

# ON (ALMOST) REALIZABLE SUBSEQUENCES OF LINEARLY RECURRENT SEQUENCES

FLORIAN LUCA AND TOM WARD

ABSTRACT. In this note we show that if  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a simple linearly recurrent sequence of integers whose minimal recurrence of order  $k$  involves only positive coefficients that has positive initial terms, then  $(Mu_{n^s})_{n \geq 1}$  is the sequence of periodic point counts for some map for a suitable positive integer  $M$  and  $s$  any sufficiently large multiple of  $k!$ . This extends a result of Moss and Ward [*The Fibonacci Quarterly* **60** (2022), 40–47] who proved the result for the Fibonacci sequence.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A sequence of nonnegative integers  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is called *realizable* if there is some set  $X$  and a map  $T: X \rightarrow X$  such that

$$a_n = \text{Fix}(T^n) = \#\{x \in X \mid T^n(x) = x\},$$

and is called *almost realizable* if it is realizable after multiplication by a constant.

A simple example of realizability is the shift map  $T: (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  on the *golden mean* shift space

$$X = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid (x_k, x_{k+1}) \neq (1, 1) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

This has

$$\text{Fix}(T^n) = \#\{\mathbf{x} \in X \mid T^n(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}\} = \text{Trace} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n = L_n \quad (1)$$

where  $(L_n)_{n \geq 1} = (1, 3, 4, 7, \dots)$  is the Lucas companion of the Fibonacci sequence.

A simple example of almost realizability is given by the sequence

$$(2^{n-1})_{n \geq 1} = (1, 2, 4, \dots)$$

of powers of 2. Clearly this is not realizable, since a map  $T$  witnessing this would have  $\text{Fix}(T) = 1$ , and hence must have  $\text{Fix}(T^2)$  odd. However the shift map as above on the *full 2-shift*  $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  has  $\text{Fix}(T^n) = 2^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , showing that the sequence becomes realizable after multiplication by 2.

---

*Date:* March 20, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B50, 37P35.

We refer to [8] for this and other examples, and the references therein for background on this concept. Realizable sequences can be characterized in algebraic terms as follows. The sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of non-negative integers is realizable if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:

$$(D) \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) a_d \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and}$$

$$(S) \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) a_d \geq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here  $\mu$  denotes the classical Möbius function. We call (D) the *Dold condition* and (S) the *sign condition*. The equivalence is clear, because the sum arising in (D) and in (S) is the number of points that lie on a closed orbit of minimal length  $n$  under iteration of a map that witnesses realizability of  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ .

Moss [7] showed that  $(5F_{n^2})_{n \geq 1}$  is realizable, and Moss and Ward [8] extended this to show that  $(5F_{n^{2k}})_{n \geq 1}$  is realizable for  $k \geq 1$  while  $(MF_{n^{2k+1}})_{n \geq 1}$  is not realizable for any choice of  $M = M_k \geq 1$  for any  $k \geq 0$ , where we write  $(F_n)_{n \geq 1}$  for the Fibonacci sequence  $(1, 1, 2, \dots)$ . These arguments use congruence properties specific to the Fibonacci sequence, which makes one wonder to what extent such a result can be generalised to other linearly recurrent sequences.

Here we generalize the above result to simple linearly recurrent sequences satisfying some positivity conditions. Our result is quite general, and its proof uses elementary algebraic number theory rather than congruences specific to a given sequence.

Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a linearly recurrent sequence of integers of order  $k$ . That is, it satisfies a recurrence relation of the form

$$u_{n+k} = a_1 u_{n+k-1} + \dots + a_k u_n$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_k, u_1, \dots, u_k$  are all integers (this is a harmless assumption for integer linear recurrences by Fatou's lemma [2, p. 369]). We assume that the recurrence is minimal, so in particular  $a_k \neq 0$ . We ask if there is a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and a positive integer  $M$  with the property that  $(Mu_{f(n)})_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies (D) and (S). Let

$$F(X) = X^k - a_1 X^{k-1} - \dots - a_k, \tag{2}$$

the *characteristic polynomial* of the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . For background and relevant properties of linearly recurrent sequences the reader is invited to consult the monograph [1]. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be the splitting field of  $F$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$  be its ring of integers. Let  $\Delta(\mathbb{K})$  be the discriminant of  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $\Delta(F)$  be the discriminant of  $F$ . Let  $G$  be the Galois group of  $\mathbb{K}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $e := e(G)$  be the exponent of  $G$  and  $N$  be the order of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $F$  has only simple zeros.*

