The Optimal Compression Rate of Variable-to-Fixed Length Source Coding with a Non-Vanishing Excess-Distortion Probability

1

Lan V. Truong, Member, IEEE Vincent Y. F. Tan, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract

We consider the variable-to-fixed length lossy source coding (VFSC) problem. The optimal compression rate of the average length of variable-to-fixed source coding, allowing a non-vanishing probability of excess-distortion ε , is shown to be equal to $(1-\varepsilon)R(D)$, where R(D) is the rate-distortion function of the source. In comparison to the related results of Koga and Yamamoto as well as Kostina, Polyanskiy, and Verdú for fixed-to-variable length source coding, our results demonstrate an interesting feature that variable-to-fixed length source coding has the same first-order compression rate as fixed-to-variable length source coding.

I. INTRODUCTION

We investigate the fundamental limits of a variable-to-fixed length lossy compression model for memoryless sources. The lossless variable-to-fixed length source coding without side information problem was first introduced by Tunstall [1] (also see the survey article [2]). In his Ph.D. thesis, Tunstall proposed a recursive, entropy coding- and tree-based method for lossless data compression that is also average-sense optimal. This means that this algorithm's compression rate is the entropy of the source. Yamamoto and Yokoo [3] proposed an algorithm to construct an average-sense optimal *almost instantaneous* variable-to-fixed length (AIVF) code for one-shot coding that attains the minimum average parse length for AIVF codes. This class of codes has the advantage over regular Tunstall codes due to the fact that almost instantaneous encoding is possible because the encoding delay is at most one symbol. Other authors [4], [5] extended the utility and analysis of Tunstall codes to Markov sources. A central limit theorem for the Tunstall algorithm's code length was derived in [6]. Universal versions of Tunstall's algorithm for various sources have also been suggested in [7]–[9]. In particular, Iri and Kosut [9] derived the third-order coding rate for universal variable-to-fixed length lossless source coding for parametric sources belonging to an exponential family.

For the dual problem—fixed-to-variable source coding—there are many known results, some of which we review here. For example, Koga and Yamamoto [10] characterized asymptotically achievable rates of variable-length prefix codes with non-vanishing error probability. The authors adopted an information spectrum approach [11]. Particularizing their general result to finite alphabet i.i.d. sources with distribution P_V , they obtained

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\ln M_{\rm fv}^*(N,\varepsilon)}{N} = (1-\varepsilon)H(V), \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0,1)$$
(1)

where $\ln M_{\rm fv}^*(N,\varepsilon)$ is the minimum average length of a prefix-free code of the source V^N subject to the reconstruction error probability being asymptotically at most ε . By taking $\varepsilon \to 0$, (1) recovers a previous result by Han [12]. Recently, Kostina, Polyanskiy, and Verdú [13] quantified the minimal average code length attainable by lossy source-channel codes with variablelength feedback. The authors concluded that such codes lead to a significant improvement in the fundamental delay-distortion tradeoff. They showed that separate source-channel coding fails to achieve these minimal average delays if a non-vanishing distortion probability is allowed. In particular, they showed that in fixed-to-variable length compression of a block of N i.i.d. source outcomes, under regularity assumptions, the minimum average encoded length $\ln M_{\rm fv}^*(N, D, \varepsilon)$ compatible with the constraint that the probability of exceeding distortion D is bounded by ε satisfies

$$\ln M_{\rm fv}^*(N, D, \varepsilon) = (1 - \varepsilon)NR(D) - \sqrt{\frac{NV(D)}{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}Q^{-1}(\varepsilon)^2\right) + O(\ln N),\tag{2}$$

where R(D) and V(D) are the rate-distortion and the rate-dispersion functions of P_V , and $Q(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^2/2} du$ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

Our contribution in this paper is to extend the above studies in the following direction. We consider variable-to-fixed length codes for i.i.d. sources subject to some regularity conditions. Thus the class of codes we consider is similar to that considered by Tunstall [1]. However, in Tunstall's setting, no loss is allowed; the code must be designed so that it reconstructs the source perfectly. We allow for *lossy* reconstruction of the source. That is, we allow the probability of a certain separable distortion measure between the original and reconstructed source exceeding a threshold D be no larger than a fixed constant $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$.

The authors are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National University of Singapore (NUS). V. Y. F. Tan is also with the Department of Mathematics, NUS. Emails: lantruong@u.nus.edu; vtan@nus.edu.sg

Under this more general scenario, we evaluate the ε -rate-distortion function, i.e., the minimum rate of compression such that the source can be reconstructed up distortion level D with probability of excess-distortion being upper bounded by ε . We show that the ε -rate-distortion function is $1 - \varepsilon$ times the usual rate-distortion function of the source R(D). This first-order fundamental limit is thus similar to the fixed-to-variable-length setting considered by Kostina, Polyanskiy, and Verdú [13] as can be seen from (2). We also establish bounds on the second-order terms. As a simple corollary of our result, it is shown that the Tunstall code [1] for variable-to-fixed length lossless source coding is (first-order) optimal (but this was already known from the results of [6]).

II. PROBLEM SETTING

Throughout, we let $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an i.i.d. source with distribution P_V and taking values in a set \mathcal{V} (finite or infinite).

Definition 1. For any $N \in \mathbb{R}^+$, an (M, N, D, ε) -variable-to-fixed length source code (VFSC) is defined by

- A sequence of source encoding functions $f_n : \mathcal{V}^n \to \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- A sequence of decoding functions $g_n : \{1, 2, ..., M\} \to \hat{\mathcal{V}}^n$ indexed by $n \in \mathbb{N}$, each providing an estimate

$$\hat{V}^n = g_n(f_n(V^n)) \tag{3}$$

at time n at the decoder. Here, $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is the set of reproduction symbols.

