

Beating the Generator-Enumeration Bound for p -Group Isomorphism*

David J. Rosenbaum

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Washington

Email: djr@cs.washington.edu

Fabian Wagner

Universität Ulm

Institut für Theoretische Informatik

Email: fabian.wagner@uni-ulm.de

December 6, 2013

Abstract

We consider the *group isomorphism problem*: given two finite groups G and H specified by their multiplication tables, decide if $G \cong H$. For several decades, the $n^{\log_p n + O(1)}$ generator-enumeration bound (where p is the smallest prime dividing the order of the group) has been the best worst-case result for general groups. In this work, we show the first improvement over the generator-enumeration bound for p -groups, which are believed to be the hard case of the group isomorphism problem. We start by giving a Turing reduction from group isomorphism to $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ instances of p -group composition-series isomorphism. By showing a Karp reduction from p -group composition-series isomorphism to testing isomorphism of graphs of degree at most $p + O(1)$ and applying algorithms for testing isomorphism of graphs of bounded degree, we obtain an $n^{O(p)}$ time algorithm for p -group composition-series isomorphism. Combining these two results yields an algorithm for p -group isomorphism that takes at most $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p)}$ time. This algorithm is faster than generator-enumeration when p is small and slower when p is large. Choosing the faster algorithm based on p and n yields an upper bound of $n^{(1/2 + o(1))\log n}$ for p -group isomorphism.

*Preliminary versions of this work appeared as portions of [40] and [36].

1 Introduction

In the *group isomorphism problem*, we are given two finite groups G and H with element set $[n]$ as multiplication tables and must decide if $G \cong H$. It is not known if group isomorphism is in P while its NP-completeness would contradict the exponential-time hypothesis [21]; The strongest complexity theoretic upper bound is that solvable-group isomorphism is in $\text{NP} \cap \text{coNP}$ assuming that $\text{EXP} \not\subseteq \text{i.o.-PSPACE}$ [2]. Group isomorphism is Karp reducible to graph isomorphism (GI) [18, 17, 29] but is not known to be GI-complete and may be easier due to the group structure [10]. Therefore if group isomorphism is NP-complete, then the polynomial hierarchy collapses to the second level [3, 9, 15, 14].

The *generator-enumeration algorithm* for group isomorphism has been known for several decades [12] (cf. [26, 28]). Given a generating set S for a group G we can test if G is isomorphic to a group H in $n^{|S|+O(1)}$ time by considering all $n^{|S|}$ maps from S into H and checking if any of these extend to an isomorphism. If p is the smallest prime dividing the order of G , then G has a generating set of size at most $\log_p n$ which can be found in $n^{\log_p n + O(1)}$ by brute force. This yields an $n^{\log_p n + O(1)}$ upper bound for group isomorphism.

Lipton, Snyder and Zalcstein [26] proved the related result that group isomorphism can be decided using $O(\log^2 n)$ space.

Faster algorithms have been obtained for various special cases. Lipton, Snyder and Zalcstein [26]; Savage [38]; Vikas [39]; and Kavitha [22] all showed polynomial-time algorithms for Abelian groups. Le Gall [24] gave a polynomial-time algorithm for groups consisting of a semidirect product of an Abelian group with a cyclic group of coprime order; this was extended to a class of groups with a normal Hall subgroup by Qiao, Sarma and Tang [30]. Babai and Qiao [8] showed that testing isomorphism of groups with Abelian Sylow towers is in polynomial time. Babai, Codenotti, Grochow and Qiao [4] showed an $n^{O(\log \log n)}$ time algorithm for the class of groups with no normal Abelian subgroups; the runtime was later improved to polynomial by Babai, Codenotti and Qiao [11, 5].

Our main result is an algorithm that is faster than the generator-enumeration algorithm for p -groups, which are believed to be the hard case of group isomorphism [4, 11, 8]. Before our work, it was a longstanding open problem to obtain an $n^{(1-\epsilon)\log_p n + O(1)}$ algorithm where $\epsilon > 0$ [25].

Theorem 1.1. *p -group isomorphism is decidable in $n^{\min\{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p), \log_p n\}}$ time. In particular, $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(\log n / \log \log n)}$ and $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ are upper bounds on the complexity.*

The first step in our algorithm reduces group isomorphism to many instances of composition-series isomorphism. (Two composition series are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism that maps each subgroup in the first series to the corresponding subgroup in the second series.)

Theorem 1.2. *Testing isomorphism of two groups G and H is $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ time Turing reducible to testing isomorphism of composition series for G and H where p is the smallest prime dividing the order of the group.*

This bound can be proved by counting the number of composition series using a simple argument. We are grateful to Laci Babai for pointing this out as it simplifies our algorithm.

Our second step is reduce p -group composition-series isomorphism to testing isomorphism of graphs of degree $p + O(1)$. We accomplish this by constructing a tree with a node for each coset for each of the intermediate subgroups in the composition series. By adding certain gadgets that encode the multiplication table of the group, we show that composition series for two p -groups are isomorphic if and only if the graphs resulting from this construction are isomorphic. By applying

an $n^{O(d)}$ time algorithm [27, 7, 6] for testing isomorphism of graphs of degree at most d , we obtain an $n^{O(p)}$ time algorithm for p -group composition-series isomorphism. Combining this result with our reduction from group isomorphism to composition-series isomorphism yields an $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p)}$ time algorithm for testing isomorphism of p -groups. Combining this algorithm with the generator-enumeration algorithm completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The canonical form of a class of objects is a function that maps each object to a unique representative of its isomorphism class. Since canonical forms of graphs of degree at most d can be computed in $n^{O(d)}$ time [27, 7]¹, Theorem 1.1 can be modified to perform *p-group canonization* in the same complexity bound. If $p \leq \alpha$ is small, we compute the canonical form of the graph that arises from each choice of composition series and choose the one that comes first lexicographically. A canonical multiplication table for the p -group is then recovered from this canonical form. When $p > \alpha$, we use a variant of the generator-enumeration algorithm that performs group canonization.

