

Sequence Feature Extraction for Malware Family Analysis via Graph Neural Network

Shun-Wen Hsiao* Po-Yu Chu*

*Dept. of Management Information Systems, National Chengchi University, Taipei, Taiwan

{hsiaom,109356020}@nccu.edu.tw

Abstract—Malicious software (malware) causes much harm to our devices and life. We are eager to understand the malware behavior and the threat it made. Most of the record files of malware are variable length and text-based files with time stamps, such as event log data and dynamic analysis profiles. Using the time stamps, we can sort such data into sequence-based data for the following analysis. However, dealing with the text-based sequences with variable lengths is difficult. In addition, unlike natural language text data, most sequential data in information security have specific properties and structure, such as loop, repeated call, noise, etc. To deeply analyze the API call sequences with their structure, we use graphs to represent the sequences, which can further investigate the information and structure, such as the Markov model. Therefore, we design and implement an Attention Aware Graph Neural Network (AWGCN) to analyze the API call sequences. Through AWGCN, we can obtain the sequence embeddings to analyze the behavior of the malware. Moreover, the classification experiment result shows that AWGCN outperforms other classifiers in the call-like datasets, and the embedding can further improve the classic model's performance.

Index Terms—Graph Neural Network, Attention, Sequential Data, Markov Model

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, malicious software (malware) has yielded over 200 million per year [1]. Malware causes much trouble in information security because of the massive amount and rapid creation. According to the report [2], the number of malware has exceeded 1,339 million. Furthermore, the hackers can produce the malware through metamorphism, a technique that mutates the malware compositions but with the same purpose. Metamorphism may change the API (Application Programming Interface) calls invoked with each run of the infected program [3] and insert dummy or random calls (i.e., noise) for obfuscation. That is, malware can be massively generated within a short period and can avoid being detected. Consequently, the malware authors can produce over 12 million new malware per month on average in the last two years.

A variety of malware poses different kinds of severe problems to the user device, including smartphones, laptops, and desktops. For example, WannaCry, a branch of ransomware, caused a worldwide impact on all walks of life in 2017. WannaCry encrypts the data on the affected endpoint device and demands the ransom payment in the Bitcoin. The statistics point out that 300,000 systems in over 150 countries had been damaged because of wildly and rapidly spreading speed [4]. For another instance, Mirai is malicious software that creates

botnets of IoT devices. Mirai drew the public attention after it was used in distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attack against the website of Krebs on Security, which covers computer security and cybercrime [5]. The DDoS attack launched through Mirai caused the crash on high pageview websites, including GitHub, Twitter, Reddit, etc. From the example stated above, we realize how desperate damage can be caused by the malware.

However, the current malware analysis approaches highly rely on malware analysts' domain knowledge and standard operation process to identify the intention of malware. In contrast, the metamorphism technique hugely enhances the difficulty of malware analysis. The massive amount of malware and the sophisticated call sequence invoked by malware makes the analysis difficult. The complicated and innumerable tasks overwhelm the analysts. Consequently, an automatic and timely analysis method is indispensable to achieve proper defense and lessen the infliction of loss on the computer devices.

To analyze the behavior of malware, static and dynamic analysis methods are usually applied. Static analysis method extracts program behavior form collected executable file, such as Windows portable executable (PE) file or Linux executable and linkable format (ELF) file [6]. Dynamic analysis method executes malware in a sandbox and records the interaction between malware and operation system [7]. These static and dynamic malware profile is usually unstructured, text-based, temporal, and/or variable-length that makes it more difficult to analyze. In this paper, we focus on dynamic analysis profile that contains a sequence of call invocation executed by malware.

It is challenging to deal with variable length and non-numeric sequential text data. In the past, several methods are used when analyzing sequential text data, such as sequence alignment [8], bag-of-words, or word2vec [9]. These methods transform the text in the profile into a numerical vector but their transformation do not consider the structure of the text sequence. In such way, a malware author may construct a malware through the permutation of call sequence and the insertion of noise fragments [10] to avoid being detected such methods. Namely, unlike natural language, the call sequence may contain certain structure, such as loop, repeated call, and swap structure generated by the malware code. From this point of view, when transforming the text into numerical vector, we further consider to include the structure of the text

to better represent a malware profile. This study investigates using a graph, such as finite state machine or Markov model, to represent the structure of the call sequence. We then embed the structure and text together to represent a malware profile. We believe that such representation of profiles can help the downstream security applications, for example, malware family classification, malware characteristics extraction, malware behavior clustering.

In this study, we would like to develop a novel graphic neural network to automatically embed variable-length, sequential, text-based data to a proper numerical vector with preserving its hidden information in the sequence structure. In addition, this method can highlight the most important sub-sequence in the profile when performing the downstream task. In this way, we can visualize the importance of individual call in the profile. We anticipate the embedding methods should outperform conventional text embedding methods [11], [12].

To achieve our goal, the following issues should be solved: (1) how to represent a structure of a sequence and text information while considering the sequence is variable-length and contains noises; (2) how to embed the graph structure, node (call features and information), and edge (call transition probability and information) into a vector; (3) how to identify the importance of each individual call in the sequences when performing downstream task.

For the first issue, we design and implement a Graph Generation Module that adopt the Markov model to transform each sequence to a corresponding graph with the transition probabilities learned from the data and the information of calls. By our design, we can preserve the structure information hidden in the original call sequences and handle the imbalance length of sequences. For the second issue, we then feed the graphs to the customized graph convolution neural network (GCN). While training the neural network with each graph, the node information between the consecutive calls in the graph will be exchanged bidirectionally regarding to its transition probability. The last layer of the customized GCN is designed as the representation of the input graph. For the last issue, we design a novel attention structure that can specify the importance of each individual call from the training data directly and automatically. This structure helps us to reveal the importance of input feature and transfer the attended features to the next convolution layers. At the end, we can obtain the importance of each call of the sequence with the help of graphic structure.

The contributions of this study are listed as follows.

- We develop a graph-based approach to dealing with the embedding issue for the variable-length, sequential, text-based sequences data whose structure contains loops, repeated events, shifted sub-sequence, etc. We anticipate that adopting graph representation is more appropriate than using NLP approach to analyze such sequential data.
- We develop a GCN-based neural network to transform the graphs to numerical vectors that can well perform the representation of the information contained in the graphs

for the latter downstream task, such as malware family classification.

