

Single image dehazing via combining the prior knowledge and CNNs

Yuwen Li^{1,3}, Chaobing Zheng^{2*}, Shiqian Wu², Wangming Xu²

1. Hubei Key Laboratory of Mechanical Transmission and Manufacturing Engineering, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430081, China
E-mail: liyuwen1205@163.com
2. The Institute of Robotics and Intelligent Systems, School of Information Science and Engineering, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430081, China
E-mail: zhengchaobing@wust.edu.cn, shiqian.wu@wust.edu.cn, xuwangming@wust.edu.cn
3. School of Mechanical & Electrical Engineering, Nanchang Institute of Technology, Nanchang 330099, China
E-mail: liyuwen1205@163.com

Abstract: Aiming at the existing single image haze removal algorithms, which are based on prior knowledge and assumptions, subject to many limitations in practical applications, and could suffer from noise and halo amplification. An end-to-end system is proposed in this paper to reduce defects by combining the prior knowledge and deep learning method. The haze image is decomposed into the base layer and detail layers through a weighted guided image filter (WGIF) firstly, and the airlight is estimated from the base layer. Then, the base layer image is passed to the efficient deep convolutional network for estimating the transmission map. To restore object close to the camera completely without amplifying noise in sky or heavily hazy scene, an adaptive strategy is proposed based on the value of the transmission map. If the transmission map of a pixel is small, the base layer of the haze image is used to recover a haze-free image via atmospheric scattering model, finally. Otherwise, the haze image is used. Experiments show that the proposed method achieves superior performance over existing methods.

Key Words: single image haze removal, Weighted guided image filtering, prior knowledge, Convolutional Neural Networks

1 INTRODUCTION

Hazing images are usually suffering from low contrast, color distortion and blurring, which will bring a lot of inconvenience to many outdoor computer vision applications such as video surveillance, smart transportation, aerial photography, and so on [1]. In recent years, single image haze removal has made a significant breakthrough, due to the reasonable prior knowledge and assumptions. At present, the image dehazing algorithms can be mainly divided into three types. Non-model-based image dehazing methods, model-based image dehazing methods and deep learning-based image dehazing methods.

The non-model based image dehazing algorithm is based on human visual perception, enhancing the image contrast and correcting the color contrast to improve the quality, directly. Various Non-model methods have been applied to the problem of removing haze from a single image, including histogram-based [2], contrast-based[3], Homomorphic filtering[4], Retinex and improved Retinex based[5, 6]. For example, Fan et al.[6] proposed an image dehazing algorithm

based on Retinex. These methods can make the image colors more balanced and softer, but the obtained image cannot be effectively enhanced in contrast, weakening the dark or bright areas in the original image, and blurring the focus of the image.

The model-based image dehazing algorithms are based on the atmospheric scattering model, which can be used to recover the vivid image as much as possible. In [7], Independent Component Analysis (ICA) based on minimal input is proposed to remove the haze from color images, but the approach is time-consuming and cannot be used to deal with dense-haze images. He et al. [8] estimated atmospheric light by dark channel prior and recovered the haze free image by atmospheric scattering model. Although the above algorithms have made great progress, they rely on a variety of prior knowledge, so they have limitations and not robustness. Inspired by an observation in [8] that single image haze removal can be regarded as a type of spatially varying detail enhancement, a neat framework was proposed in [9] by introducing a local edge-preserving smoothing based method to estimate the transmission map of a haze image. These methods tend to over-estimate the haze concentration, resulting in excessive dehazing, especially in the sky region, which tends to cause color distortion, and the noise is also amplified.

