

Evaluating task-agnostic exploration for fixed-batch learning of arbitrary future tasks

Vibhavari Dasagi¹, Robert Lee¹, Jake Bruce^{1,2}, and Jürgen Leitner¹

¹Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Brisbane, Australia

²DeepMind, London, UK

Contact: vibhavari.dasagi@hdr.qut.edu.au

Abstract

Deep reinforcement learning has been shown to solve challenging tasks where large amounts of training experience is available, usually obtained online while learning the task. Robotics is a significant potential application domain for many of these algorithms, but generating robot experience in the real world is expensive, especially when each task requires a lengthy online training procedure. Off-policy algorithms can in principle learn arbitrary tasks from a diverse enough fixed dataset. In this work, we evaluate popular exploration methods by generating robotics datasets for the purpose of learning to solve tasks completely offline without any further interaction in the real world. We present results on three popular continuous control tasks in simulation, as well as continuous control of a high-dimensional real robot arm. Code documenting all algorithms, experiments, and hyperparameters is available at <https://github.com/qutrobotlearning/batchlearning>.

1 Introduction

Recent research in the field of model-free deep reinforcement learning (RL) has enabled complex, expressive policies to be learned from experience for many challenging simulated and virtual task domains [Mnih *et al.*, 2015; Lillicrap *et al.*, 2015]. The success of these methods suggests potential applications to robotics, and some progress has been made in this direction [Frank *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Rusu *et al.*, 2016; Levine *et al.*, 2016; Gu *et al.*, 2017; Večerík *et al.*, 2017]. A key limitation in learning robotics skills with deep reinforcement learning is the cost of gathering new experience. Since different control tasks with the same robot often involve similar observations, actions, and dynamics, it would be convenient to gather a single dataset with diversity sufficient for agents to learn to solve arbitrary future tasks completely offline; this setting is known as *batch reinforcement*




Figure 1: In this work, we separate the phases of data gathering and policy learning. We evaluate the performance of state-of-the-art exploration methods by using the data they collect to learn to solve arbitrary tasks completely offline.

learning [Watkins and Dayan, 1992; Ernst *et al.*, 2005; Lange *et al.*, 2012; Liu *et al.*, 2015]: a well known classical paradigm that has been relatively under-explored in the context of modern robotics research.

While many contemporary approaches can be described as *on-policy* in which all the training data in each update is generated directly by the current version of the agent being trained [Mnih *et al.*, 2016], *off-policy* algorithms can in principle learn from the data generated by any arbitrary source of behavior, making them ideal candidates for batch learning. However, these algorithms have been demonstrated to be unstable when learning from fixed datasets with insufficient coverage due to overestimation bias in unfamiliar states [Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018b; Kalashnikov *et al.*, 2018].

Batch RL has the potential to represent a significant step forward for robot learning, allowing robotics practitioners to collect powerful calibration datasets of robot experience without requiring detailed task knowledge in advance, while enabling completely offline training on arbitrary tasks that were not known at exploration time [Bruce *et al.*, 2017]. In this work, we would like to call attention to this relatively under-explored paradigm,

and aim to take a step toward a solution by evaluating the performance of various state-of-the-art exploration approaches for diverse task-agnostic experience collection, for offline learning of arbitrary tasks that were not known at dataset generation time.

2 Related Work

In this section we review the literature relating to off-policy learning on a fixed dataset, and the state-of-the-art in exploration methods that might be used to produce a maximally diverse training dataset without knowing the ultimate tasks of interest in advance.

2.1 Off-Policy Learning

Reinforcement learning algorithms can be broadly classified on a spectrum from *on-policy* in which training data always comes from the current version of the agent, to *off-policy* in which the agent is able to learn from arbitrary experience; we are motivated by learning completely offline, so we focus our attention on the latter. Off-policy reinforcement learning has the potential to be particularly applicable in our situation, because it opens up the possibility of learning from many sources of experience beyond that collected by the current policy [Gu *et al.*, 2017]. Impressive results have been achieved in domains such as robotic grasping by making use of task-relevant datasets from previous policies and even from entirely different experimental runs, in which diverse data collection was identified as an essential requirement for offline learning [Kalashnikov *et al.*, 2018]. These approaches can be susceptible to overestimation bias in unfamiliar states due to optimistic backup of estimated future value; this bias can be mitigated to some degree with pessimism in the face of uncertainty by trusting the minimum of two independent estimates [Hasselt, 2010; Van Hasselt *et al.*, 2016; Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018a].

