
OTSU BASED DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION METHOD FOR IMAGE SEGMENTATION

Afreen Shaikh

National Institute of Technology, Warangal
safreen@student.nitw.ac.in

Botcha Sharmila

National Institute of Technology, Warangal
bsharmila@student.nitw.ac.in

Murali Krishna

National Institute of Technology, Warangal
mmuralikrishna@student.nitw.ac.in

Dr. Sushil Kumar

National Institute of Technology, Warangal
kumar.sushil@nitw.ac.in

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes an OTSU-based differential evolution method for satellite image segmentation and compares it with four other methods such as Modified Artificial Bee Colony Optimizer (MABC)[1], Artificial Bee Colony (ABC), Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) using the objective function proposed by Otsu for optimal multilevel thresholding. The experiments conducted and their results illustrate that our proposed DE+OTSU algorithm segmentation can effectively and precisely segment the input image, close to results obtained by the other methods. In the proposed DE+OTSU algorithm, instead of passing the fitness function variables, the entire image is passed as an input to the DE algorithm after obtaining the threshold values for the input number of levels in the OTSU's algorithm. The image segmentation results are obtained after learning about the image instead of learning about the fitness variables. In comparison to other segmentation methods examined, the proposed DE+OTSU algorithm yields promising results with minimized computational time comparison to some algorithms.

1 INTRODUCTION

Image segmentation has a vital part as a pre-processing step in image processing. In particular, it aims at grouping and partitioning pixels within meaningful regions to analyze the image in a detailed manner. Image segmentation applies to varied Computer Vision tasks like Content-based image retrieval, Medical Imaging, Object detection and identification, and Object recognition tasks (like face and fingerprint), among others.

Segmentation methods can be subdivided broadly as (a) edge and line-oriented segmentation methods, (b) region growing methods, (c) clustering, and (d) region splitting methods. Ant Colony Optimisation (ACO), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Bacterial Foraging (BF), and Differential Evolution (DE) are regarded as popular choices for the segmentation of complex images due to their exhaustive searching capability. Because of its clearness and stability, thresholding is coined as one of the most popular image segmentation methods. Typically, satellite images have insignificant illumination features because of multiple kinds of environmental distributions. They contain diverse objects (regions), like vegetation, water bodies, and territory. However, these areas lack clear demarcation because of low spatial resolution. Hence, segmenting various land cover parts in these images is a complicated task.

One of the key aspects of image segmentation is thresholding (Agrawal et al., 2013)[12]. Many algorithms to perform global level thresholding can be found in the existing literature which aim at segmenting images and extract compelling and meaningful patterns (Rosin, 2001; Portesde et al., 2004; Zahara et al., 2005).

Otsu in the year 1979 [13] presented a method for selecting threshold from grey-level histograms. But, inadequate articulation of between-class variance raises the cost of computation of the algorithm, specifically in the selection of multi-level threshold. Otsu's thresholding aims at automatic threshold selection and region-based segmentation and is one of the most accurate techniques for image thresholding because of its simple calculation. Differential Evolution is a reasonably recent population-based evolutionary model which depends on the mutation operation as its prominent step. It efficiently explores large search spaces and exhibits superior results in the following criteria: (1) shorter convergence speed than other evolutionary algorithms, (2) fewer number of parameter adjustments, making it particularly easy to implement.

Tsai (1985)[14] uses the moment-preserving principle to determine thresholds of grey-level input images - the Tsallis entropy technique, a prominent function used for image thresholding. Kittler et al.(1986) work with the assumption that the grey levels of every entity within an image are usually distributed. Kapur et al. (1985) further introduced a robust method for grey-level image thresholding by utilizing the histogram entropy (Kapur et al., 1985)[15].

Entropy-based approaches have earned popularity among all the distinctive image thresholding methods. PCO, influenced by the social behaviours like flocking of birds or schooling of fishes (Akay et al., 2013[16]; Maitra et al. 2008[17]; Yin, et al. 2007[18]), ant colony optimization (ACO) influenced by the pasturing conduct of ant colonies (Ye et al., 2005[20]; Tao et al., 2007[19]) Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) inspired by the pasturing conduct of honey bee swarm (Cuevas et al., 2012 [21], Akay et al., 2013;).

