

Role of Committed Minorities in Times of Crisis

Malgorzata Turalska, Bruce J. West, Paolo Grigolini

Center for Nonlinear Science, University of North Texas, P.O. Box 311427, Denton, Texas, 76203, USA

(Dated: April 25, 2022)

We use a Cooperative Decision Making (CDM) model to study the effect of committed minorities on group behavior in time of crisis. The CDM model has been shown to generate consensus through a phase-transition process that at criticality establishes long-range correlations among the individuals within a model society. In a condition of high consensus, the correlation function vanishes, thereby making the network recover the ordinary locality condition. However, this state is not permanent and times of crisis occur when there is an ambiguity concerning a given social issue. The correlation function within the cooperative system becomes similarly extended as it is observed at criticality. This combination of independence (free will) and long-range correlation makes it possible for very small but committed minorities to produce substantial changes in social consensus.

PACS numbers: 87.23.Ge, 89.75.Fb, 89.70.-a, 89.65.-s

Understanding the influence that committed minorities exert on both local and global politics is an issue of overwhelming importance [1]. The surprising social phenomena of the Arab Spring [2] and the Occupy Wall Street movement [3] posit the question of whether the active role of committed groups may produce political changes of significant importance. Under what conditions are the convictions of a minority going to dominate the future direction of a society? *Couzin et al. [4] present experimental evidence that a committed minority of fish can override the consensus of a substantially larger school of fish.*

Recent studies demonstrate that the abrupt, discontinuous events such as catastrophic failures in power grids, computer networks and financial markets crashes originate from the high connectivity of those networks [5]. Consecutively, since local interactions between building blocks of such networks play an analogous role to the interactions in solid state matter, adoption of phase-transition perspective to describe complex network dynamics [6] comes as a natural extension of statistical physics to the field of network science. As early as 1975 Haken [7] used the concept of phase transition to interpret the 1968 French student revolution. This approach explained the remarkably rapid transition from traditional morality to sexual liberation, but without investigating the role the minority of protesting students may have played in triggering this change. Only recently the concept of inflexible agents (committed minorities) who retain their opinion regardless of their social environment has been introduced by Galam and Jacob [8]. Xie *et al.* [9] studied the influence of these inflexible agents and found that when this committed minority reaches the threshold of 10% the opinion of the entire social network can be reversed.

The main purpose of this Letter is to demonstrate that the abrupt changes in the organization of social groups, rather than being moments of disorder, are instances of increased spatial correlation between the units of the net-

work. This condition of extended cooperation, similar to the critical state of a phase transition, allows for a small subgroup of the society to exert noticeable influence over the whole system. As a starting point we consider the Cooperative Decision Making (CDM) model of two-state units s_i , each of which represents an agent making decision to agree ("yes") or disagree ("no") on a given issue and whose dynamics are described by a master equation on a two-dimensional lattice [10, 11]. In the absence of interactions the probability for a given unit to change its decision from "yes" to "no", or vice versa, is given by a Poisson distribution with a transition rate $g < 1$. When the interaction between agents is turned on, the probability that a given unit is going to change its decision becomes time dependent, yielding transition rates

$$p_{i \rightarrow j}(t) = g \exp[K\{M_i(t) - M_j(t)\}/M] \quad (1)$$

where M_i is the number of nearest neighbors in the state $i = \{\text{"yes"}, \text{"no"}\}$, and M is the total number of nearest neighbors. Global decisions of a network composed of N units whose interactions are described by Eq. 1 can be defined by the time-dependent global order parameter $\xi(t) = |N_{yes}(t) - N_{no}(t)|/N$, where N_{yes} and N_{no} are the global counts of units being in one of the two states at a given time t . Here we consider the units of the CDM model to be on nodes of a two-dimensional lattice with periodic boundary conditions. *In the simulation each element is updated in a given time step after which the transition rates in Eq. 1 are updated for the next time step.*

Similarly to the well known model proposed by Vicsek [12] to study the cooperative dynamics of swarms of birds, the CDM model demonstrate the shift from a configuration dominated by randomness to an organized state once the cooperation parameter is increased above the critical value K_c . For values of the coupling parameter K corresponding to the disorganized phase ($K < K_c$), single units are only weakly influenced by the decisions of their neighbors and change their state with probabil-