- We develop a novel attention structure directly on the input text (node) and in the convolution structure to capture the attention that can help us reveal the crucial sub-sequence of the input.
- We use the real-world malware datasets to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed system compared with the several embedding models and NN-based models. The proposed Attention Aware Graph Convolution Network (AWGCN) can better represent the sequence data and outperform them on the downstream malware family classification tasks. On four datasets with more than 6,000+ malware samples, we have average 97.63% f1-score which is around 2% of improvement better than the 2-nd best models.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we review some background and related works. Section III is the proposed design of AWGCN. The evaluations of AWGCN are demonstrated in Section IV. In Section V, we discuss the some insights and future works. At last, Section VI contains some concluding remarks.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Text Embedding Algorithm

For the computation convenience, usually non-numeric data (e.g., text and categorical data) are converted to a unified numeric format before performing the downstream tasks. In this section, we briefly introduce several approaches to deal with text data.

1) *Bag-of-words and One-hot*: Bag-of-words uses word occurrence frequency in the sequence to convert the original text-based sequence. One-hot converts a word into a vector with only one digit being one; others are zeros. The disadvantage of such approaches is the curse of dimensionality if lots of unique words are used.

2) *Word2Vec* [9]: There are two training models in Word2vec; one is skip-gram, and another is CBOW. They are both self-supervised learning method that uses sentences to train the word vector. Skip-gram inputs a word to predict the context; on the other hand, CBOW inputs the context to predict the blanking word, like a cloze task. Because Word2vec generate a single word embedding representation for each word in the corpus [13], it can not deal with polysemy words.

3) *Doc2Vec* [14]: To overcome the shortcomings of bag-of-words that lack of information of word ordering and sentence structure. Le and Mikolov proposed Paragraph Vector, adding paragraph identification into the model. This mechanism takes the sentence position in the paragraph into account.

4) *RNN*: Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) uses the previous hidden state to predict the next state, and is widely used to analyze sequential data. Hochreiter and Schmidhuber developed long short-term memory (LSTM) [15] networks to improve original RNN and performed well in multiple application domains.

5) *Transformer [16]*: A transformer is a deep learning model consisting of self-attention mechanism and feed forward neural network. The structure of transformer is the stack of encoders and decoders. The design of transformer deprecates the mechanism of RNN, namely, the prediction of input can learn from any part of the original sequence. Transformer are increasingly the model of choice for NLP problems, replacing RNN models such as long short-term memory (LSTM). This also led to the development of pretrained systems such as BERT [17], [18].

B. Graph Neural Network

Convolution layer have been widely used for extracting higher-level representation from image data for latter analysis, for example, extracting facial features from a pixel image for emotion detection. Convolution Neural Network (CNN) has been proven well-performed in many computer vision domains. However, analyzing image data by CNN relies on the fixed input order and neighbor pixels to correctly extract higher-level features [19].

Nowadays, the concept of convolution has been extended to other data type, such as graph who has no fixed ordering and has more complex neighboring relations. To analyze a graph, e.g., knowledge graphs and molecular structure, convolutional neural networks have been generalized on the graph domain [20].

According to the taxonomy in [21], graph neural networks can be categorized into recurrent graph neural networks (RecGNNs), convolutional graph neural networks (ConvGNNs), graph autoencoders (GAEs), and spatial-temporal graph neural networks (STGNNs). Moreover, GNNs can cope with three kinds of graph analytics tasks with different graph structures as input, including node-level, edge-level, and graph-level tasks. Each category can be used to deal with different main tasks as well. To classify the graph with a distinct structure, we need to learn the whole graph representation. Therefore, we focus on convolutional graph neural networks in the research, which is further close to our primary goal.

Given a graph $G = (V, e)$, which consist of $|V|$ vertices and $|e|$ edges, we can construct the adjacency matrix A , which is a $|V| \times |V|$ matrix with (i, j) entry equaling to 1 if there is an edge connecting vertex i and j and 0 otherwise. The original graph convolutional network (GCN) [22] proposed the following layer-wise propagation rule:

$$H^{(l+1)} = \sigma(\hat{A}H^{(l)}W^{(l)}) \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{A} = \tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{A}\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\tilde{A} = A + I_N$ is the adjacency matrix A with added self-connection. I_N is the identity matrix, \tilde{D} is the degree matrix and W is the trainable weight matrix. σ denotes an activation function, such as ReLU, Softmax. $H^{(l)}$ is the matrix of activation in the l^{th} layer, and $H^0 = X$, which is the feature matrix of the vertices in the graph.

We can visualize the information propagation in the graph convolution layer in Fig. 1. GCN [22] assigns α depending on each node's degree. The nodes can acquire information of their




Fig. 1. The visualization of information propagation process in graph convolution layers.

neighbor nodes. The figure shows that the red node receives the information from three blue nodes, and all the other nodes receive information from their neighbors as well.

Owing to the success of GCN, a great deal of research proposed their own propagation rule to improve the performance further. For example, graph attention networks (GAT) [23] assume the neighbors' contribution is imbalanced due to the importance being different to the central vertex. Thus, GAT implements the attention mechanism to learn the relative weights between two connected vertices before the message propagation. Graph sample and aggregate (GraphSAGE) [24] proposed a trick to improve the efficiency of taking the total size of the neighboring vertices. GraphSAGE adopts sampling to obtain a fixed size of neighbors for each vertex and apply an aggregation function to the embedding.

In previous work, graph convolutional network are applied to graph tasks such as citation networks, social networks, biochemical graphs, knowledge graphs, and others. Furthermore, graph convolutional network are also used on text data like fake news prediction. In fake news predictions, the graph data are used to describe the information between the news authors. Namely, the graph data is additional information in fake news data. In our work, the text data (call) is regarded as a node; that is, we use graph convolutional network directly on API call data.

Our proposed model used the graph convolutional layers to propagate the information between the vertices for the following downstream tasks. Further detailed design of our model is described in Section III.

C. API Call Sequence

API stands for application programming interface, a type of software interface that specifies how clients should interact with software components [25]. Nowadays, many service providers offer their API to allow users to extract or transport the data [26], such as e-commerce website.

