

CLICKER: A Computational Linguistics Classification Scheme for Educational Resources

Swapnil Hingmire^{1*}, Irene Li^{3*}, Rina Kawamura³, Benjamin Chen³, Alexander Fabbri³
Xiangru Tang³, Yixin Liu³, Thomas George², Tammy Liao³, Wai Pan Wong³
Vanessa Yan³, Richard Zhou³, Girish K. Palshikar¹, Dragomir Radev³

¹Tata Consultancy Services Limited, India

swapnil.hingmire@tcs.com

²University of Waterloo, Canada, ³Yale University, USA

{irene.li, rina.kawamura, dragomir.radev}@yale.edu

Abstract

A classification scheme of a scientific subject gives an overview of its body of knowledge. It can also be used to facilitate access to research articles and other materials related to the subject. For example, the ACM Computing Classification System (CCS) is used in the ACM Digital Library search interface and also for indexing computer science papers. We observed that a comprehensive classification system like CCS or Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC) does not exist for Computational Linguistics (CL) and Natural Language Processing (NLP). We propose a classification scheme – CLICKER for CL/NLP based on the analysis of online lectures from 77 university courses on this subject. The currently proposed taxonomy includes 334 topics and focuses on educational aspects of CL/NLP; it is based primarily, but not exclusively, on lecture notes from NLP courses. We discuss how such a taxonomy can help in various real-world applications, including tutoring platforms, resource retrieval, resource recommendation, prerequisite chain learning, and survey generation.

1 Introduction

As the scientific literature and educational resources continue to grow beyond an individual’s capacity to follow them, an indexing and classification scheme can play an important role in facilitating access to different stakeholders. Additionally, a classification scheme of an academic subject provides a cognitive map of the domain. For example, the current Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC)¹, Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)², Physics Subject Headings (PhySH)³ provide both a cognitive map and a body of knowledge in Mathematics, the Life Sciences, and Physics respectively.

These taxonomies are currently used to classify scientific articles on these subjects. In the context of computing, the ACM Computing Classification System (CCS)⁴ has served as the standard for classifying the computing literature since 1964 (Rous, 2012). CCS is also used in the ACM Digital Library (DL) to “index content for subject-oriented searching; to find *similar* documents; to create author expertise profiles; to identify strong research areas in Institutional Profiles; and to create the topical tag clouds found in aggregated SIG and conference views” (Rous, 2012).

We observed that unlike MSC, PhySH, and ACM-CCS, a comprehensive classification scheme does not exist for Computational Linguistics or Natural Language Processing (CL/NLP) and this makes it difficult to search for educational materials on a specific topic and scientific articles in the ACL Anthology (AA)⁵. We observed that several standard subject classification schemes focus on ‘Language’ and ‘Linguistics’⁶, but not on CL/NLP. For example, there are Class P in the Library of Congress classification, class 400 and 410 in Dewey Decimal Classification, and class P in Ranganathan’s Colon Classification (Satija, 2017)). ACM-CCS has a set of classes specific to CL/NLP in the following category: *CCS*→*Computing methodologies*→*Artificial intelligence*→*Natural language processing* but its size is small. These classification schemes are not comprehensive enough and cannot cover the topics in the ACL Anthology. For example, none of the classification schemes mention even key areas of NLP such as ‘Summarization’, ‘Question-Answering’, or ‘Sentiment Analysis’.

In this position paper, we highlight the need for a classification scheme for CL/NLP, which, just like ACM-CCS, can be used to classify and index

* Equal Contribution

¹<https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet/msc/msc2020.html>

²<https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov/>

³<https://physh.aps.org/>

⁴<https://dl.acm.org/ccs>

⁵<https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/>

⁶In this paper, we assume “class”, “category”, “research area” and “term” are similar and we use them interchangeably.

educational and research materials on this subject. Based on this, we propose a classification scheme – CLICKER for CL/NLP based on the analysis of online lectures from a number of university courses on this subject. The following sections include (1) overview of the process of the classification scheme creation, (2) basic statistics of the the classification scheme, and (3) a list of possible applications.

