Characterizations and Kullback-Leibler Divergence of Gompertz Distributions

Christian Bauckhage

B-IT, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany Fraunhofer IAIS, Sankt Augustin, Germany http://mmprec.iais.fraunhofer.de/bauckhage.html

Abstract. In this note, we characterize the Gompertz distribution in terms of extreme value distributions and point out that it implicitly models the interplay of two antagonistic growth processes. In addition, we derive a closed form expressions for the Kullback-Leibler divergence between two Gompertz Distributions. Although the latter is rather easy to obtain, it seems not to have been widely reported before.

1 The Gompertz Distribution

The Gompertz distribution provides a statistical formulation of the Gompertz law of mortality [1]. Its probability density function (pdf) is defined for $x \in [0, \infty)$ and given by

$$f(x \mid b, q) = e^{q} b q e^{bx} e^{-q e^{bx}}$$
(1)

where the parameter b > 0 determines scale and q > 0 is a shape parameter. The corresponding cumulative density function (cdf) amounts to

$$F(x \mid b, q) = 1 - e^q e^{-q e^{bx}}$$
⁽²⁾

and will be of interest in our discussion below.

Regarding the density in (1), we note that it is unimodal and rather flexible. Depending on the choice of b and q, it may be skewed to the left or to the right; however, for $q \ge 1$, its mode will always be at 0.

Due to its origins as a model of mortality, the Gompertz distribution is a staple in statistical biology and the demographic and actuarial sciences [2,3]. It was observed to model income distributions [4] and has been used as a model of the diffusion of novel products as well as of customer life-time values [5,6,7] in economics and marketing . Finally, in the context of social media analysis, the Gompertz distribution was found to account well for the temporal evolution of collective attention to viral Web content or social media services [8,9].

Our goal with this note is to provide an accessible account of some of the properties of the Gompertz distribution. Furthermore, we derive a closed form expression for the Kullback-Leibler divergence between Gompertz distributions which is useful for the purpose of model selection or statistical inference.

2 Interpretation in Terms of Extreme Value Distributions

Interestingly, the Gompertz distribution is rather closely related to extreme value theory. Here, we briefly demonstrate that it can be expressed in terms of the three extreme value distributions.

First of all, the Gompertz distribution corresponds to a zero-truncated Gumbel minimum distribution.

The Gumbel distribution is the type I extreme value distribution. When used to model the distribution of sample minima, its pdf is defined for $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and usually expressed as

$$f_{\mathcal{G}}(x \mid m, s) = \frac{1}{s} e^{(x-m)/s} e^{-e^{(x-m)/s}} = \frac{1}{s} e^{\frac{x}{s}} e^{-\frac{m}{s}} e^{-e^{\frac{x}{s}} e^{-\frac{m}{s}}}$$
(3)

where m is a location parameter and s > 0 determines scale. Hence, defining $b = \frac{1}{s}$ and $q = e^{-m/s}$ allows us to re-parameterize (3) and to write it as

$$f_{\mathcal{G}}(x \mid b, q) = b q e^{bx} e^{-q e^{bx}}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

such that the corresponding cumulative density function amounts to

$$F_{\mathcal{G}}(x \mid b, q) = 1 - e^{-q \, e^{bx}}.$$
(5)

Looking at the cumulative density in (5), we note that $\lim_{x\to\infty} F_{\mathcal{G}}(x) = 1$ as well as $F_{\mathcal{G}}(0) = 1 - e^{-q}$. Accordingly, by left truncating the density in (4) at 0, we obtain a distribution whose pdf is given by

$$\frac{f_{\mathcal{G}}(x)}{\int_0^\infty f_{\mathcal{G}}(x)dx} = \frac{f_{\mathcal{G}}(x)}{1 - F_{\mathcal{G}}(0)} = \frac{f_{\mathcal{G}}(x)}{1 - (1 - e^{-q})} = e^q f_{\mathcal{G}}(x) = e^q b q e^{bx} e^{-q e^{bx}}.$$
 (6)

This, however, is indeed the probability density of the Gompertz distribution as introduced in (1).

Second of all, the Gompertz is indirectly related to the Fréchet and to the Weibull distribution.

The Fréchet distribution is the type II extreme value distribution. It is usually defined for $x \in (0, \infty)$ in which case its pdf is given by

$$f_{\mathcal{F}}(x \mid a, r) = \frac{a}{r} \left(\frac{x}{r}\right)^{-1-a} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)^{-a}}$$
(7)

where a > 0 and r > 0 are shape and scale parameters, respectively.

