

Fisher Information and Natural Gradient Learning of Random Deep Networks

Shun-ichi Amari^{*†}Ryo Karakida[†]Masafumi Oizumi[‡]

Abstract

A deep neural network is a hierarchical nonlinear model transforming input signals to output signals. Its input-output relation is considered to be stochastic, being described for a given input by a parameterized conditional probability distribution of outputs. The space of parameters consisting of weights and biases is a Riemannian manifold, where the metric is defined by the Fisher information matrix. The natural gradient method uses the steepest descent direction in a Riemannian manifold, so it is effective in learning, avoiding plateaus. It requires inversion of the Fisher information matrix, however, which is practically impossible when the matrix has a huge number of dimensions. Many methods for approximating the natural gradient have therefore been introduced. The present paper uses statistical neurodynamical method to reveal the properties of the Fisher information matrix in a net of random connections under the mean field approximation. We prove that the Fisher information matrix is unit-wise block diagonal supplemented by small order terms of off-block-diagonal elements, which provides a justification for the quasi-diagonal natural gradient method by Y. Ollivier. A unitwise block-diagonal Fisher matrix reduces to the tensor product of the Fisher information matrices of single units. We further prove that the Fisher information matrix of a single unit has a simple reduced form, a sum of a diagonal matrix and a rank 2 matrix of weight-bias correlations. We obtain the inverse of Fisher information explicitly. We then have an explicit form of the natural gradient, without relying on the numerical matrix inversion, which drastically speeds up stochastic gradient learning.

^{*}RIKEN CBS, Wako-shi, Japan

[†]AIST, Tokyo, Japan

[‡]Araya Inc., Tokyo, Japan

1 Introduction

In modern deep learning, multilayer neural networks are usually trained by using the stochastic gradient-descent method (See Amari, 1967 for one of the earliest proposal of stochastic gradient descent for the purpose of applying multilayer networks). The parameter space of multilayer networks forms a Riemannian space equipped with Fisher information metric. Thus, instead of the usual gradient descent method, the natural gradient or Riemannian gradient method, which takes account of the geometric structure of the Riemmanian space, is more effective for learning (Amari, 1998). However, it has been difficult to apply the natural gradient descent because it needs the inversion of the Fisher information matrix, which is computationally heavy. Many approximation methods reducing computational costs have therefore been proposed (see Pascanu & Bengio, 2013; Grosse & Martens, 2016; Martens, 2017).

To resolve the computational difficulty of the natural gradient, we analyze the Fisher information matrix of a random network, where the connection weights and biases are randomly assigned, by using the mean field approximation (See also our accompanying paper Amari, Karakida and Oizumi, 2018 for the analysis of feedforward paths). We prove that, when the number n of neural units in each layer is sufficiently large, the subblocks of the Fisher information matrix \mathbf{G} corresponding to different layers are of order $1/\sqrt{n}$, which is negligibly small. Thus, \mathbf{G} is approximated by a layer-wise diagonalized matrix. Furthermore, within the same layer, the subblocks among different units are also of order $1/\sqrt{n}$.

This gives a justification for the approximated natural gradient method proposed by Kurita (1994) and studied in detail by Ollivier (2015) and Marceau-Caron and Ollivier (2016), where the unit-wise diagonalized \mathbf{G} was used. We further study the Fisher information matrix of a unit—that is, a simple perceptron—for the purpose of implementing unit-wise natural gradient learning. We obtain an explicit form of the Fisher information matrix and its inverse under the assumption that inputs are subject to the standard non-correlated Gaussian distribution with mean 0. The unit-wise natural gradient is explicitly formulated without numerical matrix inversion, provided inputs signals are subject to independent Gaussian distributions with mean 0, making it possible that natural gradient learning is realized without the burden of heavy




Figure 1: Deep neural network

computation. The results justify the quasi-diagonal approximation of the Fisher information matrix proposed by Y. Ollivier (2015), although our results are not exactly the same as Ollivier’s results. Our approximation method is justified only for random networks under the mean-field assumption. However, it is expected that it would be effective for training actual deep networks considering the good performance shown in Olivier, 2015 and Marceau-Caron and Ollivier, 2016.

The results can be extended to residual deep networks with ReLU. We show that the inputs to each layer are approximately subject to 0-mean independent Gaussian distributions in the case of a resnet, because of random linear transformations after nonlinear transformations in all layers. Therefore, our method would be particularly effective when residual networks are used.

To understand the structure of the Fisher information matrix, refer to Karakida, Akaho and Amari (2018), which analyzes the characteristics (the distribution of its eigenvalues) of the Fisher information matrix of a random net for the first time.

2 Deep neural networks

We consider a deep neural network consisting of L layers. Let \mathbf{x}^{l-1} be the input vectors to the l -th layer and \mathbf{x}^l the output vector of the l -th layer (see Figure 1). The input-output relation of the l -th layer is written as

$$x_i^l = \varphi \left(\sum_j w_{ij}^l x_j^{l-1} + b_i^l \right), \quad (1)$$

where φ is an activation function such as a rectified linear function (ReLU), sigmoid function, etc. Let n_l be the number of neurons in the l -th layer. We assume that n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{L-1}

are large, but the number of neurons in the final layer, n_L , can be small. Even $n_L = 1$ is allowed. The weights w_{ij}^l and biases b_j^l are random variables subject to independent Gaussian distributions with mean 0 and variances σ_l^2/n_{l-1} and σ_{bl}^2 , respectively. Note that each weight is a random variable of order $1/\sqrt{n_{l-1}}$, but the weighted sum $\sum w_{ij}^l x_j^{l-1}$ is of order 1.