2 Existing Taxonomies

In this section, we survey various existing taxonomies, including one Academic NLP Taxonomy, several NLP taxonomies from the Web, CS Taxonomies and Non-CS Taxonomies.

2.1 NLP Taxonomies in Academia

The ACL Anthology (AA) is the open-source archive of the proceedings of all ACL sponsored conferences and journal articles (Bird et al., 2008; Radev et al., 2013; Gildea et al., 2018). It currently hosts more than 60,000 papers. AA has served as a valuable resource to characterize the work of the ACL community. For example, (Hall et al., 2008) used latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA; (Blei et al., 2003)) based topic models and observed the shift in ideas in the field of CL/NLP. (Anderson et al., 2012) analyzed the computational history of AA using LDA; however, their analysis is people-centric. In another paper, (Anderson et al., 2012) group topics into high-level categories. However, it is hard to understand the process of their grouping. For example, ‘Dialog’ and ‘Summarization’ are grouped into a category named ‘Linguistic Supervision’, while ‘Speech Recognition’ is considered as a part of ‘Government’ category. (Schumann and Qasem-Zadeh, 2015) also analyze paradigm changes in AA, however they focus only on ‘Machine Translation’. (Jurgens et al., 2018) also explore the evolution of AA, but through citation frames and not from a classification perspective. (Belinkov and Glass, 2019) categorize research articles related to neural models of NLP from different perspectives such as linguistic information, the challenge set for evaluation of neural networks, and methods for adversarial examples in NLP. However, this analysis is only focused on neural models of NLP. (Rogers et al., 2021) propose a taxonomy related to Question Answering (QA) and Reading Comprehension (RC) resources along multiple dimensions. The authors use the taxonomy to categorize over 200 datasets related to QA/RC. (Uban et al., 2021)

use topic modeling to track the evolution of topics in AA across three major dimensions: *tasks*, *algorithms* and *data*.

2.2 NLP Taxonomies from the Web

Besides the ACL Anthology, other NLP Taxonomies exist. They have been developed by different groups of researchers, and they are not designed for the purpose of classifying publications, but rather for more general educational resources which are the same as our focus. We survey these similar NLP taxonomies in the following and compare them in Table 1.

NLPExplorer (Parmar et al., 2020) is an automatic portal for collecting, indexing, and searching CL and NLP papers from the ACL Anthology. The papers are indexed under a manually curated list of topics categorized into five broad categories, *Linguistic Targets*, *Tasks*, *Approaches*, *Languages*, and *Dataset Types*. This approach is limited in that there are concepts that are not covered by the list of topics. Moreover, the two-level hierarchical structure does not allow for the further organization beyond the initial classification of topics.

The NLP Index⁷ is a search engine containing over 3,000 repositories of NLP-related code with their corresponding research papers. The repositories can be searched using dozens of pre-defined topic queries categorized into eight broad categories. Like NLPExplorer, this list of topics is not comprehensive and does not allow for the other categories beyond the initial ones.

nn4nlp-concepts⁸ is a concept hierarchy which attempts to cover the concepts needed to understand neural network models for NLP. The topics are generated both automatically and through manual annotation, and are organized in a hierarchical structure with a maximum depth of 3. However, these concepts are again only limited to neural network related topics.

TutorialBank (Fabbri et al., 2018) is a manually collected corpus of NLP educational resources, including research papers, blog posts, tutorials, and lecture slides. The most recent version⁹ contains 23,193 resources. Together with the resources, they also propose a concept list containing 208 topics via crowdsourcing, with prerequisite annotations. Prerequisite relations are represented as a graph,