• An integer-valued random variable τ_N which is a stopping time of the filtration $\{\sigma(V^n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that it satisfies

$$N \le \mathbb{E}(\tau_N) \le N + o(N). \tag{4}$$

• The final decision (reconstruction) at the decoder $\hat{V}^{\tau_N} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{\tau_N})$ is computed at the stopping time τ_N and \hat{V}^{τ_N} must satisfy the excess-distortion constraint

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{d}}(N,D) := \mathbb{P}(d(\hat{V}^{\tau_N}, V^{\tau_N}) > D) \le \varepsilon, \tag{5}$$

where the separable distortion measure reads

$$d(v^{n}, \hat{v}^{n}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} d(v_{k}, \hat{v}_{k}).$$
(6)

We now comment on the somewhat unusual requirement on the stopping time τ_N in (4). For the VFSC problem, there is a tradeoff between the desire to compress as much as possible (this can be done by making the stopping time τ_N as large as possible) and desire to reduce the length of the source code (for this purpose, we make τ_N as small as possible). This leads to the constraint in (4). The formulation above, especially (4), is non-standard; however, it allows us to prove a tight result for the ε -rate-distortion function for variable-to-fixed length lossy source coding. In particular, equipped with this formulation we can establish a converse for Tunstall coding [1].

- We assume that the single-letter distortion measure $d: \mathcal{V} \times \hat{\mathcal{V}} \to [0, \infty)$ in (6) satisfies
- (A1) We consider distortion measures that are bounded below as follows:

$$D > d_{\min} := \inf\{D > 0 : R(D) > 0\}.$$
(7)

• (A2) Let the *information density* and *mutual information* respectively be defined as

$$\iota_{P_{\hat{V}\hat{V}}}(v;\hat{v}) := \ln \frac{P_{\hat{V}|V}(\hat{v}|v)}{P_{\hat{V}}(\hat{v})}, \ \forall (v,\hat{v}) \in \mathcal{V} \times \hat{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

$$I(V;\hat{V}) := \mathbb{E}_{P_{V\hat{V}}} \big[\iota_{P_{V\hat{V}}}(V;\hat{V}) \big]. \tag{9}$$

We assume that there exists a conditional distribution (test channel) $P_{\hat{V}|V}$ that achieves minimum in the *rate-distortion* function

$$R(D) := \min_{P_{\hat{V}|V}: \mathbb{E}_{P_{\hat{V}\hat{V}}}[d(V,\hat{V})] \le D} I(V;\hat{V}) < \infty,$$
(10)

and

$$V(D) := \operatorname{Var}_{P_{V\hat{V}}}(\iota_{P_{V\hat{V}}}(V;\hat{V})) < \infty, \tag{11}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(D) := \operatorname{Var}_{P_{\mathcal{V}}\hat{\mathcal{V}}}(d(\mathcal{V}, \hat{\mathcal{V}})) < \infty.$$
(12)

• (A3) For D > 0, R(D) is continuously differentiable. Furthermore, V(D) and $\tilde{V}(D)$ defined in (11) and (12) respectively are assumed to be continuous.

Define the non-asymptotic fundamental limit

$$M_{\rm vf}^*(N,D,\varepsilon) := \min\{M : \exists \text{ an } (M,N,D,\varepsilon) \text{-VFSC}\}.$$
(13)

The asymptotic compression rate under a non-vanishing excess-distortion error probability of this variable-to-fixed length source coding problem is defined as

$$R_{\rm vf}^*(D,\varepsilon) := \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\ln M_{\rm vf}^*(N,D,\varepsilon)}{N}.$$
(14)

Characterizing $R_{vf}^*(D,\varepsilon)$, the ε -rate-distortion function using variable-to-fixed length source codes, is the central focus of this paper.

III. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Assume that (A1)–(A3) hold. Then for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$,

$$R_{\rm vf}^*(D,\varepsilon) = (1-\varepsilon)R(D). \tag{15}$$

Proof: The achievability proof is in Section IV and the converse proof is in Section V. Some remarks are in order.

