

# ARTIN-SCHREIER CURVES GIVEN BY $\mathbb{F}_q$ -LINEARIZED POLYNOMIALS

DANIELA OLIVEIRA AND F. E. BROCHERO MARTÍNEZ

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements, where  $q$  is a power of an odd prime  $p$ . In this paper we associate circulant matrices and quadratic forms with the Artin-Schreier curve  $y^q - y = x \cdot F(x) - \lambda$ , where  $F(x)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized polynomial and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Our results provide a characterization of the number of affine rational points of this curve in the extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , for  $\gcd(q, r) = 1$ . In the case  $F(x) = x^{q^i} - x$  we give a complete description of the number of affine rational points in terms of Legendre symbols and quadratic characters.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Information about the number of affine rational points of algebraic curves over finite fields has many applications in coding theory, cryptography, communications and related areas, e.g. [8, 14]. In this paper we investigate the number of affine rational points of plane curves given by

$$\mathcal{C}_g : y^q - y = g(x) \tag{1}$$

in extensions  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  where  $q = p^e$ ,  $p$  is an odd prime,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . These curves are called Artin-Schreier curves and have been extensively studied in several contexts, e.g. [4, 5, 11, 15].

For a polynomial  $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  we can associate the map

$$Q_g : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_{q^r} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F}_q \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \text{Tr}(g(\alpha)), \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where  $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  denotes the trace function. Let  $N_r(Q_g)$  denote the number of zeroes of  $Q_g$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  and  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_g)$  the number of affine rational points of  $\mathcal{C}_g$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}^2$ . From Hilbert's Theorem 90 we have

$$N_r(\mathcal{C}_g) = qN_r(Q_g).$$

It follows that the determination of  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_g)$  is equivalent to the determination of  $N_r(Q_g)$ . Details can be found in [1, 2, 12].

In [16], Wolfmann determined the number of rational points of the algebraic plane curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  by the equation  $y^q - y = ax^s + b$  where  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ , for even  $k$  and special integers  $s$ . In [4], Coulter determined the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of the curve  $y^{p^n} - y = ax^{p^\alpha+1} + L(x)$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $t = \gcd(n, e)$  divides  $d = (\alpha, e)$  and  $L(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_{p^t}$ -linearized polynomial. In this paper, we determine  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_g)$  for some families of Artin-Schreier curves given by specific polynomials  $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .

The first aim of this paper is to find  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_g)$  when  $g(x) = xF(x) - \lambda$ , where  $F(x)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized polynomial,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and we assume  $\gcd(r, p) = 1$ . In this cases, we denote  $\mathcal{C}_g$  by  $\mathcal{C}_{F, \lambda}$  for  $F(x)$  and  $\lambda$  fixed. In order to do so, we prove that  $Q_{g+\lambda}$  defines

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12E20 Secondary 11T06.

*Key words and phrases.* circulant matrices, Artin-Schreier curves, Hasse-Weil bound, irreducible polynomial with prescribed trace.

a quadratic form and use this form to find a connection between the number of affine rational points with the rank of an appropriate circulant matrix. Theorem 3.2 provides an explicit formula for  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda})$  in this case.

A second point of this paper, assuming the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2, is to study the case  $F(x) = x^q - x$  when  $i$  is a positive integer, i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}$  defined by

$$y^q - y = x^{q^i+1} - x^2 - \lambda.$$

In Theorem 4.10 we find an expression of  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{x^{q^i}-x,\lambda})$  in terms of Legendre symbols and  $p$ -adic valuations.

When  $i = 1$  and  $\lambda = 0$  we obtain the curve  $y^q - y = x^{q+1} - x^2$ , which is associated to the number of monic irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  of degree  $r$  with prescribed first two coefficients, i.e., when we fix the coefficients of  $x^{r-1}$  and  $x^{r-2}$ . To see this, consider the map

$$T_2 : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_{q^r} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F}_q \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq r-1} \alpha^{q^i+q^j}. \end{array} \quad (3)$$

$\text{Tr}(\alpha)$  and  $T_2(\alpha)$  determine, respectively, the coefficients of  $x^{r-1}$  and  $x^{r-2}$  of the characteristic polynomial of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . A straightforward calculation shows that  $T_2(\beta^q - \beta) = \text{Tr}(\beta^{q+1} - \beta^2)$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ . By Hilbert's Theorem 90 we have that  $\text{Tr}(\beta) = 0$  if and only if there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  such that  $\beta = \alpha^q - \alpha$  and therefore  $\text{Tr}(\beta) = 0$  and  $T_2(\beta) = 0$  if and only if  $0 = T_2(\beta) = T_2(\alpha^q - \alpha) = \text{Tr}(\alpha^{q+1} - \alpha^2)$ . Consequently, the number of irreducible polynomials of degree  $r$  with first two coefficients being zero can be related to the number of affine rational points of the curve  $y^q - y = x^{q+1} - x^2$ . For more details see [3, 10].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides background material and preliminary results. In Section 3 we discuss the case  $g(x) = xF(x) - \lambda$  where  $F(x)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized polynomial and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . In Section 4 we give an explicit formula for  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{x^{q^i}-x,\lambda})$  when  $\text{gcd}(r, p) = 1$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{F}_q$  denotes a finite field with  $q$  elements, where  $q$  is a power of an odd prime  $p$  and  $r$  is a fixed positive integer. We define the trace function

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_q} : \mathbb{F}_{q^r} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F}_q \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \alpha + \alpha^q + \dots + \alpha^{q^{r-1}} \end{array}$$

and, for simplicity, we denote the trace function  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_q}$  by  $\text{Tr}$ . A polynomial  $F(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  is called  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized if is of the form  $a_0x + a_1x^q + a_2x^{q^2} + \dots + a_lx^{q^l}$ , where  $a_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq l$ . The polynomial  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_lx^l$  is called *associated polynomial of  $F(x)$* .

In what follows, for  $F(x)$  a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}$  denotes the curve determined by the equation

$$y^q - y = xF(x) - \lambda \quad (4)$$

and  $Q_{xF(x)} : \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  is the quadratic form given by  $Q_{xF(x)}(\alpha) = \text{Tr}(\alpha F(\alpha))$ . We observe that if  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^2$  is a point of  $\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}$ , i.e.,  $\beta^q - \beta = \alpha F(\alpha) - \lambda$  then

$$0 = \text{Tr}(\beta^q - \beta) = \text{Tr}(\alpha F(\alpha) - \lambda) = \text{Tr}(\alpha F(\alpha)) - r\lambda.$$

Reciprocally, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  satisfies the equation  $\text{Tr}(\alpha F(\alpha)) = r\lambda$ , then  $\text{Tr}(\alpha F(\alpha) - \lambda) = 0$  and by Hilbert's Theorem 90 there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  such that  $\beta^q - \beta = \alpha F(\alpha) - \lambda$ . In

addition, any other solution is of the form  $\beta + c$  for  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and it follows that

$$N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}) = qN_r(Q_{xF(x)-\lambda}). \quad (5)$$

We now recall the following standard definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbb{L}$  be a field and  $\mathbb{F}$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{L}$ , where  $\text{char}(\mathbb{L}) \neq 2$ . For a quadratic form  $\Phi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  we define the symmetric bilinear form  $\varphi : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  associated to  $\Phi$  by  $\varphi(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi(\alpha + \beta) - \Phi(\alpha) - \Phi(\beta))$ . The radical of symmetric bilinear form  $\Phi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  is:

$$\text{rad}(\Phi) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F} : \varphi(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

If  $\text{rad}(\Phi) = \{0\}$ ,  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate.

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $\mathbb{L}$ . The  $r \times r$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  defined by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \Phi(v_i), & \text{if } i = j \\ \frac{1}{2}(\Phi(v_i + v_j) - \Phi(v_i) - \Phi(v_j)), & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

is the associated matrix of the quadratic form  $\Phi$  in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . In particular, the dimension of  $\text{rad}(\Phi)$  is equal to  $r - \text{rank}(A)$ .

Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\Psi : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  be quadratic forms where  $m \geq n$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be associated matrix of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , respectively. We say that  $\Phi$  is equivalent to  $\Psi$  if there exists  $M \in GL_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that

$$M^T A M = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} B & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \in M_m(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

where  $GL_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$  denotes the  $m \times m$  invertible matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $M_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$  denotes the  $m \times m$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Furthermore,  $\Psi$  is called a reduced form of  $\Phi$  if  $\text{rad}(\Psi) = \{0\}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $F(x)$  be  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized and  $r$  a positive integer. It can be easily seen that the map  $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  given by  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha F(\alpha)$  is a quadratic form. Furthermore, since  $\text{Tr}$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear form, we have that the map  $\Phi : \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$  given by  $\Phi(\alpha) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha))$  is also a quadratic form. In fact, for all  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  we have

$$\text{Tr}(c\beta F(c\beta)) = \text{Tr}(c^2\beta F(\beta)) = c^2\text{Tr}(\beta F(\beta)),$$

hence  $\text{Tr}((x+y)F(x+y)) - \text{Tr}(xF(x)) - \text{Tr}(yF(y))$  defines a symmetric bilinear form.

The following theorem is a well known result about the number of the solutions of a special equation over finite fields.

**Theorem 2.3** ([7], Theorems 6.26 and 6.27). Let  $\Phi$  be a quadratic form over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the bilinear symmetric form associated to  $\Phi$ ,  $v = \dim(\text{rad}(\Phi))$  and  $\Psi$  a reduced nondegenerate quadratic form equivalent to  $\Phi$ . We define  $S_\lambda = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r} | \Phi(x) = \lambda\}|$  and denote by  $\Delta$  the determinant of the matrix associated to  $\Psi$  and  $\chi$  the canonical quadratic character of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

(i) If  $r + v$  is even, then

$$S_\lambda = \begin{cases} q^{r-1} + Dq^{(r+v-2)/2}(q-1) & \text{if } \lambda = 0; \\ q^{r-1} - Dq^{(r+v-2)/2} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $D = \chi((-1)^{(r-v)/2}\Delta)$ .

(ii) If  $r + v$  is odd, then

$$S_\lambda = \begin{cases} q^{r-1} & \text{if } \lambda = 0; \\ q^{r-1} + Dq^{(r+v-1)/2} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $D = \chi((-1)^{(r-v-1)/2}\lambda\Delta)$ .

Clearly, in order to determine  $S_\lambda$  we need to calculate the dimension of the radical of  $\Phi$  and the determinant of a reduced matrix associate to  $\Phi$ . For this, important tools for our work are complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials and circulant matrices, which we define below.

**Definition 2.4.** a) *The complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials of degree  $k$  is defined by*

$$h_k(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq r} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}.$$

*We denote this polynomial by  $h_k(r)$ .*

b) *Let  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}$  be elements of a field  $\mathbb{L}$ . The  $r \times r$  circulant matrix  $C = (c_{ij})$  associated to the  $r$ -tuple  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  is given by  $c_{ij} = a_k$  for each pair  $(i, j)$  such that  $j - i \equiv k \pmod{r}$ . We denote by  $C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  this circulant matrix, and the vector  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  is called the generator vector of the matrix  $C$ .*

c) *The associated polynomial of the circulant matrix  $C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  is  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i x^i$ .*

We will show that, with some additional hypotheses (see Proposition 3.1), there exists a base of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that the associated matrix of the quadratic form  $\text{Tr}(Q_{g+\lambda})$  in this base is circulant.

The following theorem, that can be found as an exercise in [13], describes another representation of the polynomial  $h_k$ .

**Theorem 2.5** ([13], Ex. 7.4). *The polynomial  $h_k(r)$  can be expressed as*

$$h_k(r) = \sum_{l=1}^r \frac{x_l^{r+k-1}}{\prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq l}}^r (x_l - x_m)}.$$

These polynomials will be useful to determine the rank of some circulant matrices. In the case when  $r$  is relatively prime with the characteristic of the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , it is well known (e.g. [6]) that the determinant of any circulant matrix  $C = C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  satisfies the relation

$$\det C = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_0 + a_1 \omega_i + \dots + a_{r-1} \omega_i^{r-1}),$$

where  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$  are the  $r$ -th roots of unity in some extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We will use this fact in order to determine the rank of  $C$ . More precisely, the rank of  $C$  is equal to the number of common roots of  $f(x)$  and  $x^r - 1$ , as we prove in Theorem 2.12. Before we prove this we need the following definition.

**Definition 2.6.** *For each  $0 < j \leq k$  integers,  $A_k$  and  $A_{k,j}$  denote the polynomials*

$$(1) \quad A_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \prod_{1 \leq t < s \leq k} (x_s - x_t), \text{ for all } k \geq 2.$$

$$(2) \quad A_{k,j}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t < s \leq k \\ t, s \neq j}} (x_s - x_t), \text{ for all } k \geq 3.$$

The following lemmas show some relations between the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials and the polynomials  $A_k$  and  $A_{k,j}$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $h_{r,j}(k)$  denotes the polynomial  $h_r(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_k)$ , where  $\hat{x}_j$  means that the variable  $x_j$  is omitted. Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^{r-1} A_{k,j} h_{r-k+1,j}(k) = 0, \text{ for all } k \geq 3.$$

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\epsilon_{l,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l > j \\ -1 & \text{if } l < j \\ 0 & \text{if } l = j \end{cases}$ . By Theorem 2.5 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k x_j^{r-1} A_{k,j} h_{r-k+1,j}(k) &= \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^{r-1} (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t < s \leq k \\ t, s \neq j}} (x_s - x_t) \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^k \left( \frac{x_l^{r-1}}{\prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq j, l}}^k (x_l - x_m)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^{r-1} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^k x_l^{r-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t < s \leq k \\ t, s \neq j, l}} (x_s - x_t) (-1)^{k-l} \epsilon_{l,j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^k x_j^{r-1} x_l^{r-1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t < s \leq k \\ t, s \neq j, l}} (x_s - x_t) (-1)^{k+j-l+1} \epsilon_{l,j}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $l$  and  $j$  fixed, the sum runs over the term  $(x_l x_j)^{r-1} = (x_j x_l)^{r-1}$  twice and then

$$x_j^{r-1} x_l^{r-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t < s \leq k \\ t, s \neq j, l}} (x_s - x_t) (-1)^{k+j-l+1} (\epsilon_{l,j} + \epsilon_{j,l}) \right) = 0.$$

□

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Then*

$$A_{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{x_1 \cdots x_{k+1}}{x_j} A_{k+1,j}.$$

*In addition,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_1 \cdots x_k}{x_j} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq j}}^k (x_r - x_j)} = \frac{F(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})}{A_{k+1}} = 1.$$

*Proof.* We now let

$$F_{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{x_1 \cdots x_{k+1}}{x_j} A_{k+1,j}.$$

We will prove that  $A_{k+1} = F_{k+1}$  by induction on the number of variables. For  $k = 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{x_1 x_2 x_3}{x_j} (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s < t \leq 3 \\ s, t \neq j}} (x_t - x_s) \\ &= x_2 x_3 (x_3 - x_2) - x_1 x_3 (x_3 - x_1) + x_1 x_2 (x_2 - x_1) \\ &= (x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2) = A_3. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that the result is true for some  $k \geq 2$ . The polynomial  $F_{k+1}$  has degree  $\binom{k+1}{2}$  and if  $x_i = x_j$  for any  $1 \leq i < j \leq k+1$ , it follows that  $F_{k+1} = 0$ , which implies that  $A_{k+1}$  divides  $F_{k+1}$ . Since  $A_{k+1}$  has the same degree of  $F_{k+1}$  we obtain that  $F_{k+1} = c A_{k+1}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . From the fact that  $A_{k+1}$  is a monic polynomial in respect to the variable

$x_{k+1}$ , it remains to show that  $c = 1$ . In order to prove this, we equate the coefficients of  $x_{k+1}^k$  on both sides of  $F_{k+1} = cA_{k+1}$  to get

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_1 \cdots x_k}{x_j} (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s < t \leq k \\ s, t \neq j}} (x_t - x_s) = c \cdot \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq k} (x_t - x_s)$$

and this means that  $F_k = cA_k$ . By the induction hypothesis, it follows that  $c = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $C$  be a circulant matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with generator vector  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  and  $f(x)$  be the associated polynomial to the matrix  $C$ . Let  $g(x) = \gcd(f(x), x^r - 1)$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  the roots of  $g(x)$ . If  $g(x)$  has only simple roots, then for each positive integer  $j \leq m$  the relation*

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-j}) \cdot (1, h_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j), \dots, h_{r-j}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)) = 0 \quad (8)$$

is satisfied, where  $\cdot$  denotes the inner product.

