

# FedNLP: An interpretable NLP System to Decode Federal Reserve Communications

Jean Lee  
The University of Sydney  
Sydney, NSW, Australia  
jean.lee@sydney.edu.au

Hoyoul Luis Youn  
KPMG Australia  
Sydney, NSW, Australia  
yhy0215@gmail.com

Nicholas Stevens  
NRS Technology  
Sydney, NSW, Australia  
nicholas.robert.stevens@gmail.com

Josiah Poon  
The University of Sydney  
Sydney, NSW, Australia  
josiah.poon@sydney.edu.au

Soyeon Caren Han\*  
The University of Sydney  
Sydney, NSW, Australia  
caren.han@sydney.edu.au

## ABSTRACT

The Federal Reserve System (the Fed) plays a significant role in affecting monetary policy and financial conditions worldwide. Although it is important to analyse the Fed's communications to extract useful information, it is generally long-form and complex due to the ambiguous and esoteric nature of content. In this paper, we present FedNLP, an interpretable multi-component Natural Language Processing (NLP) system to decode Federal Reserve communications. This system is designed for end-users to explore how NLP techniques can assist their holistic understanding of the Fed's communications with NO coding. Behind the scenes, FedNLP uses multiple NLP models from traditional machine learning algorithms to deep neural network architectures in each downstream task. The demonstration shows multiple results at once including sentiment analysis, summary of the document, prediction of the Federal Funds Rate movement and visualization for interpreting the prediction model's result. Our application system and demonstration are available at <https://fednlp.net>

## CCS CONCEPTS

- **Computing methodologies** → **Natural language processing;**
- **Social and professional topics** → **Systems development;**
- **Information systems;**

## ACM Reference Format:

Jean Lee, Hoyoul Luis Youn, Nicholas Stevens, Josiah Poon, and Soyeon Caren Han. 2021. FedNLP: An interpretable NLP System to Decode Federal Reserve Communications. In *Proceedings of the 44th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR '21)*, July 11–15, 2021, Virtual Event, Canada. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3404835.3462785>

\*Corresponding Author (caren.han@sydney.edu.au)

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).  
*SIGIR '21, July 11–15, 2021, Virtual Event, Canada*

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.  
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-8037-9/21/07...\$15.00  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3404835.3462785>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Over the years, the role of the U.S. Federal Reserve System (the Fed) has expanded due to changes in the monetary and financial conditions globally. The Fed's decisions have a chain effect on a broader range of economic factors like inflation, employment, value of currency, growth and loans [10]. Therefore, it is important to analyse the Fed's communications that anchor and guide market expectations, however, it is generally long-form and complex due to the ambiguous and esoteric nature of content [2, 7]. Additionally, the Fed has increased their interest in research exploring the importance of Natural Language Processing (NLP) for macroeconomics [4]. It is aligned with the remarkable progress in NLP that has seen the emergence of a massive number of model architectures (e.g. Transformers [23]), and pre-trained models (e.g. BERT [6], T5 [16]). Considering the fact that the Fed supervision carries vast amounts of unstructured data, the significant improvement in NLP research could assist their needs. However, there are no pilot studies to identify how NLP components could help end-users analyse Federal Reserve communications.

In this paper, we present **FedNLP**, an multi-component NLP system that aims to decode Federal Reserve communications with NO code. The system is designed for end-users to assist their holistic and intuitive understanding of the Fed's communications through the use of multiple NLP components. We define that an end-user is the person who works within a broad range of business sectors such as finance and accounting, often reads economic and financial news, and has low to no programming skills. Our objectives are to reduce the gap between the advance of NLP technology and the needs for the use of NLP by building a "practical use" of NLP system. Inspired by recent research that combines language tools [22], our system focuses on presenting multiple NLP components such as sentiment analysis [14], prediction [6, 26], explanation [18], and summarization [16] in one application. Fig. 1 shows the functional system flow that consists of NLP and application modules required to deliver a no-code system to an end-user. In each NLP task, multiple models are built and then the final models are selected for web applications.

The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, we propose **FedNLP**, the first interpretable multi-component NLP system for decoding Federal Reserve communications that assist end-users.




Figure 1: Functional System Flow of the FedNLP.

- We implement the multiple NLP components and the associated algorithms from traditional machine learning models to pre-trained deep neural network models.
- Our demonstration<sup>1</sup> facilitates the development of the system and shows the results from multiple NLP models at once.
- Through our demo, an end-user can easily compare the different results from both general algorithms and the financial domain-specific algorithms.