In the case of far-off-policy learning, where the distribution mismatch grows very large between the training dataset and the state-action visitation induced by the policy, this overestimation bias can lead to instability and complete learning failure as estimation errors compound indefinitely without the possibility of correction by actively visiting those overestimated states online. When the desired task is sufficiently similar to the task performed by the data-gathering policy, this issue can be mitigated by Batch-Constrained Q-learning (BCQ), in which the policy is constrained to avoid distribution mismatch between the training and on-policy data distributions. This approach is reminiscent of imitation learning, but with the benefit of being able to optimize arbitrary reward functions at offline training time [Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018b].

A method known as Goal Exploration Processes (GEP) has been proposed for the paradigm of explicit separation

of task-agnostic exploration and task-aware exploitation phases in reinforcement learning [Colas *et al.*, 2018], in which randomized linear policies are used to generate a bootstrap sample followed by a random perturbation procedure to encourage diversity of state visitation as measured by hand-specified task-relevant features. The data gathered by GEP is then used to initialize the experience memory of a state-of-the-art continuous RL agent [Lillicrap *et al.*, 2015], after which on-task training proceeded as usual.

In this paper, we consider a related but different paradigm in which the dataset is collected entirely in advance with no knowledge of the ultimate tasks the agent will be trained on, and with no task-relevant features known ahead of time. Given this fixed dataset, we then initialize a state-dependent reward function and train a task policy completely offline without any further interaction with the environment. The need for extremely diverse data in advance in order to cover arbitrary future tasks puts extra pressure on the exploration method, which forms the main focus of our evaluations in this paper.

2.2 Exploration

Learning generally requires exposure to diverse training data. In reinforcement learning, generating diverse training data is typically achieved by an exploration mechanism internal to the agent in question, and exploration techniques have been an active area of research in the field from early on [Thrun, 1992]. Exploration is usually considered in the context of online learning, in which the agent must not only optimize its objective, but also take unexplored actions in order to learn the consequences thereof. In this work, we are interested in exploration from a slightly different angle: how to generate diverse datasets in the absence of any task feedback whatsoever.

Classical results show that the Q-learning algorithm is provably convergent in the tabular case, given complete exploration of the problem [Jaakkola *et al.*, 1994]. Although tabular guarantees no longer hold in the context of modern function approximation, it is intuitive that effectively covering the space of the problem is important for convergent offline training.

The simplest and most common exploration techniques involve simply adding noise to the policy. The standard approach in discrete Q-learning is known as ϵ -greedy, in which a fraction ϵ of the time rather than acting optimally, the agent chooses a random action [Watkins and Dayan, 1992; Mnih *et al.*, 2015]. In continuous control tasks similar noise-based exploration techniques are often used, including directly adding *iid* or correlated noise to the actions [Lillicrap *et al.*, 2015; Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018a].

Pure noise as a source of exploration behavior, while simple and requiring few assumptions, has difficulty reach-

ing distant states: the expected exploration time for a random policy to reach a given state grows exponentially with its distance, leading to the proposal of *deep exploration* [Osband *et al.*, 2016] in which an ensemble of policies are trained independently while sharing their experience, resulting in consistent behavior policies that nonetheless result in diverse coverage of the problem space. GEP [Colas *et al.*, 2018], described above, involves a similar technique in which a large number of randomized linear policies form the basis of the exploration behavior.

Another approach to exploration involves *intrinsic motivation* [Chentanez *et al.*, 2005], in which the reward function of the problem is augmented with an additive bonus that rewards the agent for visiting states in proportion to their novelty. In count-based exploration methods [Belle-mare *et al.*, 2016; Tang *et al.*, 2017; Ostrovski *et al.*, 2017], the novelty of states is approximated directly in inverse proportion to their visitation frequency. An indirect way to measure familiarity is in terms of prediction error of a model being trained in parallel with the RL agent, such as forward predictive models [Pathak *et al.*, 2017; Pathak *et al.*, 2019] or the error in predicting the state-dependent output of another arbitrary network [Burda *et al.*, 2018b]. Diversity of states can be used directly as an objective to optimize, by training a maximum-entropy RL agent to optimize its distinctiveness from other agents as measured by a state-dependent classification network trained in parallel [Eysenbach *et al.*, 2018]. Intrinsic motivation has even been shown to achieve impressive task performance in the complete absence of task reward, in situations in which pure exploration correlates with the task objective [Burda *et al.*, 2018a].

