# A Phase Transition in Large Network Games

Abhishek Shende University of Texas, Austin Austin, USA ashende@utexas.edu

Deepanshu Vasal Northwestern University Evanston, USA dvasal@umich.edu

Sriram Vishwanath University of Texas, Austin Austin, USA sriram@utexas.edu

# ABSTRACT

In this paper, we use a model of large random network game where the agents plays selfishly and are affected by their neighbors, to explore the conditions under which the Nash equilibrium (NE) of the game is affected by a perturbation in the network. We use a phase transition phenomenon observed in finite rank deformations of large random matrices, to study how the NE changes on crossing critical threshold points. Our main contribution is as follows: when the perturbation strength is greater than a critical point, it impacts the NE of the game, whereas when this perturbation is below this critical point, the NE remains independent of the perturbation parameter. This demonstrates a phase transition in NE which alludes that perturbations can affect the behavior of the society only if their strength is above a critical threshold. We provide numerical examples for this result and present scenarios under which this phenomenon could potentially occur in real world applications.

# CCS CONCEPTS

• Mathematics of computing  $\rightarrow$  Probability and statistics; • Theory of computation  $\rightarrow$  Social networks; Algorithmic game theory; Network games.

# KEYWORDS

game theory, network games, phase transition

#### ACM Reference Format:

Abhishek Shende, Deepanshu Vasal, and Sriram Vishwanath. 2021. A Phase Transition in Large Network Games. In The 16th Workshop on the Economics of Networks, Systems and Computation, July 23, 2021, Budapest, Hungary. ACM, New York, NY, USA, [6](#page-5-0) pages.<https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

# 1 INTRODUCTION

The ever increasing interactions between people in the world has motivated the analysis of network data in a range of disciplines and applications, appearing in such diverse areas as commerce, sociology, epidemiology, computer science, and national security. Network data is characterized by the edges between nodes and edge weights,through an adjacency matrix, wherein the action of individual player is affected by the actions of its neighbors. These actions not only affect the individuals but also the overall society. These

NetEcon '21, July 23, 2021, Budapest, Hungary

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9999-9/18/06. . . \$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

[\[16\]](#page-5-2) study the properties of Nash equilibrium in different types of network games and how these are impacted by network structure. A majority of real-world networks are large networks, with numerous players and interactions. Many random matrix models have been created that incorporate features of real-world systems, such as, network composition, flexibility, and recurrent motifs [\[15,](#page-5-3) [28\]](#page-5-4). These network models appear in the study of complex systems, such as social networks, economic markets, signal processing and natural ecosystems. Characterizing the conditions on such networks for Nash equilibrium, even approximately, helps in understanding the results when players play selfishly. For large network games, we

assume that the adjacency matrix is a random matrix, generated

outcomes can be modeled and studied through the framework of network games, focusing on understanding the effect of properties of network on Nash equilibria (NE). The authors in [\[29\]](#page-5-1) and

through a given distribution. In statistical physics, the Ising model on large random graphs helps to study the phase transition phenomenon observed in the real world. It is shown in [\[20,](#page-5-5) [30\]](#page-5-6), that the critical point behavior occurs for ferromagnetic interactions, where the magnetization vanishes as the continuously increasing temperature crosses a certain threshold. The phase transition between water and steam is an example of a phase transition occurring at a critical, or Curie temperature. This transition can be modeled with the Ising model, where the nodes are points in space, and the presence or absence of a molecule is generated randomly, like spins. Thus the magnetism corresponds to the density of the  $H_2O$ . At a hundred degrees Celsius, the density changes substantially; a phase transition. The author Malcolm Gladwell in [\[13\]](#page-5-7) argues that there exist similar 'tipping points' in the society that are critical moments when a minor change makes all the difference. Tipping points are derived from ideas in epidemiology, the study of the spread of viruses and other diseases. The example of a simplified flu epidemic, as provided by Gladwell, describes how the start of Christmas season is a tipping point which leads to exponential increase in transmission rate due to such a simple cause as crowded Christmas shopping and cold weather. The theory of epidemic transmission is applicable to many ideas, products, messages, and behaviors we find in society can be characterized by their rapid, exponential spread through our population. The resurgence of brands like Hush Puppies and viral growth of new ones like Airwalk is contributed to early adoption and focus of marketing on influencers in the social network which the book calls as connectors, mavens, and salesmen. Through our paper, we try to use a game theoretic notion to mathematically study how tiny shift across the threshold can create huge effects by propagating the cause throughout the network.

