

Multilingual Evidence Retrieval and Fact Verification to Combat Global Disinformation: The Power of Polyglotism

Denisa A.O. Roberts

AI SpaceTime
New York City, NY, USA
d.roberts@aispacetime.org

Abstract. This article investigates multilingual evidence retrieval and fact verification as a step to combat global disinformation, a first effort of this kind, to the best of our knowledge. The goal is building multilingual systems that retrieve in evidence - rich languages to verify claims in evidence - poor languages that are more commonly targeted by disinformation. To this end, our EnmBERT fact verification system shows evidence of transfer learning ability and a 400 example mixed English - Romanian dataset is made available for cross - lingual transfer learning evaluation.

Keywords: multilingual evidence retrieval · disinformation · natural language inference · transfer learning · mBERT

1 Introduction

The recent COVID–19 pandemic broke down geographical boundaries and led to an *infodemic* of fake news and conspiracy theories [43]. Evidence based fact verification (English only) has been studied as a weapon against fake news and disinformation [36]. Conspiracy theories and disinformation can propagate from one language to another and some languages are more evidence rich (English). During the US 2020 elections, evidence of online Spanish language disinformation aimed at Latino-American voters was reported [27]. Polyglotism is not uncommon. According to a 2017 Pew Research study, 91% of European students learn English in school ¹. Furthermore, recent machine translation advances are increasingly bringing down language barriers [17, 22]. Disinformation can be defined as intentionally misleading information [12, 13]. The “good cop” of the Internet [8], Wikipedia has become a source of ground truth as seen in the recent literature on evidence-based fact verification. There are more than 6mln English Wikipedia articles ² but resources are lower in other language editions, such as Romanian (400K). As a case study we evaluate a claim about Ion Mihai Pacepa, former agent of the Romanian secret police during communism, author

¹ <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/04/09/most-european-students-learn-english-in-school/>

² https://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/List_of_Wikipedias

of books on disinformation [25, 26]. Related conspiracy theories can be found on internet platforms, such as rumors about his death [1], or Twitter posts in multiple languages, with strong for or against language, such as (English and Portuguese)³ or (English and Polish)⁴. Strong language has been associated with propaganda and fake news [44]. In the following sections we review the relevant literature, present our methodology, experimental results and the case study resolution, and conclude with final notes. We make code, datasets, API, and trained models available⁵.

2 Related Work

The literature review touches on three topics: online disinformation, multilingual NLP and evidence based fact verification. **Online Disinformation.** Previous disinformation studies focused on election related activity on social media platforms like Twitter, botnet generated hyperpartisan news, 2016 US presidential election [3–5, 15]. To combat online disinformation one must retrieve reliable evidence at scale since fake news tend to be more viral and spread faster [29, 32, 37, 44]. **Multilingual NLP Advances.** Recent multilingual applications leverage pre-training of massive language models that can be fine-tuned for multiple tasks. For example, the cased multilingual BERT (mBERT) [11],⁶ is pre-trained on a corpus of the top 104 Wikipedia languages, with 12 layers, 768 hidden units, 12 heads and 110M parameters. Cross-lingual transfer learning has been evaluated for tasks such as: natural language inference [2, 9], document classification [30], question answering [7], fake Indic language tweet detection [18]. **English-Only Evidence Retrieval and Fact Verification.** Fact based claim verification is framed as a natural language inference (NLI) task that retrieves its evidence. An annotated dataset was shared [35] and a task [36] was set up to retrieve evidence from Wikipedia documents and predict claim verification status. Recently published SotA results rely on pre-trained BERT flavors or XLNet [39]. DREAM [41], GEAR [42] and KGAT [23] achieved SotA with graphs. Dense Passage Retrieval [19] is used in RAG [21] in an end-to-end approach for fact verification.

3 Methodology

The system depicted in Fig. 1 is a pipeline with a multilingual evidence retrieval component and a multilingual fact verification component. Based on input claim c_{l_i} in language l_i the system retrieves evidence E_{l_j} from Wikipedia edition in language l_j and supports, refutes or abstains (not enough info). We employ English and Romanian as sample languages. We use all the annotated 110K verifiable

³ https://twitter.com/MsAmericanPie_/status/1287969874036379649

⁴ <https://twitter.com/hashtag/Pacepa>

⁵ https://github.com/D-Roberts/multilingual_nli_ECIR2021

⁶ <https://github.com/google-research/bert/blob/master/multilingual.md>




Fig. 1. Overview of the multilingual evidence retrieval and fact verification system.