- Theorem 1 applies to both discrete and Gaussian memoryless sources. For the latter we naturally adopt the quadratic distortion measure $d(v, \hat{v}) = (v \hat{v})^2$.
- The assumptions (A1)–(A3) are only used in the achievability proof. The converse holds without assumption (A3).
- In view of the results in [10], [14], and [15], Theorem 1 suggests that the performances of variable-to-fixed and fixed-tovariable length lossy source codes are the same in the sense that the first-order compression rates are identical and equal to $(1 - \varepsilon)R(D)$.
- While Tunstall's algorithm [1] and its variants (e.g., [7], [8]) are constructive, we use random coding to upper bound R^{*}_{vf}(D, ε) by (1 − ε)R(D). It remains to find a practical Tunstall-like algorithm that can realize this performance limit. However, Theorem 1 specialized to the case in which ε = D = 0 (so R^{*}_{vf}(D, ε) = H(V)) shows that the Tunstall code is optimal for variable-to-fixed length lossless source coding (cf. [6]).
- Our achievability and converse proofs (see (78) and (100) to follow) allow to obtain some bounds on the second-order term in the asymptotic expansion of $\ln M_{\rm vf}^*(N, D, \varepsilon)$. However, these bounds are not tight, even in the order. We endeavored to establish the order of the second-order term but significantly new ideas in the proof technique and in the constraint on the stopping time in (4) are required to be successful in this direction.
- In [6], Drmota, Reznik and Szpankowski showed for the Tunstall code achieves the performance $\ln M_{\rm vf}^*(N,0,\varepsilon) = NH(V) + L_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{N} + o(\sqrt{N})$. The constant of proportionality L_{ε} was also identified. They implicitly assumed that the stopping time τ_N satisfies $\mathbb{E}(\tau_N) = N + o(N)$ similarly to our formulation in (4).
- In [9], Iri and Kosut derived the second- and third-order asymptotics of universal variable-to-fixed length lossless source coding for parametric sources belonging to an exponential family. In contrast, we consider general memoryless sources in this paper. We also note that the ε-rate-distortion function defined (14) is different from the corresponding quantity defined in [9, Eq. (7)]. In accordance to the setting in [9, Eq. (7)], Iri and Kosut showed in [9, Theorem 1] that the strong converse holds, but in our formulation, the strong converse does not hold for any source distribution.
- In [16], Ziv showed that variable-to-fixed length codes are better in a certain sense than fixed-to-variable length codes for Markov sources. He showed this fact by using a VFSC that exploits the memory of the source. It would be a fruitful endeavor to extend Theorem 1 to the case of Markov sources to examine whether there is any difference in the first-order fundamental limits in the lossy and non-vanishing excess-distortion probability settings for fixed-to-variable and variable-to-fixed length source codes.

IV. ACHIEVABILITY

Before proving the direct part of Theorem 1, we first establish an intermediate result using random coding. Subsequently, in Lemmas 2 and 3, we will use a randomization argument to complete the argument.

Lemma 1. Under conditions (A1)–(A3), for any $N' \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists a sequence of $(M', N, D, O(1/\ln N'))$ variable-to-fixed source code for the number of codewords M' and the code stopping time $\tau_{N'}$ satisfying

$$\ln M' = N'R(D) + O(\sqrt{N' \ln N'}),$$
(16)

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau_{N'}) = N'. \tag{17}$$

Proof: The proof is partly based on the standard rate-distortion proof in Cover and Thomas [17, Chapter 10] and ideas from Koga and Yamamoto [10] and Polyanskiy, Poor and Verdú [18]. However, we need to make some changes to the typical set compared with the proofs in [10] and [17]. It is enough to show the existence of an $(M', N', D, O(1/\ln N'))$ -VFSC such

4

that $D - (\ln N')/N' > d_{\min}$. To define such an online lossy source code we need to specify (f_n, g_n) . For convenience in the exposition in the sequel, define the vanishing sequence

$$\gamma_{N'} := \sqrt{\frac{\ln N'}{N'}}.$$
(18)

From the conditions (A2) and (A3), for each $N' \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we can choose a conditional distribution $P_{\hat{V}|V}^{(N')}(\hat{v}|v)$ which depends on N' such that the following hold:

$$I(V;\hat{V}) = R(D - \gamma_{N'}), \tag{19}$$

$$0 \le \mathbb{E}[d(V, \hat{V})] \le D - \gamma_{N'}.$$
(20)

Note that the expectations in (19) and (20) are taken with respect to the joint distribution $P_{V\hat{V}}^{(N')} = P_V \times P_{\hat{V}|V}^{(N')}$. For each *n*, the encoding scheme is as follows. Let

$$P_{\hat{V}}^{(N')}(\hat{v}) := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} P_{V}(v) P_{\hat{V}|V}^{(N')}(\hat{v}|v).$$
(21)

We define M' infinite-dimensional vectors $C_j = (C_{j,1}, C_{j,2}, C_{j,3}, ...) \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{\infty}$ each indexed by a $j \in \{1, ..., M'\}$ and which are independently generated and distributed according to $P_{\hat{V}}$ on \mathbb{R}^{∞} . The codebook $\{C_1, C_2, ..., C_{M'}\}$ is revealed to both encoder and decoder.

Define the multi-letter information density

$$\iota_{P_{V\hat{V}}^{(N')}}(v^{n},\bar{v}^{n}) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \iota_{P_{V\hat{V}}^{(N')}}(v_{k},\bar{v}_{k}), \quad \forall (v^{n},\bar{v}^{n}) \in \mathcal{V}^{n} \times \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{n} \text{ and } n \ge 1.$$
(22)

For each $(v^n, \bar{v}^n) \in \mathcal{V}^n \times \hat{\mathcal{V}}^n$, define the following indicator function

$$\Psi(v^n, \bar{v}^n) := \mathbb{1}\left\{\mathcal{A}(v^n, \bar{v}^n) \land \mathcal{B}(v^n, \bar{v}^n)\right\}$$
(23)

where the clauses

$$\mathcal{A}(v^n, \bar{v}^n) := \{ d(v^n, \bar{v}^n) \le D \}, \text{ and }$$
(24)

$$\mathcal{B}(v^{n}, \bar{v}^{n}) := \Big\{ \iota_{P_{V\hat{V}}^{(N')}}(v^{n}; \bar{v}^{n}) \le n(R(D - \gamma_{N'}) + \gamma_{N'}) \Big\}.$$
(25)