(i) The sequence  $(Mu_{n^s})_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies (D) if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} M &= \text{lcm}[\Delta(\mathbb{K}), \Delta(F)] \text{ and} \\ s &\equiv 0 \pmod{e(G)} \text{ with } s \geq N. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3)$$

(ii) Assume in addition that  $a_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ , that

$$(a_1, \dots, a_k) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 1),$$

and that  $u_i \geq 1$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Then the sequence  $(Mu_{n^s})_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies (S) whenever  $\ell \geq \ell_0$  is a sufficiently large number which can be computed in terms of the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ .

The somewhat strange condition (i) can be explained as follows. The condition  $\Delta(F)|M$  is needed to ensure that in the Binet formula for the general term of  $Mu_n$ , the summands involved are algebraic integers. On the other hand, the additional conditions that  $\Delta(\mathbb{K})|M$  together with the conditions on  $s$  are sufficient to ensure that the Dold condition (D) is satisfied. In particular, if  $F$  is irreducible, then  $\Delta(\mathbb{K})|\Delta(F)$ , so it suffices that  $M$  is a multiple of  $\Delta(F)$  but this is not true for reducible polynomials as the example  $F(X) = (X^2 - 2)(X^3 - 5)$  shows. In this case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt[3]{5}, \sqrt{-3})$  has  $\Delta(F) = -2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17^2$ , which is not a multiple of  $\Delta(\mathbb{K}) = 2^{18}3^{14}5^8$ .

**Remark.** (a) We exclude a periodic sequence of period  $k \geq 2$  and minimal polynomial  $X^k - 1$ , since for it the theorem is not true. Indeed, consider the simplest case when  $k$  is prime. Then condition (S) requires that  $u_{k^s} - u_1 \geq 0$ . On the other hand, since  $k^s \equiv k \pmod{k}$ , we must have  $u_k \geq u_1$ . It follows that  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  cannot be chosen to be arbitrary positive integers. For composite  $k$ , there must be inequalities satisfied between the values of  $u_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  whose residue classes modulo  $k$  are idempotents in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ .

(b) We require the minimal polynomial to only have simple roots, for otherwise (D) may be false. For example, the sequence defined by  $u_n = n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , satisfies the linear recurrence  $u_{n+2} = 2u_{n+1} - u_n$  with minimal polynomial  $(X - 1)^2$ . Then condition (D) for  $(Mu_{n^s})$  implies that for a prime  $p$  we must have  $p|M(p^s - 1)$ , and for given positive integers  $M$  and  $s$  this can only hold for the finitely many primes  $p$  dividing  $M$ . Hence, for the above sequence, the Dold quotients are rational numbers whose denominators are divisible by arbitrarily large primes. Indeed, similar arguments may be used to show that if  $(f(n))_{n \geq 1}$  is realizable with  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[n]$ , then  $f$  is a constant [11, Lem. 2.4].

(c) A different (arguably more natural) question is to ask when a linear recurrence sequence itself satisfies (D) without multiplication by a factor or passing to a subsequence. Minton [6] showed that—up to a finite multiplying factor—this is possible if and only if the sequence is a linear combination of traces of powers of algebraic numbers. From this perspective (1) is a manifestation of the fact that the only linearly recurrent sequences satisfying the Fibonacci recurrence  $u_{n+2} = u_{n+1} + u_n$  for  $n \geq 1$  which have this property

must have  $u_2 = 3u_1$  (and hence must be a multiple of the Lucas sequence), a special case shown earlier in [12] again using congruences specific to the Fibonacci sequence.

