

ON THE HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY OF TAME HECKE ALGEBRAS

KARIN ERDMANN AND SIBYLLE SCHROLL

ABSTRACT. We explicitly calculate a projective bimodule resolution for a special biserial algebra giving rise to the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_q(S_4)$ when $q = -1$. We then determine the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are interested in Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras; the main motivation is to generalize group cohomology to larger classes of algebras. If suitable finite generation holds, one can define support varieties of modules as introduced by [SS]. Furthermore, when the algebra is self-injective, many of the properties of group representations generalize to this setting as was shown in [EHSST]. Although Hecke algebras do not have a Hopf algebra structure, one may expect that being deformations of group algebras, they should have good homological properties. Furthermore, the study of Hochschild cohomology for blocks of group algebras with cyclic or dihedral defect groups has made use of the fact that the basic algebras in this case are special biserial [Ho1, Ho2]. These results suggest that Hochschild cohomology of special biserial algebras might be accessible more generally. This paper should be seen as a step towards understanding Hochschild cohomology of special biserial algebras.

Here we deal with the self-injective special biserial algebra A which occurs as the basic algebra of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_q(S_4)$ when $q = -1$ (and the characteristic of the field is $\neq 2$). For this algebra we will explicitly give a minimal projective bimodule resolution and use it to calculate the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology. By [EN] there are two Morita types of tame blocks for Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}_q(S_n)$, and the other one is derived equivalent to A ; this can be seen directly, and it also follows from [CR]. Since Hochschild cohomology is invariant under derived equivalence, our result gives information for arbitrary tame blocks of Hecke algebras of type A .

In order for the Hochschild cohomology ring to be finitely generated, the dimensions of $HH^n(A)$ must have at most polynomial growth, and this follows from our result. In [ES], it is shown by a different approach, via derived equivalence, that the Hochschild cohomology ring in this case must be finitely generated and that the finite generation hypothesis in [EHSST] holds, but the approach does not give insight in the structure of $HH^*(A)$.

The second author acknowledges support through an EPSRC Postdoctoral Fellowship EP/D077656/1 as well as through a Leverhulme Early Career Fellowship.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16E40, 20C08, 16E10 (primary).

The algebra A can be described by a quiver and relations (cf. [EN]). Let K be any field and let \mathcal{Q} be the quiver

$$\varepsilon \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 2 \\ \curvearrowleft \\ \beta \end{array}$$

with relations

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon\alpha = \beta\varepsilon = 0, (\alpha\beta)^2 = \varepsilon^2.$$

Then $A = K\mathcal{Q}/I$ where I is generated by the relations in (1). We denote the trivial path at the vertex i , $i = 1, 2$, by e_i and the corresponding simple A -module by S_i . We read paths from left to right and, unless stated differently, all the modules considered will be (finitely generated) right A -modules. Let $\text{rad}A$ be the Jacobson radical of A and denote the enveloping algebra of A by $A^e = A^{op} \otimes_k A$. For a path a in A we denote by $\mathbf{o}(a)$ the idempotent at the vertex from which the path leaves and by $\mathbf{t}(a)$ the idempotent at the vertex at which the path arrives. We say an element p in $K\mathcal{Q}$ is right (resp. left) uniform if it is a linear combination of paths ending in (resp. beginning at) a single vertex. An element p in $K\mathcal{Q}$ is uniform if it is left and right uniform.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF A MINIMAL PROJECTIVE BIMODULE RESOLUTION

In this section we construct a minimal projective A - A -bimodule resolution of A , that is, we construct an exact complex

$$R_\bullet : \quad \dots \longrightarrow R_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} R_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} \dots \longrightarrow R_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} A \longrightarrow 0$$

with $R_n = \bigoplus P_{ij}$ and where P_{ij} is the projective A - A -bimodule $Ae_i \otimes e_j A$. By [H] the projective P_{ij} occurs in R_n exactly as many times as $\dim \text{Ext}_A^n(S_i, S_j)$. Recall that $\text{Ext}_A^n(S_i, S_j) \cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^n(S_i), S_j)$ where $\Omega(X)$ denotes the kernel of a projective cover of X . These dimensions can be found in at least two ways. First, one can use the method as outlined in the appendix of [R] to calculate explicitly the Ω -translates of the simple modules, and read off the dimensions of $\text{Ext}_A^n(S_i, S_j)$. Second, they also follow from the construction of a minimal projective resolution, following the method of [GSZ], which we give in 2.2. Namely, the dimension of $\text{Ext}_A^n(S_i, S_j)$ is the number of elements x in g_n satisfying $x = e_i x e_j$.