We recapture briefly the feedforward analysis of input signals given in Poole et al., 2016 and Amari, Karakida and Oizumi, 2018, to introduce the activity A^l and enlargement factor χ^l . They also play a role in the feedback analysis obtaining the Fisher information (Schoenholz et al., 2016; Karakida, Akaho and Amari, 2018).

Let us put

$$u_i = \sum_j w_{ij}^l x_j^{l-1} + b_i^l. \quad (2)$$

Given \mathbf{x}^{l-1} , u_i are independently and identically distributed (iid) Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance

$$\tau_l^2 = \frac{\sigma_l^2}{n} \sum (x_j^{l-1})^2 + \sigma_{bl}^2 = A^{l-1} \sigma_l^2 + \sigma_{bl}^2, \quad (3)$$

where

$$A^{l-1} = \frac{1}{n_{l-1}} \sum x_j^{l-1 2} \quad (4)$$

is the total activity of input \mathbf{x}^{l-1} .

It is easy to show how A^l develops across the layers. Since $x_j^2 = \varphi(u_j)^2$ are iid when \mathbf{x}^{l-1} is fixed, the law of large numbers guarantees that their sum is replaced by the expectation when n_{l-1} is large. Putting $u_j = \tau_l v$ where v is the standard Gaussian variables, we have a recursive equation,

$$A^l = \int \{\varphi(\tau_l v)\}^2 Dv, \quad (5)$$

where τ_l in equation (3) depends on A^{l-1} and

$$Dv = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{v^2}{2}\right\} dv. \quad (6)$$

Since equation (1) gives the transformation from \mathbf{x}^{l-1} to \mathbf{x}^l , we study how a small difference $d\mathbf{x}^{l-1}$ in the input develops to give difference $d\mathbf{x}^l$ in the output. By differentiating equation (1),

we have

$$d \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{B} d \mathbf{x}^{l-1} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^l}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{l-1}} \quad (8)$$

is a matrix whose (i_l, i_{l-1}) -th element is given by

$$B_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l} = \varphi'(u_{i_l}) w_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l}. \quad (9)$$

It is a random variable of order $1/\sqrt{n_{l-1}}$. Here and hereafter, we denote w_{ij}^l by $w_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l}$, eliminating superfix l and using i_l and i_{l-1} instead of i and j . These index notations are convenient for showing that the corresponding w 's belong to layer l .

We show how the square of the Euclidean length of $d \mathbf{x}$,

$$d s^2 = \sum_{i_l} (d x_{i_l})^2, \quad (10)$$

is related to that of $d \mathbf{x}^{l-1}$. This relation can be seen from

$$d s^2 = \sum_{i_l, i_{l-1}, i'_{l-1}} B_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l} B_{i'_{l-1}}^{i_l} d x_{i_{l-1}} d x_{i'_{l-1}}. \quad (11)$$

For any pair i_{l-1} and i'_{l-1} , n_l random variables $B_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l} B_{i'_{l-1}}^{i_l}$ are iid for all i_l when \mathbf{x}^{l-1} is fixed, so the law of large numbers guarantees that

$$\sum_{i_l} B_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l} B_{i'_{l-1}}^{i_l} = n_l \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi'(u_{i_l})^2 w_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l} w_{i'_{l-1}}^{i_l} \right] + O_p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_l}} \right), \quad (12)$$

where \mathbb{E} is the expectation with respect to the weights and biases and $O_p(1/\sqrt{n})$ represents small terms of stochastic order $1/\sqrt{n}$. We use the mean field property that $\varphi'(u_{i_l})$ has the self-averaging property and the average of the product of $\varphi'(u_{i_l})^2$ and $w_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l} w_{i'_{l-1}}^{i_l}$ in equation (12) splits as

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\varphi'(u_{i_l})^2 \right] \mathbb{E} \left[w_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l} w_{i'_{l-1}}^{i_l} \right]. \quad (13)$$

This is justified in appendix I. By putting

$$\chi = \sigma_l^2 \int \{ \varphi'(\tau_l v) \}^2 Dv, \quad (14)$$

we have from equation (11)

$$d^l s^2 = \chi^l d^{l-1} s^2, \quad (15)$$

by using

$$\mathbb{E} \left[w_{i_{l-1}}^{i_l} w_{i'_{l-1}}^{i'_l} \right] = \frac{\sigma_l^2}{n_l} \delta_{i_{l-1} i'_{l-1}}. \quad (16)$$

Here χ^l which depends on A^{l-1} , is the enlargement factor showing how $d^{l-1} \mathbf{x}$ is enlarged or reduced across layer l .

From the recursive relation (15), we have

$$d^L s^2 = \chi_L^L d^{L-1} s^2, \quad (17)$$

$$\chi_L^L = \chi^L \chi^{L-1} \cdots \chi^l. \quad (18)$$

Assume that all the χ^l are equal. Then, it gives the Lyapunov exponent of dynamics equation (15). When it is larger than 1, the length diverges as the layers proceed, whereas when it is smaller than 1, the length decays to 0. The dynamics of $d^l s^2$ is chaotic when $\chi^l > 1$ (Poole et al, 2016). Interesting information processing takes place at the edge of chaos, where χ_L^L is nearly equal to 1 (Yang & Schoenholz, 2017). We have interest in the case where χ_L^L is nearly equal to 1, but each χ^l 's are distributed, some being smaller than 1 and the others larger than 1.