Returning to the Fibonacci sequence where this phenomena was first observed, the sequence  $(F_n)_{n \geq 1}$  has  $k = 2$ ,  $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ ,  $F_1 = F_2 = 1 > 0$ , and  $F(X) = X^2 - X - 1$ . Further,  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ . Thus,  $\Delta(F) = \Delta(\mathbb{K}) = 5$  and  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  so  $e(G) = N = 2$ . Thus,  $s = 2$  satisfies that  $s$  is a multiple of  $e(G)$  and  $s \geq N$ . We shall justify that in this case we can take  $\ell_0 = 1$ , recovering the result of [8] precisely. In fact, we prove it in a more general setting. Let  $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq -(k-2)}$  be the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence satisfying the recurrence relation  $F_{n+k}^{(k)} = F_{n+k-1}^{(k)} + \dots + F_n^{(k)}$  for  $n \geq 2 - k$  with initial values  $F_i^{(k)} = 0$  for  $i = 2 - k, 3 - k, \dots, -1, 0$  and  $F_1^{(k)} = 1$ . Wolfram [13] conjectured that  $G = S_k$  is the full symmetric group on  $k$  letters, and this is known to be so when  $k$  is even, when  $k$  is small, or when  $k$  is prime (see, for example, the work of Martin [4]). We therefore take  $N_k := k!$  and this is a multiple of  $e(G)$  and at least as large as  $N$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For  $k \geq 2$  we can take  $s = N_k \ell$  for any  $\ell \geq 1$  for the sequence  $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 1 - (k-2)}$ .*

At the end of their paper [8], Moss and Ward propose the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u_{n+2} = Pu_{n+1} + Qu_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , with initial conditions  $u_0 = 0$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ . Then  $((P^2 - 4Q)u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies (D).*

This almost follows from Theorem 1, except that there are some additional hypotheses like the fact that the recurrence must be of minimal order  $k = 2$  and that  $(P, Q) \neq (0, 1)$ , in order to apply the theorem. We therefore supply a proof of the following result.

**Theorem 3.** *Conjecture 1 holds.*

## 2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To start with, let

$$F(X) = \prod_{i=1}^k (X - \lambda_i),$$

so that we have the (generalized) Binet formula

$$u_n = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \lambda_i^n$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  for coefficients  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  determined from  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  by solving a linear system of  $k$  equations in  $k$  unknowns whose matrix is Vandermonde on  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ . We write  $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ . Then  $c_1, \dots, c_k$  are algebraic numbers in  $\mathbb{K}$  having the Vandermonde determinant  $\sqrt{\Delta(F)}$  as a common

denominator in the sense that  $\sqrt{\Delta(F)}c_i$  is an algebraic integer for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Note that  $Mc_i$  is a multiple of the algebraic integer  $\sqrt{\Delta(\mathbb{K})}$ , which is an algebraic integer in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ .

**2.1. The algebraic Dold condition.** We say that a sequence of algebraic integers  $(v_n)_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies the *algebraic Dold condition* if (D) is satisfied as algebraic integers. That is, if

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)v_d \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . Our strategy is to find  $s$  such that  $(\lambda_i^{ns})_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies the algebraic Dold condition for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since linear combinations with algebraic integer coefficients of sequences which satisfy the algebraic Dold condition also satisfy the algebraic Dold condition, this allows us to deduce that

$$M \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)u_{d^s} = M \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)\lambda_i^{d^s}$$

is both a rational number and an algebraic integer, so an integer, verifying (D).

Fix  $\lambda := \lambda_i$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . We need to find out when

$$\frac{\sqrt{\Delta(\mathbb{K})}}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)\lambda^{d^s} = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(\mathbb{K})}}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)\lambda^{(n/d)^s} \quad (4)$$

is an algebraic integer for all  $n \geq 1$ . We write  $m := \prod_{p|n} p = \text{rad}(n)$  for the radical of  $n$ . Changing the order of summation to complementary divisors as shown and restricting to squarefree numbers in the summation on the right-hand side (as the Möbius function vanishes on all other terms), the numerator in (4) is

$$S := \sqrt{\Delta(\mathbb{K})} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)\lambda^{(n/d)^s}. \quad (5)$$

Clearly  $S$  is a multiple of 1, so we may assume that  $n$  (and hence  $m$ ) exceeds 1. Let  $p$  be a prime divisor of  $n$  and let  $w$  be the exact exponent of  $p$  in  $n$ , written  $p^w || n$ . Writing as usual  $\omega(n)$  for the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ , the sum (5) therefore has  $2^{\omega(n)}$  divisors, half of which are multiples of  $p$  and half of which are not. Thus, the above sum can be grouped into  $2^{\omega(n)-1}$  pairs indexed  $(d, p)$ , where  $d$  is a divisor of  $\frac{m}{p}$ , giving