Thus $\Psi(v^n, \bar{v}^n) = 1$ if both $\mathcal{A}(v^n, \bar{v}^n)$ and $\mathcal{B}(v^n, \bar{v}^n)$ are true. Also define

$$\tilde{\Psi}(v^n, \bar{v}^n) := 1 - \Psi(v^n, \bar{v}^n).$$
⁽²⁶⁾

The stopping time $\tau_{N'} \in \sigma(V^n)$ is now simply defined as

$$\tau_{N'} := N'. \tag{27}$$

Let $C_j(n) := (C_{j,1}, C_{j,2}, \dots, C_{j,n})$ be a length-*n* vector form using the infinite-dimensional vector C_j . The encoding function $f_n : \mathcal{V}^n \to \{1, \dots, M'\}$ for each $n \ge 1$ is defined as

$$f_n(V^n) := \begin{cases} \max\{j : \Psi(V^n, C_j(n)) = 1\} & \text{if } \exists j \text{ s.t. } \Psi(V^n, C_j(n)) = 1\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(28)

The decoding decision at each time n is given by

$$\hat{V}^n = g_n(f_n(V^n)) = C_{f_n(V^n)}(n).$$
 (29)

Let $V^{\infty}, \bar{V}^{\infty}, \tilde{V}^{\infty}$ be i.i.d. infinite dimensional vectors which are distributed according to

ť

$$P_{V^{\infty}\bar{V}^{\infty}\tilde{V}^{\infty}}(v^{\infty},\bar{v}^{\infty},\tilde{v}^{\infty}) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{V}(v_{k})P_{\hat{V}|V}^{(N')}(\bar{v}_{k}|v_{k})P_{\hat{V}}^{(N')}(\tilde{v}_{k}).$$
(30)

Now, from our encoding and decoding functions (28), and (29) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the event error is

$$\{d(V^n, \hat{V}^n) > D\} \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{M'} \{\tilde{\Psi}(V^n, C_j(n)) = 1\},\tag{31}$$

where (31) follows from the choice of $j \in \{1, 2, ..., M'\}$ as the *largest* index such that $d(V^n, C_j(n)) \leq D$.

It follows from (28) and (31) that

$$\mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \hat{V}^n) > D) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{M'} \{\tilde{\Psi}(V^n, C_j(n)) = 1\}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{v^n \in \mathcal{V}^n} P_{V^n}(v^n) \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{M'} \{\tilde{\Psi}(v^n, C_j(n)) = 1\} \middle| V^n = v^n\right)$$
(32)

$$=\sum_{v^n\in\mathcal{V}^n} P_{V^n}(v^n) \prod_{j=1}^{M'} \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{\Psi}(v^n, C_j(n)) = 1 \middle| V^n = v^n\right)$$
(33)

$$=\sum_{v^n\in\mathcal{V}^n} P_{V^n}(v^n) \left[\mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{\Psi}(v^n, C_1(n)) = 1 \middle| V^n = v^n\right) \right]^{M'}$$
(34)

$$=\sum_{v^n\in\mathcal{V}^n} P_{V^n}(v^n) \left[\mathbb{P}\big(\tilde{\Psi}(v^n, C_1(n)) = 1\big) \right]^{M'}$$
(35)

$$= \sum_{v^{n} \in \mathcal{V}^{n}} P_{V^{n}}(v^{n}) \left[1 - \mathbb{P} \left(\Psi(v^{n}, C_{1}(n)) = 1 \right) \right]^{M'},$$
(36)

where (33) follows from the fact that C_j 's are independent for all j, (34) follows from the fact that C_j 's are identically distributed, and (35) follows from the fact that V^n is independent of $C_j(n)$ for all n and j.

Moreover, we also have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Psi(v^n, C_1(n)) = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\Psi(v^n, \tilde{V}^n) = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\Psi(v^n, \bar{V}^n) = 1)$$
(37)

$$= \sum_{\bar{v}^n \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^n} P_{\bar{V}^n}^{(N')}(\bar{v}^n) \mathbb{1}\{\Psi(v^n, \bar{v}^n) = 1\}$$
(38)

$$= \sum_{\bar{v}^n \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^n} P_{\bar{V}^n | V^n}^{(N')}(\bar{v}^n | v^n) \exp\left(-\iota_{P_{V\hat{V}}^{(N')}}(v^n; \bar{v}^n)\right) \mathbb{1}\left\{\Psi(v^n, \bar{v}^n) = 1\right\}$$
(39)

$$= \sum_{\bar{v}^n \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^n} P_{\bar{V}^n | V^n}^{(N')}(\bar{v}^n | v^n) \exp\left(-\iota_{P_{V\bar{V}}^{(N')}}(v^n; \bar{v}^n)\right) \mathbb{1}\left\{\mathcal{A}(v^n, \bar{v}^n) \land \mathcal{B}(v^n, \bar{v}^n)\right\}$$
(40)

$$\geq \exp\left(-n(R(D-\gamma_{N'})+\gamma_{N'})\right)\sum_{\bar{v}^n\in\hat{\mathcal{V}}^n}P_{\bar{V}^n|V^n}^{(N')}(\bar{v}^n|v^n)\mathbb{1}\left\{\mathcal{A}(v^n,\bar{v}^n)\wedge\mathcal{B}(v^n,\bar{v}^n)\right\},\tag{41}$$

where (37) follows from the fact that $P_{\bar{V}^n}^{(N')} = (P_{\hat{V}}^{(N')})^{\times n} = P_{\bar{V}^n}^{(N')} = P_{C_1(n)}$ (cf. (30)), and (39) follows from a standard change of measure argument and the definition of $\iota_{P_{\bar{V}\hat{V}}^{(N')}}(v^n; \bar{v}^n)$ in (8) and (22). It follows that we have