The Weibull distribution is the type III extreme value distribution. It is commonly defined for $x \in [0, \infty)$ and its pdf amount to

$$f_{\mathcal{W}}(x \mid k, l) = \frac{k}{l} \left(\frac{x}{l}\right)^{k-1} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{l}\right)^{k}}$$
(8)

where k > 0 and l > 0 are shape and scale parameters, respectively.

In order to expose the connections between the densities in (7) and (8) and the Gompertz density in (1), we recall that if a random variable X is distributed according to $f_X(x)$, the monotonously transformed random variable Y = h(X)has a pdf that is given by

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(h^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{d}{dy} h^{-1}(y) \right|.$$
 (9)

Using this identity, it is straightforward to see that the Gompertz distribution also results from transforming Fréchet or Weibull distributions.

In particular, if $f_X(x)$ is a Fréchet density and $y = -\ln x$, then

$$x = e^{-y}$$
 and $\frac{dx}{dy} = -e^{-y}$ (10)

so that

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{a}{r} \left(\frac{e^{-y}}{r}\right)^{-1-a} e^{-\left(\frac{e^{-y}}{r}\right)^{-a}} \left|-e^{-y}\right|$$
(11)

$$= -\frac{a}{r} r^{a+1} e^{-y(-a-1)-y} e^{-r^a e^{ya}}$$
(12)

$$= a r^{a} e^{ya} e^{-r^{a} e^{ya}}$$
(13)

$$= b q e^{by} e^{-q e^{by}}$$

$$\tag{14}$$

where, in (13), we substituted b = a and $q = r^a$. The expression in (14), however, is the Gumbel density known from (4). This is to say that the Gompertz density $f(y) = e^q f_Y(y)$ is a truncated, negative log-transformed Fréchet density.

By the same token, if $f_X(x)$ is a Weibull density and $y = \ln x$, then

$$x = e^y$$
 and $\frac{dx}{dy} = e^y$ (15)

so that

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{k}{l} \left(\frac{e^y}{l}\right)^{k-1} e^{-\left(\frac{e^y}{l}\right)^k} |e^y|$$
(16)

$$=\frac{k}{l^k}e^{yk}e^{-\frac{1}{l^k}e^{yk}}\tag{17}$$

$$= b q e^{by} e^{-q e^{by}}$$

$$\tag{18}$$

where, this time, we have substituted b = k and $q = \frac{1}{l^k}$ in (17). The expression in (18) corresponds once more to the Gumbel pdf in (4). This is to say that the Gompertz density $f(y) = e^q f_Y(y)$ is also a truncated log-transformed Weibull density.

3 Interpretation in Terms of Antagonistic Growth Dynamics

If we consider the cumulative density function of the Gompertz distribution as introduced in (1), we note that

$$e^{q} e^{-q e^{ox}} = 1 - F(x \mid b, q).$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

This expression allows for yet another, physically meaningful interpretation of the Gompertz distribution. In particular, plugging this expression into (1) yields

$$f(x \mid b, q) = b q e^{bx} (1 - F(x \mid b, q))$$

= $b q e^{bx} - b q e^{bx} F(x \mid b, q)$ (20)

and we recognize that the Gompertz pdf implicitly models a subtractive growth dynamic. In other words, the Gompertz distribution can be understood as a growth model that combines a term

$$f_g(x) = b \, q \, e^{bx} \tag{21}$$

with a term

$$f_d(x) = b q e^{bx} F(x \mid b, q).$$

$$\tag{22}$$

If the variable x is understood to represent time, f_g can be interpreted as the propensity of an entity to *grow* while f_d can be seen as the propensity for the entity to *decline*. Both, growth and decline, depend exponentially on x but counteract each other. If, for instance, the entity in question is the amount of attention paid to a novelty, the component $F(x \mid b, q)$ of f_d can be understood as the relative amount of attention the novelty has received so far. As F grows monotonously from 0 to 1, we see that, for small values of x, the propensity f_g for growth will exceed the propensity f_d for decline. For growing x, however, the propensity for decline will approach the propensity for growth. As both dynamics are coupled in a subtractive manner, this means that the overall dynamic f(x) will be characterized by an initial phase of rising attention to the novelty followed by a prolonged phase of demise.

Interestingly, since the factor $F(x \mid b, q)$ in f_d can be understood as the amount of attention received up until x, the speed of decline in attention apparently depends on the overall novelty of whatever attention is paid to. Temporal dynamics like these are known to characterize the evolution of fads [10]. Seen from this point of view, it is thus noteworthy and revealing that the Gompertz distribution has been found to accurately model general trends in time series that indicate collective interest in topics and services on the Web [8,9].