3 Fisher information of deep networks and natural gradient learning

We study a regression model in which the output of layer L , $\mathbf{x}^L = \varphi(\mathbf{u}^L)$,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^L + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad (19)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, \mathbf{I})$ is a multivariate Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and identity covariance matrix \mathbf{I} . Then the probability of \mathbf{y} given input \mathbf{x} is

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n_L}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^L \right|^2 \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where \mathbf{W} consists of all the parameters $\overset{l}{\mathbf{w}}$, and $\overset{l}{b}$, $l = 1, \dots, L$. The Fisher information matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} [(\partial_{\mathbf{W}} \log p) (\partial_{\mathbf{W}} \log p)], \quad (21)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}}$ denotes the expectation with respect to randomly generated input \mathbf{x} and resultant \mathbf{y} and $\partial_{\mathbf{W}} = \partial/\partial\mathbf{W}$ is gradient with respect to \mathbf{W} . By using error vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ in (19), we have

$$\partial_{\mathbf{W}} \log p = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{W}} \overset{L}{\mathbf{x}}. \quad (22)$$

For fixed \mathbf{x} , expectation with respect to \mathbf{y} is replaced by that of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, where $\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = \mathbf{I}$. Hence, (21) is given by

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\sum_{i_L} \{ \partial_{\mathbf{W}} \varphi(u_{i_L}) \} \{ \partial_{\mathbf{W}} \varphi(u_{i_L}) \} \right]. \quad (23)$$

Here, we use the dyadic or tensor notation that \mathbf{ab} implies a matrix $(a_i b_j)$, instead of vector-matrix notation \mathbf{ab}^T for column vectors.

Online learning is a method of modifying the current \mathbf{W} such that the current loss

$$l = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_t^L|^2 \quad (24)$$

decreases, where $(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t)$ is the current input-output pair. The stochastic gradient decent method (proposed in Amari, 1967) uses the gradient of l to modify \mathbf{W} ,

$$\Delta \mathbf{W} = -\eta \frac{\partial l}{\partial \mathbf{W}}. \quad (25)$$

Historically, the first simulation results applied to four-layer networks for pattern classification were given in a Japanese book (Amari, 1968). The minibatch method uses the average of $\partial l/\partial \mathbf{W}$ over minibatch samples.

The negative gradient is a direction to decrease the current loss but is not steepest in a Riemannian manifold. The true steepest direction is given by

$$\tilde{\nabla} l = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \mathbf{W}}, \quad (26)$$

which is called the natural or Riemannian gradient (Amari, 1998). The natural gradient method is given by

$$\Delta \mathbf{W} = -\eta \tilde{\nabla} l. \quad (27)$$

It is known to be Fisher efficient for estimating \mathbf{W} (Amari, 1998). Although it gives excellent performances, the inversion of \mathbf{G} is computationally very difficult.

To avoid difficulty, the quasi-diagonal natural gradient method was proposed in Ollivier (2015) and was shown to be very efficient in Marcereau-Caron and Ollivier (2016). A recent proposal (Ollivier, 2017) looks very promising for realizing natural gradient learning. The present paper analyzes the structure of the Fisher information matrix. It will give a justification of the quasi-diagonal natural gradient method. By using it, we propose a new method of realizing natural gradient learning without the burden of inverting \mathbf{G} .

4 Structure of Fisher information matrix

To calculate elements of \mathbf{G} , we use a new notation combining connection weights \mathbf{w} and bias b into one vector,

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

For the i_l -th unit of layer l , it is

$$\mathbf{w}_{i_l}^* = \begin{pmatrix} w_{i_l-1}^{i_l} \\ b^{i_l} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

For $l > m$, we have the recursive relation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^l}{\partial \mathbf{w}^*} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^l}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{l-1}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{l-1}}{\partial \mathbf{w}^*} = \mathbf{B} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{l-1}}{\partial \mathbf{w}^*}. \quad (30)$$

Starting from $l = L$ and using

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^m}{\partial \mathbf{w}^*} = \varphi'(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{x}^{m-1}, \quad (31)$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^L}{\partial \mathbf{w}^*} = \mathbf{B} \cdots \mathbf{B} \varphi'(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{x}^{m-1}. \quad (32)$$

Put

$$\mathbf{B}_{m+1}^L = \mathbf{B} \cdots \mathbf{B}, \quad (33)$$

which is a product of $L - (m - 1)$ matrices. The elements of \mathbf{B}_{m+1}^L are denoted by $B_{i_m}^{i_L}$.

