

The Complexity of the Proper Orientation Number

A. AHADI^a, A. DEGHAN^b,

^a*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*

^b*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran* *

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C15, 05C20, 68Q25

Abstract

Graph orientation is a well-studied area of graph theory. A proper orientation of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is an orientation D of $E(G)$ such that for every two adjacent vertices v and u , $d_D^-(v) \neq d_D^-(u)$ where $d_D^-(v)$ is the number of edges with head v in D . The proper orientation number of G is defined as $\vec{\chi}(G) = \min_{D \in \Gamma} \max_{v \in V(G)} d_D^-(v)$ where Γ is the set of proper orientations of G . We have $\chi(G) - 1 \leq \vec{\chi}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$. We show that, it is **NP**-complete to decide whether $\vec{\chi}(G) = 2$, for a given planar graph G . Also, we prove that there is a polynomial time algorithm for determining the proper orientation number of 3-regular graphs. In sharp contrast, we will prove that this problem is **NP**-hard for 4-regular graphs.

Key words: Proper orientation; Vertex coloring; NP-completeness; Planar 3-SAT (type 2); Graph orientation; Polynomial algorithms.

1 Introduction

Graph orientation is a well-studied area of graph theory, that provides a connection between directed and undirected graphs [17]. There are several problems concerned with orienting the edges of an undirected graph in order to minimize some measures in the resulting directed graph, for instance see [9, 12]. On the other hand, there are many ways to color the vertices of graphs properly. A proper vertex coloring of a digraph D is defined, simply a vertex coloring of its underlying graph G . The chromatic number of a digraph

**E-mail addresses:* arash_ahadi@mehr.sharif.edu, ali_deghan16@aut.ac.ir.

provides interesting information about its subdigraphs. For instance, a theorem of Gallai proves that digraphs with high chromatic number always have long directed paths [13].

Venkateswaran [21] initiated the study of the problem of orienting the edges of a given simple graph so that the maximum indegree of vertices is minimized. Afterwards, Asahiro et al. in [4] generalized this problem for weighted graphs. It was proved that, this problem can be solved in polynomial-time if all the edge weights are identical [4, 19, 21], but it is **NP**-hard in general [4]. Furthermore, the problem can be solved in polynomial-time if the input graph is a tree, but for planar bipartite graphs it is **NP**-hard [4]. For more information about the recent results about this problem see [3].

On the other hand, in 2004 Karoński, Łuczak and Thomason initiated the study of proper labeling [16]. They introduced an edge-labeling which is additive vertex-coloring that means for every edge uv , the sum of labels of the edges incident to u is different from the sum of labels of the edges incident to v [16]. Also, it is conjectured that three labels $\{1, 2, 3\}$ are sufficient for every connected graph, except K_2 (1, 2, 3-Conjecture, see [16]). This labeling have been studied extensively by several authors, for instance see [1, 2, 5, 11, 15]. Afterwards, Borowiecki et al. consider the directed version of this problem. Let D be a simple directed graph and suppose that each edge of D is assigned an integer label. For a vertex v of D , let $q^+(v)$ and $q^-(v)$ be the sum of labels lying on the arcs outgoing from v and incoming to v , respectively. Let $q(v) = q^+(v) - q^-(v)$. Borowiecki et al. proved that there is always a labeling from $\{1, 2\}$, such that $q(v)$ is a proper coloring of D [7], see also [18].

Furthermore, Borowiecki et al. consider another version of above problems and they show that every undirected graph G can be oriented so that adjacent vertices have different in-degrees [7]. In this work, we consider the problem of orienting the edges of an undirected graph such that adjacent vertices have different in-degrees and the maximum indegree of vertices is minimized in the resulting directed graph.

The *proper orientations* of G which are orientations D of G such that for every two adjacent vertices v and u , $d_D^-(v) \neq d_D^-(u)$. The *proper orientation number* of G is defined as $\vec{\chi}(G) = \min_{D \in \Gamma} \max_{v \in V(G)} d_D^-(v)$, where Γ is the set of proper orientations of G .

