M is a module in \mathcal{C}_A . Then M is a projective object in \mathcal{C}_A if and only if $M \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}$ is projective in $\mathcal{C}_{A/\mathfrak{m}}$ for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of A .*

Proof. Similar to [AJS94, Corollary 3.5]. \square

3.3. Twists and homomorphisms. For any $w \in W$, let $w\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathfrak{g}_{w\alpha}$ and set $w\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus w\mathfrak{n}$. We have $\chi(w\mathfrak{b}) = 0$ if and only if $w \in W^I$, where $W^I = \{w \in W \mid w^{-1}\alpha > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in I\}$. The PBW theorem shows that we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$U_\chi \simeq U_\chi(w\mathfrak{n}^-)U^0U_\chi(w\mathfrak{n}).$$

Similarly, for $w \in W^I$, we can define $U^0U_\chi(w\mathfrak{n}) \otimes A$ -module A_λ where $U_\chi(w\mathfrak{n})$ acts as 0 and each $h \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ act as multiplication $d\lambda(h) + \pi(h)$. We can define twist deformed baby Verma module

$$Z_A^w(\lambda) = U_\chi \otimes_{U^0U_\chi(w\mathfrak{n})} A_\lambda,$$

for each $\lambda \in X$ and $w \in W^I$. There is a unique $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading on $Z_A^w(\lambda)$ such that $Z_A^w(\lambda)_{\mu+\mathbb{Z}I} = U_\chi(w\mathfrak{n}^-)_{\mu-\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \otimes A_\lambda$ and $Z_A^w(\lambda)$ is an object in \mathcal{C}_A . When $w = \text{id}$, we have $Z_A^{\text{id}}(\lambda) = Z_A(\lambda)$. Since $w\mathfrak{n}_I^- = \mathfrak{n}_I^-$ for any $w \in W^I$, we have $Z_A^w(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{A,I}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$.

If $A = F$ is a field, we can generalize Lemma 3.1 to $Z_F^w(\lambda)$. So we have the irreducible quotient $L_F^w(\lambda) = Z_F^w(\lambda)/\text{Rad}Z_A^w(\lambda)$ in \mathcal{C}_F for each $w \in W^I$.

We have following lemma from [Ja00].

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose $\lambda \in X$. Let $w \in W^I$ and α being a simple root such that $w\alpha > 0$ and $ws_\alpha \in W^I$. In the category \mathcal{C}_A , there exists homomorphisms $\varphi: Z_A^w(\lambda - (p-1)w\alpha) \rightarrow Z_A^{ws_\alpha}(\lambda)$, given by $\varphi(1 \otimes 1) = x_{w\alpha}^{p-1} \otimes 1$ and $\varphi': Z_A^{ws_\alpha}(\lambda) \rightarrow Z_A^w(\lambda - (p-1)w\alpha)$, given by $\varphi'(1 \otimes 1) = x_{-w\alpha}^{p-1} \otimes 1$.*

For $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$, we write $[M]$ for its image in the Grothendieck group of category \mathcal{C}_A . Note that $w_I w_0$ is the unique longest element in W^I and we denote it by w^I .

Proposition 3.11. *Keep the assumptions and notations in Lemma 3.10. Suppose $A = F$ is a field.*

- (1) *Suppose $w\alpha \notin R_\pi$, then φ and φ' are isomorphisms.*
- (2) *Suppose $w\alpha \in R_\pi$. Let $\pi(h_{w\alpha}) + \langle \lambda, w\alpha^\vee \rangle + 1 \equiv d \pmod{p}$ with $0 \leq d < p$. Then we have*

$$[Z_F^w(\lambda)] = [Z_F^{ws_\alpha}(\lambda - (p-1)w\alpha)].$$

Furthermore, we have φ and φ' are isomorphisms when $d = 0$. And there exists a homomorphism $\psi: Z_F^w(\lambda - dw\alpha) \rightarrow Z_F^w(\lambda)$ such that $\text{Im } \psi \simeq \text{Ker } \varphi$ when $d \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $\beta = w\alpha$ and set

$$a_i = \frac{x_{-\beta}^i}{i!} \otimes 1 \in Z_F^w(\lambda) \text{ and } b_i = \frac{x_\beta^i}{i!} \otimes 1 \in Z_F^{ws_\alpha}(\lambda)$$

for $0 \leq i < p$. Let $\mathfrak{u}^- = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in R^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}} \mathfrak{g}_{-\gamma}$. We have $U_\chi(w\mathfrak{u}^-) \otimes F = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} U_\chi(w\mathfrak{u})a_i \otimes F$ and $U_\chi(ws_\alpha\mathfrak{u}^-) \otimes F = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} U_\chi(w\mathfrak{u})b_i \otimes F$. To determine homomorphisms φ and φ' , we only need to check all $\varphi(a_i)$ and $\varphi'(b_i)$.

Note that $x_\beta a_i = (\pi(h_\beta) + d\lambda(h_\beta) - i + 1)a_{i-1}$ and $x_{-\beta} b_i = -(\pi(h_\beta) + d\lambda(h_\beta) + i + 1)b_{i-1}$. We get therefore

$$\varphi(a_i) = (-1)^i \frac{(p-1)!}{i!} \prod_{j=1}^i (\pi(h_\beta) + d\lambda(h_\beta) - j + 1) b_{p-1-i},$$

and

$$\varphi(b_i) = \frac{(p-1)!}{i!} \prod_{j=1}^i (\pi(h_\beta) + d\lambda(h_\beta) + j + 1) a_{p-1-i}.$$

When $\beta \notin R_\pi$, we have $\pi(h_\beta)^p - \pi(h_\beta)$ is a unit, and then $\pi(h_\beta) \notin \mathbb{F}_p$. Then $\pi(h_\beta) + \lambda(h_\beta) + k$ is nonzero for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence φ and φ' are isomorphisms by comparing elements. We get (1) as desired.

When $\beta \in R_\pi$ with $d = 0$. Then $\pi(h_\beta) + d\lambda(h_\beta) \pm j + 1 \neq 0$ for all $0 \leq j < p$ and hence φ and φ' are isomorphisms.

When $\beta \in R_\pi$ with $d \neq 0$. We have $\varphi(a_i) = 0$ if and only if $i \geq d$. We get therefore

$$\text{Ker } \varphi = \bigoplus_{i=d}^{p-1} (U_\chi(wu^-) \otimes F)a_i \text{ and } \text{Im } \varphi = \bigoplus_{i=p-d}^{p-1} (U_\chi(wu^-) \otimes F)b_i.$$

Also, we have $\varphi'(b_i) = 0$ if and only if $i \geq p - d$. We get therefore

$$\text{Ker } \varphi' = \bigoplus_{i=p-d}^{p-1} (U_\chi(wu^-) \otimes F)b_i \text{ and } \text{Im } \varphi' = \bigoplus_{i=d}^{p-1} (U_\chi(wu^-) \otimes F)a_i.$$

Hence, we get therefore

$$[Z_F^w(\lambda)] = [\text{Ker } \varphi] + [\text{Im } \varphi] = [\text{Im } \varphi'] + [\text{Ker } \varphi'] = [Z_F^{ws\alpha}(\lambda - (p-1)\beta)]$$

as desired. Note that $\text{Ker } \varphi \simeq ((U_\chi(w\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F).a_d)$ and $((U_\chi(w\mathfrak{n}) \otimes F).a_d) = 0$. Then we have a homomorphism $\psi: Z_F^w(\lambda - d\beta) \rightarrow Z_F^w(\lambda)$ with $\psi(1 \otimes 1) = a_d$ such that $\text{Im } \psi \simeq \text{Ker } \varphi$. \square

The deformed baby Verma module has a simple head. It also has a simple socle and can be described by twist deformed baby Verma module as follows. We put $\lambda^w = \lambda - (p-1)(\rho - w\rho)$ for any $w \in W^I$.