We calculate the Fisher information \mathbf{G} given in equation (23). The elements of \mathbf{G} with respect to layers l and m are written as

$$\mathbf{G} \left(\begin{matrix} m & l \\ \mathbf{w}^* & \mathbf{w}^* \end{matrix} \right) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^L}{\partial \mathbf{w}^*} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^L}{\partial \mathbf{w}^*} \right], \quad (34)$$

where \cdot denotes the inner product with respect to \mathbf{x}^L . The (i_m, i_{m-1}) elements of $\partial \mathbf{x}^L / \partial \mathbf{w}^*$ are, for fixed i_L ,

$$B_{i_m}^{i_L} \varphi'(u_{i_m}) x_{i_{m-1}}. \quad (35)$$

Hence, (34) is written in the component form as

$$\left[\mathbf{G} \left(\begin{matrix} m & l \\ \mathbf{w}^* & \mathbf{w}^* \end{matrix} \right) \right]_{i_{m-1} i_{l-1}}^{i_m i_l} = \sum_{i_L} B_{i_m}^{i_L} B_{i_l}^{i_L} \varphi'(u_{i_m}) \varphi'(u_{i_l}) x_{i_{m-1}} x_{i_{l-1}}. \quad (36)$$

We first consider the case $m = l$, that is, two neurons are in the same layer m . The following lemma is useful for evaluating $\sum B_{i_m}^{i_L} B_{i'_m}^{i_L}$.

Domino Lemma We assume that all n_l are of order n .

$$\sum_{i_L, i'_L} \delta_{i_L i'_L} B_{i_m}^{i_L} B_{i'_m}^{i'_L} = \chi_{m+1}^L \delta_{i_m i'_m} + O_p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \quad (37)$$

Proof. We first prove the case with $m = L - 1$. We have

$$\sum_{i_L} \delta_{i_L i'_L} B_{i_{L-1}}^{i_L} B_{i'_{L-1}}^{i'_L} = \sum_{i_L} \{\varphi'(u_{i_L})\}^2 w_{i_{L-1}}^{i_L} w_{i'_{L-1}}^{i'_L}. \quad (38)$$

When $i_{L-1} = i'_{L-1}$, this is a sum of n_L iid random variables $\{\varphi'(u_{i_L})\}^2 (w_{i_{L-1}}^{i_L})^2$, when input \mathbf{x} is fixed. Therefore, the law of large numbers guarantees that, as n_L goes to infinity, their sum converges to the expectation,

$$n_L \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\{\varphi'(u_{i_L})\}^2 (w_{i_{L-1}}^{i_L})^2 \right] = \chi \quad (39)$$

under the mean field approximation for any i_L . For fixed $i_{L-1} \neq i'_{L-1}$, the right-hand side of equation (38) is also a sum of iid variables with mean 0. Hence, its mean is 0. We evaluate its variance, proving that the variance is

$$n_L \mathbb{E} \left[\{\varphi'(u_{i_L})\}^4 (w_{i_{L-1}}^{i_L})^2 (w_{i'_{L-1}}^{i'_L})^2 \right] \quad (40)$$

which is of order $1/n_L$, because $E \left[\left(w_{i_{L-1}}^{i_L} \right)^2 \right]$ is of order $1/n_L$. Hence we have

$$\sum \delta_{i_L i'_L} B_{i_{L-1}}^{i_L} B_{i'_{L-1}}^{i'_L} = \chi^L \delta_{i_{L-1} i'_{L-1}} + O_p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} \right). \quad (41)$$

When $m < L - 1$, we repeat the process $L - 1, \dots$. Then $\delta_{i_L i'_L}$ in the left-hand side of equation (37) propagates to give $\delta_{i_m i'_m}$ like the domino effect, leaving multiplicative factors χ^l . This proves the theorem. \square

Remark: The domino lemma holds irrespective of $n_l > n_{l-1}$ or $n_l < n_{l-1}$, provided they are large. However, matrix \mathbf{B}_m^L is not of full rank, its rank being $\min \{n_L, \dots, n_m\}$.

By using this result, we evaluate off-diagonal blocks of \mathbf{G} under the mean field approximation (39).

Theorem 1. The Fisher information matrix \mathbf{G} is unit-wise diagonal except for terms of stochastic order $O_p(1/\sqrt{n})$.

Proof. We first calculate the off-diagonal blocks of the Fisher information matrix within the same layers. The Fisher information submatrix within layer m is

$$\mathbf{G} \begin{pmatrix} m & m \\ \mathbf{w}^* & \mathbf{w}'^* \end{pmatrix} = E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\sum \delta_{i_L i'_L} B_{i_m}^{i_L} B_{i'_m}^{i'_L} \varphi'(u_{i_m}) \varphi'(u_{i'_m}) x_{i_{m-1}} x_{i'_{m-1}} \right], \quad (42)$$

which are elements of submatrix of \mathbf{G} corresponding to neurons i_m and i'_m both in the same layer m . By the domino lemma, we have

$$\mathbf{G} \begin{pmatrix} m & m \\ \mathbf{w}^* & \mathbf{w}'^* \end{pmatrix} = E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\chi_m^L \{ \varphi'(u_{i_m}) \}^2 x_{i_{m-1}} x_{i'_{m-1}} \right] \delta_{i_m i'_m} + O_p \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \quad (43)$$

This shows that the submatrix is unit-wise block diagonal: that is, the blocks of different neurons i_m and i'_m ($i_m \neq i'_m$) are 0 except for terms of order $1/\sqrt{n}$.