The proper orientation number is well-defined and every proper orientation of graph introduces a proper vertex coloring for its vertices. Thus, $\chi(G) - 1 \leq \vec{\chi}(G)$. On the other hand $\vec{\chi}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$. Consequently,

$$\chi(G) - 1 \leq \vec{\chi}(G) \leq \Delta(G). \tag{1}$$

In this work, we focus on regular graphs and planar graphs. We show that there is

a polynomial-time algorithm for determining the proper orientation number of 3-regular graphs. But it is **NP**-complete to decide whether the proper orientation number of a given 4-regular graph is 3 or 4.

Theorem 1 *Determining the proper orientation number of a given 4-regular graph is **NP**-hard; but there is a polynomial-time algorithm to determine the proper orientation number for 3-regular graphs.*

It is easy to see that $\vec{\chi}(G) = 1$ if and only if every connected component of G is a star. But for $\vec{\chi}(G) = 2$, we have the following:

Theorem 2 *It is **NP**-complete to decide $\vec{\chi}(G) = 2$, for a given planar graph G .*

In this paper we consider simple graphs and we refer to [6] for standard notation and concepts. For a graph G , we use n and m to denote its numbers of vertices and edges, respectively. Also, for every $v \in V(G)$, $d(v)$ and $N_G(v)$ denote the degree of v and the neighbor set of v , respectively. A *spanning subgraph* of a graph G is a subgraph whose vertex set is $V(G)$. We say that a set of vertices are *independent* if there is no edge between these vertices. The *independence number*, $\alpha(G)$ of a graph G is the size of a largest independent set of G . Also a *clique* of a graph is a set of mutually adjacent vertices. We denote the maximum degree of a graph G by $\Delta(G)$. A *directed graph* G is an ordered pair $(V(G), E(G))$ consisting of a set $V(G)$ of vertices and a set $E(G)$ of edges, with an incidence function D that associates with each edge of G an ordered pair of vertices of G . If $e = uv$ is an edge and $G(e) = u \rightarrow v$, then e is from u to v . The vertex u is the tail of e , and the vertex v its head. Note that every orientation D of a graph, introduced a digraph. The indegree $d_D^-(v)$ of a vertex v in D is the number of edges with head v of v . For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a *proper vertex k -coloring* of G is a function $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$, such that if $u, v \in V(G)$ are adjacent, then $c(u)$ and $c(v)$ are different. The smallest integer k such that G has a proper vertex k -coloring is called the *chromatic number* of G and denoted by $\chi(G)$. Similarly, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a *proper edge k -coloring* of G is a function $c : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$, such that if $e, e' \in E(G)$ share a common endpoint, then $c(e)$ and $c(e')$ are different. The smallest integer k such that G has a proper edge k -coloring is called the *edge chromatic number* of G and denoted by $\chi'(G)$. By Vizing's theorem [22], the edge chromatic number of a graph G is equal to either $\Delta(G)$ or $\Delta(G) + 1$. Those graphs G for which $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$ are said to belong to *Class 1*, and the others to *Class 2*. For a graph $G = (V, E)$, the *line graph* G is denoted by $L(G)$, is a graph with the set of vertices $E(G)$ and two vertices of $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if their corresponding edges share a common endpoint in G . For simplicity and with a slight abuse of notation, we also denote by D the digraph resulting from an orientation D of the graph G .

2 Regular graphs

Let G be an r -regular graph and suppose that D is a proper orientation of G with maximum indegree $\vec{\chi}(G)$. We have $\vec{\chi}(G) > \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_D^-(v) = \frac{rn/2}{n}$. So we have the following simple observation about regular graphs.

Observation 1 *For every r -regular graph G with $r \neq 0$, $\vec{\chi}(G) \geq \lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil$.*

Here, we present a lemma about $(2k+1)$ -regular graphs, then we use it to prove Theorem 1.

Lemma 1 *For every $(2k+1)$ -regular graph G , $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

(i) $\vec{\chi}(L(G)) = 3k$ if and only if G belongs to *Class 1*.

(ii) $\vec{\chi}(G) = k+1$ if and only if $\chi(G) = 2$.