## 2 BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

### 2.1 The Fed and FOMC

The Federal Reserve (The Fed) controls the interest rate, specifically the Federal Funds Rate (FFR), in order to maximize employment rate and achieve stability in the prices of goods and services in the U.S. [3]. Since the FFR indirectly impacts a very broad range of the economy, it is important to interpret the underlying factors which contribute to it. Historically, when the economy shows signs of weakness, like during the great recession or the Covid-19 pandemic, the Fed typically lowers rates. This decision is made by a committee within the Fed, called the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC). As the FOMC has become more transparent with its communications [19] and has expanded their research interests to include the use of NLP [4], it is important to identify how NLP components could help end-users make better-informed decisions. In this research, we focused on FOMC members’ communications such as reports, press releases and speeches as they also hold certain importance and insights for the market [8, 11].

### 2.2 Visualisation Tools and Systems

There has been some work on interactive analysis of understanding ML performance. Several systems have taken a black-box approach which does not rely on internal workings of a model, but is designed to let users examine inputs and outputs. Many are general-purpose and focusing on a visual inspection of model’s behavior on sample data, including ModelTracker [1], Prospector [12], Manifold [27], or What-If Tool [25]. For example, What-If Tool provides a rich

<sup>1</sup>Our demonstration system is available at <https://fednlp.net>. Also, the screen recording of the demonstration can be found at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pn3OrWdzwws>




Figure 2: FedNLP Interface. The landing page of each FOMC member has (*top right*) a graph of the financial sentiment, a FFR graph over time, (*bottom right*) topic modelling, and a list of the document belongs to the member.

support for intersectional analysis within dataset, tests hypothetical outcomes and focuses on ML fairness.

In linguistic tasks, visualisation has shown to be useful tool for understanding deep neural networks such as LSTMVis [21], Seq2Seq-Vis [20], BertViz [24], ExBERT [9], or LIT [22]. Typical solutions include visualizing the internal structure or intermediate states of the model to enhance the understanding and interpretation, evaluating and analysing the performance of models or algorithms, and interactively improving the models at different development stages such as feature engineering or hyperparameter tuning through integration of domain knowledge. However, the focus of these tools has been restricted to developers, lacking the ability to deal with long documents or complex industry-specific documents. In this research, we design a visualization system for end-users to provide a holistic view of how NLP techniques analyse and interpret the Fed’s communications.

## 3 SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

Initially, we define an end-user and conduct preliminary focus group interviews by recruiting target end-users to identify system requirements. Through the in-depth interviews, we determine which functional components would be more useful, and design the proposed system and the functional system flow (Fig. 1). Additionally, we collect text data associated with the Fed’s communications from over 30 websites related to changes in target Federal Funds Rate (e.g. *lower, maintain, or raise*). With this real-world data, we implement eight widely used NLP components and algorithms (Table 1). The sentiment analysis, prediction, explanation and summarization tasks provide a side-by-side comparison of generic and finance-specific algorithms and pose the question to end-users whether financial-specific algorithms are more capable of capturing the Fed’s communication than generic algorithms. Ultimately, the proposed system is deployed in a live environment, to provide a simple and easy to access environment for end-users (Fig. 2).

### 3.1 Sentiment Analysis

In our system, we include sentiment analysis which shows the attitude or the emotion of the writer (e.g. positive, negative, or neutral).

**Table 1: Multiple language processing components and algorithms in the proposed FedNLP. "General" denotes general algorithms and "Financial" denotes the financial domain-specific algorithms.**

Component	Algorithm	Description	General	Financial
Sentiment Analysis	TextBlob [13]	Returns polarity and subjectivity using TextBlob for general settings.	v	
	LM Sentiment [14]	Returns polarity and subjectivity using LM sentiment for financial settings.		v
Topic Modelling	LDA [17]	Visualizes term clusters and topics in HTML using LDA model.	v	
Prediction	XGBoost [5]	Displays ML model predictions with explanation component.	v	
	FinBERT [26]	Displays model predictions using a fine-tuned FinBERT.		v
Explanation	Lime [18]	Visualizes top 10 highly-contributing features and highlights sentences.	v	v
Summarization	TextRank [15]	Displays an extractive summarization using a graph-based ranking model	v	
	T5 [16]	Displays an abstractive summarization using a fine-tuned T5.		v
Demonstration	Decoupled APIs	Shows multi-components in one webpage that works with new input data.	v	v

For the generic representation, we apply a TextBlob algorithm [13] that trains the data using *NLTK corpus* with naive bayes classifier. For the financial representation, we adopt the lexicon-based method for economic and financial documents, which was constructed by Loughran and McDonald (LM sentiment [14]). LM sentiment consists of financial word dictionaries appearing in corporate 10K/Q documents and earning calls.