We next study the blocks of different layers l and m ($m < l$),

$$\mathbf{G} \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ \mathbf{w}^l & \mathbf{w}^{*m} \end{pmatrix} = E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\sum_{i_L, i'_L} \delta_{i_L i'_L} B_{i_l}^{i_L} B_{i'_m}^{i'_L} \varphi'(u_{i_l}) \varphi'(u_{i'_m}) \mathbf{x}^{l-1} \mathbf{x}^{m-1} \right]. \quad (44)$$

We have

$$B_{i_m}^{i_L} = \sum_{i_l} B_{i_l}^{i_L} B_{i_m}^{i_l}. \quad (45)$$

By using the domino lemma, \mathbf{G} is written as

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\chi_l^L B_{i_m}^{i_l} \varphi'(u_{i_l}) \varphi'(u_{i_m}) \mathbf{x}^{l-1} \mathbf{x}^{m-1} \right]. \quad (46)$$

When $m = l - 1$,

$$B_{i_{m-1}}^{i_l} = \varphi'(u_{i_l}) w_{i_{m-1}}^{i_l} \quad (47)$$

and hence it is of order $1/\sqrt{n}$. In general, $B_{i_m}^{i_l}$ is a sum of n^{l-m} 0 mean iid random variables with variance of order $1/n^{l-m+1}$. Hence, its mean is 0 and variance is of order $1/n$, proving that (46) is of order $1/\sqrt{n}$. \square

Inspired from this, we define a new metric \mathbf{G}^* as an approximation of \mathbf{G} , such that all the off-diagonal block terms of \mathbf{G} are discarded, putting them equal to 0. We study the natural (Riemannian) gradient method which uses \mathbf{G}^* as the Riemannian metric. Note that \mathbf{G}^* is an approximation of \mathbf{G} , \mathbf{G} tending to \mathbf{G}^* for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the max-norm, but \mathbf{G}^{*-1} is not a good approximation to \mathbf{G}^* . This is because the max-norm of a matrix is not sub-multiplicative. See the remark below.

Remark: One should note that the approximately block diagonal structure is not closed in the matrix multiplication and inversion. Even though \mathbf{G} is approximately unitwise block diagonal, its square is not, as is shown in the following. For simplicity, we assume that

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{B}, \quad (48)$$

where \mathbf{I} is an identity matrix and $\mathbf{B} = (b_{ij})$ is a random matrix of order 1, b_{ij} being independent random variables subject to $N(0, 1)$. Then

$$\mathbf{G}^2 = \mathbf{I} + \frac{2\mathbf{B}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^2. \quad (49)$$

Here the (i, j) -th element of \mathbf{B}^2 is

$$\sum_k B_{ik} B_{kj}, \quad (50)$$

a sum of n independent random variables. Hence, although its mean is 0, it is of order 1. Hence, the off-diagonal elements are no more small. The same situation holds for \mathbf{G}^{-1} .

We may also note that the Riemannian magnitude of vector \mathbf{a} ,

$$\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{a} = \sum G_{ij} a_i a_j \quad (51)$$

is not approximated by $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{a}$, because we cannot neglect the off-diagonal elements of \mathbf{G} .

Recently, Karakida, Akaho & Amari (2018) analyzed characteristics of the original metric \mathbf{G} (not \mathbf{G}^*). They evaluated the traces of \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{G}^2 to analyze the distribution of eigenvalues of \mathbf{G} , which proves that the small off-diagonal elements cause a long-tail distribution of eigenvalues. This elucidates the landscape of the error surface in a random deep net. In contrast, the present study focuses on the approximated metric \mathbf{G}^* . It enables us to give an explicit form of the Fisher information matrix, directly applicable to natural gradient methods, as follows.

5 Unit-wise Fisher information

Because \mathbf{G}^* is unit-wise block-diagonal, it is enough to calculate the Fisher information matrices of single units. We assume that its input vector \mathbf{x} is subject to $N(0, \mathbf{I})$. This does not hold in general. However, it holds approximately for a randomly connected resnet, as is shown in the next section.

Let us introduce a new $(n + 1)$ -dimensional vectors for a single unit:

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\mathbf{w}, w_0), \quad (52)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{x}, x_0), \quad (53)$$

where $w_0 = b$ and $x_0 = 1$. Then, the output of the unit is $\varphi(u) = \varphi(\mathbf{w}^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^*)$, $u = \mathbf{w}^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^*$. The Fisher information matrix is an $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix written as

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\{\varphi'(u)\}^2 \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x}^{*T} \right]. \quad (54)$$

We introduce a set of new $n + 1$ orthonormal basis vectors in the space of $\mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{x}, x_0)$ as

$$\mathbf{e}_0^* = (0, \dots, 0, 1), \quad (55)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_i^* = (\mathbf{a}_i, 0), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, \quad (56)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_n^* = \frac{1}{w}(\mathbf{w}, 0), \quad w^2 = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}, \quad (57)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are arbitrary orthogonal unit vectors, satisfying $\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$, $\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j = \delta_{ij}$. That is, $\{\mathbf{e}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^*\}$ is a rotation of $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ and we put $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_0^*$.

Here $\{\mathbf{e}_i^*\}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n, n+1$ are mutually orthogonal unit vectors and \mathbf{e}_n^* is the unit vector in the direction of \mathbf{w} . Since \mathbf{x}^* and \mathbf{w}^* are represented in the new basis as

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^* \mathbf{e}_i^*, \quad \mathbf{w}^* = b\mathbf{e}_0^* + w\mathbf{e}_n^*, \quad (58)$$

we have

$$\mathbf{G} = \text{E} \left[\{\varphi'(\mathbf{w}^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^*)\}^2 \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x}^{*T} \right]. \quad (59)$$

Moreover, (x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*) are orthogonal transformation of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Hence, $x_i^*, i = 1, \dots, n$, are jointly independent Gaussian, subject to $N(0, 1)$, and $x_0^* = 1$.