In this paper, we explore a phase transition phenomenon seen in large random matrices in the context of a network game, and observe how the Nash Equilibrium of a game changes on varying

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

a certain parameter. The authors in [\[7\]](#page-5-8) have studied the extreme eigenvalues and eigenvectors of finite, low rank perturbations of random matrices. A phase transition phenomenon occurs whereby the large matrix limit of the extreme eigenvalues of the perturbed matrix differs from that of the original matrix if and only if the eigenvalues of the perturbing matrix are above a certain critical threshold. Our results focus on the 'spiked' random matrix models with Wigner and Wishart random ensemble for additive and multiplicative perturbations respectively. The key to applying the Baik, Ben Arous and Peche - BBP phase transition results from [\[3\]](#page-5-9) lies in being able to compute the Cauchy or T transforms of the probability measure and their associated functional inverses. We use results on Wigner studied in [\[6,](#page-5-10) [11,](#page-5-11) [12,](#page-5-12) [14\]](#page-5-13) and Wishart studied in [\[3,](#page-5-9) [4,](#page-5-14) [17,](#page-5-15) [25\]](#page-5-16) random ensemble where the transforms and their inverses can be expressed in closed form. Through previous work in [\[8,](#page-5-17) [26,](#page-5-18) [29\]](#page-5-1) on how network structure affects the properties of Nash Equilibrium, we know that largest eigenvalue/eigenvector of the adjacency matrix plays a major role in determining the conditions of various properties of Nash Equilibrium of Linear Quadratic (LQ) network games. In this paper, we analyse perturbations to the adjacency matrix of large network games, focusing on how the changes to structure of network leads to a phase transition phenomenon of the NE of the perturbed network, due to its dependence on the extreme eigenvalue/eigenvector. To the best of our knowledge this is the first paper that makes a connection between random matrix theory and network games to show a phase transition in large social networks.

The application of such property can be experienced in different domains. The social media enables the communication among people, and promotes several group activities in the society. Based on data from platforms like Facebook, Twitter, etc., some users like celebrities, athletes, or politicians have significantly more followers than the rest. In a network graph terms, these users are the nodes that have much more influence than the rest of nodes. These social media influencers have a loyal follower base, achieving a high level of engagement on their content, such as images, trends, videos, etc., heightening their power of persuasion. Using our analysis, we can see that by introducing a perturbation through a 'teleportation' term into the adjacency matrix of a random network over a critical threshold, the beliefs of the participants in the network can depend on properties of the perturbation strength. This change in beliefs can lead to adoption of new technology or products through changing the influence of neighbors.

Some examples of network games with linear-quadratic model to observe a phase transition are public goods game [\[1,](#page-5-19) [27\]](#page-5-20), influence of peers in education [\[5,](#page-5-21) [9\]](#page-5-22) and to model criminal social interactions[\[10\]](#page-5-23). Crime and delinquency are related to connections in social networks, where delinquents often have friends who have committed offenses, and social ties are a means of influence to commit crimes. The 'tipping point' concept of using perturbation to affect the NE suggests that the properties of friendship networks should be taken into account to better understand peer influence on delinquent behavior and to craft delinquency-reducing policies.

Another application of the linear quadratic model is to model collaboration between firms as presented in [\[19\]](#page-5-24). Collaboration takes a variety of forms which includes creation and sharing of knowledge about markets and technologies, setting market standards and sharing facilities. The effects of peers has been evident in case studies on the adoption of high yielding hybrid crops by the farmers during the Dust Bowl in USA in late-1920s and 1930s [\[22\]](#page-5-25). The farmers were reluctant and slow to adopt hybrid crops, largely contributing to expensive switch and very few neighbors using hybrid crops. It was the focus by the government on young farmers over older farmers in combination with outreach by the USDA (headed by hybrid corn pioneer Henry A. Wallace), which can be interpreted as external perturbation on the existing network,that convinced Midwestern farmers to adopt the new seed [\[23,](#page-5-26) [24\]](#page-5-27).

