# A BOUND ON THE $\mu$ -INVARIANTS OF SUPERSINGULAR ELLIPTIC CURVES

RYLAN GAJEK-LEONARD

ABSTRACT. Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve and let p be a prime of good supersingular reduction. Attached to E are pairs of Iwasawa invariants  $\mu_p^{\pm}$  and  $\lambda_p^{\pm}$  which encode arithmetic properties of E along the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . A well-known conjecture of B. Perrin-Riou and R. Pollack asserts that  $\mu_p^{\pm} = 0$ . We provide support for this conjecture by proving that for all but finitely many primes, if  $\lambda_p^{\pm} = 0$  then  $\mu_p^{\pm}$  is at most 1 or 2, and if  $\lambda_p^{\pm} = 1$  then  $\mu_p^{\pm}$  is at most 3 or  $p + 1 + \lceil \frac{p}{\log p} \rceil$ , depending on sign. As a corollary, we show that if E has rank 0 then  $\mu_p^{\pm} \leq 1$  and  $\mu_p^{\pm} \leq 2$  hold on density 1 set of good supersingular primes.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve and fix a prime p of good reduction. Attached to E is the p-primary Selmer group  $\operatorname{Sel}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\operatorname{cyc}})$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_{\operatorname{cyc}}$  denotes the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This group fits into the exact sequence

(1) 
$$0 \to E(\mathbb{Q}_{cyc}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \to \operatorname{Sel}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{cyc}) \to \operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{cyc}) \to 0,$$

where III denotes the p-part of the Shafarevich-Tate group, and therefore encodes many arithmetic properties of E along the cyclotomic line.

If p is a prime of ordinary reduction then  $\operatorname{Sel}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\operatorname{cyc}})$  is cotorsion as an Iwasawa module (see [7, Theorem 17.4]) and its characteristic ideal is therefore generated by a polynomial  $L_p^{\operatorname{alg}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ . The algebraic Iwasawa invariants  $\lambda^{\operatorname{alg}}$  and  $\mu^{\operatorname{alg}}$ measure the degree and p-divisibility of  $L_p^{\operatorname{alg}}$ , respectively. If E[p] is irreducible as a  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -module, a well-known conjecture of Greenberg [5, Conjecture 1.11] asserts that  $\mu^{\operatorname{alg}} = 0$ .

If p is a prime of supersingular reduction then  $\operatorname{Sel}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\operatorname{cyc}})$  is no longer cotorsion, however Kobayashi [9] introduced signed Selmer groups  $\operatorname{Sel}^{\pm}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\operatorname{cyc}})$  which are cotorsion and encode analogous arithmetic data. In particular, the characteristic ideals of  $\operatorname{Sel}^{\pm}(E/\mathbb{Q}_{\operatorname{cyc}})$  are generated by polynomials  $L_{p,\operatorname{alg}}^{\pm} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  which have associated pairs of Iwasawa invariants  $\mu_{\operatorname{alg}}^{\pm}$  and  $\lambda_{\operatorname{alg}}^{\pm}$ . In the supersingular setting, E[p] is automatically irreducible and it is similarly conjectured (see [12, Conjecture 6.3] and [11, Conjecture 7.1]) that  $\mu_{\operatorname{alg}}^{\pm} = 0$ .

Recently, Chakravarthy [3, Theorem 1.4] made significant progress towards Greenberg's conjecture by proving that  $\mu^{alg} = 0$  for all but finitely many primes of good ordinary reduction. In this article, we employ similar methods in the supersingular setting and offer a modest bound on the size of the signed *analytic*  $\mu$ -invariants.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R23.

Key words and phrases. Iwasawa theory, elliptic curves, p-adic L-functions.