FIG. 1: Temporal evolution of the correlation function $C(r, t)$. On each panel color map encodes spatiotemporal variability of correlation $C(r, t)$, middle plot shows cross-section of this map for $r_0 = 15$ and bottom plot shows corresponding evolution of the global order parameter $\xi(t)$. Analysis performed for $K = 1.50$ (a), $K = 1.62$ (b) and $K = 1.66$ (c). Lattice size is $N = 100 \times 100$ nodes and transition rate $g = 0.10$.

ity only slightly larger than the decoupled rate g . Thus, the fluctuations of the global order parameter $\xi(t)$ are characterized by small amplitude and very fast oscillations about the zero-axis [see Fig.1a]. For $K > K_c$, the interaction between units gives rise to a majority state, during which a significant number of agents adopt the same opinion at the same time [see Fig.1c].

We quantify the changes in temporal properties of the order parameter $\xi(t)$, which accompany the phase transition, by evaluating the waiting-time distribution density $\psi(\tau)$ and survival probability $\Psi(\tau) = \int_{\tau}^{\infty} dt' \psi(t')$ of time intervals τ between consecutive recrossing of the zero-axis. As illustrated in Fig. 2, in the subcritical regime $\Psi(\tau)$ has an exponential form, which reflects the large independence of single units from their neighbors. For $K > K_c$, the abrupt transitions between consecutive majority intervals, that result from lattice having finite size, are responsible for an exponential shoulder present




FIG. 2: Phase transition properties of the CDM model. (Top left panel) Phase transition diagram for the amplitude of the global order parameter $\xi(t)$ as a function of the coupling parameter K . (Top right panel) Survival probability distribution $\Psi(\tau)$ for selected values of K : solid line corresponds to $K = 1.50$, dashed line to $K = 1.62$ and dotted line to $K = 1.66$. (Bottom panel) Correlation $C(r)$ as a function of the Euclidean distance r between nodes of the lattice for K being 1.50, 1.62 and 1.66, respectively. Lattice size is $N = 100 \times 100$ nodes, transition rate $g = 0.10$.

in $\Psi(\tau)$. It is important to notice that for a given value of the transition rate g , departing significantly from the limiting condition $g \rightarrow 0$, there is no theoretical prediction for the critical coupling strength K_c [11]. The value of K_c estimated with traditionally used Binder cummulant method [13] yields $K_c^B = 1.644$ when $g = 0.10$. However, since this approach estimates critical coupling for a network of infinite size, it is not surprising that $\Psi(\tau)$ evaluated for K_c^B and a lattice of size $N = 100 \times 100$ nodes shows an exponential shoulder, which is a hallmark of the organized phase. Simultaneously, following [14] we observe that for a network of finite size one can always find a value of the interaction strength parameter K for which the exponential shoulder vanishes and for which $\Psi(\tau)$ is an inverse power-law function. We assume this condition to correspond to the critical point for a network of finite size, and we obtain $K_c = 1.625$ for $N = 100 \times 100$.

Further insight into the dynamic properties of the CDM model is obtained by adopting the statistical measure of spatial influence, that being the correlation function [15] $C(r)$ between the nodes separated by the Euclidean distance r :

$$C(r) = \langle s_i s_{i+r} \rangle - \langle s_i \rangle \langle s_{i+r} \rangle. \quad (2)$$

The quantity $\langle s_i s_{i+r} \rangle$ denotes an average over all pairs of units on the lattice separated by distance r and $\langle s_i \rangle = \langle s_{i+r} \rangle$ denotes averages over all the units of the network. Figure 2 shows $C(r)$ for selected values of the coupling constant K . For both sub-critical ($K < K_c$) and super-critical ($K > K_c$) values of the interaction strength, the




FIG. 3: Configuration of the lattice (a-c) and corresponding correlation function (bottom panel) for instances marked on bottom panel of Fig. 2c by arrows. White areas correspond to the units in state "yes" and black to the units in the state "no". Lattice size is $N = 100 \times 100$, coupling constant is $K = 1.66$ and transition rate is $g = 0.10$.

correlation function $C(r)$ decreases rapidly as a function of the distance between nodes. However, at criticality, $K = K_c$, we observe the correlation length to be significantly more extended than either the sub- or super-critical cases, a characteristic property of systems at a phase transition [16]. It is important to note that since we consider a network of finite size, this extended correlation implies the emergence of dynamical coupling between units that are not nearest neighbors and therefore not directly linked.