In self-driven learning more generally, model-based approaches can be trained purely self-supervised while providing analytic gradients to train the policy directly [Deisenroth and Rasmussen, 2011; Gal *et al.*, ; Heess *et al.*, 2015]. Model ensembles can be leveraged to provide an estimate of uncertainty in addition to diversity of experience [Kurutach *et al.*, 2018], and uncertainty-aware methods like these could be used to backpropagate directly into a learning agent for either seeking or avoiding uncertainty [Henaff *et al.*, 2019] as the need arises.

In this work, we are primarily interested in task-agnostic exploration. We consider state-of-the-art exploration methods Random Network Distillation (RND) [Burda *et al.*, 2018b], Diversity Is All You Need (DIAYN) [Eysenbach *et al.*, 2018], and GEP [Colas *et al.*, 2018], for the purpose of generating diverse datasets with no task knowledge, evaluated according to the performance of a separate off-policy agent learning to optimize entirely new tasks unknown at exploration time.

3 Approach

In this work, we consider the problem of generating a static dataset of robot experience without task knowledge, in order to prepare for learning to solve arbitrary tasks in the future completely offline. We decompose the problem into two phases: *exploration*, in which we execute a state-of-the-art exploration algorithm from the literature for a fixed number of timesteps; and *offline learning*, in which we train an off-policy RL algorithm to solve arbitrary tasks that were not known to the exploration agent when the dataset was gathered.

3.1 Exploration

In this phase, we execute an exploration algorithm on the robotic platform for a fixed number of timesteps in order to generate a dataset of diverse exploration data with no prior knowledge of the task. We describe three popular exploration algorithms from the literature (RND, DIAYN, and GEP) as well as a simple baseline and a novel exploration algorithm adapted from the literature.

Random Network Distillation

In RND [Burda *et al.*, 2018b], a randomly-initialized and fixed encoding function $f_{\text{teacher}}(x) \rightarrow \phi$ is used to encode observations from the environment into fixed-length feature vectors. These feature vectors are used as the labels of a supervised learning procedure to train another function $f_{\text{student}}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}$. The reward given to the exploration policy is the same objective that the supervised process is minimizing:

$$R_{\text{RND}}(x_t) = \|\phi_t - \tilde{\phi}_t\| \quad (1)$$

Diversity Is All You Need

DIAYN [Eysenbach *et al.*, 2018] is a reinforcement learning algorithm that trains an ensemble of diverse skills by rewarding each policy for being distinct as measured by a learned classification algorithm $f_d(x) \rightarrow P(\text{skill}|x)$. DIAYN trains an ensemble of maximum-entropy RL agents to maximize the following reward while acting as randomly as possible:

$$R_{\text{DIAYN}}(x_t) = \log P(\text{skill}_t|x_t) \quad (2)$$

Goal Exploration Processes

GEP [Colas *et al.*, 2018] attempts to gather diverse data by generating a set of randomized linear policies and executing them to collect experience, which is stored in memory in the form of a task-dependent descriptor extracted from each trajectory. Since we do not allow the exploration phase any knowledge of the ultimate tasks, we simply store the element-wise mean of the states along the trajectory as its descriptor. Once a number of randomized policies have been executed ($N = 50$ in our case, as in the original work), random “goal” states are




Figure 2: Experimental environments: HalfCheetah-v1, Hopper-v1, Walker2d-v1, and real FrankaEmika Panda arm with 7 degrees of freedom.

sampled from the state space, and the policy in memory associated with the nearest state to the goal is perturbed with random noise and executed again, adding its new experience to the memory. We continue this procedure until our exploration dataset is the desired size.

Random Policies

To measure the importance of the goal-sampling step in GEP, we also evaluate a simple baseline in which only the randomized policy step is applied. Rather than sampling goals and perturbing policies from memory, this baseline randomly initializes a new policy every episode.