**Theorem 1.1.** For all but finitely many primes p of good supersingular reduction for E, we have:

(1) If  $\lambda_{an}^{-} = 0$  then  $\mu_{an}^{-} \leq 1$ . (2) If  $\lambda_{an}^{+} = 0$  then  $\mu_{an}^{+} \leq 2$ . (3) If  $\lambda_{an}^{-} = 1$  then  $\mu_{an}^{-} \leq p + 1 + \lceil \frac{p}{\log p} \rceil$ . (4) If  $\lambda_{an}^{+} = 1$  then  $\mu_{an}^{+} \leq 3$ .

In the above theorem, the Iwasawa invariants  $\lambda_{an}^{\pm}$  and  $\mu_{an}^{\pm}$  are those attached to Pollack's plus/minus *p*-adic *L*-functions  $L_{p,an}^{\pm} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\![T]\!]$  defined in [12]. The construction of these *p*-adic *L*-functions requires  $a_p = 0$ , which is automatically true when p > 3. The main conjecture of Iwasawa theory in this setting asserts that  $L_{p,an}^{\pm}$  and  $L_{p,alg}^{\pm}$  generate the same ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![T]\!]$ , and in particular that

(2) 
$$\lambda_{\text{alg}}^{\pm} = \lambda_{\text{an}}^{\pm}$$
 and  $\mu_{\text{alg}}^{\pm} = \mu_{\text{ar}}^{\pm}$ 

Thus, Theorem 1.1 provides support for the vanishing of  $\mu_{ale}^{\pm}$ .

**Remark 1.2.** The main conjecture is known to hold in many cases: the CM case was established by Pollack and Rubin [14], and Kobayashi [9, Theorem 1.3] proved the containment  $(L_{p,\mathrm{an}}^{\pm}) \subseteq (L_{p,\mathrm{alg}}^{\pm})$  for non CM curves. A proof of the full supersingular main conjecture was recently announced by Burungale, Skinner, Tian, and Wan [2, Theorem 1.2].

We henceforth assume (2) and write  $\lambda^{\pm}$ ,  $\mu^{\pm}$  to mean either analytic or algebraic invariants. We note that the assumption  $\lambda^{\pm} \in \{0,1\}$  is relatively mild in that standard conjectures predict it should hold for 'most' elliptic curves at 'most' supersingular primes. More specifically, a classical folklore conjecture (see [1]) asserts that 100% of rational elliptic curves have Mordell-Weil rank 0 or 1. Additionally, Kundu and Ray conjecture [8, pg. 2] that  $\lambda^{\pm}$  coincides with the Mordell-Weil rank on a density 1 set of good supersingular primes. Thus, one expects that for 100% of elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $\lambda^{\pm} \in \{0,1\}$  for 100% of good supersingular primes. In fact, the conjecture of Kundu and Ray is known to hold in the rank 0 case by [8, Theorem 3.8]. Together with Theorem 1.1, we therefore obtain the following.

**Corollary 1.3.** Suppose  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  has Mordell-Weil rank r = 0. Then the inequalities  $\mu^+ \leq 2$  and  $\mu^- \leq 1$  hold on a density 1 set of good supersingular primes.

The crux of Chakravarthy's proof in the ordinary setting is [3, Lemma 4.3], which bounds the size of the modular symbols defining the *p*-adic *L*-function just enough to conclude  $\mu = 0$ . In the supersingular setting, the signed *p*-adic *L*-functions are defined via a decomposition theorem of Pollack [12, Theorem 5.6], and in particular they are not as immediately understood in terms of modular symbols. Instead, the approach taken here is to apply Chakravarthy's bound to the sequence of Mazur-Tate elements for *E* (which are defined using modular symbols), and then relate the Iwasawa invariants of the Mazur-Tate elements to those of the signed *p*-adic *L*-functions. Using our argument, Chakravarthy's bound alone does not appear sharp enough to deduce the vanishing of  $\mu^{\pm}$ .