The answer is as follows. Write $n = 4k + l$ where $k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq l \leq 3$, then

$$\dim \text{Ext}_A^{4k+l}(S_1, S_1) = \begin{cases} 2k + 1 & \text{if } l = 0, 1, 2 \\ 2k + 2 & \text{if } l = 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\dim \text{Ext}_A^{4k+l}(S_i, S_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l = 0, 3 \text{ and } i \neq j & \text{or} & \text{if } l = 1, 2 \text{ and } i = j = 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } l = 1, 2 \text{ and } i \neq j & \text{or} & \text{if } l = 0, 3 \text{ and } i = j = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then by Happel's result the terms of the projective bimodule resolution (R_\bullet, δ) are for $k \geq 0$,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{4k} &\cong P_{11}^{2k+1} \oplus P_{22} \\ R_{4k+1} &\cong P_{11}^{2k+1} \oplus P_{12} \oplus P_{21} \\ R_{4k+2} &\cong P_{11}^{2k+1} \oplus P_{12} \oplus P_{21} \\ R_{4k+3} &\cong P_{11}^{2k+2} \oplus P_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

2.1. From one-sided to two-sided resolutions. The construction of the differential δ is based on the construction of a minimal projective resolution of $A/\text{rad}A$ as a right A -module as developed in [GSZ]. These differentials in the right module resolutions of $A/\text{rad}A$ will suggest how to explicitly construct the differential of a minimal A - A -bimodule resolution of A . Our approach follows that of [GS] (see also [ST]) and, for Koszul algebras, that of [GHMS].

We recall the construction of projective resolutions as developed in [GSZ], see also [GS]. Note that our labelling is different, we use the index to label the degree, and the exponent to count elements in a fixed degree. Let Λ be a finite dimensional algebra of the form $\Lambda = KQ/I$. In [GSZ] the authors then define sets of paths g_n in KQ for $n \geq 0$ where g_0 is given by the trivial paths in KQ , g_1 corresponds to the arrows of Q and g_2 is a minimal set of uniform generators of I . For $n \geq 3$, g_n is constructed recursively such that the elements in g_n are uniform and satisfy the property: for $x \in g_n$, $x = \sum_{p \in g_{n-1}} p\lambda_p = \sum_{q \in g_{n-2}} q\mu_q$ for unique elements $\lambda_p, \mu_q \in KQ$ and $\mu_q \in I$, having special properties related to the minimal projective right resolution of $A/\text{rad}A$. If so then there is a minimal projective Λ -module resolution

$$P_\bullet : \quad \dots \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \dots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \longrightarrow \Lambda/\text{rad}\Lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

such that

- (1) $P_n = \bigoplus_{x \in g_n} \mathbf{t}(x)\Lambda$ for all $n \geq 0$
- (2) the map $d_n : P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{t}(x)a \mapsto \sum_{p \in g_{n-1}} \lambda_p \mathbf{t}(x)a.$$

In matrix terms the (p, x) -entry is λ_p .

The collection of elements of g_n is written as $g_n = \{g_n^i\}$.

In [GS] the sets g_n are then used to give an explicit construction of the first three differentials of a minimal projective Λ - Λ -bimodule resolution of Λ . Following their approach we first construct the sets g_n for the minimal right A -module resolution of $A/\text{rad}A$ and then proceed to construct an A - A -bimodule resolution of A .