*Proof.* We set  $\vec{\alpha}_k = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1})$ . We proceed by induction on the number of roots of  $g$ . For any  $\alpha$  root of  $g$  we have

$$a_0 + a_1\alpha + \cdots + a_{r-1}\alpha^{r-1} = 0.$$

Then  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \cdot (1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{r-1}) = 0$  and this relation is equivalent to the first case of the induction. If  $j = 2$ , for each pair of roots  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , we have the relations

$$\begin{cases} a_0\alpha_1 + a_1\alpha_1^2 + \cdots + a_{r-1}\alpha_1^{r-1} = 0 \\ a_0\alpha_2 + a_1\alpha_2^2 + \cdots + a_{r-1}\alpha_2^{r-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Subtracting, we get

$$a_0(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) + a_1(\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2) + \cdots + a_{r-2}(\alpha_2^{r-1} - \alpha_1^{r-1}) = 0.$$

Since  $A_2 = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \neq 0$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-2}) \cdot (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_2^{r-1} - \alpha_1^{r-1}) \\ &= (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-2}) \cdot A_2 (1, h_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \dots, h_{r-2}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and this relation proves the case and we have the case  $j = 2$ . Let us suppose now that (8) is true for any choice of  $k$  different roots of  $g$  and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}$  be  $k + 1$  roots of  $g$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have  $k + 1$  equations of the form (8), where for each one we do not consider one of the roots, i.e., the  $j$ -th equation is given by

$$(a_0, \dots, a_{r-k}) \cdot (1, h_{1,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}), \dots, h_{r-k,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1})) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Multiplying the vector  $(1, h_{1,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}), \dots, h_{r-k,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}))$  for  $\alpha_j^{r-1} A_{k+1,j}$  and adding these vectors we obtain the vector

$$\vec{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \alpha_j^{r-1} (A_{k+1,j}, A_{k+1,j} h_{1,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}), \dots, A_{k+1,j} h_{r-k,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1})).$$

By Lemma 2.7, the last coordinate of  $\vec{u}$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \alpha_j^{r-1} A_{k+1,j} h_{r-k,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}) = 0. \quad (10)$$

Let us put  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k+1}$ . The first coordinate of  $\vec{u}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \alpha_j^{r-1} A_{k+1,j} &= a_0 \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \alpha_j^{r-1} \left( (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1 \\ s, t \neq j}} (\alpha_t - \alpha_s) \right) \\ &= \frac{a_0}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_j} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1 \\ s, t \neq j}} (\alpha_t - \alpha_s) \right) = \frac{a_0}{\alpha} A_{k+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where in the last equality we use Lemma 2.8 and the fact that  $\alpha_j$ 's are  $r$ -th roots of unity. For  $2 \leq l \leq r - k - 1$ , the  $l$ -th coordinate of  $\vec{u}$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} a_l \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \alpha_j^{r-1} A_{k+1,j} h_{l,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}) &= a_l \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \alpha_j^{r-1} \left( (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1 \\ s, t \neq j}} (\alpha_t - \alpha_s) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{k+1} \frac{\alpha_i^{l+k-1}}{\prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq i, j}}^{k+1} (\alpha_i - \alpha_m)} \right) \\ &= a_l \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{k+1} (\alpha_j^{r-1} \alpha_i^{l+k-1} (-1)^{j+1} (-1)^{k-i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1 \\ s, t \neq i, j}} (\alpha_t - \alpha_s) \epsilon_{i,j}) \\ &= \frac{a_l}{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{k+1} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_j} \alpha_i^{l+k-1} (-1)^{k+j-i+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1 \\ s, t \neq i, j}} (\alpha_t - \alpha_s) \epsilon_{i,j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let  $G_{k+1}$  denote the polynomial

$$G_{k+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{k+1} \left( \frac{x_1 \cdots x_{k+1}}{x_j} x_i^{l+k-1} (-1)^{k+j-i+1} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq s < t \leq k+1 \\ s, t \neq i, j}} (x_t - x_s) \epsilon_{i,j} \right).$$

We observe that for  $x_i = x_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , we have  $G_{k+1} = 0$  and therefore  $(x_i - x_j)$  divides  $G_{k+1}$  for all  $i \neq j$ . We conclude that  $A_{k+1}$  divides  $G_{k+1}$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{k+1}}{A_{k+1}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{x_1 \cdots x_{k+1} x_i^{l+k-1}}{\prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq i}}^{k+1} (x_i - x_m)} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{k+1} \frac{(x_i - x_j)}{\prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i, j}}^{k+1} (x_r - x_j)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{x_i^{l+k}}{\prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq i}}^{k+1} (x_i - x_m)} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{k+1} \frac{x_1 \cdots x_{k+1}}{x_i x_j} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i, j}}^{k+1} (x_r - x_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Fixing  $i$ , it follows from Lemma 2.8 that  $\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{k+1} \frac{x_1 \cdots x_{k+1}}{x_i x_j} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq i, j}}^{k+1} (x_r - x_j)} = 1$ . Therefore

$$G_{k+1} = A_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{x_i^{l+k}}{\prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq i}}^{k+1} (x_i - x_m)} = A_{k+1} h_l(k+1). \quad (13)$$

By (12) we have

$$a_l \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \alpha_j^{r-1} A_{k+1,j} h_{l,j}(\vec{\alpha}_{k+1}) = \frac{a_l}{\alpha} A_{k+1} h_l(k+1).$$

From (10), (11) and (13) we conclude that

$$(a_0, \dots, a_{r-k-1}) \cdot (1, h_1(k+1), \dots, h_{n-k-1}(k+1)) = 0.$$

□

**Remark 2.10.** (1) Let  $\lambda$  be a root of  $g(x)$ . Multiplying  $f(\lambda)$  by  $\lambda^i$  we obtain  $a_{r-i} + a_{r-i+1}\lambda + \dots + a_{r-i-1}\lambda^{r-i} = 0$  and therefore Lemma 2.9 is true for any shift of the coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}$ .  
 (2) In particular, Lemma 2.9 is true if  $\gcd(r, q) = 1$ , since in this case  $g(x)$  has only simple roots.

For what follows, we will need the following definition.

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $n$  such that  $f(0) \neq 0$ . The reciprocal polynomial  $f^*$  of the polynomial  $f$  is defined by  $f^*(x) = \frac{1}{f(0)}x^n f(\frac{1}{x})$ . The polynomial  $f$  is self-reciprocal if  $f = f^*$ .

Now, we show how to find the rank and an equivalent reduced matrix to the circulant matrix  $C$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $A = A(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  be a circulant matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and assume  $\gcd(r, p) = 1$ . If  $f(x)$  is the polynomial associated to  $A$  is such that  $g(x) = \gcd(f(x), x^r - 1)$  is a self-reciprocal polynomial with  $\deg g(x) = m$  then  $\text{rank}(A)$  is  $l = r - m$  and there exists  $M \in GL_r(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that  $MAM^T = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} R & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$ , where  $R = (r_{i,j})$  denotes the  $l \times l$  matrix defined by  $r_{ij} = a_{ij}$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq l$  and  $M^T$  is the transpose matrix of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be the roots of  $g(x)$ . Let  $B_i$  be the matrices obtained from the identity matrix changing the entries of the  $r - i + 1$ -th row by

$$(1, h_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i), \dots, h_{r-i}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i), 0, \dots, 0).$$

Observe that

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_1^{r-2} & \alpha_1^{r-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and since  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_1^{-1}$  are roots of  $g$ , the product  $B_1AB_1^T$  has last row and column with null entries. From Lemma 2.9 and Remark 2.10 it follows that  $MAM^T = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} R & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$ , where  $M = B_m B_{m-1} \cdots B_2 B_1$  and  $R$  is the matrix  $A$  reduced to its first  $l$  rows and  $l$  columns.