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose that $A = F$ is a field.*

- (1) $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$ as a module over itself has a socle equal to $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{-(p-1)(\rho - w^I\rho) + \mathbb{Z}I}$.
- (2) Let $\lambda \in X$, the socle of $Z_F(\lambda)$ or $Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda)$ is simple and equal to

$$\text{Soc}(Z_F(\lambda)) = U_\chi Z_F(\lambda)_{\lambda^{w^I} + \mathbb{Z}I},$$

and

$$\text{Soc}(Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})) = U_\chi Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})_{\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I}.$$

Proof. (1) Note that $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{-(p-1)(\rho - w^I\rho) + \mathbb{Z}I}$ is annihilated by $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{<0 + \mathbb{Z}I}$, and is stable under the action of $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{0 + \mathbb{Z}I}$, so $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{-(p-1)(\rho - w^I\rho) + \mathbb{Z}I}$ is a module over $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$. Since $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{0 + \mathbb{Z}I} = U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}_I^-) \otimes F$ is simple over itself, then $(U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{-(p-1)(\rho - w^I\rho) + \mathbb{Z}I}$ is simple $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$ -module and contained in every submodule of $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$. Hence it equal to socle.

(2) Since $Z_F(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$ as a $U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$ -module. We deduce from (1) that $Z_F(\lambda)_{\lambda^{w^I} + \mathbb{Z}I}$ contained in every nonzero $U_\chi \otimes F$ -submodule of $Z_F(\lambda)$. Then $Z_F(\lambda)$ has a simple socle, which lies in \mathcal{C}_F obviously. It follows that

$$\text{Soc}(Z_F(\lambda)) = U_\chi((U_\chi(\mathfrak{n}^-)_{-(p-1)(\rho-w^I\rho)+\mathbb{Z}I} \otimes F) = U_\chi Z_F(\lambda)_{\lambda^{w^I} + \mathbb{Z}I}.$$

On the other hand, note that $w^I(\mathfrak{n}^-) = \mathfrak{n}_I^- \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$. We can similarly get $U_\chi(w^I \mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F$ as a module over itself has a socle equal to $(U_\chi(w^I \mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes F)_{(p-1)(\rho-w^I\rho)+\mathbb{Z}I}$. And then we have

$$\text{Soc}(Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})) = U_\chi Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})_{\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I}.$$

□

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\lambda \in X$. We have homomorphisms*

$$\varphi: Z_A(\lambda) \rightarrow Z_A^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I}),$$

and

$$\varphi': Z_A^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I}) \rightarrow Z_A(\lambda).$$

Furthermore, if $A = F$ is a field, then $\text{Im } \varphi = L_F(\lambda)$ and $\text{Im } \varphi' = L_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})$.

Proof. Suppose $w^I = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m$ is a reduction expression, where $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$ with α_i is a simple root. Set $w_i = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. So $w_1 = 1$ and $w_{m+1} = w^I$. Note that

$$\lambda^{w_{i+1}} = \lambda - (p-1)(\rho - w_{i+1}\rho) = \lambda - (p-1)(\rho - w_i\rho - \alpha) = \lambda^{w_i} - (p-1)\alpha,$$

we have for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ homomorphisms $\varphi_i: Z_A^{w_i}(\lambda^{w_i}) \rightarrow Z_A^{w_{i+1}}(\lambda^{w_{i+1}})$ and $\varphi'_i: Z_A^{w_{i+1}}(\lambda^{w_{i+1}}) \rightarrow Z_A^{w_i}(\lambda^{w_i})$. We get therefore the composition homomorphism

$$\varphi = \varphi_m \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1: Z_A(\lambda) = Z_A^{w_1}(\lambda^{w_1}) \rightarrow Z_A^{w_2}(\lambda^{w_2}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_A^{w_{m+1}}(\lambda^{w_{m+1}}) = Z_A^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I}),$$

and

$$\varphi' = \varphi'_1 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi'_m: Z_A^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I}) = Z_A^{w_{m+1}}(\lambda^{w_{m+1}}) \rightarrow Z_A^{w_m}(\lambda^{w_m}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_A^{w_1}(\lambda^{w_1}) = Z_A(\lambda).$$

Suppose now $A = F$ is a field. Since $Z_F(\lambda)$ is generated by $Z_F(\lambda)_{\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I}$, the image of φ is generated by

$$\varphi(Z_F(\lambda)_{\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I}) = Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})_{\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I}.$$

But the right side is the generator of the simple socle by Lemma 3.12. Hence, we have $\text{Im } \varphi$ is irreducible. Since $\text{Im } \varphi$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $Z_F(\lambda)$, we conclude that $\text{Im } \varphi \simeq L_F(\lambda)$.

Similarly, we can get $\text{Im } \varphi' \simeq L_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})$. □

When $A = F$ is a field, for each $\beta \in R_\pi$, we denote by $n_\beta(\lambda)$ the integer such that $\langle \lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle + \pi(h_\beta) \equiv n_\beta(\lambda) \pmod{p}$ with $0 \leq n_\beta(\lambda) \leq p - 1$.

Corollary 3.14. *Suppose $A = F$ is a field. If $n_\beta(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\beta \in R_\pi \setminus R_I$, then $Z_F(\lambda)$ is irreducible for all $\lambda \in X$.*

Proof. When $\mathbb{Z}I = \mathbb{Z}R$, then I is equal to the set of all simple roots. Then $Z_F(\lambda) = Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$ is simple for each $\lambda \in X$.

Suppose $\mathbb{Z}I \neq \mathbb{Z}R$. We have a nontrivial homomorphism $Z_F(\lambda) \rightarrow Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})$ from Proposition 3.13. For any $w \in W^I$ and simple root α , we have

$$\langle \lambda + \rho, w\alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda^w, w\alpha^\vee \rangle + \langle \rho + (p-1)(\rho - w\rho), w\alpha^\vee \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda^w, w\alpha^\vee \rangle + 1 \pmod{p}.$$

By Proposition 3.11, the composition homomorphism $\varphi: Z_F(\lambda) \rightarrow Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})$ is isomorphism if and only if all $n_\beta(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\beta \in R_\pi \setminus R_I$. Hence, we have $Z_F(\lambda) \simeq \text{Im } \varphi \simeq L_F(\lambda)$ as desired. \square

When $I = \emptyset$, it is just the result in [AJS94, 6.3]. The subset $R_\pi \setminus R_I$ has deep connections with the irreducibility of the deformed baby Verma module. However, it is not projective and injective in general like that in the case of $I = \emptyset$, since two deformed baby Verma modules in \mathcal{C}_A with different highest weights in X may be isomorphic. We will discuss this in Section 3.6 later.

3.4. Duality. We recall some constructions in [We22, 5.4] and generalize some results in [Ja98, 11.14/15].

Let A be a U^0 -algebra with structural map $\pi: U^0 \rightarrow A$. Denote the category of $U_{-\chi} \otimes A$ -module with analogue conditions of \mathcal{C}_A as $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_A$. We could similarly define the deformed baby Verma modules $\overline{Z}_A(\lambda)$ and their twist $\overline{Z}_A^w(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in X$ and $w \in W^I$. Write \overline{A} the same k -algebra structure with A with structural map $\overline{\pi}: U^0 \rightarrow A$ such that $\overline{\pi}(h) = -\pi(h)$ for $h \in U^0$.

