

On the f -divergences between densities of a multivariate location or scale family

Frank Nielsen
 Sony Computer Science Laboratories Inc
 Tokyo, Japan
 E-mail: Frank.Nielsen@acm.org

Kazuki Okamura
 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University
 Japan
 E-mail: okamura.kazuki@shizuoka.ac.jp

Abstract

We first extend the result of Ali and Silvey [Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B, 28.1 (1966), 131-142] who first reported that any f -divergence between two isotropic multivariate Gaussian distributions amounts to a corresponding strictly increasing scalar function of their corresponding Mahalanobis distance. We report sufficient conditions on the standard probability density function generating a multivariate location family and the function generator f in order to generalize this result. This property is useful in practice as it allows to compare exactly f -divergences between densities of these location families via their corresponding Mahalanobis distances, even when the f -divergences are not available in closed-form as it is the case, for example, for the Jensen-Shannon divergence or the total variation distance between densities of a normal location family. Second, we consider f -divergences between densities of multivariate scale families: We recall Ali and Silvey's result that for normal scale families we get matrix spectral divergences, and we extend this result to densities of a scale family.

Keywords: f -divergence; Jensen-Shannon divergence; multivariate location-scale family; spherical distribution; affine group; multivariate normal distributions; multivariate Cauchy distributions; matrix spectral divergence.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{R} denote the real field and \mathbb{R}_{++} the set of positive reals. The f -divergence [6, 1] induced by a convex generator $f : \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ between two probability density functions (PDFs) $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ defined on the full support \mathbb{R}^d is defined by

$$I_f(p : q) := \int p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) dx.$$

It follows from Jensen's inequality that we have

$$I_f(p : q) \geq f\left(\int p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} dx\right) = f(1).$$

f -divergence	Formula $I_f(p : q)$	Generator $f(u)$ with $f(1) = 0$
Total variation	$\frac{1}{2} \int p(x) - q(x) dx$	$\frac{1}{2} u - 1 $
Squared Hellinger	$\int (\sqrt{p(x)} - \sqrt{q(x)})^2 dx$	$(\sqrt{u} - 1)^2$
Pearson χ^2	$\int \frac{(q(x) - p(x))^2}{p(x)} dx$	$(u - 1)^2$
Neyman χ^2	$\int \frac{(p(x) - q(x))^2}{q(x)} dx$	$\frac{(1-u)^2}{u}$
Kullback-Leibler	$\int p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$	$-\log u$
reverse Kullback-Leibler	$\int q(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} dx$	$u \log u$
Jeffreys	$\int (p(x) - q(x)) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$	$(u - 1) \log u$
Jensen-Shannon	$h \left(\frac{p+q}{2} \right) - \frac{h(p)+h(q)}{2}$	$-(u + 1) \log \frac{1+u}{2} + u \log u$

where $h(p) = \int p(x) \log \frac{1}{p(x)} dx$ is Shannon entropy

Table 1: Some common statistical divergences expressed as f -divergences.

Thus we shall consider convex generators $f(u)$ such that $f(1) = 0$. Moreover, in order to ensure that $I_f(p : q) = 0$ if and only if $p(x) = q(x)$ except at countably many points x , we need $f(u)$ to be strictly convex at $u = 1$. The class of f -divergences include the total variation distance (the only f -divergence up to scaling which is a metric distance [11]), the Kullback-Leibler divergence (and its two common symmetrizations, namely, the Jeffreys divergence and the Jensen-Shannon divergence), the squared Hellinger divergence, the Pearson and Neyman χ^2 -divergences, etc. The formula for those statistical divergences with their corresponding generators are listed in Table 1.

Let $N(\mu, \Sigma) \sim p_{\mu, \Sigma}(x)$ be a multivariate normal (MVN) distribution with mean $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and positive-definite covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \text{Sym}_{++}(d)$ (where $\text{Sym}_{++}(d)$ denotes the set of positive-definite matrices), where the PDF is defined by

$$p_{\mu, \Sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)\right).$$

In their landmark paper, Ali and Silvey [1] (Section 6 of [1], pp. 141-142) mentioned the following two properties of f -divergences between MVN distributions:

- P1. The f -divergences $I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma})$ between two MVN distributions $N(\mu_1, \Sigma)$ and $N(\mu_2, \Sigma)$ with prescribed covariance matrix Σ is an increasing function of their Mahalanobis distance [15] $\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, where

$$\Delta_\Sigma^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) := (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1).$$

Ali and Silvey briefly sketched a proof by considering the following property obtained from a change of variable $y = \frac{(x - \mu_1)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)}{\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = I_f(p_{0,1} : p_{\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2), 1}).$$

That is, the f -divergences between multivariate normal distributions with prescribed covariance matrix (left-hand side) amount to corresponding f -divergences between the univariate normal distribution $N(0, 1)$ and $N(\Delta_\Sigma(\mu_1, \mu_2), 1)$ (right-hand side).

- P2. The f -divergences $I_f(p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2})$ between two μ -centered MVN distributions $N(\mu, \Sigma_1)$ and $N(\mu, \Sigma_2)$ is an increasing function of the terms $|1 - \lambda_i|$'s, where the λ_i 's denote the eigenvalues of matrix $\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}$. That is, the f -divergences between μ -centered MVN distributions are spectral matrix divergences [13].