2.2. A minimal projective right A -module resolution of $A/\text{rad}A$. In this paragraph we construct a minimal projective right A -module resolution of $A/\text{rad}A$. To facilitate the notations we slightly change the notation of [GSZ] and define the sets defining the differentials as follows:

Definition 2.1. For the algebra A we set $g_0^1 = e_1$ and $f_0^{22} = e_2$ and we define recursively, for all $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and all $k \geq 0$ and for all $n \geq 4$,

$$g_n^r = \begin{cases} g_{n-1}^1 \varepsilon - g_{n-1}^2 \alpha \beta & \text{if } r = 1 \\ g_{n-1}^{r-1} \varepsilon - g_{n-1}^{r+1} \alpha \beta & \text{if } r \text{ odd, } 1 < r \leq 2k - 1 \\ g_{n-1}^{r+1} \varepsilon + g_{n-1}^{r-1} \alpha \beta & \text{if } r \text{ even, } 1 < r \leq 2k - 1 \text{ or } n = 4k + 2, r = 2k \end{cases}$$

$$g_{4k+i}^{2k+1} = \begin{cases} g_{4k+i-1}^{2k+1} \varepsilon & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } k = 0 \\ g_{4k+i-1}^{2k} \varepsilon & \text{if } i = 0, 1 \text{ and } k > 0 \\ g_{4k+i-1}^{2k+1} \varepsilon - f_{4k+i-1}^{12} \beta \alpha \beta & \text{if } i = 2, 3 \text{ and } k = 0 \\ g_{4k+i-1}^{2k} \varepsilon - f_{4k+i-1}^{12} \beta \alpha \beta & \text{if } i = 2, 3 \text{ and } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$g_{4k}^{2k} = g_{4k-1}^{2k-1} \alpha \beta \quad \text{if } k > 0$$

$$g_{4k+3}^{2k+2} = g_{4k+2}^{2k+1} \alpha \beta \quad \text{if } k > 0$$

$$f_{4k+i}^{12} = g_{4k+i-1}^{2k+1} \alpha \quad \text{if } i = 1, 2$$

$$f_{4k+i}^{21} = \begin{cases} f_{4k}^{22} \beta & \text{if } i = 1 \\ f_{4k+1}^{21} \varepsilon & \text{if } i = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$f_{4k+i}^{22} = \begin{cases} f_{4k-1}^{22} (\beta \alpha)^2 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ f_{4k+2}^{21} \alpha & \text{if } i = 3 \end{cases}$$

We define \mathcal{G}_n to be the set containing all elements of the form g_n^* and f_n^* .

Remark. We note that $g_1^1 = \varepsilon$, $f_1^{12} = \alpha$ and $f_1^{21} = \beta$, hence the set corresponding to \mathcal{G}_1 is the set of arrows of \mathcal{Q} . Also $g_2^1 = \varepsilon^2 - \alpha \beta \alpha \beta$, $f_2^{12} = \varepsilon \alpha$ and $f_2^{21} = \beta \varepsilon$ so that the set corresponding to \mathcal{G}_2 is a minimal set of uniform elements generating I .

Furthermore, we have chosen our notation such that it indicates from which vertex to which other vertex the elements go, for example: $g_{n-1}^r, g_n^r, g_{n+1}^r$, etc. are all a sum of paths from vertex one to itself, $f_{n-1}^{12}, f_n^{12}, f_{n+1}^{12}$, etc. go from vertex one to vertex two, and so on.

Let P_n and d_n be as defined in section 2.1. It then follows directly from [GSZ] that

Proposition 2.2. The complex (P_\bullet, d) is a minimal projective right A -module resolution of $A/\text{rad}A$.

2.3. A bimodule resolution. We will below define differentials, and it is straightforward to check that they define a complex. In order to show that it is exact, that is it defines

a projective A - A -bimodule resolution of A , we will use the sets \mathcal{G}_n defined the previous paragraph (in fact they were crucial to find the differentials).