Self-Supervised Exploration

In addition to the existing baselines, we evaluate a novel exploration approach obtained by turning the RL objective typically optimized by prediction-error-based intrinsic motivation algorithms into a supervised objective through use of the forward model $f_{\text{forward}}(x_t, a_t) \rightarrow \tilde{x}_{t+1}$ that is often trained as a byproduct of these approaches [Pathak *et al.*, 2017; Pathak *et al.*, 2019]. The typical prediction-error-based reward being maximized is of the form:

$$R_{\text{intrinsic}}(x_t, a_t, x_{t+1}) = \|x_{t+1} - \tilde{x}_{t+1}\| \quad (3)$$

RL algorithms usually account for the difficulty of predicting the long-term future by optimizing discounted rewards:

$$V_R = \sum_{t=0}^T \gamma^t (1 - D_t) R_{\text{intrinsic}}(x_t, a_t, x_{t+1}) \quad (4)$$

where $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is the discount factor, and D_t is an indicator variable describing whether or not the episode has ended by time t . Because R_t and \tilde{x}_{t+1} depend on the forward model that is a byproduct of these prediction-error based methods, we can implement an exploration technique in which the gradient of the agent’s policy is estimated by backpropagation directly through our predictions of the future. We take inspiration from [Pathak *et al.*, 2019], but rather than assume the next state does not depend on the action, we train a pair of forward models $f_{\{1,2\}}(x_t, a_t) \rightarrow \tilde{x}_{t+1}$ and optimize for their divergence as a proxy for novelty. Because the sum of future rewards

also requires the episode termination variable, we also learn a termination prediction model $f_{\text{done}}(x_t) \rightarrow \tilde{D}_t$ online from data during exploration. We train a Soft Actor-Critic (SAC)-style maximum-entropy RL agent [Haarnoja *et al.*, 2018] to directly maximize the following quantity, representing the sum of intrinsic rewards plus entropy terms:

$$R_{\text{SSE}}(x_t, a_t) = \|f_1(x_t, a_t) - f_2(x_t, a_t)\| \quad (5)$$

$$V_{\text{SSE}} = \sum_{t=0}^T \gamma^t (1 - \tilde{D}_t) (R_{\text{SSE}}(x_t, a_t) - \log(P(a_t))) \quad (6)$$

When trained on simulated forward rollouts from the model and backpropagating through time, we obtain a model-augmented supervised version of intrinsically-motivated SAC that we refer to as Self-Supervised Exploration (SSE).

3.2 Offline Learning

Given a fixed dataset of robot experience, we are interested in learning to solve arbitrary tasks completely offline with no further interaction with the environment. In this section, we describe the two off-policy algorithms that we evaluate for learning tasks offline on fixed data, both of which are based on the deep deterministic policy gradient (DDPG) [Lillicrap *et al.*, 2015] algorithm for continuous Q-learning.

Twin Delayed DDPG

TD3 [Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018a] is an improvement to DDPG that aims to reduce the overestimation bias that is common when training off-policy value functions. Two Q-networks are trained simultaneously, and the minimum is chosen when evaluating the Q-value for the purpose of bootstrapping (as in [Hasselt, 2010; Van Hasselt *et al.*, 2016]), which corresponds to pessimistic estimation in the face of uncertainty. Furthermore, noise is added to the output of the policy during training to encourage smoothness of estimation in small regions around observed experience. Finally, as in the original work, the policy is trained half as frequently as the value networks.

Batch-Constrained Deep Q-learning

BCQ [Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018b] achieves improved offline learning by training a state-conditional generative model of the actions in the batch, which can then be used to sample actions that reflect the actions present in the dataset. Keeping the policy action close to the buffer distribution reduces the extrapolation error that would otherwise accumulate due to distribution mismatch and overestimation.

4 Experiments

In this work, we consider exploration methods to generate diverse datasets of robot experience for learning arbitrary tasks completely offline. We first conduct a thorough evaluation of the approaches described in Section 3 on three standard simulated continuous control tasks as in [Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018b]. We then evaluate the best performing approaches to explore and then learn reaching tasks offline on a physical FrankaEmika Panda robot arm with 7 degrees of freedom. All environments are shown in Figure 2.

4.1 Simulation Experiments

We first evaluate on a standard benchmark suite of 3 OpenAI Gym MuJoCo environments: **HalfCheetah-v1**, **Hopper-v1**, and **Walker2d-v1** [Todorov *et al.*, 2012; Brockman *et al.*, 2016]. As described in Section 3, we partition the experiments into a task-agnostic exploration phase followed by a task-aware offline training phase. In the exploration phase, each exploration method generates 1 million transitions of experience in the simulated domain in the form of (x_t, a_t, x_{t+1}) , and this data is saved as a static dataset.