In this paper, we investigate whether these two properties hold or not for multivariate location families and multivariate scale families which generalize the multivariate centered (same mean) normal families $N_\mu := \{N(\mu, \Sigma) : \Sigma \in \text{Sym}_{++}(d)\}$ and the multivariate isotropic (same covariance) normal distributions $N_\Sigma := \{N(\mu, \Sigma) : \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, respectively.

We summarize our main contributions as follows:

- We extend property P1 to arbitrary multivariate location families in Theorem 1 under Assumption 1 (i.e., spherical distribution of the standard PDF with $f(u) \in C^2$).
- We illustrate property P1 for the multivariate location normal distributions and the multivariate location Cauchy distributions for various f -divergences, and discuss practical computational applications in Section 3.3.
- We then report the spectral matrix f -divergences (Property P2) for the multivariate scale normal distributions for the Kullback-Leibler divergence and the α -divergences in Section 4, and generalize this property to densities of a scale family (Proposition 3).

The paper is organized as follows: We first describe generic multivariate location-scale families including the action of the affine group on f -divergences in Section 2. In Section 3, we present our main theorem which generalizes property P1, and illustrate the theorem with examples of f -divergences between multivariate location normal or location Cauchy families. Finally, we show that the f -divergences between densities of a scale family is always a spectral matrix divergence (Proposition 3).

2 The f -divergences between multivariate location-scale families

2.1 Multivariate location-scale families

Let $p(x)$ be any arbitrary PDF defined on the full support \mathbb{R}^d . The multivariate location-scale family \mathcal{P} is defined as the set of distributions with PDFs:

$$p_{l, P}(x) := \det(P)^{-1} p(P^{-1}(x - l)), x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

where the set of multivariate location-scale parameters (l, P) belongs to $\mathbb{H}_d := \mathbb{R}^d \times \text{Sym}_{++}(d)$. PDF $p(x)$ is called the standard density since $p(x) = p_{0, I}(x)$ where I denote the d -dimensional identity matrix.

By considering $P = (\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}})^2$ where $\Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes the unique square root of the covariance matrix Σ , and letting $l = \mu$, we can express the PDFs of \mathcal{P} as

$$p_{\mu, \Sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} p\left(\Sigma^{-1/2}(x - \mu)\right), x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1)$$

The multivariate location-scale families generalize the univariate location-scale families with $\Sigma = \sigma^2$:

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma} p \left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \right) : (\mu, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \right\}.$$

In the reminder, we shall focus on the following two multivariate location-scale families:

MVN. MultiVariate Normal location families:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{x}{2} \right), \quad x \geq 0.$$

Notice that for $X \sim N(0, I_d)$, we have $Y = PX + l \sim N(l, PP^\top)$. Thus when $l = \mu$ and $P = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we get $Y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$.

MVS. MultiVariate Student location families with ν degree(s) of freedom:

$$p(x) = \frac{\Gamma((\nu + d)/2)}{\Gamma(\nu/2)(\nu\pi)^{d/2}} \frac{1}{(1 + x/\nu)^{(\nu+d)/2}}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

where $\Gamma(t)$ is the Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty x^{t-1} e^{-x} dx.$$

The case of $\nu = 1$ corresponds to the MultiVariate Cauchy (MVC) family. The probability density function of a MVC is

$$p_{\mu, \Sigma}(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \Delta_\Sigma(x, \mu))^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}.$$

Let us note in passing that if random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ follows the standard MVC, then X_1, \dots, X_d are not statistically independent [17]. Thus the MVC family differs from the MVN family from that viewpoint.

Multivariate location-scale families also include the multivariate elliptical distributions [18, 12].

2.2 Action of the affine group

The family $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{l,P} : (l, P) \in \mathbb{H}\}$ can also be obtained by the action (denoted by the dot \cdot) of the affine group $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \mathbb{R}^d \rtimes \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ [10] (where $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the General Linear d -dimensional group) on the standard PDF: $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{l,P}(x) = (l, P) \cdot p(x), (l, P) \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$, where the group is equipped with the following semidirect product:

$$(l_1, A_1) \cdot (l_2, A_2) := (l_1 + A_1 l_2, A_1 A_2).$$

The inverse element of (l, A) is $(-A^{-1}l, A^{-1})$. One can check that $(l, A) \cdot (-A^{-1}l, A^{-1}) = (-A^{-1}l, A^{-1}) \cdot (l, A) = (0, I_d)$, where I denotes the $d \times d$ identity matrix.

The affine group $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be handled as a matrix group by mapping its elements (l, A) to corresponding $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrices as follows:

$$(l, A) \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is, $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be interpreted as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(d+1)$.

2.3 Affine group action on f -divergences

The f -divergences between two PDFs p_{l_1, P_1} and p_{l_2, P_2} of a multivariate location-scale family \mathcal{P} are invariant under the action of the affine group [18]:

$$I_f((l, P).p_{l_1, P_1} : (l, P).p_{l_2, P_2}) = I_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : p_{l_2, P_2}).$$

Thus by choosing the inverse element $(-P_1^{-1}l_1, P_1^{-1})$ of (l_1, P_1) , we get the following Proposition [18]:

Proposition 1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} I_f(p_{l_1, P_1} : p_{l_2, P_2}) &= I_f((-P_1^{-1}l_1, P_1^{-1}).p_{l_1, P_1} : (-P_1^{-1}l_1, P_1^{-1}).p_{l_2, P_2}), \\ &= I_f(p_{0, I} : p_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *When $f(u) = -\log u$, we get the Kullback-Leiber divergence and we have:*