⁷<https://index.quantumstat.com/>

⁸<https://github.com/neulab/nn4nlp-concepts>

⁹<https://aan.how/>

Name	#Concepts	Structure	Example Concepts
NLPExplorer (Parmar et al., 2020)	146	2	syntax, summarization, unsupervised
The NLP Index	105	2	data augmentation, commonsense, bert
nn4nlp-concepts	110	3	mini-batch SGD, transfer learning, transformer
TutorialBank (Fabbri et al., 2018)	208	Prerequisites	em algorithm, attention models
LectureBank (Li et al., 2019)	322	Prerequisites	structured learning, named entity recognition
NLP-progress	38	Flat	summarization, text classification
ACM Computing Classification System	2113	6	serial architectures, information retrieval
Computer Science Ontology	14164	multiple*	artificial intelligence, internet, bioindicator
Semantic Scholar	N/A	N/A	Burst
Paper Reading	126	Flat	Bert, gradient descent, image classification

Table 1: Existing Taxonomies. *We could not find the exact depth of the Computer Science Ontology.

instead of a tree structure for a taxonomy.

LectureBank (Li et al., 2019) is a manually collected dataset containing thousands of NLP-centric university-level lecture slides as well as 322 concepts collected through crowdsourcing. These concepts cover the field of NLP, basic machine learning, and deep learning for the purpose of prerequisite chain learning. However, like TutorialBank, these concepts have various granularity and forms in a graph structure.

NLP-progress is a repository to track NLP progress¹⁰. It contains the most advanced tasks and datasets, including entities from 14 languages. The current version contains 38 topics under English in a flat structure.

NLPedia¹¹ tracks the performance of more than 300 systems on 40 datasets and nine tasks. Additionally, they diagnose the strengths and weaknesses of a single system and interpret relationships between multiple systems (Liu et al., 2021).

2.3 Computer Science Taxonomies

The **ACM Computing Classification System**¹² is a poly-hierarchical classification scheme for the field of computing that can be utilized in semantic web applications. The 2,113 topics are organized in a tree structure with a maximum depth of six.

The **Computer Science Ontology**¹³ is a large-scale taxonomy of computer science research areas automatically generated from about 16 million publications. It includes 14,164 topics and 162,121 semantic relationships and is organized in a tree structure with "Computer Science" as the root. The ontology includes other semantic relationships such as equivalency between topics or indication.

¹⁰<https://nlpprogress.com/>

¹¹<https://explainaboard.nlpedia.ai/leaderboard/>

¹²<https://dl.acm.org/ccs>

¹³<http://cso.kmi.open.ac.uk/home>

Semantic Scholar¹⁴ is an AI-powered research tool for scientific literature. The search engine covers research papers and filtering of results by field of study, date range, publication type, etc. The site includes literature in a large number of subjects, including computer science, and more specifically NLP. The papers are tagged with topic keywords that are automatically extracted using machine learning techniques. The site provides a Wikipedia summary for each topic and lists related, broader, and narrower topics, suggesting that these topics are organized in a hierarchical structure.

ArnetMiner (AMiner) (Tang et al., 2008) is an online AI-powered service designed to perform search and data mining operations on academic publications. The service aims to build a social network of academic researcher profiles by identifying connections between researchers, conferences, and publications using graph techniques. This service covers a number of subjects, including Mathematics, Biology, and Forestry, in addition to Computer Science.

Papers with Code¹⁵ is an open resource with Machine Learning papers, code, datasets, methods and evaluation tables. The Machine Learning portal includes 255,497 papers with code, 2,217 methods, and 4,948 datasets. The methods are organized in a tree structure with seven top-level categories, which are further categorized into several levels. There are other portals for the different fields of Computer Science, Physics, Mathematics, Astronomy, and Statistics, although these portals have fewer resources.

Paper Reading¹⁶ is an index of research papers on Artificial Intelligence topics using pre-defined topic tags. It includes 126 topic tags but they are

¹⁴<https://www.semanticscholar.org/>

¹⁵<https://paperswithcode.com/>

¹⁶<http://paperreading.club/>

not organized in any particular structure.

2.4 Non-CS Taxonomies

Classification schemes exist for other subjects, e.g., the Mathematics Subject Classification taxonomy which covers mathematics topics, Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) for biomedical and health related topics, and Physics Subject Headings (PhySh) which covers Physics topics. These schemes are designed to help classify scientific literature on each of these respective subjects.