We need to introduce some notation: Let $P_{ij} = Ae_i \otimes e_j A$, we write the elements $e_i \otimes e_j$ of P_{ij} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} &:= e_1 \otimes e_1 \in P_{11} \\ \mathbf{b} &:= e_1 \otimes e_2 \in P_{12} \\ \mathbf{c} &:= e_2 \otimes e_1 \in P_{21} \\ \mathbf{d} &:= e_2 \otimes e_2 \in P_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

The terms of R_\bullet in each degree contain multiple copies of P_{11} and always at most one copy of P_{12} , P_{21} and P_{22} (see (2)). We need to distinguish the different copies of P_{11} in R_n , for $n \geq 0$, and we do this by adding superscripts. As before, the subscripts determine in which degree of the complex an element lies. For example, $\mathbf{a}_i^r = e_1 \otimes e_1$ lies in the r th copy of P_{11} as a summand of R_i .

Definition 2.3. *The map $\delta_0 : R_0 \rightarrow A$ is given by multiplication. With the above notation, for $n \geq 1$, we define the differential $\delta_n : R_n \rightarrow R_{n-1}$ recursively as follows.*

$$\mathbf{a}_n^r \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^1 \varepsilon - \varepsilon \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^1 & n = 1, r = 1 \\ \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^1 \varepsilon - \mathbf{b}_{n-1} \beta \alpha \beta + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^1 - \alpha \beta \alpha \mathbf{c}_{n-1} - \alpha \beta \mathbf{b}_{n-1} \beta - \alpha \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \alpha \beta & n = 2, r = 1 \\ \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^1 \varepsilon - \mathbf{b}_{n-1} \beta \alpha \beta - \varepsilon \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^1 + \alpha \beta \alpha \mathbf{c}_{n-1} & n = 3, r = 1 \\ \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^1 \alpha \beta - \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}_{n-1}^1 - \varepsilon \mathbf{b}_{n-1} \beta + \alpha \mathbf{c}_{n-1} \varepsilon & n = 3, r = 2 \end{cases}$$

and, for all $n = 4k + i$ with $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $k \geq 1$:

If $i = 0, 2$

$$\mathbf{a}_{4k+i}^r \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathbf{a}^1 \varepsilon - \mathbf{a}^2 \alpha \beta + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^1 - \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^2 & \text{if } r = 1 \\ \mathbf{a}^{r+1} \varepsilon + \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \alpha \beta + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r - \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^{r+2} & \text{if } 1 < r < 2k, r \text{ even} \\ \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \varepsilon - \mathbf{a}^{r+1} \alpha \beta + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r + \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^{r-2} & \text{if } 1 < r < 2k, r \text{ odd} \\ \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \alpha \beta + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r + (-1)^k \alpha \beta \alpha \mathbf{d} \beta & \text{if } r = 2k \text{ and } i = 0 \\ \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \varepsilon + \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^{r-2} + (-1)^k \alpha \mathbf{d} \beta \alpha \beta & \text{if } r = 2k + 1 \text{ and } i = 0 \\ \mathbf{a}^{r+1} \varepsilon + \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \alpha \beta + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r + (-1)^{k+1} \alpha \beta \alpha \mathbf{c} - \alpha \beta \mathbf{b} \beta & \text{if } r = 2k \text{ and } i = 2 \\ \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \varepsilon + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r + \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^{r-2} - \mathbf{b} \beta \alpha \beta + (-1)^{k+1} \alpha \mathbf{c} \alpha \beta & \text{if } r = 2k + 1 \text{ and } i = 2 \end{cases}$$

If $i = 1, 3$

$$\mathbf{a}_{4k+i}^r \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathbf{a}^1 \varepsilon - \mathbf{a}^2 \alpha \beta - \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^1 + \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^3 & \text{if } r = 1 \\ \mathbf{a}^3 \varepsilon + \mathbf{a}^1 \alpha \beta - \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^2 - \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^1 & \text{if } r = 2 \\ \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \varepsilon - \mathbf{a}^{r+1} \alpha \beta - \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r + \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^{r+2} & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2k \text{ and } r \text{ is odd} \\ \mathbf{a}^{r+1} \varepsilon + \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \alpha \beta - \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r - \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^{r-2} & \text{if } 1 < r \leq 2k \text{ and } r \text{ is even} \\ \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \varepsilon - \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r & \text{if } r = 2k + 1 \text{ and } i = 1 \\ \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \varepsilon - \mathbf{b} \beta \alpha \beta - \varepsilon \mathbf{a}^r + (-1)^k \alpha \beta \alpha \mathbf{c} & \text{if } r = 2k + 1 \text{ and } i = 3 \\ \mathbf{a}^{r-1} \alpha \beta - \alpha \beta \mathbf{a}^{r-2} + (-1)^k \alpha \mathbf{c} \varepsilon - \varepsilon \mathbf{b} \beta & \text{if } r = 2k + 2 \text{ and } i = 3 \end{cases}$$