Another recent area of research inspired by the coordinated intelligence in a swarm of insects or animals has also emerged called Swarm intelligence. One of the widespread and recently developed Swarm Intelligence-based techniques is the ABC algorithm, presented by Karaboga D. (2005)[22], that simulates the foraging behavior of honey bee colonies. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the performance of Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) method is competitive and comparable with other population-based techniques.

The MABC method given by Bhandari et al.(2014) introduces an advanced solution search formula (Gao et al., 2012) in charge for its more promising search solution. Within this search equation, the bee explores only closer to the best solution of the iteration before to improve exploitation. The results demonstrate that MABC is best suited for multilevel thresholding of images to attain optimal thresholds for satellite images in comparison to Artificial Bee Colony based algorithms and Particle Swarm Optimization based techniques. Evaluating the advantages, such algorithms are the preferred choice for finding the optimum thresholds in simple images. For example, the Genetic Algorithm (GA) and the improved Genetic Algorithm (Zhang et al., 2014 [23]) are frequently in use to multilevel thresholding scenarios.

The Swarm Intelligence based computing methods can find efficient and optimal solutions for any objective function and have been widely used with an ability to generate highly accurate results in case of complex problems as well. It has also been found by statistical analysis that the Swarm Intelligence based algorithms perform well in multi-level thresholding scenarios (Kurban et al., 2014 [24]).

2 BRIEF EXPLANATION OF THE ALGORITHMS USED IN THIS STUDY

2.1 Otsu's thresholding

2.1.1 Bi-level thresholding

In Bi-level thresholding, the aim is to find a threshold to minimize the variance between classes in the segmented image. Otsu's algorithm tends to achieve better results when two different peaks are present in the histogram of the original image, one corresponding to the background and the other to the foreground. The entire range of pixels are iterated and the Otsu's threshold is determined when the between-class variances are minimum. Hence, the Otsu's threshold tends to be decided by the class with greater variance. Hence, Otsu's method tends to produce sub-optimal results when there is an occurrence of two or more peaks within the histogram of the image or if one of the classes has a significant variance.

The total mean and variance are calculated based on the following formulas: The entire set of pixels are distributed into 2 classes,

$C_1 \leftarrow$ pixels having grey levels $[1, t]$
 $C_2 \leftarrow$ pixels having grey levels $[t + 1, \dots, L]$.
 where t corresponds to Otsu's threshold

The probability distribution of the two classes is denoted by:

$$C_1 : \frac{p_1}{w_1(t)}, \dots, \frac{p_r}{w_1(t)} \quad (1)$$

and

$$C_2 : \frac{p_{r+1}}{w_2(t)}, \dots, \frac{p_L}{w_2(t)} \quad (2)$$

Where, $w_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i$ and $w_2(t) = \sum_{i=r+1}^L p_i$

The class mean for the two classes μ_1 and μ_2 are defined by:

$$\mu_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{i * p_i}{w_1(t)} \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_2 = \sum_{i=r+1}^L \frac{i * p_i}{w_2(t)} \quad (4)$$

Otsu's between-class variance based on discriminate analysis of the threshold image is defined as:

$$\sigma_B^2 = w_1(\mu_1 - \mu_T)^2 + w_2(\mu_2 - \mu_T)^2 \quad (5)$$

For bi-level thresholding, the optimal threshold t^* is chosen to maximize between-class variance n , i.e.

$$t^* = \underset{t < L}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sigma_B^2(t) \quad (6)$$

2.1.2 Multilevel Thresholding

The first step in the algorithm is to obtain the Otsu's threshold and the class means of the two classes divided by the Otsu's threshold. Further, in multi-level thresholding, the pixels of the image are divided into three categories instead of two categories determined by Otsu's threshold. The three categories correspond to the following: (a) the 'foreground' region - group of pixel with values \geq the larger mean, (b) the 'background' region - group of pixels with values \leq to the smaller mean and (c) the 'to-be-determined (TBD)' region - group of pixels with values between the two class means.