Consider the contravariant dual of M in \mathcal{C}_A by setting

$$M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A),$$

which is a $U_{-\chi} \otimes A$ -module via $x.f(m) = -f(x.m)$ for $f \in M^*$, $m \in M$, and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. It has a $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading given by

$$(M^*)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = \{f \in M^* \mid f(M_{\mu+\mathbb{Z}I}) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu + \mathbb{Z}I \neq -\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I\}.$$

Then M^* is an object in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_A$, and it induces a contravariant functor $(-)^*: \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_A$. When M is free over A , we have $(M^*)^* \simeq M$ and $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}_A = \mathcal{C}_A$. Note that $(M^*)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \simeq (M_{-\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I})^*$ for

all $\lambda \in X$, we have

$$Z_A(\lambda)^* \simeq \overline{Z}_A(-\lambda + 2(p-1)\rho).$$

When consider the category $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$, we also can define the dual functor $(-)^*: \mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$ (defined in a similar way). Denote by $\overline{Z}_{A,I}(\lambda)$ the deformed baby Verma module in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$. Note that $\rho - w_i\rho$ is the sum of positive roots in R_I and contained in $\mathbb{Z}I$. We get similarly that

$$Z_{A,I}(\lambda)^* \simeq \overline{Z}_{A,I}(-\lambda + (p-1)(\rho - w_I\rho)) \simeq \overline{Z}_{A,I}(-\lambda - \rho + w_I\rho),$$

for all $\lambda \in X$.

Let τ be the automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , satisfies $\chi \circ \tau^{-1} = -\chi$ and induces $-w_I$ on X . We have $\tau(x_\alpha) = x_{-w_I\alpha}$ and $\tau(h_\alpha) = h_{-w_I\alpha}$. Write ${}^\tau A$ the same k -algebra structure with A with structural map ${}^\tau\pi: U^0 \rightarrow A$ such that ${}^\tau\pi(h_\alpha) = \pi(\tau^{-1}(h))$ for $h \in U^0$. For any $M \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_A$, let ${}^\tau M$ denote the $U_\chi \otimes A$ -module such that ${}^\tau M = M$ as an A -module and $x.m = \tau^{-1}(x)m$ for all $x \in U_{-\chi}$ and $m \in M$. It has a $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading given by

$$({}^\tau M)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = M_{-\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I},$$

for all $\lambda \in X$. Then ${}^\tau M$ is an object in \mathcal{C}_A and τ induce a covariant functor ${}^\tau(-): \overline{\mathcal{C}}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$. Note that $\tau(\mathfrak{n}) = w_I\mathfrak{n}$ and τ induces $-w_I$ on X , we have

$${}^\tau\overline{Z}_A(\lambda) \simeq Z_{{}^\tau A}^{w_I}(-w_I\lambda),$$

for all $\lambda \in X$. Consider the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$. Since $\tau(\mathfrak{g}_I) = \mathfrak{g}_I$, we can similarly define functor ${}^\tau(-): \overline{\mathcal{C}}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$. Since $\tau(\mathfrak{n}_I) = \mathfrak{n}_I$, we get therefore

$${}^\tau\overline{Z}_{A,I}(\lambda) \simeq Z_{{}^\tau A,I}(-w_I\lambda)$$

for all $\lambda \in X$.

Write $\mathbb{D}A$ the same k -algebra structure with A but structural map $\mathbb{D}\pi: U^0 \rightarrow A$ extended from $h \mapsto -\pi(\tau^{-1}(h))$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. We have $\mathbb{D}A = {}^\tau(\overline{A})$. For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$, let $\mathbb{D}(M)$ denote the $U_\chi \otimes A$ -module $\tau(M^*)$ with the action $x.f(m) = f(-\tau^{-1}x.m)$ for $x \in U_\chi$ and $m \in M$. It keeps the $X/\mathbb{Z}I$ -grading, which means $\mathbb{D}M_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = (\mathbb{D}M)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ as an A -module. We get therefore a functor $\mathbb{D} = {}^\tau(-) \circ (-)^*: \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{D}A}$. If $A = F$ is a field, then \mathbb{D} is an anti-equivalence functor, see [We22, Proposition 5.13]. We have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^i(M, N) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^i(\mathbb{D}N, \mathbb{D}M)$$

for all $i > 0$ and $M, N \in \mathcal{C}_F$.

Consider the category $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I)$. We can similarly define $\mathbb{D}: \mathcal{C}_A(\mathfrak{g}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{D}A}(\mathfrak{g}_I)$. We have the following results.

Proposition 3.15. *Keep the notations above.*

- (1) $\mathbb{D}Z_{A,I}(\lambda) \simeq Z_{\mathbb{D}A,I}(w_I \cdot \lambda)$,
- (2) $\mathbb{D}Z_A(\lambda) \simeq Z_{\mathbb{D}A}^{w^I}((w_I \cdot \lambda)^{w^I})$, and $\mathbb{D}Z_A^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I}) \simeq Z_{\mathbb{D}A}(w_I \cdot \lambda)$
- (3) *When $A = F$ is a field. We have $\mathbb{D}L_F(\lambda) \simeq L_{\mathbb{D}F}(w_I \cdot \lambda)$.*

Proof. (1) We have

$$\mathbb{D}Z_{A,I}(\lambda) = \tau(Z_{A,I}(\lambda)^*) = \tau(\overline{Z}_{A,I}(-\lambda - \rho + w_I\rho)) = Z_{\mathbb{D}A,I}(w_I \cdot \lambda)$$

for all $\lambda \in X$ as desired.

(2) Note that $w_I\rho + w^I\rho = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_I(\lambda - 2(p-1)\rho) + p\mathbb{Z}I &= w_I \cdot \lambda - (w_I\rho - \rho) - 2(p-1)w_I\rho + p\mathbb{Z}I \\ &= w_I \cdot \lambda - (p-1)(\rho + w_I\rho) + p\mathbb{Z}I \\ &= (w_I \cdot \lambda)^{w^I} + p\mathbb{Z}I. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{D}Z_A(\lambda) = \tau(Z_A(\lambda)^*) \simeq \tau(\overline{Z}_A(-\lambda + 2(p-1)\rho)) \simeq Z_{\mathbb{D}A}((w_I \cdot \lambda)^{w^I}).$$

Note that $w^I w^I(\mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{n}$ and $w^I\rho$ is the sum of roots in $w^I\mathfrak{n}$, we can similarly get

$$Z_A^{w^I}(\lambda)^* \simeq \overline{Z}_A^{w^I}(-\lambda + 2(p-1)w^I\rho) \text{ and } \tau\overline{Z}_A^{w^I}(\lambda) \simeq Z_{\tau A}(-w_I\lambda).$$

Since

$$w_I(\lambda + \rho + w^I\rho) = w_I \cdot \lambda - (w_I\rho - \rho) + w_I(\rho - w_I\rho) = w_I \cdot \lambda,$$

it follows that

$$\mathbb{D}Z_A^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I}) \simeq \tau(\overline{Z}_A^{w^I}(-\lambda + (p-1)(\rho + w^I\rho))) \simeq Z_{\mathbb{D}A}(w_I \cdot \lambda).$$

(3) Note that $L_F(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$, we have $\mathbb{D}(L_F(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}) = (\mathbb{D}L_F(\lambda))_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I}$ as a $U_I \otimes F$ -module. That is $(\mathbb{D}L_F(\lambda))_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \simeq Z_{\mathbb{D}F,I}(w_I \cdot \lambda)$. Since \mathbb{D} send simple object to simple object, then we have $\mathbb{D}L_F(\lambda) = L_{\mathbb{D}F}(w_I \cdot \lambda)$. \square

Remark 3.16. *One can compare Proposition 3.15(3) and Proposition 3.13 to see \mathbb{D} send the head of $Z_F(\lambda)$ to the scole of $\mathbb{D}Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})$ when $A = F$ is a field.*

Lemma 3.17. *Suppose that B -algebra $A = F$ is a field, and $R_\pi^+ \cap R_I$ equal to \emptyset , $\{\alpha\}$, or R_I^+ . We have*

$$(1) \text{ Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) = \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } \lambda \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) If $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) \neq 0$, then $\lambda \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$ with $R_\pi^+ \cap R_I$ is R_I^+ or $\{\alpha\}$ with $\alpha \in I$.