$$\left[1 - \mathbb{P} \big(\Psi(v^n, C_1(n)) \big) \right]^{M'} \le 1 + \exp \left[-M' \exp \left(-n(R(D - \gamma_{N'}) + \gamma_{N'}) \right) \right] - \sum_{\bar{v}^n \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^n} P_{\bar{v}^n | V^n}(\bar{v}^n | v^n) \mathbb{1} \left\{ \mathcal{A}(v^n, \bar{v}^n) \wedge \mathcal{B}(v^n, \bar{v}^n) \right\},$$
(42)

where (42) follows from [17, Lemma 10.5.3] (which states that for any $0 \le x, y \le 1$ and n > 0, $(1 - xy)^n \le 1 - x + e^{-yn}$)). From (36), (42), and (23) we obtain that for all $n \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \hat{V}^n) > D)$$

$$\leq 1 + \exp\left[-M' \exp\left(-n(R(D - \gamma_{N'}) + \gamma_{N'})\right)\right] - \mathbb{E}[\Psi(V^n, \bar{V}^n)]$$
(43)

$$= 1 + \exp\left[-M' \exp\left(-n(R(D - \gamma_{N'}) + \gamma_{N'})\right)\right] - \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{A}(V^n, \bar{V}^n) \cap \mathcal{B}(V^n, \bar{V}^n)\right)$$
(44)

$$\leq \mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \bar{V}^n) > D) + \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\iota_{P_{V\bar{V}}^{(N')}}(V^n; \bar{V}^n) - nR(D - \gamma_{N'})\right| > n\gamma_{N'}\right) \\ + \exp\left[-M' \exp\left(-n(R(D - \gamma_{N'}) + \gamma_{N'})\right)\right],\tag{45}$$

where the last inequality in (45) holds by the union bound and the definitions of the events $\mathcal{A}(V^n, \bar{V}^n)$ and $\mathcal{B}(V^n, \bar{V}^n)$ in (24) and (25) respectively. Now, by Chebyshev's inequality we have, for all N' sufficiently large, that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\left|\iota_{P_{V\hat{V}}^{(N')}}(V^{n};\bar{V}^{n}) - nR(D-\gamma_{N'})\right| > n\gamma_{N'}\Big) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(\iota_{P_{V\hat{V}}^{(N')}}(V;\bar{V})\right)}{n^{2}\gamma_{N'}^{2}} \tag{46}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\mathcal{V}(D)+1)N'}{n\ln N'},\tag{47}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \bar{V}^n) > D) = \mathbb{P}\left(nd(V^n, \bar{V}^n) - n\mathbb{E}[d(V, \hat{V})] > n(D - \mathbb{E}[d(V, \hat{V})])\right)$$
(48)

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(nd(V^n, \bar{V}^n) - n\mathbb{E}[d(V, \hat{V})] > n\gamma_{N'}\right)$$
(49)

$$\leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}(d(V, \tilde{V}))N'}{n\ln N'} \tag{50}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(D)+1)N'}{n\ln N'},\tag{51}$$

where (49) follows from (20) and (51) follows from (12) and assumption (A3).

It follows from (18), (45), (47), and (51) that for all $n \ge 1$

$$\mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \hat{V}^n) > D) \le \frac{(\hat{V}(D) + 1)N'}{n \ln N'} + \frac{(V(D) + 1)N'}{n \ln N'} + \exp\left[-M' \exp\left(-n(R(D - \gamma_{N'}) + \gamma_{N'})\right)\right].$$
(52)

By using the fact that $\tau_{N'} = N'$ (cf. (27)) and (52), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(d(V^{\tau_{N'}}, \hat{V}^{\tau_{N'}}) > D) = \mathbb{P}(d(V^{N'}, \hat{V}^{N'}) > D)$$

$$(53)$$

$$(\tilde{V}(D) + 1)N' = (V(D) + 1)N'$$

$$\leq \frac{(V(D)+1)N'}{n\ln N'} + \frac{(V(D)+1)N'}{n\ln N'} + \exp\left[-M'\exp\left(-n(R(D-\gamma_{N'})+\gamma_{N'})\right)\right].$$
 (54)

Now, we choose

$$\ln M' = N'(R(D - \gamma_{N'}) + \gamma_{N'}) + \ln \ln N'$$
(55)

$$= N'R(D) + O(\gamma_{N'}), \tag{56}$$

where (56) follows from assumption (A3) and Taylor's expansion. Combining (54) and (56) and the fact that $\tau_{N'} = N'$ (cf. (27)) so n = N', we obtain the bound

$$\mathbb{P}(d(V^{\tau_{N'}}, \hat{V}^{\tau_{N'}}) > D) \le O\left(\frac{1}{\ln N'}\right),\tag{57}$$

as $N' \to \infty$ as desired.

The next two lemmas employ a randomization argument to construct a variable-to-fixed length code with the desired expected length and probability of excess distortion. In particular, we use the first $L = O(\ln N)$ symbols of the source $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to generate a toss from a biased coin with success probability $p \in (\alpha, \beta]$ to follow. See Remark 1 for a significant simplification of the randomization argument when the source is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 2. For any memoryless source $\{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$, there exists a positive integer L and a subset \mathcal{A}_L of \mathcal{V}^L such that

$$\int_{v^L \in \mathcal{A}_L} \mathrm{d}P_V^L(v^L) \in (\alpha, \beta],\tag{58}$$

where the product distribution $P_V^L(v_1, \ldots, v_L) := \prod_{j=1}^L P_V(v_j)$ for all $v^L \in \mathcal{V}^L$.