In the offline training phase, we choose a task with a state-dependent reward function that was not known to the exploration agent, and train an off-policy RL algorithm to solve the task on the data in the static dataset for 300,000 training steps. For task rewards, we use the standard locomotion tasks of maximizing forward velocity, as provided by the three environments. We evaluate RND, DIAYN, GEP, random networks, and our proposal SSE as exploration methods, and TD3 and BCQ as off-policy learning algorithms. In all cases we use the default hyperparameters from the original papers that proposed the algorithms, and code for our experiments is freely available at <https://github.com/qutrobotlearning/batchlearning>. Results for the simulation experiments are shown in Figure 3.

4.2 Physical Robot

In order to validate the approach on a real robot, we consider the FrankaEmika Panda robot arm platform with 7 degrees of freedom. Agents observed joint angles

Learning Method	Exploration Method			
	Rand	DIAYN	RND	SSD
TD3	0.46	0.51	0.49	0.30
BCQ	0.47	0.30	0.52	0.44

Table 1: Distances of closest tooltip positions in meters for each evaluated method on the real robot.

in radians, and joint velocities in radians per second, resulting in observation vectors of length 14. Actions were sent at 20Hz in the form of joint velocities in radians per second, clipped in the range $[-0.5, 0.5]$, and episodes were reset after 1000 timesteps or when the robot violated physical safety limits such as self-collision. We collected data on the physical robot in a manner similar to the simulated domains, but we limited the dataset size to 200K transitions due to the additional time cost of physical experiments. Policies were trained using TD3 and BCQ offline to solve reaching tasks starting from a deterministic “home” configuration to one of four different goals, specified differently for each task. Results for the real robot experiments are shown in Table 1, comparing the distance to the target point for each exploration method and offline learning algorithm.

5 Discussion

Somewhat counter-intuitively, the state-of-the-art RL exploration methods we evaluated did not perform particularly well in our experiments, as shown in the HalfCheetah-v1 results in Figure 3. Particularly surprising is the result that randomly generating a new linear policy every episode seems to outperform many of the other baselines by a wide margin. This suggests that despite achieving impressive results during online training, current methods of exploration are not well suited to the pure exploration paradigm, as described in this paper. Furthermore, BCQ did not perform as well as expected, but this is reasonable as it was not designed to learn from purely task-agnostic data. Also of interest is that the best performing algorithms on the real robot did correspond to the best performance on the simulation tasks. Note however that we did not engage in heavy parameter tuning of the offline learning algorithms we used, and Rainbow-style improvements [Hessel *et al.*, 2018] to off-policy algorithms may provide improvements to the result regardless of the exploration method used.

During the exploration phase on the real robot we observed that the randomly generated linear policies seemed to generate vastly diverse actions that in totality covered a larger portion of the state space compared to the other exploration methods. This may imply that while systematically covering the state space might be useful for exploration given an unlimited dataset, with the re-




Figure 3: Simulation Results

restrictions of a limited static dataset it is vital to explore regions in the state space that are far apart. The greater diversity in the dataset may increase generalization capabilities of the agent to nearby previously-unexplored states while reducing the chances of visiting states completely different from those in the dataset.

6 Conclusion

In this work, we consider the paradigm of task-agnostic exploration for generating datasets that are diverse enough to train policies to solve arbitrary tasks with no further interaction with the environment. This is an important goal for robotics, potentially enabling a single diverse

dataset to train robots with a lifetime worth of skills on demand.

Our experiments showed interesting and unexpected results for the state-of-the-art exploration methods and off-policy algorithms in this setting. Since exploration has been shown to be an important component of RL performance, we were expecting the established exploration algorithms to generate diverse enough data to train tasks offline, but in domains such as Hopper-v1 and Walker-2d, purely self-directed exploration without a task seems to be very challenging. We believe that this justifies further research in this paradigm given the potential benefits to robotics from single-dataset offline training.

We make our algorithms, experiments, and hyper-

parameters freely available on <https://github.com/qutrotbotlearning/batchlearning>.