Proof. (1) If $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) \neq 0$, then we have $Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \neq 0$. It follows that $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. Note that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{D}F}}(Z_{\mathbb{D}F}(w_I \cdot \mu), Z_{\mathbb{D}F}^{w^I}((w_I \cdot \lambda)^{w^I})) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) \neq 0,$$

we also can get $\mu + \mathbb{Z}I \leq \lambda + \mathbb{Z}I$. We get therefore $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. Then $Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})_{\mu+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{F,I}(\mu)$. The nonzero map maps $Z_F(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$ onto $Z_{F,I}(\mu)$ by simplicity. Thus $\lambda \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$ by Lemma 3.2.

(2) Suppose $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.4(1), we have $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. Note that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{D}F}}^1(Z_{\mathbb{D}F}(w_I \cdot \mu), Z_{\mathbb{D}F}^{w^I}(w_I \cdot \lambda)^{w^I}) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) \neq 0,$$

Similar to (1), it yields that $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. We get therefore $Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = L_F(\mu)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} \simeq Z_{F,I}(\mu)$. If we restrict extension to the subspace of degree $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I$, it yields that

$$\text{Ext}^1(Z_{F,I}(\lambda), Z_{F,I}(\mu)) \neq 0.$$

So the result follows from Lemma 3.2. \square

If M has a Z -filtration, denote by $(M: Z_A(\lambda))$ the multiplicity of $Z_A(\lambda)$ in the Z -filtration of M . Let $A = F$ be a field, recall that $Q_F(\lambda)$ is the projective cover of $L_F(\lambda)$, it has a Z -filtration by Lemma 3.5.

Corollary 3.18. *Suppose $A = F$ is a field and $R_\pi^+ \cap R_I = \emptyset$ or $R_\pi^+ = \{\alpha\}$ with $\alpha \notin R_I$. Then we have*

$$(Q_F(\lambda): Z_F(\mu)) = [Z_F(\mu): L_F(\lambda)].$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.17, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) = \begin{cases} F, & \lambda \in \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) = 0$$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in X$. It follows that the multiplicity of $Z_F(\lambda)$ in $Q_F(\lambda)$ is equal to the dimension of $\text{Hom}(Q_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I}))$. Note that $[Z_F(\lambda)] = [Z_F^{w^I}(\lambda^{w^I})]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_F(\lambda) : Z_F(\mu)) &= \dim \text{Hom}(Q_F(\lambda), Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I})) \\ &= [Z_F^{w^I}(\mu^{w^I}) : L_F(\lambda)] \\ &= [Z_F(\mu) : L_F(\lambda)] \end{aligned} .$$

as desired. \square

3.5. A-block. We are going to introduce A -block in the category \mathcal{C}_A . We determine a partition of X into a so-called A -block. We define this to be the finest possible partition such that λ and μ belong to the same A -block if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\mu))$ or $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\mu))$ do not vanish. This notation extends from [AJS94, 6.9] in case of $I = \emptyset$.

Denote \mathcal{D}_A the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}_A containing exactly all objects with a Z -filtration. If b is an A -block, denote $\mathcal{D}_A(b)$ the full subcategory of all N in \mathcal{D}_A where the factors in the Z -filtration involve only $Z_A(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in b$, and denote $\mathcal{C}_A(b)$ the full subcategory of all modules M in \mathcal{C}_A such that M is a homomorphic image of some module in $\mathcal{D}_A(b)$.

Proposition 3.19. *Keep the notations above.*

- (1) $\mathcal{D}_A = \bigoplus_b \mathcal{D}_A(b)$ with $\text{Hom}(M, M') = 0$ for all M and M' in different subcategory.
- (2) $\mathcal{C}_A = \bigoplus_b \mathcal{C}_A(b)$ with $\text{Hom}(M, M') = 0$ for all M and M' in different subcategory.
- (3) Let $A = F$ be a field, then F -block coincides with usual one: the finest partition of X such that λ and μ lie in the same block if $L_F(\lambda)$ and $L_F(\mu)$ have a nontrivial extension.

Proof. (1) It follows directly from the definition of A -block.

(2) Suppose M and M' are in $\mathcal{C}_A(b)$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(M, M')$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists epimorphisms $p_M: Q \twoheadrightarrow M$ and $p_{M'}: Q' \twoheadrightarrow M'$ with Q and Q' are projective objects with Z -filtration. We may assume that Q and Q' are both in $\mathcal{D}_A(b)$ by (1). Since Q is projective, there exists a homomorphism $f': Q \rightarrow Q'$ such that $f \circ p_M = p_{M'} \circ f'$, then $f = 0$ whenever $f' = 0$. Note that $M = p_M(Q) = \bigoplus_b p_M(Q_b)$, where $Q_b \in \mathcal{D}_A(b)$. Then we have $p_M(Q_b) \in \mathcal{C}_A(b)$ and hence $\mathcal{C}_A = \bigoplus_b \mathcal{C}_A(b)$.

(3) If λ and λ' belong to F -block b and b' , we have $L_F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_F(b)$ and $L_F(\lambda') \in \mathcal{C}_F(b')$. When $b \neq b'$, then $\text{Ext}^1(L_F(\lambda), L_F(\lambda')) = 0$. It shows that each block is contained in a F -block.

For every block B , denote $\mathcal{C}_F(B)$ the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}_F with objects has composition factors only involves $L_F(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in B$. Suppose now $\lambda \in B$. Since $Z_F(\lambda)$ is indecomposable, it belong to $\mathcal{C}_F(B)$. If λ' belong to a different block B' , then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\lambda')) = 0 =$

$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\lambda'))$ by the property of block. Hence, each F -block is contained in a block. Thus block and F -block coincide. \square

We want to determine the A -block when A is a field at first. Recall that $B = S[(h_\alpha^{p-1} - 1)^{-1} \mid \alpha \in R]$ and definition of $n_\beta(\lambda)$ in 3.3. When A is a B -algebra, then we have

$$\langle \lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle \equiv n_\beta(\lambda) \pmod{p},$$

for each $\lambda \in X$ and $\beta \in R_\pi$.

Let $W_{\pi,p} = \langle s_{\alpha,mp} \mid \alpha \in (R_\pi \cap I) \cup (R_\pi \setminus R_I), m \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$. For any $M \in \mathcal{C}_F$, denote $[M : L_F(\lambda)]$ the multiplicity of $L_F(\lambda)$ as a composition factor of M . we first investigate the case of field.

