

Knowledge Discovery from Layered Neural Networks based on Non-negative Task Decomposition

Chihiro Watanabe,* Kaoru Hiramatsu, Kunio Kashino
*NTT Communication Science Laboratories,
 3-1, Morinosato Wakamiya, Atsugi-shi, Kanagawa Pref. 243-0198 Japan*

Abstract

Interpretability has become an important issue in the machine learning field, along with the success of layered neural networks in various practical tasks. Since a trained layered neural network consists of a complex nonlinear relationship between large number of parameters, we failed to understand how they could achieve input-output mappings with a given data set. In this paper, we propose the non-negative task decomposition method, which applies non-negative matrix factorization to a trained layered neural network. This enables us to decompose the inference mechanism of a trained layered neural network into multiple principal tasks of input-output mapping, and reveal the roles of hidden units in terms of their contribution to each principal task.

1 Introduction

The interpretability of machine learning models or their trained result has become an important issue, along with the recent success of layered neural networks (or LNN). Their complex hierarchical network structures have made it possible to represent nonlinear complex relationships between input and output data, and greatly improve prediction accuracy with various practical data sets [7, 20, 5, 17, 18, 1]. Despite this powerful prediction ability, their black-box inference mechanism has limited their application area. For instance, in the area of automatic driving or medical care, a reasonable explanation must be provided as to how a trained LNN derived a prediction result.

Recently, various approaches have been proposed to solve such problems of interpretability. For instance, a method has been proposed that first selects important features of data, and then approximates the trained LNN with an interpretable function such as a linear model [11, 13, 15]. There are also studies that analyze the effect of each unit output on inference results [14, 26, 12, 10], or simplify the structure of trained networks by decomposing the units into clusters or communities by employing network analysis [23, 22, 21]. Other studies have explored LNN training methods for constructing a trained network that is represented by an interpretable function [4, 3].

These studies have enabled us to obtain knowledge about various different aspects of an LNN, however, no method has been developed for simplifying the LNN structure by decomposing the hidden units into communities and simultaneously revealing the role of each community in inference.

In this paper, we propose the non-negative task decomposition of LNNs, which enables us to obtain a simplified global structure of a trained LNN and knowledge about the function of each decomposed part, simultaneously. Unlike the methods described in previous studies [23, 22, 21] for analyzing trained LNNs by detecting their layer-wise community structure via post-processing, our

*Email address: watanabe.chihiro@lab.ntt.co.jp

proposed method can reveal both a set of principal input-output mapping tasks and the community structure of hidden units across layers at the same time.

To achieve such task decomposition, we first determine the role of each hidden unit as a vector that represents the effect of each input dimension on the hidden unit and the effect of the hidden unit on each output dimension. Then, we apply non-negative matrix factorization to a matrix consisting of such vectors for all the hidden units, and obtain information about both the principal tasks in a trained LNN and the classification result of hidden units. A related work has been proposed for representing the role of each unit as a vector [14], however, our proposed method differs from the previous study in that it can break down both the function and structure of an LNN into multiple components, providing the inference organization of a trained LNN.

We show experimentally that our proposed method can reveal the inference mechanism of an LNN. First, we apply our proposed method to an LNN trained with a data set with a ground truth community structure, and show that the hidden units are appropriately decomposed into communities across layers. Then, we analyze LNNs trained with a sequential data set and an image data set by employing our proposed method, and discuss the results.

2 Training Layered Neural Networks based on Back Propagation

We first train an LNN with an arbitrary data set by the same method as that used in previous studies [23, 22, 21]. Let (x, y) , $x \in \mathbb{R}^M$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a data set consisting of input data x and output data y , and let $q(x, y)$ be a probability density function on $\mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^N$ for training and test data sets. When using an LNN, we assume that the relationship between input data and output data is represented by a function $f(x, w)$ from $x \in \mathbb{R}^M$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^L$ to \mathbb{R}^N that predicts output data y from input data x and a parameter $w = \{\omega_{ij}^d, \theta_i^d\}$, where ω_{ij}^d represents the connection weight between the i -th unit in a depth d layer and the j -th unit in a depth $d + 1$ layer, and θ_i^d represents the bias of the i -th unit in the depth d layer. Here, the depth 1 and D layers correspond to the input and output layers, respectively. The function $f_j(x, w)$ of an LNN for the j -th unit in the output layer is given by $f_j(x, w) = \sigma(\sum_i \omega_{ij}^{D-1} o_i^{D-1} + \theta_j^{D-1})$, where

