

Enabling Trade-offs in Privacy and Utility in Genomic Data Beacons and Summary Statistics

Rajagopal Venkatesaramani

Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis MO 63130, USA
rajagopal@wustl.edu

Zhiyu Wan

Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville TN 37212, USA
zhiyu.wan@vanderbilt.edu

Bradley A. Malin

Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville TN 37212, USA
b.malin@vumc.org

Yevgeniy Vorobeychik

Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis MO 63130, USA
yvorobeychik@wustl.edu

Abstract

The collection, sharing, and use of genomic data are becoming increasingly commonplace in both medical and direct-to-consumer settings. Common protocols adopted in order to protect individual privacy when sharing genomic data include only sharing summary statistics such as allele frequencies or limiting query responses to the binary presence/absence of alleles of interest using web-services called Beacons. However, even such limited releases have been shown to be susceptible to likelihood-ratio-based membership-inference attacks. In response, a number of approaches have been proposed to preserve privacy, which either suppress a subset of genomic variants, or modify query responses for specific variants (e.g., adding noise to these, as in differential privacy). However, many such approaches also result in a significant utility loss, either suppressing many variants, or adding a great deal of noise. We present novel optimization-based approaches to explicitly trade off the utility of released genomic summary statistics and Beacon responses and privacy with respect to likelihood-ratio-based membership inference attacks, combining suppression and modification of variants. We explore two attack models. In the first, an attacker uses a likelihood ratio test with an exogenous threshold in order to make membership inference claims. In the second attack model, an attacker uses a threshold that accounts for the effect of the data release on the separation in scores between individuals in the dataset and those who are not. We propose novel highly scalable approaches for approximately solving the privacy-utility tradeoff problem when variant information is either in the form of summary statistics or solely information about presence in the database. Through extensive experimental evaluation, we show that our proposed approaches outperform the state of the art in both utility and privacy.

Keywords— Genomic Privacy, Optimization, Anonymity

1 Introduction

The past several years have seen a sharp rise in the collection and sharing of genomic data as a result of advancements in personalized medicine technology in medical settings, as well as the rising popularity of direct-to-consumer genetic testing. Data sharing in the former setting is usually controlled through a combination of technical safeguards in order to comply with privacy-protection laws, as well as data-sharing agreements [12]. The latter contributes to sharing of genomic data in both research settings as well as open sharing of data through websites such as OpenSNP [4], where users may, under the guise of anonymity [10], upload their genome as sequenced by companies such as 23andMe, intending for the data to be useful to researchers and other individuals alike. Commonly used measures to protect individual privacy when sharing genomic data in research settings often involve sharing limited information, for example, queries about the presence or absence of particular single-nucleotide variants [2], or summary statistics about single-nucleotide polymorphisms [6, 8]. Such limited-information releases are often thought to sufficiently protect individual privacy. However, both presence/absence queries, as well as summary statistics have been shown to be susceptible to membership inference attacks using likelihood ratio tests [5, 9]. Typically, it is assumed that an attacker has access to a set of target genomes and leverages statistical tests to infer whether each target individual is present in the dataset. This information about membership in the database can, in turn, be linked to other sensitive information about the individual, based on the metadata associated with the data release. For instance, a dataset may be known to contain individuals with a certain clinical condition (e.g., heart condition).

A host of techniques have been proposed over the years to protect privacy of released genomic data. Most such methods involve some form of data obfuscation or suppression of a subset of the data, where specific techniques include leveraging the theoretical bounds on the power of inference attacks [8], federated access control in the form of presence or absence queries [2] or summary statistics [6], optimization-based and game-theoretic approaches [11, 13, 14], and randomization-based techniques, including differential privacy [1], randomly masking rare alleles [7] or simply publishing noisy summary statistics. However, these techniques often do not allow the data custodian to trade off utility and privacy at sufficiently high resolution, or require a large utility loss in order to guarantee a desired level of privacy. Further, such methods usually use only one type of data obfuscation—either adding noise to queries or summary statistics, or data suppression—in order to achieve their privacy goals, whereas there may be potential utility gains in combining the two.