claims provided in the initial FEVER task [35] for training the end to end system in Fig. 1. **Multilingual Document Retrieval.** To retrieve top Wikipedia n_l documents D_{c,n_l} per claim for each evidence language l , we employ an ad-hoc entity linking system [16] based on named entity recognition in [10]. Entities are parsed from the (English) claim c using the AllenNLP [14] constituency parser. We search for the entities and retrieve 7 English [16] and 1 Romanian Wikipedia pages (higher number of Romanian documents did not improve performance) using MediaWiki API ⁷ each. Due to the internationally recognized nature of the claim entities, 144.9K out of 145.5K training claims have Romanian Wikipedia search results. **Multilingual Sentence Selection.** All sentences $\cup_{n_l} \{S_{D_{c,n_l}}\}$ from each retrieved document are supplied as input to the sentence selection model. We removed diacritics in Romanian sentences [31] and prepended evidence sentences with the page title to compensate for the missed co-reference pronouns [33, 40]. We frame the multilingual sentence selection as a two-way classification task [16, 28]. One training example is a pair of an evidence sentence and the claim [40, 42]. The annotated evidence sentence-claim pairs from FEVER are given the True label. We randomly sample 32 sentences per claim from the retrieved documents as negative sentence-claim pairs (False label). We have 2 flavors of the fine-tuned models: EnmBERT only includes English negative sentences and EnRomBERT includes 5 English and 27 Romanian negative evidence sentences. The architecture includes an mBERT encoder $E_r(\cdot)$ [38] ⁸ and an MLP classification layer $\phi(\cdot)$. During training, all the parameters are fine-tuned and the MLP weights are trained from scratch. The encoded first $\langle CLS \rangle$ token, is supplied to the MLP classification layer. For each claim, the system outputs all the evidence sentence-claim pairs ranked in the order of the predicted probability of success $P(\mathbf{y} = 1|\mathbf{x}) = \phi(E_r(\mathbf{x}))$ (pointwise ranking [6]). **Multilingual Fact Verification.** The fact verification step (NLI) training takes

⁷ https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/API:Main_page

⁸ <https://github.com/huggingface/transformers>

as input the 110K training claims paired with each of the 5 selected evidence sentences (English only for EnmBERT or En and Ro for EnRomBERT), and fine-tunes the three-way classification of pairs using the architecture in Fig. 1). We aggregate the predictions made for each of the 5 evidence sentence-claim pairs based on logic rules [24](see Fig. 1) to get one prediction per claim. Training of both sentence selection and fact verification models employed the Adam optimizer [20], batch size of 32, learning rate of $2e - 5$, cross-entropy loss, and 1 and 2 epochs of training, respectively. **Alternative Conceptual End-to-End Multilingual Retrieve-Verify System.** The entity linking approach to document retrieval makes strong assumptions about the presence of named entities in the claim. Furthermore, the employed constituency parser [14] assumes that claims are in English. To tackle these limitations, we propose a conceptual end-to-end multilingual evidence retrieval and fact verification approach inspired by the English-only RAG [21]. The system automatically retrieves relevant evidence passages in language l_j from a multilingual corpus corresponding to a claim in language l_i . In Fig. 1, the 2-step multilingual evidence retrieval is replaced with a multilingual version of dense passage retrieval (DPR) [19] with mBERT backbone. The retrieved documents form a latent probability distribution. The fact verification step conditions on the claim x_{l_i} and the latent retrieved documents z to generate the label y , $P(y|x_{l_i}) = \sum_{z \in D_{top-k, l_j}} p(z|x_{l_i})p(y|x_{l_i}, z)$. The multilingual retrieve-verify system is jointly trained and the only supervision is at the fact verification level. We leave this promising avenue for future experimental evaluation.

4 Experimental Results

In the absence of equivalent end-to-end multilingual fact verification baselines, we compare performance to English-only systems using the official FEVER scores⁹ on the original FEVER datasets [35]. Furthermore, the goal of this work is to use multilingual systems trained in evidence rich languages to combat disinformation in evidence poor languages. To this end we evaluate the transfer learning ability of the trained verification models on an English-Romanian translated dataset. We translated 10 supported and 10 refuted claims (from the FEVER developmental set) together with 5 evidence sentences each (retrieved by the EnmBERT system) and combined in a mix and match development set of 400 examples. **Calibration results on FEVER development and test sets.** In Table 1 and Fig. 2 we compare EnmBERT and EnRomBERT verification accuracy (LA-3) and evidence recall on the fair FEVER development (dev) set, the test set and on a golden-forcing dev set. The fair dev set includes all the claims in the original FEVER dev set and all the sentences from the retrieved documents (English and/or Romanian). The golden forcing dev set forces all ground truth evidence into the sentence selection step input, effectively giving perfect document retrieval recall [23]. On the fair dev set, the EnmBERT system