3 Building CLICKER

3.1 Candidate Keyword Extraction

The above mentioned existing taxonomies present fine-grained but limited topics in terms of the number and coverage. To provide broader coverage of topics in CLICKER, we performed keyword extraction and analysis to generate a long candidate concept list which contains up to 10 thousand candidates.

We believe that lecture notes contain clean and fine-grained topics, for example, the header of a page. We started with the current version of of LectureBank (Li et al., 2019), which includes more than 2,000 lecture slides and texts, converted to textual format. We initially considered the lecture slide titles and the headers of each slide file page as a topic. We applied Textrank (Mihalcea and Tarau, 2004) to extract keywords and phrases. Finally, we ended up with a complete topic list of 4,397 candidates in descending order based on frequency. Similarly, we extracted another candidate topic list from the TutorialBank (Fabbri et al., 2018) corpus. We only conducted keyword extraction on the resource titles to keep fine granularity. This resulted in a list consisting of 29,616 candidate topics.

We then combined the different topics lists and sorted them by frequency. We kept the ones that appeared at least three times, which resulted in a clean list of 465 candidate topics.

3.2 Additional Processing

After some manual filtering, we ended up with our current version (1.0) of the taxonomy, which includes 271 topics that cover primarily CL and NLP topics, along with some related topics from Artificial Intelligence, Speech Processing, and Information Retrieval classes, as well as some prerequisite mathematical and statistical concepts. We skipped some spurious titles such as "Reading List"

Most Frequent 15	Less Frequent 15
recurrent neural networks	relation extraction
word embeddings	conditional random fields
neural networks	adversarial search
dependency parsing	structured prediction models
machine translation	statistical machine translation
language models	probabilistic topic models
logistic regression	optimization
question answering	computational discourse
text classification	lexicalized parsing
convolutional neural networks	context free grammar
hidden markov models	bayesian networks
distributional semantics	expectation maximization
language modeling	kernel methods
lexical semantics	compositional semantics
support vector machines	a* search and heuristics

Table 2: Most frequent and less frequent topics extracted from slide titles. The right hand side include 15 of the less frequent topics, randomly selected.

and "Midterm Information" and manually grouped some similar-sounding and overlapping topics. We show a set of sample slide titles in Table 2.

4 Applications

In this section, we show how to use CLICKER in two interesting applications. The first one is to support resource classification in an existing educational platform; the second is to take advantage of the taxonomy to learn prerequisites between concepts in a transfer learning setting.

4.1 CLICKER: Our Educational Platform

A taxonomy like CLICKER can be an important part of an online educational platform, AAN¹⁷. AAN encompasses a corpus of resources on NLP and related fields. These are educational resources primarily lectures and tutorials. A total of 23,293 resources have been manually assigned to nodes of the taxonomy. The website allows users to browse resources from the top-level of the taxonomy in the interface, as shown in Figure 1. Here we illustrate the nine top-level topics. Once the user clicks on a topic, they will see the next (finer) level of detail, as illustrated in Figure 2.

4.2 Resource Retrieval

The educational platform can support several other applications. One of them is resource retrieval. Figure 3 shows the top 5 results when a user types in the query keyword GAN, and the interface returns a list of resources based on relevancy. We utilized

¹⁷<https://aan.how/>

Resources

We have curated 23193 tutorials, surveys, lectures and software. You may browse based on topics or search for resources below.

Search Resources

Search resources for...

Browse Topics

ID	Topic Name
1	Introduction and Linguistics
2	Language Modeling, Syntax, Parsing
3	Semantics and Logic
4	Pragmatics, Discourse, Dialogue, Applications
5	Classification
6	Information Retrieval and Topic Modeling
7	Neural Networks and Deep Learning
8	Artificial Intelligence
9	Other Topics

Figure 1: Top-level topics in AAN interface.

the Apache Lucene Core¹⁸ as the text search engine. For each result, the interface also shows some metadata. The *Topic* corresponds to the taxonomy node number. For example, the first resource belongs to (broad) topic 72 (Deep Learning) in Figure 2. This interface supports keyword search as an alternative way of browsing resources mentioned in the previous section.