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{l_1, P_1} : p_{l_2, P_2}) = D_{\text{KL}}(p_{0, I} : p_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}).$$

Since the KLD is the difference of the cross-entropy minus the entropy [5] (hence also called relative entropy), we have

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{l_1, P_1} : p_{l_2, P_2}) = h(p_{0, I} : p_{P_1^{-1}(l_2 - l_1), P_1^{-1}P_2}) - h(p_{0, I}),$$

where $h(p : q) = -\int p(x) \log q(x) dx$ is the cross-entropy between $p(x)$ and $q(x)$, and $h(p) = h(p : q)$ is the differentiable entropy. When both $p(x) = p_{\mu_1, \Sigma_1}(x)$ and $q(x) = p_{\mu_2, \Sigma_2}(x)$ are d -variate normal distributions, the KLD can be decomposed as the sum a squared Mahalanobis distance $\Delta_{\Sigma_2^{-1}}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ plus a matrix Burg divergence [7] $D_B(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma_2}) = \frac{1}{2}D_B(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\Sigma_2^{-1}}(\mu_1, \mu_2),$$

where the matrix Burg divergence is defined by

$$D_B(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2) = \text{tr}(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}) + \log \det(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}) - d.$$

However, the KLD between two Cauchy distributions (viewed like normal distributions as a location-scale family) cannot be decomposed as the sum of a squared Mahalanobis distance and another divergence [21].

In particular, we have for PDFs with the same scale matrix P , the following identity:

$$I_f(p_{l_1, P} : p_{l_2, P}) = I_f(p_{0, I} : p_{P(l_2 - l_1)}) = I_f(p_{P(l_1 - l_2)} : p_{0, I}).$$

Let $\Sigma := PP^\top$ so that $P = \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The mapping $P \leftrightarrow \Sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a diffeomorphism on the open cone $\text{Sym}_{++}(d)$ of positive-definite matrices.

Thus we have

$$I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = I_f(p_{0, I} : p_{\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)}) = I_f(p_{\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)} : p_{0, I}).$$

Note that in general, we have $\mu \neq E_{X \sim p_{\mu, \Sigma}}[X]$ and $\Sigma \neq \text{Cov}_{X \sim p_{l, P}}[X]$. However, for the special case of multivariate normal family, we have both $\mu = E_{X \sim p_{\mu, \Sigma}}[X]$ and $\Sigma = \text{Cov}_{X \sim p_{l, P}}[X]$.

3 The f -divergences between densities of a multivariate location family

Let us define the squared Mahalanobis distance [15] between two MVNs $N(\mu_1, \Sigma)$ and $N(\mu_2, \Sigma)$ as follows:

$$\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) := (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1).$$

Since the covariance matrix Σ is positive-definite, we have $\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) \geq 0$ and zero if and only if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. The squared Mahalanobis distance generalizes the squared Euclidean distance when $\Sigma = I$: $\Delta_I^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|^2$.

The Kullback-Leibler divergence [5] between two multivariate isotropic normal distributions corresponds to half the squared Mahalanobis distance:

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}(x) \log \frac{p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}(x)}{p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}(x)} dx = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2),$$

where

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p : q) = \int p(x) \log \left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right) d\mu(x) = I_{f_{\text{KL}}}(p : q)$$

for $f_{\text{KL}}(u) = -\log u$.

Moreover, the PDD of a MVN with covariance matrix Σ and mean μ can also be written using the squared Mahalanobis distance as follows:

$$p(x; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma)}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\Sigma}^2(x, \mu) \right).$$

This rewriting highlights the general duality between Bregman divergences (e.g., the squared Mahalanobis distance is a Bregman divergence) and the exponential families [3] (e.g., multivariate Gaussian distributions).

We shall make the following set of assumptions for the standard density $p(x)$ and $f(u)$:

Assumption 1. (i) We assume that there exists a function $p : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that p is in C^1 class, $p'(x) < 0, x \geq 0$, and furthermore $p(x) = \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(ii) We assume that $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies that it is in C^2 class, $f(1) = 0$ and $f''(x) > 0, x > 0$.

(iii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| f \left(\frac{\tilde{p}(\|x+t\|^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)} \right) \right| \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2) dx < +\infty.$$

(iv) For every compact subset K of \mathbb{R}^d ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{t \in K} \left| f' \left(\frac{\tilde{p}(\|y\|^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|y+t\|^2)} \right) \right| |\tilde{p}'(\|y\|^2)| \|y\| dy < +\infty.$$

Notice that using the assumption that the standard density $p(x) = \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mu, \Sigma}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} p \left(\Sigma^{-1/2}(x - \mu) \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} \tilde{p}(\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(x, \mu)), \end{aligned}$$

since $|\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x - \mu)|^2 = (\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x - \mu))^\top \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x - \mu) = \Delta_\Sigma^2(x, \mu)$. Thus the standard density $p(x)$ of the multivariate location-scale family \mathcal{P} is the density of a spherical distribution [25] and $p_{\mu, \Sigma}(x)$ is the density of an elliptical symmetric distribution [22].

We let

$$I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(\frac{p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}(x)}{p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}(x)}\right) p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}(x) dx.$$

This is well-defined due to Assumption 1 (iii).