$$o_j^{D-1} = \sigma(\sum_i \omega_{ij}^{D-2} o_i^{D-2} + \theta_j^{D-2}), \quad \dots, \quad o_j^2 = \sigma(\sum_i \omega_{ij}^1 x_i + \theta_j^1),$$

and $\sigma(x) = 1/(1 + \exp(-x))$. We train an LNN to determine the parameter w of the above function with a training data set $\{(X_n, Y_n)\}_{n=1}^{n_1}$ with sample size n_1 .

The training error $E(w)$ and the generalization error $G(w)$ of an LNN, respectively, are given by

$$E(w) = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{n=1}^{n_1} \|Y_n - f(X_n, w)\|^2, \quad G(w) = \int \|y - f(x, w)\|^2 q(x, y) dx dy,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^N . Since the generalization error cannot be calculated with a finite size data set, we approximate it by $G(w) \approx \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{m=1}^{m_1} \|Y_m' - f(X_m', w)\|^2$, where $\{(X_m', Y_m')\}_{m=1}^{m_1}$ is a test data set that is independent of the training data set.

In this paper, to avoid overfitting to a training data set, we adopt the LASSO method ([6, 19]) to automatically delete small connection weights, where the objective function to be minimized is given by $H(w) = \frac{n_1}{2} E(w) + \lambda \sum_{d,i,j} |\omega_{ij}^d|$, where λ is a hyperparameter. The value of the above function $H(w)$ is minimized with the stochastic steepest descent method, where the parameters are iteratively updated with the following equations ([25, 16]).

For the D -th layer,

$$\Delta\omega_{ij}^{D-1} = -\eta(\delta_j^D o_i^{D-1} + \lambda \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_{ij}^{D-1})), \quad \Delta\theta_j^D = -\eta\delta_j^D,$$

where $\delta_j^D = (o_j^D - y_j) (o_j^D (1 - o_j^D) + \epsilon_1)$.
For $d = D - 1, D - 2, \dots, 2$,

$$\Delta\omega_{ij}^{d-1} = -\eta(\delta_j^d o_i^{d-1} + \lambda \operatorname{sgn}(\omega_{ij}^{d-1})), \quad \Delta\theta_j^d = -\eta\delta_j^d,$$

where $\delta_j^d = \sum_{k=1}^{l_{d+1}} \delta_k^{d+1} \omega_{jk}^d (o_j^d (1 - o_j^d) + \epsilon_1)$.

Here, y_j is the j -th dimension value of the output data of the randomly chosen n -th sample (X_n, Y_n) , ϵ_1 is a hyperparameter for the LNN convergence, and η for training time t is defined such that $\eta(t) \propto 1/t$. In this paper, we defined $\eta = 0.7 \times a_1 n_1 / (a_1 n_1 + 5t)$, where a_1 is the mean iteration number for LNN training per dataset.

3 Knowledge Discovery from Layered Neural Networks based on Non-negative Task Decomposition

3.1 Extracting Feature Vectors of Hidden Layer Units Based on Their Relationship with Input and Output Dimensions

To decompose the function of a trained LNN, we first define a non-negative matrix $V = \{v_{k,l}\}$, whose k -th row consists of a feature vector v_k of the k -th unit in a hidden layer. Here, we define the feature vector v_k by using a method described in a previous study [24] for determining quantitatively the role of each community (or cluster) of units as regards each unit in an LNN. In the previous study, the role of community c is given by a pair of feature vectors $v_c^{\text{in}} = \{v_{ic}^{\text{in}}\}$ and $v_c^{\text{out}} = \{v_{cj}^{\text{out}}\}$, which represent the effect of the i -th input dimension on the community c and the effect of the community c on the j -th output dimension, respectively. With our proposed method, we assume that each LNN community consists of a single hidden unit, and we determine a pair of feature vectors for that unit by employing the method used in [24].