4 Computing the Kullback-Leibler Divergence between Gompertz Distributions

The Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence or relative entropy provides measures the similarity of two probability distributions P and Q [11]. In case both distributions

are continuous, it is defined as

$$D_{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$$
(23)

where p(x) and q(x) denote the corresponding probability density functions. The KL divergence can be understood as the information loss if P is modeled in terms of Q. Accordingly, the smaller $D_{KL}(P \parallel Q)$, the more similar are Pand Q. Although this is akin to the behavior of a distance, we note that the KL divergence should not be confused with a distance since it is neither symmetric nor satisfies the triangle inequality.

4.1 Step by Step Solution

Plugging two Gompertz distributions F_1 and F_2 into (23) and noting once again that their densities are defined for $x \in [0, \infty)$ yields

$$D_{KL}(F_1 \parallel F_2) = \int_0^\infty f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1) \ln \frac{f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1)}{f_2(x \mid b_2, q_2)} dx.$$
 (24)

We begin evaluating this expression by considering the logarithmic factor inside the integral. Given the definition of the Gompertz distribution in (1), we may write it as

$$\ln\left[\underbrace{\frac{e^{q_1}b_1q_1}{e^{q_2}b_2q_2}}_{A} \cdot \frac{e^{b_1x}}{e^{b_2x}} \cdot \frac{e^{-q_1e^{b_1x}}}{e^{-q_2e^{b_2x}}}\right] = \ln A + x\left(b_1 - b_2\right) + q_2 e^{b_2x} - q_1 e^{b_1x}$$
(25)

and observe that the term $\ln A$ is a constant independent of the variable of integration x. Plugging (25) back into (24), we therefore obtain

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{1}(x \mid b_{1}, q_{1}) \ln A + x (b_{1} - b_{2}) + q_{2} e^{b_{2}x} - q_{1} e^{b_{1}x} dx$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{1}(x \mid b_{1}, q_{1}) \ln A dx$$
(26)

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1) x (b_1 - b_2) dx$$
(27)

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1) \, q_2 \, e^{b_2 x} \, dx \tag{28}$$

$$-\int_{0}^{\infty} f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1) \, q_1 \, e^{b_1 x} \, dx.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Next, we evaluate the integrals in (26) to (28) one by one and then assemble the final solution from the intermediate results we thus obtain.

Solving (26) Since $f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1)$ is a probability density over $[0, \infty)$, it is obvious that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1) \ln A \, dx = 1 \cdot \ln A = \ln A.$$
(30)

In other words, the term in (26) evaluates to

$$\ln \frac{e^{q_1} b_1 q_1}{e^{q_2} b_2 q_2}.\tag{31}$$

Solving (27) Plugging the definition for $f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1)$ into (27) yields

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{q_1} b_1 q_1 e^{b_1 x} e^{-q_1 e^{b_1 x}} x (b_1 - b_2) dx$$
$$= e^{q_1} q_1 (b_1 - b_2) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_1 e^{b_1 x} e^{-q_1 e^{b_1 x}} x dx.$$
(32)

In order to solve the integral on the right hand side of (32), we consider the following substitution

$$y = e^{b_1 x}. (33)$$

Accordingly, we have that

$$dy = b_1 e^{b_1 x} dx \tag{34}$$

as well as

$$x = \frac{1}{b_1} \ln y. \tag{35}$$

Using these identities then leads to

$$e^{q_1} q_1 (b_1 - b_2) \int_0^\infty b_1 e^{bx} e^{-q_1 e^{b_1 x}} x \, dx = \frac{e^{q_1} q_1 (b_1 - b_2)}{b_1} \int_1^\infty \ln y \, e^{-q_1 y} \, dy \quad (36)$$

and we note that the substitution causes a change of the limits of integration. Regarding the integral on the right hand side, we consult [12, eq. 4.331] and find

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \ln y \, e^{-q_1 y} \, dy = -\frac{1}{q_1} \operatorname{Ei}(-q_1) \tag{37}$$

where $\text{Ei}(\cdot)$ denotes the exponential integral and we recall that this special function is defined as

$$\operatorname{Ei}(x) = -\int_{-x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{e^{t}}{t} dt.$$
(38)

where x < 0.

