

TopicRefine: Joint Topic Prediction and Dialogue Response Generation for Multi-turn End-to-End Dialogue System

Hongru Wang*, Mingyu Cui*, Zimo Zhou, Gabriel Pui Cheong Fung, Kam-Fai Wong
 Department of System Engineering and Engineering Management
 The Chinese University of Hong Kong
 {hrwang, kfwong}@se.cuhk.edu.hk

Abstract

A multi-turn dialogue always follows a specific topic thread, and topic shift at the discourse level occurs naturally as the conversation progresses, necessitating the model’s ability to capture different topics and generate topic-aware responses. Previous research has either predicted the topic first and then generated the relevant response, or simply applied the attention mechanism to all topics, ignoring the joint distribution of the topic prediction and response generation models and resulting in uncontrollable and unrelated responses. In this paper, we propose a joint framework with a topic refinement mechanism to learn these two tasks simultaneously. Specifically, we design a three-pass iteration mechanism to generate coarse response first, then predict corresponding topics, and finally generate refined response conditioned on predicted topics. Moreover, we utilize GPT2DoubleHeads and BERT for the topic prediction task respectively, aiming to investigate the effects of joint learning and the understanding ability of GPT model. Experimental results demonstrate that our proposed framework achieves new state-of-the-art performance at response generation task and the great potential understanding capability of GPT model.

Introduction

Natural Language Generation (NLG), is the task of generating language that is coherent and understandable to humans, has been applied to many downstream tasks such as text summary (Zhang et al., 2019a; Bar-Haim et al., 2020; Cho et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Gholipour Ghalandari and Ifrim, 2020), machine translation (Li et al., 2020; Baziotis, Haddow, and Birch, 2020; Cheng et al., 2020; Zou et al., 2020), and dialogue response generation (Radford et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2018b; Tuan, Chen, and Lee, 2019; Zhao et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020a; Wolf et al., 2019).

Recent works in dialogue response generation usually formulate this task as a sequence to sequence problem, leading to inconsistent, uncontrollable, and repetitive responses (Ram et al., 2018). Furthermore, each dialogue has its specific goal and each utterance of the dialogue may contain multiple topics, regardless it is an open-domain dialogue or task-oriented dialogue. As shown in *left* part of Figure 1, the patient seeks medical advice from a doctor and

inform him the attributes and symptoms of the specific disease which form the topics of the conversation. Also, some open-domain dialogue systems have specific goals, such as recommendation, education, etc. For example, a conversational agent interacts with a user to recommend some interesting movies (as shown in *right* part of Figure 1). The entire content flow is guided by the topic thread. These various conversational scenarios propose more challenges for current multi-turn end-to-end dialogue system, necessitating the model’s capability to generate more informative and topic-related response.

Many researchers propose different methods to guide or control the generation of responses conditioned on specific topics. Some representative works consider incorporating topic information into the sequence-to-sequence framework which apply attention mechanism to all topics (Xing et al., 2017; Dziri et al., 2019). Other works cast this task as a pipeline system, predict the keywords, then capture the topic, and finally retrieve corresponding response (Tang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2020). Another line of work focuses on single-turn topic-aware response generation conditioned on previously given topics (Feng et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019; Huo et al., 2020). All these methods fall short in two ways. Most of these approaches either heavily rely on the non-autoregressive models like BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) to predict topics or utilize attention mechanism on all predefined topics which do not consider the effect of the historical topic path of multi-turn conversations. Besides that, these works do not model the joint distribution of attribute model $p(a|x)$ and unconditional language model $p(x)$, which is proved effective and powerful (Dathathri et al., 2019).

In this paper, we formulate this problem as a topic-aware dialogue response generation task, aiming to generate informative and topic-related responses that can engage the users. More specifically, we design a three-stage iteration mechanism for the topic-aware response generation task. We generate the coarse response given historical dialogue context and previous topics first, then we require the model to explicitly predict corresponding topics, and then we concatenate the generated coarse response at the first step and the predicted topics at the second step as input to generate a final refined topic-related response. Thus, the model is forced to learn a joint distribution of topics and related response by optimizing for these three objectives simultaneously.

¹Equal contributions.




Figure 1: **Left:** MedDG Dataset **Right:** TG-ReDial Dataset. Adapted from Liu et al. (2020a) and Liu et al. (2020b) respectively.

- We formulate a traditional response generation problem as a topic-aware generation problem and propose a joint framework that can learn topic prediction and dialogue response generation simultaneously.
- We design a topic refine mechanism to control the generation of dialogue response. Our ablation study confirms it can help to generate more informative and topic-related responses, leading to better performance.
- We evaluate our model on two different datasets which consist of two application scenarios: medical auto-diagnosis and conversational recommendation, and we achieve new state-of-the-arts performance on both datasets and demonstrate that joint distribution and topic refinement are effective but the understanding ability of GPT2 still needs to be improved.