4.3 Resource Recommendation

Another interesting application is to make topic and resource recommendations based on a description of an actual project that a user wants to work on. We include an example in Figure 4.

The interface initially asks the user to input the title and a short abstract describing the project, as shown in Figure 4a. This sample project is about applying Transformers to do neural machine translation. Once the user submits this query, the system makes recommendations for both relevant concepts (Figure 4b) and possible resources to read (Figure 4c). We can see that the suggested topics successfully capture the main query keyword neural machine translation. Besides, some suggested resources are also relevant for the query project, i.e., No. 2 is about using seq2seq models for neural machine translation. The search function, supported by the

¹⁸<https://lucene.apache.org/core/>

Topic 7: Neural Networks and Deep Learning 4518

< Parent Search resources for...

Subtopics 5

ID	Topic Name
71	Deep Learning
72	Introduction to Word Embeddings
73	Deep Learning Tools
74	Neural Networks
75	Applications of Neural Networks

Presentations 17

Lecture Slides 14

Repositories 3

Books 30

Surveys 127

Libraries 492

Figure 2: Second-level topics in AAN interface.

Apache Lucene Core library, sometimes misses important keywords in the abstract. In this case, the keyword (e.g., `transformer`) is ignored, and no relevant resources are suggested in the top list. In the future, we plan to improve this basic recommendation function by using better keyword extraction algorithms.

4.4 Prerequisite Chain learning

Prerequisite chain learning (Gordon et al., 2016) is used to help learners navigate through the space of topics within a domain by providing them with prerequisite concepts. A taxonomy like CLICKER can also help learn prerequisite chains for unknown concepts. This section discusses how to apply existing taxonomy relations to learn the prerequisite chain for unknown concepts in new domains.

Existing work applies machine learning methods to solve this task by formulating it as a classification task (Gordon et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2020): given a concept pair A and B , $A \rightarrow B$ if A is a prerequisite concept of B . A typical method is to learn concept embeddings and conduct binary classification on the input (A, B) : the label is positive if $A \rightarrow B$, negative otherwise. Materials used as learning concept relations include course content, video sequences, textbooks, lecture slides and Wikipedia articles (Pan et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2020). LectureBankCD (Li et al., 2021b) is a dataset built for cross-domain prerequisite chain learning. It consists of labeled prerequisite concepts for different subjects, such as NLP (322 concepts) and Computer Vision (201

Search Resources									
Filter by Medium (off) Search Split Resources									
Show 10 entries Search: GAN									
ID	Title	Author	Topic	Medium	Year	Relevance	Votes	Actions	
36788	Wasserstein GAN	FRANÇOIS FLEURET	71	lecture	2021	7.39	- 0 +		
36706	Wasserstein GAN	FRANÇOIS FLEURET	71	lecture	2021	7.37	- 0 +		
6261	PyTorch-GAN	Jun-Yan Zhu, Richard Zhang, Deepak Pathak, Trevor Darrell, Alexei A. Efros, Oliver Wang, Eli Shechtman	731	library	2018	7.33	- 0 +		
5727	Keras-GAN	Erick Lindernoren	641	library	2018	7.24	- 0 +		
12967	Wasserstein GAN	James Allingham	513	tutorial	2019	7.24	- 0 +		

Figure 3: Resource retrieval results for the query GAN (the top 5 results).

concepts). We then combine our taxonomy relations with their existing training set as the new training set. The evaluation is based on their test set.