Proof (i) First, let G be a $(2k+1)$ -regular graph belonging to *Class 1*. G has a proper edge coloring c , such that $c : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2k+1\}$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be the set of edges of G and $V(L(G)) = E(G)$. Now, we present a proper orientation for $L(G)$ with maximum indegree $3k$. For every edge $e_i e_j \in E(L(G))$, such that $c(e_j) - c(e_i) > k$, orient $e_i e_j$ from e_i to e_j . Also for every two numbers $p, q \in \{1, \dots, 2k+1\}$, such that $|p - q| \leq k$, let $H_{p,q}$ be the induced subgraph on the vertices $c^{-1}(p) \cup c^{-1}(q)$ in $L(G)$. Since G is a regular graph that belonging to *Class 1*, $H_{p,q}$ is a spanning 2-regular subgraph of $L(G)$. Therefore every component of $H_{p,q}$ is an even cycle. Orient each of these cycles to obtain a directed cycle. We denote the resulting orientation by D . We claim that D is a proper orientation of $L(G)$, with maximum indegree $3k$. Since c is a proper edge coloring of G , it is sufficient to prove that for every vertex $e_i \in V(L(G))$, we have $d_D^-(e_i) = c(e_i) + (k-1)$. Let e be a vertex of $L(G)$. First suppose that $c(e) < k$. For every number i , $i \in \{1, \dots, 2k+1\} \setminus \{c(e)\}$, the vertex e , has exactly two neighbors e'_i and e''_i , with $c(e'_i) = c(e''_i) = i$ in $L(G)$. In D for every $i \neq c(e)$, $1 \leq i \leq c(e) + k$, e has exactly one incoming edge from the set $\{e'_i, e''_i\}$. Also for every i , $c(e) + k < i \leq 2k+1$, e doesn't have any incoming edge from the set $\{e'_i, e''_i\}$. Therefore $d_D^-(e_i) = c(e_i) + k - 1$. For every edge e with the property $c(e) \geq k$, by a similar argument, we have $d_D^-(e_i) = c(e_i) + k - 1$. Consequently $\vec{\chi}(L(G)) \leq 3k$ (in the following we see that if $\vec{\chi}(L(G)) \leq 3k$, then $\vec{\chi}(L(G)) = 3k$).

On the other hand, suppose that G is a $(2k+1)$ -regular graph and $\vec{\chi}(L(G)) \leq 3k$. Let f be a proper orientation of $L(G)$ with the maximum indegree at most $3k$. Let u be an arbitrary vertex of G and $N_G(u) = \{w_1, \dots, w_{2k+1}\}$. Since f is a proper orientation of

$L(G)$ and $\{uw_1, \dots, uw_{2k+1}\}$ is a clique in $L(G)$, so $d_f^-(uw_1), \dots, d_f^-(uw_{2k+1})$ are distinct numbers. Consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} d_f^-(uw_i) \leq (3k) + (3k-1) + \dots + (3k-(2k)) = 2k(2k+1),$$

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{vw \in E(G)} d_f^-(vw) \leq 2k(2k+1)n.$$

On the other hand, by counting the number of edges in two ways, we have:

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{vw \in E(G)} d_f^-(vw) = 2 \left(\sum_{e \in E(L(G))} d_f^-(e) \right) = 2|E(L(G))| = 2k(2k+1)n.$$

Thus, for every $v \in V(G)$ we have:

$$\sum_{vw \in E(G)} d_f^-(vw) = 2k(2k+1).$$

It means that for every $v \in V(G)$, $\{d_f^-(vw) | vw \in E(G)\} = \{k, k+1, \dots, 3k\}$. Therefore $\vec{\chi}(L(G)) = 3k$ and also the function d_f^- is a proper edge coloring of G with $2k+1$ colors. It means that G belongs to *Class 1*.

(ii) First suppose that $\vec{\chi}(G) = k+1$ through a proper orientation D . For every i , denote the number of vertices $v \in V(G)$ with the indegree i , by n_i . We have: $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} n_i = n$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i \cdot n_i = m = \frac{2k+1}{2}n$. So $n_{k+1} \geq m - kn = \frac{n}{2}$. The set of vertices v with $d_D^-(v) = k+1$, forms an independent set. Obviously every regular graph with $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$, is bipartite. Thus, $\chi(G) = 2$.