Problem Definition

Given a dialogue $d = \{u^1, u^2, u^3, \dots, u^n\}$, a corresponding speaker role path $sr = \{s^1, s^2, s^3, \dots, s^n\}$ and its corresponding topic path $tp = \{tw^1, tw^2, tw^3, \dots, tw^n\}$ where $s \in R$, $tw \in T$. R and T are pre-defined speaker set and topic set. An utterance at i th time step can be expressed by (u^i, s^i, tw^i) which represents the sentence, the speaker and the topics included in this sentence. tw^i consists of multiple topics or zero topic and each topic is expressed by several words. The problem then can be defined as: given a i th historical dialogue context, speaker role and topic path, $d_i^{m-1} = \{u_i^1, u_i^2, \dots, u_i^{n-1}\}$, $sr_i^{m-1} = \{s_i^1, s_i^2, \dots, s_i^{n-1}\}$, $tp_i^{m-1} = \{tw_i^1, tw_i^2, \dots, tw_i^{n-1}\}$, find the next topic and generate related responses.

$$y^* = \arg \max_{\theta} p(r^n, tw^n | d^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}) \quad (1)$$

where r^n and tw^n stand for the response and corresponding topics at turn n respectively. User profile information $p = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ is often provided as additional input, which consists of k sentences to express personal information such as interest. Thus, the objective changes accordingly:

$$y^* = \arg \max_{\theta} p(r^n, tw^n | d^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}, p) \quad (2)$$

Different from other methods, we divide the whole problem into three sub-problems (see section below). Our objective can be formulated as the following joint distribution:

$$y^* = \arg \max_{\theta} p(r_1^n | d^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}) p(tw^n | d^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}) p(r_2^n | d^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}, (r_1^n, tw^n)) \quad (3)$$

where $p(r_1^n | d^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}, tp^{n-1})$ generate the relatively abbreviated response first, then $p(tw^n | d^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}, tp^{n-1})$ predict the corresponding topics at turn n , and finally the model refine the abbreviated response r_1^n by maximizing $p(r_2^n | d^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}, (r_1^n, tw^n))$ with the first response r_1^n and corresponding predicted topics tw^n as additional input, which leads to more informative and topic-related response r_2^t .

Model

Our model can be divided into three different parts: 1) Stage-One: Response Generation and 2) Topic Prediction; and 3) Stage-Two: Topic Refinement, which corresponds (a), (b), (c) shown in Figure 2 respectively. More details can be checked in following subsections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3.

Stage-One: Response Generation

We formulate the response generation problem using conditional language models e.g. GPT (Radford et al., 2019). Given many dialogues $D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_m\}$, i th dialogue d contains several training samples $(r^n, tw^n | d^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}, tp^{n-1})$ from different turn n , our objective here is to build a statistical model parameterized by θ to maximize $p_{\theta}(r^n | d^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}, sr^{n-1})$. Since here we use autoregressive language models to take account of the sequential structure of the response, we need to decompose the joint probability of r^n using the chain rule as follows:

$$p_{\theta}(r^n | d^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}) = \prod_{t=1}^T p_{\theta}(r_t^n | r_{<t}^n, d^{n-1}, tp^{n-1}, sr^{n-1}) \quad (4)$$




Figure 2: TopicRefine: Joint Framework of Our Proposed Model, which consists of three different modules (a) Stage-One: Response Generation (b) Topic Prediction (c) Stage-Two: Topic Refinement. The (b) module can be implemented by two methods: BERT and GPT, we utilize Stage-One (GPT) and Stage-Two (GPT) to represents the framework with GPT as backbone for all three modules (orange dashed line), and Stage-Two (BERT) to replace GPT with BERT for (b) module (blue dashed line) in later experiment section.

where $r_{<t}^n$ represents all tokens before t at turn n . The objective of stage-one is performed by maximizing the log-likelihood (MLE) of the conditional probabilities in (4) over the entire training dataset:

$$L_{one} = - \sum_{m=1}^{|D|} \sum_{n=1}^{|d|} \sum_{t=1}^T \log p_{\theta}(r_t^{m,n} | r_{<t}^{m,n}, \mathcal{H}_m) \quad (5)$$

where $r_{m,n}^t$ is t th token of n th response of m th dialogue in training dataset, \mathcal{H}_m represents $(d^{m,n}, tp^{m,n}, sr^{m,n})$ before current response.

Topic Prediction

Given the historical \mathcal{H}_m of m^{th} dialogue¹, we need not only to generate the suitable response but also to predict the correct topic. Some prior works solve this problem by predicting the topic first and then generating the response (Liu et al., 2020a; Zhou et al., 2020). In this section, different from these works, we propose a framework to jointly learn this task with dialogue response generation task as shown in Figure 2. There are two methods to predict the corresponding topics: (1) BERT-Based Prediction, and (2) GPT-Based Prediction.

BERT-Based Prediction. Consistent with previous work in text classification (Chen, Zhuo, and Wang, 2019), we utilize the embedding h_1 of first token $[CLS]$ from BERT

¹It is noted that we do not use $r_{<t}^n$ as input information here.

(Devlin et al., 2019) to predict the topics, followed by a $softmax$ layer.

$$f(x) = \text{softmax}(Wh_1 + b) \quad (6)$$

GPT-Based Prediction. We adapt GPT2DoubleHeads model (Wolf et al., 2020) to perform the prediction followed Wolf et al. (2019), since there are two heads: language modeling head and the classification head in the model while the later one can be used to classify the input dialogue information. Besides that, the shared parameters of GPT may benefit both topic prediction and response generation tasks.