The third type of hazing removal method is based on deep

* contributed equally. This work is supported by Wuhan University of Science and Technology Graduate Innovation and Entrepreneurship Fund Project(Grant No.JCX201973), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.61775172), Hubei Provincial Department of Education Research Program Project (Grant No.D20191104), The Science Foundation of Education Department of Jiangxi Provincial (Grant No.GJJ201927)

learning. Deep learning is widely applied to address many image processing problems including low-light image enhancement [10, 11, 12, 13], single image rain removal [14, 15], single image denoising [16, 17], single image super-resolution [18, 19]. It has also been widely used in the single image haze removal and achieved desired results to some extent. Ren et al. [20] proposed a multi-scale deep neural network to estimate the transmission map. But the network was training by minimizing the loss between the reconstructed transmission mapping and the corresponding ground truth mapping, not the haze removal image and the ground truth image. It can achieve desired results in daytime hazy images but not nighttime hazy images. Cai et al. [25] also proposed using a convolutional neural network to learn the transmission map. However, due to the particularity of some scenes, the estimation of the transmission map is not accurate, the effect of dehazing is not ideal. When the depth of field transition in the image is large, the effect of dehazing is not ideal for distant objects, especially in the sky area. This is mainly due to the shallow network, which cannot well learn the mapping relationship between the haze image and the corresponding ground truth image, and some detail loss. Qu et al. [26] proposed an Enhanced Pix2pix Dehazing Network (EPDN), which generated a haze-free image without relying on the physical scattering model. It can obtain a haze-free image with faithful color and rich details. But it is not very robust for heavily hazy scene, the edges of objects in heavily haze cannot be recovered naturally, especially in the sky region.

Although the above methods have made great progress in a single image dehazing, they still have some defects. It could suffer from noise amplification in the sky region and possible color distortion in the restored image. In this paper, a new single image dehazing via combining the prior knowledge and CNNs is introduced to deal with it. The haze image is decomposed into a base layer and a detail layer by using an edge-preserving smoothing filter such as the weighted GIF (WGIF) [27], firstly. As we know, noise is mainly included in the detail layer. Then, the airlight and transmission map are both estimated from the base layer to reduce the effect of noise. The airlight is estimated from the base layer by using a hierarchical searching method based on the quad-tree sub division [24]. The base layer image is passed to the efficient deep convolutional network for estimating the transmission map. The atmospheric scattering model is used to recover the haze-free image, finely. The network is training by minimizing the loss function between the haze removal image and the ground truth image, so it is more robust. To reduce the noise in sky or heavily hazy scene, and restore the objects close to the camera completely, another strategy is cleverly used. If the transmission map of a pixel is small, it usually belongs to sky or heavily hazy scene, only the base layer of the haze image is used to recover a haze-free image via atmospheric scattering model, the noise is not amplified in the final image.

Otherwise, the haze image is used. As such, the possible effect of noise is reduced significantly in the restored image. In summary, our contributions are highlighted as follows:

- The influence of noise in the existing methods is analyzed in this paper detailly. A clever strategy is used to reduce noise interference in sky or heavily hazy scene.
- Single image dehazing via combining the prior knowledge and CNNs is proposed in this paper. The haze image is decomposed into a base layer and a detail layer, and only the base layer is used to estimated airlight and transmission map to reduce the effect of noise.
- The network is training by minimizing the loss function between the ground truth image and haze removal image recovered via atmospheric scattering model. The loss function contains both structural information and color information in this paper.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: the preliminary knowledge on single image haze removal are presented in sections II, our method for single image dehazing is proposed in Section III. Experimental results are provided in Section IV to verify the proposed method. The conclusions are drawn in Section V, finally.

2 EFFECT OF NOISE ON IMAGE HAZE REMOVAL

Image dehazing means recovering clear images from a noisy frame caused by haze, fog or smoke, and the atmospheric scattering model is often used to deal with it. It can be formally written as:

$$Z(p) = I(p)t(p) + A(1 - t(p)) \quad (1)$$

Where p is the pixel position, Z is a haze image, I is a haze-free image, t is the transmission map describing the portion of the light that is not scattered and reaches the camera, and A is the global airlight. Many methods have been already proposed to estimated t and A , such as the dark channel prior algorithm [8], deep learning algorithm [22] and so on. When both t and A are known, then the haze free can be recovered by:

$$I(p) = \frac{Z(p) - A(p)}{\max(t(p), t_0)} + A(p) \quad (2)$$

Where t_0 is a constant to preserve a small amount of haze in dense haze regions [8]. However, noise is very common in haze images, these methods cannot eliminate the effects of noise well. Although some previous work [27, 28, 9] have already been done to eliminate the effects of noise in sky or heavily hazy scene, they did not specify how noise affects image dehazing. Some analyses will be given, then an effective solution will be presented in this section.