We state the main theorem generalizing [1]:

Theorem 1 (*f*-divergence between location families). *Under Assumption 1, there exists a strictly increasing and differentiable function h_f such that*

$$I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = h_f(\Delta_\Sigma^2(\mu_1, \mu_2)), \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2)$$

Proof. **Step 1.** We let $d = 1$ and $\Sigma = 1$.

$$I_f(p_{\mu_1, 1} : p_{\mu_2, 1}) = I_f(p_{0, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2 - \mu_1, 1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}((x - (\mu_2 - \mu_1))^2)}{\tilde{p}(x^2)}\right) \tilde{p}(x^2) dx.$$

Let

$$F(t) := I_f(p_{0, 1} : p_{t, 1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}((x - t)^2)}{\tilde{p}(x^2)}\right) \tilde{p}(x^2) dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By Assumption 1 (i), $F(t) = F(-t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence if we let $h_f(s) := F(\sqrt{s})$, then h_f satisfies Eq. (2).

Now it suffices to show that F is strictly increasing and differentiable. By Assumption 1 (iv),

$$\begin{aligned} F'(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d}{dt} \left(f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}((x - t)^2)}{\tilde{p}(x^2)}\right) \right) \tilde{p}(x^2) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f' \left(\frac{\tilde{p}((x - t)^2)}{\tilde{p}(x^2)} \right) (t - x) \tilde{p}'((x - t)^2) dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f' \left(\frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}((y + t)^2)} \right) y \tilde{p}'(y^2) dy \\ &= -2 \int_0^\infty \left(f' \left(\frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}((y + t)^2)} \right) - f' \left(\frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}((y - t)^2)} \right) \right) y \tilde{p}'(y^2) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By the mean-value theorem and Assumption 1 (i) and (ii),

$$f' \left(\frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}((y + t)^2)} \right) - f' \left(\frac{\tilde{p}(y^2)}{\tilde{p}((y - t)^2)} \right) > 0, \quad \tilde{p}'(y^2) < 0,$$

for every $y, t > 0$. Hence $F'(t) > 0$ for every $t > 0$.

Step 2. We let $\Sigma = I$.

$$I_f(p_{\mu_1, I_d} : p_{\mu_2, I_d}) = I_f(p_{0, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2 - \mu_1, I_d}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}(\|x - (\mu_2 - \mu_1)\|^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)}\right) \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2) dx.$$

Let

$$F(t) := I_f(p_{0,1} : p_{t,I_d}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}(\|x-t\|^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)}\right) \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2) dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3)$$

By changing the variable x by an orthogonal matrix, $F(s) = F(t)$ if $\|s\| = \|t\|$. Hence we can assume that $t = (t, 0, \dots, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0$. For $x_2, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$F_{x_2, \dots, x_d}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(\frac{\tilde{p}((x_1-t)^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2)}{\tilde{p}(\|x\|^2)}\right) \tilde{p}(\|x\|^2) dx_1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, we can show that $F'_{x_2, \dots, x_d}(t) > 0$ for every $t > 0$ and every $x_2, \dots, x_d \in \mathbb{R}$, in the same manner as in Step 1. By this and Assumption 1 (iv),

$$F'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} F'_{x_2, \dots, x_d}(t) dx_2 \dots dx_d > 0, \quad t > 0.$$

Hence if we let $h_f(s) := F(\sqrt{s})$, then h_f satisfies Eq. (2).

Step 3. Finally, we consider the general case. Let $\mu := \Sigma^{-1/2}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)$. Then,

$$I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = \sqrt{\det \Sigma} I_f(p_{0, I_d} : p_{\mu, I_d}).$$

Hence this case is attributed to Step 2. □

We shall now illustrate this theorem with several examples.

3.1 The normal location families

3.1.1 The scalar functions h_f for some common f -divergences

Table 2 lists some examples of f -divergences with their corresponding monotone increasing functions h_f . We consider the following f -divergences between two PDFs of MVNs with same covariance matrix:

- For the χ^2 divergence with $f_{\chi,2}(u) = (u-1)^2$, we have $h_{\chi^2}(u) = h_{\chi,2}(u) = 1 - \exp(-\frac{1}{2}u)$, and more generally for the order- k chi divergences (f -divergence generator $f_{\chi,k}(u) = (u-1)^k$) between $p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}$ and $p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}$, we get [20]:

$$h_{\chi,k}(u) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}i(i-1) \Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2)\right).$$

We observe that the order- k χ -divergence between isotropic MVNs diverges as k increases. It is easy to check that we can compute h_f in closed form for any convex polynomial f -divergence generator $f(u)$.

- For the Kullback-Leibler divergence, we have $D_{\text{KL}}[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ so $h_{\text{KL}}(u) = \frac{1}{2}u$. Notice that because f -divergences between isotropic MVNs are symmetric, the Chernoff information coincides with the Bhattacharyya distance.

f -divergence	$f(u)$ and $h_f(u)$
χ -squared divergence	$(u - 1)^2$ and $1 - \exp(-\frac{1}{2}u)$
Order- k χ divergence	$(u - 1)^k$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \exp(\frac{1}{2}i(i-1)u)$
Kullback-Leibler divergence	$-\log(u)$ and $\frac{1}{2}u$
squared Hellinger divergence	$(\sqrt{u} - 1)^2$ and $1 - \exp(-\frac{1}{8}u)$
Amari's α -divergence	$\frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left(1 - u^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}\right)$ and $\frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left(1 - \exp(-\frac{1-\alpha^2}{8}u)\right)$
Jensen-Shannon divergence	$u \log u - (1 + u) \log \frac{1+u}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{4}u - I_{\text{JS}}(u)$
Total variation distance	$ u - 1 $ and $1 - 2Q(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{u}) := 1 - 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{u}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2) dt$

Table 2: The f -divergences between two normal distributions with identical covariance matrix can always be expressed as an increasing function of the squared Mahalanobis distance: $I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = h_f(\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2))$.