It is noted that there are two types of classification in topic prediction task: *multi-class classification* and *multi-label classification*, owing to the unique characteristic and differences of two dataset: MedDG (Liu et al., 2020a) and TG-ReDial (Liu et al., 2020b). For a *multi-class classification* problem, the global optimization can be reached by minimizing cross-entropy loss defined as follow:

$$L_{topic} = - \sum_{c=1}^K y_c \log(p_c | \mathcal{H}_m) \quad (7)$$

For a *multi-label classification* problem, it is usually formulated as a sequence of binary decision problems which are optimized by:

$$L_{topic} = - \sum_{c=1}^K y_c \log(p_c | \mathcal{H}_m) + (1 - y_c) \log(p_c | \mathcal{H}_m) \quad (8)$$

Stage-Two: Topic Refinement

To generate more informative and topic-related response, we introduce the *topicRefine* mechanism that refines the generated response condition on the predicted topic², as shown in Figure 2 (c).

The refine decoder receives the first generated response r_1^n from the stage-one module and the predicted topic tw^n from the Topic Prediction module as input and outputs a refined response r_2^n . More specifically, we utilize $\langle topic \rangle$ to indicate the position of topics, so the input can be represented as $\{[CLS], w_r^1, w_r^2, \dots, w_r^n, \langle topic \rangle, w_t^1, w_t^2, \dots, w_t^n, \langle topic \rangle\}$ where $r_1^n = [w_r^1, w_r^2, \dots, w_r^n]$, $tw^n = [w_t^1, w_t^2, \dots, w_t^n]$. The learning objective is formulated as:

$$L_{refine} = - \sum_{m=1}^{|D|} \sum_{n=1}^{|d|} \sum_{t=1}^T \log p_{\theta}(r_t^{m,n} | r_{<t}^{m,n}, \mathcal{H}_m, tw^n) \quad (9)$$

where Eq 9 is similar with Eq 5 except the introduced topic information tw^n here. The parameters are shared by all three modules unless we state otherwise.

Training Objective

The learning objective of our model is the sum of three parts, jointly trained using the “teacher-forcing” algorithm. During training, we feed the ground-truth response only in stage-one and stage-two and minimize the following objective.

$$L_{model} = L_{one} + L_{topic} + L_{refine} \quad (10)$$

At test time, we choose the predicted word by $y^* = \text{argmax}_y p(y|x)$ at each time step, and we use greedy search to generate both the response and refined response.

Experiment

In this section, we will introduce datasets and baselines first, and then presents implementation details and evaluation metrics of our proposed framework.

Datasets

MedDG (Liu et al., 2020a) A large-scale high-quality medical dialogue dataset which contains 12 types of common diseases, more than 17k conversation, 160 different topics consisting of symptoms and attributes. Noted the topic-prediction task here is a multi-label classification problem.

TG-ReDial (Zhou et al., 2020) consists of 10000 two-party dialogues between the user and a recommender in the movie domain which explicitly incorporates topic paths to enforce natural semantic transitions towards recommendation scenario. For topic-prediction task here, it is a multi-class classification problem. The details of these two datasets can be found in Table 1.

²If there are k topics predicted by module b, then we simply concatenate all of them together

Dataset	MedDG	TG-ReDial
Task Domain	Task-oriented	Recommendation
Language	Chinese	Chinese
Classification Type	Multi-Label	Multi-Class
Dialogue Domain	Medical	Movie
# Dialogues	17864	10000
# Utterances	385951	129392
# Topics	160	2571

Table 1: Statistics of Two Datasets

Baselines

Seq2Seq. (Sutskever, Vinyals, and Le, 2014) is a classical attention-based sequence to sequence model which build on top of vanilla RNN encoder and decoder.

HRED. (Serban et al., 2016) extends the traditional RNN encoder by stacking two RNNs in a hierarchical way: one at word level and one at the utterance level. It is frequently used as a dialogue encoder.

GPT2. (Radford et al., 2019) is a strong baseline for response generation task which demonstrates powerful performance in many related works. It is noted all three methods mentioned above can utilize topic information as additional input which concatenate with utterance in the dialogue. We use **Seq2Seq-Topic**, **HRED-Topic** and **GPT-Topic** to represent these methods respectively.

Redial (Li et al., 2018) is proposed specially for conversational recommendation systems by utilizing an auto-encoder for recommendation.

KBRD (Chen et al., 2019) stands for Knowledge-Based Recommender Dialog System, which combines the advantages of recommendation system and dialogue generation system.

Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) applies a Transformer-based encoder-decoder framework to generate proper responses.

TG-RG (Zhou et al., 2020) is current state-of-the-art method comes with the release of dataset. It predicts the topic first and then generates the response.

Variants of Our Framework

GPT2DH. The method removes the refinement stage from our framework and jointly train the response generation and topic prediction tasks (i.e. a and b module in Figure 2) based on the GPT2DoubleHeads model. In this way, the training objective changes to $L_{model} = L_{one} + L_{topic}$ without L_{refine} . We called this method GPT2DH to represent GPT2DoubleHeads (Wolf et al., 2020) which have two heads for classification and generation respectively.