⁹ <https://github.com/sheffieldnlp/fever-scorer>

reaches within 5% accuracy of English-only BERT-based systems such as [33] (LA-3 of 67.63%). We also reach within 5% evidence recall (Table 1 88.60%) as compared to English-only KGAT [23] and better than [33]. Note that any of the available English-only systems with BERT backbone such as KGAT [23] and GEAR [42] can be employed with an mBERT (or another multilingual pre-trained) backbone to lift the multilingual system performance. To better un-




Fig. 2. Error analysis per class. ‘LA-2’ is Accuracy for ‘Supports’ & ‘Refutes’ Claims

Table 1. Calibration of models evaluation using the official FEVER scores % in [35].

Dataset	Model	Prec@5	Rec@5	FEVER	LA-3 Acc
Fair-Dev	EnmBERT-EnmBERT	25.54	88.60	64.62	67.63
Fair-Dev	EnRomBERT-EnRomBERT	25.20	88.03	61.16	65.20
Test	EnmBERT-EnmBERT	25.27	87.38	62.30	65.26
Test	EnRomBERT-EnRomBERT	24.91	86.80	58.78	63.18

derstand strengths and weaknesses of the system performance and the impact of including Romanian evidence in training EnRomBERT, we present a per class analysis in Fig. 2 2. We also calculate accuracy scores for only ‘SUPPORTS’ and ‘REFUTES’ claims (FEVER-2). The English-only SotA label accuracy (LA-2) on FEVER-2 is currently given in RAG [21] at 89.5% on the fair dev set and our EnRomBERT system reaches within 5%. We postulate that the noise from including Romanian sentences in training improves the FEVER-2 score (see Fig. 2), EnRomBERT coming within 5% of [34] English-only FEVER-2 SotA of 92.2% on the golden-forcing dev set. In the per-class analysis, on ‘SUPPORTS’ and ‘REFUTES’ classes in Fig. 2, EnRomBERT outperforms EnmBERT on both fair and golden-forcing dev sets. To boost the NEI class performance, future research may evaluate the inclusion of all claims, including NEI, in training. Furthermore,

retrieval in multiple languages may alleviate the absence of relevant evidence for NEI claims. **Transfer Learning Performance** Table 2 shows EnmBERT and EnRomBERT transfer learning ability evaluated directly in the fact verification step using the previously retrieved and manually translated 400 mixed claim-evidence pairs. We report the classification accuracy on all 400 mixed examples, and separately for En-En (English evidence and English claims), En-Ro, Ro-En and Ro-Ro pairs. EnmBERT’s zero-shot accuracy on Ro-Ro is 85% as compared to 95% for En-En, better than EnRomBERT’s. EnmBERT outperforms EnRomBERT as well for Ro-En and En-Ro pairs. We recall that Romanian evidence sentences were only included in EnRomBERT training as negative evidence in the sentence retrieval step. If selected in the top 5 evidence sentences, Romanian sentences were given the NEI label in the fact verification step. Hence, EnRomBERT likely learned that Romanian evidence sentences are NEI, which led to a model bias against Romanian evidence. **Disinformation Case Study** We employ EnmBERT to evaluate the claim “Ion Mihai Pacepa, the former Securitate general, is alive”. The document retriever retrieves Wikipedia documents in English, Romanian and Portuguese. Page summaries are supplied to the EnmBERT sentence selector, which selects top 5 evidence sentences (1XEn, 2XRo, 2XPt). Based on the retrieved evidence, the EnmBERT fact verification module predicts ‘SUPPORTS’ status for the claim. For illustration purposes, the system is exposed as an API ¹⁰.

Table 2. Fact Verification Accuracy (%) for Translated Parallel Claim - Evidence Sentences.

Model	Mixed	En-En	En-Ro	Ro-En	Ro-Ro
EnmBERT	95.00	95.00	50.00	65.00	85.00
EnRomBERT	95.00	95.00	25.00	0.00	50.00

5 Final Notes

In this article we present a first approach to building multilingual evidence retrieval and fact verification systems to combat global disinformation. Evidence poor languages may be at increased risk of online disinformation and multilingual systems built upon evidence rich languages in the context of polyglotism can be an effective weapon. To this end, our trained EnmBERT system shows cross-lingual transfer learning ability for the fact verification step on the original FEVER-related claims. This work opens future lines of research into end-to-end multilingual retrieve-verify systems for disinformation suspect claims, in multiple languages, with multiple reliable evidence retrieval sources available in addition to Wikipedia.