The framework of reducing group isomorphism to composition-series isomorphism and then to low-degree graph isomorphism was proposed by the second author [40]; the results in this paper appeared in preliminary form in [36]. Recent papers by the first author extend all of our results to solvable groups [34] using Hall's theory of Sylow bases [16] and introduce collision detection arguments that yield an $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ algorithm for testing isomorphism of general groups [35]. Combined with the canonization machinery just mentioned, these collision detection arguments to reduce the $1/2$ in exponent of Theorem 1.1 to $1/4$ [35]. While the generator-enumeration algorithm and Theorem 1.1 both require only polynomial space, both of these speedups come at the cost of requiring space comparable to the runtime.

We start with group theory background in Section 2. In Section 3, we reduce group isomorphism to composition-series isomorphism. In Section 4, we present the reduction from p -group isomorphism to low-degree graph isomorphism. In Section 5, we derive our algorithms for p -group isomorphism.

2 Group-theory background

In this section, we review some basic group theory that will be used in the paper; we omit the proofs which may be found in group theory and algebra texts [20, 37, 31, 23, 19, 33, 1, 41, 32]. Readers familiar with group theory may skip this section.

Let G and H be groups. Throughout this paper, we assume that all groups are finite and let $n = |G|$. We let $\text{Iso}(G, H)$ denote the set of all isomorphisms from G to H . For a prime p , a *p-group* is a group of order a power of p . The *conjugation* of x by g is written as $x^g = gxg^{-1}$; the bijections $\iota_g : G \rightarrow G : x \mapsto x^g$ are the *inner automorphisms* of G . A *normal* subgroup N of G (denoted $N \trianglelefteq G$) is a subgroup that is closed under conjugation by elements of G . The *left coset* of an element $g \in G$ for a subgroup $H \leq G$ is the set $gH = \{gh \mid h \in H\}$. Since we do not use right cosets in this paper, we will simply refer to left cosets as *cosets*. If $H \leq G$, then G/H denotes the group of cosets of H in G .

A *subnormal series* S of a group G is a tower of subgroups $G_0 = 1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_m = G$. The groups G_{i+1}/G_i are called the *factors* of S . A *composition series* of a group G is a maximal subnormal series for G . For a p -group, every composition factor is isomorphic to the cyclic group of order p .

¹Luks showed that there is a faster $n^{O(d/\log d)}$ algorithm for testing isomorphism of graphs of degree at most d [6] but it does not improve any of our results.

3 Reducing group isomorphism to composition-series isomorphism

In this section, we prove an upper bound on the number of composition series for a group and provide a simple method for enumerating all such composition series. In earlier versions of this work, a more complex construction was used to enumerate all composition series within a particular class and an upper bound was proved on the size of this class of composition series. However, Laci Babai pointed out that the upper bound actually holds for the class of all composition series. This allows a much simpler argument to be employed.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a group. Then the number of composition series for G is at most $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ where p is the smallest prime dividing the order of the group. Moreover, one can enumerate all composition series for G in $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ time.*

Proof. We show that one can enumerate a class of chains that contains all maximal chains of subgroups in $n^{\log_p n + O(1)}$ time. Since every maximal chain of subgroups contains at most one composition series as a subchain, this suffices to prove the result.

We start by choosing the first nontrivial subgroup in the series. Each of these is generated by a single element so there are at most n choices. If we have a chain $G_0 = 1 < \dots < G_k$ of subgroups of G , then the next subgroup in the chain can be chosen in at most $|G/G_k|$ ways since different representatives of the same coset generate the same subgroup. Since each $|G_{i+1}| \geq p|G_i|$, we see that the number of choices $|G/G_k|$ for G_{k+1} is at most n/p^k . Therefore, the total number of choices required to construct a chain of subgroups in this manner is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=0}^{\lfloor \log_p n \rfloor - 1} (n/p^k) &\leq p^{\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \log_p n \rfloor} k} \\ &= p^{(1/2)\log_p^2 n + O(\log_p n)} \\ &\leq n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)} \end{aligned}$$

Since the set of subgroup chains enumerated by this process includes all maximal chains of subgroups, the result follows. \square

We say that two composition series $G_0 = 1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_m = G$ and $H_0 = 1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_{m'} = H$ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ such that each $\phi[G_i] = H_i$. It is now very easy to obtain the Turing reduction from group isomorphism to composition series isomorphism.

Theorem 1.2. *Testing isomorphism of two groups G and H is $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ time Turing reducible to testing isomorphism of composition series for G and H where p is the smallest prime dividing the order of the group.*

Proof. Let G and H be groups. Fix a composition series S for G . If $G \cong H$, then some composition series S' for H will be isomorphic to S . Thus, testing isomorphism of G and H reduces to testing if S is isomorphic to some composition series for S' . The result is then immediate from Lemma 3.1. \square

The reduction also applies to reducing group canonization to composition series canonization.

Theorem 3.2. *Computing the canonical form of a group is $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ time Turing reducible to computing canonical forms of composition series for the group where p is the smallest prime dividing the order of the group.*

Proof. Let G be a group. We use Lemma 3.1 to enumerate all of the at most $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(1)}$ composition series S for G and compute the canonical form of each one. From each such canonical form, we extract the multiplication table and define $\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Grp}}(G)$ to be the lexicographically least matrix among all such multiplication tables. Since two groups G and H have isomorphic composition series S and S' if and only if $G \cong H$, it follows that $\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Grp}}$ is a canonical form. \square

4 Composition-series isomorphism and canonization

In this section, we reduce composition-series isomorphism to low-degree graph isomorphism. We also extend the reduction to perform composition-series canonization instead of isomorphism testing.