Stage-One (GPT) and Stage-Two (GPT). As shown in Figure 2, this variant represents all three components are implemented by GPT2DoubleHeads model, while **Stage-One (GPT)** represents the first generated response r_1^n and **Stage-Two (GPT)** represents the refined response r_2^n in Equation (3).

Stage-Two (BERT). We replace GPT with BERT only for (b) module in Figure 2. The variant is designed for poor understanding capability of GPT model which leads to noisy predicted topic.

Implementation Details

We use the same settings for these two datasets. The learning rate is set as $1.5e-4$, repetition penalty as 1.0, batch size as 4, warmup steps as 2000, except max context length as 500, max decode length as 50, epochs as 20 for TG-ReDial, max context length as 600, max decode length as 100, epochs as 10 for MedDG. We use ADAMW (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2019) to train the model. We emphasize that the role path information is missing in the test data of MedDG. Thus we only use dialogue and topic information in the experiment to keep consistent with test data. It is important to note that our methods do not pre-train on any other big corpus, we just load the parameters provided by Wolf et al. (2020) and directly fine-tune on the target dataset.

Evaluation Metrics

For the sake of fair comparison, we adopt the same evaluation metrics as the original two papers (Liu et al., 2020a) and (Zhou et al., 2020). For MedDG, we report BLEU-1, BLEU-4, and Topic-F1 for response generation task, and Precision, Recall, and F1 score for the topic prediction task. For TG-ReDial, we calculate BLEU-1, BLEU2, and BLEU3 for generation and Hit@1, Hit@3, Hit@5 for prediction. It is noted that Topic-F1 requires the topic words appears exactly in the generated response at MedDG dataset.

Result and Analysis

In this section, we evaluated the proposed TopicRefine framework at two datasets MedDG and TG-ReDial respectively. And then we further investigate the effects of different response length and provide analysis of human evaluation for dialogue response generation task. At the last, we also investigate the understanding capability of GPT model at these two datasets.

Main Result

Model	BLEU-1	BLEU-4	Topic-F1	Avg Score
Seq2Seq	26.12	14.21	12.63	17.65
Seq2Seq-Topic	35.24	19.20	16.73	23.72
HRED	31.56	17.28	12.18	20.34
HRED-Topic	38.66	21.19	16.58	25.48
GPT2	29.35	14.47	9.17	17.66
GPT2-Topic	30.87	16.56	17.08	21.50
Stage-Two (GPT)	45.12	27.49	5.40	26.00
Stage-Two (BERT)	44.49	24.62	17.94	29.02
Stage-One (GPT)	43.86	24.62	11.36	26.61
GPT2DH	43.93	24.35	11.91	26.73

Table 2: Dialogue response generation at MedDG dataset. It is notes that “-Topic” methods use the ground truth topic information in the dataset.

Table 2 and Table 3 demonstrates the performance of baselines and our proposed framework in both MedDG and TG-ReDial dataset respectively. Our topicRefine framework outperforms the previous state-of-the-art models at both datasets (i.e. GPT2-Topic model at MedDG and TG-RG model at TG-ReDial). More specifically, Stage-Two (GPT) reaches better BLEU score and Stage-Two (BERT)

Model	BLEU-1	BLEU-2	BLEU-3
Redial	0.177	0.028	0.006
KBRD	0.223	0.028	0.009
Transformer	0.283	0.068	0.033
GPT2-Topic	0.278	0.064	0.031
TG-RG	0.282	0.067	0.033
Stage-Two (GPT)	0.293	0.085	0.042
Stage-Two (BERT)	0.294	0.086	0.043
Stage-One (GPT)	0.284	0.082	0.041
GPT2DH	0.288	0.086	0.041

Table 3: Recommendation Response Generation at TG-ReDial dataset. It is notes that “-Topic” methods use the ground truth topic information in the dataset.

achieves higher Topic-F1 score at MedDG, owing to the existence of noisy topic in former method. Consistent with MedDG dataset, our method gets better performance no matter in Stage-Two (GPT) or Stage-Two (BERT) as shown in Table 3. BLEU-1, BLEU-2, and BLEU-3 all have been improved by different degrees. Another interesting finding is that when explicitly concatenating topic words with dialogue utterances, the GPT-Topic model achieves a higher topic-f1 score, whereas the Stage-Two (GPT) model achieves a lower topic-f1 score, indicating the effectiveness of simply concatenating topic words and the noisy prediction results by GPT.

Ablation Study

To further investigate the effectiveness of our proposed framework, we add some variants of our proposed framework (i.e. Stage-One (GPT) and GPT2DH) as ablation study. As shown in Table 2 and Table 3, Stage-One (GPT) and GPT2DH achieve comparable results. On the one hand, compared with previous state-of-the-art models, GPT2DH demonstrate more powerful capability which shows the effectiveness of joint learning by incorporating topic prediction. Besides, any Stage-Two model reaches higher BLEU scores than GPT2DH which demonstrate the effectiveness of refine mechanism (i.e. L_{refine}). On the other hand, Stage-Two (GPT) outperforms Stage-One (GPT) in BLEU score (45.12 vs 43.86) but Topic-F1 score (5.40 vs 11.36). We argue that the model tends to generate more topic-related words instead of a specific topic word in the response. This is reasonable since the model is optimized to generate a more informative and topic-related response rather than a specific word.