The assumption that  $\lambda^{\pm} = 0$  (resp.,  $\lambda^{\pm} = 1$ ) is used primarily to simplify our argument, as it allows one to bound  $\mu^{\pm}$  in terms of the valuation of the constant (resp., linear or degree p) term of the Mazur-Tate elements for E. In particular, insisting on small  $\lambda$ -invariants enables one (via Proposition 3.1) to focus on Mazur-Tate elements 'near the bottom' of the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension. Roughly speaking,

the fact that base-layer Mazur-Tate elements live in smaller group algebras is crucial for obtaining sharper bounds on  $\mu$ -invariants. For larger  $\lambda$ -invariants, one could certainly bound  $\mu$ -invariants in terms of higher-degree coefficients of the Mazur-Tate elements, however one would also be forced to look higher up the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -tower, leading to even weaker bounds for  $\mu^{\pm}$ .

1.1. Acknowledgements. We are grateful to A. Chakravarthy and J. Hatley for their careful reading and helpful suggestions in the preparation of this article.

## 2. Iwasawa invariants

Fix a prime p and let  $F \in \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![T]\!]$ . By the Weierstrass preparation theorem [17, Theorem 7.3] there are unique nonnegative integers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  for which

$$F = p^{\mu}(T^{\lambda} + pF_0)U,$$

for some  $F_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  of degree  $\langle \lambda$  and some  $U \in \Lambda^{\times}$ . In terms of the coefficients of  $F = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i T^i$ , we have

$$\mu = \min\{\operatorname{ord}_p(a_i) \mid i \ge 0\},\$$
  
$$\lambda = \min\{i \mid \operatorname{ord}_p(a_i) = \mu\}.$$

Let  $\omega_n = (1+T)^{p^n} - 1$  and  $\Lambda_n = \Lambda/(\omega_n)$ . Since  $\Lambda = \lim_{\leftarrow} \Lambda_n$ , there are projection maps

$$\pi_n: \Lambda \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda_n, \quad F \mapsto F \mod \omega_n.$$

and we can define the *refined* Iwasawa invariants of  $\pi_n(F)$  as follows. Since  $\omega_n$  is a distinguished polynomial, the division algorithm for distinguished polynomials in  $\Lambda$  allows us to write

$$F = \omega_n Q_n + F_n$$
,  
for some unique  $Q_n \in \Lambda$  and a polynomial  $F_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  of degree  $\langle p^n$ . Define  
 $\lambda(\pi_-(E)) - \lambda(E_-)$ 

$$\lambda(\pi_n(F)) = \lambda(F_n),$$
  
$$\mu(\pi_n(F)) = \mu(F_n).$$

The following lemma is known in the literature (see [13, Remark 4.3] or [4, Corollary A.7]), though we outline a proof for completeness.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $n \ge 0$ . If  $\lambda(F) < p^n$  then the Iwasawa invariants of F and  $\pi_n(F)$  agree.

*Proof.* We may assume  $\mu(F) = 0$ . Use the division algorithm to write

(3) 
$$F = \omega_n Q_n + F_n.$$

If  $\mu(F_n)$  were positive then (3) implies

$$F \equiv T^{p^n} Q_n \bmod p,$$

which contradicts the fact that  $\lambda(F) < p^n$ . Hence we must have  $\mu(F_n) = 0$ . Combining (3) with the Weierstrass decomposition  $F = (T^{\lambda(F)} + pF_0)U$  now yields

$$F_n \equiv T^{\lambda(F)}(U - T^{p^n - \lambda(F)}Q_n) \mod p,$$

but since U is a unit and  $\lambda(F) < p^n$ ,  $U - T^{p^n - \lambda(F)}Q_n$  must also be a unit. It follows that  $\lambda(F_n) = \lambda(F)$ .