Evaluation We followed the work of Li et al. (2021b,c) and first trained concept embeddings. Specifically, we built a Phrase2Vec (P2V) (Artetxe et al., 2018) embedding for each concept using the resources from the same dataset with Li et al. (2021b). Then, we compared three methods: logistic regression (LR), a single-layer neural network (NN) and a variational graph autoencoder (Li et al., 2019) (VGAE). For each method, we compare our P2V embeddings (Pipeline+) and a basic pre-trained BERT model (BERT+)¹⁹. All classifiers are trained on NLP and then directly tested on CV. We show the results in Table 3: in summary, the NN model performs the best, with a large improvement over the other two models, especially with respect to Accuracy. Moreover, applying the P2V embeddings trained on resources discovered by our pipeline quantitatively improves upon the BERT model in most cases when looking at Accuracy and the F1 score.

Case Study We keep the best model, 2-layer Neural Network, and directly apply it on CV and STATS concepts respectively, in order to reconstruct the prerequisite concept graph. In Figure 5, we show a portion of the concept graph from both domains. As can be seen, the model successfully captures correct relations, i.e., *Video Classification*

¹⁹<https://huggingface.co/bert-base-uncased>

Title: Neural Machine Translation
Abstract: A project for neural machine translation using the transformer model.

(a) An example project proposal from the user about neural machine translation.

Suggested Topics
Full Matches (full topic name in abstract)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 45X Machine Translation 753 Neural Machine Translation
Partial Matches (at least half of words topic name appear in abstract)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 451 Machine Translation Basics 452 Machine Translation Techniques 455 Syntax-based Machine Translation

(b) Suggested topics from the taxonomy.

#	Title
1.	GitHub - THUNLP-MT/THUMT: An open-source neural...
2.	Neural Machine Translation : Superior Seq2seq M...
3.	Applications: NLP
4.	Advances and Challenges in Unsupervised Neural ...
5.	The Deep Learning Revolution
6.	Sequence-to-sequence models with attention
7.	A Paper A Day: #24 Attention Is All You Need ...
8.	Seq2seq pay Attention to Self Attention: Part 2
9.	The Deep Learning Revolution Handout
10.	Found in translation: FloydHub

(c) Suggested resources (the top 10 results).

Figure 4: Resource Recommendation based on User Input.

Model	Acc	F1
Logistic Regression	0.5417	0.3654
VGAE (Li et al., 2019)	0.5327	0.5869
2-layer Neural Network	0.5868	0.6024

Table 3: Transfer learning results for prerequisite chain prediction: NLP→CV. We report Accuracy and F1 score.

→ *Autonomous Driving* and *Neural Networks* → *Face Recognition* (Figure 5a), *Conditional Probability* → *Variance* and *Conditional Probability* → *Maximum Likelihood* (Figure 5b). However, some of the relation predictions have room for improvement. For instance, the connection from *Artificial Intelligence* → *Face Recognition* is overestimated, given that there are in reality several additional concepts in the path between the two.

4.5 Survey Generation

Obtaining new knowledge for a specific topic within the taxonomy can be important from a learner’s perspective. To help readers get a quick understanding of this topic, a possible way is to do survey generation (Li et al., 2021a). People can




Figure 5: Reconstructed concept graph from the best model.

get rid of searching multiple websites, textbooks, and other web resources to learn about a new topic. Survey generation aims to generate a survey for a query topic automatically (Deutsch and Roth, 2019). Such a survey may contain a brief introduction, history, key ideas, variations, and applications in our scientific scenario.

To achieve this, we followed the WikiSum (Liu et al., 2018) method to formulate this task as multi-document summarization. Given a query concept, we first search for relevant web pages and extract them as free texts using existing search engines like Google. For each section (i.e., history, main idea), and pair the section name and extracted free texts as input to a pretrained BART (Lewis et al., 2019) model to generate a summary paragraph for each section. Table 4 shows the generated survey for the topic `text summarization`. As an early attempt, one may notice some contents about real facts are not always accurate and coherent. For example, in the Key Ideas section, the model suc-