□

**Example 2.13.** Let  $q = 27$ ,  $r = 7$  and  $\Omega_r$  denote the  $r$ -th cyclotomic polynomial. Since  $\text{ord}_r q = 2$ ,  $\Omega_r$  splits into three monic irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  of degree 2. Let  $\langle a \rangle = \mathbb{F}_{27}^*$ , where we can choose  $a$  with minimal polynomial  $x^3 + 2x + 1$ . Then

$$\Omega_r(x) = (x^2 + 2a^2x + 1)(x^2 + (2a^2 + a + 2)x + 1)(x^2 + (2a^2 + 2a + 2)x + 1).$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x^2 + 2a^2x + 1)(x^2 + (2a^2 + a + 2)x + 1)(x - a) \\ &= x^5 + x^4(a^2 + 2) + x^3(a^2 + a + 1) + x^2(2a + 1) + x(a^2 + 2a) + 2a \end{aligned}$$



and therefore the circulant matrix associated to the polynomial  $f(x)$  is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2a & a^2+2a & 2a+1 & a^2+a+1 & a^2+2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2a & a^2+2a & 2a+1 & a^2+a+1 & a^2+2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2a & a^2+2a & 2a+1 & a^2+a+1 & a^2+2 \\ a^2+2 & 1 & 0 & 2a & a^2+2a & 2a+1 & a^2+a+1 \\ a^2+a+1 & a^2+2 & 1 & 0 & 2a & a^2+2a & 2a+1 \\ 2a+1 & a^2+a+1 & a^2+2 & 1 & 0 & 2a & a^2+2a \\ a^2+2a & 2a+1 & a^2+a+1 & a^2+2 & 1 & 0 & 2a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \gcd(f(x), x^r - 1) = (x^2 + 2a^2x + 1)(x^2 + (2a^2 + a + 2)x + 1) \\ &= x^4 + x^3(a^2 + a + 2) + x^2(2a^2 + a) + x(a^2 + a + 2) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

is a self-reciprocal polynomial, it follows from Theorem 2.12 that  $\text{rank}(A)$  is 3 and the reduced matrix associated to  $A$  is  $A' = \begin{pmatrix} 2a & a^2+2a & 2a+1 \\ 0 & 2a & a^2+2a \\ 1 & 0 & 2a \end{pmatrix}$ . In addition,  $\det A' = a^4 + 12a^3 + a = a^2 \neq 0$ .

### 3. THE NUMBER OF AFFINE RATIONAL POINTS OF $y^q - y = xF(x) - \lambda$

In this section, in order to find the number of affine rational points of the curve  $y^q - y = xF(x) - \lambda$ , where  $F(x)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized, we determine the number of solutions of the equation  $\text{Tr}(xF(x)) = \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ . In fact, by (5)

$$y^q - y = xF(x) - \lambda. \quad (14)$$

We recall that we have

$$N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}) = qS_\lambda,$$

where  $S_\lambda = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \mid \text{Tr}(xF(x)) = r\lambda\}|$ .

In that follows,  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the  $r \times r$  cyclic permutation matrix

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The following proposition associates the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized polynomial  $F(x)$  with an appropriated circulant matrix.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i x^{q^i}$  be  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}(xF(x)) = r\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  is equal to the number of solutions  $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r)^T \in \mathbb{F}_q^r$  of the quadratic form*

$$\vec{z}^T A \vec{z} = r\lambda$$

where  $A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^l a_i (\mathcal{P}^i + (\mathcal{P}^i)^T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and

$$N_\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_1^q & \cdots & \beta_1^{q^{r-1}} \\ \beta_2 & \beta_2^q & \cdots & \beta_2^{q^{r-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_r & \beta_r^q & \cdots & \beta_r^{q^{r-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $N_\Gamma$  is an invertible matrix and for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  we can write  $x = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j x_j$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . The equation  $\text{Tr}(xF(x)) = r\lambda$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^{q^j} F(x)^{q^j} = r\lambda. \quad (16)$$

Since  $F(x)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized and the trace is  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear, we need to express the monomials of the form  $x \cdot x^{q^l}$  in terms of the basis  $\Gamma$ . We have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x^{q^l+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^{q^j} \cdot (x^{q^l})^{q^j} = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left( \sum_{s=1}^r \beta_s x_s \right)^{q^j} \left( \sum_{k=1}^r \beta_k x_k \right)^{q^{j+l}} = \sum_{s,k=1}^r \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \beta_s^{q^j} \beta_k^{q^{j+l}} \right) x_s x_k.$$

Consequently  $\mathrm{Tr}(x^{q^l+1})$  has the following symmetric representation

$$(x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_r) B_l \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } B_l = \frac{1}{2} N_\Gamma (\mathcal{P}^l + (\mathcal{P}^l)^T) N_\Gamma^T.$$

Making the change of variables  $(z_1 \ z_2 \ \cdots \ z_r) = (x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_r) N_\Gamma$  we get

$$(z_1 \ z_2 \ \cdots \ z_r) \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{P}^l + (\mathcal{P}^l)^T) \right] \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{pmatrix}$$

which has the same number of solutions of  $\mathrm{Tr}(x^{q^l+1})$ . Using equation (16) and the definition of  $A$ , the result follows.  $\square$

The following theorem is straightforward consequence of Theorems 2.12 and 2.3 and Proposition 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i x^{q^i}$  be  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized and  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i x^i$  its associated polynomial. We assume  $\gcd(r, p) = 1$  and that  $g(x) = \gcd(f(x), x^r - 1)$  is a self-reciprocal polynomial of degree  $m$ . Let also  $R$  be the matrix defined as in Theorem 2.12 and  $a = \det R$ . Then for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , the number of affine rational points in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}^2$  of the curve  $y^q - y = xF(x) - \lambda$  is*

$$N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}) = \begin{cases} q^r - q^{(r+m-2)/2} \chi((-1)^{(r-m)/2} a), & \text{if } r+m \text{ is even and } \lambda \neq 0; \\ q^r + (q-1) q^{(r+m-2)/2} \chi((-1)^{(r-m)/2} a), & \text{if } r+m \text{ is even and } \lambda = 0; \\ q^r + q^{(r+m-1)/2} \chi((-1)^{(r-m-1)/2} 2r\lambda a), & \text{if } r+m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $F$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  be polynomials which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.2. Then*

$$|N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}) - q^r| \leq (q-1) q^{\frac{r+m-2}{2}}.$$

*In addition, the upper (resp. lower) bound is attained if and only if  $r+m$  is even,  $\lambda = 0$  and  $(-1)^{\frac{r-m}{2}} a$  is (resp. not) a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

**Remark 3.4.** *The curve  $\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}$ , where  $F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i x^{q^i}$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $0 \leq l < r$ , has genus  $g = \frac{(q-1)q^l}{2}$ . The Hasse-Weil bound for  $\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}$  is given by*

$$|N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}) - q^r| \leq (q-1) q^{\frac{2l+r}{2}}.$$

*Consequently, this curve is not maximal (resp. minimal) with respect to this bound.*

**Example 3.5.** Let  $q = 27, r = 7$  and  $f(x), g(x)$  be the polynomials of Example 2.13. The polynomial  $F(x) = x^{q^5} + (a^2 + 2)x^{q^4} + (a^2 + a + 1)x^{q^3} + (2a + 1)x^{q^2} + (a^2 + 2a)x^q + 2ax$  is the  $\mathbb{F}$ -linearized of  $f(x)$ . Since  $r - m$  is odd and  $\det C' = a^2$ , we get from Theorem 3.2

$$N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}) = q^7 + q^6 \chi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} q^7 + q^6, & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{F}_q^*; \\ q^7 - q^6, & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is not a square in } \mathbb{F}_q^*; \\ q^7, & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the following section we use Theorem 2.3 to compute  $D$  for some special polynomials  $F(x)$ .

#### 4. THE NUMBER OF AFFINE RATIONAL POINTS OF $y^q - y = x \cdot (x^{q^i} - x) - \lambda$

Throughout this section, for any prime  $t$  and a positive integer  $n$ , we denote by  $\left(\frac{n}{t}\right)$  the Legendre symbol and by  $\nu_t(n)$  the  $t$ -adic valuation of  $n$ , i.e., the maximum power of  $t$  that divides  $n$ . The objective of this section is to find an expression for the number of affine rational points of the curve  $y^q - y = x^{q^i+1} - x^2 - \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}^2$  in terms of valuation functions and Legendre symbols.