- The total variation divergence (a metric f -divergence obtained for $f_{\text{TV}}(u) = |u - 1|$ which is always upper bounded by 1) between two multivariate Gaussians $p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}$ and $p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}$ with the same covariance matrix is reported indirectly in [24]: The probability of error P_e (with $P_e \leq \frac{1}{2}$) in Bayesian binary hypothesis with equal prior is $P_e(p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - D_{\text{TV}}[p_1, p_2]) = Q\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)\|\right)$ (Eq. (2) in [24]) where $Q(x) = 1 - Q(-x) = 1 - \Phi(x)$ where $\Phi(x)$ denotes the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution. So we get the function h_{TV} as a definite integral of a function of a squared Mahalanobis distance:

$$D_{\text{TV}}[p_1, p_2] = 1 - 2P_e(p_1, p_2) = 1 - 2Q\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2)}\right).$$

Remark 2. Notice that the Fisher-Rao distance between two multivariate normal distributions with the same covariance matrix is also a monotonic increasing function of their Mahalanobis distance [4]:

$$\rho(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}, p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{arccosh}\left(1 + \frac{\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{4}\right),$$

where $\operatorname{arccosh}(x) = \log(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ for $x \geq 1$. That is, we have $h_{\rho}(u) = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{arccosh}\left(1 + \frac{u}{4}\right)$.

3.1.2 The special case of the Jensen-Shannon divergence

The Jensen-Shannon divergence [14] is a symmetrization of the Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$D_{\text{JS}}[p, q] = \frac{1}{2} \left(D_{\text{KL}} \left[p : \frac{p+q}{2} \right] + D_{\text{KL}} \left[q : \frac{p+q}{2} \right] \right).$$

The JSD is a f -divergence for the generator $f_{\text{JS}}(u) = u \log u - (1 + u) \log \frac{1+u}{2}$, is always upper bounded by $\log 2$, and can further be embedded into a Hilbert space [9]. The JSD can be interpreted in information theory as the transmission rate in a discrete memoryless channel [9].

Although we do not have a closed-form formula for the Jensen-Shannon divergence $D_{\text{JS}}[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}]$, knowing that $D_{\text{JS}}[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = h_{f_{\text{JS}}}(\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2))$, allows one to compare exactly the JSDs since f_{JS} is a strictly increasing function. That is, we have the equivalence of following signs of the predicates:

$$D_{\text{JS}}[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] > D_{\text{JS}}[p_{\mu_3, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_4, \Sigma}] \Leftrightarrow \Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2) > \Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_3, \mu_4).$$

In [16], a formula for the differential entropy of the Gaussian mixture $m(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2}p_{-\mu, \sigma}(x) + \frac{1}{2}p_{\mu, \sigma}(x)$ is reported using a definite integral which we translate using the squared Mahalanobis distance as follows:

$$h(m(x; \mu, \sigma)) = \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e \sigma^2) + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\sigma^2}(-\mu, \mu) - I_{\text{JS}}(\Delta_{\sigma^2}(-\mu, \mu)),$$

where

$$I_{\text{JS}}(\Delta^2) := \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi \Delta^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta^2}{8}\right) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2x}{\Delta^2}} \cosh(x) \log \cosh(x) dx.$$

We have $I_{\text{JS}}(0) = 0$ and the function I_{JS} can be tabulated as in [16].

Since the Jensen-Shannon divergence between two distributions amounts to the differential entropy of the mixture minus the average of the mixture entropies, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{JS}}[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}, p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] &= D_{\text{JS}}[p_{0,1}, p_{\Delta_{\Sigma}^2(\mu_1, \mu_2), 1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \det(2\pi e \Sigma) + \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\Sigma^2}(\mu_1, \mu_2) - I_{\text{JS}}(\Delta_{\Sigma^2}(\mu_1, \mu_2)) - \frac{1}{2} \log \det(2\pi e \Sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\Sigma^2}(\mu_1, \mu_2) - I_{\text{JS}}(\Delta_{\Sigma^2}(\mu_1, \mu_2)), \end{aligned}$$

since $h[p_{\mu_1, \Sigma}] = h[p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}] = \frac{1}{2} \log \det(2\pi e \Sigma) = \frac{d}{2} \log(2\pi e) + \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma|$.

In Section 3.3, we graph the functions h_f for the total variation distance and the Jensen-Shannon divergence.

3.2 Cauchy location family

Notice that for $d = 1$, since the χ^2 -divergence (a f -divergence for $f(u) = (u - 1)^2$) between two Cauchy location densities $p_{l_1, s}$ and $p_{l_2, s}$ with prescribed scale s is [21]:

$$D_{\chi^2}(p_{l_1, s} : p_{l_2, s}) = \frac{(l_2 - l_1)^2}{2s^2} := \chi_s(l_1, l_2),$$

we have

$$D_{\chi^2}(p_{l_1, s} : p_{l_2, s}) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{s^2}^2(l_1, l_2),$$

where $\Delta_{s^2}^2(l_1, l_2) = \frac{(l_2 - l_1)^2}{s^2}$. Thus it follows that $h_{f_{\chi}}(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$.