If the haze image Z with noise can be presented as:

$$Z(p) = \tilde{Z}(p) + n(p) \quad (3)$$

Where \tilde{Z} presents the haze-free image without noise, n is the noise. From the Eq. (1) and (3), the image dehazed can be expressed as:

$$I(p) = \frac{Z(p) - A(p)}{\max(t(p), t_0)} + A(p) + \frac{n(p)}{\max(t(p), t_0)} \quad (4)$$

When $t(p)$ is close to zero, $\max(t(p), t_0)$ is smaller than 1, the point p belongs to sky or heavily hazy scene [He], the noise is amplified as $\frac{n(p)}{\max(t(p), t_0)}$, as shown in Eq. (4), some dehazing results are in shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: The first column are hazing-free images. The other two columns are the results of dehazing. The noise is amplified in the sky regions.

It can see from the Fig. 1 that noise in sky or heavily haze scene are all amplified, and color is distorted, which negatively influences the visual of the pictures. Therefore, an effective measure needs to be put to address this problem.

In addition, the global airlight is affected by noise. For example, A is often estimated by using a hierarchical searching method based on the quad-tree subdivision [24] from the haze image $Z(p) = \tilde{Z}(p) + n(p)$. And the A_c can be presented as $\tilde{Z}(p_0) + n(p_0)$, p_0 can be obtained by minimizing the distance $\| \tilde{Z}_c(p) + n_c(p) - 255 \|$.

Furthermore, the estimation of t is also affected by noise. Many single image degazing methods are based on dark channel prior [8], t can be presented as:

$$\begin{aligned} t(p) &= 1 - w * \min_c \left(\min_{p' \in \Omega(p)} \left(\frac{Z_c(p)}{A_c} \right) \right) \\ &= 1 - w * \min_c \left(\min_{p' \in \Omega(p)} \left(\frac{\tilde{Z}_c(p) + n_c(p)}{A_c} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Clearly, the estimation of t is inaccurate from the Eq. (5). Another common ways to estimate t are deep learning [22, 23]. Learn a mapping function $f(\cdot)$ through haze images directly, then t can be presented as:

$$t(p) = f(Z(p)) = f(\tilde{Z}(p) + n(p)) \quad (6)$$

Unfortunately, the noise in Z is random, and it is difficult to obtain its regular pattern, so the $f(\cdot)$ is not precise enough.

3 PROPOSED METHOD

As discussed above, the haze image could suffer from noise. It cannot correctly be described by the imaging model. Therefore, an end-to-end network framework is expected to be proposed, which takes a hazy image as input, and the outputs its haze-free image. However, this mapping function is difficult to learn and acquire, which is easy to cause color deviation, and increase the burden of learning [29]. An end-to-end haze removal algorithm based on prior knowledge and deep learning is proposed in this section. Mathematical formulas should be roughly centered and have to be numbered as formula (1).

3.1 Framework of the single image dehazing

Fig. 2 shows the framework of our algorithm, it has three major advantages. First, it is robust to noise. The input of the network is Z_b , the outputs is t that is subsequently used to recover a haze-free image via atmospheric scattering model. And A is also estimated from the Z_b . Second, it is very effective. An end-to-end system combining the prior knowledge and deep learning is designed in this paper. Both color and reconstruction loss are taken into account for learning the transmission mapping from the haze image and ground truth image, it is more robust. Third, it is efficient. As shown in Fig. 2, most network computation is done in low-res domain, then bilateral grid based upsampling is adopted to obtain full-res transmission map. It is friendly to hardware with insufficient computing power.