¹⁰ https://github.com/D-Roberts/multilingual_nli_ECIR2021

References

1. Andrei, A.: impact.ro Homepage (2020 Last Accessed: October 28, 2020), <https://www.impact.ro/exclusiv-ce-se-intampla-acum-cu-ion-mihai-pacepa>
2. Artetxe, M., Schwenk, H.: Massively multilingual sentence embeddings for zero-shot cross-lingual transfer and beyond. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* **7**, 597–610 (2019)
3. Bastos, M.T., Mercea, D.: The Brexit botnet and user-generated hyperpartisan news. *Social Science Computer Review* **37**(1), 38–54 (2019)
4. Bessi, A., Ferrara, E.: Social bots distort the 2016 US Presidential election online discussion. *First Monday* **21**(11-7) (2016)
5. Brachten, F., Stieglitz, S., Hofeditz, L., Kloppenborg, K., Reimann, A.: Strategies and influence of social bots in a 2017 German state election—a case study on Twitter. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.07562 (2017)
6. Cao, Z., Qin, T., Liu, T.Y., Tsai, M.F., Li, H.: Learning to rank: from pairwise approach to listwise approach. In: *Proceedings of the 24th international conference on Machine learning*. pp. 129–136 (2007)
7. Clark, J.H., Choi, E., Collins, M., Garrette, D., Kwiatkowski, T., Nikolaev, V., Palomaki, J.: TyDi QA: A benchmark for information-seeking question answering in typologically diverse languages. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.05002 (2020)
8. Cohen, N.: Conspiracy videos? Fake news? Enter Wikipedia, the ‘good cop’ of the Internet. *The Washington Post* (2018)
9. Conneau, A., Lample, G., Rinott, R., Williams, A., Bowman, S.R., Schwenk, H., Stoyanov, V.: XNLI: Evaluating cross-lingual sentence representations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.05053 (2018)
10. Cucerzan, S.: Large-scale named entity disambiguation based on Wikipedia data. In: *Proceedings of the 2007 joint conference on empirical methods in natural language processing and computational natural language learning (EMNLP-CoNLL)*. pp. 708–716 (2007)
11. Devlin, J., Chang, M., Lee, K., Toutanova, K.: BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. *CoRR* **abs/1810.04805** (2018), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805>
12. Fallis, D.: What is disinformation? *Library Trends* **63**(3), 401–426 (2015)
13. Fetzer, J.H.: Disinformation: The use of false information. *Minds and Machines* **14**(2), 231–240 (2004)
14. Gardner, M., Grus, J., Neumann, M., Tafjord, O., Dasigi, P., Liu, N., Peters, M., Schmitz, M., Zettlemoyer, L.: AllenNLP: A deep semantic natural language processing platform. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.07640 (2018)
15. Grinberg, N., Joseph, K., Friedland, L., Swire-Thompson, B., Lazer, D.: Fake news on Twitter during the 2016 US presidential election. *Science* **363**(6425), 374–378 (2019)
16. Hanselowski, A., Zhang, H., Li, Z., Sorokin, D., Schiller, B., Schulz, C., Gurevych, I.: UKP-Athene: Multi-sentence textual entailment for claim verification. In: *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Fact Extraction and VERification (FEVER)*. pp. 103–108 (2018)
17. Johnson, M., Schuster, M., Le, Q.V., Krikun, M., Wu, Y., Chen, Z., Thorat, N., Viégas, F., Wattenberg, M., Corrado, G., et al.: Google’s multilingual neural machine translation system: Enabling zero-shot translation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* **5**, 339–351 (2017)