When users want to interact with the system, for example, log on to the app or search the question via a browser, users have made an API call. Definitely, not only interact with browsers but also access to the system resources need the help with API. For instance, user applications cannot access hardware and system resources directly in Windows operating system. Nonetheless, they can rely on the interfaces provided by dynamic-link libraries [27].




Fig. 2. A partial sample of Windows API call sequence.




Fig. 3. A real example of API call sequence Markov model.

As stated above, the malware authors can create the user program and use the API calls to perform malicious actions. The Windows API function calls fall under various functional levels such as network resources and libraries [28]. Hence, the API call sequence can reflect the behavior of the execution process, whether the process is malicious or benign. In other words, we can extract information from the API call sequence to represent the process; theoretically, it should be precise for the following downstream tasks.

Figure 2 is a partial example of the collected malware profile. Besides the API name, an API call invocation has time information so that we can link them as a sequence as a whole. In addition, most of the dynamic analysis tool also output certain extra information, such as call parameters and return values.

D. Markov Model

A Markov model is a stochastic model to model the changing processing between each state. Markov chain is a specialized Markov model, which describes a sequence of possible events transition with their probability. With the Markov property, the probability of moving to the next state depends only on the present state and not on the previous states [29]. The formal definition of Markov chain in mathematics is shown in Eq. 2

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(X_{n+1} = x | X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n) \\ = Pr(X_{n+1} = x | X_n = x) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Our research uses the Markov chain to represent the transition between the API call (as shown in Fig. 3), each node represent an unique call in the sequence) and transform the original sequential data into graph format data. Figure 3 demonstrates the Markov chain of a real-world malware sample used in our experiment. This demonstrated malware belongs to Vobfus malware family, and it only invoked

four unique Win32 APIs, i.e., RegQueryValue, LoadLibrary, RegSetValue and CreateFile. It has 26 calls in total. (Due to the page limitation, we select a relatively short sample for demonstration. On the average, the malware samples in our different datasets have 50 – 364 calls in a profile.)

III. PROPOSED SYSTEM

A. Overview

Figure 4 is the overview of the proposed system that can input the raw malware profiles (in terms of API/system call sequences) for graph representation, embedding, graph attention and downstream malware family classification.

The system has three main modules: Preprocessing, Graph Generation Module and Graph Convolution Network. The Preprocessing module parse the raw data (i.e., malware profile) and malware family labels to construct the call sequences of each malware family. The Graph Generation Module accepts all sequences and generate a Markov model graph with transition probability for each sequence. Vanilla Markov model graphs can be plotted in this stage. The Graph Convolution Network accepts the training data (i.e., the graphs) and the training labels (i.e., corresponding malware family labels) to perform graph embedding by AWGCN. The Graph Latent Space represents the original call sequence with the graph structure. The neighbor vectors in the Graph Latent Space indicates that their original sequences use similar calls and similar structure. At the end, a malware family classifier can classify a profile into the corresponding class. We will use 20% of the data for evaluation. In addition, the trained attention weights can be used to specify the importance of each call in the Markov model graph.

The detail design of each module is described as follows. The notations used in this paper are listed in Table I.

B. Preprocessing Module

The collected datasets have their own format to represent the call sequences, so preprocessing is needed. The Preprocessing Module will parse the profiles and select necessary information (e.g., malware hash value, timestamp, call name, call parameters, call return values) to construct the call sequences and identify its malware family label. The pseudo-code of this module is shown in Fig. 6. For each profile, p , in the collected profile pool, P (having n profiles), a sequence s contains all the information of this profile, including the profile hash value, malware family label, and a sequence of call name and its parameters. In our implementation, the sequences are stored in a customized Python data structure.

C. Graph Generation Module

We design a k -gram mechanism, which helps us to better portray the feature when transforming the sequences. We use Markov model to depict our original API call sequences because it contains a transition probability table that can describe the transition of neighboring calls. The characteristic of API call sequence is the transition between each call because API call sequence represents the continuous occurrence of calls.




Fig. 4. The overall process of our purposed system.




Fig. 5. Graph Convolutional Network architecture

This characteristic is similar to the essence of Markov model; thus, we adopt Markov model to transform the sequences. Moreover, Markov model can preserve the short-term relation of the calls and quantized transition probabilities, which can be used in the next neural network module to enrich the information of input sequences. In this form, transition probabilities can be seen as the transfer of information in the following graph neural network. In addition, if we want to preserve long-term relations, we can adjust k -gram mechanism to fulfill. The pseudo-code of this module is shown in Fig. 7. We traverse each sequence, $s \in S$, to record the transition between the calls and add to $g.A$. In the meanwhile, we record the edge occurrence in $e.weight$ and divide by sum of $v.edge.weight$ to calculate the probability of each edge. To feed the graph data into the

following graph neural network, we use one-hot encoding to transform the calls into vector format data $g.X$. We use one-hot because it is a primary encoding method that can show our following graph neural network capability. At the end, we can also visualize the g , such as Figure. 3

D. Graph Convolution Network Module

In the beginning of our GCN model architecture, we design a Feature Attention (FA) layer. We want to capture the important part of the input graphs through this layer. Next, we use k -layers of graph convolution layers to extract the higher-level node representations of the whole graph. The graph latent space is the embedding of original s in malware profile with graph structure. In the last of this model, we apply a classifier, which map the extracted feature to the label space, softmax

TABLE I
THE TABLE OF NOTATION

Notation	Description
P	Profile set
S	API call sequences set
$ P , n$	The number of profile files in P
$ S $	The number of API call sequences in S
p_i	The i -th profile, $i = 1 \sim n$
$p_i.hash$	The hash value of p_i
$p_i.label$	The malware family label of p_i
s_i	The API call sequence for p_i , $i = 1 \sim S $
c_j^i	The j -th call of s_i , $j = 1 \sim s_i $
$c_j^i.name$	The name of call c_j^i
$c_j^i.pars$	The parameters of call c_j^i
g	The graph of a s and a p , $g=(V, E)$
G	The graph set of all g
V	The vertex set of a graph g
E	The edge set of a graph g
v	A vertex in V
$e(v_a, v_b)$	An edge from vertex v_a to vertex v_b , v_a and $v_b \in V$
$v.edges$	The set of all edges starting from v
$e.weight$	The weight of edge e
$g.X$	The input feature matrix of graph g
$g.A$	The adjacency matrix of graph g
$g.A_{attr}$	The transition probability of the edges.
W	Weight matrix
H_l	The convolved feature matrix at l layer.
$g.FA$	The feature attention matrix of g
$g.AA$	The adjacency attention matrix of g
$g.AF$	The attended feature matrix of g , $g.X \times g.FA = g.AF$
v	The set of vertex in entire dataset.
$OH()$	Do one-hot encoding to the input.