where on the right hand side we omit the subscript with the understanding that these are all elements in R_{4k+i-1} . Finally, for $n = 4k + i$ with $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $k \geq 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{d}_{4k} &\mapsto \mathfrak{d}_{4k-1}(\beta\alpha)^2 + (\beta\alpha)^2\mathfrak{d}_{4k-1} + (-1)^k\beta\mathfrak{a}_{4k-1}^{2k-1}\alpha \\
\mathfrak{b}_{4k+1} &\mapsto \mathfrak{a}_{4k}^{2k+1}\alpha + (-1)^{k+1}\alpha\mathfrak{d}_{4k} \\
\mathfrak{c}_{4k+1} &\mapsto \mathfrak{d}_{4k}\beta + (-1)^{k+1}\beta\mathfrak{a}_{4k}^{2k} \\
\mathfrak{b}_{4k+2} &\mapsto \mathfrak{a}_{4k+1}^{2k+1}\alpha + \varepsilon\mathfrak{b}_{4k+1} \\
\mathfrak{c}_{4k+2} &\mapsto \mathfrak{c}_{4k+1}\varepsilon + (-1)^k\beta\mathfrak{a}_{4k+1}^{2k+1} \\
\mathfrak{d}_{4k+3} &\mapsto \mathfrak{c}_{4k+2}\alpha + (-1)^{k+1}\beta\mathfrak{b}_{4k+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall from Definition 2.1 that the set \mathcal{G}_n consists of all the elements of the form g_n^* and f_n^* . Furthermore, as stated in the remark following definition 2.1 we know the origin and target of each element of the set \mathcal{G}_n . A simple counting argument now shows that if we set $R_n = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{G}_n} \mathbf{A}\mathfrak{o}(x) \otimes \mathbf{t}(x)A$ we obtain exactly the expressions (2) of section 2. Furthermore, we have that,

Theorem 2.4. *The complex (R_\bullet, δ) is a minimal projective resolution of A as an A - A -bimodule.*

Proof: It is straightforward to verify that $\text{Im } \delta_n \subseteq \text{Ker } \delta_{n-1}$ and therefore (R_\bullet, δ) is a complex. In order to show that the complex is exact we first note that there is an isomorphism of complexes of right A -modules $(A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A R_\bullet, \text{id} \otimes \delta) \simeq (P_\bullet, d)$. This holds since - as it is easily verified - for all n , we have $A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A R_n \simeq P_n$ and furthermore, the diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A R_n & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \delta_n} & A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A R_{n-1} \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
P_n & \xrightarrow{d_n} & P_{n-1}
\end{array}$$

commutes for all $n \geq 1$. Now we apply the same arguments as in [GS, Proposition 2.8] and see also [ST, Theorem 1.6]. Suppose that $\text{Ker } \delta_{n-1} \not\subseteq \text{Im } \delta_n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then there exists a simple A - A -bimodule $S \otimes T$ (where S is a simple left A -module and T is a simple right A -module) and there exists a non-zero map $f : \text{Ker } \delta_{n-1} \rightarrow S \otimes T$. We have seen (Proposition 2.2 and (3)) that the complex $(A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A R_\bullet, \text{id} \otimes \delta)$ is a minimal projective resolution of $A/\text{rad}A$ as a right A -module. Therefore the following isomorphisms of right A -modules hold $A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A \text{Im } \delta_n \simeq \text{Im}(\text{id} \otimes \delta_n) \simeq \text{Ker}(\text{id} \otimes \delta_{n-1}) \simeq A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A \text{Ker}\delta_{n-1}$ and we obtain a non-zero map by taking the composition

$$A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A R_n \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \delta_n} A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A \text{Im } \delta_n \xrightarrow{\simeq} A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A \text{Ker } \delta_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f} S \otimes T.$$

But this is the same as the functor $A/\text{rad}A \otimes_A -$ applied to the sequence of maps $R_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \text{Ker } \delta_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f} S \otimes T$. However, the map $f \circ \delta_n$ is zero. This gives a contradiction and therefore the complex (R_\bullet, δ_n) is exact.