First, we review some basic concepts for graphs. A *colored graph* is a graph that associates each vertex with a given color. Two colored graphs are isomorphic if there is a bijection between their vertex sets that respects the edges and maps each node to a node of the same color. We shall make use of the following result.

Theorem 4.1 (Babai and Luks [7, 6]). *Canonization of colored graphs of degree at most d is in $n^{O(d)}$ time.*

4.1 Isomorphism testing

To test if two composition series are isomorphic, we construct a tree by starting with the whole group G and decomposing it into its cosets G/G_{m-1} ; we then further decompose each coset in G/G_{m-1} into the cosets G/G_{m-2} that it contains. This process is repeated until we reach the trivial group $G_0 = 1$. We make this precise with the following definition.

Definition 4.2. *Let G be a group and consider the composition series S given by the subgroups $G_0 = 1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_m = G$. Then $T(S)$ is defined to be the root tree whose nodes are $\bigcup_i G/G_i$. The root node is G . The leaf nodes are $\{x\} \in G/1$ which we identify with $x \in G$. For each node $xG_{i+1} \in G/G_{i+1}$, there is an edge to each yG_i such that $yG_i \subseteq xG_{i+1}$.*

We now use this tree to define a graph that encodes the multiplication table of G . The idea is to attach a multiplication gadget to the nodes $x, y, z \in G$ for each entry $xy = z$ in the multiplication table. If we did this naively, each node $x \in G$ would have degree $\Omega(n)$. We address this problem by defining a variant of the rooted product [13] which we call a leaf product. Let T_1 and T_2 be rooted trees. The *leaf product* of T_1 and T_2 (denoted $T_1 \odot T_2$) is the tree obtained by creating a copy of T_2 for each leaf node of T_1 and identifying the root of each copy with one of the leaf nodes. We denote by $L(T)$ the set of leaves of the tree T .

Definition 4.3. *Let T_1 and T_2 be trees rooted at r_1 and r_2 . Then the leaf product $T_1 \odot T_2$ is the tree rooted at r_1 with vertex set*

$$V(T_1) \cup \{(x, y) \mid x \in L(T_1) \text{ and } y \in V(T_2) \setminus \{r_2\}\}$$

The set of edges is

$$\begin{aligned} &E(T_1) \cup \{(x, (x, y)) \mid x \in L(T_1) \text{ and } (r_2, y) \in E(T_2)\} \\ &\cup \{((x, y), (x, z)) \mid x \in L(T_1) \text{ and } (y, z) \in E(T_2) \text{ where } y, z \neq r_2\} \end{aligned}$$

Leaf products are non-commutative but are associative if we identify the tuples $(x, (y, z))$, $((x, y), z)$ with (x, y, z) in the vertex set. (This is same sense in which cross products are associative.) We shall make this identification from now on as it simplifies our notation.

Since we will need to consider isomorphisms of leaf products of trees, it is also useful to define leaf products of tree isomorphisms.

Definition 4.4. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let T_i and T'_i be trees rooted at r_i and r'_i and let $\phi_i : T_i \rightarrow T'_i$ be an isomorphism. Then the leaf product $\bigodot_{i=1}^k \phi_i : \bigodot_{i=1}^k T_i \rightarrow \bigodot_{i=1}^k T'_i$ sends each (x_1, \dots, x_j) to $(\phi_1(x_1), \dots, \phi_j(x_j))$ where each $x_i \in L(T_i)$ for $i < j$, $x_j \in V(T_j) \setminus \{r_j\}$ and $j \leq k$.

For a bijection ϕ between the leaves of two trees, we shall use the notation $\hat{\phi}$ to denote the unique isomorphism between the trees to which ϕ extends (when such an isomorphism exists). The following extension of leaf products is convenient. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let ϕ_i be a bijection from the leaves of T_i to the leaves of T'_i that extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\hat{\phi}_i : T_i \rightarrow T'_i$. Then we define $\bigodot_{i=1}^k \phi_i = \bigodot_{i=1}^k \hat{\phi}_i$.

It is easy to see that $\bigodot_{i=1}^k \phi_i$ is an isomorphism from $\bigodot_{i=1}^k T_i$ to $\bigodot_{i=1}^k T'_i$.

Proposition 4.5. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let T_i and T'_i be rooted trees and let ϕ_i be a bijection between the leaves of T_i and T'_i such that ϕ_i extends uniquely to an isomorphism from T_i to T'_i . Then $\bigodot_{i=1}^k \phi_i : \bigodot_{i=1}^k T_i \rightarrow \bigodot_{i=1}^k T'_i$ is a well-defined isomorphism.

As we mentioned earlier, simply attaching multiplication gadgets to the leaves of the tree $T(S)$ would result in a tree of large degree. We resolve this problem by considering the tree $T(S) \odot T(S)$ instead. We show how to construct multiplication gadgets so that each of the n^2 leaf nodes is involved in only a constant number of edges. This causes the resulting graph to have degree $p + O(1)$ when G is a p -group. The details of this construction are described in the following definition.

Definition 4.6. Let G be a group and let S be the composition series $G_0 = 1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_m = G$. Let M be the tree with a root connected to three nodes \leftarrow, \rightarrow and $=$ with colors “left”, “right” and “equals” respectively. To construct $X(S)$, we start with the tree $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$ and connect multiplication gadgets to the leaf nodes. For each $x, y \in G$, we create the path $((x, y, \leftarrow), (y, x, \rightarrow), (xy, y, =))$. The nodes other than the leaf nodes in $X(S)$ are colored “internal.”

The graph $X(S)$ is a *cone graph*; that is, a rooted tree with edges between nodes at the same level. We call the edges that form the tree in a cone graph *tree edges* and the edges between nodes at the same level *cross edges*.