Although traditionally the correlation function $C(r)$ is considered an equilibrium property of a network [16], the central result of this Letter is obtained by modifying Eq. 2 in order to study temporal fluctuations of the correlation function. For each instant of time we define the spatiotemporal correlation function

$$C(r, t) = \langle s_i(t) s_{i+r}(t) \rangle - \langle s_i(t) \rangle \langle s_{i+r}(t) \rangle \quad (3)$$

and as shown on Fig. 1, we observe that the fluctuations of the correlation function $C(r, t)$ closely track those of the global order parameter $\xi(t)$. In particular, the abrupt transitions between epochs of dominating majority, the instances of crisis that take place when $K > K_c$, correspond to jumps in $C(r, t)$. Additionally, as demonstrated in Fig. 3, careful inspection of the network at the instant of crisis reveals an extended correlation length when compared with the organization of the lattice during majority rule. This observation provides an explanation of how committed minorities succeed in their goal of inducing significant social change. Since at the moment of the jump the order parameter vanishes, $\xi(t) = 0$, we interpret those events as *free will* states. One could expect that the network had lost its organization and is randomly configured at that moment. However, such an interpretation would signify the presence of only local coupling and therefore could not explain how a small bias exerted




FIG. 4: Small number of nodes maintaining constant opinion influence significantly the behavior of the system in the organized phase. (Top panel) Fluctuations of the global order parameter $\xi(t)$ for $K = 1.65$ and lattice of size $N = 100 \times 100$ nodes. (Bottom panel) The behavior of $\xi(t)$ once 1% of the randomly selected nodes are kept in state "yes" at all time. Transition rate is $g = 0.10$ for both.

on the network is able to produce large-scale changes, as shown subsequently.

Given the influence of today's social events, that more and more frequently affect global political and economical scene, now is the right time to study the impact of committed minorities on a society. A member of the committed minority considered herein is a randomly selected element on the lattice that keeps its decision of either "yes" or "no" independently of the opinion of its neighbors. To prove that the committed minorities may operate efficiently in spite of their very small number, in Fig. 4 we compare the evolution of $\xi(t)$ in the absence of a committed minority to the evolution of $\xi(t)$ in the presence of a relatively small (1%) committed group. In the case considered here a high value of the coupling, ($K > K_c$), leads to the extended condition of global consensus, during which the influence of the minority is negligible. The rapidly decreasing correlation function $C(r)$ reflects the rigidity of the network and prevents the global transmission of the perturbation. However, from time to time a crisis occurs where $\xi(t) = 0$. In crisis the network may undergo an abrupt change of opinion and the correlation length is sufficiently large to make it possible for the committed minority to force the social network to adopt their view. As a consequence, during the time interval over which the minority acts it imposes its opinion over the whole network.

Finally, to quantify this phenomenon, we study how introducing a committed minority affects the average lifetime of the consensus state, defined as $\langle \tau \rangle = \int_0^\infty \Psi(\tau) d\tau$. It is important to note that in the condition where no committed group is present the distribution of time durations of global decision in "yes",




FIG. 5: Mean waiting time $\langle \tau_{yes} \rangle$ obtained for system with no acting minorities (blue dots) increases once 1% (red squares) and 5% (green diamonds) committed minority is present. Inset: The ensemble average of the CDM model realizations initialized with a random configuration (blue line) are compared with those initialized with a crisis configuration (red line). Lattice size is $N = 20 \times 20$, $K = 1.65$ and $g_0 = 0.10$.