Introduction
Text summarization is an interesting machine learning field that is increasingly gaining traction. As research in this area continues, we can expect to see breakthroughs that will assist in fluently and accurately shortening long text documents. In this article, we look at how machine learning can be used to help shorten text.
History
Summarization has been and continues to be a hot research topic in the data science arena. While text summarization algorithms have existed for a while, major advances in natural language processing and deep learning have been made in recent years. Google has reportedly worked on projects that attempt to understand novels. Summarization can help consumers quickly understand what a book is about.
Key Ideas
Automatic summarization aims to produce a shorter version of an input text, preserving only the essential information. There are two main types of summarization: extractive summarization selects important sentences from the input and abstractive summarization generates content without explicitly re-using whole sentences. In our new paper, we constructed two novel, large-scale summarization datasets from scientific journal articles.
Variations
Multi-document summarization can be a powerful tool to quickly analyze dozens of search results. MeaningCloud’s Summarization API locates the most relevant phrases in a document and builds a synopsis with them. More specific summarization systems could be developed to analyze legal documents.
Applications
Summarization can be a crucial component in the telehealth supply chain when it comes to analyzing medical cases. The Spreading Activation approach does not allow to improve our results. Tables 8 and 9 show the high recall obtained with these methods, which may be a very interesting feature in some cases.

Table 4: Sample survey generation of the topic `Text Summarization`.

cessfully captures the fact about extractive and abstractive summarization. But the last sentence talks about a new paper, which is irrelevant to the corresponding section. To the best of our knowledge, no other works attempt to apply neural methods to generate surveys using educational material, and our preliminary results show that this might be a very promising research direction and application. We leave improving survey quality as one of our future work.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we introduced CLICKER, a practical CL/NLP classification scheme for educational resources. We also showed how CLICKER can make a difference in five applications: educational platform, resource retrieval, resource recommendation, prerequisite chain learning, and survey generation.