Our next goal is to show that two composition series S and S' are isomorphic if and only if $X(S)$ and $X(S')$ are isomorphic. Let **Comp** be the class of composition series for finite groups and let **CompTree** be the class of graphs that are isomorphic to a graph $X(S)$ for some composition series S . For each pair of composition series S and S' and each isomorphism $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$, we overload the symbol X from Definition 4.6 by defining $X(\phi) : X(S) \rightarrow X(S')$ to be $\phi \odot \phi \odot \text{id}_M$.

We seek to show that for two composition series S and S' , the map $X_{S,S'} : \text{Iso}(S, S') \rightarrow \text{Iso}(X(S), X(S'))$ given by $\phi \mapsto X(\phi)$ is surjective and can be evaluated in polynomial time. We note that in particular, this result shows that X can be used to reduce composition series isomorphism to testing isomorphism of the resulting graphs. We start by showing that any isomorphism between S and S' maps to an isomorphism between $X(S)$ and $X(S')$.

Lemma 4.7. For each pair of composition series S and S' , $X_{S,S'} : \text{Iso}(S, S') \rightarrow \text{Iso}(X(S), X(S'))$ is well-defined.

Proof. Let $G_0 = 1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_m = G$ and $H_0 = 1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_m = H$ be the subgroup chains for the composition series S and S' and let $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ be an isomorphism. We can view ϕ as a bijection from the leaves of $T(S)$ to the leaves of $T(S')$. Since each $\phi[G_i] = H_i$, we see that ϕ extends to a unique isomorphism $\hat{\phi} : T(S) \rightarrow T(S')$. By Proposition 4.5, $X(\phi) : T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M \rightarrow T(S') \odot T(S') \odot M$ is a tree isomorphism. Then by Definition 4.6, we just need to show that $X(\phi)$ respects the cross edges representing the multiplication gadgets.

Let $x, y \in G$. Then $X(S)$ contains the path $((x, y, \leftarrow), (y, x, \rightarrow), (xy, y, =))$. In H , $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(xy)$ so $X(S')$ contains the path $((\phi(x), \phi(y), \leftarrow), (\phi(y), \phi(x), \rightarrow), (\phi(xy), \phi(y), =))$. By definition, we see that $X(\phi)$ maps the path $((x, y, \leftarrow), (y, x, \rightarrow), (xy, y, =))$ in $X(S)$ to the path $((\phi(x), \phi(y), \leftarrow), (\phi(y), \phi(x), \rightarrow), (\phi(xy), \phi(y), =))$ in $X(S')$. Since $X(S)$ and $X(S')$ have equal numbers of cross edges, it follows that $X(\phi) : X(S) \rightarrow X(S')$ is an isomorphism. \square

Next, we show that each $X_{S,S'}$ is surjective. This is more difficult and is accomplished by the next two results. We first show that every isomorphism from $X(S)$ to $X(S')$ can be expressed as a leaf product.

Lemma 4.8. *Let S and S' be composition series for the groups G and H and let $\theta : X(S) \rightarrow X(S')$ be an isomorphism. Define $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ to be $\theta|_G$. Then*

- (a) $\theta = \phi \odot \phi \odot \text{id}_M$ and
- (b) $\phi : S \rightarrow S'$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. First, we prove part (a). It is clear that ϕ is a bijection between G and H that extends uniquely to an isomorphism from $T(S)$ to $T(S')$. Let $x, y \in G$. We will say a path from x to y is *left-right* if it starts at x , moves to a node colored “left” along tree edges (away from the root), follows a cross edge to a node colored “right” and then moves to y along tree edges (towards the root). Since the only cross edge in $X(S)$ colored (“left”, “right”) between the subtrees of $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$ rooted at x and y is $((x, y, \leftarrow), (y, x, \rightarrow))$, there is exactly one left-right path from x to y . We denote this path by $P(x, y)$.

Since θ maps the root of $X(S)$ to the root of $X(S')$, θ maps left-right paths to left-right paths. Therefore, θ sends $P(x, y)$ to $P(\phi(x), \phi(y))$ so the node (x, y, \leftarrow) in $X(S)$ is mapped to the node $(\phi(x), \phi(y), \leftarrow)$ in $X(S')$.

For part (b), we let $x, y, z \in G$ such that $xy = z$. This multiplication rule is represented in $X(S)$ by the path $((x, y, \leftarrow), (y, x, \rightarrow), (z, y, =))$. By part (a), we know that θ maps this path to $((\phi(x), \phi(y), \leftarrow), (\phi(y), \phi(x), \rightarrow), (\phi(z), \phi(y), =))$. This implies that $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(z)$ in H so that ϕ is an isomorphism from G to H .

Let $G_0 = 1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_m = G$ and $H_0 = 1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_m = H$ be the chains of subgroups in the composition series S and S' . It remains to show that each $\phi[G_i] = H_i$. Since ϕ is an isomorphism from G to H , it follows that $\phi(1) = 1$. This implies that θ maps each node G_i in $X(S)$ to the node H_i in $X(S')$. Then because the elements of G_i correspond precisely to those nodes $x \in G$ such that x is a descendant of the node G_i in $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$, it follows that $\phi[G_i] = H_i$. Thus, ϕ is an isomorphism from S to S' . \square

Theorem 4.9. *For each pair of composition series S and S' , $X_{S,S'}$ is a bijection. Moreover, both $X(S)$ and $X(\phi)$ where $\phi \in \text{Iso}(S, S')$ can be computed in polynomial time.*

Proof. Combining Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8 shows that each $X_{S,S'}$ is surjective. To see that it is injective, we note that if $\phi, \psi \in \text{Iso}(S, S')$ and $X(\phi) = X(\psi)$ then $\phi \odot \phi \odot \text{id}_M = \psi \odot \psi \odot \text{id}_M$ so $\phi = \psi$. Since X is defined in terms of leaf products and leaf products can be evaluated in polynomial time, X can also be evaluated in polynomial time. \square

The correctness of our reduction follows.