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sqrt{\Delta(\mathbb{K})} \sum_{d|\frac{m}{p}} \left( \mu(d)\lambda^{(n/d)^s} + \mu(pd)\lambda^{n/(dp)} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{\Delta(\mathbb{K})} \sum_{d|\frac{m}{p}} \pm \lambda^{(n/(pd))^s} \left( \lambda^{(n/pd)^s(p^s-1)} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show that if  $p^w \parallel n$ , then  $\frac{S}{p^w}$  is an algebraic integer. We let  $\pi$  be a prime ideal divisor of  $N$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  with  $\pi^e \parallel p$  and  $N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}}(\pi) = p^f$ . We put

$$A_d := \lambda^{(n/dp)^s} \quad \text{and} \quad B_d := \lambda^{(n/pd)^s(p^s-1)} - 1,$$

and observe that our aim is to find a condition for  $s$  divisible by  $e(G)$  such that one would have

$$\nu_\pi(\sqrt{\Delta(\mathbb{K})}A_dB_d) \geq ew.$$

Here,  $\nu_\pi(\alpha)$  is the exponent of the prime ideal  $\pi$  in the factorization of  $\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ . Observe that the Different Theorem implies that

$$\nu_\pi(\Delta(\mathbb{K})) \geq fe(e-1) \geq e(e-1).$$

2.1.1.  $\pi \mid \lambda$ . In this case  $\nu_\pi(B_d) = 0$  and

$$\nu_\pi(A_d) \geq \left(\frac{n}{pd}\right)^s \geq p^{s(w-1)} \geq 2^{s(w-1)}.$$

We need to check that

$$2^{s(w-1)} + e(e-1)/2 \geq ew.$$

This is clear when  $w = 1$ . since then the inequality to be proved becomes  $1 + e(e-1)/2 \geq e$  which holds for all  $e \geq 1$ . This is also clear when  $e = 1$  since then it is implied by  $2^{s(w-1)} \geq 2^{w-1} \geq w$ . Finally, if  $e \geq 2$ ,  $w \geq 2$ , then  $s \geq N \geq e \geq 2$ , so  $sw \geq 4$ . Since  $w-1 \geq w/2$ , it suffices to show that  $2^{sw/2} \geq sw$ , which is equivalent to  $2^{sw} \geq (sw)^2$ , which holds since  $sw \geq 4$ .

2.1.2.  $p \nmid \lambda$ . In this case,  $\nu_\pi(A_d) \neq 0$ . Write  $(n/pd)^s = \alpha p^{s(w-1)}$ , and  $p^s - 1 = \beta(p^f - 1)$ , where  $\pi \nmid \alpha\beta$ . This last formula holds since  $f \mid e(G) \mid s$ . The analogue of Euler's theorem for number fields implies that

$$\lambda^{p^{s(w-1)}(p^f-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi^{s(w-1)+1}}.$$

Thus

$$\nu_\pi(B_d) \geq s(w-1) + 1.$$

So it suffices to verify that

$$s(w-1) + 1 + e(e-1)/2 \geq ew.$$

This is clear if  $w = 1$  since then the left-hand side is  $1 + e(e-1)/2 \geq e$ . It is also clear if  $e = 1$ , since then the left-hand side is  $s(w-1) + 1 \geq (w-1) + 1 = w$ . Thus, we assume that  $e \geq 2$  and  $w \geq 2$ . Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is Galois, we have that  $e \mid N$  and  $s \geq N$ . If  $s \geq 2e$ , then it suffices to show that

$$2e(w-1) + 1 \geq ew.$$

This is equivalent to  $ew - 2e + 1 \geq 0$ , which holds since  $w \geq 2$ . Finally, if  $2e > s \geq N$ , we have  $e > N/2$  and  $e$  is a divisor of  $N$  so  $s = e = N$ . So we need to show that

$$N(w-1) + 1 + N(N-1)/2 \geq Nw,$$

which is equivalent to  $1 + N(N - 1)2 \geq N$ , which is clear for any  $N \geq 2$ .