In iteration $i + 1$, the algorithm retains the 'foreground' and 'background' regions from iteration i and re-applies the Otsu's method only on the 'to-be-determined' region to further divide it into three classes again. When this iteration stops after satisfying a pre-defined criterion, the final 'to-be-determined (TBD)' region is then divided into two classes - foreground and background instead of three. Lastly, the foreground regions from all the iterations are combined to get the final foreground class and the final background region is also determined likewise.

For an image represented by L no. of grey levels $0, 1, \dots, L - 1$, we could develop the image histogram $H = \{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{L-1}\}$, where f_i is the frequency of grey level i in the image. Let

$$N = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} f_i$$

determine the total no. of pixels in the image. The occurrence probability of i^{th} grey level is defined by:

$$p_i = \frac{f_i}{N} \quad (7)$$

It can be easily be illustrated that $p_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{L-1} p_i = 1$.

Otsu's algorithm divides the image into $K + 1$ clusters $\{C_0, C_1, \dots, C_K\}$ using K no. of thresholds chosen from the set $T = \{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_K) | 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_K < L\}$ where C_K is the set of pixels with grey levels $\{t_K, t_K + 1, \dots, t_{K+1} - 1\}$. Where $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{K+1} = L$. For every cluster C_K , the cumulative probability w_K and mean grey level μ_K are defined by:

$$w_k = \sum_{i \in C_k} p_i, k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, K\} \quad (8)$$

$$\mu_k = \sum_{i \in C_k} \frac{i * p_i}{w_k}, k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, K\} \quad (9)$$

The mean intensity of the whole image μ_T and the between-class variance σ_B^2 are defined by:

$$\mu_T = \sum_{k=0}^K w_k * \mu_k = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} i * p_i \quad (10)$$

and

$$\sigma_B^2 = \sum_{k=0}^K w_k * (\mu_k - \mu_T)^2 = \sum_{k=0}^K w_k * \mu_k^2 - \mu_T^2 \quad (11)$$

In Otsu's method, the threshold levels for each cluster are selected on the basis of maximizing the variance among means of the cluster (Huang et al., 2011). The optimal thresholds at maximum between-class variance are determined by:

$$(t_1^*, t_2^*, \dots, t_K^*) = \{\sigma_B^2(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_K)\} \quad (12)$$

3 DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION (DE)

In comparison to other evolutionary algorithms, the Differential Evolution (DE) algorithm aims to reserve the global search strategy based on population and employs a simple mutation function of the differential and one-on-one competition, reducing the operation's genetic complexity. At the same time, the specific memory ability of DE allows it to dynamically track the current search to adjust its search strategy with robust global convergence. Hence, it is suited for the complex environments of the optimization problem. Fundamental operations like selection, crossover, and mutation are the foundation of the Differential Evolution algorithm.

3.1 How DE is used in the proposed algorithm?

Initially, the image to be segmented is made to run through OTSU's multilevel thresholding, on the basis of the number of levels (L) the image has to be segmented. The output of this step yields us with ' L ' threshold values which act as partitions for the pixel intensities. These partitions divide the pixel intensity range (0-255) into ' $L + 1$ ' clusters. Based on the pixels belonging to the image that fall into a particular cluster, the cluster centre is defined using the mean of the pixels (falling in that cluster).

Now, the image to be segmented is given as input to the DE algorithm. Each of the ' G ' generations has N_p number of populations, where population size is equal to the count of the image pixels. After each generation, the best population is evaluated based on the least value of fitness function, which is a measure to the MSE (mean square error) distance of each pixel in the population to its corresponding cluster centre. The next generations are populated using this best population as described later in the algorithm. The best population of the last generation is again reshaped into image format, which form the segmented image.

4 PROPOSED ALGORITHM

4.1 Algorithm pre-processing

In our implementation an input image and the number of levels (L) are given as input to the algorithm which would return the cluster partition values (cp_1, cp_2, \dots, cp_L).

Cluster ranges, $(0, cp_1), (cp_1 + 1, cp_2), \dots, (cp_L + 1, 255)$ are computed from the cluster partition values obtained after the thresholding of the image.