In the previous section, we used the fact that the number  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda})$ , for  $F(x)$  a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized polynomial and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , is  $q$  times the number of elements  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  such that  $\text{Tr}(xF(x)) = r\lambda$ .

In order to determine the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}(xF(x)) = r\lambda$ , it is necessary to establish the dimension of the symmetric bilinear form associated to this quadratic form, which is the content of the next proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $0 < i < r$  be integers and  $F(x) = \sum_{j=0}^i a_j x^{q^j}$  a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized. Let  $\Phi_F(x) = \text{Tr}(xF(x))$ . If  $a_0 \neq 0$ , then

$$\dim \text{rad}(\varphi_F) = \deg \left( \gcd \left( \sum_{j=0}^i a_j (x^j + x^{r-j}), x^r - 1 \right) \right). \quad (17)$$

*Proof.* In order to determine the dimension of the radical of  $\varphi_F$  it is sufficient to compute the dimension of the radical

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r} \mid \varphi_F(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}\}.$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, y) &= \text{Tr} \left( \sum_{j=0}^i a_j (x+y)^{q^j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^i a_j x^{q^j+1} - \sum_{j=0}^i a_j y^{q^j+1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^i a_j (x+y)^{q^{j+l}+q^l} - \sum_{j=0}^i a_j x^{q^{j+l}+q^l} - \sum_{j=0}^i a_j y^{q^{j+l}+q^l} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^i a_j \left( \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} x^{q^{j+l}} y^{q^l} + x^{q^l} y^{q^{j+l}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^i a_j \left( \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} ((x^{q^j} + x^{q^{r-j}}) y)^{q^l} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^i a_j \text{Tr}((x^{q^j} + x^{q^{r-j}}) y) = \text{Tr} \left( \sum_{j=0}^i a_j (x^{q^j} + x^{q^{r-j}}) y \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It follows that  $\varphi_F(x, y) = 0$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=0}^i a_j (x^{q^j} + x^{q^{r-j}}) = 0. \quad (19)$$

The  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  determined by (19) is the set of roots of

$$g(x) = \gcd(H(x), x^{q^r} - x), \text{ where } H(x) = \sum_{j=0}^i a_j (x^{q^j} + x^{q^{r-j}}).$$

Since  $g$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized, the degree of the associated polynomial gives us the dimension of radical of  $\varphi_i$ , which is the degree of  $\gcd(x^r - 1, \sum_{j=0}^i a_j (x^j + x^{r-j}))$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**4.1. The special case  $F(x) = x^{q^i} - x$ .** For this case we explicitly determine the dimension of the quadratic form  $\text{Tr}(xF(x))$ . Besides that, we will use this information to compute  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda})$ , that is given in Theorem 4.10. In order to simplify the notations, we define the following quadratic form.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $i, r$  be integers such that  $0 < i < r$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i : \mathbb{F}_{q^r} &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \\ x &\mapsto \text{Tr}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2). \end{aligned}$$

The following corollary is consequence of Proposition 4.1.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $i, r$  be integers such that  $0 < i < r$  and  $\varphi_i(x, y)$  the associated symmetric bilinear form of  $\Phi_i$ . Let  $r = p^u \tilde{r}$  and  $i = p^s \tilde{i}$ , where  $u, s$  are non-negative integers such that  $\gcd(p, \tilde{r}) = \gcd(p, \tilde{i}) = 1$ . Then

$$\dim \text{rad}(\varphi_i) = \gcd(\tilde{r}, \tilde{i}) \min(p^u, 2p^s). \quad (20)$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.1 it is enough to find the dimension of the linear space determined by the roots of

$$H(x) = \gcd(x^{q^i} + x^{q^{r-i}} - 2x, x^{q^r} - x). \quad (21)$$

Since  $r = p^u \tilde{r}$ ,  $i = p^s \tilde{i}$ , the associated polynomial to the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized polynomial  $H(x)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \gcd(x^i - 2 + x^{r-i}, x^r - 1) = \gcd(x^{2i} - 2x^i + x^r, x^r - 1) \\ &= \gcd((x^{\tilde{i}} - 1)^{2p^s}, (x^{\tilde{r}} - 1)^{p^u}) = (x^{\gcd(\tilde{r}, \tilde{i})} - 1)^{\min(p^u, 2p^s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the degree of  $h(x)$  is equals to the dimension of the radical, we conclude that  $\dim \text{rad}(\varphi_i) = \gcd(\tilde{r}, \tilde{i}) \min(p^u, 2p^s)$ .  $\square$

Using Theorem 2.3 and the previous corollary we can determine the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = r\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ , which will give us a complete description of  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda})$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $i, r$  be integers such that  $0 < i < r$  and  $\gcd(r, 2p) = 1$ . Let  $v$  be the dimension of the radical of the associated bilinear symmetric form  $\Phi_i$ . Let  $i = p^s \tilde{i}$ , where  $s$  is a non-negative integer and  $\gcd(\tilde{i}, p) = 1$ . Then  $r + v$  is even and, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , the constant  $D$  of Theorem 2.3 it is given by

$$D = \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}}.$$

where  $r = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_u^{a_u}$  is the prime factorization of  $r$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M_\lambda$  be the set of solutions of  $\text{Tr}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ . Then  $S_\lambda = |M_\lambda|$  is given by Equation (6). For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , if  $\text{Tr}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \lambda$  we have

$$\text{Tr}((x^{q^j})^{q^i+1} - (x^{q^j})^2) = \text{Tr}((x^{q^i+1} - x^2)^{q^j}) = \text{Tr}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \lambda, \quad (22)$$

for all  $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ .

We first consider the case  $r = p_1^a$ , where  $p_1$  is an odd prime and  $\gcd(p_1, p) = 1$ . By Equation (22), for each  $\alpha \in M_\lambda$  we can associate another  $d-1$  elements of  $M_\lambda$ , where  $d$  is the smallest positive divisor of  $r = p_1^a$  such that  $\alpha^{q^d} = \alpha$ . For each  $\alpha \in M_\lambda$  we have  $d > 1$ , because otherwise  $\alpha^q = \alpha$ , which implies  $\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2 = \alpha^2 - \alpha^2 = 0$ , which is a contradiction because  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $d$  is a multiple of  $p_1$  and Equation (6) of Theorem 2.3 can be rewritten modulo  $p_1$  as

$$q^{r-1} - Dq^{(r+v-2)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p_1},$$

which is equivalent to

$$D \equiv (q^{(r+v-2)/2})^{-1} \equiv q^{(r+v-2)/2} \pmod{p_1},$$

where in the last congruence we use the fact that  $D = \pm 1$ . By Lemma 4.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D &\equiv q^{(p_1^a + p_1^{\min\{a, \nu_{p_1}(i)\})/2-1} \pmod{p_1} \\ &\equiv q^{p_1^{\min\{a, \nu_{p_1}(i)\}} (p_1^{(a-\min\{a, \nu_{p_1}(i)\})+1})/2-1} \pmod{p_1} \\ &\equiv q^{(p_1^{(a-\min\{a, \nu_{p_1}(i)\})-1})/2} \pmod{p_1} \\ &\equiv q^{(p_1^{\max\{0, a-\nu_{p_1}(i)\}-1})/2} \pmod{p_1} \\ &\equiv \left(\frac{q}{p_1}\right)_{(p_1^{\max\{0, a-\nu_{p_1}(i)\}-1})/(p_1-1)} \pmod{p_1} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\left(\frac{q}{p_1}\right)$  assumes only the values  $\{-1, 1\}$  and  $\frac{p_1^l-1}{p_1-1} \equiv l \pmod{2}$ , we conclude that

$$D = \left(\frac{q}{p_1}\right)^{\max\{0, a-\nu_{p_1}(i)\}}.$$

Now we consider the general case  $r = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_u^{a_u}$ , with  $u \geq 1$ . We will prove the result by induction on  $u$ . We already proved the case when  $u = 1$ . Now suppose  $u \geq 2$ . It follows from Lemma 4.3 that the dimension of the radical of the bilinear symmetric form associated to  $\Phi_i(x)$  is  $v = \gcd(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_u^{a_u}, i)$  and therefore  $v$  divides  $p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_u^{a_u}$  and  $r+v$  is even. Using Theorem 2.3, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , we obtain that

$$S_\lambda = q^{r-1} - Dq^{(r+v-2)/2}.$$

Now let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $r = \tilde{r}p_u^{a_u}$  where  $\tilde{r} = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_{u-1}^{a_{u-1}}$ . We now consider the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ . The induction hypothesis, the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \lambda$  is

$$S_{\lambda, \tilde{r}} = q^{\tilde{r}-1} - \prod_{j=1}^{u-1} \left(\frac{q}{p_j}\right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{(\tilde{r}+v_1-2)/2},$$

where  $v_1$  is the dimension of radical of the bilinear symmetric form associated to  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2)$ . From Lemma 4.3 we know that  $v_1 = \gcd(\tilde{r}, i)$  which implies  $v = v_1 \cdot \gcd(p_u^{a_u}, i)$ . Since