**2.2. The sign condition.** We still need to deal with the sign condition (S), for which we may use the following observation from [10]: It is sufficient to show that  $u_{(2n)^s} \geq nu_{n^s}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . To see this, let  $\lambda$  be a real root larger than 1 of  $F(X) = 0$ . This exists by the intermediate value theorem, since the hypotheses on the coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  show that  $F(1) < 0$ , and  $F(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that  $u_n \geq \lambda^{n-k}$  always holds, again by the hypotheses on the coefficients. Indeed, it holds for  $n = 1, \dots, j$  because in this range  $u_j \geq 1 \geq \lambda^{j-k}$ , and so it holds for all  $n \geq 1$  by induction since  $a_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Moreover,  $u_n \leq \lambda^{n+n_0}$  for  $n_0 \geq \lceil \log \max\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} / \log \lambda \rceil$ . Again this inequality holds for  $n = 1, \dots, k$ , so it will hold for all  $n \geq 1$  by induction. Armed with these estimates, we now need to show that

$$\lambda^{(2n)^s - k} \geq n\lambda^{n^s + n_0}$$

or, equivalently,

$$n^s(2^s - 1) \geq k + n_0 + \frac{\log n}{\log \lambda}. \quad (6)$$

To see this, first let  $n_1 \geq 2(n_0 + k)$  satisfy  $\frac{\log n}{\log \lambda} \leq \frac{n}{2}$  for  $n \geq n_1$ . Then for  $n \geq n_1$  we have  $k + n_0 \leq \frac{n_1}{2} \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , so the right-hand side of (6) is at most  $n$ . It follows that (6) holds, since  $n(2^s - 1) \geq n$  is clear. For  $n \leq n_1$  the right-hand side is at most  $n_0 + k + \frac{\log n_1}{\log \lambda}$  and the left-hand side is at least  $2^s(2^s - 1) \geq 2^{e(G)\ell}(2^{e(G)\ell} - 1)$  and this is larger than  $n_0 + k + \frac{\log n_1}{\log \lambda}$  once  $\ell \geq \ell_0$ . Thus, if  $s$  is a sufficiently large multiple of  $e(G)$ , then the sign condition (S) holds.

### 3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

For the particular case of the  $k$ -generalized Fibonacci sequence, it is well-known that the associated characteristic polynomial

$$F^{(k)}(X) = X^k - X^{k-1} - \dots - 1$$

has simple zeros (see Miles [5], for example), and that the largest real zero  $\lambda^{(k)}$  is increasing in  $k \geq 2$  and has  $\lambda^{(k)} \rightarrow 2$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, writing

$$\lambda = \lambda^{(k)} \geq \lambda^{(2)} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

we have  $F_k^{(k)} < 2^k < \lambda^{2k}$ , so we can take  $n_0 = 2k$  in the notation of Section 2.2. Thus,  $n_1 \geq 2(n_0 + k) = 6k$  must be such that  $\frac{\log n}{\log \lambda} \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , which is implied by  $6 \log n \leq n$ , which certainly holds for  $n \geq 10k \geq 20$ . So we can take  $n_1 = 10k$ . Consequently, for  $n \leq n_1$ , the right-hand side in (6) is at most  $10k$  and the left-hand side is at least  $n^s(2^s - 1) \geq n^{N_k}(2^{N_k} - 1) \geq 10k$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 3$  where  $N_k = k!$ . For  $k = 2$ , the above inequality fails for  $n = 1, 2$ , but in these cases  $F_{(2n)^2} \geq F_4 = 3 > 2F_2$  holds anyway. Hence, we can take  $\ell_0 = 1$  for any  $k \geq 2$ .

## 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3

4.1. **The case  $Q = 0$ .** In this degenerate case we may take two approaches (for  $P > 0$  at any rate), and we include both to illustrate the two points of view.

**Arithmetic proof:** We have  $u_n = P^{n-1}$ , so  $c_1 = 1/P$  in Binet's formula and  $\lambda = P$ . Going through the proof of Theorem 1, we see that we need  $P|M$  to deal with the denominator of  $c_1$ . Next, in case  $p$  does not divide  $P$ , we are in the case from Section 2.1.2, and then  $p^w|S$  whenever  $p^w|n$ . In the case of Section 2.1.1, we have that if  $p|P$ , then  $e = N = 1$ . We saw in the proof of Theorem 1 for this case that if  $p|P$  and  $w \geq 2$ , then  $\nu_p(A_d) \geq 2^{w-1} > w$ , whereas for  $w = 1$ , we have  $\nu_p(A_d) \geq 1 = w$ . So, in fact we even see that  $(|P|u_{n^2})_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies the Dold condition in this case, and we do not need the factor  $P^2$ .