Cluster centres, $(cc_1, cc_2, \dots, cc_{L+1})$ are calculated as follows :

$$cc_i = \frac{\sum pix^i}{|pix^i|} \quad (13)$$

Where,

pix^i = pixels in the cluster range $(0, cp_i)$

$|pix^i|$ = pixels in the cluster range $(0, cp_i)$

4.2 Differential Evolution

Consider the image has ‘ m ’ pixels $(pix_1, pix_2, \dots, pix_m)$, and algorithm runs for ‘ G ’ generations with ‘ Np ’ no. of populations per generation.

$X_{n,i}^g$: i^{th} pixel value of n^{th} population in g^{th} generation

$$X_n^g : [X_{n,1}^g, X_{n,2}^g, \dots, X_{n,m}^g] \quad (14)$$

$$n : 1 \rightarrow Np(\text{populationindex})$$

$$g : 1 \rightarrow G(\text{generationindex})$$

$$i : 1 \rightarrow m(\text{pixelindex})$$

Algorithm 1 An algorithm with caption

```

n = 0
i = 0
while n ≠ 0 do
  while i ≠ P do
    [Xlow, Xhigh] ← clusterrangeforpixel, i
    Xn,i1 ← Xlow + rand(0, 1) * [Xhigh - Xlow]
  end while
end while
n = 0
while n ≠ 0 do
  MSEXng+1 =  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m (X_{n,i}^1 - C_i)^2$ 
  Xbest1 ← populationwithminimumfitnessvalue
end while
Mutant vector :
for each population n in generation g+1
  Vng+1 ← Xbestg + F * (Xr1g - Xr2g)
  if rand(0, 1) ≤ Cr then
    Ung+1 ← Vng+1
  else if rand(0, 1) > Cr then
    Ung+1 ← Xng
  end if
  if fitness(Ung+1) < fitness(Xng) then
    Xng+1 ← Ung+1
  else if fitness(Ung+1) > fitness(Xng) then
    Xng+1 ← Xng
  end if

```

Fitness function and mutant vector calculation will be repeated for G generations. After G generations the X_{best}^G population is considered the solution to image segmentation and the ‘ m ’ pixels in X_{best}^G is converted into output image

5 RESULTS

5.1 Performance Metrics

This section aims at presenting results gathered from various satellite images using the proposed OTSU based differential evolution algorithm are discussed and those results are set side by side the results obtained from the Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC) [11], Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA). Along with quality estimation factor of Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR), algorithm efficiency (based on CPU Timing) and feature assessment is also measured using Structural Similarity Index measure (SSIM).

Table 6-14 compares the PSNR, SSIM and CPU time values gathered by applying the proposed DE+OTSU method and set side by side for comparison with the result obtained using Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) methods respectively.

5.2 Input Images and References




Figure 1: Satellite images used to perform and compare the experiments
 (a) an image from the NASA Earth Observatory, Yellowstone National Park, June 2009 (b)Pléiades Satellite Image: Shanghai, China (c)Pléiades Satellite Image: Kabul Airport, Afghanistan

5.3 Parameters configuration in the Proposed Algorithm

Table 1: Parameters used in DE+OTSU

Parameters	Value
No. of generations and population size	10 and 100
Crossover and mutation probability	0.2, 0.3
Image size	250*250 pixels

Table 2: Parameters configuration in ABC

Parameters	Value
Swam Size and iterations	10 and 100
Lower and Upper bounds ($lb(X_{min}), ub(X_{max})$)	1 and 256
Trial limit at max	10
$F_i(\phi)$	[0,1]

Table 3: Parameters configuration in MABC

Parameters	Value
Swam Size	10
Iterations (Max FE)	100
No. of Iterations with chaos	300
Lower and Upper bounds ($lb(X_{min}), ub(X_{max})$)	1 and 256

Table 4: Parameters configuration in PSO

Parameters	Value
Swam Size and iterations	200 and 100
Cognitive, social and neighbourhood acceleration (C1, C2, C3)	2,2,1
Lower and Upper bounds ($lb(X_{min}), ub(X_{max})$)	1 and 256
Error goal and Trial limit at max	$1e^{-7}$ and 500
Starting velocity weight	0.95
Ending velocity weight	0.4
The proportion of iterations, for linear varied W	0.7
Constriction Factor, Maximum Velocity Step and Neighborhood Size	1
Global Minima	0