Corollary 4.10. *Let S and S' be composition series. Then $S \cong S'$ if and only if $X(S) \cong X(S')$.*

In order to obtain an efficient algorithm for p -group composition-series isomorphism, we must show that the degree of the graph is not too large.

Lemma 4.11. *Let G be a group with a composition series S such that α is an upper bound for the order of any factor. Then the graph $X(S)$ has degree at most $\max\{\alpha + 1, 4\}$ and size $O(n^2)$.*

Proof. The tree $T(S)$ has size $O(n)$ and degree $\alpha + 1$ while the tree M has size 4 and degree 3. Therefore $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$ (and hence $X(S)$) has size $O(n^2)$ and degree $\max\{\alpha + 1, 4\}$. Adding the edges for the multiplication gadgets in $X(S)$ increases the degrees of the leaves of $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$ to at most 3, so $X(S)$ also has degree $\max\{\alpha + 1, 4\}$. \square

We are now in a position to obtain an algorithm for composition-series isomorphism.

Theorem 4.12. *Let S and S' be composition series such that α is an upper bound for the order of any factor. Then we can test if $S \cong S'$ in $n^{O(\alpha)}$ time.*

Proof. We can compute the graphs $X(S)$ and $X(S')$ in polynomial time. By Corollary 4.10, $S \cong S'$ if and only if $X(S) \cong X(S')$. By Lemma 4.11, the number of nodes in $X(S)$ is $O(n^2)$ and the degree is at most $\max\{\alpha + 1, 4\} = O(\alpha)$. Then we can test if $X(S) \cong X(S')$ in $n^{O(\alpha)}$ time using the bounded-degree graph isomorphism algorithm from Theorem 4.1. \square

4.2 Canonization

We also show how to compute canonical forms of composition series. This result is also useful for further improving the efficiency of the algorithm for p -group isomorphism (see [35]). Our high-level strategy for constructing a canonical form for a composition series S is to compute the canonical form of the graph $X(S)$. We then reconstruct a composition series $Y(\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S)))$ isomorphic to S by inspecting the structure of $\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S))$.

Definition 4.13. *For each composition series S for a group G and a graph $A \cong X(S)$, we fix an arbitrary isomorphism $\pi : X(S) \rightarrow A$. We define $Y(A)$ to be the composition series $\pi[1] \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft \pi[G]$ for the group with elements $\pi[G]$, where we define $\pi(x)\pi(y) = \pi(z)$ if there exists a path $(a_{\pi(x)}, a_{\pi(y)}, a_{\pi(z)})$ colored (“left”, “right”, “equals”), such that $a_{\pi(x)}$, $a_{\pi(y)}$ and $a_{\pi(z)}$ are descendants of x , y and z in the image of the tree $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$ under π .*

For each pair of composition series S and S' for groups G and H , graphs $A \cong X(S)$ and $A' \cong X(S')$, let $\pi : X(S) \rightarrow A$ and $\pi' : X(S') \rightarrow A'$ be the fixed isomorphisms chosen above. Then we define $Y(\theta) : \pi[G] \rightarrow \pi'[H]$ to be $\theta|_{\pi[G]}$.

First, we need to show that each $Y(A)$ is well-defined.

Lemma 4.14. *Let S be a composition series, let A be a graph and let $\pi : X(S) \rightarrow A$ be an isomorphism. Then $Y(A)$ is well-defined composition series that can be computed in polynomial time and $Y(\pi)$ is an isomorphism from S to $Y(A)$.*

Proof. Let $G_0 = 1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_m = G$ be the subgroup chain for S . We note that the height of $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$ is $2m + 1$ where m is the composition length of S . Now, G is the group consisting of the elements at a distance of m from the root so $\pi[G]$ is independent of which isomorphism $\pi : X(S) \rightarrow A$ we consider. Moreover, we can compute $\pi[G]$ in polynomial time. For each $x, y, z \in G$, $xy = z$ if and only if there exists a path $((x, y, \leftarrow), (y, x, \rightarrow), (z, y, =))$ in $X(S)$. Equivalently,

$xy = z$ if and only if there exists a path (a_x, a_y, a_z) colored (“left”, “right”, “equals”) where a_x, a_y and a_z are descendants of x, y and z in $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$.

Consider the set of elements $\pi[G]$. For each $\pi(x), \pi(y), \pi(z) \in \pi[G]$, define $\pi(x)\pi(y) = \pi(z)$ if and only if there exists a path $(a_{\pi(x)}, a_{\pi(y)}, a_{\pi(z)})$ colored (“left”, “right”, “equals”) where $a_{\pi(x)}, a_{\pi(y)}$ and $a_{\pi(z)}$ are descendants of $\pi(x), \pi(y)$ and $\pi(z)$ in the image of $T(S) \odot T(S) \odot M$ under π . Then $\pi[G]$ is a group that we can compute in polynomial time and $Y(\pi)$ is a group isomorphism from G to $\pi[G]$.

Now, for each G_i , $\pi[G_i]$ consists of the nodes in $\pi[G]$ that are descendants of the node $\pi(G_i)$. Each node $\pi(G_i)$ is the node on the path from the root of A to $\pi(1)$ at distance $m - i$ from the root. The node $\pi(1)$ is the identity of the group $\pi[G]$ and can therefore be found by inspecting the multiplication rules of $\pi[G]$. Thus, we can compute each set of nodes $\pi[G_i]$ in polynomial time independently of π . This yields a composition series $\pi[1] \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft \pi[G]$ that does not depend on the choice of π . From Definition 4.13, we see that this composition series is in fact $Y(A)$. Moreover, $Y(\pi)$ is an isomorphism from S to $Y(A)$. \square

As for X , we define $Y_{A,A'} : \text{Iso}(A, A') \rightarrow \text{Iso}(Y(A), Y(A'))$ by $\theta \mapsto Y(\theta)$ for each pair of graphs $A, A' \in \mathbf{CompTree}$. In order to compute canonical forms, we shall need to show that each $Y_{A,A'}$ is surjective and can be evaluated in polynomial time.