Firstly, WGIF is adopted to decomposed the haze image into base and detail layer. The former is defined as:

$$Z(p) = Z_e(p) + Z_b(p) \quad (7)$$

Where Z_e and Z_b are the detail and base layer of the haze image Z . As we known, noise is included in the detail layer, so both t and A are estimated from Z_b rather than Z same as in [27]. Same to [24, 27], a hierarchical searching method based on the quad-tree subdivision is used to estimated A . Let a and b are coefficients of the WGIF, They can be obtained by:

$$\begin{cases} a_p = \frac{\sigma_{Z,\zeta}^2(p)}{\sigma_{Z,\zeta}^2(p) + \Gamma_Y(p)} \\ b_p = (1 - a_p) \mu_{Z,\zeta}(p) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Where $\mu_{Z,\zeta}(p)$ and $\sigma_{Z,\zeta}^2(p)$ are the mean value and the covariance value of Z in the windows of $\Omega_\zeta(p)$, the weight of $\Gamma_Y(p)$ as follows:

$$\Gamma_Y(p) = \sum_{p'=1}^N \frac{\sigma_{Y,1}^2(p) + \varepsilon}{\sigma_{Y,1}^2(p') + \varepsilon}, \quad (9)$$




Figure 2: Overview of our method. First, The haze image is decomposed into base and detail layer by using WGIF. Then, the base layer is used to estimated t and A by using the network. Z_b is pass to the network after downsampling to predict the low-res tranmission map. Finally, we upsample the result to produce the full-res tranmission map t , and take it to recover the haze removal image.

and Y is the luminance of Z . The base layer Z_b can be obtained by:

$$Z_b(p) = \bar{a}Z(p) + \bar{b}_p, \quad (10)$$

Where \bar{a} and \bar{b} are the means of a_p and b_p in the windows of $\Omega_\zeta(p)$. Inspired by [30, 31], HDRNet [31] is adopted to obtain the t not the haze-free image. It has been discussed in [31] that HDRNet is not suitable for dehazing directly, it could reduce local contrast and destroy image detail. Because it breaks the assumption that the relationship between the input and output should be a local affine transformation. Assuming that Z and I are available when training the network, A can be estimated by [24], then the relationship between I and t can be regarded as a local affine transformation from the Eq. (1). So HDRNet can be used for estimating t .

When A and t have been estimated, Eq. (2) can be used to obtain dehazing images. If the hazing image Z is used directly, all the noise will be amplified [8]. However, if only the base layer image Z_b is used for dehazing, some details will be lost. In order to reduce the influence of noise while retaining the details, a signal weight ψ is adopted to determine whether to decompose or not based on the value of t . The former is defined as:

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{32(1-\eta t)}} \quad (11)$$

Where η is a constant. if t is smaller than $1/\eta$, the pixel belongs to very dense haze regions or sky area, only the base layer is used to recover the dehazing image. Otherwise the original pixel in Z is used directly. Then, the final image can be obtained by:

$$I(p) = \frac{Z_b(p) + \psi(t(p))Z_e(p) - A(p)}{t(p)} + (1 - \psi(t(p)))Z_e(p) + A(p) \quad (12)$$

From the Eq. (12), when $t(p)$ is smaller than η , the pixel in position p belongs to the very dense haze regions or sky area, and $\psi(t)$ is 0, only the base layer is amplified. $t(p)$ is usually larger than $\psi(t)$ when the pixel belongs to the object nearby the camera, the detail of the pixel is enhanced. So we can obtain the dehazing image without amplifying the noise in sky or dense haze regions.

3.2 Loss Function of Our Framework

In order to learn the transmission map from a set of haze and haze-free images pairs, the loss function L used in this paper takes into account the consistency of color and structure. It is presented as:

$$L = L_r + w_c * L_c \quad (13)$$

Where L_r is reconstruction loss, L_c is color loss, w_c is a constant, and its value is selected as 0.01 in this paper.