Next, let $G[X, Y]$ be a bipartite $(2k+1)$ -regular graph. By Observation 1, $\vec{\chi}(G) \geq k+1$. By Kőnig's theorem [23], G has a decomposition into $2k+1$ perfect matchings. Orient the edges of $k+1$ perfect matchings from X to Y , and other edges from Y to X . It is easy to see that this is a proper orientation with maximum indegree $k+1$. \square

Corollary 1 For every 3-regular graph G , other than K_4 , $\vec{\chi}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Clearly, the problem is in NP. It was shown that it is NP-hard to determine the edge chromatic number of a cubic graph [14]. By Lemma 1, for every cubic graph G , $\vec{\chi}(L(G)) = 3$, if and only if G belongs to *Class 1*. So determining the

proper orientation number of a 4-regular graph is **NP**-hard. For the second part of the theorem, let G be a 3-regular graph, other than K_4 , by Brooks' Theorem [8] $\chi(G) \leq 3$. There is a polynomial-time algorithm for determining whether a given graph G has a chromatic number at most 2. So by Corollary 1, there is a polynomial-time algorithm for determining the proper orientation number of a given 3-regular graph.

□

It was shown that it is **NP**-hard to determine the edge chromatic number of an r -regular graph for any $r \geq 3$ [20]. So by Lemma 1 we have:

Corollary 2 *For any $r \geq 1$, the following problem is **NP**-hard: "Given a $4r$ -regular graph G , determine $\vec{\chi}(G)$ ".*

Here, we presents a simple $(2 - \frac{2}{r+2})$ -approximation algorithm for finding a proper orientation with the minimum of maximum indegree between the proper orientations of G , for an r -regular graph G . It is sufficient to start with a vertex v of maximum degree and for every edge $e = vu$, simply orient e from u to v and next in $G' = G \setminus \{v\}$ repeat the above procedure. By Observation 1 we have $\vec{\chi} \geq \lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil$, so the previous greedy algorithm is a θ -approximation algorithm, where

$$\theta = 2 - \frac{2}{r+2} \geq \frac{r}{\lceil \frac{r+1}{2} \rceil}.$$

3 Planar graphs

Let Φ be a 3-SAT formula with clauses $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ and variables $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Let $G(\Phi)$ be a graph with the vertices $C \cup X \cup (\neg X)$, where $\neg X = \{\neg x_1, \dots, \neg x_n\}$, such that for each clause $c_j = y \vee z \vee w$, c_j is adjacent to y, z and w , also every $x_i \in X$ is adjacent to $\neg x_i$. Φ is called planar 3-SAT(type 2) formula if $G(\Phi)$ is a planar graph. It was shown that the problem of satisfiability of planar 3-SAT(type 2) is **NP**-complete [10]. In order to prove Theorem 2, we reduce the following problem to our problem.

Problem: *Satisfiability of planar 3-SAT(type 2).*

INPUT: A 3-SAT(type 2) formula Φ .

QUESTION: Is there a truth assignment for Φ that satisfies all the clauses?

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider an instance of planar 3-SAT(type 2) Φ with variables $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and clauses $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$. We transform this into a planar graph

$\widehat{G}(\Phi)$ such that $\vec{\chi}(\widehat{G}(\Phi)) = 2$ if and only if Φ is satisfiable. We use two auxiliary graphs $H(x_i)$ and $T(c_j)$ which are shown in Figure 1. We construct the planar graph $\widehat{G}(\Phi)$ from $G(\Phi)$, for every $x_i \in X$ replace the subgraph on $\neg x_i$ and x_i by $H(x_i)$, also replace every clause c_j of C by $T(c_j)$. Furthermore, for every clause c_j with the literals x, y, z add the edges xc_j^1, yc_j^2 and zc_j^3 . Call the resulting graph $\widehat{G}(\Phi)$. Clearly $\vec{\chi}(\widehat{G}(\Phi)) \geq 2$.