Table 5: Parameters configuration in GA

Parameters	Value
Size of the population and iterations	10 and 100
Crossover and mutation probability	0.5 and 0.1
Bits used in variable representation	[8]
$eta(\eta)$	1
Lower and Upper bounds ($lb(X_{min}), ub(X_{max})$)	1 and 256

5.4 Comparison of Output Images

Table 6 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Kapur’s entropy with proposed DE+OTSU based on CPU timing (seconds).

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	KAPUR’S ENTROPY			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	10.62	2.843121	6.890611	21.00694	185.94096
	3	10.96	2.48574	6.641995	22.24080	181.80301
	4	8.26	2.528806	6.636246	21.84823	184.04964
	5	9.92	3.181302	6.661542	23.07188	121.46275
2	2	12.57	2.947659	6.510813	24.00778	268.01849
	3	10.56	2.487444	6.295622	23.27194	266.77671
	4	8.69	2.621718	7.009322	23.85787	268.79580
	5	10.65	2.733291	6.570533	24.95336	183.32426
3	2	9.93	2.81911	8.235545	25.22988	436.11369
	3	11.36	3.286868	8.075897	24.80887	433.87366
	4	8.11	2.612452	6.917417	24.86667	438.91758
	5	10.34	2.821316	8.247721	25.73357	296.15088

Table 7 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Kapur's entropy with proposed DE+OTSU based on PSNR (dB) [25] values.

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	KAPUR'S ENTROPY			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	16.43	24.54	24.53	24.55	24.58
	3	15.53	24.76	24.79	24.74	24.756
	4	20.16	24.97	25.01	24.969	25.89
	5	18.94	25.82	25.76	25.188	25.676
2	2	16.77	24.6265	24.606	24.575	24.591
	3	18.85	24.80	24.801	24.84	24.811
	4	20.12	25.024	25.008	25.008	25.979
	5	21.71	25.542	25.238	26.106	25.54
3	2	14.36	24.64	24.659	24.649	24.691
	3	19.53	24.83	24.86	24.84	24.90
	4	20.99	25.065	25.039	25.063	25.978
	5	20.54	24.5451	24.542	24.551	24.582

Table 8 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Kapur's entropy with proposed DE+OTSU based on SSIM [25] values.

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	KAPUR'S ENTROPY			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	0.37	0.900747	0.865230	0.936475	0.941127
	3	0.312	0.966901	0.953207	0.965857	0.962966
	4	0.59	0.97696	0.970332	0.977355	0.969124
	5	0.492	0.989512	0.981282	0.984123	0.979080
2	2	0.53	0.886746	0.877771	0.945456	0.945627
	3	0.59	0.958891	0.949243	0.967704	0.965602
	4	0.761	0.976986	0.974446	0.979841	0.975580
	5	0.672	0.985457	0.978247	0.985389	0.982706
3	2	0.421	0.918842	0.902112	0.943819	0.947394
	3	0.672	0.961164	0.959592	0.968163	0.963107
	4	0.73	0.978343	0.961763	0.979184	0.976280
	5	0.704	0.989280	0.977779	0.985351	0.981165

Table 9 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Between-class variance with proposed DE+OTSU based on CPU timing (seconds).

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	BETWEEN CLASS VARIANCE			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	10.62	2.251826	2.511814	13.23507	121.92735
	3	10.96	2.383504	2.495365	4.30062	118.78825
	4	8.26	2.243267	2.596011	4.000213	119.73264
	5	9.92	2.228959	2.434094	4.336584	119.81306
2	2	12.57	3.244759	3.504219	5.748055	174.33693
	3	10.56	3.437252	3.588553	5.722739	174.18969
	4	8.69	3.440058	3.744837	5.670794	174.57630
	5	10.65	3.406708	3.732828	5.793264	173.69577
3	2	9.93	4.667568	5.007778	7.29691	272.89039
	3	11.36	5.363554	5.276051	6.587852	275.03171
	4	8.11	5.076405	5.519536	7.154403	272.78504
	5	10.34	4.784902	5.427858	6.753919	275.44405

Table 10 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Between-class

variance with proposed DE+OTSU based on PSNR (dB) [25] values.