#### 2 NASH EQUILIBRIUM IN LQ GAME

A network game  $G$  with set of  $N$  players, is played over a weighted directed network whose structure is captured by an  $n \times n$  adjacency matrix G. The  $(i, j)$ th entry of G, denoted by  $g_{ij}$ , represents the strength and type of influence of player  $j$ 's strategy on the utility function of player *i*. The positive (negative)  $q_{ij}$  represent strategic substitutes (complements) where an increase in neighbor  $i$ 's actions leads to a corresponding decrease (increase) in player  $i$ 's action. The action of a player *i* is given by  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , and so  $x =$  $(x_1, x_2 \dots x_n) \in \mathcal{X} = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{X}_i$ . Each player  $i \in \mathbb{N}[1, N]$  chooses their action  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  to maximize a utility function:

$$
J_i(x_i, z_i(x)),
$$

which in turn depends on their own action  $x_i$  and on the aggregate neighbors' strategies  $z_i(x)$ , defined by the weighted linear combination

$$
z_i(x) = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^N g_{ij}x_j.
$$

The best response for player  $i$ , i.e., the action that maximizes the utility function is defined as

$$
B_i(z_i(x)) := \underset{x_i}{\arg \max} J_i(x_i, z_i(x)).
$$

The set of actions within which no player has an incentive for unilateral deviations (i.e., each player is playing a best response to other player's actions) is a Nash equilibrium. Mathematically, a vector  $x^* = (x_1^*, \dots x_n^*)$ , is a Nash equilibrium (NE) if, for all players  $i \in \mathbb{N}[1, N], x_i^* \in B_i(z_i(x)).$ 

A linear quadratic (LQ) network game is one where each agent chooses a scalar strategy  $x_i \geq 0$  in order to maximize the linear quadratic utility function:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
J_i(x_i, z_i(x)) = [z_i(x) + a_i]x_i - \frac{1}{2}q_i(x_i)^2
$$
 (1)

with  $a_i, q_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The Nash equilibrium (NE) for an LQ game can be derived from the first-order necessary condition to maximize the utility function for each player  $i$  given by:

$$
\frac{\partial J_i(x_i, z_i(x))}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^N g_{ij}x_j + a_i - q_i x_i = 0.
$$
 (2)

This results in

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
q_i x_i^* = a_i + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} g_{ij} x_j^*.
$$
 (3)

#### 2.1 Assumption

To prove our main results, we require assumptions on the linear quadratic utility function in [\(1\)](#page-1-0). In order to proceed, we impose the assumption that the vector  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$  and  $q_i = \lambda_{max} - g_{ii}$ , where  $\lambda_{max}$  is the maximum eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix G.

Using these assumptions, [\(3\)](#page-1-1) in matrix form, is

$$
(\lambda_{max}I - G)x^* = 0, \tag{4}
$$

where  $I$  is  $N \times N$  identity matrix

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\lambda_{max} x^* = Gx^* \tag{5}
$$

Thus, we see that the NE  $x^*$  is the eigenvector of  $G$  corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue  $\lambda_{max}$ .

For an eigenvector to be a valid NE strategy, it has to satisfy the condition  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  where  $x_i$  is each element of the eigenvector. Throughout this paper, we only consider perturbed adjacency matrices that are symmetric and element wise positive, which guarantees that the eigenvector corresponding to maximum eigenvalue has positive entries, through Perron-Frobenius theorem.

#### 3 ADDITIVE PERTURBATION IN LQ GAMES

Our model for the adjacency matrix of a large network is in the category of the random matrices with fixed-rank deformation, which includes the signal-plus-noise model as typical example. A vast amount of work has been devoted to understanding the limiting behavior of the extreme eigenvalues and the associated eigenvectors of the deformed models. Since the seminal work of authors in [\[3\]](#page-5-9), there has significant study to understand that the extreme eigenvalues undergo a so-called BBP phase transition along with the change of the strength of the deformation. There exists a critical threshold such that the extreme eigenvalue of the perturbed matrix will be within the end points of the spectral distribution if the strength of the deformation is less than or equal to the threshold, and will otherwise be outside of support of the limiting spectral distribution.