Now, since any f -divergence between any two Cauchy location densities $p_{l_1, s}$ and $p_{l_2, s}$ is a scalar function of the χ^2 -divergence [21]:

$$I_f(p_{l_1, s} : p_{l_2, s}) = g_f(\chi_s(l_1, l_2)),$$

we have

$$I_f(p_{l_1,s} : p_{l_2,s}) = g_f \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{s^2} \right).$$

Therefore it follows that

$$h_f(u) = g_f \left(\frac{1}{2} u^2 \right).$$

See Table 1 of [21] for several examples of scalar functions corresponding to f -divergences.

Now we let $d \geq 2$. Contrary to the normal case, it is in general difficult to obtain explicit expressions for h_f in the Cauchy case. Here, we give one example to illustrate that difficulty. Let $f(u) := (u - 1)^2$ (the corresponding divergence is the χ^2 divergence), $d = 3$, and F as Eq. (3). Then,

$$F(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{p(\|x - t\|^2)^2}{p(\|x\|^2)} dx - 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

By calculations, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2}{(1 + (x_1 - t)^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^4} dx_1 = \\ & \frac{\pi}{16(1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{3/2}} \left(8 + \frac{16t^2}{1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} + \frac{5t^4}{(1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\pi}{16(1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{3/2}} \left(8 + \frac{16t^2}{1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} + \frac{5t^4}{(1 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^2} \right) dx_2 dx_3 - 1 \\ &= \frac{2}{3} t^2 + \frac{t^4}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$h_f(s) = \frac{2}{3} s + \frac{s^2}{8}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Contrary to the normal case (see Table 2), h_f for the Cauchy family is a polynomial. This holds also true for the case that $d = 5, 7, \dots$

3.3 Multivariate f -divergences as equivalent univariate f -divergences

Ali and Silvey [1] further showed how to replace a d -dimensional f -divergence by an equivalent 1-dimensional f -divergence for the fixed covariance matrix normal distributions:

Proposition 2 ([1], Section 6). *Let $\Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ denote the Mahalanobis distance. Then we have*

$$I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) = I_f(p_{0,1} : p_{\Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2), 1}).$$

We can show this assertion by the change of variable $y = \frac{1}{\Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2)}(x - \mu_1)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1)$. Notice that $\Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \Delta_1(0, \Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2))$, and therefore we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} I_f(p_{\mu_1, \Sigma} : p_{\mu_2, \Sigma}) &= h_f(\Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2)), \\ &= h_f(\Delta_1(0, \Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2))), \\ &= I_f(p_{0,1} : p_{\Delta_{\Sigma}(\mu_1, \mu_2), 1}). \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: Estimating the functions $h_f(\Delta^2)$ by Monte Carlo integration (y -axis is $\hat{h}_f(\Delta^2)$ for $s = 10^8$ MC samples) for the total variation distance (left) and the Jensen-Shannon divergence (right).

Property 2 yields a computationally efficient method to calculate stochastically the f -divergences when not known in closed form (eg., the Jensen-Shannon divergence). We can estimate the f -divergence using s samples x_1, \dots, x_s independently and identically distributed from a propositional distribution $r(x)$ as:

$$\hat{I}_f[p : q] = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{r(x_i)} p(x_i) f\left(\frac{q(x_i)}{p(x_i)}\right).$$

Using we take the propositional distribution $r(x) = p(x)$. Estimating f -divergences between isotropic Gaussians requires $O(sd)$ time. Thus Proposition 2 allows to shave a factor d .

Notice that when h_f is not available in closed-form, We can tabulate the function using Monte Carlo stochastic estimations of $\hat{h}_f(\Delta^2)$. Moreover, using symbolic regression software, we can fit a formula to the experimental tabulated data (see the plots in Figure 1): For example, for the JSD with $\Delta^2 \in [\frac{1}{2}, 5]$, we find that the function $\tilde{h}_{f_{\text{JS}}}(u) = \frac{2.06709u}{u+8.27508}$ approximates well the underlying intractable function $h_{f_{\text{JS}}}(u)$ (relative mean error less than 0.1%). This techniques proves useful specially for bounded f -divergences like the total variation distance or the Jensen-Shannon divergence.

4 f -divergences in multivariate scale families

Consider the scale family $N_\mu = \{p_{\mu, \Sigma} : \Sigma \succ 0\}$ of d -variate MVNs with a prescribed location $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Ali and Silvey [1] proved that all f -divergences between any two multivariate normal distributions with prescribed mean is an increasing function of $|1 - \lambda_i|$'s, where the λ_i 's denote the eigenvalues of $\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}$. This property can be proven by using the definition of f -divergences of Eq. 1

and the fact that

$$\frac{p_{\mu, \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}}(x)}{p_{\mu, I}(x)} = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{p_{0, \lambda_i(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1})}(x_i)}{p_{0, 1}(x_i)}.$$

Thus we have

$$I_f(p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}) = I_f(p_{\mu, I} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}}) = E_f(|1 - \lambda_1|, \dots, |1 - \lambda_d|),$$

where $E_f(\cdot)$ is a d -variate totally symmetric function (invariant to permutations of arguments). Therefore the f -divergences are spectral matrix divergences [13]. In particular, one interesting case is when $E_f(\cdot)$ is a separable function:

$$E_f(|1 - \lambda_1|, \dots, |1 - \lambda_d|) = \sum_{i=1}^d e_f(|1 - \lambda_i|).$$