Algorithm 1 Data Preprocessing

Input: A set of profile P .
Output: A set of sequence S .

- 1: $S = \text{EmptySet}()$
- 2: **for** $i \leftarrow 1$ to $i = |P|$ **do**
- 3: $s_i.hash = p_i.hash$
- 4: $s_i.label = p_i.label$
- 5: $s_i.seq = \text{EmptyList}()$
- 6: **for** $c \in p_i$ **do**
- 7: append $(c.name, c.pars)$ to $s_i.seq$
- 8: **end for**
- 9: add s_i to S
- 10: **end for**

Fig. 6. The pseudo code of data preprocessing

activation function for mutli-label classification, and sigmoid for binary classification.

We take a graph G and the label $P.label$ as input. In Figure. 5, all the cuboid with diagonal dashed line is fixed, others are trainable. We use a graph as input to explain the process in Graph Convolution Network Module. The input feature matrix in Figure. 5, means the graph contains $|V|$ vertices, and each node has a d dimensional feature. We then multiply the X with proposed trainable Feature Attention layer

Algorithm 2 Graph Generation Module

Input: A set of sequence S .
Output: G .

- 1: $G = \text{EmptySet}()$
- 2: **for** $s_i \in S$ **do**
- 3: $g_i.X = OH(v)$
- 4: $g_i.A = \text{EmptyList}()$
- 5: $g_i.A_{attr} = \text{EmptyList}()$
- 6: **for** $c.name \in s_i$ **do**
- 7: **if** $e(c_j^i.name, c_{j+1}^i.name)$ not in $g_i.A$ **then**
- 8: append $e(c_j^i.name, c_{j+1}^i.name)$ to $g_i.A$
- 9: $e(c_j^i.name, c_{j+1}^i.name).weight += 1$
- 10: **end if**
- 11: **end for**
- 12: add g_i to G
- 13: **end for**
- 14: **for** v in $g_i \in G$ **do**
- 15: freq = sum up $v_i.edge.weight$
- 16: probs = Divide $e.weight \in v_i$ by freq
- 17: append probs to $g_i.A_{attr}$
- 18: **end for**

Fig. 7. The pseudo code of Graph Generation Module

(FA) to capture the significance of each node. As shown in Figure. 5 feature attention part, we get the Attended Feature Matrix (AF).

After that, we pass $|V| \times d$ AF to designed three times graph convolution to exchange the information between the vertices. Before the dot product begins, we multiply the adjacency matrix with proposed trainable Adjacency Attention. Hence, we can further capture the connection importance of the graph. As mentioned in II, if vertices are connected, the corresponding adjacency matrix digit is marked as 1. Thus, we can achieve the information exchange in the AF through the dot product with attention attended adjacency matrix. Furthermore, we use the transition probabilities, $g.A_{attr}$, calculate from previous module to decide the ratio of the transfer between connected vertices. In the last of a graph convolution, we use a weight matrix whose dimension is $dxk1$ to transform the feature dimension of nodes. Through this weight matrix, we can project the original d dimension feature to a larger $k1$, which means we can adopt more information from the transferred feature matrix, this can help us learn more from the original input graph as well.

After the first graph convolution, we obtain a new AF with dimension $|v| \times k1$. We take this attended feature matrix as input to the second convolution process. Same as the first convolution, we can adjust $k2$ to preserve more information which may help the downstream classification task. After the convolution process, we apply fully connected layer, dropout layer and use softmax to classify the multi-label task.

The pseudo code of Graph Convolution Network is shown

Algorithm 3 Graph Convolution Network

Input: $G, P.label$.
Output: Trained weight of $W, g.FA$, and $g.AA$.

- 1: **for** $g \in G$ **do**
- 2: Training set $\leftarrow g_i$ where $i \geq r * |S|$ $\triangleright r = ratio$
- 3: Testing set $\leftarrow g_i$ where $r * |S| < i \leq r * |S|$
- 4: **end for**
- 5: **for** *epoch* **do**
- 6: $AF \leftarrow g.X \times FA$
- 7: $H_{l+1} \leftarrow AA \times g.A \cdot H_l \cdot W_l$ $\triangleright H_0 = AF$
- 8: Backpropagate and update weight
- 9: **end for**

Fig. 8. The pseudo code of Graph Convolution Network

in Fig. 8. We input the graphs G with the label $P.label$ to proposed Graph Convolution Network. In the beginning, we split the whole dataset into training and testing with 80:20 ratio. We input our training graphs into the GCN, and provide the $g.X, g.A$ and $g.A_{attr}$ to train the GCN. In each epoch, we attend the $g.X$, convolve the AF three times and use the classifier to evaluate the correctness. Then do backpropagation and update the weight for the training in next epoch. The output of this module, besides the classification result, we also obtain the graph embedding and weight of W, FA and AA . The embedding and weight can help us cluster the original sequences and specify the important part of the graphs.

IV. EVALUATION

A. Data Set

As shown in Table II, five datasets are used in our experiments. SynData is used for proof-of-concept to make sure the synthetic special calls can be identified. RanSyn is sophisticated synthetic data to imitate the invocation of API calls. WinMal and Oliverira are malware profiles that record the Windows API calls invoked by malware samples. Syscall records the Linux system calls by IoT malware. The Unique Event column specifies the number of unique call used among all sequences in the dataset. The Classes column specifies the number of malware family labels in the dataset.