The restoration loss L_r is usually defined as

$$L_r = \sum_{p,c} [\tilde{I}_c(p) - I_c(p)]^2. \quad (14)$$

Where \tilde{I} is haze-free image, I is dehazing image which can be obtained by Eq. (12). As we known, the results of CNNs look a litter blurry [37]. In order to measure the color difference between the dehazing images and target images, we propose applying a Guassian blur and Cosine distance between the obtained representations. Blurring removes high-frequencies and makes color comparison easier. It is suitable for the measurement of color information of the results of CNNs. The color loss L_c is defined as:

$$L_c = \sum_{p,c} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{X}_c(p), Y_c(p)), \quad (15)$$

Where \tilde{X} and Y are the blurred images of \tilde{I} and I , respec-

tively.

$$\tilde{X}_c(p_i, p_j) = \sum_{k,l} X(p_i + k, p_j + l) \cdot G(k, l), \quad (16)$$

and the 2D Gaussian blur operator is given by

$$G(k, l) = A \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(k - \mu_x)^2}{2\sigma_x} - \frac{(l - \mu_y)^2}{2\sigma_y}\right) \quad (17)$$

Where we defined $A = 0.053$, $\mu_{x,y} = 0$, and $\sigma_{x,y} = 3$. $\angle(\tilde{I}_c(p), I_c(p))$ is the angle between two $3D(R, G, B)$ vectors $\tilde{I}_c(p)$ and $I_c(p)$. Although L_r can measure the similarity of the two vectors numerically, it cannot make sure that their color vectors are in same direction.

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Extensive experimental results are provided in this section to validate the proposed framework in dehazing.

4.1 Datasets

We evaluated our framework in NYU-Depth [32]. NYU-Depth consists of 1499 pairs of hazing and clean images. 1399 pairs of images of NYU-Depth and pictures we collected are used for training the net, the remaining 100 pictures will be used for testing. Mirroring, cropping are employed to augment data. The images are cropped to 480×480 and downsampled to 256×256 and sent to the network, then the bilateral grid-based module is used to upsample the t to reduce the computational cost.

4.2 Ablation Study on Loss Functions

As we konwn, if we take a native approach and ask the CNN to minimize the Euclidean distance between predicted and ground truth pixels, it will tend to produce blurry results [37]. Beacuse Euclidean distance is minimized by averaging all plausible outputs, which causes blurring. So color loss function is added to ensure the dehazing images and ground truth images vectors have the same direction, some results are shown in Fig.3. The result of L_r is dark, and some detail information is loss.



Figure 3: Comparison of different lossfunction. From left to right, hazing image, restored images by using L_r and $L_r + L_c$

4.3 Comparison with State-of-the-art Methods

In order to demonstrate the efficiency of our method, we compare it with five state-of-art dehazing algorithms in this subsection. Some results are shown in Fig. 4 and 5, the results of

[34, 8] have severe color distortion, and noise is also amplified, especially in the sky regions. The results by [36] look a little dark and unreal, and the results of [22, 35] look a litter blur. The results of the proposed look much sharper and more realistic, details have also been enhanced and without color distortion. Readers are invited to view to electronic version of full-size figures and zoom in these figures so as to better appreciate differences among images

The subjective evaluation has been performed above, and then SSIM and PSNR will be adopted for objective comparison. As shown in Table 2, the proposed method generates the results with higher PSNR and SSIM values than those of other algorithms.

Table 1: The results of SSIM on the NYU-Depth

	[8]	[34]	[22]	[35]	[36]	Ours (no color)	Ours
SSIM	0.798	0.701	0.772	0.717	0.796	0.795	0.800
PSNR	16.24	14.28	14.62	13.24	15.95	17.69	17.74

Table 2: The results of SSIM on the NYU-Depth

	SSIM	PSNR
[8]	0.9807	40.9830
[34]	0.9768	40.0619
[22]	0.9823	41.5068
[35]	0.9831	41.5606
[36]	0.9828	41.5521
Ours (no color)	0.9838	17.69
Ours	0.9838	17.74

5 CONCLUSION

A single image dehazing via combining the prior knowledge and deep learning is proposed in this paper. Firstly, the hazing image is decomposed into base layer and detail layer by weighted guided image filter. Then, the value of transmission map is estimated on the base layer by using deep learning method. To avoid the effects of noise more effectively, a weight function is designed to determine whether the image is decomposed or not. Finally, the atmospheric scattering model is used to restore the image. The priori knowledge model and deep learning are cleverly combined, and adopt the advantages of both fully. Experimental results show that the proposed algorithm outperforms existing algorithms.