We shall illustrate these results with the Kullback-Leibler divergence and more generally with the α -divergences [2] or α -Bhattacharyya divergences [19] below:

- The Kullback-Leibler divergence: The well-known formula of the KLD between two same-mean MVNs is

$$D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}) = \frac{1}{2} (\log \det(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}) + \text{tr}(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1} - I)).$$

This expression can be rewritten as $D_{\text{KL}}(p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^d e'_{\text{KL}}(\lambda_i(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}))$ where

$$e'_{\text{KL}}(v) = \frac{1}{2} (\log v + v - 1),$$

since $\det(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \lambda_i(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1})$ and $\text{tr}(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1} - I) = \sum_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i(\Sigma_2 \Sigma_1^{-1}) - 1)$. By a change of variable $v = 1 - u$, we get

$$e_{f_{\text{KL}}}(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\log(1 - u) - u), \quad (4)$$

and $E_{f_{\text{KL}}}(u_1, \dots, u_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d e_{f_{\text{KL}}}(u_i)$ (separable case). We check that the scalar function $e_{f_{\text{KL}}}(u)$ is an increasing function of u .

- More generally, let us consider the family of α -divergences [2]:

$$D_{\alpha}(p : q) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left(1 - \rho_{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}(p : q)\right), & \alpha \notin \{-1, 1\} \\ D_{\text{KL}}(p : q), & \alpha = -1 \\ D_{\text{KL}}(q : p), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases},$$

where

$$\rho_{\beta}(p : q) = \int p^{\beta}(x) q^{1-\beta}(x) d\mu(x),$$

is the skew Bhattacharyya coefficient (a similarity measure also called an affinity measure). The skew Bhattacharyya distance [19] is $D_{\text{Bhat}}(p : q) = -\log \rho_\beta(p : q)$. We have $D_\alpha(q : p) = D_{-\alpha}(p : q)$. We recover the squared Hellinger divergence when $\alpha = 0$ and the Neyman χ^2 -divergence when $\alpha = 3$ (and the Pearson χ^2 -divergence when $\alpha = -3$). The α -divergences are f -divergences for the following family $f_\alpha(u)$ of generators:

$$f_\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{1-\alpha^2} \left(u - u^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \right), & \alpha \notin \{-1, 1\} \\ -\log u, & \alpha = -1 \\ u \log u, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

We have the following closed-form formula between two scale normal distributions [23] (page 46):

$$\rho_\beta(p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}) = \frac{\det(\Sigma_1)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} \det(\Sigma_2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{\det((1-\beta)\Sigma_1 + \beta\Sigma_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (5)$$

We can rewrite Eq. 5 as follows:

$$\det((1-\beta)\Sigma_1 + \beta\Sigma_2) = \det(I + \beta(\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1} - I)) \det(\Sigma_1),$$

so that

$$\rho_\beta(p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}) = \frac{\det(\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1})^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{\det(I + \beta(\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1} - I))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Using the eigenvalues λ_i 's for $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ of $\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1}$, we have

$$\rho_\beta(p_{\mu, \Sigma_1} : p_{\mu, \Sigma_2}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_i^\beta}{1 + \beta(\lambda_i - 1)}}.$$

Indeed, consider the characteristic polynomial

$$p_{\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1}}(x) = \det(xI - \Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \lambda_i(\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1})).$$

We have $\det(\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1}) = p_{\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1}}(0) = (-1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \lambda_i$ and

$$\det(I + \beta(\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1} - I)) = \beta^d \det\left(\left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1\right) \Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1}\right) = \beta^d p_{\Sigma_2\Sigma_1^{-1}}\left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1\right).$$

Thus the α -divergences or the Bhattacharyya divergences are increasing functions of the $|1 - \lambda_i|$'s as stated by Ali and Silvey [1].

Notice that the bounds on the total variation distance between two multivariate Gaussian distributions with same mean has been investigated in [8] but no closed-form formula is known.

Finally, we show that the f -divergences between two densities of a scale family are always spectral matrix divergences:

Proposition 3. For location-scale families $\{p_{\mu,\Sigma}(x) = (\det\Sigma)^{-1/2}p(\Sigma^{-1/2}(x - \mu))\}_{\mu,\Sigma}$ where p is the standard density such that $p(x) = \tilde{p}(|x|^2)$ for some \tilde{p} , every f -divergence $I_f(p_{\mu,\Sigma_1} : p_{\mu,\Sigma_2})$ between scale family is a function of the eigenvalues of $\Sigma_1\Sigma_2^{-1}$.