In order to obtain \mathbf{G} , let us put

$$\mathbf{G} = \sum_{i,j=0}^n A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i^* \mathbf{e}_j^{*T} \quad (60)$$

in the dyadic notation. Then, the coefficients A_{ij} are given by

$$A_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i^{*T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{e}_j^*, \quad (61)$$

which are elements of \mathbf{G} in the coordinate system $\{\mathbf{e}_i^*\}$. From $\mathbf{w}^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^* = wx_n + w_0$ and equation (59), we have

$$A_{00} = \mathbf{e}_0^{*T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{e}_0^* = \int \{\varphi'(wx_n + w_0)\}^2 Dx_n^*, \quad (62)$$

$$A_{0n} = \mathbf{e}_0^{*T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{e}_n^* = \int x_n^* \{\varphi'(wx_n + w_0)\}^2 Dx_n^*, \quad (63)$$

$$A_{nn} = \mathbf{e}_n^{*T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{e}_n^* = \int x_n^{*2} \{\varphi'(wx_n + w_0)\}^2 Dx_n^*, \quad (64)$$

which depend on (\mathbf{w}, w_0) . We further have, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$A_{ii} = \mathbf{e}_i^{*T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{e}_i^* = A_{00}, \quad (65)$$

$$A_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i^{*T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{e}_j^* = 0 \quad (j \neq i), \quad (66)$$

$$A_{i0} = \mathbf{e}_i^{*T} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{e}_0^* = 0 \quad (i \neq n). \quad (67)$$

From these, we obtain \mathbf{G} in the dyadic form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} = & A_{00} \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{e}_i^* \mathbf{e}_i^{*T} + (A_{nn} - A_{00}) \mathbf{e}_n^* \mathbf{e}_n^{*T} \\ & + A_{0n} (\mathbf{e}_n^* \mathbf{e}_0^{*T} + \mathbf{e}_0^* \mathbf{e}_n^{*T}). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where

$$\bar{A}_{00} = \frac{1}{A_{00}}, \quad (77)$$

$$X = \frac{1}{D}A_{00} - \bar{A}_{00}, \quad Y = \frac{-A_{n0}}{D}, \quad Z = \frac{A_{nn}}{D} - \bar{A}_{00}, \quad (78)$$

$$D = A_{00}A_{nn} - A_{n0}^2. \quad (79)$$

By using the above equations, $\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*$ is obtained explicitly, so we do not need to calculate back-propagated \mathbf{G} and its inverse for the natural gradient update of \mathbf{W} .

The natural gradient method for each unit is written by using the back-propagated error e as

$$\Delta\mathbf{w}^* = -\eta e \mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^*, \quad (80)$$

which splits as

$$\Delta\mathbf{w} = -\eta e \left[\bar{A}_{00}\mathbf{x} + \left(\frac{X}{\mathbf{w}^2}\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \frac{Y}{w} \right) \mathbf{w} \right], \quad (81)$$

$$\Delta w_0 = -\eta e \left(\bar{A}_{00} + \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{w} Y + Z \right) w_0. \quad (82)$$

The back-propagated error e is calculated as follows. Let e_{i_m} be the back-propagated error of neuron i_m in layer m . It is given by the well-known error backpropagation as

$$e_{i_m} = \sum_{i_L, i_{m+1}} e_{i_L}^L B_{i_L, i_{m+1}}^{i_L} \varphi'(u_{i_{m+1}}) w_{i_m}^{i_{m+1}}, \quad (83)$$

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}. \quad (84)$$

We can implement the unit-wise natural gradient method using equations (81) and (82) without calculating \mathbf{G}^{*-1} . However, the unit-wise \mathbf{G} is derived under the condition that the input \mathbf{x} to each neuron is subject to a 0-mean Gaussian distribution. This does not hold in general, so we need to adjust \mathbf{x} by a linear transformation. We will see that a residual network automatically makes the input \mathbf{x} to each layer be subject to a 0-mean Gaussian distribution.

Obviously, \mathbf{W} is no more random Gaussian with mean 0 after learning. However, since the unit-wise natural gradient proposed here is computationally so easy, it is worth trying for practical applications even after learning.




Figure 2: Residual network

Except for a rank 1 term $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = (w_i w_j)$ and bias terms $\mathbf{e}_0 \tilde{\mathbf{w}} + \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{e}_0$, \mathbf{G}^{-1} is a diagonal matrix. In other words, as is seen in equation (72), it is diagonal except for a row and column corresponding to the bias terms and the rank 1 term $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$. Except for the rank 1 term $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$, it has the same structure as that of the quasi-diagonal matrix of Ollivier (2015), justifying the quasi-diagonal method.

6 Fisher information of residual network

The residual network has direct paths from its input to output in each layer. We treat the following block of layer l : The layer l transforms input \mathbf{x}^{l-1} to output \mathbf{x}^l by

$$x_i^l = \sum_j v_{ij}^l \varphi(u_j^l) + \alpha x_i^{l-1}, \quad (85)$$

$$u_j^l = \sum_k w_{jk}^l x_k^{l-1} + b_j \quad (86)$$

(see Figure 2). Here $\alpha \leq 1$ is a decay factor, ($\alpha = 1$ is conventionally used), and v_{ij}^l are randomly generated iid Gaussian variables subject to $N(0, \sigma_v^2/n)$.