Specifically, the effect of the i -th input dimension on the k -th hidden unit is computed as the square root error of the output in the k -th hidden unit, when the i -th input dimension is replaced with the mean value for the training data (in other words, when the LNN cannot use the value of the i -th input dimension). This definition is given by the following equations.

Effect of i -th input dimension on k -th hidden unit: Let $o_k^{(n)}$ be the output of the k -th hidden unit for the n -th input data sample $X^{(n)}$, and let $z_k^{(n)}$ be the output of the k -th hidden unit for an input data sample $X'^{(n)}$ that is generated based on the following definition:

$$X_i'^{(n)} \equiv \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_n X_i^{(n)}.$$

$$\text{For } l \neq i, X_l'^{(n)} \equiv X_l^{(n)}.$$

We define the effect of the i -th input dimension on the k -th hidden unit as

$$v_{ik}^{\text{in}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} \sum_n \left(o_k^{(n)} - z_k^{(n)} \right)^2}.$$

Similarly, the effect of the k -th hidden unit on the j -th output dimension is computed as the square root error of the value of the j -th output dimension when the output in the k -th hidden unit

is replaced with the mean value for the training data (in other words, when the LNN cannot use the value of the k -th hidden unit). This definition is given by the following equations.

Effect of k -th hidden unit on j -th output dimension: Let $y_j^{(n)}$ be the output of the j -th unit in the output layer for the n -th input data sample $X^{(n)}$, and let $z_j^{(n)}$ be the output of the j -th unit in the output layer when changing the output values in the k -th hidden unit, according to the following procedure: We change the output value of the k -th hidden unit for the n -th input data sample from $o_k^{(n)}$ to $o_k^{\prime(n)}$. Here, $o_k^{\prime(n)}$ is given by

$$o_k^{\prime(n)} \equiv \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_n o_k^{(n)}.$$

We define the effect of the k -th hidden unit on the j -th output dimension as

$$v_{kj}^{\text{out}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} \sum_n \left(y_j^{(n)} - z_j^{(n)} \right)^2}.$$

Once we have obtained the feature vectors v_k^{in} and v_k^{out} for the k -th hidden unit, we define a single feature vector v_k by combining these two kinds of feature vectors. In this paper, before combining the feature vectors, we normalize them so that the minimum and maximum values are the same for vectors v_k^{in} and v_k^{out} when changing the hidden unit index k . Specifically, we define the minimum and maximum values of v_k^{in} and v_k^{out} for all the hidden units as 0 and 1, respectively. This normalization is undertaken so that the effect of an input dimension on a hidden unit and the effect of a hidden unit on an output dimension are treated equally. We define a feature vector $v_k = \{v_{k,l}\}$ constituting a non-negative matrix V by the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } 1 \leq l \leq i_0, v_{k,l} &\equiv v_{k,l}^{\text{in}}, \\ \text{For } i_0 + 1 \leq l \leq i_0 + j_0, v_{k,l} &\equiv v_{k,l-i_0}^{\text{out}}, \end{aligned}$$

where i_0 and j_0 , respectively, represent the dimensions of the input and output data.

3.2 Non-negative Task Decomposition of Layered Neural Networks

Here, we describe a method for decomposing the function of whole hidden layers of a trained LNN into multiple main tasks. As shown in Figure 1, this LNN task decomposition is achieved by approximating a non-negative matrix V consisting of the feature vectors of hidden units described in section 3.1 with the product of low-dimensional non-negative matrices $T = \{t_{k,c}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{+k_0 \times c_0}$ and $U = \{u_{c,l}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{+c_0 \times (i_0 + j_0)}$, where k_0 is the number of hidden units and c_0 is a hyperparameter. By such approximation, we represent the mapping from input dimension values to output dimension values by a hidden unit as the weighted linear sum of c_0 representative vectors. The c -th row of the matrix U corresponds to the c -th representative vector, which represents a main task or input-output mapping that is performed by the hidden units in a trained LNN. On the other hand, the k -th row of the matrix T corresponds to the weights of the representative vectors that constitute the task of the k -th hidden unit. The values of the matrix T provide us with information regarding the similarity between tasks performed by different hidden units.