Assume *X* be an  $n \times n$  symmetric Gaussian Wigner matrix, with independent, zero mean normal distribution with variance  $\sigma^2/2n$ on off diagonal and  $\sigma^2/n$  on diagonal. It has been shown that the spectral measure of  $X$  converges almost surely to the well known semi-circle distribution with density

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
d\mu_X(x) = \frac{\sqrt{4\sigma^2 - x^2}}{2\sigma^2 \pi} dx \text{ for } x \in [-2\sigma, 2\sigma].
$$
 (6)

Henceforth, —→ denotes almost sure convergence. The result from [\[2\]](#page-5-28) shows that the extreme eigenvalues converge almost surely to the endpoints of the support i.e.  $\lambda_{max}(X) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 2\sigma$ .

Let perturbation matrix  $P$ , be a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix with rank r. Its eigenvalues are  $\theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_s > 0 > \theta_{s+1} \geq \cdots \geq \theta_r$ . By phase transition theorem, as  $n \to \infty$  we have, for

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\tilde{X} = X + P,\tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\lambda_{max}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \begin{cases} \theta_1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\theta_1} & \text{if } \theta_1 > \sigma \\ 2\sigma & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{8}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1: Histogram of eigenvalues of Wigner matrix. The red curve represents the density of the semi-circle distribution

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

Figure 2: Histogram of eigenvalues of rank 1 perturbation of Wigner matrix. The red curve represents the density of the semi-circle distribution

Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the blue histogram of the eigenvalues of a Wigner matrix with  $n = 1000$ , where the red curve follows the semi-circle law from [\(6\)](#page-2-1). The extreme eigenvalues are within the boundaries of the semi-circle. The blue histogram of the Figure [2](#page-2-2) is that of the eigenvalues of the same Wigner matrix but perturbed this time by a symmetric rank 1 matrix as in [\(7\)](#page-2-3). The outlier represented here by blue dot outside red curve is exhibited by the extreme eigenvalue of deformed matrix out of the bulk spectrum. This shows the phase transition phenomenon from [\(8\)](#page-2-4) when the  $\theta > \sigma$ 

In the setting where  $r = 1$  and  $P = \theta uu^T$ , let  $\tilde{u}$  be a unit-norm eigenvector of  $\hat{X}$  associated with its largest eigenvalue. The parameter  $\theta$  represents the signal to noise ratio. By eigenvector phase transition theorem, for norm of the eigenvector projection we have,

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
|<\tilde{u}, u>|^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\theta^2} & \text{if } \theta \ge \sigma \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta < \sigma \end{cases} \tag{9}
$$

NetEcon '21, July 23, 2021, Budapest, Hungary Shende and Vasal, et al. (2014)

#### 3.1 Transitions in LQ game

In the context of LQ game, the matrix  $X$  is the  $n \times n$  symmetric Gaussian Wigner matrix noise that models the interactions between different participants of a large network. Let  $P = \theta uu^T$  so that  $\theta$ and  $u$  are largest eigenvalue and eigenvector respectively, be the adjacency matrix of the perturbations, leading to deviations in the impact of interactions between different players.

Considering an additive perturbation as in [\(7\)](#page-2-3),the adjacency matrix of the new network is  $\tilde{X}$ . From [\(5\)](#page-2-5), since the NE of the game described in [\(1\)](#page-1-0) is the eigenvector of the adjacency matrix corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue, the NE for game with  $\tilde{X}$  is  $\tilde{x}^* = \lambda_{max}(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{u}$ .

From the result of [\(9\)](#page-2-6), for the LQ game, the Nash Equilibrium of the perturbed game is dependent on the parameter  $\theta$  of the perturbation. A transition phenomenon is observed for values of  $\theta$  greater than the threshold value of  $\sigma$  when the NE given by  $\tilde{u}$  is dependent on the network parameters i.e.  $\theta$  and  $\sigma$ . Below this threshold value, the NE does not depend on the strength of perturbation and cannot be affected as desired by modifying the strength of network connections.

#### 3.2 Numerical Examples

To demonstrate the transition in NE, we use a numerical example with  $n = 2000$  Wigner matrix for additive perturbation as shown in [\(7\)](#page-2-3). The value of  $\theta$  is varied to see the effect of the threshold value of  $\sigma$  on the Nash equilibrium. Since the NE of our LQ game is the leading eigenvector, we use the product in [\(9\)](#page-2-6) as the theoretical value and compare it with the computational result. The vertical dashed line in Figure [3](#page-3-0) and [4](#page-3-1) is the critical transition point, across which the strength of the perturbation starts affecting the Nash equilibrium of the game.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 3: Comparison of transition in NE for additive perturbation of LQ game with  $\sigma = 1$ .