We show how the activity develops in a residual network (Yang and Schoenholz, 2017). We easily have the recursive relation,

$${}^l A = \frac{1}{n} \sum \left(v_{ij}^l \varphi(u_j^l) + \alpha x_i^{l-1} \right) \left(v_{ik}^l \varphi(u_k^l) + \alpha x_i^{l-1} \right) \quad (87)$$

$$= \sigma_v^2 \bar{A}^l + \alpha^2 {}^{l-1} A, \quad (88)$$

where

$$\bar{A}^l = \int \{\varphi(\tau v)\}^2 Dv \quad (89)$$

Eq (88) shows that \bar{A}^l diverges to infinity as l increase when $\alpha \geq 1$. Therefore, we recommend to use $\alpha < 1$.

The layer l consists of two sublayers. One is the ordinary neural network with weights w_{jk}^l , bias b_j^l and activation function φ . The other is a linear network that randomizes the outputs $\varphi(u_j)$ of the first layer, transforming them to asymptotically independent 0-mean Gaussian random variables. Therefore, mean 0 quasi independent Gaussianity is guaranteed for \mathbf{x}^l . Since the second linear network is used for the purpose making output \mathbf{x}^l subject to 0-mean independent Gaussian distributions, we fix them throughout the learning process for simplicity. That is, $\{w_{ij}^l, b_i^l\}$ are subject only to stochastic gradient learning. Therefore, we study the Fisher information with respect to $\{w_{ij}^l, b_i^l\}$ only. It is redundant to train both v_{ij} and w_{ij} . The role of v_{ij} is to Gaussianize the outputs of layers. We recommend to fix v_{ij}^l throughout learning processes once they are randomly assigned in the initial stage.

We calculate the following recursive formula,

$$\frac{\partial x_i^l}{\partial w_{st}^m} = \sum_{j,k} v_{ij}^l \varphi'(u_j^l) w_{jk}^l \frac{\partial x_k^{l-1}}{\partial w_{st}^m} + \alpha \frac{\partial x_i^{l-1}}{\partial w_{st}^m} \quad (90)$$

$$= \sum_k B_{ik}^l \frac{\partial x_k^{l-1}}{\partial w_{st}^m}, \quad (91)$$

where

$$B_{ik}^l = \sum_j v_{ij}^l \varphi'(u_j^l) w_{jk}^l + \alpha \delta_{ik} \quad (92)$$

in the case of a residual net. Note that B_{ik}^l is of order $1/\sqrt{n}$ when $i \neq k$, and

$$B_{ii}^l = \alpha + O_p(1/\sqrt{n}). \quad (93)$$

From this we have

$$\frac{\partial x_i^L}{\partial w_{st}^m} = \sum_k B_k^i v_{ks}^m \varphi'(u_s^m) x_t^{m-1} \quad (94)$$

and

$$G(w_{st}, w_{s't'}^l) = E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\sum_i B_{km}^i B_{kl}^i v_{kms}^m v_{kls'}^l \varphi'(u_s^m) \varphi'(u_{s'}^l) x_t^{m-1} x_{t'}^l \right]. \quad (95)$$

Here we again use the domino theorem, where previous χ is replaced by

$$\bar{\chi} = \sigma_v^2 \chi + \alpha. \quad (96)$$

Since v_{ks}^m and $v_{k's'}^l$ are independent when $m \neq l$, $G(w_{st}^m, w_{s't'}^l)$ is of order $1/\sqrt{n}$. This is true when $m = l$, $s \neq s'$. So we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. The Fisher information matrix \mathbf{G} of a residual net is unit-wise diagonal to within terms of order $1/\sqrt{n}$.

Since \mathbf{x}^l are asymptotically subject to $N(0, \bar{\sigma}^2)$, where $\bar{\sigma}^2$ is determined from χ , we can apply our procedure of unit-wise natural gradient learning described in the previous section without any modification.

We suggest the following approximate learning algorithm for a residual network with the ReLU activation function:

1. Fix σ_v^2 and σ_w^2 and $\alpha < 1$.
2. Given a training example $(\mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{x}_t)$, calculate the back-propagated error e_{i_m} based on equation like (83) for each unit i_m of the m -th layer.
3. Using the current $\mathbf{w}_{i_m}^*$, calculate \bar{A}_∞, X, Y and Z from equations (77)–(79) and Appendix II.
4. Update the current $\mathbf{w}_{i_m}^*$ by using equations (81)–(82).
5. We may use the Polyak averaging (Polyak & Juditsky, 1992) after learning.

It is interest to compare the result of the present algorithm with that of the quasi-diagonal method (Marceau-Caron & Ollivier, 2016). Ollivier (2018) proposed an adaptive method of obtaining $\mathbf{G}^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{W}} l$ recursively from data. The method, called TANGO, looks promising for implementing natural gradient learning, and our method can be used to obtain the initial value of the velocity vector in TANGO.