It should be pointed out that the proposed algorithm can not avoid the impact of noise completely as shown in Fig 4. The next R&D problem is to further reduce noise, especially dense hazing image or hazing image at night. Besides the dehazing, the secure communication [38] is also important for real outdoor vision systems. All these problems will be studied in our future research.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Q. Li, C. Y. Wen, Z. G. Li, F. Yang, and K. Z. Mao, Model based online learning with kernels, IEEE Trans. on Neural Net-




Figure 4: Comparative results of the proposed algorithm and other five methods on outdoor images. (a) The hazing images; (b) The dehazing images by [8]; (c) The dehazing images by [34]; (d) The dehazing images by [22]; (e) The results of [35]; (f) The results of [36]; (g) The results of the proposed algorithm;




Figure 5: Comparative results of the proposed algorithm and other five methods in NYU-Depth. (a) The hazing images; (b) The dehazing images by [8]; (c) The dehazing images by [34]; (d) The dehazing images by [22]; (e) The results of [35]; (f) The results of [36]; (g) The results of the proposed algorithm; Noise in results of [8, 34, 22, 35] is amplified, and the colors is distorted.

works and Learning Systems, vol. 24, no. 3, 356-369, Mar. 2013.

[2] Z. Xu, X. Liu and N. Ji, Fog Removal from Color Images using Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization, 2009 2nd International Congress on Image and Signal Processing, Tianjin, 1-5, 2009.

[3] R. T. Tan, Visibility in bad weather from a single image, IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Anchorage, AK, 1-8, 2008.

[4] L. Yu, X. Liu, G. Liu, A new dehazing algorithm based on overlapped sub-block homomorphic filtering, International Conference on Machine Vision International Society for Optics and Photonics, Vol.9875, 2015.

[5] T. Shu, B. Deng, B. Chen, et al, Defogging Algorithm Based on the Prior Dark-Channel and Theory of Retinex, 2014.

[6] T. Fan, C. Li, M. Xiao, et al, An improved single image defogging method based on Retinex, International Conference on Image, Vision and Computing, Chengdu, 410-413, 2017.