Theorem 4.15. *For each pair of graphs $A, A' \in \mathbf{CompTree}$, $Y_{A,A'}$ is a bijection. Moreover, both $Y(A)$ and $Y(\theta)$ where $\theta \in \text{Iso}(Y(A), Y(A'))$ can be computed in polynomial time.*

Proof. Let S and S' be composition series with chains of subgroups $G_0 = 1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_m = G$ and $H_0 = 1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft H_m = H$, let $A \cong X(S)$ and $A' \cong X(S')$ be graphs, and let $\pi : X(S) \rightarrow A$, $\pi' : X(S') \rightarrow A'$ and $\theta : A \rightarrow A'$ be isomorphisms.

First, we observe that Y respects composition. Since $\psi = \theta\pi$ is an isomorphism from $X(S)$ to A' , Lemma 4.14 implies that $Y(\psi) = Y(\theta)Y(\pi)$ is an isomorphism from S to $Y(A')$ and that $Y(\pi)$ is an isomorphism from S to $Y(A)$. It follows that $Y(\theta) = Y(\psi)(Y(\pi))^{-1}$ is an isomorphism from $Y(A)$ to $Y(A')$. Thus, $Y_{A,A'}$ is a well-defined function.

To show that $Y_{A,A'}$ is bijective, we first note that $YX = I_{\mathbf{Comp}}$, which implies that $Y_{X(S),X(S')}$ is surjective. Lemma 4.8 implies that $Y_{X(S),X(S')}$ is also injective. Now, for each $\theta : A \rightarrow A'$, we have $\theta = \pi'\rho\pi^{-1}$ for some isomorphism $\rho : X(S) \rightarrow X(S')$. Therefore, $Y(\theta) = Y(\pi')Y(\rho)Y(\pi^{-1})$. Since $Y_{X(S),X(S')}$ is a bijection, we see that $Y_{A,A'}$ is also a bijection. The fact that Y can be evaluated in polynomial time follows from Definition 4.13 and Lemma 4.14. \square

Theorem 4.15 suffices for our purposes. However, we note that X and Y form a category equivalence when viewed as functors. All of the results for X and Y in this section are special cases of this more general result.

To devise an algorithm for composition series canonization, we utilize X and Y together with the canonical form for graphs of bounded degree Theorem 4.1 (which we denote by $\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}$).

Theorem 4.16. *The map $Y \circ \text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}} \circ X$ is a canonical form for composition series. If S is a composition series such that α is an upper bound for the order of any factor, then we can compute $\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Comp}}(S) = (Y \circ \text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}} \circ X)(S)$ in $n^{O(\alpha)}$ time.*

Proof. Let S and S' be composition series. First, $X(S) \cong \text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S))$ by Theorem 4.9 which implies that $S \cong Y(\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S)))$ by Theorem 4.15.

If $S \cong S'$ then $X(S) \cong X(S')$ by Corollary 4.10 and $\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S)) = \text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S'))$ so $Y(\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S))) = Y(\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S')))$. On the other hand, if $S \not\cong S'$ then $X(S) \not\cong X(S')$ by Theorem 4.9 and $\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S)) \not\cong \text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S'))$ so $Y(\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S))) \not\cong Y(\text{Can}_{\mathbf{Graph}}(X(S')))$.

by Theorem 4.15. In particular, $Y(\text{Can}_{\text{Graph}}(X(S))) \neq Y(\text{Can}_{\text{Graph}}(X(S')))$. Thus, $Y \circ \text{Can}_{\text{Graph}} \circ X$ is a canonical form for composition series.

By Theorems 4.9 and 4.15, X and Y can be evaluated in polynomial time since the graph $\text{Can}_{\text{Graph}}(X(S))$ has size $O(n^2)$ and degree $\alpha + O(1)$ by Lemma 4.11. Then by Theorem 4.1, computing the canonical form of $X(S)$ takes $n^{O(\alpha)}$ time. \square

5 Algorithms for p -group isomorphism and canonization

The intermediate results of Sections 3 and 4 put us in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. *p -group isomorphism is decidable in $n^{\min\{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p), \log_p n\}}$ time. In particular, $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(\log n / \log \log n)}$ and $n^{(1/2)\log n + O(1)}$ are upper bounds on the complexity.*

Proof. Combining Theorems 1.2 and 4.12 yields an $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p)}$ time algorithm for testing isomorphism of p -groups. On the other hand, every p -group has a generating set of size at most $\log_p n$ so the generator-enumeration algorithm runs in $n^{\log_p n + O(1)}$ time for p -groups. Combining these two algorithms shows that p -group isomorphism is decidable in $n^{\min\{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p), \log_p n\}}$ time.

Let $\alpha = \log n / \log \log n$. By upper bounding $\min\{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p), \log_p n\}$ with $(1/2)\log_p n + O(p)$ when $p \leq \alpha$ and with $\log_p n$ when $p > \alpha$, we see that $\min\{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p), \log_p n\}$ is upper bounded by $(1/2)\log_p n + O(\log n / \log \log n)$. The upper bound $(1/2)\log n + O(1)$ can be obtained by showing that the maximum of $(1/2)\log_p n + O(p)$ for $p \leq \alpha$ is attained at $p = 2$. \square

We remark that in the above algorithm relies on the $n^{O(d)}$ algorithm [7] for computing canonical forms of graphs of degree d rather than the faster $n^{O(d/\log d)}$ algorithm [7, 6] for testing isomorphism of such graphs. This does not change the result as $\text{polylog}(d)$ factors in the exponent of the graph isomorphism testing procedure require us to chose a different cutoff α in the proof of Theorem 1.1 but do not affect the final result.