References

- Ashton Anderson, Dan Jurafsky, and Daniel A. McFarland. 2012. [Towards a computational history of the ACL: 1980-2008](#). In *Proceedings of the ACL-2012 Special Workshop on Rediscovering 50 Years of Discoveries*, pages 13–21, Jeju Island, Korea. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mikel Artetxe, Gorka Labaka, and Eneko Agirre. 2018. Unsupervised statistical machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yonatan Belinkov and James Glass. 2019. [Analysis Methods in Neural Language Processing: A Survey](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 7:49–72.
- Steven Bird, Robert Dale, Bonnie Dorr, Bryan Gibson, Mark Joseph, Min-Yen Kan, Dongwon Lee, Brett Powell, Dragomir Radev, and Yee Fan Tan. 2008. [The ACL anthology reference corpus: A reference dataset for bibliographic research in computational linguistics](#). In *LREC 2008*.
- David M. Blei, Andrew Y. Ng, and Michael I. Jordan. 2003. [Latent dirichlet allocation](#). *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 3:993–1022.
- Daniel Deutsch and Dan Roth. 2019. [Summary Cloze: A New Task for Content Selection in Topic-Focused Summarization](#). In *EMNLP*.
- Alexander R. Fabbri, Irene Li, Prawat Trairatvorakul, Yijiao He, Wei Tai Ting, Robert Tung, Caitlin Westfield, and Dragomir R. Radev. 2018. [Tutorialbank: A manually-collected corpus for prerequisite chains, survey extraction and resource recommendation](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2018, Melbourne, Australia, July 15-20, 2018, Volume 1: Long Papers*, pages 611–620. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Daniel Gildea, Min-Yen Kan, Nitin Madnani, Christoph Teichmann, and Martín Villalba. 2018. [The ACL anthology: Current state and future directions](#). In *Proceedings of Workshop for NLP Open Source Software (NLP-OSS)*, pages 23–28, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jonathan Gordon, Linhong Zhu, Aram Galstyan, Prem Natarajan, and Gully Burns. 2016. [Modeling concept dependencies in a scientific corpus](#). In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 866–875, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- David Hall, Daniel Jurafsky, and Christopher D. Manning. 2008. [Studying the history of ideas using topic models](#). In *Proceedings of the 2008 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 363–371, Honolulu, Hawaii. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- David Jurgens, Srijan Kumar, Raine Hoover, Dan McFarland, and Dan Jurafsky. 2018. [Measuring the evolution of a scientific field through citation frames](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 6:391–406.
- Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Ves Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2019. [Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension](#).
- Irene Li, Alexander Fabbri, Rina Kawamura, Yixin Liu, Xiangru Tang, Jaesung Tae, Chang Shen, Sally Ma, Tomoe Mizutani, and Dragomir Radev. 2021a. [Surfer100: Generating surveys from web resources on wikipedia-style](#).
- Irene Li, Alexander R Fabbri, Robert R Tung, and Dragomir R Radev. 2019. [What should i learn first: Introducing lecturebank for nlp education and prerequisite chain learning](#). In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 6674–6681.
- Irene Li, Vanessa Yan, Tianxiao Li, Rihao Qu, and Dragomir Radev. 2021b. [Unsupervised cross-domain prerequisite chain learning using variational graph autoencoders](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.03505*.
- Irene Li, Vanessa Yan, and Dragomir Radev. 2021c. [Efficient variational graph autoencoders for unsupervised cross-domain prerequisite chains](#).
- Pengfei Liu, Jinlan Fu, Yang Xiao, Weizhe Yuan, Shuaichen Chang, Junqi Dai, Yixin Liu, Zihuiwen Ye, and Graham Neubig. 2021. [EXPLAIN-ABOARD: an explainable leaderboard for NLP](#). *CoRR*, abs/2104.06387.
- Peter J Liu, Mohammad Saleh, Etienne Pot, Ben Goodrich, Ryan Sepassi, Lukasz Kaiser, and Noam Shazeer. 2018. [Generating wikipedia by summarizing long sequences](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.10198*.
- Rada Mihalcea and Paul Tarau. 2004. [Textrank: Bringing order into text](#). In *Proceedings of the 2004 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, pages 404–411.
- Liangming Pan, Chengjiang Li, Juanzi Li, and Jie Tang. 2017. [Prerequisite relation learning for concepts in MOOCs](#). In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1447–1456, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Monarch Parmar, Naman Jain, Pranjali Jain, P Jayakrishna Sahit, Soham Pachpande, Shruti Singh, and Mayank Singh. 2020. [Nlpexplorer: exploring the universe of nlp papers](#). In *European Conference on Information Retrieval*, pages 476–480. Springer.
- Dragomir R. Radev, Pradeep Muthukrishnan, Vahed Qazvinian, and Amjad Abu-Jbara. 2013. [The ACL anthology network corpus](#). *Lang. Resour. Evaluation*, 47(4):919–944.

Anna Rogers, Matt Gardner, and Isabelle Augenstein. 2021. [QA dataset explosion: A taxonomy of NLP resources for question answering and reading comprehension](#). *CoRR*, abs/2107.12708.

Bernard Rous. 2012. [Major Update to ACM's Computing Classification System](#). *Commun. ACM*, 55(11):12.

Mohinder P Satija. 2017. [Colon Classification \(CC\)](#). *KNOWLEDGE ORGANIZATION*, 44(4):291–307.

Anne-Kathrin Schumann and Behrang QasemiZadeh. 2015. [Tracing research paradigm change using terminological methods: A pilot study on “machine translation” in the acl anthology reference corpus](#). In *Proceedings of TIA*, volume 15.

Jie Tang, Jing Zhang, Limin Yao, Juanzi Li, li Zhang, and Zhong Su. 2008. [Arnetminer: Extraction and mining of academic social networks](#). pages 990–998.

Ana Sabina Uban, Cornelia Caragea, and Liviu P. Dinu. 2021. [Studying the evolution of scientific topics and their relationships](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 1908–1922, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jifan Yu, Gan Luo, Tong Xiao, Qingyang Zhong, Yuquan Wang, Junyi Luo, Chenyu Wang, Lei Hou, Juanzi Li, Zhiyuan Liu, et al. 2020. [Mooccube: A large-scale data repository for nlp applications in moocs](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3135–3142.