Proof: Please refer to Appendix A.

Lemma 3. Given a memoryless source with distribution P_V , under conditions (A1)–(A3), the following holds

$$R_{\rm vf}^*(D,\varepsilon) \le (1-\varepsilon)R(D) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0,1].$$
⁽⁵⁹⁾

Proof: Based on Lemma 1, for a sufficiently large constant $\Gamma > 0$ and average code length $N' \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we may assume the existence of an $(M', N', D, \Gamma/\ln N')$ -VFSC. It is enough to show that for any arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$, there exists an (M, N, D, ε) -VFSC such that

$$\ln M \le (1 - \varepsilon) N R(D), \tag{60}$$

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathrm{d}}(N,D) \le \varepsilon,\tag{61}$$

$$N \le \mathbb{E}(\tau_N) \le N(1 + f(\delta)) + 1, \tag{62}$$

for some function $f(\delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0^+$. We can construct such an (M, N, D, ε) -VFSC with a new stopping time τ_N from the given $(M', N', D, \Gamma/\ln N')$ -VFSC as follows:

• The source encoder choose $N \in \mathbb{R}^+$ (sufficiently large) and puts

$$N' := (1 - \varepsilon)N,\tag{63}$$

$$\gamma := 1 + \frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{(1 - \varepsilon)\varepsilon},\tag{64}$$

$$\alpha := \frac{\varepsilon}{(1-\varepsilon)(\gamma-1)} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon+\delta},\tag{65}$$

$$\beta := \frac{\varepsilon + \alpha}{2},\tag{66}$$

$$L := \lfloor \ln N' \rfloor. \tag{67}$$

From these definitions, we see that $\gamma > 1$, $\alpha < \beta < \varepsilon$, $\alpha \to \varepsilon^-$, $\beta \to \varepsilon^-$ as $\delta \to 0^+$.

• Using Lemma 2, we observe that the encoder can select a subset $\mathcal{H}_1 := \mathcal{A}_L \subset \mathcal{V}^L$, and thus also $\mathcal{H}_0 := \mathcal{V}^L \setminus \mathcal{H}_1$, such that the following hold

$$p := \int_{v^L \in \mathcal{H}_1} \mathrm{d}P_V^L(v^L) \in (\alpha, \beta],\tag{68}$$

$$1 - p := \int_{v^L \in \mathcal{H}_0} dP_V^L(v^L) = 1 - \int_{v^L \in \mathcal{H}_1} dP_V^L(v^L) \in (1 - \beta, 1 - \alpha].$$
(69)

Now, since $L = \lfloor \ln N' \rfloor < N'$ and $\gamma > 1$, for any sequence $\{V_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, the encoder performs the following randomization operation:

- If $(V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_L) \in \mathcal{H}_1$, the encoder sets $\tau_N = \lceil \gamma \tau_{N'} \rceil = \lceil \gamma N' \rceil$, indexes the sequence V^{τ_N} of length τ_N by $1 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M'\}$, and decodes it by using the given $(M', N', D, \Gamma / \ln N')$ -VFSC for the first $N' \leq \tau_N$ symbols of this sequence. This means that the decoder always assumes that each source sequence has a *fixed* length N'.
- If $(V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_L) \in \mathcal{H}_0$, the encoder sets $\tau_N = \tau_{N'} = N'$ and assigns the sequence V^{τ_N} an index in $\{1, 2, \ldots, M'\}$ according to the given $(M', N', D, \Gamma/\ln N')$ -VFSC. Decoding is also done using this code.

Note that with the so-described variable-to-fixed length lossy source coding scheme, the expected code length satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau_N) = p\mathbb{E}(\lceil \gamma \tau_{N'} \rceil) + (1-p)\mathbb{E}(\tau_{N'})$$
(70)

$$= p[\gamma N'] + (1-p)N' \tag{71}$$

$$\in [(\gamma p + (1-p))N', (\gamma p + (1-p))N' + 1]$$
(72)

$$= [(\gamma p + (1-p))(1-\varepsilon)N, (\gamma p + (1-p))(1-\varepsilon)N + 1]$$
(73)

$$\subset [(\gamma \alpha + (1 - \alpha))(1 - \varepsilon)N, (\gamma \beta + (1 - \beta))(1 - \varepsilon)N + 1]$$
(74)

$$= [N, (1+f(\delta))N+1].$$
(75)

where (73) follows from (63), (75) follows from (65) and (66) (note that $(\gamma \alpha + (1 - \alpha))(1 - \varepsilon) = 1$ and $(\gamma \beta + (1 - \beta))(1 - \varepsilon) = 1 + f(\delta)$), and $f(\delta)$ is some function that tends to zero as $\delta \to 0^+$. Hence, (4) is satisfied (if we consider $\delta \to 0^+$).

In addition, for N' sufficiently large, the probability of excess-distortion of the proposed scheme

$$\mathbb{P}(d(V^{\tau_N}, \hat{V}^{\tau_N}) > D) \le \beta \cdot 1 + (1 - \alpha) \cdot \frac{\Gamma}{\ln N'} \le \varepsilon.$$
(76)

Hence, by Lemma 1 and the choice of N' in (63), we have

$$\ln M = N'R(D) + O(\sqrt{N'\ln N'}) \tag{77}$$

$$= (1 - \varepsilon)NR(D) + O(\sqrt{N\ln N}).$$
(78)

It follows from (4) and (78) that (59) holds. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.