Effects of Response Length

To evaluate the impact of different ground-truth response length, we compare the average BLEU score between our model and previous state-of-the-art model (i.e. GPT2-Topic and TG-RG) in MedDG and TG-ReDial respectively. As shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4, our model reaches better performance when the length of golden response is greater than 20 (occupies about 47.6% and 81.9% of test set respectively). As the golden length increases, our improvements also get boosted, which is more obvious at TG-ReDial

Dataset	MedDG	TG-ReDial
GroundTruth	<p>可以服用多潘立酮改善一下症状。(You can take domperidone to alleviate.) Topics: ["多潘立酮"] ["domperidone"]</p> <p>别客气有不懂的再及时沟通祝康复!(Never mind, if you are still confused, talk with me and best wishes to you!) Topics: [None]</p> <p>我建议你去买兰索拉唑, 枸橼酸铋钾, 阿莫西林, 如果还是拉肚子的话, 买一两包蒙脱石散, 一次一包, 不拉肚子就不用吃了。(I suggest you buy lansoprazole, bismuth potassium citrate, amoxicillin, if you still have diarrhea, buy one or two packs of montmorillonite powder, one pack at one time, don't need to eat if you don't have diarrhea.) Topics: ["腹泻", "阿莫西林", "蒙脱石散", "兰索拉唑"] ["diarrhea", "amoxicillin", "montmorillonite powder", "lansoprazole"]</p>	<p>还真不是很了解, 感觉不就是黑白画嘛, 我给你推荐个黑白电影看看吧。(I really don't know it very well. I think it's just "black-and-white" painting. Let me recommend a "black-and-white" movie for you.) Topics: ["黑白电影"] ["black-and-white movie"]</p> <p>那我再给你推荐个关于回忆的电影怎么样?(How about I recommend another movie about memories?) Topics: ["回忆"] ["memories"]</p> <p>是啊, 寂寞的时候可以看看。(Yes, you can watch it when you are lonely.) Topics: ["亲情"] ["family"]</p>
Stage-One	<p>嗯嗯, 祝你早日康复。(ok, I wish you can recovery soon.)</p> <p>除了胃烧心, 反酸, 别的没有。(Except for heartburn and acid reflux, nothing else.)</p> <p>你自己什么时候开始疼的。(When did you start to feel hurt.)</p>	<p>我也喜欢, 我给你推荐一部黑白电影吧。《影人》, 良心推荐你去看看, 黑白色电影, 画面非常美。但是美国人物(I like it too. Let me recommend a "black-and-white" movie to you. "Shadowman", truly recommends you to see it, "black-and-white" movie, the picture is very beautiful. But American characters)</p> <p>是啊, 我们都是这样的回忆, 我再给你推荐个关于回忆的电影吧! 你看过《我回忆》吗, 这个电影怎么样, 这个电(Yes, we all experienced these, let me recommend you a movie about memories! Have you watched "My Memories", how about this movie, this movie)</p> <p>那我给你推荐一部有关英雄的亲情电影吧, 《小情》挺好的, 这部电影是我看过的最爽的一部。超越亲情的一部(Then I recommend you a family movie about heroes. "Little Love" is very good. This movie is the coolest one I have ever seen. . A piece beyond family)</p>
Stage-Two	<p>幽门螺杆菌感染。根据病因治疗。(Helicobacter pylori infection. Treat according to the cause.)</p> <p>考虑受凉。可以服用雷贝拉唑搭配多久一次一粒, 一天两次, 餐前半小时服用(Consider catching a cold. How often can you take rabeprazole with one pill, twice a day, half an hour before a meal)</p> <p>如果现在症状比较严重, 可以口服阿莫西林胶囊, 奥美拉唑和小柴胡颗粒(If the symptoms are severe now, you can take amoxicillin capsules, omeprazole and Xiaochaihu granules by mouth)</p>	<p>我也喜欢, 我给你推荐一部关于黑白的电影吧。你可以看看。《午夜夜幕》, 黑白色调, 还是很有趣的。(I like it too. Let me recommend you a movie about black and white. You can have a look. "Midnight Curtain", black and white tones, is still very interesting.)</p> <p>是啊, 我们都是这样的回忆啊。我再给你推荐个关于回忆的电影吧! 你看过《我们的童年》吗? 这个电影很有意思(Yes, we all have such memories. Let me recommend you another movie about memories! Have you watched "Our Childhood"? This movie is very interesting)</p> <p>那我给你推荐一部有关英雄的亲情电影吧, 《秘罗》非常适合你, 这部电影是我看过的最爱的英雄电影之一。(Then I recommend you a family movie about heroes. "Mira" is very suitable for you. This movie is one of the favorite hero movies I have ever seen.)</p>

Table 4: Generated Response Samples with Corresponding Topics for MedDG and TG-ReDial respectively. *Stage-One* and *Stage-Two* represent *Stage-One (GPT)* and *Stage-Two (GPT)* respectively.

dataset.