18. Kar, D., Bhardwaj, M., Samanta, S., Azad, A.P.: No rumours please! a multi-Indic-lingual approach for COVID fake-tweet detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.06906 (2020)
19. Karpukhin, V., Oğuz, B., Min, S., Wu, L., Edunov, S., Chen, D., Yih, W.t.: Dense passage retrieval for open-domain question answering. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.04906 (2020)
20. Kingma, D.P., Ba, J.: Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980 (2014)
21. Lewis, P., Perez, E., Piktus, A., Petroni, F., Karpukhin, V., Goyal, N., Küttler, H., Lewis, M., Yih, W.t., Rocktäschel, T., et al.: Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive NLP tasks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.11401 (2020)
22. Liu, Y., Gu, J., Goyal, N., Li, X., Edunov, S., Ghazvininejad, M., Lewis, M., Zettlemoyer, L.: Multilingual denoising pre-training for neural machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08210 (2020)
23. Liu, Z., Xiong, C., Sun, M., Liu, Z.: Fine-grained fact verification with kernel graph attention network. In: Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics. pp. 7342–7351 (2020)
24. Malon, C.: Team Papelo: Transformer Networks at FEVER. In: Proceedings of the First Workshop on Fact Extraction and VERification (FEVER). pp. 109–113 (2018)
25. Pacepa, I.M.: Red Horizons: Chronicles of a Communist Spy Chief. Gateway Books (1987)
26. Pacepa, I.M., Rychlak, R.J.: Disinformation: Former Spy Chief Reveals Secret Strategy for Undermining Freedom, Attacking Religion, and Promoting Terrorism. Wnd Books (2013)
27. Rogers, K., Longoria, J.: Why A Gamer Started A Web Of Disinformation Sites Aimed At Latino Americans (2020 Last Accessed: January 18, 2021), <https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/why-a-gamer-started-a-web-of-disinformation-sites-aimed-at-latino-americans/>
28. Sakata, W., Shibata, T., Tanaka, R., Kurohashi, S.: FAQ retrieval using query-question similarity and BERT-based query-answer relevance. In: Proceedings of the 42nd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. pp. 1113–1116 (2019)
29. Schroepfer, M.: Creating a data set and a challenge for deepfakes. Facebook Artificial Intelligence (2019)
30. Schwenk, H., Li, X.: A corpus for multilingual document classification in eight languages. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.09821 (2018)
31. Sennrich, R., Haddow, B., Birch, A.: Edinburgh neural machine translation systems for WMT 16. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.02891 (2016)
32. Silverman, C.: This Analysis Shows How Viral Fake Election News Stories Outperformed Real News On Facebook (2016 Last Accessed: October 28, 2020), <https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/craigsilverman/viral-fake-election-news-outperformed-real-news-on-facebook>
33. Soleimani, A., Monz, C., Worring, M.: BERT for evidence retrieval and claim verification. In: European Conference on Information Retrieval. pp. 359–366. Springer (2020)
34. Thorne, J., Vlachos, A.: Avoiding catastrophic forgetting in mitigating model biases in sentence-pair classification with elastic weight consolidation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.14366 (2020)
35. Thorne, J., Vlachos, A., Christodoulopoulos, C., Mittal, A.: FEVER: a large-scale dataset for fact extraction and verification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05355 (2018)

36. Thorne, J., Vlachos, A., Cocarascu, O., Christodoulopoulos, C., Mittal, A.: The fact extraction and verification (FEVER) shared task. arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.10971 (2018)
37. Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., Aral, S.: The spread of true and false news online. *Science* **359**(6380), 1146–1151 (2018)
38. Wolf, T., Debut, L., Sanh, V., Chaumond, J., Delangue, C., Moi, A., Cistac, P., Rault, T., Louf, R., Funtowicz, M., et al.: HuggingFace’s Transformers: State-of-the-art Natural Language Processing. ArXiv pp. arXiv–1910 (2019)
39. Yang, Z., Dai, Z., Yang, Y., Carbonell, J., Salakhutdinov, R.R., Le, Q.V.: XLNet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding. In: *Advances in neural information processing systems*. pp. 5753–5763 (2019)
40. Yoneda, T., Mitchell, J., Welbl, J., Stenetorp, P., Riedel, S.: UCL machine reading group: Four factor framework for fact finding (HexaF). In: *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Fact Extraction and VERification (FEVER)*. pp. 97–102 (2018)
41. Zhong, W., Xu, J., Tang, D., Xu, Z., Duan, N., Zhou, M., Wang, J., Yin, J.: Reasoning over semantic-level graph for fact checking. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.03745 (2019)
42. Zhou, J., Han, X., Yang, C., Liu, Z., Wang, L., Li, C., Sun, M.: GEAR: Graph-based evidence aggregating and reasoning for fact verification. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.01843 (2019)
43. Zhou, X., Mulay, A., Ferrara, E., Zafarani, R.: ReCOVery: A multimodal repository for COVID-19 news credibility research. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.05557 (2020)
44. Zhou, X., Zafarani, R.: A survey of fake news: Fundamental theories, detection methods, and opportunities. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* **53**(5), 1–40 (2020)