The above non-negative approximation $V \approx TU$ is achieved by non-negative matrix factorization performed with Algorithm 1 [9]. By iteratively updating the values of matrices T and U , we can obtain a local optimal solution for the approximation.

With the above non-negative matrix factorization, we can gain knowledge about the combination of tasks that mainly constitutes the inference mechanism of the entire trained LNN.

Algorithm 1 Non-negative Task Decomposition of Layered Neural Networks

- 1: Let a_0 be the number of iterations of the algorithm, and let V be a non-negative matrix consisting of feature vectors of hidden units.
 - 2: Initialization of matrices T and U : $t_{k,c} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1)$, and $u_{c,l} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2)$. In this paper, we set the values at $\mu_1 = \sigma_1 = \mu_2 = \sigma_2 = 0.5$.
 - 3: **for** $a = 1$ to a_0 **do**
 - 4: $t_{k,c} \leftarrow t_{k,c} \times ((VU^T)_{k,c}/(TUU^T)_{k,c})$.
 - 5: $t_{k,c} \leftarrow \max(t_{k,c}, 0)$.
 - 6: $u_{c,l} \leftarrow u_{c,l} \times ((T^TV)_{c,l}/(T^TTU)_{c,l})$.
 - 7: $u_{c,l} \leftarrow \max(u_{c,l}, 0)$.
 - 8: **end for**
-




Figure 1: Non-negative task decomposition of an LNN. **Left:** Hidden units are decomposed into multiple communities, according to their roles in inference. Our proposed method reveals the main role of each community in terms of the strength of the relationship with each input and output dimension. **Right:** Non-negative task decomposition is achieved by approximating the non-negative matrix V with the product of low-dimensional non-negative matrices T and U . Here, the k -th row of the matrix V represents the role of the k -th hidden unit in terms of the relationship with each input dimension i and each output dimension j . The k -th row of the matrix T corresponds to the clustering result of the k -th hidden unit, which is represented as a weight for each community c . The c -th row of the matrix U corresponds to the c -th decomposed task of the LNN.

4 Experiments

We show the effectiveness of our proposed method experimentally by using three types of data sets, which are the same as those used in [24].

4.1 Preliminary Experiment Using Synthetic Data Set

First, we show that our proposed method can successfully decompose the tasks of a trained LNN by using a synthetic data set with a ground truth community structure. We define the ground truth structure as constituting three independent LNNs, each of which has two hidden layers that each include 15 units. The parameters $\hat{w} = \{\hat{\omega}_{ij}^d, \hat{\theta}_i^d\}$ for the ground truth LNN are generated by $\hat{\omega}_{ij}^d \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, and $\hat{\theta}_i^d \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 0.5)$. Here, connection weights with absolute values of one or less were deleted.

We train an LNN with the data set $\{(X_n, Y_n)\}$, which was generated by $X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 3)$, and $Y_n = f(X_n, \hat{w}) + \epsilon_2$, where $\epsilon_2 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 0.05)$. Then, we apply our proposed non-negative task decomposition to the trained LNN.

Figures 2 and 3, respectively, show the trained LNN, and the decomposed tasks of the LNN. These figures show that our proposed method could appropriately decompose the trained LNN into three independent tasks, from the fact that each extracted community mainly performed mappings from input dimensions to output dimensions in one of the three independent networks. Here, note that unlike previous studies [23, 22, 21] that decompose units layer-wise, our proposed method made it possible to classify the hidden units with similar functions into the same community, even if they were in different layers.

4.2 Experiment Using Sequential Data Set of Consumer Price Index

Next, we trained an LNN with a sequential data set of a consumer price index [2] to predict the consumer price indices of taro, radish and carrot for a month from 36 months’ input data, and applied our proposed method to the trained LNN.