Proof. We can assume that $\mu = 0$. By the change-of-variable $x = \Sigma_1^{1/2}y$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_f(p_{\mu,\Sigma_1} : p_{\mu,\Sigma_2}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \left(\left(\frac{\det\Sigma_1}{\det\Sigma_2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{p}(x^\top \Sigma_2^{-1}x)}{\tilde{p}(x^\top \Sigma_1^{-1}x)} \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \left(\left(\frac{\det\Sigma_1}{\det\Sigma_2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{p}(y^\top \Sigma_1^{1/2} \Sigma_2^{-1} \Sigma_1^{1/2} y)}{\tilde{p}(|y|^2)} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since Σ_1 and Σ_2 are both symmetric matrices, $\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ and Σ_2^{-1} are both symmetric, and hence, $\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{-1}\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ is also symmetric. Hence it is diagonalizable by an orthogonal matrix and there exist real eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ and $\det(\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{-1}\Sigma_1^{1/2}) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_d$. Since Σ_2 is positive-definite, Σ_2^{-1} is also positive-definite. By this and the fact that $\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ is symmetric, $\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{-1}\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ is positive-definite, and hence, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ are all positive. Now we recall that the set of eigenvalues of $\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{-1}\Sigma_1^{1/2}$ is identical with the set of eigenvalues of $\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_1^{1/2}\Sigma_2^{-1} = \Sigma_1\Sigma_2^{-1}$, which is a well-known result in linear algebra. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \left(\left(\frac{\det\Sigma_1}{\det\Sigma_2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{p}(y^\top \Sigma_1^{1/2} \Sigma_2^{-1} \Sigma_1^{1/2} y)}{\tilde{p}(|y|^2)} \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \left((\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_d)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{p}(\lambda_1 y_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_d y_d^2)}{\tilde{p}(|y|^2)} \right) dy_1 \cdots dy_d. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] Syed Mumtaz Ali and Samuel D Silvey. A general class of coefficients of divergence of one distribution from another. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 28(1):131–142, 1966. 1, 2, 7, 11, 12, 14
- [2] Shun-Ichi Amari. *Information Geometry and Its Applications*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer Japan, Tokyo, Japan, 2016. 13
- [3] Arindam Banerjee, Srujana Merugu, Inderjit S Dhillon, Joydeep Ghosh, and John Lafferty. Clustering with Bregman divergences. *Journal of machine learning research*, 6(10), 2005. 6
- [4] Miquel Calvo and Josep Maria Oller. An explicit solution of information geodesic equations for the multivariate normal model. *Statistics & Risk Modeling*, 9(1-2):119–138, 1991. 9
- [5] Thomas M Cover. *Elements of information theory*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1999. 5, 6

- [6] Imre Csiszár. Eine informationstheoretische ungleichung und ihre anwendung auf beweis der ergodizitaet von markoffschen ketten. *Magyer Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutato Int. Koezl.*, 8:85–108, 1964. 1
- [7] Jason Davis and Inderjit Dhillon. Differential entropic clustering of multivariate gaussians. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 19, 2006. 5
- [8] Luc Devroye, Abbas Mehrabian, and Tommy Reddad. The total variation distance between high-dimensional Gaussians with the same mean. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.08693*, 2018. 14
- [9] Bent Fuglede and Flemming Topsøe. Jensen-Shannon divergence and Hilbert space embedding. In *International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, page 31. IEEE, 2004. 9
- [10] Wolfgang Globke and Raul Quiroga-Barranco. Information geometry and asymptotic geodesics on the space of normal distributions. *Information Geometry*, 4(1):131–153, 2021. 4
- [11] Mohammadali Khosravifard, Dariush Fooladivanda, and T Aaron Gulliver. Confliction of the convexity and metric properties in f -divergences. *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, 90(9):1848–1853, 2007. 2
- [12] Tonu Kollo. *Advanced multivariate statistics with matrices*. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2005. 4
- [13] Brian Kulis, Mátyás A Sustik, and Inderjit S Dhillon. Low-Rank Kernel Learning with Bregman Matrix Divergences. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 10(2), 2009. 3, 13
- [14] Jianhua Lin. Divergence measures based on the Shannon entropy. *IEEE Transactions on Information theory*, 37(1):145–151, 1991. 9
- [15] Prasanta Chandra Mahalanobis. On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proceedings of the National Institute of Sciences (Calcutta)*, 2:49–55, 1936. 2, 6
- [16] Joseph V Michalowicz, Jonathan M Nichols, and Frank Bucholtz. Calculation of differential entropy for a mixed Gaussian distribution. *Entropy*, 10(3):200–206, 2008. 10
- [17] Geert Molenberghs and Emmanuel Lesaffre. Non-linear integral equations to approximate bivariate densities with given marginals and dependence function. *Statistica Sinica*, pages 713–738, 1997. 4
- [18] Frank Nielsen. On information projections between multivariate elliptical and location-scale families. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.03839*, 2021. 4, 5
- [19] Frank Nielsen and Sylvain Boltz. The Burbea-Rao and Bhattacharyya centroids. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 57(8):5455–5466, 2011. 13, 14
- [20] Frank Nielsen and Richard Nock. On the chi square and higher-order chi distances for approximating f -divergences. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 21(1):10–13, 2013. 8
- [21] Frank Nielsen and Kazuki Okamura. On f -divergences between Cauchy distributions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.12459*, 2021. 5, 10, 11

- [22] Esa Ollila, David E Tyler, Visa Koivunen, and H Vincent Poor. Complex elliptically symmetric distributions: Survey, new results and applications. *IEEE Transactions on signal processing*, 60(11):5597–5625, 2012. 7
- [23] Leandro Pardo. *Statistical inference based on divergence measures*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2018. 14
- [24] Mohammad H Rohban, Prakash Ishwar, Burkay Orten, William Clement Karl, and Venkatesh Saligrama. An impossibility result for high dimensional supervised learning. In *2013 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2013. 9
- [25] AGM Steerneman and F Van Perlo-Ten Kleij. Spherical distributions: Schoenberg (1938) revisited. *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 23(3):281–287, 2005. 7