In Figure [3,](#page-3-0) the critical threshold value of  $\sigma = 1$ . The value of  $| < \tilde{u}, u > |^2$  from [\(9\)](#page-2-6) is denoted by the 'theoretical' curve. The 'numerical' curve is derived by computationally calculating the NE, i.e the leading eigenvector for the perturbed adjacency matrix.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

Figure 4: Comparison of transition in NE for additive perturbation of LO game with  $\sigma = 0.25$ .

In Figure [4,](#page-3-1) the critical threshold value of  $\sigma = 0.25$ . The numerical and the theoretical curves follow closely. The minor variation in the values of the two curves occurs due to the fact that [\(9\)](#page-2-6) is for range of  $n \to \infty$ .

# 4 MULTIPLICATIVE PERTURBATION IN LQ GAME

Now we explore another strategy to modify a network, through a multiplicative deformation. Assume  $M_n$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix with independent, zero mean, normally distributed entries with variance 1. Then,  $X_n = M_n M_n^*/m$  is known as Wishart matrix. It has been shown that as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  with  $n/m \rightarrow c > 0$ , the spectral measure of  $X_n$  converges almost surely to the well-known Marchenko-Pastur distribution [\[21\]](#page-5-29) with density

$$
d\mu_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi cx} \sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)} 1_{[a,b]}(x) dx + \max(0, 1 - \frac{1}{c}) \delta_0 \tag{10}
$$

where  $a = (1 - \sqrt{c})^2$  and  $b = (1 + \sqrt{c})^2$ . It is known that the extreme eigenvalues converge almost surely to the endpoints of this support.

Let perturbation matrix  $P$ , be a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix with rank r. It's eigenvalues are  $\theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_s > 0 > \theta_{s+1} \geq \cdots \geq \theta_r$ . By phase transition theorem, as  $n \to \infty$  we have, for

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\tilde{X} = X(I+P) \tag{11}
$$

$$
\lambda_{max}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \begin{cases} (\theta_1 + 1)(1 + \frac{c}{\theta_1}) & \text{if } \theta_1 \ge \sqrt{c} \\ (1 + \sqrt{c})^2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
(12)

In the setting where  $P = \theta u u^T$ , let  $\tilde{u}$  be a unit-norm eigenvector of  $\tilde{X}$  from [\(11\)](#page-3-2), associated with its largest eigenvalue. For Wishart matrix  $X$  as described above, the eigenvector phase transition occurs as,

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
|<\tilde{u}, u>|^2 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \begin{cases} \frac{\theta^2 - c}{\theta[c(\theta + 2) + \theta]} & \text{if } \theta \ge \sqrt{c} \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta < \sqrt{c} \end{cases} \tag{13}
$$

#### 4.1 Transitions in LQ game

In an LQ game, from  $(1)$ , the large random matrix  $X$  is considered to be  $n \times n$  random Wishart matrix, with  $P = \theta u u^T$  is the adjacency matrix of the perturbations so that  $\theta$  and  $u$  are largest eigenvalue and eigenvector respectively. Considering a multiplicative pertur-bation as in [\(11\)](#page-3-2), the adjacency matrix of the new network is  $\tilde{X}$ . As seen in [\(5\)](#page-2-5), the NE of  $\tilde{X}$  is given by the eigenvector corresponding to maximum eigenvalue. Thus the NE  $\tilde{x}^* = \lambda_{max}(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{u}$ .

Using the result from [\(13\)](#page-3-3), for the LQ game, the Nash Equilibrium of the perturbed game is dependent on the parameter  $\theta$  of the perturbation. A transition phenomenon is observed for values of  $θ$  greater than the threshold value of  $\sqrt{ε}$  when the NE given by  $\tilde{u}$  is dependent on the network parameters i.e.  $\theta$  and  $\sqrt{c}$ . Below this threshold value, the NE is not affected by the strength of the deformation and cannot be controlled by changing the edge weights of a network through external factors.