Figures 4 and 5, respectively, show the trained LNN, and the decomposed tasks of the LNN. From these figures, we can gain knowledge about the role of each community as follows.

- Com 1 predicts the consumer price indices for taro and radish mainly from information about taro from one month earlier and a year earlier.
- Com 2 predicts the consumer price index about radish mainly from information about radish from one and 23 months earlier, and information about taro from 14 and 25 months earlier.
- Com 3 predicts the consumer price indices of radish and carrot mainly from information about carrot from one month earlier, 21 months earlier, and three years earlier.

4.3 Experiment Using Diagram Image Data Set

Third, we applied our proposed method to an LNN trained with an image data set consisting of 20×20 pixel images of 10 types of diagrams that was also used in [24]. The bottom right of Figure 7 shows sample images for each class of diagrams. With this data set, we trained the LNN to recognize the 10 types of diagrams from input images, and applied our proposed method to the trained LNN.

Figures 6 and 7, respectively, show the trained LNN, and the decomposed tasks of the LNN. For instance, we can gain knowledge about the role of each community from these figures as follows.

- Com 2 is used for recognizing the “Rectangle,” “Heart,” and “Diamond” diagrams from the pixel information over a wide region, especially pixels near the left and right edges in the middle in a vertical direction, and pixels located in the upper left and right.
- Com 3 is used for recognizing the “Cross” and “Ribbon” diagrams mainly from the pixel information near the center of an image.
- Com 5 also uses the information given by pixels that are more localized in the center of an image than the pixels used by Com 2, and it is used for recognizing various types of diagrams, such as “Rectangle,” “Heart,” “Triangle,” “Line,” and “Two lines.”
- Com 9 is used for recognizing “Face” and “Two lines” from the information provided by pixels located in the upper part of an image.
- Com 10 mainly recognizes the “Line,” “Diamond,” “Arrow,” and “Face” diagrams from the information given by an area extending from the upper right to the lower left in an image.

5 Discussions

In this section, we discuss our proposed method in terms of both methodology and application. First, our proposed method enabled us to decompose an LNN into a given number of tasks, however,

there is no statistical method for determining the number of tasks or communities. The construction of a criterion for optimizing the number of tasks is important future work.

Next, in our proposed method, decomposed tasks are represented as non-negative vectors, and we cannot know what range of input dimension values results in what range of output dimension values. We need another method to reveal the role of each task in more detail.

Finally, it would be possible to utilize our non-negative task decomposition to improve the generalization performance of an LNN. The experimental results in section 4 show that some communities have a bigger influence on the output dimensions than others. By observing such a disparity in the effect on prediction results, we can delete hidden units that are unimportant for inference and optimize the LNN architecture.

6 Conclusion

LNNs have greatly improved predictive performance with various practical data sets, however, their inference mechanism has been black-boxed and we cannot interpret their complex training results. In this paper, we proposed a method for decomposing the function of hidden units in a trained LNN into multiple tasks, based on non-negative matrix factorization. We showed experimentally that our proposed method can provide us with knowledge about the role of each part of an LNN, in terms of which parts of the input and output dimensions are mainly related to them in relation to inference.




Figure 2: An LNN trained with a synthetic data set. The numbers above the output layer and below the input layer show the community indices of the ground truth structure. The solid and dotted lines, respectively, represent positive and negative connections (best viewed in color).




Figure 3: Decomposed tasks of an LNN trained with a synthetic data set. The font colors of the figure titles correspond to the unit colors in Figure 2 (best viewed in color).




Figure 4: An LNN trained with a food consumer price index data set (best viewed in color).




Figure 5: Decomposed tasks of an LNN trained with a food consumer price index data set. The font colors of the figure titles correspond to the unit colors in Figure 4 (best viewed in color).




Figure 6: An LNN trained with a diagram image data set (best viewed in color).




Figure 7: Decomposed tasks of an LNN trained with a diagram image data set. The font colors of the figure titles correspond to the unit colors in Figure 6 (best viewed in color). Bottom right: Sample input image data for each class.