$\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}} \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^{p_u^{a_u}}} = \mathbb{F}_q$ , the solutions which are not in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}$  can be grouped in sets of size congruent to 0 (mod  $p_u$ ). In fact, since  $\alpha$  is a solution then  $\alpha^{q^j}$  is also a solution and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}$ , it follows that there exists  $d > 1$  dividing  $p_u^{a_u}$  such that  $\alpha^{q^d} = \alpha$ . Then

$$S_\lambda \equiv S_{\lambda, \tilde{r}} \pmod{p_u},$$

which is equivalent to

$$q^{\tilde{r}p_u^{a_u} - 1} - Dq^{(\tilde{r}p_u^{a_u} + v - 2)/2} \equiv q^{\tilde{r} - 1} - \prod_{j=1}^{u-1} \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{(\tilde{r} + v_1 - 2)/2} \pmod{p_u}.$$

Since  $q^{p_u} \equiv q \pmod{p_u}$ , the previous equation is equivalent to

$$Dq^{(\tilde{r}p_u^{a_u} + v - 2)/2} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{u-1} \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{(\tilde{r} + v_1 - 2)/2} \pmod{p_u}. \quad (23)$$

Now let  $v_2 = \gcd(p_u^{a_u}, i)$ . We observe that  $q^{(p_u^{a_u} - 1)/2} \equiv \left( \frac{q}{p_u} \right)^{a_u} \pmod{p_u}$  from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(\tilde{r} + v_1 - \tilde{r}p_u^{a_u} - v)/2} &\equiv q^{-\tilde{r} \left( \frac{p_u^{a_u} - 1}{2} \right)} q^{\left( \frac{v_1 - v}{2} \right)} \pmod{p_u} \\ &\equiv \left( \frac{q}{p_u} \right)^{a_u \tilde{r}} q^{\left( \frac{v_1 - v}{2} \right)} \pmod{p_u} \\ &\equiv \left( \frac{q}{p_u} \right)^{a_u \tilde{r}} q^{\frac{v_1(1 - v_2)}{2}} \pmod{p_u} \\ &\equiv \left( \frac{q}{p_u} \right)^{a_u} q^{-v_1 \frac{\min\{a_u, \nu_{p_u}(i)\} - 1}{2}} \pmod{p_u}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Equations (23) and (24) allow us to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} D &\equiv \prod_{j=1}^{u-1} \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{(\tilde{r} + v_1 - \tilde{r}p_u^{a_u} - v)/2} \pmod{p_u} \\ &\equiv \prod_{j=1}^{u-1} \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} \left( \frac{q}{p_u} \right)^{a_u} q^{-v_1 \frac{\min\{a_u, \nu_{p_u}(i)\} - 1}{2}} \pmod{p_u} \\ &\equiv \prod_{j=1}^{u-1} \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} \left( \frac{q}{p_u} \right)^{a_u} \left( \frac{q}{p_u} \right)^{-\min\{a_u, \nu_{p_u}(i)\}} \pmod{p_u} \\ &\equiv \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} \pmod{p_u} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently  $D = \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}}$ .

□

**Remark 4.5.** From Theorem 2.3 we have that  $D$  independent of the value of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then, by Lemma 4.4, for  $\lambda = 0$  we have that the value of  $D$  in Equation (6) is  $\prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}}$ .

For extensions of degree power of 2 we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $b, i, r$  be integers such that  $0 < i < r$  and  $r = 2^b$ . Let  $v$  be the dimension of the radical of the associate bilinear symmetric form of  $\Phi_i$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$  the value of  $D$  as defined in Theorem 2.3, is given by*

$$D = \begin{cases} \chi(-\lambda) & \text{if } b = 1; \\ (-1)^{(q-1)(2^b-v)/4} & \text{if } b \geq 2 \text{ and } r+v \text{ is even;} \\ (-1)^{(q+1)/2} \cdot \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right) & \text{if } b \geq 2 \text{ and } r+v \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* When  $r = 2$  it follows that  $i = 1$  and  $\text{Tr}(\alpha^{q+1} - \alpha^2) = \lambda$  is equivalent to

$$\alpha^{q^2+q} - \alpha^{2q} + \alpha^{q+1} - \alpha^2 = \lambda, \quad (25)$$

which can be written as  $(\alpha^q - \alpha)^2 = -\lambda$ . If  $\lambda = 0$  that relation is equivalent to  $\alpha^q - \alpha = 0$ , and then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , in which case we have  $q$  solutions. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , let us consider the following maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2} & \text{and} & \tau : \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \\ x \mapsto x^q - x. & & x \mapsto x^2. \end{array}$$

In order to determine the number of solutions of Equation (25) it is enough to fix  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  such that  $\tau(\psi(a)) = -\lambda$ . Let  $\{1, \alpha\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q$ . The image of  $\{1, \alpha\}$  by  $\psi$  is  $\{0, \beta\}$ , where  $\beta = \alpha^q - \alpha$ . Since  $\ker(\psi) = \mathbb{F}_q$ , the image of  $\psi$  is generated by  $\beta$ . Therefore it is sufficient to consider the elements of the form  $c\alpha$ , with  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , i.e.,

$$\tau(\psi(c\alpha)) = \tau(c\beta) = c^2\beta^2.$$

We now claim that  $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ . For that, suppose by contradiction that  $\beta^q = \beta$ . Then

$$\alpha^{q^2} - \alpha^q - \alpha^q + \alpha = 0$$

which only happens if  $-2(\alpha^q - \alpha) = 0$ . But this is not possible because  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $p \neq 2$ . Consequently  $\tau(\psi(c\alpha)) = -\lambda$  if and only if  $c^2\beta^2 = -\lambda$ , and since  $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_q$ , this equation has solutions if and only if  $-\lambda$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In this case, Equation (25) has  $2q$  solutions.

Now we consider the case when  $r = 2^b$  with  $b > 1$ . Let  $i = p^s \tilde{i}$ , where  $\gcd(p, \tilde{i}) = 1$ . From Lemma 4.3 we know that  $v = \gcd(2^b, \tilde{i}) \min(1, 2p^s) = \gcd(2^b, \tilde{i})$ , which implies that  $v$  is of the form  $2^c$  with  $0 \leq c \leq b$ . Let  $M_\lambda$  be the set of solutions of  $\text{Tr}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ . We now consider two cases.

(1)  $r + v$  is even.