# 4.2 Numerical Examples

To demonstrate the transition of NE, we use a numerical example with  $n = 2000$  Wishart matrix for the multiplicative perturbation as with  $n = 2000$  wishard matrix for the multiplicative perturbation as shown in [\(11\)](#page-3-2). The value of  $\sqrt{c}$ , dependent on m as  $n/m \rightarrow c$  is the threshold value given by dashed vertical line in Figure [5](#page-4-0) and [6.](#page-4-1) The uneshold value given by dashed vertical line in rigure 3 and 6. The value of  $\theta$  is varied below and above  $\sqrt{c}$  to observe the effect on the Nash equilibrium. Since the NE of our LQ game is the leading eigenvector, we use the product in [\(13\)](#page-3-3) as the theoretical value and compare it with the computational value.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Figure 5: Comparison of transition in NE for multiplicative rigure 5: Comparison of transition in NE for multiple-<br>perturbation of LQ game with  $m = 2000$ , thus  $\sqrt{c} = 1$ .

In Figure [5,](#page-4-0) the critical threshold value of  $\sqrt{c} = 1$ . The value of  $| \langle \tilde{u}, u \rangle|^2$  from [\(13\)](#page-3-3) is denoted by the 'theoretical' curve. The 'numerical' curve is derived by computationally calculating the NE, i.e the leading eigenvector for the perturbed adjacency the NE, i.e the leading eigenvector for the perturbed adjacency<br>matrix. In Figure [6,](#page-4-1) the critical threshold value of  $\sqrt{c}$  = 1.414. The numerical and the theoretical curve have differences which occur since in the numerical we have finite  $n, m$  whereas for the 'theoretical' we consider [\(13\)](#page-3-3) which represents the limit  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . In both examples, we observe that the NE depends on the strength

of the deformation, when the strength is above the critical point there is phase transition for the NE.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

Figure 6: Comparison of transition in NE for multiplicative Figure 6: Comparison of transition in We for multipliers perturbation of LQ game with  $m = 1000$ , thus  $\sqrt{c} = 1.414$ .

# 5 INTERPRETATION

In the previous sections, we see how a large network can be influenced through external perturbations. The Nash Equilibrium, for the LQ game in [\(1\)](#page-1-0), can be affected through additive and multiplicative perturbation. If the perturbation strength  $\theta$  is strong, the primary eigenvalue goes beyond the random spectrum and the primary eigenvector, which is the NE of our LQ game is correlated with  $\theta$  (in a cone around the perturbation's eigenvector direction whose deviation angle goes to 0 as  $\frac{\theta}{\sigma} \to \infty$ ). If  $\theta$  is sufficiently low, the primary eigenvalue is buried in the random spectrum, and the NE is random, with no correlation to perturbation's eigenvector.

The finite rank perturbation of an adjacency matrix of large network can be interpreted in multiple ways. The additive perturbation can be designed such the specific nodes of the network has higher influence through their edges on the neighbors and consequently the entire network. The multiplicative perturbation, though harder to visualize, also affects the edge weights to dominate the influence from certain nodes and affect the NE properties. The NE, through eigenvalue phase transition is shown for rank 1 perturbation matrices. The rank 1 adjacency matrix can be designed is such a way that the influencers of a real world network have a major role to cause a aggressive spread over the network. The NE, which is the optimal participation of each member, is influenced by this perturbation. The shift in primary eigenvectors also affects the eigenvector centrality measure of the large networks.

The deformation to the networks can be manipulated such that influence of some of the important nodes of the network is powerful enough to propagate their beliefs quicker and effectively. Work in the area of identifying the subset of individuals within a network that can maximize spread of idea has studied like in [\[18\]](#page-5-30). Using our analysis, we could apply sufficient external modifications to a network targeting influential set of individuals, to trigger a large cascade of belief spread.