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	BETWEEN CLASS VARIANCE			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	16.43	24.56352	24.579535	24.546290	24.552551
	3	15.53	24.780481	24.759637	24.732067	24.764200
	4	20.16	24.981269	25.006653	25.012021	24.874078
	5	18.94	25.627989	25.4729200	25.197580	25.004700
2	2	16.77	24.621500	24.583300	24.585789	24.570418
	3	18.85	24.800300	24.794900	24.836735	24.786600
	4	20.12	24.968400	25.004600	24.973612	24.974895
	5	21.71	25.771400	25.300500	25.234673	25.158200
3	2	14.36	24.653841	24.648178	24.644845	24.645697
	3	19.53	24.856153	24.870026	24.859707	24.870600
	4	20.99	25.067745	25.054861	25.066102	26.279928
	5	20.54	25.769200	25.082472	25.293798	25.124000

Table 11 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Between-class variance with proposed DE+OTSU based on SSIM [25] values.

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	BETWEEN CLASS VARIANCE			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	0.37	0.907199	0.898708	0.9341685	0.936426
	3	0.312	0.958494	0.950182	0.9613643	0.964400
	4	0.59	0.97805	0.976883	0.9771952	0.924582
	5	0.492	0.984679	0.978082	0.9837941	0.972000
2	2	0.53	0.885600	0.869500	0.9450091	0.995003
	3	0.59	0.958300	0.957800	0.9666987	0.962600
	4	0.761	0.975500	0.978500	0.9784969	0.960120
	5	0.672	0.981600	0.979800	0.9806148	0.947300
3	2	0.421	0.920762	0.904131	0.9423958	0.942725
	3	0.672	0.963812	0.968801	0.9687778	0.962500
	4	0.73	0.971162	0.972597	0.9778467	0.955299
	5	0.704	0.982051	0.967690	0.9819275	0.95570000

Table 12 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Tsallis entropy with proposed DE+OTSU based on CPU timing (seconds).

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	TSALLIS ENTROPY			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	10.62	4.191848	9.2953	5.285757	1287.455
	3	10.96	4.28949	10.4439	5.165659	1243.575
	4	8.26	5.003336	9.4859	5.261193	0129.445
	5	9.92	5.064827	8.9783	5.146160	0122.208
2	2	12.57	4.687289	9.9036	7.547844	1804.159
	3	10.56	5.839503	8.8693	6.745230	1813.836
	4	8.69	5.344366	9.3367	6.553589	0178.122
	5	10.65	6.290270	9.0729	7.058079	0180.25
3	2	9.93	6.721415	8.9362	7.839406	2672.361
	3	11.36	6.710296	10.7472	7.785332	2644.285
	4	8.11	6.903615	10.5427	7.210223	297.826
	5	10.34	7.755440	10.1722	7.334682	263.15

Table 13 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Tsallis entropy

with proposed DE+OTSU based on PSNR (dB) [25] values.

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	TSALLIS ENTROPY			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	16.43	24.377843	24.351485	24.519494	24.104899
	3	15.53	24.636986	24.577900	24.657204	25.929835
	4	20.16	24.960974	24.751543	24.982344	25.065115
	5	18.94	25.448985	25.553804	24.860717	26.549726
2	2	16.77	24.48804	24.584723	24.650583	24.747813
	3	18.85	24.866677	24.582997	24.559801	25.454637
	4	20.12	24.964328	24.782696	25.054277	25.260387
	5	21.71	26.76419	25.037394	25.151130	26.946907
3	2	14.36	24.649292	24.477749	24.655701	25.288265
	3	19.53	24.829821	24.644722	24.769577	25.408871
	4	20.99	24.865398	24.870877	25.056663	25.196562
	5	20.54	25.093037	24.858501	25.064660	26.927766

Table 14 : Comparison between Modified Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (MABC), Artificial Bee Colony algorithm (ABC), Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) algorithms using Tsallis entropy with proposed DE+OTSU based on SSIM [25] values.