#### R. GAJEK-LEONARD

## 3. Main result

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve and fix a prime p of good reduction such that  $a_p = 0$ . Let  $L_p^{\pm} \in \Lambda$  and  $\theta_n \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$  be the plus/minus p-adic L-functions and Mazur-Tate elements for E, as defined in §§2.9 and 6.15 of [12], respectively. The definition of both  $L_p^{\pm}$  and  $\theta_n$  depend on a choice of complex periods  $\Omega_E^{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}$ . We henceforth assume that  $\Omega_E^{\pm}$  are p-cohomological periods for E, in the sense of [15, §2.2]. The choice of cohomological periods ensures that the coefficients of  $\theta_n$  are p-integral, i.e., we can view  $\theta_n$  as elements of the localization  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[T] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[T]$ .

We now relate the Iwasawa invariants of  $\theta_n$  to those of  $L_p^{\pm}$ . Let  $q_1 = q_0 = 0$  and define for  $n \ge 2$  the sequence

$$q_n = \begin{cases} p^{n-1} - p^{n-2} + \dots + p - 1 & n \text{ even,} \\ p^{n-1} - p^{n-2} + \dots + p^2 - p & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\lambda^{\pm}$  and  $\mu^{\pm}$  denote the Iwasawa invariant of  $L_n^{\pm}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** If  $n \ge 0$  is even (resp., odd) and  $\lambda^- < p^n - q_n$  (resp.,  $\lambda^+ < p^n - q_n$ ), then

$$\begin{split} \mu(\theta_n) &= \mu^{\pm}, \\ \lambda(\theta_n) &= \lambda^{\pm} + q_n, \end{split}$$

where  $\pm$  is opposite the parity of n.

*Proof.* This follows from the argument of [4, page 3], which we reproduce in brief here. Let  $\varepsilon_n = \operatorname{sgn}(-1)^n$  denote the parity of n. By [12, Proposition 6.18], we have (4)  $\theta_n \equiv \omega_n^{-\varepsilon_n} L_p^{-\varepsilon_n} \mod \omega_n$ .

Here

$$\omega_n^+ = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \text{ even}}} \Phi_{p^i}(1+T) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \omega_n^- = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \text{ odd}}} \Phi_{p^i}(1+T),$$

where  $\Phi_{p^i}(T)$  is the  $p^i$ th cyclotomic polynomial. Since  $\lambda(\Phi_{p^n}(1+T)) = p^n - p^{n-1}$ , we have  $\lambda(\omega_n^{-\varepsilon_n}L_p^{-\varepsilon_n}) = q_n + \lambda^{-\varepsilon_n}$ . The sequence  $p^n - q_n$  tends to infinity, thus we may take n large enough so that  $\lambda(\omega_n^{-\varepsilon_n}L_p^{-\varepsilon_n}) < p^n$ . The result now follows from Lemma 2.1.

**Remark 3.2.** The formula for  $\lambda$ -invariants in Proposition 3.1 can also be found in [16, Corollary 8.9], [11, §5], and [15, Theorem 4.1].

Let f be the cuspidal newform attached to E via modularity. For  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , recall the modular symbols of [10] defined by

$$[r]^{\pm} = \frac{\pi i}{\Omega_E^{\pm}} \bigg( \int_r^{i\infty} f(z) dz \pm \int_{-r}^{i\infty} f(z) dz \bigg).$$

For odd primes p we have by definition

(5) 
$$\theta_n(T) = \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} [a/p^{n+1}]^+ (1+T)^{\log_{\gamma} a}.$$

Here  $0 \leq \log_{\gamma}(a) \leq p^n - 1$  is the unique integer for which  $a \equiv \omega(a)(1+p)^{\log_{\gamma}(a)} \mod p^{n+1}$ , where  $\omega$  is the mod p cyclotomic character.