Since we calculated the terms of R_\bullet following [H], the minimality follows. \square

3. DIMENSIONS OF THE HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY GROUPS

The Hochschild cohomology of A is defined by $\text{HH}^*(A) = \text{Ext}_{A^e}^*(A, A)$. From now we denote by Ω the syzygy operator for A^e -modules, it associates to an A^e -module M the A^e -module given by the kernel of the map $P(M) \rightarrow M$ where $P(M)$ is the projective cover of M and iterating this, defines Ω^n . Then for all $n \geq 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^n(A), A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(R_n, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{n+1}(A), A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{A^e}^{n+1}(A, A) \rightarrow 0.$$

and since we have an explicit description of the terms R_n of the A - A -bimodule resolution of A , it is straightforward to calculate the dimensions of the complex $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(R_\bullet, A)$. These dimensions are for, $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}_{A^e}(R_{4k}, A) &= 8k + 7 \\ \dim \text{Hom}_{A^e}(R_{4k+1}, A) &= 8k + 8 \\ \dim \text{Hom}_{A^e}(R_{4k+2}, A) &= 8k + 8 \\ \dim \text{Hom}_{A^e}(R_{4k+3}, A) &= 8k + 11. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\text{HH}^0(A)$ is given by the center $Z(A)$ of A and this has vector space basis

$$\{e_1 + e_2, \varepsilon, \alpha\beta + \beta\alpha, \varepsilon^2, \beta\alpha\beta\alpha\}.$$

By (4), in order to calculate the dimensions of $\text{HH}^n(A)$ for all $n \geq 0$ we need to calculate the dimensions of $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^n(A), A)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Using the description

$$\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^n(A), A) = \{\gamma \in \text{Hom}_{A^e}(R_n, A) \mid \gamma(\Omega(A)^{n+1}) = 0\}$$

we will calculate a basis for $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^n(A), A)$. Since R_\bullet is exact the images of the differential yield the generators of $\Omega^n(A)$ in each degree n . Thus, for $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{4k}(A) &= \langle \delta_{4k}(\mathbf{a}_{4k}^1), \dots, \delta_{4k}(\mathbf{a}_{4k}^{2k+1}), \delta_{4k}(\mathbf{d}_{4k}) \rangle \\ \Omega^{4k+1}(A) &= \langle \delta_{4k+1}(\mathbf{a}_{4k+1}^1), \dots, \delta_{4k+1}(\mathbf{a}_{4k+1}^{2k+1}), \delta_{4k+1}(\mathbf{b}_{4k+1}), \delta_{4k+1}(\mathbf{c}_{4k+1}) \rangle \\ \Omega^{4k+2}(A) &= \langle \delta_{4k+2}(\mathbf{a}_{4k+2}^1), \dots, \delta_{4k+2}(\mathbf{a}_{4k+2}^{2k+1}), \delta_{4k+2}(\mathbf{b}_{4k+2}), \delta_{4k+2}(\mathbf{c}_{4k+2}) \rangle \\ \Omega^{4k+3}(A) &= \langle \delta_{4k+3}(\mathbf{a}_{4k+3}^1), \dots, \delta_{4k+3}(\mathbf{a}_{4k+3}^{2k+2}), \delta_{4k+3}(\mathbf{d}_{4k+3}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For each of the four cases above, we will obtain a system of equations whose solution space gives a basis of $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^n(A), A)$.