Image	Level	DE+OTSU	TSALLIS ENTROPY			
			MABC	ABC	PSO	GA
1	2	0.37	0.873413	0.848868	0.904213	0.877822
	3	0.312	0.938499	0.927630	0.854607	0.922841
	4	0.59	0.964424	0.946915	0.962464	0.961415
	5	0.492	0.979107	0.978985	0.944744	0.964881
2	2	0.53	0.8708	0.839311	0.888775	0.902111
	3	0.59	0.93286	0.847479	0.848088	0.945562
	4	0.761	0.96772	0.948136	0.931402	0.963708
	5	0.672	0.978641	0.969851	0.977266	0.924099
3	2	0.421	0.923064	0.860053	0.906366	0.877859
	3	0.672	0.939928	0.915346	0.891213	0.816022
	4	0.73	0.960756	0.943489	0.942365	0.935665
	5	0.704	0.968972	0.953955	0.964825	0.967876

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, an algorithm for image segmentation task based on a combination of Differential evolution and Otsu's multi-level thresholding was developed and the results were experimentally examined against three different satellite images. The study also explored the comparison between the proposed algorithm and the developed MABC[11], ABC, PSO, GA using Kapur's entropy, Between-class variance and Tsallis entropy (one at a time) based on the three satellite images based on PSNR, SSIM and CPU time parameters. The proposed Differential evolution based Otsu method(DE+OTSU) yields promising results which are close to the results obtained from the other algorithms (MABC, ABC and PSO) and we observed to be better than Genetic algorithm(GA). On proper parameter setting, there is a positive probability of increased performance results when using the proposed algorithm.


References

- [1] P.N.Varalakshmi.K, D. Uma Devi, 2012, Image segmentation of Dermatitis Images Using Differential Evolution, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING RESEARCH & TECHNOLOGY (IJERT) Volume 01, Issue 08 (October 2012)
- [2] Cuevas, Erik & Zaldivar, Daniel & Cisneros, Marco. (2016). Image Segmentation Based on Differential Evolution Optimization. 10.1007/978-3-319-26462-2-2.
- [3] Storn, R., Price, K. Differential Evolution – A Simple and Efficient Heuristic for global Optimization over Continuous Spaces. Journal of Global Optimization 11, 341–359 (1997).