### 3.2 Prediction

We more focus on predicting the direction of changes to the FFR by enabling the NLP models to be trained on the textual representations of the FOMC’s decision. We conduct extensive experiments including traditional Machine Learning (ML), Neural Network (NN), and pre-trained models. Our approach is compared to following models: SVM, Linear SVC, Logistic Regression, Random Forest, XGBoost, CNN, a fine-tuned BERT, and a fine-tuned FinBERT. Among all the experiments on ML models, XGBoost with TF-IDF features achieve the highest test accuracy of 0.73 and weighted average F1 score of 0.66 while detecting all three classes. In Neural Network baseline models, the best setting on CNN and BERT base overfits and performs relatively poorly. A fine-tuned FinBERT achieves a test accuracy of 0.72 and weighted average F1 score of 0.65, however, detecting only maintain class which shows overfitting issues. In our system, we implement XGBoost with TF-IDF features and a fine-tuned FinBERT model based on the evaluation results. Due to space limits, we don’t include the detailed results in this paper.

### 3.3 Explanation

One of the questions from end-users was how to trust a prediction model and its results. The strength of text-based prediction models is that the model can provide an explanation that is easily understandable by people. In our research, we implement Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations (LIME [18]) that provides a visualisation by using the classifier’s output to generate a linear surrogate model. The visualisation shows that the highly contributed words are different for each prediction model even though the prediction results are the same. A fine-tuned FinBERT captures more financial and economic jargon than any other model, however, is computationally expensive. In the system, we chose

XGBoost with LIME as the explanation module because of computation costs. For the deployment, we further optimises the delivery of the explanation by isolating the result from the visualisation library (D3). The choice of XGBoost and delivery optimisation reduced the time to deliver a result from the explanation module from 10 minutes to 30 seconds.

### 3.4 Summarization

The summarization module provides key information about the document, giving users a simple way to quickly decide whether or not to read the full content. Automatic summarization gives a direct benefit because the Fed’s documents are often long and complex. Automatic summarization has been studied for decades and there are two types of categories - extractive and abstractive. Extractive summarization aims to capture most information with the least redundancy whereas abstractive summarization aims to generate new sentences to encapsulate maximum gist of the input document. In this research, we use TextRank [15] and a fine-tuned T5 [16] for extractive and abstractive summarization, respectively.

## 4 WEB APPLICATION

In order to provide a simple no code experience, all components are delivered to end-users through a familiar interface - a web application (Fig 1).