# Corollary 3.3.

(1) If 
$$\lambda^{-} = 0$$
 then  $\mu^{-} = \operatorname{ord}_{p} \left( \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} [a/p] \right) < \infty$ .  
(2) If  $\lambda^{+} = 0$  then  $\mu^{+} = \operatorname{ord}_{p} \left( \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{2}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} [a/p^{2}] \right) < \infty$ .  
(3) If  $\lambda^{-} = 1$  then  $\mu^{-} = \operatorname{ord}_{p} \left( \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{3}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} \left( \frac{\log_{\gamma}(a)}{p} \right) [a/p^{3}] \right) < \infty$ .  
(4) If  $\lambda^{+} = 1$  then  $\mu^{+} = \operatorname{ord}_{p} \left( \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{2}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} \log_{\gamma}(a) [a/p^{2}] \right) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* If  $\lambda^{\pm} = 0$  then by Proposition 3.1 we have  $\mu^{-} = \mu(\theta_0)$ ,  $\mu^{+} = \mu(\theta_1)$ , and  $\lambda(\theta_0) = \lambda(\theta_1) = 0$ . In particular, the  $\mu$ -invariants of  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_1$  are equal to the valuations their constant coefficients, which are both nonzero as  $\lambda(\theta_0) = \lambda(\theta_1) = 0$ . The constant coefficient of  $\theta_n$  can obtained by setting T = 0 in equation (5), yielding the desired result when  $\lambda^{\pm} = 0$ .

If  $\lambda^+ = 1$  then Proposition 3.1 yields  $\mu^+ = \mu(\theta_1)$  and  $\lambda(\theta_1) = 1$ . The  $\mu$ -invariant of  $\theta_1$  is therefore equal to the valuation of its linear term, which is nonzero as  $\lambda(\theta_1) = 1$ . The linear term of  $\theta_n$  can be found by setting T = 0 in the derivative

$$\frac{d\theta_n}{dT} = \sum_{\substack{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \\ a \neq 1}} \log_{\gamma}(a) [a/p^{n+1}]^+ (1+T)^{\log_{\gamma}(a)-1},$$

yielding the result when  $\lambda^+ = 1$  (note  $\log_{\gamma}(1) = 0$ ).

If  $\lambda^- = 1$  then Proposition 3.1 yields  $\mu^- = \mu(\theta_2)$  and  $\lambda(\theta_2) = p$ . The  $\mu$ -invariant of  $\theta_2$  is therefore equal to the valuation of its degree p coefficient, which is nonzero as  $\lambda(\theta_2) = p$ . The pth derivative of  $\theta_n$  is

$$\theta_n^{(p)} = \sum_{\substack{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ \log_{\gamma}(a) \notin \{0, \dots, p-1\}}} \frac{\log_{\gamma}(a)!}{(\log_{\gamma}(a) - p)!} [a/p^{n+1}]^+ (1+T)^{\log_{\gamma}(a) - p},$$

thus Taylor's formula yields

$$(p\text{th coefficient of } \theta_2) = \frac{\theta_2^{(p)}(0)}{p!} = \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} {\log_{\gamma}(a) \choose p} [a/p^3]^+.$$

The result when  $\lambda^- = 1$  follows (note  $\binom{\log_{\gamma}(a)}{p} = 0$  when  $\log_{\gamma}(a) < p$ ).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By [3, Lemma 4.3], there are constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  depending only on the conductor of E (and not p) such that for any  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$|[r]^+| \le c_1 + c_2 \log(\operatorname{denominator}(r)).$$

In particular, for any fixed n we can take p large enough so that:

(6) 
$$|[a/p^n]^+| < p$$

Recalling that  $0 \leq \log_{\gamma}(a) \leq p^n - 1$  for  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ , it follows that for sufficiently large p we have

$$\left| \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} [a/p]^{+} \right| \leq \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} |[a/p]^{+}| < (p-1)p < p^{2},$$
$$\left| \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{2}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} [a/p^{2}]^{+} \right| \leq \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{2}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} |[a/p^{2}]^{+}| < (p^{2}-p)p < p^{3},$$
$$\left| \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{2}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} \log_{\gamma}(a)[a/p^{2}]^{+} \right| \leq \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{2}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} (p-1) \cdot |[a/p^{2}]^{+}| < (p^{2}-p)(p-1)p < p^{4}.$$

By Corollary 3.3, the above inequalities establish the result when  $\lambda^{\pm} = 0$  and when  $\lambda^{+} = 1$ .