In this work, we consider membership-inference attacks on genomic summary statistics in two real-world settings. First, we consider Beacon services, introduced by the Global Alliance for Genomics and Health (GA4GH) in 2015 [3]. Beacon services (or Beacons) are web-services that allow users to query whether a particular allele (e.g., a “C” or a “G”) is present at a specific position on the genome (e.g., position 1,234,567 on chromosome 8), and the Beacon subsequently responds with *yes* if any individual in the dataset has the queried allele, or with *no* otherwise. Second, we consider the case where genomic summary statistics are published in the form of alternate allele frequencies, i.e. what fraction of individuals in the dataset have an alternate allele at each position on the genome. We further explore

two attacker models, following the framework introduced by [11]: the first involves a fixed-threshold attacker model, where an individual is predicted to be in the dataset if their statistical test score is below a certain constant threshold, calculated *a priori*. The second model is adaptive in that it uses a reference population of individuals who are not in the dataset, and identifies a threshold that best separates these two populations using test scores calculated *after* any defensive measures are implemented.

We formalize the defense against such membership inference attacks as optimization problems, and propose highly-scalable greedy heuristic approaches to approximately solve them, combining the use of suppression of query responses, and adding noise in the form of flipping Beacon responses or real-valued noise to allele frequencies. We show that for both types of data releases (i.e. Beacon and allele frequencies), as well as for both attack models, our proposed approaches Pareto-dominate the state of the art, while easily scaling to problem instances involving over 1.3 million variables.

References

- [1] Dwork, C., McSherry, F., Nissim, K., Smith, A.: Calibrating noise to sensitivity in private data analysis. In: Theory of cryptography conference. pp. 265–284. Springer (2006)
- [2] Fiume, M., Cupak, M., Keenan, S., Rambla, J., de la Torre, S., Dyke, S.O., Brookes, A.J., Carey, K., Lloyd, D., Goodhand, P., et al.: Federated discovery and sharing of genomic data using beacons. *Nature biotechnology* **37**(3), 220–224 (2019)
- [3] Global Alliance for Genomics and Health: A federated ecosystem for sharing genomic, clinical data. *Science* **352**(6291), 1278–1280 (2016)
- [4] Greshake, B., Bayer, P.E., Rausch, H., Reda, J.: Opensnp—a crowdsourced web resource for personal genomics. *PLoS one* **9**(3), e89204 (2014)
- [5] Homer, N., Szelinger, S., Redman, M., Duggan, D., Tembe, W., Muehling, J., Pearson, J.V., Stephan, D.A., Nelson, S.F., Craig, D.W.: Resolving individuals contributing trace amounts of dna to highly complex mixtures using high-density snp genotyping microarrays. *PLoS genetics* **4**(8), e1000167 (2008)
- [6] MacArthur, J.A., Buniello, A., Harris, L.W., Hayhurst, J., McMahon, A., Sollis, E., Cerezo, M., Hall, P., Lewis, E., Whetzel, P.L., et al.: Workshop proceedings: Gwas summary statistics standards and sharing. *Cell Genomics* **1**(1), 100004 (2021)
- [7] Raisaro, J.L., Tramer, F., Ji, Z., Bu, D., Zhao, Y., Carey, K., Lloyd, D., Sofia, H., Baker, D., Flicek, P., et al.: Addressing beacon re-identification attacks: quantification and mitigation of privacy risks. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* **24**(4), 799–805 (2017)
- [8] Sankararaman, S., Obozinski, G., Jordan, M.I., Halperin, E.: Genomic privacy and limits of individual detection in a pool. *Nature genetics* **41**(9), 965–967 (2009)
- [9] Shringarpure, S.S., Bustamante, C.D.: Privacy risks from genomic data-sharing beacons. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **97**(5), 631–646 (2015)
- [10] Venkatesaramani, R., Malin, B.A., Vorobeychik, Y.: Re-identification of individuals in genomic datasets using public face images. *Science advances* **7**(47), eabg3296 (2021)
- [11] Venkatesaramani, R., Wan, Z., Malin, B.A., Vorobeychik, Y.: Defending against membership inference attacks on beacon services. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.13301* (2021)
- [12] Wan, Z., Hazel, J.W., Clayton, E.W., Vorobeychik, Y., Kantarcioglu, M., Malin, B.A.: Sociotechnical safeguards for genomic data privacy. *Nature Reviews Genetics* pp. 1–17 (2022)
- [13] Wan, Z., Vorobeychik, Y., Kantarcioglu, M., Malin, B.: Controlling the signal: Practical privacy protection of genomic data sharing through beacon services. *BMC Medical Genomics* **10**(2), 87–100 (2017)
- [14] Wan, Z., Vorobeychik, Y., Xia, W., Clayton, E.W., Kantarcioglu, M., Malin, B.: Expanding access to large-scale genomic data while promoting privacy: a game theoretic approach. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **100**(2), 316–322 (2017)