Figure 1: The two auxiliary graphs $H(x_i)$ and $T(c_j)$

First suppose that $\vec{\chi}(\widehat{G}(\Phi)) = 2$ and D is a proper orientation of $\widehat{G}(\Phi)$ with maximum indegree 2. For each variables x_i , the subgraph on x_i^1, x_i^2 and x_i^3 is a triangle, so $\{d_D^-(x_i^1), d_D^-(x_i^2), d_D^-(x_i^3)\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Therefore the edges $x_i x_i^1$ and $(\neg x_i) x_i^1$ were oriented from x_i^1 to x_i and $\neg x_i$. Consequently $\{d_D^-(x_i), d_D^-(\neg x_i)\} = \{1, 2\}$. Let x_i and c_j be arbitrary variable and clause such that x_i or $\neg x_i$ is used in c_j . Since for every variable x_i , $\{d_D^-(x_i), d_D^-(\neg x_i)\} = \{1, 2\}$, therefore for every edge e between $H(x_i)$ and $T(c_j)$, e was oriented from $H(x_i)$ to $T(c_j)$. So we have the following.

Fact 1. For every edge $e = v_i s_j^t$, ($v_i \in \{x_i, \neg x_i\}, s_j^t \in \{s_j^1, s_j^2, s_j^3\}$), we have $d_D^-(s_j^t) = 3 - d_D^-(v_i)$. \diamond

Therefore, for every t , $1 \leq t \leq 3$, two cases can be considered: $d_D^-(s_j^t) = 1$ or $d_D^-(s_j^t) = 2$.

- If $d_D^-(s_j^t) = 1$, then $s_j^t s_j^{t+3}$ was oriented from s_j^t to s_j^{t+3} and so $d_D^-(s_j^{t+3}) = 2$.
- If $d_D^-(s_j^t) = 2$, then $s_j^t s_j^{t+3}$ was oriented from s_j^{t+3} to s_j^t and so $d_D^-(s_j^{t+3}) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let $\Gamma : X \rightarrow \{True, False\}$ be a function such that if $d_D^-(x_i) = 1$, then $\Gamma(x_i) = True$ and if $d_D^-(x_i) = 2$, then $\Gamma(x_i) = False$. We show that Γ is a satisfying assignment for Φ . By Fact 1, it is enough to show that for every clause c_j , there exists a $s_j^t \in \{s_j^1, s_j^2, s_j^3\}$ such that $d_D^-(s_j^t) = 2$. To the contrary suppose that $d_D^-(s_j^1) = d_D^-(s_j^2) = d_D^-(s_j^3) = 1$, so, we have $d_D^-(s_j^4) = d_D^-(s_j^5) = d_D^-(s_j^6) = 2$. Since D is a proper orientation, $\{d_D^-(s_j^7), d_D^-(s_j^8)\} = \{0, 1\}$, so the edges $s_j^7 s_j^4$ and $s_j^8 s_j^5$ were oriented from s_j^7 to s_j^4 and s_j^8 to s_j^5 , respectively. Similarly, $\{d_D^-(s_j^{11}), d_D^-(s_j^{12})\} = \{0, 1\}$ and the edge $s_j^{12} s_j^4$ was oriented from s_j^{12} to s_j^4 . It means

$d_{\overline{D}}(s_j^4) = 3$, but this is a contradiction. So Γ is a satisfying assignment for Φ .

Next, suppose that Φ is satisfiable with the satisfying assignment Γ . We present a proper orientation D with maximum indegree 2. Let x_i and c_j be arbitrary variable and clause such that x_i or $\neg x_i$ is used in c_j . For every edge $e = v_i s_j^t$, ($v_i \in \{x_i, \neg x_i\}, s_j^t \in \{s_j^1, s_j^2, s_j^3\}$), orient e from v_i to s_j^t . For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, for each edge e that is adjacent to x_i^1 , orient e from x_i^1 to the other end of e and orient $x_i^2 x_i^3$ from x_i^2 to x_i^3 . Also if $\Gamma(x_i) = True$, orient $x_i \neg x_i$ from x_i to $\neg x_i$, otherwise orient $x_i \neg x_i$ from $\neg x_i$ to x_i .