Figure 3: Average BLEU score of MedDG for different golden length

Generated Sample

Table 4 given some generated response at both datasets. To summarize, our generated result has the following features:

- For MedDG, since we drop the information of speaker role path during training and the dialogue between the doctor and the patient is not alternate, some generated responses may represent the perspective of the patient.
- For TG-ReDial, there are some meaningless repeated characters in the result of Stage-One. For example, “。” and “这个电” (this movie) appears twice in response generated by Stage-One. Stage-Two can alleviate this problem by incorporating topic refinement.
- Our Stage-Two model can generates more informative response conditioned on given topics. Taking the sample of TG-ReDial in Table 4 as an example. For the topic of




Figure 4: Average BLEU score of TG-ReDial for different golden length

“memories”, the response of ground truth is just a rhetorical question, while the response of our model not only grasps this topic, but also recommends one specific movie name related to this topic, which suggests that our model is able to ground multi-turn dialogue generation to some specific topics and tends to be more informative with respect to context.

Human Evaluation

To perform human evaluation, we randomly select 50 examples from the outputs of previous sota model, and our *Stage-One (GPT)* and *State-Two (GPT)* method. The annotators are required to assign two scores for each sentence according to two criteria: (1) information and (2) fluency, ranging from 0 to 10. *information* measures which sentence contains more information (e.g. less repetition). *Fluency* measures which sentence is more proper as a response to a given

Model	MedDG		TG-ReDial	
	Information	Fluency	Information	Fluency
Human	6.99	6.28	7.40	7.28
Baseline	6.18	5.51	6.20	5.69
One	6.32	4.81	6.62	5.66
Two	6.57	6.13	7.30	6.42

Table 5: The result of human evaluation. The baseline represents previous sota model *GPT2-Topic* and *TG-RG* in MedDG and TG-ReDial dataset respectively. One represents *Stage-One (GPT)* and Two represents *Stage-Two (GPT)*

dialogue context. The evaluation results are calculated by average these two scores of all sentences.

Table 5 demonstrates the result of human evaluation. Generally, the score at TG-ReDial dataset is relatively higher than score in MedDG dataset. We attribute this to the MedDG dataset necessitates more expert knowledge and contains many terminologies. Besides that, there is still a large gap between generated response and human response, especially at fluency criteria. In detail, the Stage-One (GPT) perform better than baseline models at information but worse at fluency. Stage-Two (GPT) model gets better scores in both information and fluency criteria than Stage-One (GPT) model and baseline.

Understanding of GPT Model

Model	P	R	F1
BERT	14.48	32.95	20.13
Stage-Two (GPT)	22.22	11.16	14.88

Table 6: Result of topic prediction task (multi-label classification) at MedDG dataset

Model	Hit@1	Hit@3	Hit@5
BERT	0.7651	0.8023	0.8189
Stage-Two (GPT)	0.5640	0.7931	0.8122

Table 7: Result of topic prediction task (multi-class classification) at TG-ReDial dataset

Table 6 and Table 7 demonstrate the performance of topic prediction task at MedDG and TG-ReDial datasets respectively. It is obvious that BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) demonstrates more strong understanding ability than GPT (Wolf et al., 2020) model. However, the comparable performance of Hit@3 and Hit@5 between BERT and GPT in Table 7 clearly demonstrates the latter’s high understanding potential. The unlocking of potential necessitates a more meticulously designed algorithm or architecture (Dathathri et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021).

Related Work

Topic-aware Dialogue System

Data-driven, knowledge-grounded dialogue system (Zhou et al., 2018b; Tuan, Chen, and Lee, 2019; Zhao et al., 2020) attracts much attention due to the release of large pre-trained language models such as GPT2 (Radford et al., 2019) and DialoGPT (Zhang et al., 2019b). According to different types of knowledge, previous works can be clustered into the following categories: (1) attributes (Zhou et al., 2018a; Zhang et al., 2018a; Xu et al., 2019) (2) persona (Li et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020a; Zhang et al., 2018b) (3) external knowledge graph such as commonsense knowledge (Tuan, Chen, and Lee, 2019; Yang et al., 2019; Moon et al., 2019).

Most of previous works for topic-aware dialogue system (Xing et al., 2017; Dziri et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019; Huo et al., 2020) utilize attention mechanism on all topics at the decode stage to bias the generation probability. Tang et al. (2019) proposes a structured approach that introduces coarse-grained keywords to control the intended content of system responses and Xu, Zhao, and Zhang (2020) proposes Topic-Aware Dual-attention Matching (TADAM) Network to select suitable response but all of their systems are retrieval-based.

Refine Mechanism

Refine mechanism has been proved to be a effective and compelling technique in both natural language understanding and generation tasks (Zhang et al., 2019a; Wu et al., 2020b; Song et al., 2021). For natural language understanding, Wu et al. (2020b) design a novel two-pass iteration mechanism to handle the uncoordinated slots problem caused by conditional independence of non-autoregressive model, in which the model utilizes *B-label* output from first phase as input at second phase. For natural language generation, Zhang et al. (2019a) use refine mechanism to generate refined summary which firstly applies BERT as decoder. Recently, a novel BERT-over-BERT (BoB) model is proposed to solve response generation task and consistency understanding simultaneously (Song et al., 2021). In this paper, we utilize *topicRefine* framework to build a topic-aware multi-turn end-to-end dialogue system, aiming to generate informative and topic-related dialogue response.

Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we propose a joint framework with a topic refinement mechanism to solve topic-aware multi-turn end-to-end dialogue generation problem based on the autoregressive language model – GPT2 (Wolf et al., 2020). More specifically, we design a three-pass mechanism to jointly learn topic predication and dialogue response generation tasks, aiming to generate informative and topic-related response to engage user. Comprehensive experiments demonstrate that our method outperforms previous state-of-the-art model on both MedDG (Liu et al., 2020a) and TG-ReDial (Liu et al., 2020b) datasets, which verifies that the effectiveness of joint learning and refinement mechanism. We will investigate more refine techniques in our future work.

References

- Bar-Haim, R.; Eden, L.; Friedman, R.; Kantor, Y.; Lahav, D.; and Slonim, N. 2020. From arguments to key points: Towards automatic argument summarization. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 4029–4039. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Baziotis, C.; Haddow, B.; and Birch, A. 2020. Language model prior for low-resource neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 7622–7634. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chen, Q.; Lin, J.; Zhang, Y.; Ding, M.; Cen, Y.; Yang, H.; and Tang, J. 2019. Towards knowledge-based recommender dialog system. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, 1803–1813. Hong Kong, China: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chen, Q.; Zhuo, Z.; and Wang, W. 2019. Bert for joint intent classification and slot filling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.10909*.
- Cheng, Y.; Jiang, L.; Macherey, W.; and Eisenstein, J. 2020. AdvAug: Robust adversarial augmentation for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 5961–5970. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Cho, S.; Song, K.; Li, C.; Yu, D.; Foroosh, H.; and Liu, F. 2020. Better highlighting: Creating sub-sentence summary highlights. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 6282–6300. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Dathathri, S.; Madotto, A.; Lan, J.; Hung, J.; Frank, E.; Molino, P.; Yosinski, J.; and Liu, R. 2019. Plug and play language models: A simple approach to controlled text generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.02164*.
- Devlin, J.; Chang, M.-W.; Lee, K.; and Toutanova, K. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, 4171–4186. Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Dziri, N.; Kamaloo, E.; Mathewson, K.; and Zaiane, O. 2019. Augmenting neural response generation with context-aware topical attention. In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on NLP for Conversational AI*, 18–31. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Feng, X.; Liu, M.; Liu, J.; Qin, B.; Sun, Y.; and Liu, T. 2018. Topic-to-essay generation with neural networks. In Lang, J., ed., *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2018, July 13-19, 2018, Stockholm, Sweden*, 4078–4084. ijcai.org.
- Gholipour Ghalandari, D., and Ifrim, G. 2020. Examining the state-of-the-art in news timeline summarization. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 1322–1334. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Huang, D.; Cui, L.; Yang, S.; Bao, G.; Wang, K.; Xie, J.; and Zhang, Y. 2020. What have we achieved on text summarization? In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 446–469. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Huo, P.; Yang, Y.; Zhou, J.; Chen, C.; and He, L. 2020. Terg: Topic-aware emotional response generation for chatbot. In *2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, 1–8.
- Li, J.; Galley, M.; Brockett, C.; Spithourakis, G.; Gao, J.; and Dolan, B. 2016. A persona-based neural conversation model. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 994–1003. Berlin, Germany: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Li, R.; Kahou, S. E.; Schulz, H.; Michalski, V.; Charlin, L.; and Pal, C. 2018. Towards deep conversational recommendations. In Bengio, S.; Wallach, H. M.; Larochelle, H.; Grauman, K.; Cesa-Bianchi, N.; and Garnett, R., eds., *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 31: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2018, NeurIPS 2018, December 3-8, 2018, Montréal, Canada*, 9748–9758.
- Li, B.; Wang, Z.; Liu, H.; Jiang, Y.; Du, Q.; Xiao, T.; Wang, H.; and Zhu, J. 2020. Shallow-to-deep training for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 995–1005. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Liu, W.; Tang, J.; Qin, J.; Xu, L.; Li, Z.; and Liang, X. 2020a. Meddg: A large-scale medical consultation dataset for building medical dialogue system.
- Liu, Z.; Wang, H.; Niu, Z.-Y.; Wu, H.; Che, W.; and Liu, T. 2020b. Towards conversational recommendation over multi-type dialogs. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 1036–1049. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Liu, X.; Zheng, Y.; Du, Z.; Ding, M.; Qian, Y.; Yang, Z.; and Tang, J. 2021. Gpt understands, too.
- Loshchilov, I., and Hutter, F. 2019. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019*. OpenReview.net.