### 4.1 Graphic User Interface (GUI)

The GUI is a lightweight web-based Angular application that provides a simple, intuitive interface for end-users to explore documents and the related predictions. Following Angular design principles, the application architecture consists of components (e.g. document listing and graphs), and page components for areas. Additionally, there are six data services that modularise access a single REST API or NLP API. These services make HTTP requests to an API endpoint and parse the response into JavaScript objects for use within components. The GUI is hosted on AWS, published to an S3 bucket and deployed as a static website using AWS CloudFront for distribution and AWS Route 53 for domain resolution.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Saleema Amershi, Max Chickering, Steven M Drucker, Bongshin Lee, Patrice Simard, and Jina Suh. 2015. Modeltracker: Redesigning performance analysis tools for machine learning. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 337–346.
- [2] Alan S Blinder, Michael Ehrmann, Marcel Fratzscher, Jakob De Haan, and David-Jan Jansen. 2008. Central bank communication and monetary policy: A survey of theory and evidence. *Journal of economic literature* 46, 4 (2008), 910–45.
- [3] The Fed Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. 2020. What economic goals does the Federal Reserve seek to achieve through its monetary policy? Retrieved October 10, 2020 from <https://www.federalreserve.gov/faqs/what-economic-goals-does-federal-reserve-seek-to-achieve-through-monetary-policy.htm>
- [4] The Fed Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. 2020. Workshop on the Use of Natural Language Processing in Supervision. Retrieved October 16, 2020 from <https://www.federalreserve.gov/conferences/workshop-on-the-use-of-natural-language-in-supervision.htm>
- [5] Tianqi Chen and Carlos Guestrin. 2016. Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system. In *Proceedings of the 22nd acm sigkdd international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 785–794.
- [6] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2019*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, 4171–4186.
- [7] The Economic Times. 2019. Decoding central bankers’ language. Retrieved October 10, 2020 from <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/markets/stocks/news/decoding-central-bankers-language/articleshow/69952364.cms>
- [8] Bernd Hayo and Matthias Neuenkirch. 2010. Do Federal Reserve communications help predict federal funds target rate decisions? *Journal of Macroeconomics* 32, 4 (2010), 1014–1024.
- [9] Benjamin Hoover, Hendrik Strobelt, and Sebastian Gehrmann. 2020. exBERT: A Visual Analysis Tool to Explore Learned Representations in Transformer Models. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 187–196.
- [10] Sarfaraz Javed, B Atallah Aldalaien, Uvesh Husain, and M Shahfaraz Khan. 2019. Impact of Federal Funds Rate on Monthly Stocks Return of United States of America. *International Journal of Business and Management* 14, 9 (2019), 105.
- [11] Alexander Jung. 2016. Have minutes helped to predict fed funds rate changes? *Journal of Macroeconomics* 49 (2016), 18–32.
- [12] Josua Krause, Adam Perer, and Kenney Ng. 2016. Interacting with predictions: Visual inspection of black-box machine learning models. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. ACM, San Jose, CA, USA, 5686–5697.
- [13] Steven Loria. 2017. TextBlob: Simplified Text Processing. Retrieved October 10, 2020 from <https://textblob.readthedocs.io/en/dev/>
- [14] Tim Loughran and Bill McDonald. 2011. When is a liability not a liability? Textual analysis, dictionaries, and 10-Ks. *The Journal of finance* 66, 1 (2011), 35–65.
- [15] Rada Mihalcea and Paul Tarau. 2004. Textrank: Bringing order into text. In *Proceedings of the 2004 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*. ACL, Barcelona, Spain, 404–411.
- [16] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2020. Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 21 (2020), 1–67.
- [17] Radim Rehurek and Petr Sojka. 2010. Software framework for topic modelling with large corpora. In *In Proceedings of the LREC 2010 workshop on new challenges for NLP frameworks*. Citeseer, European Language Resources Association (ELRA), Valletta, Malta, 46–50.
- [18] Marco Túlio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. 2016. “Why Should I Trust You?”: Explaining the Predictions of Any Classifier. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. ACM, San Francisco, CA, USA, 1135–1144.
- [19] Evan A Schnidman and William D MacMillan. 2016. *How the Fed Moves Markets: Central Bank Analysis for the Modern Era*. Springer, New York, USA.
- [20] Hendrik Strobelt, Sebastian Gehrmann, Michael Behrisch, Adam Perer, Hanspeter Pfister, and Alexander M Rush. 2018. S eq 2s eq-v is: A visual debugging tool for sequence-to-sequence models. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics* 25, 1 (2018), 353–363.
- [21] Hendrik Strobelt, Sebastian Gehrmann, Hanspeter Pfister, and Alexander M Rush. 2017. Lstmvis: A tool for visual analysis of hidden state dynamics in recurrent neural networks. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics* 24, 1 (2017), 667–676.
- [22] Ian Tenney, James Wexler, Jasmijn Bastings, Tolga Bolukbasi, Andy Coenen, Sebastian Gehrmann, Ellen Jiang, Mahima Pushkarna, Carey Radebaugh, Emily Reif, et al. 2020. The Language Interpretability Tool: Extensible, Interactive Visualizations and Analysis for NLP Models. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 107–118.
- [23] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is All you Need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2017*. Curran Associates, Inc., Long Beach, CA, USA, 5998–6008.
- [24] Jesse Vig and Yonatan Belinkov. 2019. Analyzing the Structure of Attention in a Transformer Language Model. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACL Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP*. ACL, Florence, Italy, 63–76.
- [25] James Wexler, Mahima Pushkarna, Tolga Bolukbasi, Martin Wattenberg, Fernanda Viégas, and Jimbo Wilson. 2019. The what-if tool: Interactive probing of machine learning models. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics* 26, 1 (2019), 56–65.
- [26] Yi Yang, Mark Christopher Siy UY, and Allen Huang. 2020. Fimbert: A pretrained language model for financial communications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.08097* (2020).
- [27] Jiawei Zhang, Yang Wang, Piero Molino, Lezhi Li, and David S Ebert. 2018. Manifold: A model-agnostic framework for interpretation and diagnosis of machine learning models. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics* 25, 1 (2018), 364–373.