3.1. **Characteristic $\neq 2$.** Suppose that the characteristic of K is $\neq 2$. Then

Lemma 3.1. *We have the following dimensions, for $k \geq 0$:*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k+i}(A), A) = \begin{cases} 5k+5 & \text{if } i=0 \\ 5k+5 & \text{if } i=1 \\ 5k+6 & \text{if } i=2 \\ 5k+6 & \text{if } i=3 \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $k \geq 1$, we start by calculating the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k}(A), A)$. Let $\gamma : R_{4k} \rightarrow A$ be a most general map defined by $\gamma(\mathbf{a}_{4k}^j) = a_1^j e_1 + b_1^j \varepsilon + c_1^j \alpha \beta + d_1^j \varepsilon^2$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2k+1$ and $\gamma(\mathbf{d}_{4k}) = a_2 e_2 + b_2 \beta \alpha + c_2 \beta \alpha \beta \alpha$ with $a_1^j, b_1^j, \dots, a_2, b_2 \in k$. With this notation we have that $\gamma(x) = 0$ for all generators x of $\Omega^{4k+1}(A)$ if and only if for all even n , such that $2 \leq n \leq 2k$,

$$a_1^n = a_1^{n+1} \quad b_1^n = b_1^{n+1} \quad c_1^n = c_1^{n+1}$$

and

$$(-1)^k a_2 = a_1^{2k+1}$$

and

$$(-1)^k b_2 = c_1^{2k+1}.$$

Thus the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k}(A), A)$ is $5k+5$.

Similarly for the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k+1}(A), A)$, let $\gamma : R_{4k+1} \rightarrow A$ be the map defined by $\gamma(\mathbf{a}_{4k+1}^i) = a_1^i e_1 + b_1^i \varepsilon + c_1^i \alpha \beta + d_1^i \varepsilon^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2k+1$ and $\gamma(\mathbf{b}_{4k+1}) = a_{12} \alpha + b_{12} \alpha \beta \alpha$ and $\gamma(\mathbf{c}_{4k+1}) = a_{21} \beta + b_{21} \beta \alpha \beta$ with $a_1^i, b_1^i, \dots, a_{12}, b_{12}, \dots \in k$. Then for all generators x of $\Omega^{4k+2}(A)$, we have that $\gamma(x) = 0$ if and only if the following equations hold

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} 2a_1^1 &= 2a_1^2 = 0 \\ a_1^3 &= a_1^4 = \dots = a_1^{2k+1} = a_1^{2k+1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} 2(b_1^1 - c_1^2) &= 0 \\ b_1^{2k} + b_1^{2k+1} + c_1^{2k-1} - a_{12} + (-1)^{k+1} a_{21} &= 0 \\ c_1^{2k+1} &= 0 \\ b_1^n + b_1^{n+1} + c_1^{n-1} - c_1^{n+2} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \{2, 4, \dots, 2k-2\}$.

Thus the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k+1}(A), A)$ is $5k+5$.

In the same way we obtain the following sets of equations for $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k+2}(A), A)$ for all even n , such that $2 \leq n \leq 2k$,

$$a_1^n = a_1^{n+1} \quad b_1^n = b_1^{n+1} \quad c_1^n = c_1^{n+1}$$

and

$$a_{12} = (-1)^k a_{21} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{12} = (-1)^k b_{21}$$

giving $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k+2}(A), A) = 5k + 6$.

Finally for $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k+3}(A), A)$ we obtain

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} 2a_1^1 &= 2a_1^2 = 0 \\ a_1^3 &= a_1^4 = \dots = a_1^{2k+2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} 2(b_1^1 - c_1^2) &= 0 \\ b_1^n + b_1^{n+1} + c_1^{n-1} - c_1^{n+2} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \{2, 4, \dots, 2k\}$ and

$$b_1^{2k+2} = (-1)^k a_2$$

and

$$(*) \quad c_1^{2k+2} = (-1)^k 2a_2$$

such that $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k+3}(A), A) = 5k + 6$.