To deal with the case  $\lambda^{-} = 1$ , note that

$$\left|\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} {\log_{\gamma}(a) \choose p} [a/p^3]^+ \right| \le \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} {\log_{\gamma}(a) \choose p} \left| [a/p^3]^+ \right|$$

$$(7) \qquad \qquad$$

(7) 
$$(8)  $= p {p^2 \choose n+1}$$$

(9) 
$$\leq \frac{p^{2p+3}}{(p+1)!} < \frac{p^{2p+2}}{n!}$$

(10) 
$$\leq p^{p+2+\lceil \frac{p}{\log p} \rceil}$$

where (7) follows from (6), (8) follows the well-known "hockey-stick" identity [6, (2)], (9) follows from the fact that  $\binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!}$ , and (10) follows from Stirling's approximation (namely,  $\log n! \geq n \log n - n$ ). The desired bound on  $\mu^-$  now follows from Corollary 3.3.

## References

- M. Bhargava and A. Shankar. Binary quartic forms having bounded invariants, and the boundedness of the average rank of elliptic curves, Ann. Math., 181 (2015), no. 1, 191-242.
- [2] A. Burungale, C. Skinner, Y. Tian, and X. Wan, Zeta elements for elliptic curves and applications, preprint (2024), arXiv:2409.01350.
- [3] A. Chakravarthy, The Iwasawa  $\mu$ -invariants of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , preprint (2024), arXiv:2408.07826.
- [4] R. Gajek-Leonard, Iwasawa invariants of modular forms with  $a_p = 0$ , preprint (2023), arXiv: 2302.05748
- [5] R. Greenberg, Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves, Arithmetic theory of elliptic curves (Cetraro, 1997), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1716 (1999), Springer, Berlin, pp. 51–144.
- [6] C. H. Jones, Generalized Hockey Stick Identities and N-Dimensional Block Walking, Fibonacci Quarterly, 34 (1996), no. 3, 280-288.
- [7] K. Kato, p-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms, Astérisque, no. 295 (2004), 117–290.
- [8] D. Kundu and A. Ray, Statistics for Iwasawa Invariants of Elliptic Curves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 374 (2021), no. 11, 7945–7965.

- S. Kobayashi, Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes, Invent. Math., 152 (2003), no. 1, 1–36.
- [10] B. Mazur, J. Tate, Refined conjectures of the "Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer type", Duke Math. J., 54 (1987), no. 2, 711–750.
- [11] B. Perrin-Riou, Arithmétique des courbes elliptiques à réduction supersingulière en p, Experiment. Math., 12 (2003), no. 2, 155–186.
- [12] R. Pollack, On the p-adic L-function of a modular form at a supersingular prime, Duke Math. J. 118 (2003), no. 3, 523–558.
- [13] R. Pollack, An algebraic version of a theorem of Kurihara, J. Number Theory, 110 (2005), pp. 164-177.
- [14] R. Pollack and K. Rubin, The main conjecture for CM elliptic curves at supersingular primes, Ann. of Math., 159 (2004), no. 1, 447-464.
- [15] R. Pollack and T. Weston, Mazur-Tate elements of nonordinary modular forms, Duke Math. J., 156 (2011), no. 3, 349–385.
- [16] F. Sprung, On pairs of p-adic L-functions for weight-two modular forms, Algebra & Number Theory, 11 (2017), no. 4, 885–928.
- [17] L. C. Washington, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 83, Springer, New York, 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNION COLLEGE, BAILEY HALL 206B, SCHENECTADY, NY 12308, USA

Email address: gajekler@union.edu