Lemma 3.20. *Suppose B -algebra $A = F$ is a field.*

- (1) *Let $\lambda, \mu \in X$ with $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \neq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. Suppose $[Z_F(\lambda) : L_F(\mu)] \neq 0$, then $[Z_F(\lambda - n_\beta(\lambda)\beta) : L_F(\mu)] \neq 0$ for some $\beta \in R_\pi \setminus R_I$ with $n_\beta(\lambda) \neq 0$.*
- (2) *Let $\lambda, \mu \in X$ with $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$ and suppose $R_\pi \cap R_I = \emptyset, \{\pm\alpha\}$ or R_I . If $[Z_F(\lambda) : L_F(\mu)] \neq 0$, then $\mu \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$.*
- (3) *Each F -block of $\lambda \in X$ belong to $W_{\pi,p} \cdot \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$.*

Proof. (1) Since $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \neq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. Then $[Z_F(\lambda) : L_F(\mu)] \neq 0$ yields that there exists $w \in W^I$ and simple root α with $w\alpha \in R_\pi \setminus R_I$ such that $L_F(\mu)$ lies in the kernel of $\varphi : Z_F^w(\lambda^w) \rightarrow Z_F^{s_\alpha w}(\lambda^w - (p-1)w\alpha)$. Let $\beta = w\alpha$, then $L_F(\mu)$ is in the image of $Z_F^w(\lambda^w - n_\beta(\lambda)\beta)$ by Lemma 3.11(2) and

$$\langle \lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda^w, \beta^\vee \rangle + \langle \rho + (p-1)(\rho - w\rho), w\alpha^\vee \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda^w, \beta^\vee \rangle + 1 \pmod{p}.$$

It follows that

$$[Z_F(\lambda - n_\beta(\lambda)\beta) : L_F(\mu)] = [Z_F^w(\lambda^w - n_\beta(\lambda)\beta) : L_F(\mu)] \neq 0.$$

(2) Note that $Z_F(\lambda)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$ and $L_F(\mu)_{\lambda+\mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{F,I}(\mu)$ are irreducible. $[Z_F(\lambda) : L_F(\mu)] \neq 0$ if and only if $Z_{F,I}(\lambda) \simeq Z_{F,I}(\mu)$. Then the results follows from Lemma 3.2.

(3) Suppose that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(L_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) \neq 0$ with $\lambda, \mu \in X$.

If $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \not\leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$, it follow from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(L_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(\text{Rad}Z_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) \neq 0.$$

Note that $\lambda - n_\beta(\lambda)\beta = s_{\beta,mp} \cdot \lambda$ where $mp = \langle \lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle - n_\beta(\lambda)$. By repeatedly applying (1), there exists $\lambda' \in W_{\pi,p} \cdot \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$ such that $[Z_F(\lambda') : L_F(\mu)] \neq 0$ and $\lambda' + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$. Then the result follows by (2) since $W_{I,\pi} \subset W_{\pi,p}$.

If $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I = \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$, we have $L_F(\lambda)_{\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{F,I}(\lambda)$ and $L_F(\mu)_{\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I} = Z_{F,I}(\mu)$. Similar to Proposition 3.4(2), we could get $\text{Ext}^1(Z_{F,I}(\lambda), Z_{F,I}(\mu)) \neq 0$. Then $\mu \in W_{I,\pi} \cdot \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I \subset W_{\pi,p} \cdot \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$ as desired.

If $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I < \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(L_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) &\simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{D}F}}^1(\mathbb{D}L_F(\mu), \mathbb{D}L_F(\lambda)) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{D}F}}^1(L_{\mathbb{D}F}(w_I \cdot \mu), L_{\mathbb{D}F}(w_I \cdot \lambda)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}F}(\text{Rad}Z_{\mathbb{D}F}(w_I \cdot \mu), L_{\mathbb{D}F}(w_I \cdot \lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $R_{\mathbb{D}\pi} = w_I R_\pi$ and $\langle w_I \cdot \mu + \rho, w_I \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \mu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle$. Similar to the case of $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}I \not\leq \mu + \mathbb{Z}I$, we have $w_I \cdot \lambda \in W_{\mathbb{D}\pi,p} \cdot (w_I \cdot \mu) + p\mathbb{Z}I$. Note that $w_I(R_I^+) = -R_I^+$ and $w_I(I) = -I$. We have

$$W_{\mathbb{D}\pi,p} = \langle s_{\alpha,mp} \mid \alpha \in w_I((R_\pi \cap I) \cup (R_\pi \setminus R_I)), m \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

By conjugation in the Weyl group, we conclude that $\mu \in W_{\pi,p} \cdot \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$ as desired. \square

Proposition 3.21. *Let $\lambda \in X$ and suppose A is a B -algebra, then each A -block of λ belong to $W_{\pi,p} \cdot \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$.*

Proof. For any A -algebra A' , let

$$E_{A'}^i = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A'}}^i(Z_{A'}(\lambda), Z_{A'}(\mu)).$$

Suppose now $\lambda, \mu \in X$ such that $E_A^1 \neq 0$ or $E_A^0 \neq 0$.

If $E_{A/\mathfrak{m}}^1 \neq 0$ for some maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A , we have $\lambda \in W_{\pi,p} \cdot \mu$ since R_π for A/\mathfrak{m} is contained in R_π for A .

If $E_{A/\mathfrak{m}}^1 = 0$ for all maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A , we have $E_{A/\mathfrak{m}}^i = 0$ for all maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A with $i > 0$. By Lemma 3.8, we have $E_A^i = 0$ for all $i > 0$, then $E_A^0 \neq 0$. Since $E_A^0 \otimes A/\mathfrak{m} \simeq E_{A/\mathfrak{m}}^0$ and Nakayama lemma, there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that $E_{A/\mathfrak{m}}^0 \neq 0$, which yields that $\lambda \in W_{\pi,p} \cdot \mu + p\mathbb{Z}I$ by Lemma 3.20. \square

Corollary 3.22. *Suppose B -algebra $A = F$ is a field and let $\lambda \in X$. If $n_\beta(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\beta \in R_\pi \setminus R_I$ and $R_\pi \cap I = \emptyset$, then all $Z_F(\lambda)$ are projective and irreducible.*

Proof. The irreducibility of $Z_F(\lambda)$ comes from Corollary 3.14. By Proposition 3.21, the F -block of each $\lambda \in X$ is equal to $\lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$. When $\mu \notin \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(L_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\mu)) = 0.$$

When $\mu \in \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F(\mathfrak{g}_I)}^1(Z_{F,I}(\lambda), Z_{F,I}(\mu)) = 0$. We deduce from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(L_F(\lambda), L_F(\mu)) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\mu)) = 0.$$

We conclude that $\text{Ext}^1(L_F(\lambda), M) = 0$ for all simple module M and $\lambda \in X$. Therefore $Z_F(\lambda) = L_F(\lambda)$ is projective for all $\lambda \in X$. \square

Corollary 3.23. *Suppose A is a B^0 -algebra or B^α -algebra with $\alpha \in R_I^+ \setminus I$. For any $\lambda \in X$, all $Z_A(\lambda)$ are projective and generate \mathcal{C}_A .*

Proof. For any any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A , the analogue of R_π for A/\mathfrak{m} contained in R_π for A . By Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 3.22, all $Z_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda)$ are projective in $\mathcal{C}_{A/\mathfrak{m}}$. We can now deduce from Corollary 3.9 that $Z_A(\lambda)$ is projective in \mathcal{C}_A for each $\lambda \in X$. Note that the A -block of λ is $\lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$. Then any $M \in \mathcal{C}(\lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I)$ is a homomorphic image of $Z_A(\lambda)^{\oplus m}$ for some $m > 0$. So all $Z_A(\lambda)$ generate \mathcal{C}_A . \square

3.6. Extensions in rank 1 cases. We focus on the projective object in \mathcal{C}_A . We shall always assume A and any A -algebra are Noetherian and commutative. We are not going to determine a general projective object but under some restrictions on A or π .