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we explore conditions under which the Nash equilibrium of a large network game undergoes a phase transition when the adjacency matrix of the network is deformed through a finite matrix perturbation. Our contribution uses the well known eigenvector and eigenvalue phase transition phenomenon in conjunction with finite rank deformations of random matrices from field of random matrix theory to model such phenomena for linear quadratic games on a large network. For the LQ game, we make certain assumptions on the parameters of the utility function that enable us complete the analysis of transition of the NE. The large network is modelled as Winger and Wishart random matrix for additive and multiplicative deformations respectively. The network property i.e.  $\sigma$  or  $c$  determines the critical point around which the strength of perturbation shifts the NE. We observe that, as  $\theta$  crosses the critical point, the NE jumps out of the spectrum and is dependent on  $\theta$ . For the values of  $\theta$  below the critical point, the NE is unaffected by  $\theta$ . There are multiple potential applications for this phenomenon, where selfish participants form a large network.

There exists several avenues for future work. In field of network games, multiple settings are described through variety of utility functions. There is possibility of studying such phase transition in other network games with non linear functions. The eigenvalue/eigenvector transition for random matrix deformation occurs for distributions other than Wigner/Wishart as well. It would be a new direction to investigate phase transition for complex systems that are modeled by other density functions. Our model uses rank 1 deformation but studying phase transition for higher rank deformations and what such perturbations would mean to the network is another possible avenue. The extreme eigenvalues and spectral properties of adjacency matrix play an important role on other properties of NE like conditions on uniqueness and stability. Exploring how sudden shift in extreme eigenvalues affects these properties can help in devising appropriate perturbations. To this end, our results provide a framework to tackle some of the above open problems and applications in future work.

#### REFERENCES

- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[1] Nizar Allouch. 2015. On the private provision of public goods on networks. Journal of Economic Theory (2015).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2015.01.007>
- <span id="page-5-28"></span>[2] Greg W. Anderson, Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni. 2009. An Introduction to Random Matrices. Cambridge University Press. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511801334) [cbo9780511801334](https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511801334)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[3] Jinho Baik, Gerard Ben Arous, and Sandrine Peche. 2004. Phase transition of the largest eigenvalue for non-null complex sample covariance matrices. Annals of Probability 33, 5 (3 2004), 1643–1697.<http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0403022>
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[4] Jinho Baik and Jack W. Silverstein. 2006. Eigenvalues of large sample covariance matrices of spiked population models. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 97, 6 (7 2006), 1382–1408.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmva.2005.08.003>
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>[5] Coralio Ballester, Antoni Calvó-Armengol, and Yves Zenou. 2006. Who's who in networks. Wanted: The key player. Econometrica (2006). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0262.2006.00709.x) [1111/j.1468-0262.2006.00709.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0262.2006.00709.x)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[6] Kevin E. Bassler, Peter J. Forrester, and Norman E. Frankel. 2008. Eigenvalue eparation in Some Random Matrix Models. J. Math. Phys. 50, 3 (10 2008). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3081391>
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[7] Florent Benaych-Georges and Raj Rao Nadakuditi. 2009. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of finite, low rank perturbations of large random matrices. (10 2009). <http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.2120>
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[8] Yann Bramoullé, Rachel Kranton, Yann Bramoullé, and Rachel Kranton. 2016. Games Played on Networks. In The Oxford Handbook of the Economics of Networks. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199948277.013.8>
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>[9] Antoni Calvó-Armengol, Eleonora Patacchini, and Yves Zenou. 2009. Peer effects and social networks in education. Review of Economic Studies (2009). [https:](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-937X.2009.00550.x)

[//doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-937X.2009.00550.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-937X.2009.00550.x)