References

- [Bellemare *et al.*, 2016] Marc Bellemare, Sriram Srinivasan, Georg Ostrovski, Tom Schaul, David Saxton, and Remi Munos. Unifying count-based exploration and intrinsic motivation. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2016.
- [Brockman *et al.*, 2016] Greg Brockman, Vicki Cheung, Ludwig Pettersson, Jonas Schneider, John Schulman, Jie Tang, and Wojciech Zaremba. Openai gym. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01540*, 2016.
- [Bruce *et al.*, 2017] Jake Bruce, Niko Sünderhauf, Piotr Mirowski, Raia Hadsell, and Michael Milford. One-shot reinforcement learning for robot navigation with interactive replay. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.10137*, 2017.
- [Burda *et al.*, 2018a] Yuri Burda, Harri Edwards, Deepak Pathak, Amos Storkey, Trevor Darrell, and Alexei A Efros. Large-scale study of curiosity-driven learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.04355*, 2018.
- [Burda *et al.*, 2018b] Yuri Burda, Harrison Edwards, Amos Storkey, and Oleg Klimov. Exploration by random network distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.12894*, 2018.
- [Chentanez *et al.*, 2005] Nuttapon Chentanez, Andrew G Barto, and Satinder P Singh. Intrinsically motivated reinforcement learning. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2005.
- [Colas *et al.*, 2018] Cédric Colas, Olivier Sigaud, and Pierre-Yves Oudeyer. Gep-pg: Decoupling exploration and exploitation in deep reinforcement learning algorithms. In *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 2018.
- [Deisenroth and Rasmussen, 2011] Marc Deisenroth and Carl E Rasmussen. Pilco: A model-based and data-efficient approach to policy search. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on machine learning (ICML-11)*, 2011.
- [Ernst *et al.*, 2005] Damien Ernst, Pierre Geurts, and Louis Wehenkel. Tree-based batch mode reinforcement learning. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6(Apr):503–556, 2005.
- [Eysenbach *et al.*, 2018] Benjamin Eysenbach, Abhishek Gupta, Julian Ibarz, and Sergey Levine. Diversity is all you need: Learning skills without a reward function. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06070*, 2018.
- [Frank *et al.*, 2014] Mikhail Frank, Jürgen Leitner, Marijn Stollenga, Alexander Förster, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Curiosity driven reinforcement learning for motion planning on humanoids. *Frontiers in neuro-robotics*, 2014.
- [Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018a] Scott Fujimoto, Herke Hoof, and David Meger. Addressing function approximation error in actor-critic methods. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1582–1591, 2018.
- [Fujimoto *et al.*, 2018b] Scott Fujimoto, David Meger, and Doina Precup. Off-policy deep reinforcement learning without exploration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.02900*, 2018.
- [Gal *et al.*,] Yarin Gal, Rowan McAllister, and Carl Edward Rasmussen. Improving pilco with bayesian neural network dynamics models.
- [Gu *et al.*, 2017] Shixiang Gu, Ethan Holly, Timothy Lillicrap, and Sergey Levine. Deep reinforcement learning for robotic manipulation with asynchronous off-policy updates. In *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 3389–3396. IEEE, 2017.
- [Haarnoja *et al.*, 2018] Tuomas Haarnoja, Aurick Zhou, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. Soft actor-critic: Off-policy maximum entropy deep reinforcement learning with a stochastic actor. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.01290*, 2018.
- [Hasselt, 2010] Hado V Hasselt. Double q-learning. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2010.
- [Heess *et al.*, 2015] Nicolas Heess, Gregory Wayne, David Silver, Timothy Lillicrap, Tom Erez, and Yuval Tassa. Learning continuous control policies by stochastic value gradients. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2015.
- [Henaff *et al.*, 2019] Mikael Henaff, Alfredo Canziani, and Yann LeCun. Model-predictive policy learning with uncertainty regularization for driving in dense traffic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.02705*, 2019.
- [Hessel *et al.*, 2018] Matteo Hessel, Joseph Modayil, Hado Van Hasselt, Tom Schaul, Georg Ostrovski, Will Dabney, Dan Horgan, Bilal Piot, Mohammad Azar, and David Silver. Rainbow: Combining improvements in deep reinforcement learning. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [Jaakkola *et al.*, 1994] Tommi Jaakkola, Michael I Jordan, and Satinder P Singh. Convergence of stochastic iterative dynamic programming algorithms. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 703–710, 1994.
- [Kalashnikov *et al.*, 2018] Dmitry Kalashnikov, Alex Irpan, Peter Pastor, Julian Ibarz, Alexander Herzog, Eric Jang, Deirdre Quillen, Ethan Holly, Mrinal Kalakrishnan, Vincent Vanhoucke, et al. Qt-opt: Scalable deep