From Definition 2.3 we see that, for $n \leq 3$, the pattern for the expressions of the differential is not regular. Therefore in these small cases we have to calculate the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^n(A), A)$ by hand. These calculations show that $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^1(A), A) = 5$, $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^2(A), A) = 6$ and $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^3(A), A) = 6$. \square

The dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology spaces of A follow directly from above.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$. The dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology spaces of A are as follows*

$$\dim \operatorname{HH}^{4k+i}(A) = \begin{cases} 2k + 3 & i = 0, k > 0 \\ 2k + 3 & i = 1, k \geq 0 \\ 2k + 3 & i = 2, k \geq 0 \\ 2k + 4 & i = 3, k \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

and the set $\{e_1 + e_2, \varepsilon, \alpha\beta + \beta\alpha, \varepsilon^2, \beta\alpha\beta\alpha\}$ is a K -basis of $\operatorname{HH}^0(A)$.

3.2. Characteristic of $K = 2$. Suppose the characteristic of $K = 2$. Then

Lemma 3.3. *We have the following dimensions, for $k \geq 0$:*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^{4k+i}(A), A) = \begin{cases} 5k + 5 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 5k + 8 & \text{if } i = 1, k \neq 0 \\ 5k + 6 & \text{if } i = 2 \\ 5k + 9 & \text{if } i = 3 \end{cases}$$

and $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A^e}(\Omega^1(A), A) = 6$.

Proof: The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.1 except in the rows marked with (*) we either gain or lose a degree of freedom. This only occurs in the cases $i = 1$ and $i = 3$. Furthermore, as before we have to calculate the cases of small degree separately by hand. \square

As before, the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology spaces of A directly follow.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume $\text{char } K = 2$. The dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology spaces of A are as follows*

$$\dim \text{HH}^{4k+i}(A) = \begin{cases} 2k + 6 & i = 0, k > 0 \\ 2k + 6 & i = 1, k > 1 \\ 2k + 6 & i = 2, k > 0 \\ 2k + 7 & i = 3, k > 0 \end{cases}$$

and $\dim \text{HH}^1(A) = 4$. The set $\{e_1 + e_2, \varepsilon, \alpha\beta + \beta\alpha, \varepsilon^2, \beta\alpha\beta\alpha\}$ is a K -basis of $\text{HH}^0(A)$.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Nicole Snashall and the referee for their very helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [CR] Chuang, J.; Rouquier, R., *Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and sl_2 categorification*, Ann. of Math. **167** (2008), 245–298.
- [EHSST] Erdmann, K.; Holloway, M.; Snashall, N.; Solberg, Ø., Taillefer, R., *Support varieties for selfinjective algebras. K-Theory* **33** (2004), no. 1, 67–87.
- [EN] Erdmann, K.; Nakano, D., *Representation type of Hecke algebras of type A* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 1, 275–285.
- [ES] Erdmann, K.; Solberg, Ø., *Radical cube zero weakly symmetric algebras and support varieties*, preprint.
- [GHMS] Green, E. L.; Hartman, G.; Marcos, E. N.; Solberg, Ø., *Resolutions over Koszul algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **85** (2005), no. 2, 118–127.
- [GS] Green, E. L.; Snashall, N., *Projective bimodule resolutions of an algebra and vanishing of the second Hochschild cohomology group*, Forum Math. **16** (2004), 17–36.
- [GSZ] Green, E. L.; Solberg, Ø.; Zacharia, D., *Minimal projective resolutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 7, 2915–2939.
- [H] Happel, D., *Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1404** (1989), 108–126.
- [Ho1] Holm, T., *The even Hochschild cohomology ring of a block with cyclic defect group*. J. Algebra **178** (1995), 317–341.
- [Ho2] Holm, T., *Hochschild cohomology of tame blocks*. J. Algebra **271** (2004), no. 2, 798–826.
- [R] Ringel, C.M., *The indecomposable representations of the dihedral 2-groups*. Math. Ann. **214** (1975), 19–34.
- [SS] Snashall, N.; Solberg, Ø., *Support varieties and Hochschild cohomology rings*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **88** (2004), no. 3, 705–732.
- [ST] Snashall, N.; Taillefer, R., *The Hochschild cohomology ring of a class of special biserial algebras*, J. Algebra Appl. to appear.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, 24-29 ST. GILES', OXFORD OX1 3LB, UK
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER, UNIVERSITY ROAD, LEICESTER LE1 7RH, UK
E-mail address: erdmann@maths.ox.ac.uk, schroll@mcs.le.ac.uk