In order to orient the other edges, since Φ is satisfied by Γ and by attention to the orientations of the edges $x_i \neg x_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), for every clause c_j , at least one of its literals has indegree 1, therefore at least one of s_j^1, s_j^2, s_j^3 has indegree 2. With no loss of generality, three cases can be considered, the suitable orientations for these three cases are presented in Figure 2. This completes the proof. □




Figure 2: The suitable orientations for three possible cases

Finding the optimal upper bound for $\overrightarrow{\chi}(G)$ seems to be an interesting intriguing problem. We state the following question for planar graphs.

Problem 1. Find the minimum number k such that for every planar graph G , $\overrightarrow{\chi}(G) \leq k$.

4 Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Professor Douglas B. West and Professor Ebad S. Mahmoodian for their valuable answers to our questions about the definition of proper orientation number.

References

- [1] L. Addario-Berry, R. E. L. Aldred, K. Dalal, and B. A. Reed. Vertex colouring edge partitions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 94(2):237–244, 2005.
- [2] L. Addario-Berry, K. Dalal, and B. A. Reed. Degree constrained subgraphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 156(7):1168–1174, 2008.
- [3] Yuichi Asahiro, Eiji Miyano, and Hirotaka Ono. Graph classes and the complexity of the graph orientation minimizing the maximum weighted outdegree. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 159(7):498–508, 2011.
- [4] Yuichi Asahiro, Eiji Miyano, Hirotaka Ono, and Kouhei Zenmyo. Graph orientation algorithms to minimize the maximum outdegree. *Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, 18(2):197–215, 2007.
- [5] T. Bartnicki, J. Grytczuk, and S. Niwczyk. Weight choosability of graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 60(3):242–256, 2009.
- [6] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 244, Springer, 2008 (2nd ed.).
- [7] Mieczysław Borowiecki, Jarosław Grytczuk, and Monika Pilśniak. Coloring chip configurations on graphs and digraphs. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 112(1-2):1–4, 2012.
- [8] R. L. Brooks. On colouring the nodes of a network. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 37:194–197, 1941.
- [9] V. Chvátal and C. Thomassen. Distances in orientations of graphs. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 24(1):61–75, 1978.
- [10] Ding-Zhu Du, Ker-K Ko, and J. Wang. *Introduction to Computational Complexity*. Higher Education Press, 2002.
- [11] Andrzej Dudek and David Wajc. On the complexity of vertex-coloring edge-weightings. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 13(3):45–50, 2011.
- [12] Nicole Eggemann and Steven D. Noble. The complexity of two graph orientation problems. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 160(4-5):513–517, 2012.
- [13] T. Gallai. On directed paths and circuits. In *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966)*, pages 115–118. Academic Press, New York, 1968.

- [14] Ian Holyer. The NP-completeness of edge-coloring. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 10(4):718–720, 1981.
- [15] Maciej Kalkowski, Michał Karoński, and Florian Pfender. Vertex-coloring edge-weightings: towards the 1-2-3-conjecture. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 100(3):347–349, 2010.
- [16] Michał Karoński, Tomasz Łuczak, and Andrew Thomason. Edge weights and vertex colours. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 91(1):151–157, 2004.
- [17] Sanjeev Khanna, Joseph Naor, and F. Bruce Shepherd. Directed network design with orientation constraints. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 19(1):245–257 (electronic), 2005.
- [18] M. Khatirinejad, R. Naserasr, M. Newman, B. Seamone, and B Stevens. Digraphs are 2-weight choosable. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 18(1):Paper 21,4, 2011.
- [19] Lukasz Kowalik. Approximation scheme for lowest outdegree orientation and graph density measures. In *Algorithms and computation*, volume 4288 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 557–566. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [20] Daniel Leven and Zvi Galil. NP-completeness of finding the chromatic index of regular graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 4(1):35–44, 1983.
- [21] V. Venkateswaran. Minimizing maximum indegree. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 143(1-3):374–378, 2004.
- [22] V. G. Vizing. On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p -graph. *Diskret. Analiz No.*, 3:25–30, 1964.
- [23] Douglas B. West. *Introduction to graph theory*. Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.