- reinforcement learning for vision-based robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.10293*, 2018.
- [Kurutach *et al.*, 2018] Thanard Kurutach, Ignasi Clavera, Yan Duan, Aviv Tamar, and Pieter Abbeel. Model-ensemble trust-region policy optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.10592*, 2018.
- [Lange *et al.*, 2012] Sascha Lange, Thomas Gabel, and Martin Riedmiller. Batch reinforcement learning. In *Reinforcement learning*, pages 45–73. Springer, 2012.
- [Levine *et al.*, 2016] Sergey Levine, Chelsea Finn, Trevor Darrell, and Pieter Abbeel. End-to-end training of deep visuomotor policies. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(1):1334–1373, 2016.
- [Lillicrap *et al.*, 2015] Timothy P Lillicrap, Jonathan J Hunt, Alexander Pritzel, Nicolas Heess, Tom Erez, Yuval Tassa, David Silver, and Daan Wierstra. Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.02971*, 2015.
- [Liu *et al.*, 2015] De-Rong Liu, Hong-Liang Li, and Ding Wang. Feature selection and feature learning for high-dimensional batch reinforcement learning: A survey. *International Journal of Automation and Computing*, 2015.
- [Mnih *et al.*, 2015] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Andrei A Rusu, Joel Veness, Marc G Bellemare, Alex Graves, Martin Riedmiller, Andreas K Fidjeland, Georg Ostrovski, et al. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518(7540):529, 2015.
- [Mnih *et al.*, 2016] Volodymyr Mnih, Adria Puigdomenech Badia, Mehdi Mirza, Alex Graves, Timothy Lillicrap, Tim Harley, David Silver, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. Asynchronous methods for deep reinforcement learning. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1928–1937, 2016.
- [Osband *et al.*, 2016] Ian Osband, Charles Blundell, Alexander Pritzel, and Benjamin Van Roy. Deep exploration via bootstrapped dqn. In D. D. Lee, M. Sugiyama, U. V. Luxburg, I. Guyon, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 29*, pages 4026–4034. Curran Associates, Inc., 2016.
- [Ostrovski *et al.*, 2017] Georg Ostrovski, Marc G Bellemare, Aäron van den Oord, and Rémi Munos. Count-based exploration with neural density models. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning-Volume 70*. JMLR. org, 2017.
- [Pathak *et al.*, 2017] Deepak Pathak, Pulkit Agrawal, Alexei A Efros, and Trevor Darrell. Curiosity-driven exploration by self-supervised prediction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pages 16–17, 2017.
- [Pathak *et al.*, 2019] Deepak Pathak, Dhiraj Gandhi, and Abhinav Gupta. Beyond games: Bringing exploration to robots in real-world, 2019.
- [Rusu *et al.*, 2016] Andrei A Rusu, Mel Vecerik, Thomas Rothörl, Nicolas Heess, Razvan Pascanu, and Raia Hadsell. Sim-to-real robot learning from pixels with progressive nets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.04286*, 2016.
- [Tang *et al.*, 2017] Haoran Tang, Rein Houthooft, Davis Foote, Adam Stooke, OpenAI Xi Chen, Yan Duan, John Schulman, Filip DeTurck, and Pieter Abbeel. # exploration: A study of count-based exploration for deep reinforcement learning. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 2017.
- [Thrun, 1992] Sebastian B Thrun. Efficient exploration in reinforcement learning. 1992.
- [Todorov *et al.*, 2012] Emanuel Todorov, Tom Erez, and Yuval Tassa. Mujoco: A physics engine for model-based control. In *2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pages 5026–5033. IEEE, 2012.
- [Van Hasselt *et al.*, 2016] Hado Van Hasselt, Arthur Guez, and David Silver. Deep reinforcement learning with double q-learning. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2016.
- [Večerík *et al.*, 2017] Matej Večerík, Todd Hester, Jonathan Scholz, Fumin Wang, Olivier Pietquin, Bilal Piot, Nicolas Heess, Thomas Rothörl, Thomas Lampe, and Martin Riedmiller. Leveraging demonstrations for deep reinforcement learning on robotics problems with sparse rewards. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.08817*, 2017.
- [Watkins and Dayan, 1992] Christopher JCH Watkins and Peter Dayan. Q-learning. *Machine learning*, 8(3-4):279–292, 1992.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2015] Fangyi Zhang, Jürgen Leitner, Michael Milford, Ben Upcroft, and Peter Corke. Towards vision-based deep reinforcement learning for robotic motion control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.03791*, 2015.