1) *SynData*: There are 300 different call sequences in 3 families. The length of each call sequence is 50. The purpose of using synthetic data is to prove the correctness of our attention mechanism. Therefore, we randomly generate 50 calls which is the number between 0 to 50. After that, we replace the specific digit (21st to 25th) with the alphabet. As shown in Fig. 9, in family 1, we replace the digit with lowercase **a, b, c**, and **d** at 21st-24th digits; in family 2, replace it with **e, f, g**, and **h** 21st-24th digits; and in family 3, replace it with **i, j, k**, and **l** 21st-24th digits. Last, the 25th digit in each family is the capital letter **A**. We simulate the ordinary call with random digit and give each family a specific feature. The capital letter A is the same feature across the family.

Therefore, we expect our model should only point out the lowercase alphabets are more important after training.

Family 1: ['11', '8', 'a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'A', '35', '42', '28', '13', ..., '25', '48', '9']
Family 2: ['14', '8', 'e', 'f', 'g', 'h', 'A', '12', '35', '21', '18', ..., '28', '45', '1']
Family 3: ['16', '4', 'i', 'j', 'k', 'l', 'A', '17', '33', '29', '12', ..., '28', '45', '1']

Fig. 9. Example of our synthetic API call sequence data

2) *RanSyn*: To imitate the invocation of calls in real-world situations, we create another dataset – RanSyn (Random generated Synthetic data). This dataset is more sophisticated than SynData. In this dataset, the position of the alphabet subsequence is not fixed, and the numeric digit is possibly inserted between the alphabet subsequence. There are 10 percent The next digit of the numeric digit has a 10 percent probability of being an alphabet digit and 90 percent of being a numeric one. On the other hand, the next digit of the alphabet digit has a 5 percent probability of being a numeric digit. In addition, the alphabet subsequence may invoke not only one time. The other setting is the same as SynData.

3) *RanMarkov*: There are 400 different call sequences in 4 families. We build 4 different Markov chain at first and use random walk to traverse them to generate the call sequences whose length is 250. For example, we use five same API calls but with different transition probability and structures in Figure 10. By this approach, the call sequences will have the characteristic of the Markov chain and the call sequences can be similar to the real world call sequences data.




Fig. 10. The synthesized Markov chain in RanMarkov dataset.

4) *WinMal*: There are 1,940 different call sequences in 14 families. The maximum length of our API call sequences is 20,365, minimum length is 15, and average length as shown in Table II. Figure. 11 shows the number of API call sequences in each malware family. The malware family contains the most samples is Allapple which has 615 different profiles. In contrast, Loring and Mydoom contain the least malware samples in WinMal. On the average, the malware samples in the other families have 22-312 profiles in WinMal. Because WinMal is the real-world dataset, the imbalanced number of sample in each family is natural. Therefore, we did not adjust the number

TABLE II
TABLE OF DATASETS

Dataset	Sequence Type	Dataset Size	Seq. Length	Unique Event	Classes
SynData	Synthesized Alphabet Sequence with Noise	360	50	64	3
RanSyn	Random Generated Synthesized Alphabet Sequence with Noise	360	50	64	3
RanMarkov	Random Walk on Synthesized Win32 API Call Markov Chain	400	250	5	4
WinMal [30]	Win32 API Call Sequence [31]	1940	275 ^a	26	14
Syscall [30]	Linux System Call Sequence	1208	364 ^a	121	4
Oliveira [32]	Win32 API Call Sequence from Cuckoo [35]	3237	100	307	2

^aIn average.

of sample to be balance. We want to test the capability of AWGCN in real-world imbalanced data.

Figure. 12 is a partial of real malware sample of API call sequence and parameters. Each file has the process identification in the beginning of profiles, and the number with pound sign is the timestamp. The content between two timestamps is the API call and its parameters. From this sample we observe several different calls, including LoadLibrary, RegQueryValue, CreateFile, RegEnumValue. Each call has parameters to record the event state, for example, LoadLibrary records the loaded dynamic link library, CreateFile records the file stored path. The malware samples in this dataset are available on [30] and similar to [31].




Fig. 11. The API call sequences family distribution in WinMal

5) *Syscall*: The sequences in Syscall are longer than sequences in WinMal, and the number of unique calls is five times more than WinMal as well. Syscall contains Linux system call 1,208 sequences equally divided into four different families that collected from IoT devices. Furthermore, some English words are used in system call, such as access, open, close, etc. Unlike the call name used in Windows, combine the words directly, Linux system call has some daily used word that may be identify by the language models. This dataset is also available on [30].

6) *Oliveira*: The second Windows API call dataset is an open access dataset from IEEE dataport [32]. This dataset contains 42,797 malware API call sequences and 1,079 goodware API call sequences. In our experiment, to avoid the baseline model only predicting the sample sequences as malware label, we balanced the distribution of labels. We randomly choose 2,158 malware sample, two times as goodware, the balance data can help us to confirm the correctness of the classification indicators. Each API call sequence is composed of the first 100 non-repeated consecutive API calls associated with the parent process. Author extract from the 'calls' elements of Cuckoo Sandbox report [35]. Each API call sequence has its hash name, API call name (in integer type), and the label with 0 for goodware and 1 for malware. Therefore, we can use

```

3152 malware.exe
#209990000
LoadLibrary
lpFileName=C:\WINDOWS\system32\IMM32.DLL
Return=SUCCESS
#216910000
RegCreateKey
hKey=HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\CLSID\
{4AEDBC33-8B19-7F8D-B932-E844B2219184}
Return=0
#216960000
RegSetValue
hKey=HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\CLSID\
{4AEDBC33-8B19-7F8D-B932-E844B2219184}
type=REG_SZ
data=erbkznenwqlwkknq
Return=0
#216990000
RegCreateKey
hKey=HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\CLSID\
{4AEDBC33-8B19-7F8D-B932-
E844B2219184}\LocalServer32
Return=0
#217010000
RegSetValue
hKey=HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\CLSID\
{4AEDBC33-8B19-7F8D-B932-
E844B2219184}\LocalServer32
type=REG_SZ
data=C:\Documents and Settings\All Users\
Desktop\malware.exe
Return=0
#217260000
RegQueryValue
hKey=HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\System\
CurrentControlSet\Services\WinSock2\Parameters\
WinSock_Registry_Version
Return=0
type=REG_SZ
data=2.0
#217830000
CreateProcessInternal
lpCommandLine=C:\WINDOWS\system32\urdrvxc.exe/
installservice
Return=SUCCESS
dwProcessId=3172
dwThreadId=3176

```

Fig. 12. A partial Example of Windows API call sequence




Fig. 13. AWGCN hypermeter: learning and dropout rate tuning

binary classification for downstream task to test our proposed model.