- <span id="page-5-23"></span>[10] Antoni Calvó-Armengol and Yves Zenou. 2004. Social networks and crime decisions: The role of social structure in facilitating delinquent behavior. , 939– 958 pages.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0020-6598.2004.00292.x>
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[11] Mireille Capitaine, Catherine Donati-Martin, and Delphine Féral. 2009. The largest eigenvalues of finite rank deformation of large wigner matrices: Convergence and nonuniversality of the fluctuations. Annals of Probability 37, 1 (1 2009), 1–47.<https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOP394>
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[12] Delphine Féral and Sandrine Péché. 2007. The largest eigenvalue of rank one deformation of large wigner matrices. Communications in Mathematical Physics 272, 1 (5 2007), 185–228.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0209-3>
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[13] Malcolm Gladwell. 2002. The Tipping Point: How Little Things Can Make a Big Difference. Little, Brown and Co.
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[14] D. C. Hoyle and M. Rattray. 2007. Statistical mechanics of learning multiple orthogonal signals: Asymptotic theory and fluctuation effects. Physical Review E - Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics 75, 1 (2007). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.016101) [1103/PhysRevE.75.016101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.016101)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[15] Matthew O Jackson. 2010. Social and Economic Networks. Princeton university press.
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[16] Matthew O. Jackson and Yves Zenou. 2015. Games on Networks. In Handbook of Game Theory with Economic Applications. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53766-9.00003-3) [53766-9.00003-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53766-9.00003-3)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[17] Noureddine El Karoui. 2005. Tracy–Widom limit for the largest eigenvalue of a large class of complex sample covariance matrices. Annals of Probability 35, 2 (3 2005), 663–714.<https://doi.org/10.1214/009117906000000917>
- <span id="page-5-30"></span>[18] David Kempe, Jon Kleinberg, and Éva Tardos. 2003. Maximizing the spread of influence through a social network. In Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. 137–146. <https://doi.org/10.1145/956750.956769>
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>[19] Michael D. Koenig, Xiaodong Liu, and Yves Zenou. 2014. R&D Networks: Theory, Empirics and Policy Implications. Discussion Papers (2014). [https://ideas.repec.](https://ideas.repec.org/p/sip/dpaper/13-027.html) [org/p/sip/dpaper/13-027.html](https://ideas.repec.org/p/sip/dpaper/13-027.html)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[20] D. P. Landau. 1976. Finite-size behavior of the Ising square lattice. Physical Review B 13, 7 (4 1976), 2997–3011.<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.2997>
- <span id="page-5-29"></span>[21] V A Marčenko and L A Pastur. 1967. DISTRIBUTION OF EIGENVALUES FOR SOME SETS OF RANDOM MATRICES. Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik 1, 4 (4 1967), 457–483.<https://doi.org/10.1070/sm1967v001n04abeh001994>
- <span id="page-5-25"></span>[22] Robert A. McLeman, Juliette Dupre, Lea Berrang Ford, James Ford, Konrad Gajewski, and Gregory Marchildon. 2014. What we learned from the Dust Bowl: lessons in science, policy, and adaptation. Population and Environment 35, 4 (6 2014), 417–440.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11111-013-0190-z>
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>[23] Keith Meyers and Paul W Rhode. 2019. Exploring the Causes Driving Hybrid Corn Adoption from 1933 to 1955. Technical Report.
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>[24] Jacob Moscona, Daron Acemoglu, David Atkin, Lauren Cohen, Joshua Lev Krieger, Josh Lerner, Petra Moser, Nathan Nunn, Ben Olken, Karthik Sastry, and Andrei Shleifer. 2021. Environmental Catastrophe and the Direction of Invention: Evidence from the American Dust Bowl \*. Technical Report. [http://economics.mit.edu/](http://economics.mit.edu/grad/moscona) [grad/moscona](http://economics.mit.edu/grad/moscona)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[25] Boaz Nadler. 2008. Finite sample approximation results for principal component analysis: A matrix perturbation approach. Annals of Statistics 36, 6 (12 2008), 2791–2817.<https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOS618>
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[26] Parinaz Naghizadeh and Mingyan Liu. 2017. On the uniqueness and stability of equilibria of network games. 55th Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing, Allerton 2017 2018-Janua (2017), 280–286. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1109/ALLERTON.2017.8262749) [org/10.1109/ALLERTON.2017.8262749](https://doi.org/10.1109/ALLERTON.2017.8262749)
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[27] Parinaz Naghizadeh and Mingyan Liu. 2018. Provision of Public Goods on Networks: On Existence, Uniqueness, and Centralities. IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSE.2017.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSE.2017.2755003) [2755003](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSE.2017.2755003)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[28] Mark Newman. 2018. Networks. Oxford university press.<br>[29] Francesca Parise and Asuman Ozdaglar. 2017. A variationa
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>Francesca Parise and Asuman Ozdaglar. 2017. A variational inequality framework for network games: Existence, uniqueness, convergence and sensitivity analysis. Games and Economic Behavior 114 (12 2017), 47–82. [http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.08277) [08277](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.08277)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[30] H. Eugene Stanley. 1999. Scaling, universality, and renormalization: Three pillars of modern critical phenomena. , S358 pages. [https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.71.s358) [71.s358](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.71.s358)