- [7] R. Fattal, Single image dehazing, *Acm Transactions on Graphics*, Vol. 27, no. 3, 1-9, 2008.
- [8] K. He, J. Sun, and X. Tang, Single image haze removal using dark channel prior, *IEEE Trans. On Pattern Analysis and Machine Learning*, Vol. 33, no. 12, 2341-2353, 2011.
- [9] Z. Li, J. Zheng, Edge-preserving decomposition-based single image haze removal, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 24, no.12, 5432-5441, 2015.
- [10] K.G. Lore, A. Akintayo, S. Sarkar, LLNet: a deep autoencoder approach to natural low-light image enhancement, *Pattern Recognit*, vol. 61, 650-662, 2017.
- [11] C. Li, J.C. Guo, F. Porikli, and Y.W. Pang, LightenNet: a convolutional neural network for weakly illuminated image enhancement, *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 104, 15-22, 2018.
- [12] J. Cai, S. Gu and L. Zhang, Learning a deep single image contrast enhancer from multi-exposure images, *IEEE Trans. on Image Processing*, vol. 27, no. 4, 2049-2062, 2018.
- [13] C. B. Zheng, Z. G. Li, Y. Yang, and S. Q. Wu, Single image brightening via multi-scale exposure fusion with hybrid learning, *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, Accepted, Aug. 2021.
- [14] P. Xiang, L. Wang, F. Wu, J. Cheng and M. Zhou, Single-image de-raining With feature-supervised generative adversarial network, *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 26, no. 5, 650-654, 2019.
- [15] H. Zhang and V. M. Patel, Density-aware single image de-raining using a multi-stream dense network, *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Salt Lake City, UT, 695-704, 2018
- [16] J. Guan, R. Lai and A. Xiong, Wavelet deep neural network for stripe noise removal, *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, 44544-44554, 2019.
- [17] C. Tian, Y. Xu, L. Fei, J. Wang, J. Wen and N. Luo, Enhanced CNN for image denoising, in *CAAI Transactions on Intelligence Technology*, vol. 4, no. 1, 17-23, 2019.
- [18] J. Kim, J. K. Lee and K. M. Lee, Accurate image super-resolution using very deep convolutional networks, *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision Pattern Recognition*, Las Vegas, NV, 1646-1654, 2016.
- [19] C. Dong, C. C. Loy, K. He and X. Tang, Image super-resolution using deep convolutional networks, *IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell*, 295-307, 2014.
- [20] W. Ren, S. Liu, H. Zhang, et al, Single Image Dehazing via Multi-scale Convolutional Neural Networks, *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2016.
- [21] L. K. Choi, J. You, and A. C. Bovik, Referenceless prediction of perceptual fog density and perceptual image defogging, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 24, no. 11, 3888-3901, 2015.
- [22] B. Cai, X. Xu, K. Jia, C. Qing, D. Tao, Dehazenet: An end-to-end system for single image haze removal, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 25, no. 11, 5187-5198, 2015.
- [23] A. Levin, D. Lischinski, and Y. Weiss, A closed-form solution to natural image matting, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 30, no. 2, 228-242, 2008.
- [24] J. H. Kim, W. D. Jang, J. Y. Sim, and C. S. Kim, Optimized contrast enhancement for real-time image and video dehazing, *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, vol. 24, no. 3, 410-425, 2013.
- [25] B. Cai, X. Xu, K. Jia, C. Qing, D. Tao, Dehazenet: An end-to-end system for single image haze removal, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 25, no. 11, 5187-5198, 2016.
- [26] Y. Qu, Y. Chen, J. Huang and Y. Xie, Enhanced Pix2pix Dehazing Network, *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Long Beach, CA, USA, 8152-8160, 2019.
- [27] Z. Li, J. Zheng, Z. Zhu, Y. Wei, S. Wu, Weighted guided image filtering, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 24, no. 1, 120-129, 2014.
- [28] Z. Li, J. Zheng, Single image de-hazing using globally guided image filtering, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 27, no. 1, 442-450, 2018.
- [29] X. Fu, J. Huang, D. Zeng, Y. Huang, X. Ding and J. Paisley, Removing Rain from Single Images via a Deep Detail Network, *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 1715-1723, 2017.
- [30] R. Wang, Q. Zhang, C. Fu, X. Shen, W. Zheng and J. Jia, Underexposed photo enhancement using deep illumination estimation, *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Long Beach, CA, USA, 6842-6850, 2019.
- [31] M. Gharbi, J. Chen, J. T. Barron, et al, Deep Bilateral Learning for Real-Time Image Enhancement, *ACM Transactions on Graphics*, vol. 36, no. 04, 2017.
- [32] N. Silberman, D. Hoiem, P. Kohli, and R. Fergus, Indoor segmentation and support inference from rgb-d images, *European Conference on Computer Vision*, Volume Part V. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 746-760, 2012.
- [33] D. Scharstein, Y. Kitajima, G. Krathwohl, X. Wang, and P. Westling, et al, High-resolution stereo datasets with subpixel-accurate ground truth, *German Conference on Pattern Recognition*, 31-42, 2014.
- [34] D. Berman, T. Treibitz and S. Avidan, Non-local Image Dehazing, *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Las Vegas, NV, 1674-1682, 2016.
- [35] B. Li, X. Peng, Z. Wang, J. Xu, and D. Feng, AOD-Net: all-in-one dehazing network, *IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, Venice, 4780-4788, 2017.
- [36] M. Yang, J. Liu, Z. Li, et al, Pre-processing for single image dehazing, *Signal Processing Image Communication*, vol. 83, 2020.
- [37] P. Isola, J. Zhu, T. Zhou, A. A. Efros, Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks, *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 5967-5977, 2017.
- [38] K. Li, Y. C. Soh, and Z. G. Li, Chaotic cryptosystem with high sensitivity to parameter mismatch, *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, vol. 50, no. 4, 579-583, Apr. 2003.