In this case  $v$  and  $i$  are even. The number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \lambda$  is given by Equation (6). If  $\text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) = \lambda$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , we have

$$\text{Tr}((\alpha^{q^j})^{q^i+1} - (\alpha^{q^j})^2) = \text{Tr}((\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2)^{q^j}) = \text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) = \lambda, \quad (26)$$

for each  $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ . Since  $r = 2^b \geq 4$  and by Equation (26), for each  $\alpha \in M_\lambda$  we can associate another  $d-1$  elements of  $M_\lambda$ , where  $d$  is the smallest positive divisor of  $r = 2^b$  such that  $\alpha^{q^d} = \alpha$ . We claim that  $d > 2$ . In fact, if  $d = 1$  we have  $(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2)^q = \alpha^2 - \alpha^2 = 0$ , and this implies  $\lambda = 0$ , which is a contradiction. In the case  $d = 2$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  we have  $(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2)^{q^2} = \alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2 = \alpha^2 - \alpha^2 = 0$ , since  $i$  is even. This relation also implies  $\lambda = 0$ , which is also a contradiction. Then Equation (26) does not have solutions in these cases. Consequently  $d > 2$ , which implies that 4 divides  $d$  for any  $\alpha \in M_\lambda$  and seen Equation (6) of Theorem 2.3, we obtain the relation

$$q^{2^b-1} - Dq^{(2^b+v-2)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

which is equivalent to

$$D \equiv q^{2^b-1-(2^b+v-2)/2} \equiv q^{(2^b-v)/2} \pmod{4}.$$

We conclude that  $D = (-1)^{(q-1)(2^b-v)/4}$ .

(2)  $r + v$  is odd.

In this case  $v$  is a odd divisor of  $2^b$  and therefore  $v = 1$ . By the same argument used in the previous case the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^{i+1}} - \alpha^2) = \lambda$  is given by Equation (7). Furthermore, it follows that for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  we have  $\text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^{i+1}} - \alpha^2) = \lambda$  if and only if

$$\text{Tr}((\alpha^{q^j})^{q^{i+1}} - (\alpha^{q^j})^2) = \text{Tr}((\alpha^{q^{i+1}} - \alpha^2)^{q^j}) = \text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^{i+1}} - \alpha^2) = \lambda, \quad (27)$$

for all  $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ . Therefore for each  $\alpha \in M_\lambda$  we can associate another  $d-1$  elements of  $S_\lambda$ , where  $d$  is the smallest divisor of  $r = 2^b \geq 4$  such that  $\alpha^{q^d} = \alpha$ . The case  $d = 1$  does not happen, otherwise we would have  $\lambda = 0$ .

Suppose now that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \cap M_\lambda \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ . We then have

$$\lambda = \text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^{i+1}} - \alpha^2) = 2^{b-1} \cdot ((\alpha^{q^{i+1}+q} - \alpha^{2q}) + \alpha^{q^{i+1}} - \alpha^2). \quad (28)$$

As in the previous case, Equation (28) does not have solution in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Therefore  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$  and the equation

$$\lambda = 2^{b-1} \cdot (\alpha^{q+1} + \alpha^{q+1} - \alpha^{2q} - \alpha^2)$$

can be written as  $(\alpha^q - \alpha)^2 = \gamma$ , where  $\gamma = -\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}$ . Using the same argument of item (i) of Lemma 4.6 it follows that  $(\alpha^q - \alpha)^2 = \gamma$  has solutions in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $\gamma$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , which is equivalent to  $-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}$  not being a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and in this case we have  $2q$  solutions for Equation (25) in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Consequently the number of solutions of Equation (28) in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  is  $(1 - \chi(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}))q$  and then

$$S_\lambda - \left(1 - \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right)\right)q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

By Theorem 2.3, it follows that

$$q^{2^b-1} + Dq^{(2^b+v-1)/2} \equiv \left(1 - \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right)\right)q \pmod{4},$$

i.e.,

$$D \equiv \left(1 - \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right)\right)q^{1-(2^b+v-1)/2} - q^{(2^b-v-1)/2} \pmod{4}.$$

Therefore

$$D \equiv \left(\left(1 - \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right)\right)q^{2-2^b} - 1\right)q^{(2^b-v-1)/2} \pmod{4}.$$

Since  $v = 1$  and  $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  we conclude that

$$D \equiv -q^{2^b-1-1} \cdot \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right) \equiv -q \cdot \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right) \pmod{4}$$



and consequently

$$D = (-1)^{(q+1)/2} \cdot \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right).$$

The case  $\lambda = 0$  follows from Theorem 2.3, which tells us that  $D$  is the same for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , if  $r + v$  is even. In the case when  $r + v$  is odd, we have  $D = 0$ .  $\square$

The following definitions are helpful to allows us to rewrite the expressions of  $D$  in a more simpler way.

**Definition 4.7.** For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$  we define

$$\varepsilon_\lambda = \begin{cases} q-1 & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon'_\lambda = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the following theorem we use Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 4.6, to determine the value of  $S_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $b, i, r$  be integers such that  $0 < i < r$  and  $r = 2^b$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , the number of solutions  $S_\lambda$  of  $\Phi_i(x) = \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  is given by

$$S_\lambda = \begin{cases} (1 - \chi(-\lambda))q & \text{if } b = 1; \\ q^{2^b-1} + (-1)^{(q-1)(2^b-v)/4} q^{(2^b+v-2)/2} \varepsilon_\lambda & \text{if } b \geq 2 \text{ and } r+v \text{ is even;} \\ q^{2^b-1} + (-1)^{(q-1)/2} \cdot \chi\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2^{b-1}}\right) q^{(2^b+v-1)/2} \varepsilon'_\lambda & \text{if } b \geq 2 \text{ and } r+v \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where  $v = \gcd(2^b, i)$  is the dimension of the radical of the bilinear symmetric form associated to  $\Phi_i$ .

The results obtained in Lemmas 4.4 and 4.8 can be used inductively to obtain the following result for extensions of degree  $r$  satisfying  $\gcd(r, p) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $b, i, r$  be integers such that  $0 < i < r$ ,  $r = 2^b \tilde{r}$ ,  $\tilde{r} = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_u^{\alpha_u}$  the prime factorization of  $\tilde{r}$  and  $\gcd(\tilde{r}, 2p) = 1$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , the number of solutions of  $\Phi_i(x) = \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  is

$$S_\lambda = \begin{cases} q^{r-1} + \prod_{j=1}^u \left(\frac{q}{p_j}\right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(\tilde{r}) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{\frac{r+2v_0-2}{2}} \varepsilon_\lambda & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } b = 1; \\ q^{r-1} + (-1)^{(q^{\tilde{r}}-1)(2^b-v_1)/4} q^{\frac{(r-\tilde{r}v_1-2)}{2}} \varepsilon_\lambda & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } b \geq 2; \\ q^{r-1} + \prod_{j=1}^u \left(\frac{q}{p_j}\right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{\frac{(r+2^b v_0-2)}{2}} \varepsilon'_\lambda & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } b \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

where  $v_0 = \gcd(\tilde{r}, i)$  and  $v_1 = \gcd(2^b, i)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3 it follows that  $v = \gcd(r, i)$ . We split the proof in cases.

(i) *Case  $i$  even and  $b = 1$ .* Using the transitivity of the trace function, we obtain

$$\lambda = \text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2)), \quad (29)$$

where  $\alpha$  is such that  $\Phi_i(\alpha) = \lambda$ . Let  $\mu = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Then Equation (29) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\mu) = \lambda; \\ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) = \mu. \end{cases}$$

Let us define  $Q = q^2$ , then  $Q^{\tilde{r}} = q^r$ . Since  $b = 1$ , by Lemma 4.4 it follows that the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \mu$  is

$$Q^{\tilde{r}-1} - \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(\tilde{r}) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} Q^{\frac{\tilde{r} + v_0 - 2}{2}}.$$

The dimension of the radical of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2)$  is  $v_0 = \gcd(\tilde{r}, i)$ . The number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\mu) = \lambda$  is  $q$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda &= q \left( Q^{\tilde{r}-1} - \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(\tilde{r}) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} Q^{\frac{\tilde{r} + v_0 - 2}{2}} \right) \\ &= q \left( q^{2\tilde{r}-2} - \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(\tilde{r}) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{\frac{2\tilde{r} + 2v_0 - 4}{2}} \right) \\ &= q^{r-1} - \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(\tilde{r}) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{\frac{r + 2v_0 - 2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Case  $i$  even and  $b \geq 2$ .* As above, we have

$$\lambda = \text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2)), \quad (30)$$

where  $\alpha$  is such that  $\Phi_i(\alpha) = \lambda$ . Finding solutions of the Equation (30) is equivalent to finding solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\mu) = \lambda; \\ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) = \mu. \end{cases}$$