Remark 1. We note that if the source distribution P_V is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure (e.g., P_V is Gaussian), then the above somewhat involved randomization argument using $V^L = (V_1, \ldots, V_L) \in \mathcal{V}^L$ can be significantly simplified. In particular, we can just partition \mathcal{V} into two subsets \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 such that $\int_{v \in \mathcal{H}_1} dP_V(v) = p$; this is possible because of the continuous nature of P_V . Next, when we simply look at the first symbol $V_1 \in \mathcal{V}$, check whether it belongs to \mathcal{H}_1 or \mathcal{H}_0 to decide on the stopping time ($\lceil \gamma N' \rceil$ or N' in the two cases above (70)).

V. CONVERSE

Lemma 4. Let $\xi > 0$. For any real sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$ exists, the following holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{1}\{x_n > \xi\} \ge \mathbb{1}\{\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n > \xi\}.$$
(79)

Lemma 5. Given a memoryless source with distribution P_V , under conditions (A1)–(A2), the following holds

$$R_{\rm vf}^*(D,\varepsilon) \ge (1-\varepsilon)R(D), \quad \forall \, \varepsilon \in (0,1].$$
(80)

Proof: For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following Markov chain

$$V^n - f_n(V^n) - \hat{V}^n. \tag{81}$$

Now, define [13], [15]

$$\mathsf{R}_{V^n}(D,\varepsilon) := \min_{\substack{P_{\hat{V}^n|V^n}: \mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \hat{V}^n) > D) \le \varepsilon}} I(V^n; \hat{V}^n).$$
(82)

It follows that for a fixed (M, N, D, ε) -VFSC, and for some $B_{\varepsilon} > 0$, the following inequalities hold for all $n \ge 1$:

$$\ln M \ge H(f_n(V^n)) \tag{83}$$

$$=I(f_n(V^n);V^n)$$
(84)

$$\geq I(V^n; V^n) \tag{85}$$

$$\geq \max\{0, \mathsf{R}_{V^n}(D,\varepsilon)\mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \hat{V}^n) > D) \le \varepsilon\}\}$$
(86)

$$= \max\{0, \mathsf{R}_{V^n}(D,\varepsilon)\mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \tilde{V}^n) \le D) > 1 - \varepsilon\}\}$$
(87)

$$\geq \max\left\{0, \left[(1-\varepsilon)nR(D) - B_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{n}\right]\mathbb{1}\left\{\mathbb{P}(d(V^n, \hat{V}^n) \le D) > 1-\varepsilon\right\}\right\}.$$
(88)

Here, (85) follows from (81), (86) follows from (82) and (88) holds for all n sufficiently large due to the main results in Kostina *et al.* [13], [15]. Note that under our assumptions (A1) and (A2), the conditions (A₁)–(A₅) in [13] are satisfied.

Let $a \wedge b := \min\{a, b\}$. It follows from (88) that

$$\ln M \ge \max\left\{0, \left[(1-\varepsilon)(n\wedge\tau_N)R(D) - B_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{n\wedge\tau_N}\right]\mathbb{1}\left\{\mathbb{P}(d(V^{n\wedge\tau_N}, \hat{V}^{n\wedge\tau_N}) \le D) > 1-\varepsilon\right\}\right\}.$$
(89)

From (4), we have that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_N < \infty) = 1$. Hence we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (1 - \varepsilon)(n \wedge \tau_N) R(D) - B_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{n \wedge \tau_N} = (1 - \varepsilon) \tau_N R(D) - B_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\tau_N}, \quad \text{a.s.}$$
(90)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{P}(d(V^{n \wedge \tau_N}, \hat{V}^{n \wedge \tau_N}) > D) \le \varepsilon\} \ge \mathbb{1}\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(d(V^{n \wedge \tau_N}, \hat{V}^{n \wedge \tau_N}) \le D) > 1 - \varepsilon\}$$
(91)

$$= \mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{P}(d(V^{\tau_N}, \hat{V}^{\tau_N}) \ge D) > 1 - \varepsilon\}$$
(92)

$$= \mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{P}(d(V^{\tau_N}, V^{\tau_N}) > D) \le \varepsilon\}$$
(93)

In the above chain, (91) follows from Lemma 4 and (92) follows from the fact that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(d(V^{n \wedge \tau_N}, \hat{V}^{n \wedge \tau_N}) > D) = \mathbb{P}(d(V^{\tau_N}, \hat{V}^{\tau_N}) > D)$$
(95)

from an application of the dominated convergence theorem [19] and the fact that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_N < \infty) = 1$. Finally, (94) follows from (5).

= 1= 1

By taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on the right-hand-side of (89) (ln *M* is not a function of *n*) and using (94), we obtain that the following holds almost surely

$$\ln M \ge \max\left\{0, (1-\varepsilon)\tau_N R(D) - B_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\tau_N}\right\}.$$
(96)

Taking expectations on both sides, it follows that

$$\ln M \ge \max\left\{0, \mathbb{E}[(1-\varepsilon)\tau_N R(D) - B_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\tau_N}]\right\}$$
(97)

$$= \max\left\{0, (1-\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}[\tau_N]R(D) - B_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{E}[\sqrt{\tau_N}]\right\}$$
(98)

$$\geq \max\left\{0, (1-\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}[\tau_N]R(D) - B_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\tau_N)}\right\}$$
(99)

$$= (1 - \varepsilon)NR(D) - O(\sqrt{N}).$$
(100)