We now adapt our algorithm to perform p -group canonization. The main tool we are missing for this result is the ability to compute the canonical form of a p -group in $n^{\log_p n + O(1)}$ time. Given a total order on an alphabet Σ , define the *standard order* on Σ^* by $x \prec y$ if $|x| < |y|$ or $|x| = |y|$ and x comes before y lexicographically. We adapt the generator-enumeration algorithm to perform canonization using a lemma that orders the elements of a group using a generating set. We start by defining the ordering.

Definition 5.1. *Let G be a group with an ordered generating set $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$. Define a total order $\prec_{\mathbf{g}}$ on G by $x \prec_{\mathbf{g}} y$ if $w_{\mathbf{g}}(x) \prec w_{\mathbf{g}}(y)$ where each $w_{\mathbf{g}}(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_j)$ is the first word in $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}^*$ under the standard ordering such that $x = x_1 \cdots x_j$.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let G and H be groups with ordered generating sets $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$ and $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_k)$, and let $x, y \in G$. Then*

- (a) $\prec_{\mathbf{g}}$ is a total ordering on G .
- (b) if $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism such that each $\phi(g_i) = h_i$, then $x \prec_{\mathbf{g}} y$ if and only if $\phi(x) \prec_{\mathbf{h}} \phi(y)$.
- (c) we can decide if $x \prec_{\mathbf{g}} y$ in $O(n|\mathbf{g}|)$ time.

Proof. Let $S = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$. For part (a), it is clear that $\prec_{\mathbf{g}}$ is a total order since $w_{\mathbf{g}} : G \rightarrow S^*$ is clearly injective and the standard ordering on S^* is a total order.

For part (b), consider an isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ such that each $\phi(g_i) = h_i$. Then if $w_{\mathbf{g}}(x) =$

(x_1, \dots, x_j) , $w_{\mathbf{h}}(\phi(x)) = (\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_j))$. Thus, $x \prec_{\mathbf{g}} y$ if and only if $w_{\mathbf{g}}(x) \prec w_{\mathbf{g}}(y)$ if and only if $w_{\mathbf{h}}(\phi(x)) \prec w_{\mathbf{h}}(\phi(y))$ if and only if $x \prec_{\mathbf{h}} y$.

For part (c), it suffices to show how to compute $w_{\mathbf{g}}(x)$ in polynomial time. Consider the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ for the group G with generating set S . Then the word $w_{\mathbf{g}}(x)$ corresponds to the edges in the minimum length path from 1 to x in $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ that comes first lexicographically. We can find this path in $O(n|\mathbf{g}|)$ time by visiting the nodes in breadth-first order starting with 1. At the j^{th} stage, we know $w_{\mathbf{g}}(y)$ for all $y \in G$ at a distance of at most j from the root. We then compute $w_{\mathbf{g}}(x)$ for each x at a distance of $j+1$ from the root by selecting the minimal word $w_{\mathbf{g}}(x) : g_{x,y}$ over all edges (x, y) associated with an element $g_{x,y}$ of S . \square

We utilize this order to permute the rows and columns of the multiplication table of the group.

Definition 5.3. *Let G be a group and let \mathbf{g} be an ordered generating set for G . We relabel each element of G by its position in the ordering $\prec_{\mathbf{g}}$. We then permute the rows and columns of the resulting multiplication table so that the elements for the rows and columns appear in the order $1, \dots, n$ and denote the result by $M_{\mathbf{g}}$.*

Clearly, $M_{\mathbf{g}}$ defines a group isomorphic to G . The following lemma provides a means of adapting the generator-enumeration algorithm to group canonization.

Lemma 5.4. *Let G and H be groups, let \mathcal{G}_{ℓ} and \mathcal{H}_{ℓ} be the collections of all ordered generating sets of G and H of size at most ℓ , and define $M_{\ell}(G) = \{M_{\mathbf{g}} \mid \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}\}$. Then*

- (a) *If $G \not\cong H$, then $M_{\ell}(G) \cap M_{\ell}(H) = \emptyset$.*
- (b) *If $G \cong H$, then $M_{\ell}(G) = M_{\ell}(H)$.*

Proof. For part (a), suppose $G \not\cong H$ but $M \in M_{\ell}(G) \cap M_{\ell}(H)$. Then G would be isomorphic to the group defined by the multiplication table M which is also isomorphic to H .

For part (b), fix an isomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow H$. We claim that $M_{\mathbf{g}} = M_{\phi(\mathbf{g})}$ for each $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$. We know from Lemma 5.2 that for $x, y \in G$, $x \prec_{\mathbf{g}} y$ if and only if $\phi(x) \prec_{\phi(\mathbf{g})} \phi(y)$. Since $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(xy)$, it follows that $M_{\mathbf{g}} = M_{\phi(\mathbf{g})}$. Therefore, $M_{\ell}(G) = M_{\ell}(H)$. \square

Recall that the rank of a group is the size of a minimal generating set.

Corollary 5.5. *Let G be a group. Then we can compute a canonical form for G in $n^{\text{rank}(G)+O(1)}$ time.*

Proof. We first determine the rank of G in $n^{\text{rank}(G)+O(1)}$ time by brute force. Then we compute the set $\mathcal{G}_{\text{rank}(G)}$ and choose $\text{Can}_{\text{Grp}}(G)$ to be the element that comes first lexicographically. The fact that the map defined by this computation is a canonical form is immediate from Lemma 5.4. \square

It is now easy to adapt Theorem 1.1 to perform p -group canonization.

Theorem 5.6. *p -group canonization is in $n^{\min\{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p), \log_p n\}}$ time.*

Proof. Let G be a p -group. Combining Theorems 3.2 and 4.16 yields an $n^{(1/2)\log_p n + O(p)}$ time algorithm for group canonization while Corollary 5.5 gives an $n^{\log_p n + O(1)}$ time algorithm. The result then follows from the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgements

We thank Laci Babai for suggesting the simplified composition series reduction and other comments, Paul Beame and Aram Harrow for useful discussions and feedback, Joshua Grochow for additional references, and Paolo Codenotti, Johannes Köbler, Youming Qiao, Jacobo Torán and

the anonymous reviewers for helpful comments. Part of this work was completed while David Rosenbaum was a long term visitor at the Center for Theoretical Physics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. David Rosenbaum was funded by the DoD AFOSR through an NDSEG fellowship; partial support was provided by the NSF under grant CCF-0916400.