B. Family Classification Comparison

In this experiment, we compare our Attention Aware GCN (AWGCN) with text-based methods and the different classifier shown in Table III on four datasets. The number in first row is test accuracy, second row is f1-score, and third row is area under curve (AUC) score.

Because the original synthetic data is too simple to predict (each family contain specific feature), we increase the classification difficulty via making the sequences more complicated by adding noise. We create noise sequences by adding some noise calls into the original synthetic sequences. We add the other two families specific features into the call sequences in the family. For example, we add **e, f, g, h, i, j, k,** and **l** into family 1, and so on. Moreover, we add a random integer call between the original feature of the family, such as **a, b, 5, c,** and **d**. Namely, each sequence contains the all the original family features. In addition, the training data in this SynData and RanSyn are synthetic data without noise, we use 60 noise sequences only in testing data to test the generalization ability of the models.

For AWGCN, we use three graph convolution layers to extract the information from the graph. We also experimented with other settings to find better parameters, as shown in Figure. 13. We can observe the lower f1-score the point color is close to blue. The peak of f1-score is close to red, and the point of the peak is close to (0.005, 0.35). Therefore, we set the learning rate as 0.005, dropout rate as 0.35, and the dimension in each graph convolution layer as $k_1 = 128$, $k_2 = 256$, and $k_3 = 64$, respectively.

Performance. Table III presents the classification result of each model. AWGCN outperforms the other models on four different datasets, especially on real-world malware dataset. Figure. 14 show the confusion matrix of the classification result on WinMal dataset. The diagonal line represent the correct predictions of the model. The families contain least




Fig. 14. Classification confusion matrix of AWGCN in WinMal dataset.

sample, Loring and Mydoom, AWGCN can perfectly classify them into correct malware family. The most misclassification of AWGCN is between the family Loadmoney and Grafter. According to introduce of these two malware family of the anti-virus company Malwarebytes [36], [37], the malware Loadmoney are known to install adware, browser hijackers, and potentially unwanted programs (PUPs) on Windows systems. The malware Grafter is a large family of malware targeting Windows systems, most of which are Trojans, though some are adware. These two family have prefix word adware on the citation website. AWGCN classify the malware samples via the behavior of malware, the misclassification may be the similar action but in two different families.

AWGCN is better than others because AWGCN investigates the transition information from the original call sequences. For in-depth analysis, sequence alignment can perform well if the features are in a specific position. If some unique calls are only used in a specific family, the primary classifier with one-hot encoding can be a fast approach with acceptable accuracy. Text-based approaches also performed nice results, but they are more suitable for language corpus than heavily repetitive sequential data like API call sequence.

In Table IV, we use the same model to identify whether AWGCN extracts the graph representation successfully or not. We output the graph latent space vector (shown as blue vector in Fig.4) to compare with the one-hot encoding data. As the result show in Table IV, AWGCN latent space improve the performance of classical models. In the experiment of SVM, AWGCN enhance performance around 14 percent. This experiment indicate that AWGCN can perform a well embedding of original sequences and achieves significant improvement in extracting the representation.

C. Attention Mechanism

In this section, we evaluate our designed attention mechanism via attention visualization.

As mentioned above, synthetic sequences are constructed by ordinary calls (random numbers) and specific calls (alphabet

TABLE III
RESULTS OF FAMILY CLASSIFICATION TASK.

	Encoding	Model	SynData	RanSyn	RanMarkov	WinMal	Syscall	Oliveira
SeqAm	-	Seq. Alignment	0.987	0.300	0.900	0.759	0.401	0.485
			0.994	0.821	0.900	0.759	0.401	0.485
			0.996	0.942	0.973	0.963	0.755	0.571
OHSVM	One-hot	SVM	0.317	0.487	0.738	0.812	0.945	0.739
			0.300	0.351	0.703	0.791	0.945	0.741
			0.485	0.693	0.910	0.973	0.988	0.811
OHLR	One-hot	Logistic Regression	0.333	0.463	0.738	0.855	0.948	0.864
			0.167	0.416	0.703	0.852	0.948	0.862
			0.507	0.616	0.910	0.981	0.992	0.907
OHRF	One-hot	Random Forest	0.367	0.487	0.738	0.873	0.948	0.904
			0.278	0.447	0.703	0.871	0.948	0.904
			0.512	0.619	0.910	0.982	0.992	0.970
Engtk-BiLSTM	spaCy tokenizer	Bi-LSTM	1.000	0.742	1.000	0.914	0.964	0.941
		Dense	1.000	0.945	1.000	0.906	0.965	0.974
			1.000	0.988	1.000	0.992	0.995	0.973
T2V-BiLSTM [33]	TextVectorization	Bi-LSTM	0.275	0.250	0.996	0.882	0.930	0.922
		Dense	0.311	0.338	0.997	0.833	0.949	0.959
			0.485	0.506	0.999	0.972	0.990	0.955
W2V-GRU	Word2Vec	GRU	0.250	0.237	1.000	0.914	0.933	0.837
		Dense	0.333	0.830	1.000	0.886	0.923	0.843
			0.493	0.972	1.000	0.989	0.990	0.819
TkCNN	Tokenizer	1D CNN	1.000	0.475	0.986	0.919	0.958	0.933
		Dense	1.000	0.886	0.994	0.905	0.962	0.969
			1.000	0.917	0.999	0.990	0.995	0.965
Bert [34]	Bert	Dense	0.500	0.524	0.850	0.911	0.935	0.945
			0.888	0.894	0.937	0.922	0.950	0.977
			0.995	0.993	0.989	0.983	0.991	0.974
AWGCN	One-hot	AWGCN	1.000	0.912	1.000	0.927	0.966	0.992
	Adjacecny	Dense	1.000	0.980	1.000	0.941	0.966	0.998
			1.000	0.998	1.000	0.995	0.996	0.997

*The numbers in each row represent Testing Accuracy, F1-score, and AUC-score, respectively.