References

- [1] R. Collobert, J. Weston, L. Bottou, M. Karlen, K. Kavukcuoglu, and P. Kuksa. Natural language processing (almost) from scratch. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:2493–2537, 2011.
- [2] e Stat. Consumer price index of food nationwide from January 1970 to January 2018. <https://www.e-stat.go.jp/dbview?sid=0003143513>, 2018.
- [3] J. N. Foerster, J. Gilmer, J. Sohl-Dickstein, J. Chorowski, and D. Sussillo. Input switched affine networks: An RNN architecture designed for interpretability. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1136–1145, 2017.
- [4] C. González, E. L. Mencía, and J. Fürnkranz. Re-training deep neural networks to facilitate Boolean concept extraction. In *Proceedings of Discovery Science 2017, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, volume 10558, pages 127–143, 2017.
- [5] G. Hinton, L. Deng, D. Yu, G. Dahl, A. Mohamed, N. Jaitly, A. Senior, V. Vanhoucke, P. Nguyen, T. Sainath, and B. Kingsbury. Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 29:82–97, 2012.
- [6] M. Ishikawa. A structural connectionist learning algorithm with forgetting. *Journal of Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence*, 5:595–603, 1990.
- [7] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. Hinton. ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2012.
- [8] Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE*, volume 86, pages 2278–2324, 1998.
- [9] D. D. Lee and H. S. Seung. Algorithms for non-negative matrix factorization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 535–541, 2000.
- [10] Y. Li, J. Yosinski, J. Clune, H. Lipson, and J. Hopcroft. Convergent learning: Do different neural networks learn the same representations? In *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Feature Extraction: Modern Questions and Challenges at NIPS 2015*, pages 196–212, 2015.
- [11] S. M. Lundberg and S. Lee. A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017.
- [12] W. Luo, Y. Li, R. Urtasun, and R. Zemel. Understanding the effective receptive field in deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2016.
- [13] T. Nagamine and N. Mesgarani. Understanding the representation and computation of multi-layer perceptrons: A case study in speech recognition. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2564–2573, 2017.
- [14] M. Raghu, J. Gilmer, J. Yosinski, and J. Sohl-Dickstein. SVCCA: Singular vector canonical correlation analysis for deep learning dynamics and interpretability. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017.
- [15] M. T. Ribeiro, S. Singh, and C. Guestrin. “Why should I trust you?”: Explaining the predictions of any classifier. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 1135–1144, 2016.

- [16] D. Rumelhart, G. Hinton, and R. Williams. Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *Nature*, 323:533–536, 1986.
- [17] T. Sainath, A. Mohamed, B. Kingsbury, and B. Ramabhadran. Deep convolutional neural networks for LVCSR. In *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, 2013.
- [18] I. Sutskever, O. Vinyals, and Q. Le. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2014.
- [19] R. Tibshirani. Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 58(1):267–288, 1996.
- [20] J. J. Tompson, A. Jain, Y. LeCun, and C. Bregler. Joint training of a convolutional network and a graphical model for human pose estimation. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2014.
- [21] C. Watanabe, K. Hiramatsu, and K. Kashino. Modular representation of autoencoder networks. In *Proceedings of 2017 IEEE Symposium on Deep Learning, 2017 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence*, 2017.
- [22] C. Watanabe, K. Hiramatsu, and K. Kashino. Recursive extraction of modular structure from layered neural networks using variational Bayes method. In *Proceedings of Discovery Science 2017, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, volume 10558, pages 207–222, 2017.
- [23] C. Watanabe, K. Hiramatsu, and K. Kashino. Modular representation of layered neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 97:62–73, 2018.
- [24] C. Watanabe, K. Hiramatsu, and K. Kashino. Understanding community structure in layered neural networks. arXiv:1804.04778, 2018.
- [25] P. Werbos. *Beyond regression : new tools for prediction and analysis in the behavioral sciences*. PhD thesis, Harvard University, 1974.
- [26] T. Zahavy, N. Ben-Zrihem, and S. Mannor. Graying the black box: Understanding DQNs. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1899–1908, 2016.