In this section, we keep the assumption that A is an integral domain and $R_\pi^+ = \{\alpha\}$ with $\alpha \notin R_I^+ \setminus I$. Let $\lambda \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq n < p$ such that $\langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \equiv p - n \pmod{p}$. Put $\alpha \uparrow \lambda = \lambda + n\alpha$.

Proposition 3.24. *Suppose A is a B^α -algebra with $\alpha \notin R_I^+ \setminus I$. If $\alpha \uparrow \lambda = \lambda$, then $Z_A(\lambda)$ is projective.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.9, we only need to show $Z_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda)$ is projective for all maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A . If $\pi(h_\alpha) \notin \mathfrak{m}$, then the analogue of R_π for A/\mathfrak{m} is equal to \emptyset . We have $Z_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda)$ is projective by Corollary 3.22. If $\pi(h_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{m}$, then the analogue of R_π for A/\mathfrak{m} is equal to $\{\pm\alpha\}$. We deduce from $\alpha \uparrow \lambda = \lambda$ that $|W_{I,\pi} \cdot \lambda| = 1$. Then $Z_{A/\mathfrak{m},I}(\lambda)$ is projective by Lemma 3.2, and then

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{g}_I)}^1(Z_{A/\mathfrak{m},I}(\lambda), Z_{A/\mathfrak{m},I}(\mu)) = 0$$

for each $\mu \in X$. Similar to Lemma 3.4(2), we could get

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{A/\mathfrak{m}}}^1(Z_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda), L_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\mu)) = 0$$

for any irreducible module $L_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\mu)$. Hence, $Z_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda)$ is projective. \square

Lemma 3.25. *Suppose B^α -algebra $A = F$ is a field with $\alpha \notin R_I^+ \setminus I$. If $\alpha \uparrow \lambda \neq \lambda$, then $Q_F(\lambda)$ has a Z -filtration such that the all composition factors are $Z_F(\lambda)$ and $Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)$ and each occurs once. In particular, we have*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) = F.$$

Proof. In case $\alpha \in I$. We have $Z_F(\lambda)$ is irreducible for each $\lambda \in X$ by Corollary 3.14 and $Z_F(\lambda) \simeq Z_F(\mu)$ if and only if $\mu \in \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$ or $\mu \in \alpha \uparrow \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I$ by Corollary 3.3. By [Ja98, Lemma 10.9], we have

$$\dim Q_F(\lambda) = p^{\dim n} \sum_{\mu+pX \in X/pX} [Z_F(d\mu): L_F(d\lambda)] = 2p^{\dim n}.$$

Note that the F -block of λ is $(\lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I) \cup (\alpha \uparrow \lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I)$. It follows that $Q_F(\lambda)$ has a Z -filtration such that the only two factors are both isomorphic to $Z_F(\lambda)$. Then we have a projective resolutin of $Z_F(\lambda)$:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} Q_F(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} Q_F(\lambda) \xrightarrow{p} Z_F(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $p: Q_F(\lambda) \rightarrow Z_F(\lambda)$ is the projection and we also denote $Q_F(\lambda) \xrightarrow{p} Z_F(\lambda) \hookrightarrow Q_F(\lambda)$ by \bar{p} . By applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(-, Z_F(\lambda))$, we get the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\lambda)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Q_F(\lambda), Z_F(\lambda)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Q_F(\lambda), Z_F(\lambda)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since $p\bar{p} = 0$, we get theroeofore

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_F}^1(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\lambda)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Q_F(\lambda), Z_F(\lambda)) = F.$$

In the case of $\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+$. We now use the notations in Proposition 3.13. Suppose $\alpha = w_i \alpha_i$, then we have φ_j are all isomorphism with $j \neq i$ and there exists $\psi: Z_F^{w_i}(\lambda^{w^I} - (p-n)\alpha) \rightarrow Z_F^{w_i}(\lambda)$ such that $\mathrm{Ker} \varphi_i \simeq \mathrm{Im} \psi$ by Proposition 3.11(2). Note that

$$\dim L_F(\lambda) = \dim \mathrm{Im} \varphi = \dim \mathrm{Im} \varphi_i = p^{\dim n - 1}(p-n),$$

which yields that $\dim L_F(\lambda - (p-n)\alpha) = p^{\dim n - 1}n$. Also, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{Im} \psi = \dim \mathrm{Ker} \varphi'_i = p^{\dim n - 1}n.$$

We get therefore $L_F(\lambda - (p-n)\alpha) \simeq \mathrm{Im} \psi \simeq \mathrm{Ker} \varphi_i \simeq \mathrm{Ker} \varphi$. So we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_F(\lambda - (p-n)\alpha) \rightarrow Z_F(\lambda) \rightarrow L_F(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

It yields by Corollary 3.18 that an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda) \longrightarrow Q_F(\lambda) \longrightarrow Z_F(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we get a projective resolution of $Z_F(\lambda)$

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f} Q_F(\lambda + p\alpha) \xrightarrow{g} Q_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda) \rightarrow Q_F(\lambda) \rightarrow Z_F(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

By applying the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(-, Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda))$, we get the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Q_F(\lambda), Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Q_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda), Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) \rightarrow 0.$$

So we have

$$\text{Ext}^1(Z_F(\lambda), Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_F}(Q_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda), Z_F(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) = F,$$

as desired. \square

Let K be the fraction field of integral domain A . Suppose $R_\pi^+ = \{\alpha\}$ with α being simple. Denote the generator $v_0 \in Z_A(\lambda)$ and $v_1 \in Z_A(\lambda + n\alpha)$. For any $b \in K$, let $y_b = v_0 + E_{-\alpha}^{(n)}v_1 \in Z_K(\lambda) \oplus Z_K(\lambda + n\alpha)$ and

$$Y(b) = U^-v_0A + U^-y_bA \subset Z_K(\lambda) \oplus Z_K(\lambda + n\alpha).$$

We study in the case of α being simple at first. We have the following results from [AJS94, 8.1-8.4].

Lemma 3.26. *Suppose A is a B^α -algebra with simple root α and $\alpha \uparrow \lambda \neq \lambda$. Let $b \in K$.*

- (1) $Y(b)$ is an object in \mathcal{C}_A if and only if $\pi(h_\alpha)b \in A$.
- (2) Suppose $\pi(h_\alpha)b \in A$, then there exists an exact sequence in \mathcal{C}_A

$$[b]: 0 \longrightarrow Z_A(\alpha \uparrow \lambda) \xrightarrow{f} Y(b) \xrightarrow{g} Z_A(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $f(v_1) = v_1$ and $g(y_b) = v_0$. In addition, the exact sequence split if and only if $b \in A$.

- (3) We have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) \simeq A\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1}/A.$$

Corollary 3.27. *Suppose A is a B^α -algebra with simple root α and $\alpha \uparrow \lambda \neq \lambda$. Then $Y(\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1})$ is projective in \mathcal{C}_A and the exact sequence $[\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1}]$ generates $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\alpha \uparrow \lambda))$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of A . If $\pi(h_\alpha) \notin \mathfrak{m}$, then the analogue of R_π for A/\mathfrak{m} is emptyset. We have $Z_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda)$ and $Z_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)$ are projective by Corollary 3.22, so is $Y(\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1}) \otimes A/\mathfrak{m}$. If $\pi(h_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{m}$, it follows from Lemma 3.25 and Lemma 3.26 that $Y(\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1}) \otimes A/\mathfrak{m}$ is non-split and isomorphic to $Q_{A/\mathfrak{m}}(\lambda)$. We conclude that $Y(\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1}) \otimes A/\mathfrak{m}$ is projective for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , so is $Y(\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1})$. The remaining part comes from Lemma 3.26(3). \square

Now we back to the general case.