TABLE IV
COMPARISON BETWEEN ONE-HOT AND AWGCN LATENT SPACE

Encoding/Embedding	Model	Indicators
One-hot	SVM	0.812
		0.791
		0.973
		0.855
		0.852
		0.981
	Logistic regression	0.873
		0.871
		0.982
		0.926
		0.927
		0.992
AWGCN latent space	SVM	0.923
		0.924
		0.993
	Logistic regression	0.912
		0.912
		0.987
Random Forest		

sequences). In other words, our designed mechanism should only point out the alphabet sub-sequence. In Fig. 15, we visualize the attention weights on synthetic data. The upper left is the beginning epoch of our training process, and the right-hand side is the next epoch. We can easily observe that each node's attention weight changes when training. The weight of first epoch is random initialized with uniform distribution; thus, the alphabet sequence seems to be insignificant, and the attention hot spot is on ordinary calls. However, by the training

process moving on, the feature attention hot spot are gradually transfer to the feature of the sequence. After the whole training process, the attention weights on the bottom right are focused on the specific features of the family (alphabet sequence). Namely, the attention mechanism of AWGCN is trainable and can precisely point out the significant part of the graph after training.

In Figure. 16, we demonstrate the real world malware example in WinMal dataset. The result shows that RegSetValue, RegQueryValue, and CreateProcessInternal are more critical in this malware sample. According to the report [38], [39], this malware is a worm and named Allapple. The report said that Allapple modifies the registry to reference a unique CLSID, in our original malware profile, this malware call the RegSetValue after create the registry key and activate the malware.exe stored at Desktop. Furthermore, according to the second report from Microsoft, this malware is a multi-threaded worm and it copies itself to the Windows system folder using the filename "urdrvxc.exe" or "irdvxc.exe" and modifies the registry to load this copy when Windows is started. In our profiles, RegQueryValue query the path mentioned in report to CreateProcessInternal using the filename "urdrvxc.exe" to create another process, as shown in Figure. 12. Moreover, the call CreateFile calls the rsaenh.dll to encrypt at last which is pointed out by our attention mechanism. In summary, attention mechanism can properly figure out the critical part of the input API call sequence and the result is similar to the report provided by antivirus companies.




Fig. 15. The example of the attention transition of synthetic API call sequence.




Fig. 16. The attention result of real world malware sample in WinMal dataset.

Fig. 17. The sample of multi-threaded process of Allapple malware in WinMal dataset.

In Figure. 17, we show the created multi-threaded process from malware 3152. They have same hash value with different suffix identification number and they similar behavior as well. The difference between malware 3152 and 3172 is that malware 3152 call CreateProcess to create another process for its following behavior.

D. Representation of Malware Family

In this part, we visualize the graph latent space training by AWGCN of the WinMal dataset. Because WinMal dataset has 1,940 samples and is distributed in 14 families, which can better represent the graph latent space, we use WinMal dataset as an example to visualize the result.

In Figure. 18, we use t-SNE to do dimension reduction in order to visualize. The t-SNE result in Figure. 18 is the same space but in different angle. (You can access the auto rotation and interaction t-SNE on this link, https://github.com/ChuPoYu/14family_latent_space). In Figure. 18, we can observe that most of the malware family are been separated to different area in t-SNE. Only the partial samples in Loadmoney and Graftor are overlapped (the purple and red dot in right part of Fig. 18 at the bottom). The main reason is same as mentioned above, there are some samples with similar action but in different family label.

In Figure. 19, we perform a self organizing map (SOM) clustering on our graph latent space vector. Most of the malware profile are clustered to a proper space, for instance, the samples in Allapple family (the dot colored in ocean blue at the bottom-right in Fig. 19). This result show that the samples in Allapple have similar action; thus, they are clustered to closed area. In contrast to Allapple, the samples in Loadmoney family (the dot colored purple) are clustered to two different area.




Fig. 18. The malware family distribution in latent space of WinMal dataset.




Fig. 19. The SOM clustering with malware family label.

This indicates the Loadmoeny family may have two branches, one having similar actions to Graftor, and another one doing different actions.

Because we want to know which used calls affect the malware family distribution. In Figure 20, we use different four keys to visualize the same SOM clustering, including CopyFile, CreateThread, RegCreateKey, and Network related calls (e.g. WinHttpConnect and InternetOpen). In Figure 20, the malware using network is mainly separated into two areas, and they belong to LoadMoney and Graftor. The malware using CopyFile is mainly from Sytro, which is a Worm family and can copy and spread itself. Through Figure 19 and Figure 20, we can realize what may be the main action of the malware and which family they belong to.

V. DISCUSSION

The experiment results show that the proposed AWGCN achieves strong family classification results and learns the graph embedding in a better representation. Moreover, AWGCN can make the inductive prediction for unseen sequence samples.

In the future, we can test AWGCN on different datasets but with similar properties, such as event log data which is highly repetitive. Furthermore, we can replace Markov




Fig. 20. The SOM containing specific call.

model with other graph representation to further improve the information preserving from original sequences. Last but not least, we can perform AWGCN back to the text data to test the generalization and the correctness of attention in the natural language processing domain.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we provide a point of view from graphs to deal with structural text-based API call sequential data. The classification experiment results show that using our designed AWGCN to analyze the call-like sequential data outperforms other methods and properly separates the data points in the latent space. Also, our method conquers the disadvantage of sequence alignment in coping with variable length sequences. Furthermore, we implement the attention mechanism, which can help us quickly point out the critical component of the malware.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work is partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan under Grant No. MOST 109-2221-E-004-007-MY3 and No. MOST 111-2218-E-001-001-MBK.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Beek et al., "McAfee Labs Threats Report August 2019," McAfee, Santa Clara, CA, Aug. 2019. Accessed: Jan. 1, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mcafee.com/enterprise/en-us/assets/reports/rp-quarterly-threats-aug-2019.pdf>
- [2] Malware Statistics & Trends Report: AV-TEST. Accessed: Mar. 22, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.av-test.org/en/statistics/malware/>
- [3] S. Alam, R. N. Horspool, I. Traore, and I. Sogukpinar "A framework for metamorphic malware analysis and real-time detection," *Comput. Secur.*, vol. 48, pp. 212-233, Feb. 2015.
- [4] M. Akbanov, V. G. Vassilakis, and M. D. Logothetis, "WannaCry Ransomware Analysis of Infection Persistence Recovery Prevention and Propagation Mechanisms," *J. Telecommun. Inf. Technol.*, pp. 113-124, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.26636/jtit.2019.130218.
- [5] H. Sinanovic and S. Mrdovic, "Analysis of Mirai malicious software," *2017 25th SoftCOM*, pp. 1-5, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.23919/SOFTCOM.2017.8115504.