References

- [1] M. Artin. *Algebra*. Pearson Prentice Hall, 2010.
- [2] V. Arvind and J. Toran. Solvable group isomorphism is (almost) in $\text{NP} \cap \text{coNP}$. In *19th Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity*, pages 91–103, 2003.
- [3] L. Babai. Trading group theory for randomness. *Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, pages 421–429, 1985.
- [4] L. Babai, P. Codenotti, J. A. Grochow, and Y. Qiao. Code equivalence and group isomorphism. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Second Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 1395–1408, 2011.
- [5] L. Babai, P. Codenotti, and Y. Qiao. Polynomial-time isomorphism test for groups with no abelian normal subgroups (extended abstract). In *39th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP 2012)*, pages 51–62, 2012.
- [6] L. Babai, W. M. Kantor, and E. M. Luks. Computational complexity and the classification of finite simple groups. In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 162–171, 1983.
- [7] L. Babai and E. M. Luks. Canonical labeling of graphs. In *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 171–183, 1983.
- [8] L. Babai and Y. Qiao. Polynomial-time isomorphism test for groups with abelian Sylow towers. In *29th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2012)*, pages 453–464, 2012.
- [9] R. B. Boppana, J. Håstad, and S. Zachos. Does coNP have short interactive proofs? *Information Processing Letters*, 25:127–132, 1987.
- [10] A. Chattopadhyay, J. Torán, and F. Wagner. Graph isomorphism is not AC^0 reducible to group isomorphism. In *IARCS Annual Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 317–326, 2010.
- [11] P. Codenotti. *Testing Isomorphism of Combinatorial and Algebraic Structures*. PhD thesis, University of Chicago, 2011.
- [12] V. Felsch and J. Neubüser. On a programme for the determination of the automorphism group of a finite group. In *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra*, pages 59 – 60, 1970.
- [13] C. D. Godsil and B. D. McKay. A new graph product and its spectrum. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 18:21–28, 1978.
- [14] O. Goldreich, S. Micali, and A. Wigderson. Proofs that yield nothing but their validity or all languages in NP have zero-knowledge proof systems. *Journal of the ACM*, 38:690–728, 1991.
- [15] S. Goldwasser, S. Micali, and C. Rackoff. The knowledge complexity of interactive proof systems. *SIAM Journal on computing*, 18:186–208, 1989.

- [16] P. Hall. On the Sylow systems of a soluble group. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s2-43:316–323, 1938.
- [17] Z. Hedrlín and J. Lambek. How comprehensive is the category of semigroups? *Journal of Algebra*, 11:195–212, 1969.
- [18] Z. Hedrlín and A. Pultr. On full embeddings of categories of algebras. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 10:392–406, 1966.
- [19] D. F. Holt, B. Eick, and E. A. O’Brien. *Handbook of Computational Group Theory*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2005.
- [20] T. Hungerford. *Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1974.
- [21] R. Impagliazzo and R. Paturi. Complexity of k-sat. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity (CCC), 1999.*, pages 237–240, 1999.
- [22] T. Kavitha. Linear time algorithms for Abelian group isomorphism and related problems. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 73(6):986–996, 2007.
- [23] S. Lang. *Algebra*. Springer, 2002.
- [24] F. Le Gall. Efficient isomorphism testing for a class of group extensions. *arXiv e-prints*, 2008.
- [25] R. Lipton. An annoying open problem. <http://rjlipton.wordpress.com/2011/10/08/an-annoying-open-problem/>, 2011.
- [26] R. Lipton, L. Snyder, and Y. Zalcstein. *The Complexity of Word and Isomorphism Problems for Finite Groups*. Defense Technical Information Center, 1977.
- [27] E. Luks. Isomorphism of graphs of bounded valence can be tested in polynomial time. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 25:42–65, 1982.
- [28] G. L. Miller. On the $n^{\log n}$ isomorphism technique (a preliminary report). In *Proceedings of the Tenth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 51–58, 1978.
- [29] G. L. Miller. Graph isomorphism, general remarks. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 18:128–142, 1979.
- [30] Y. Qiao, J. Sarma, and B. Tang. On Isomorphism Testing of Groups with Normal Hall Subgroups. In *28th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2011)*, pages 567–578, 2011.
- [31] D. Robinson. *A Course in the Theory of Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [32] S. Roman. *Fundamentals of Group Theory: An Advanced Approach*. Springer, 2011.
- [33] H. E. Rose. *A Course on Finite Groups*. Springer, 2009.
- [34] D. Rosenbaum. Beating the generator-enumeration bound for solvable-group isomorphism. *arXiv:xxxx.yyyy*, 2013.

- [35] D. Rosenbaum. Bidirectional collision detection and faster deterministic isomorphism testing. *arXiv:1304.3935*, 2013.
- [36] D. Rosenbaum. Breaking the $n^{\log n}$ barrier for solvable-group isomorphism. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 1054–1073, 2013. arXiv:1205.0642.
- [37] J. Rotman. *An Introduction to the Theory of Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1995.
- [38] C. Savage. *An $O(n^2)$ algorithm for Abelian group isomorphism*. Computer Studies Program, North Carolina State University, 1980.
- [39] N. Vikas. An $O(n)$ algorithm for Abelian p -group isomorphism and an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for Abelian group isomorphism. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 53:1–9, 1996.
- [40] F. Wagner. On the complexity of group isomorphism. *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC)*, 18, 2011.
- [41] R. Wilson. *The Finite Simple Groups*. Springer, 2010.