Proposition 3.28. *Suppose A is a B^α -algebra and $\alpha \uparrow \lambda \neq \lambda$.*

- (1) $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) \simeq A\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1}/A$.
- (2) *There exists a projective objective Q in \mathcal{C}_A with an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow Z_A(\alpha \uparrow \lambda) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Z_A(\lambda) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We only need proof the case of $\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_I^+$ not simple. Note that there exists $w \in W^I$ such that α is simple with respect to roots in $w\mathfrak{n}$. Note that $\langle \lambda^w + w\rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \equiv p - n \pmod{p}$, we have $\alpha \uparrow \lambda^w = \lambda^w + n\alpha$. Similar to Lemma 3.26 and Corollary 3.27, there exists projective object Q with an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z_A^w(\alpha \uparrow \lambda^w) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Z_A^w(\lambda^w) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then (2) followed by isomorphism $Z_A^w(\lambda^w) \simeq Z_A(\lambda)$ and $Z_A^w(\alpha \uparrow \lambda^w) \simeq Z_A(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)$. We have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A(\lambda), Z_A(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_A}^1(Z_A^w(\lambda^w), Z_A^w(\alpha \uparrow \lambda^w)) = A\pi(h_\alpha)^{-1}/A$$

as desired. \square

4. A COMBINATORIAL CATEGORY

In this section, we keep the assumption that $A = \hat{S}$ to be a completion of $S = S(\mathfrak{h})$ at the augmentation ideal of S . Then \hat{S} is a Noetherian local integral domain over B and flat over S . We denote $A^\emptyset = \hat{S}^\emptyset = \hat{S}[h_\beta^{-1} \mid \beta \in R^+]$ and $A^\alpha = \hat{S}^\alpha = \hat{S}[h_\beta^{-1} \mid \beta \in R^+ \setminus \{\alpha\}]$ for any $\alpha \in R^+$. We have naturally embedding $S \hookrightarrow \hat{S} \hookrightarrow \hat{S}^\alpha \hookrightarrow \hat{S}^\emptyset$ and the structural maps are the natural embeddings of $U^0 \simeq S$. For any \hat{S} -module M , we denote $M^\emptyset = M \otimes_{\hat{S}} \hat{S}^\emptyset$ and $M^\alpha = M \otimes_{\hat{S}} \hat{S}^\alpha$. For all $\lambda \in X$, we use abbreviations that $Z^\emptyset(\lambda) = Z_{\hat{S}^\emptyset}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}^\emptyset = \mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}^\emptyset}$ and $Z^\alpha(\lambda) = Z_{\hat{S}^\alpha}(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{C}^\alpha = \mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}^\alpha}$.

We have nice properties of the assumptions from [AJS94, 9.1-9.2].

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $p \neq 2$ if R has two root lengths and $p \neq 3$ if R has a component of type G_2 . (The characteristic p is prime to all entries of the Cartan matrix.) Then*

- (1) $\hat{S} = \bigcap_{\beta \in R^+} \hat{S}^\beta$,
- (2) *If M is a flat \hat{S} -module, $M = \bigcap_{\beta \in R^+} M^\beta$.*

Let Ω be an (\cdot) -orbit of W_p in X under the dot action. Since $R_\pi = R$ for $\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}$, then Ω is a \hat{S} -block of $\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}$ by Proposition 3.21.

Fix a (\cdot) -orbits Ω of W_p in X . We define a combinatorial category $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\Omega, \hat{S})$ consisting of

- Objects: $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{M}(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Omega}, \mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha)_{\lambda \in \Omega, \alpha \in R^+})$, where each $\mathcal{M}(\lambda)$ is finitely generated \hat{S}^θ -module and each $\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha)$ is finitely generated \hat{S}^α -submodule of $\mathcal{M}(\lambda) \oplus \mathcal{M}(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)$.
- Morphisms: $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a collection of \hat{S}^θ -homomorphisms $\phi_\lambda: \mathcal{M}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ which satisfies $(\phi_\lambda \oplus \phi_{\alpha \uparrow \lambda})\mathcal{M}(\lambda, \alpha) \subset \mathcal{N}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

In $\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}^\alpha}$, we put $Q^\alpha(\lambda) = Z^\alpha(\lambda)$ if $\alpha \in R_I^+ \setminus I$ or $\alpha \uparrow \lambda = \lambda$ and $Q^\alpha(\lambda) = Q$ being the projective object in Corollary 3.28 otherwise. We have all $Q^\alpha(\lambda)$ are projective and generate \mathcal{C}^α .

Denote $\mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$ consisting of objects in $\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$ that are flat over \hat{S} . All projective object of $\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$ are contained in $\mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 2.1(2). Then we can define a functor

$$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_\Omega : \mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Omega, \hat{S})$$

as follows. For $M \in \mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$, let $\mathbb{V}M(\lambda) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\theta}(Z^\theta(\lambda), M^\theta)$, and

$$\mathbb{V}M(\lambda, \alpha) = \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha}(Q^\alpha(\lambda), M^\alpha) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha}(Q^\alpha(\lambda), M^\alpha) \otimes \hat{S}^\theta).$$

We have $\mathbb{V}M(\lambda)$ is finitely generated over \hat{S}^θ since \hat{S}^θ is Noetherian. Since \hat{S}^α and \hat{S}^θ are flat over \hat{S} , we deduce from Lemma 3.6(3) that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha}(Q^\alpha(\lambda), M^\alpha) \otimes \hat{S}^\theta = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\theta}(Q^\alpha(\lambda) \otimes \hat{S}^\theta, M^\theta).$$

Note that

$$Q^\alpha(\lambda) \otimes_{\hat{S}^\alpha} \hat{S}^\theta = \begin{cases} Z^\theta(\lambda), & \text{if } \alpha \in R_I^+ \setminus I \text{ or } \alpha \uparrow \lambda = \lambda, \\ Z^\theta(\lambda) \oplus Z^\theta(\alpha \uparrow \lambda), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that $\mathbb{V}M(\lambda, \alpha) \subset \mathbb{V}M(\lambda) \oplus \mathbb{V}M(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)$, and then the functor is well-defined.

Proposition 4.2. *Keep the assumption on p . Then \mathbb{V} is a fully faithful functor, that is*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)}(M, N) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}M, \mathbb{V}N)$$

for any $M, N \in \mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$

Proof. Since $Z^\theta(\lambda)$ is a projective generator of $\mathcal{C}^\theta(\lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I)$ (see Corollary 3.23) and $\text{End}(Z^\theta(\lambda)) = \hat{S}^\theta$, then the functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\theta}(Z^\theta(\lambda), -) : \mathcal{C}^\theta(\lambda + p\mathbb{Z}I) \rightarrow \hat{S}^\theta\text{-mod}$$

is fully faithful. We get therefore

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\theta}(M^\theta, N^\theta) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Omega} \text{Hom}_{\hat{S}^\theta}(\mathbb{V}M(\lambda), \mathbb{V}N(\lambda)).$$

for any $M, N \in \mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$. Note that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}}(M, N) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(\Omega, \hat{S})}(\mathbb{V}M, \mathbb{V}N) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(M^\emptyset, N^\emptyset) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Omega} \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{S}^\emptyset}(\mathbb{V}M(\lambda), \mathbb{V}N(\lambda)) \end{array}$$

Since two vertical maps are inclusions, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}M, \mathbb{V}N)$ is injective.