**Dynamical proof for  $P > 0$ :** Here  $(Pu_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is the sequence  $(P, P^2, \dots)$ , which we identify as  $(\text{Fix}(T^n))_{n \geq 1}$ , where  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is the shift map on the full  $P$ -shift  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, P\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Taking the union of  $P$  disjoint copies of this system produces a map  $S$  with  $(\text{Fix}(S^n))_{n \geq 1} = (P^2, P^3, P^4, \dots)$ . It follows that  $(P^2u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is realizable, and in particular satisfies (D). On the other hand, sampling along a monomial subsequence always preserves realizability (no other polynomials have this property by [3]) so  $(P^2u_{n^2})$  is also realizable.

4.2. **The case  $(P, Q) = (0, 1)$ .** This is an excluded case of Theorem 1. In this case,  $u_n = 0$  if  $n$  is even and  $u_n = 1$  if  $n$  is odd. So, in (D), the sum  $S$  is zero if  $n$  is odd. Further, in the sum  $S$ , for every prime  $p$  dividing  $m$ , the amounts  $(n/d)^2$  and  $(n/(pd))^2$  are both even or both odd, so this difference is zero unless  $p = 2$  and one of  $(n/d)^2$  and  $(n/(2d))^2$  is even and the other is odd. But the only chance for this to happen is when  $2||n$ , and in this last case the prime 2 from the denominator of the Dold ratio can be absorbed into  $|\Delta(F)| = |P^2 - 4Q| = 4$ .

4.3. **Remaining cases.** The cases  $(P, Q) \neq (P, 0), (0, 1)$  follow from Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Everest, A. van der Poorten, I. Shparlinski, and T. Ward, *Recurrence sequences*, in *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **104** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003). <https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/104>.
- [2] P. Fatou, 'Séries trigonométriques et séries de Taylor', *Acta Math.* **30** (1906), no. 1, 335–400. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02418579>.
- [3] S. Jaidee, P. Moss, and T. Ward, 'Time-changes preserving zeta functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), no. 10, 4425–4438. <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/14574>.
- [4] P. A. Martin, 'The Galois group of  $x^n - x^{n-1} - \dots - x - 1$ ', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **190** (2004), no. 1-3, 213–223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2003.10.028>.
- [5] E. P. Miles, Jr., 'Generalized Fibonacci numbers and associated matrices', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **67** (1960), 745–752. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2308649>.

- [6] G. T. Minton, ‘Linear recurrence sequences satisfying congruence conditions’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** (2014), no. 7, 2337–2352. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12168-X>.
- [7] P. Moss, *The arithmetic of realizable sequences* (Ph.D. thesis, University of East Anglia, 2003).
- [8] P. Moss and T. Ward, ‘Fibonacci along even powers is (almost) realizable’, *Fibonacci Quart.* **60** (2022), no. 1, 40–47. <https://www.fq.math.ca/Abstracts/60-1/moss.pdf>.
- [9] M. R. Murty and J. Esmonde, *Problems in algebraic number theory*, in *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **190** (Springer-Verlag, New York, second ed., 2005).
- [10] Y. Puri, *Arithmetic of numbers of periodic points* (Ph.D. thesis, University of East Anglia, 2001).
- [11] Y. Puri and T. Ward, ‘Arithmetic and growth of periodic orbits’, *J. Integer Seq.* **4** (2001), no. 2, Article 01.2.1, 18. <https://www.emis.de/journals/JIS/VOL4/WARD/short.pdf>.
- [12] Y. Puri and T. Ward, ‘A dynamical property unique to the Lucas sequence’, *Fibonacci Quart.* **39** (2001), no. 5, 398–402. <https://www.mathstat.dal.ca/FQ/Scanned/39-5/puri.pdf>.
- [13] D. A. Wolfram, ‘Solving generalized Fibonacci recurrences’, *Fibonacci Quart.* **36** (1998), no. 2, 129–145. <https://www.fq.math.ca/Scanned/36-2/wolfram.pdf>.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, WITS 2050, SOUTH AFRICA

RESEARCH GROUP IN ALGEBRIC STRUCTURES AND APPLICATIONS, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, JEDDAH 22254, SAUDI ARABIA

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR SOFTWARE SYSTEMS, SAARLAND INFORMATION CAMPUS E1 5, 66123, SAARBRÜCKEN GERMANY

CENTRO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS UNAM, MORELIA, MEXICO  
 Email address: Florian.Luca@wits.ac.za

Email address: tward@gmail.com