Hence, multiplying the result in (37) with the factor on the right hand side of (36) provides our next intermediate result, namely, that the (27) evaluates to

$$e^{q_1}\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1}-1\right)$$
 Ei $(-q_1)$. (39)

Solving (28) Plugging the definition for $f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1)$ into (28) yields

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{q_1} b_1 q_1 e^{b_1 x} e^{-q_1 e^{b_1 x}} q_2 e^{b_2 x} dx = e^{q_1} q_1 q_2 \int_{0}^{\infty} b_1 e^{b_1 x} e^{-q_1 e^{b_1 x}} e^{b_2 x} dx \quad (40)$$

$$=e^{q_1} q_1 q_2 \int_{1}^{\infty} y^{\frac{b_2}{b_1}} e^{-q_1 y} dy$$
(41)

where (41) results from applying the substitution which we introduced in (33). Consulting [12, eq. 4.381], we find that the integral in (41) evaluates to

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} y^{\frac{b_2}{b_1}} e^{-q_1 y} \, dy = q_1^{-\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1}+1\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1}+1, q_1\right) \tag{42}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the upper incomplete gamma function for which we recall that it is defined as

$$\Gamma(s,x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt.$$
(43)

Accordingly, multiplying the result in (42) with the factor on the right hand side of (41) yields the next intermediate result; the term in (28) amounts to

$$e^{q_1} q_2 q_1^{-\frac{b_2}{b_1}} \Gamma\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1} + 1, q_1\right).$$
(44)

Solving (29) Finally, plugging a Gompertz density $f_1(x \mid b_1, q_1)$ into (29) yields

$$-\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{q_1} b_1 q_1 e^{b_1 x} e^{-q_1 e^{b_1 x}} q_1 e^{b_1 x} dx = -e^{q_1} q_1^2 \int_{1}^{\infty} y e^{-q_1 y} dy$$
(45)

where we have once again made use of the substitution in (33). For the integral on the right hand side of (45), we have

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} y \, e^{-q_1 y} \, dy = \left[-\frac{e^{-q_1 x}}{q_1^2} \left(q_1 \, x + 1 \right) \right]_{1}^{\infty} = \frac{e^{-q_1}}{q_1^2} \left(q_1 + 1 \right) \tag{46}$$

so that the term in (29) simplifies to

$$-(q_1+1).$$
 (47)

4.2 Final Result

Assembling the four intermediate results in (31), (39), (44), and (47) establishes that: The KL divergence between two Gompertz densities f_1 and f_2 amounts to

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{1}(x \mid b_{1}, q_{1}) \ln \frac{f_{1}(x \mid b_{1}, q_{1})}{f_{2}(x \mid b_{2}, q_{2})} dx$$
(48)

$$=\ln\frac{e^{q_1}b_1q_1}{e^{q_2}b_2q_2} + e^{q_1}\left[\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1} - 1\right)\operatorname{Ei}(-q_1) + \frac{q_2}{q_1^{\frac{b_2}{b_1}}}\Gamma\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1} + 1, q_1\right)\right] - (q_1 + 1).$$
(49)

References

- 1. Winsor, C.: The Gompertz Curve as a Growth Curve. PNAS 18(1) (1932) 1-8
- Strehler, B., Mildvan, A.: General Theory of Mortality and Aging. Science 132(3418) (1960) 14–21
- 3. Banks, R.: Growth and Diffusion Phenomena. Springer (1994)
- 4. Moura, N., Ribeiro, M.: Evidence for the Gompertz Curve in the Income Distribution of Brazil 19782005. European Physical Journal B **67**(1) (2009) 101–120
- Bemmaor, A.: Modeling the Diffusion of New Durable Goods : Word-of-mouth Effect Versus Consumer Heterogeneity. In Laurent, G., Lilien, G., Pras, B., eds.: Research Traditions in Marketing. Springer (1994) 201–229
- Jaakola, H.: Comparison and Analysis of Diffusion Models. In Kautz, K., Pries-Heje, J., eds.: Diffusion and Adoption opf Information Technology. Chapman & Hall (1996) 65–82
- Geroski, P.: Models of Technology Diffusion. Research Policy 29(4–5) (2000) 603–625
- Bauckhage, C., Kersting, K., Hadiji, F.: Mathematical Models of Fads Explain the Temporal Dynamics of Internet Memes. In: Proc. ICWSM, AAAI (2013)
- Bauckhage, C., Kersting, K., Rastegarpanah, B.: Collective Attention to Social Media Evolves According to Diffusion Models. In: Proc. WWW, ACM (2014)
- Meyerson, R., Katz, E.: Notes on a Natural History of Fads. American J. of Sociolog 62(6) (1957) 594–601
- Kullback, S., Leibler, R.: On Information and Sufficiency. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 22(1) (1951) 79–86
- Gradshteyn, I., Ryzhik, I.: Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products. 7th edn. Academic Press (2007)