7 Conclusions

The paper applies the statistical neurodynamical method for studying the Fisher information matrix of deep random networks. In continuation to the accompanying paper (Amari, Karakida & Oizumi; 2018) on the feedforward paths where signal are transformed through random networks (see Poole et al, 2016), it addresses the feedback paths in which error signals are back-propagated (Schoenholz et al., 2017). The Fisher information is calculated from back-propagated errors. The main result of the paper is to prove that the Fisher information matrix in a large random network is unit-wise block diagonalized approximately. This justifies the unit-wise natural gradient method (Ollivier, 2015). The unit-wise Fisher information is a tensor product of the Fisher information matrices of single neurons. We calculated the Fisher information and its inverse explicitly, showing its peculiar structure. It justifies the quasi-diagonal natural gradient method (Ollivier, 2015). We finally proposed to apply the present results to a resnet, where $\alpha < 1$ and v_{ij} are fixed.

Appendix I: Self-averaging property

Let us treat a simple case

$$u = \sum w_i x_i, \tag{97}$$

where x_i are fixed and $w_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2/n)$. We consider

$$E[f(u)w_i w_j], \tag{98}$$

where we put $f(u) = \{\varphi'(u)\}^2$ and $i_{l-1} = i, i'_{l-1} = j$. Put

$$\tilde{u} = \sum_{i \neq 1,2} w_i x_i. \tag{99}$$

Then

$$u = \tilde{u} + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2, \tag{100}$$

$$f(u) = f(\tilde{u}) + f'(\tilde{u})(w_i x_i + w_j x_j). \tag{101}$$

We have, neglecting higher-order terms,

$$E[f(u)w_iw_j] = E[f(\tilde{u})w_iw_j] + E[f'(\tilde{u})w_iw_j(w_ix_i + w_jx_j)]. \quad (102)$$

Since \tilde{u} and w_1w_2 are independent,

$$E[f(u)w_iw_j] = E[f(\tilde{u})]E[w_iw_j] \quad (103)$$

$$= E[f(u)]E[w_iw_j] \quad (104)$$

except for higher-order terms.

Appendix II: Fisher information for ReLU

The ReLU activation function is given by

$$\varphi(u) = \begin{cases} u, & u > 0, \\ 0, & u \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (105)$$

We calculate $A_{ij}(\mathbf{w}, w_0)$ given by equations (62)–(64). Since

$$\varphi'(wx_1^* + w_0) = \begin{cases} 1, & wx_1^* + w_0 > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (106)$$

we have

$$A_{00} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\frac{w_0}{w}}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{u^2}{2}\right\} du = \text{erf}\left(\frac{w_0}{w}\right), \quad (107)$$

$$\text{erf}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^u \exp\left\{-\frac{v^2}{2}\right\} dv. \quad (108)$$

Similarly,

$$A_{0n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\frac{w_0}{w}}^{\infty} u \exp\left\{-\frac{u^2}{2}\right\} du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{w_0}{w}\right)^2\right\} \quad (109)$$

$$A_{nn} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\frac{w_0}{w}}^{\infty} u^2 \exp\left\{-\frac{u^2}{2}\right\} du \quad (110)$$

$$= \text{erf}\left(\frac{w_0}{w}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{w_0}{w} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{w_0}{w}\right)^2\right\}. \quad (111)$$

References

- [1] S. Amari, Theory of Adaptive Pattern Classifiers, IEEE Trans., EC-16, No. 3, pp.299–307, 1967.
- [2] S. Amari, Information Theory II, Geometrical Theory of Information (in Japanese), Kyoritsu Shuppan, 1968.
- [3] S. Amari, Natural Gradient Works Efficiently in Learning, Neural Computation, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 251–276, 1998.
- [4] S. Amari, R. Karakida and M. Oizumi, Statistical neurodynamics of deep networks I, Geometry of signal spaces. arXiv, 2018.
- [5] R. Grosse and J. Martens, A Kronecker-factored approximate Fisher matrix for convolution layers, ICML, 2016.
- [6] R. Karakida, S. Akaho and S. Amari, Universal statistics of Fisher information in deep neural networks: Mean field approach, arXiv.org/abs/1806.01316, 2018.
- [7] T. Kurita, Iterative weighted least squares algorithms for neural networks classifiers. New Generation Computing, 12, 3750394, 1994.
- [8] G. Marceau-Caron and Y. Ollivier, Practical Riemannian neural networks, arXiv:1602.08007, 2016.
- [9] J. Martens, New insight and perspective on the natural gradient method, arXiv:1412.1193v9, 2017.
- [10] Y. Ollivier, Riemannian metrics for neural networks, I: Feedforward networks. Information and Inference, 4, 108–153, 2015.
- [11] Y. Ollivier, True asymptotic natural gradient optimization. arXiv: 1712.08449v1, 2017.
- [12] R. Pascanu and Y. Bengio, Revisiting natural gradient for deep networks. arXiv: 1301.3584v7, 2013.

- [13] B.T. Polyak and A.B. Juditsky, Acceleration of stochastic approximation by averaging. SIAM J. on Control and Optimization, 30, 838-855, 1992.
- [14] B. Poole, S. Lahiri, M. Raghu, J. Sohl-Dickstein and S. Ganguli, Exponential expressivity in deep neural networks. In Proc. NIPS, 3360–3368, 2016.
- [15] S. S. Schoenholz, J. Gilmer, S. Ganguli and J Sohl-Dickstein, Deep information propagation. ICLR’2017, arXiv: 1611.01232, 2016.
- [16] G. Yang, S. Schoenholz, Mean field residual networks: On the edge of chaos. Proc. NIPS, 2865–2873, 2017.