Here, (99) follows from the concavity of the function $g(x) := \sqrt{x}$ and (100) follows from (4) and the fact that the function $\tilde{g}(x) := (1 - \varepsilon)xR(D) - B_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{x}$ is non-decreasing for x large enough. It follows from (100) and (4) that (80) holds. This concludes the proof of Lemma 5.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF LEMMA 2

From the asymptotic equipartition property (AEP) [17, Theorem 3.1.2], we can find a sufficiently large positive integer L and a subset $T_L \subset V^L$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(V^L \in \mathcal{T}_L) > \alpha. \tag{101}$$

By Zorn's Lemma [19] (partially ordered sets by inclusion), we can find a subset \mathcal{A}_L of \mathcal{V}^L which has the smallest size among all subsets \mathcal{T}_L of \mathcal{V}^L which satisfy (101). It is enough to show that

$$\mathbb{P}(V^L \in \mathcal{A}_L) \le \beta. \tag{102}$$

Now, we will show that (102) holds by contradiction. Indeed, assume to the contrary, that $\mathbb{P}(V^L \in \mathcal{A}_L) > \beta$. Then, choose a subset $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L$ of \mathcal{A}_L which has the number of elements

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L| = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{\beta} |\mathcal{A}_L| \right\rceil,\tag{103}$$

also the property that \tilde{A}_L has largest probability among all the subsets of A_L of the same size given by (103). It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(V^{L} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{L}) \geq \frac{\left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{\beta} |\mathcal{A}_{L}| \right\rceil}{|\mathcal{A}_{L}|} \mathbb{P}(V^{L} \in \mathcal{A}_{L}) > \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \cdot \beta = \alpha.$$
(104)

But, we know from (103) and [17, Theorem 3.3.1] which states that $|A_L|$ (satisfying (101)) is of exponential size that

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_L| < \frac{\alpha}{\beta} |\mathcal{A}_L| + 1 < |\mathcal{A}_L| \tag{105}$$

for L sufficiently large. Inequality (105) is a contradiction to our assumption about the choice of the set A_L , i.e., that it is the *smallest* set satisfying (101). This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.

APPENDIX B Proof of Lemma 4

Assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \zeta. \tag{106}$$

For the case $\zeta \leq \xi$, (79) trivially holds. Now, consider the case $\zeta > \xi$. Then, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\zeta - \epsilon > \xi. \tag{107}$$

On the other hand, from (106) there exists an $N_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_n \ge \zeta - \epsilon,\tag{108}$$

for all $n \ge N_{\epsilon}$. From (107) and (108) we obtain

$$x_n > \xi \tag{109}$$

for all $n \ge N_{\epsilon}$. It follows from (109) that for $\zeta > \xi$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{1}\{x_n > \xi\} = 1 = \mathbb{1}\{\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n > \xi\}.$$
(110)

This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. Victoria Kostina for pointing us to several useful references.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. P. Tunstall. Synthesis of noiseless compression codes. PhD thesis, Georgia Inst. Technol., 1967.
- [2] J. Abrahams. Code and parse trees for lossless source encoding. Commun. Inf. Syst., 1(2):113-146, Apr 2001.
- [3] H. Yamamoto and H. Yokoo. Average-sense optimality and competitive optimality for almost instantaneous VF codes. *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th.*, 47(6):2174–2184, 2001.
- [4] S. A. Savari and R. G. Gallager. Generalized Tunstall codes for sources with memory. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 43(3):658-668, Mar 1997.
- [5] T. J. Tjalkens and F. M. J. Willems. Variable to fixed-length codes for Markov sources. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 33(3):246-257, Mar 1987.
- [6] M. Drmota, Y. A. Reznik, and W. Szpankowski. Tunstall code, Khodak variations, and random walks. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 56(6):2928–2937, Jun 2010.
- [7] T. J. Tjalkens and F. M. J. Willems. Universal variable-to-fixed-length source codes based on Lawrence's algorithm. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 38(3):247–253, Mar 1992.
- [8] K. Visweswariah, S. R. Kulkarni, and S. Verdú. Universal variable-to-fixed length source codes. *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th.*, 47(5):1461–1472, May 2001.
- [9] N. Iri and O. Kosut. Fundamental limits of universal variable-to-fixed length coding of parametric sources. arXiv, 2017. arXiv:1706.07582 [cs.IT].
- [10] H. Koga and H. Yamamoto. Asymptotic properties on codeword lengths of an optimal FV code for general sources. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 51(4):1546–1555, 2005.
- [11] T. S. Han. Information-Spectrum Methods in Information Theory. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Feb 2003.
- [12] T. S. Han. Weak variable-length source coding. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 46(4):1217–1226, 2000.
- [13] V. Kostina, Y. Polyanskiy, and S. Verdú. Joint source-channel coding with feedback. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 63(6):3502–3515, 2017.
- [14] T. S. Han. Weak variable-length source coding. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 46(4):1217–1226, 2000.
- [15] V. Kostina, Y. Polyanskiy, and S. Verdú. Variable-length compression allowing errors. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 61:4136-4330, 2015.
- [16] J. Ziv. Variable-to-fixed length codes are better than fixed-to-variable length codes for Markov sources. *IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th.*, 36(7):861–863, Jul 1990.
- [17] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas. Elements of Information Theory. Wiley-Interscience, 2nd edition, 2006.
- [18] Y. Polyanskiy, H. V. Poor, and S. Verdú. Feedback in the non-asymptotic regime. IEEE Trans. on Inform. Th., 57(8):4903–4925, 2011.
- [19] H. Royden and P. Fitzpatrick. Real Analysis. Pearson, 4th edition, 2010.