$\Psi(\tau_{yes})$, and in "no", $\Psi(\tau_{no})$, coincide and are equal to the distribution evaluated for both time intervals, $\Psi(\tau) = \Psi(\tau_{yes}) = \Psi(\tau_{no})$. This symmetry is however broken once a minority is introduced, as shown on Fig. 4, by an increase in temporal span of consensus states that correspond to the opinion of the minority. In Fig. 5 we compare $\langle \tau \rangle$ in the absence of the inflexible agents to $\langle \tau \rangle$ in the presence of a committed minority of sizes 1% and 5%. First, in the native case the average consensus time $\langle \tau \rangle$ increases exponentially with an increase in the coupling strength K , showing a faster rise once $K > K_c$, with a discontinuous change at the critical interaction strength. This switch in the rate of increase confirms the validity of the approach used to determine K_c based on the temporal properties of $\xi(t)$. Consecutively, the introduction of a small minority leads to a linear increase in $\langle \tau \rangle$ and the fact that two exponential regimes are preserved confirms the crucial role that instances of crisis play in the global transmission of minority opinion. To provide additional evidence to support this claim, we consider an ensemble of realizations of the CDM process: one initialized with random configuration and other with a configuration derived from the instance of a crisis. Due to the presence of a small minority each evolution of $\xi(t)$ spends more time in the state "yes" and gives rise to a non-zero ensemble average $\langle \xi(t) \rangle$. As shown on the insert of Fig. 5, the long range correlations present at the time of crisis cause the system to organize faster than happens when correlations are strictly local.

Our approach does not allow us to confirm the observation made by Korniss et al. [9] that the minimal size of the committed minority necessary to significantly affect the opinion of the entire network is 10%. If we assume

that a substantial effect of a committed minority is defined by an order of magnitude increase in the average consensus time $\langle \tau \rangle$, Fig. 5 indicates that for CDM this requirement is realized by a committed minority of less than 5%. Simultaneously, we observe that the effect of the minority on the dynamics of the CDM model is preserved once the dimension of the lattice is increased from two to three, which is contrary to the results reported by Mobilia [17]. We are convinced that those differences are a consequence of the non-local interactions present in the CDM model and the local, diffusion-like behavior shown by the models investigated in [9, 17].

This Letter demonstrates that in a dynamical network the extended correlation length emerges not only at criticality, but quite surprisingly also at times of crisis which separate intervals of strong opinion. Our results indicate that this property is responsible for the significant efficiency of inflexible minorities in influencing entire networks. This discovery may shed light onto the animal behavior recently observed [18] in flocks of locust and reproduced with the cooperative model of Vicsek [12]. In fact, the locust's change of direction seems to occur in the supercritical condition and is accompanied by increased activity of the single units. Simultaneously, it follows that there is no need for specific organization of the members of the committed minority, since due to increased correlation their impact is perceived even if the inflexible units are randomly arranged within the network and are not in direct contact with each other.

MT and PG gratefully acknowledge ARO for the financial support of this research through grant W911NF-11-1-0478.

-
- [1] P. Ball, Nature, 480, 447-448 (2011).
 - [2] C. Barnett, Geoforum, 42, 263-265 (2011); M. Al-Momani, Middle East Law and Governance, 3, 159-170 (2011).
 - [3] S. van Gelder (editor), *This Changes Everything*, Berrett-Koehler Publishers, San Francisco (2011); N. Schneider, Nation, 293, 13 (2011); B. Moyer, Nation, 21, 11 (2011).
 - [4] I.D. Couzin et al., Science **334**, 1578-15-80 (2011).
 - [5] A. Vespignani, Nature, 464, 984-985 (2010).
 - [6] A. Barrat, M. Barthelemy, A. Vespignani, *Dynamical Processes on Complex Networks*, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
 - [7] H. Haken, Rev. Mod. Phys. 47, 67-121 (1975).
 - [8] S. Galam, F. Jacobs, Physica A, 366-376 (2007).
 - [9] J. Xie et al., Phys. Rev. **84**, 011130 (2011).
 - [10] M. Turalska, M. Lukovic, B. J. West, P. Grigolini, Phys. Rev. E **80**, 021110, 1-6, (2009).
 - [11] M. Turalska, B. J. West, P. Grigolini, Phys. Rev. E **83**, 061142, 1-6, (2011).
 - [12] T. Vicsek et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1226, 1-4, (1995).
 - [13] K. Binder, Z. Phys. B, 43, 119-140, (1981).
 - [14] F. Vanni, M. Luković, P. Grigolini, Phys.Rev.Lett. **107**, 1-4, 078103 (2011).

- [15] T.T. Wu et al., Phys. Rev. B, **13**, 316 (1976).
- [16] M. E. Fisher, Rep. Prog. Phys, **30**, 615 (1967).
- [17] M. Mubilia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 028701, (2003).
- [18] C. A. Yates et al., PNAS, **106**, 5464-5469 (2009).