- [6] Y. Pan, X. Ge, C. Fang and Y. Fan, "A Systematic Literature Review of Android Malware Detection Using Static Analysis," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 116363-116379, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3002842.
- [7] M. Egele, T. Scholte, E. Kirda, and C. Kruegel. "A survey on automated dynamic malware-analysis techniques and tools". *ACM Comput. Surv.* vol. 44, pp. 6:1-6:42, Mar. 2008.
- [8] R. C. Edgar and S. Batzoglou, "Multiple sequence alignment," *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, vol. 16, pp. 368-373, Jun, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2006.04.004.
- [9] T. Mikolov, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean, "Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1301.3781, 2013.
- [10] R. Ronen, M. Radu, C. Feuerstein, E. Yom-Tov, and M. Ahmadi, "Microsoft Malware Classification Challenge," 2018, *arXiv:1802.10135v1 [cs.CR]*.
- [11] Y. Ki, E. Kim, and H. K. Kim, "A Novel Approach to Detect Malware Based on API Call Sequence Analysis," *Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Netw.*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 659101, Jun. 2015, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/659101>.
- [12] M. K. Shankarapani, S. Ramamoorthy, R. S. Movva, and S. Mukkamala, "Malware detection using assembly and API call sequences," *J. Comput. Virol.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 107-119, May. 2011, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11416-010-0141-5>.
- [13] "BERT (language model)". [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BERT_\(language_model\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BERT_(language_model)) (accessed Jun. 26, 2022).
- [14] Q. Le and T. Mikolov, "Distributed representations of sentences and documents," *ICML*, vol.32, no.2, pp.1188-1196, Jun. 2014.
- [15] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long Short-Term Memory," *Neural Comput.*, vol.9, pp.1735-1780, Nov. 1997.
- [16] A. Vaswani et al., "Attention is all you need," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017, pp. 5998-6008.
- [17] "Transformer (machine learning model)". [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transformer_\(machine_learning_model\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transformer_(machine_learning_model)) (accessed Jun. 26, 2022).
- [18] J. Devlin, M. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding," 2019, *arXiv:1810.04805v2 [cs.CL]*.
- [19] M. Zhang, Z. Cui, M. Neumann, and Y. Chen, "An End-to-End Deep Learning Architecture for Graph Classification," in *AAAI*, vol. 32, no. 1, Apr.2018.
- [20] J. Bruna, W. Zaremba, A. Szlam, and Y. LeCun, "Spectral Networks and Deep Locally Connected Networks on Graphs," 2014, *arXiv:1312.6203v3 [cs.LG]*.
- [21] Z. Wu, S. Pan, F. Chen, G. Long, C. Zhang and P. S. Yu, "A Comprehensive Survey on Graph Neural Networks," in *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 4-24, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2020.2978386.
- [22] T. N. Kipf and M. Welling, "Semi-Supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks," presented at *ICLR*, Toulon, France, Apr. 24-26, 2017.
- [23] P. Velickovic et al., "Graph Attention Networks," presented at *ICLR*, Vancouver, B.c., Canada, Apr. 30-May. 3, 2018.
- [24] W. L. Hamilton, R. Ying, and J. Leskovec, "Inductive Representation Learning on Large Graphs," presented at *NIPS*, Long Beach, CA, USA, Dec. 4-9, 2017.
- [25] R. Martin, "API Design for C++," 1st ed. Netherlands: Elsevier, 2011.
- [26] J. Wulf and I. Blohm, "Fostering value creation with digital platforms: A unified theory of the application programming interface design," *Manag. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 251-281, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1080/07421222.2019.1705514.
- [27] E. Amer and I. Zelinka, "A dynamic Windows malware detection and prediction method based on contextual understanding of API call sequence," *Comput. Secur.*, vol. 92, May. 2020, Art no. 101760.
- [28] M. Alazab, S. Venkatraman, P. Watters, and M. Alazab, "Zero-day Malware Detection based on Supervised Learning Algorithms of API call Signatures," in *Proc. AusDM.*, Dec. 1-2, 2011, pp. 171-181.
- [29] "Markov chain". https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Markov_chain (accessed Jun. 26, 2022).
- [30] National Center for High-performance Computing(NCHC) and Taiwan Computer Security Incident Response Team(TWCSIRT). "Malware Knowledge Base". <https://owl.nchc.org.tw/about.php> (accessed May. 22, 2022).
- [31] S. Hsiao and Y. Lee, "NN-Based Feature Selection for Text-Based Sequential Data". *PACIS 2020 Proceedings.*, pp. 238, Jun, 2020 <https://aisel.aisnet.org/pacis2020/238>.
- [32] A. Oliveira, "Malware Analysis Datasets: API Call Sequences", *IEEE Dataport*, Oct. 23, 2019, doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21227/tqqm-aq14>.
- [33] "Text classification with an RNN." https://www.tensorflow.org/text/tutorials/text_classification_rnn (accessed Jun. 26, 2022).
- [34] "Classify text with BERT". https://www.tensorflow.org/text/tutorials/classify_text_with_bert (accessed Jun. 26, 2022).
- [35] "Cuckoo". <https://cuckoosandbox.org/> (accessed Jun. 28, 2022)
- [36] "Adware.LoadMoney". <https://blog.malwarebytes.com/detections/adware-loadmoney/> (accessed Jun. 29, 2022)
- [37] "Adware.Graftor". <https://blog.malwarebytes.com/detections/adware-graftor/> (accessed Jun. 29, 2022)
- [38] "WORM ALLAPPLE.IK". https://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/us/threat-encyclopedia/malware/WORM_ALLAPPLE.IK/ (accessed Jul. 26, 2022)
- [39] "Worm:Win32/Allapple.A". <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/wdsi/threats/malware-encyclopedia-description?Name=Worm:Win32/Allapple.A&ThreatID=2147574777> (accessed Jul. 26, 2022)