Putting  $Q = q^{\tilde{r}}$  we have that  $Q^{2^b} = q^r$ . Since  $b \geq 2$ , it follows from Lemma 4.8(ii) that the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \mu$  is

$$S_\mu = Q^{2^b-1} - (-1)^{(Q-1)\frac{(2^b-v_1)}{4}} Q^{\frac{(2^b-v_1-2)}{2}},$$

where  $v_1 = \gcd(2^b, i)$  is the dimension of the radical of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2)$ . Besides that, the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{\tilde{r}}}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\mu) = \lambda$  is  $q^{\tilde{r}-1}$  and the number of solutions of  $\Phi_i(x) = \lambda$  is

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\tilde{r}-1} (Q^{2^b-1} - (-1)^{(Q-1)\frac{(2^b-v_1)}{4}} Q^{\frac{(2^b-v_1-2)}{2}}) &= q^{\tilde{r}-1} (q^{r-\tilde{r}} - (-1)^{q^{(\tilde{r}-1)\frac{(2^b-v_1)}{4}}} q^{\frac{(r-\tilde{r}v_1-2\tilde{r})}{2}}) \\ &= q^{r-1} - (-1)^{q^{(\tilde{r}-1)\frac{(2^b-v_1)}{4}}} q^{\frac{(r-\tilde{r}v_1-2)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *Case  $i$  odd.* We define  $Q = q^{2^b}$ ; then  $Q^{\tilde{r}} = q^r$  and

$$\lambda = \text{Tr}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_q}(\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_Q}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2)), \quad (31)$$

where  $\alpha$  is such that  $\Phi_i(\alpha) = \lambda$ . It follows that the number of solutions of (31) is equal to the number of solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_q}(\mu) = \lambda; \\ \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_Q}(\alpha^{q^i+1} - \alpha^2) = \mu. \end{cases}$$

By Corollary 4.4 we have that the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_Q}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2) = \mu$  with  $\mu \neq 0$  is

$$Q^{\tilde{r}-1} - \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} Q^{\frac{(\tilde{r}+v_0-2)}{2}},$$

where  $v_0 = \gcd(\tilde{r}, i)$  is the dimension of the radical of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}/\mathbb{F}_Q}(x^{q^i+1} - x^2)$ . Since the number of solutions of  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_Q/\mathbb{F}_q}(\mu) = \lambda$  is  $q^{2^b-1}$ , we conclude that the number of solutions of  $\Phi_i(x) = \lambda$  is

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda &= q^{2^b-1} \left( Q^{\tilde{r}-1} - \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{Q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} Q^{\frac{(\tilde{r}+v_0-2)}{2}} \right) \\ &= q^{2^b-1} \left( q^{r-2^b} - \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{\frac{(r+2^b v_0 - 2^{b+1})}{2}} \right) \\ &= q^{r-1} - \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} q^{\frac{(r+2^b v_0 - 2)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The case  $\lambda = 0$  follows using the same ideas and analogous formulas for  $S_0$ , for extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of degree power of 2 and odd.  $\square$

Using Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.9 we can determine the number of affine rational points of the curve  $y^q - y = x^{q^i+1} - x^2 - \lambda$ , that we show as in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $i, r$  be integers such that  $0 < i < r$ . Let  $\tilde{r}$  be an integer such that  $r = 2^b \tilde{r}$ ,  $\gcd(\tilde{r}, 2p) = 1$  and  $\tilde{r} = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_u^{a_u}$  the prime factorization. For  $F(x) = x^{q^i} - x$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$  we have*

$$N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda}) = q^r + Dq^{(r+L)/2}$$

where

- (i)  $D = (1 - \chi(-\lambda))q^{\tilde{r}+1}\varepsilon_\lambda$ ,  $L = -\tilde{r} \gcd(2^b, i)$  if  $b = 1$  and  $i$  is even;
- (ii)  $D = (-1)^{(q-1)(2^b - \gcd(2^b, i))/4}\varepsilon_\lambda$ ,  $L = -\tilde{r} \gcd(2^b, i)$  if  $b \geq 2$  and  $i$  is even;
- (iii)  $D = \prod_{j=1}^u \left( \frac{q}{p_j} \right)^{\max\{0, \nu_{p_j}(r) - \nu_{p_j}(i)\}} \varepsilon'_\lambda$ ,  $L = 2^b \gcd(\tilde{r}, i)$  if  $b = 0$  or  $b \geq 1$  and  $i$  is odd.

## 5. SOME OPEN PROBLEMS

We finish this paper enumerating some open problems. We note that in Theorem 3.2 we determined the number of affine rational points in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}^2$  of  $\mathcal{C} : y^q - y = xF(x) - \lambda$  when  $F(x)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$  linearized and such that  $g(x) = \gcd(f(x), x^r - 1)$  is a self-reciprocal, where  $f(x)$  is the associated to  $F(x)$ . We then have two problems:

**Problem 1.** *Determine  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda})$  when  $F(x)$  is not a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized.*

**Problem 2.** *Determine  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{F,\lambda})$  when  $g(x)$  is not a self-reciprocal.*

In Section 4, we only considered extensions of degree  $r$  such that  $\gcd(r, p) = 1$ . This is the content of the next problem.

**Problem 3.** *Determine explicitly  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{x^r(x), \lambda})$ , when  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $F(x)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$  linearized and such that  $\gcd(r, p) = p$ .*

In [9], the authors show that the number of monic irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  of degree  $r$  and with the first and third coefficients prescribed is related to the curve

$$y^q - y = x^{2q+1} - x^{q+2}.$$

Then, we have the following problem.

**Problem 4.** *Determine  $N_r(\mathcal{C}_{FG})$  when  $F(x), G(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  are  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearized.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Anbar, N. and Meidl, W. *More on quadratic functions and maximal Artin-Schreier curves*. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing* **26**(5) 409-426, (2015).
- [2] Anbar, N. and Meidl, W. *Quadratic functions and maximal Artin-Schreier curves*. *Finite Fields Appl.* **30** 49-71, (2014).
- [3] Cattell, K., Miers, C.R. Ruskey, F., Serra, M. and Sawada, J. *The number of irreducible polynomials over  $GF(2)$  with given trace and subtrace*. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **47**, 31 – 64 (2003).
- [4] Coulter R.S. *The number of affine rational points of a class of Artin-Schreier curves*. *Finite Fields Appl.* **8**, 397-413 (2002).
- [5] Hefez, A. and Kakuta, N. *Polars of Artin-Schreier curves*. *Acta Arith.* **77**, 57-70 (1996).
- [6] Kra, I.; Simanca S. R. *On Circulant Matrices*. *Notices of the AMS*, (2012).
- [7] Lidl, R.; Niederreiter, H. *Finite Fields*. *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.*, Vol. **20**, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, (1983).
- [8] Niederreiter, H., Xing, Ch. *affine rational points on Curves Over Finite Fields: Theory and Applications*. Cambridge Uni. Press (2001)
- [9] Lalín, Matilde and Larocque, Olivier *The number of irreducible polynomials with the first two prescribed coefficients over a finite field*. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **46** no. 5, 1587-1618 (2016).
- [10] McGuire, G. and Yilmaz, E. S. *The Number of Irreducible Polynomials with the First Two Coefficients Fixed over Finite Fields of Odd Characteristic*. Arxiv ID: 1609.02314.
- [11] Ozbudak F., Saygi Z.: *Rational points of the curve  $y^{q^n} - y = \gamma x^{q^h+1} - \alpha$ . over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$* . *Applied Algebra and Number Theory*. Cambridge University Press, (2014).
- [12] Ozbudak, F. and Saygi, Z. *Explicit maximal and minimal curves over finite fields of odd characteristics*. *Finite Fields and Their App.*, Volume **42**, 81-92 (2016).
- [13] Stanley, Richard P. *Enumerative Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Vol **2**, (1999).
- [14] Tsfasman, M., Vlăduț, S., Nogin, D. *Algebraic geometric codes: basic notions*. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 139. AMS (2007).
- [15] Van der Geer, G. and Van der Vlugt, M. *Fibre products of Artin-Schreier curves and generalized Hamming weights of codes*. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **70**, 337-348 (1995).
- [16] Wolfmann, J. *The number of points on certain algebraic curves over finite fields*. *Communications in Algebra* **17**, 2055-2060 (1989).