- Moon, S.; Shah, P.; Kumar, A.; and Subba, R. 2019. OpenDialKG: Explainable conversational reasoning with attention-based walks over knowledge graphs. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 845–854. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Radford, A.; Wu, J.; Child, R.; Luan, D.; Amodei, D.; and Sutskever, I. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners.
- Ram, A.; Prasad, R.; Khatri, C.; Venkatesh, A.; Gabriel, R.; Liu, Q.; Nunn, J.; Hedayatnia, B.; Cheng, M.; Nagar, A.; King, E.; Bland, K.; Wartick, A.; Pan, Y.; Song, H.; Jayadevan, S.; Hwang, G.; and Pettigrew, A. 2018. Conversational ai: The science behind the alexa prize.
- Serban, I. V.; Sordani, A.; Bengio, Y.; Courville, A. C.; and Pineau, J. 2016. Building end-to-end dialogue systems using generative hierarchical neural network models. In Schuurmans, D., and Wellman, M. P., eds., *Proceedings of the Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, February 12-17, 2016, Phoenix, Arizona, USA*, 3776–3784. AAAI Press.
- Song, H.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, K.; Zhang, W.-N.; and Liu, T. 2021. BoB: BERT over BERT for training persona-based dialogue models from limited personalized data. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 167–177. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sutskever, I.; Vinyals, O.; and Le, Q. V. 2014. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In Ghahramani, Z.; Welling, M.; Cortes, C.; Lawrence, N. D.; and Weinberger, K. Q., eds., *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2014, December 8-13 2014, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*, 3104–3112.
- Tang, J.; Zhao, T.; Xiong, C.; Liang, X.; Xing, E.; and Hu, Z. 2019. Target-guided open-domain conversation. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 5624–5634. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tuan, Y.-L.; Chen, Y.-N.; and Lee, H.-y. 2019. DyKgChat: Benchmarking dialogue generation grounding on dynamic knowledge graphs. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, 1855–1865. Hong Kong, China: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A. N.; Kaiser, L.; and Polosukhin, I. 2017. Attention is all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03762*.
- Wolf, T.; Sanh, V.; Chaumond, J.; and Delangue, C. 2019. Transfertransfo: A transfer learning approach for neural network based conversational agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.08149*.
- Wolf, T.; Debut, L.; Sanh, V.; Chaumond, J.; Delangue, C.; Moi, A.; Cistac, P.; Rault, T.; Louf, R.; Funtowicz, M.; Davison, J.; Shleifer, S.; von Platen, P.; Ma, C.; Jernite, Y.; Plu, J.; Xu, C.; Le Scao, T.; Gugger, S.; Drame, M.; Lhoest, Q.; and Rush, A. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, 38–45. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wu, B.; Li, M.; Wang, Z.; Chen, Y.; Wong, D. F.; Feng, Q.; Huang, J.; and Wang, B. 2020a. Guiding variational response generator to exploit persona. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 53–65. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wu, D.; Ding, L.; Lu, F.; and Xie, J. 2020b. SlotRefine: A fast non-autoregressive model for joint intent detection and slot filling. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 1932–1937. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xing, C.; Wu, W.; Wu, Y.; Liu, J.; Huang, Y.; Zhou, M.; and Ma, W. 2017. Topic aware neural response generation. In Singh, S. P., and Markovitch, S., eds., *Proceedings of the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, February 4-9, 2017, San Francisco, California, USA*, 3351–3357. AAAI Press.
- Xu, C.; Wu, W.; Tao, C.; Hu, H.; Schuerman, M.; and Wang, Y. 2019. Neural response generation with meta-words. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 5416–5426. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xu, Y.; Zhao, H.; and Zhang, Z. 2020. Topic-aware multi-turn dialogue modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.12539*.
- Yang, P.; Li, L.; Luo, F.; Liu, T.; and Sun, X. 2019. Enhancing topic-to-essay generation with external commonsense knowledge. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2002–2012. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhang, R.; Guo, J.; Fan, Y.; Lan, Y.; Xu, J.; and Cheng, X. 2018a. Learning to control the specificity in neural response generation. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 1108–1117. Melbourne, Australia: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhang, S.; Dinan, E.; Urbanek, J.; Szlam, A.; Kiela, D.; and Weston, J. 2018b. Personalizing dialogue agents: I have a dog, do you have pets too? In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 2204–2213. Melbourne, Australia: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhang, H.; Cai, J.; Xu, J.; and Wang, J. 2019a. Pretraining-based natural language generation for text summarization.

- In *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL)*, 789–797. Hong Kong, China: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhang, Y.; Sun, S.; Galley, M.; Chen, Y.-C.; Brockett, C.; Gao, X.; Gao, J.; Liu, J.; and Dolan, B. 2019b. Dialogpt: Large-scale generative pre-training for conversational response generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.00536*.
- Zhao, X.; Wu, W.; Xu, C.; Tao, C.; Zhao, D.; and Yan, R. 2020. Knowledge-grounded dialogue generation with pre-trained language models. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 3377–3390. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zheng, Y.; Zhang, R.; Mao, X.; and Huang, M. 2019. A pre-training based personalized dialogue generation model with persona-sparse data.
- Zhou, H.; Huang, M.; Zhang, T.; Zhu, X.; and Liu, B. 2018a. Emotional chatting machine: Emotional conversation generation with internal and external memory. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 32.
- Zhou, H.; Young, T.; Huang, M.; Zhao, H.; Xu, J.; and Zhu, X. 2018b. Commonsense knowledge aware conversation generation with graph attention. In Lang, J., ed., *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2018, July 13-19, 2018, Stockholm, Sweden*, 4623–4629. ijcai.org.
- Zhou, K.; Zhou, Y.; Zhao, W. X.; Wang, X.; and Wen, J.-R. 2020. Towards topic-guided conversational recommender system. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, 4128–4139. Barcelona, Spain (Online): International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Zou, W.; Huang, S.; Xie, J.; Dai, X.; and Chen, J. 2020. A reinforced generation of adversarial examples for neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 3486–3497. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.