Let $\varphi = (\varphi_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Omega} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}M, \mathbb{V}N)$, then there exists $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(M^\emptyset, N^\emptyset)$ such that φ is induced by f . For all $\lambda \in \Omega$, the map φ induces a map from $\mathrm{Hom}(Q^\alpha(\lambda), M^\alpha)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(Q^\alpha(\lambda), N^\alpha)$ which sends g to $f \circ g$. It follows that $f(M^\alpha) \subset N^\alpha$. Now we have

$$f(M) = f\left(\bigcap_{\alpha} M^\alpha\right) = \bigcap_{\alpha} f(M^\alpha) \subset \bigcap_{\alpha} N^\alpha = N,$$

which shows the surjectivity. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $M \in \mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$, and N be the submodule of M such that N and M/N are flat over \hat{S} . We have $\mathbb{V}(M)/\mathbb{V}(N) \simeq \mathbb{V}(M/N)$*

Proof. The flatness yields that N and M/N are also objects in $\mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$. Since $Z^\emptyset(\lambda)$ and $Q^\alpha(\lambda)$ is projective. We have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(Z^\emptyset(\lambda), N^\emptyset) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(Z^\emptyset(\lambda), M^\emptyset) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^0}(Z^\emptyset(\lambda), (M/N)^\emptyset) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha}(Q^\alpha(\lambda), N^\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha}(Q^\alpha(\lambda), M^\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha}(Q^\alpha(\lambda), (M/N)^\alpha) \longrightarrow 0.$$

for all $\lambda \in \Omega$ and $\alpha \in R^+$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{V}(M/N)(\lambda) = \mathbb{V}M(\lambda)/\mathbb{V}N(\lambda),$$

and

$$\mathbb{V}(M/N)(\lambda, \beta) = \mathbb{V}M(\lambda, \beta)/\mathbb{V}N(\lambda, \beta)$$

for any $\lambda \in \Omega$ and $\alpha \in R^+$. Consider as \hat{S}^α -module, we have

$$(\mathbb{V}(M)(\lambda) \oplus \mathbb{V}(M)(\alpha \uparrow \lambda))/\mathbb{V}(N)(\lambda, \beta) \simeq S^\emptyset/S^\alpha \simeq (\mathbb{V}(N)(\lambda) \oplus \mathbb{V}(N)(\alpha \uparrow \lambda))/\mathbb{V}(N)(\lambda, \beta).$$

Then we have natural embedding from $\mathbb{V}M(\lambda, \beta)/\mathbb{V}N(\lambda, \beta)$ into $\mathbb{V}(M)(\lambda)/\mathbb{V}(N)(\lambda) \oplus \mathbb{V}(M)(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)/\mathbb{V}(N)(\alpha \uparrow \lambda)$. It follows that $\mathbb{V}(M)/\mathbb{V}(N) \in \mathcal{K}$. We conclude that $\mathbb{V}(M/N) \simeq \mathbb{V}M/\mathbb{V}N$ as desired. \square

Let $\mathcal{Z}_\lambda = \mathbb{V}Z_{\hat{S}}(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in X$. This Lemma shows that If $M \in \mathcal{FC}_{\hat{S}}(\Omega)$ has a Z -filtration, then $\mathbb{V}(M)$ has a filtration with all factors of form \mathcal{Z}_λ with $\lambda \in \Omega$. We call it a \mathcal{Z} -filtration. Suppose \mathcal{M} has a \mathcal{Z} -filtration. Then for any $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Z}_\mu)$, the rank of all nonzero ϕ_λ over \hat{S}^\emptyset are equal to each other and we denote it as $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{K}}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Z}_\mu)$. By Lemma 3.7, we have projective object $Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}$ such that $Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda) \otimes k = Q_k(\lambda)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Keep notations as above, and let $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$. Then the multiplicity of $L_k(\mu)$ in baby Verma module $Z_k(\lambda)$ is equal to the multiplicity of \mathcal{Z}_μ in \mathcal{Z} -filtration of $\mathbb{V}(Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda))$. In particular, we have*

$$[Z_k(\mu): L_k(\lambda)] = \text{rank}_{\hat{S}^\emptyset} \mathbb{V}Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda)(\mu).$$

Proof. We have following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} [Z_k(\mu): L_k(\lambda)] &= \dim_k \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_k}(Q_k(\lambda), Z_k(\mu)) \\ &= \text{rank}_{\hat{S}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}}(Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda), Z_{\hat{S}}(\mu)) \\ &= \text{rank}_{\mathcal{K}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{V}Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda), \mathcal{Z}_\mu) \\ &= \text{rank}_{\hat{S}^\emptyset} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\emptyset}(\mathbb{V}Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda)(\mu), \mathcal{Z}_\mu(\mu)) \\ &= \text{rank}_{\hat{S}^\emptyset}(\mathbb{V}Q(\lambda)(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

The first equation is the property of the projective object in \mathcal{C}_k . The second equation comes from the fact $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}}(Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda), Z_{\hat{S}}(\mu)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\hat{S}}}(Q_{\hat{S}}(\lambda), Z_{\hat{S}}(\mu)) \otimes k$. The third equation comes by Proposition 4.2. The fourth equation is followed by the definition. The last equation is deduced from the fact $\mathcal{Z}_\mu(\mu) = \hat{S}^\emptyset$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Abe23] N. Abe, *A Hecke action on G_1T -modules*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu23 (2024), no.3, 1125–1167.
- [AJS94] H. H. Andersen, J. C. Jantzen and W. Soergel, *Representations of quantum groups at a p -th root of unity and of semisimple groups in characteristic p : Independence of p* , Astérisque 220 (1994), 321.
- [FP88] E.M. Friedlander, B.J. Parshall, *Modular representation theory of Lie algebras*, Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988) 1055–1093.
- [FP90] E.M. Friedlander, B.J. Parshall, *Deformations of Lie algebra representations*, Amer. J. Math. 112 (1990) 375–395.
- [GJ81] O. Gabber, A. Joseph, *Towards the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) 14 (1981), 261-302
- [Lu80] G. Lusztig, *Some problems in the representation theory of finite Chevalley groups*, The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980, pp. 313–317.
- [Lu97] G. Lusztig, *Periodic W -graphs*, Represent. Theory 1 (1997) 207–279.

- [Ja98] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Lie algebras in prime characteristic*, in Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings, pp. 185–235. Montreal, NATO ASI Series, Vol. C 514, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [Ja00] J. C. Jantzen, *Modular representations of reductive Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 152 (2000) 133–185.
- [Ja04] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Lie algebras in positive characteristic*, Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups, 175–218, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 40, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
- [KW71] V. Kac, and B. Weisfeiler, *Irreducible representations of Lie p -algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 5 (1971), 111–117.
- [KW76] V. Kac, and B. Weisfeiler, *Coadjoint action of a semisimple algebraic group and the center of the enveloping algebra in characteristic p* , Inzdag. Math. 38 (1976), 135–151.
- [So95] W. Soergel, *Roots of unity and positive characteristic*, in: Representations of Groups (Banff, AB, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., Vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 315–338.
- [We22] M. Westaway, *On graded representations of modular Lie algebras over commutative algebras*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra 226 (2022), Paper No. 107033, 52pp.
- [We23] M. Westaway, *Tilting modules and highest weight theory for reduced enveloping algebras*, (2023). 4632.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA
Email address: 52215500003@stu.ecnu.edu.cn