- [4] Hamed Soleimani, Govindan Kannan, A hybrid particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithm for closed-loop supply chain network design in large-scale networks, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, Volume 39, Issue 14, 2015, Pages 3990-4012, ISSN 0307-904X, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2014.12.016> (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0307904X14006842>)
- [5] S. Choubey, "Genetic algorithm, particle swarm optimization and differential evolution algorithm for optimal hydro generation scheduling," 2017 International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), 2017, pp. 486-491, doi: 10.1109/ICCONS.2017.8250770.
- [6] Pham Xuan, Thuy & Siarry, Patrick & Oulhadj, Hamouche. (2018). Integrating fuzzy entropy clustering with an improved PSO for MRI brain image segmentation. *Applied Soft Computing*. 65. 10.1016/j.asoc.2018.01.003.
- [7] I. Khanfir Kallel, S. Almouahed, B. Solaiman, É. Bossé, An iterative possibilistic knowledge diffusion approach for blind medical image segmentation, *Pattern Recognition*, Volume 78, 2018, Pages 182-197, ISSN 0031-3203
- [8] Priya M.S and Dr. G.M. Kadhar Nawaz, "Multilevel Image Thresholding using OTSU's algorithm in image segmentation", *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research* Volume 8, Issue 5, May-2017
- [9] Ta Yang Goh, Shafriza Nisha Basah, Haniza Yazid, Muhammad Juhairi Aziz Safar, Fathinul Syahir Ahmad Saad, Performance analysis of image thresholding: Otsu technique, *Measurement*, Volume 114, 2018, Pages 298-307, ISSN 0263-2241, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.09.052>.
- [10] Kachitvichyanukul, Voratas. (2012). Comparison of three evolutionary algorithms: GA, PSO, and DE. *Industrial Engineering and Management Systems*. 12. 215-223. 10.7232/iems.2012.11.3.215.
- [11] A.K. Bhandari, A. Kumar, G.K. Singh, Modified artificial bee colony based computationally efficient multilevel thresholding for satellite image segmentation using Kapur's, Otsu and Tsallis functions, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 42, Issue 3, 2015, Pages 1573-1601, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2014.09.049>.
- [12] Sanjay Agrawal, Rutuparna Panda, Sudipta Bhuyan, B.K. Panigrahi, Tsallis entropy based optimal multilevel thresholding using cuckoo search algorithm, *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, Volume 11, 2013, Pages 16-30, ISSN 2210-6502, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2013.02.001>.
- [13] N. Otsu, "A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms," in *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 62-66, Jan. 1979, doi: 10.1109/TSMC.1979.4310076.
- [14] Wen-Hsiang Tsai, Moment-preserving thresholding: A new approach, *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing*, Volume 29, Issue 3, 1985, Pages 377-393, ISSN 0734-189X, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0734-189X\(85\)90133-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0734-189X(85)90133-1).
- [15] J.N. Kapur, P.K. Sahoo, A.K.C. Wong, A new method for gray-level picture thresholding using the entropy of the histogram, *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing*, Volume 29, Issue 3, 1985, Pages 273-285, ISSN 0734-189X, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0734-189X\(85\)90125-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0734-189X(85)90125-2).
- [16] Bahriye Akay, A study on particle swarm optimization and artificial bee colony algorithms for multi-level thresholding, *Applied Soft Computing*, Volume 13, Issue 6, 2013, Pages 3066-3091, ISSN 1568-4946, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2012.03.072>.
- [17] Madhubanti Maitra, Amitava Chatterjee, A hybrid cooperative-comprehensive learning based PSO algorithm for image segmentation using multilevel thresholding, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 34, Issue 2, 2008, Pages 1341-1350, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2007.01.002>.
- [18] Peng-Yeng Yin, Multilevel minimum cross entropy threshold selection based on particle swarm optimization, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Volume 184, Issue 2, 2007, Pages 503-513, ISSN 0096-3003, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2006.06.057>.
- [19] Wenbing Tao, Hai Jin, Liman Liu, Object segmentation using ant colony optimization algorithm and fuzzy entropy, *Pattern Recognition Letters*, Volume 28, Issue 7, 2007, Pages 788-796, ISSN 0167-8655, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2006.11.007>.
- [20] Ye, Z., Zheng, Z., Yu, X., & Ning, X., "Automatic threshold selection based on ant colony optimization algorithm", *IEEE international conference on neural networks and brain* (2005) (vol. 2, pp. 728-732).
- [21] E. Cuevas, F. Sencion, D. Zaldivar, M. Perez-Cisneros, H. Sossa, "A multi-threshold segmentation approach based on artificial bee colony optimization", *Applied Intelligence*, 37 (3) (2012), pp. 321-336
- [22] Karaboga D., "An idea based on honey bee swarm for numerical optimization", *Technical Report-TR06*, Kayseri, Turkey: Erciyes University, (2005), p. 200.
- [23] Jing Zhang, Jinfeng Liu, Two triggered information transmission algorithms for distributed moving horizon state estimation, *Systems & Control Letters*, Volume 65, 2014, Pages 1-12, ISSN 0167-6911, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sysconle.2013.12.003>.

- [24] Tuba Kurban, Pinar Civicioglu, Rifat Kurban, Erkan Besdok, Comparison of evolutionary and swarm based computational techniques for multilevel color image thresholding, *Applied Soft Computing*, Volume 23, 2014, Pages 128-143, ISSN 1568-4946, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2014.05.037>.
- [25] Ashish Kumar Bhandari, Vineet Kumar Singh, Anil Kumar, Girish Kumar Singh, Cuckoo search algorithm and wind driven optimization based study of satellite image segmentation for multilevel thresholding using Kapur's entropy, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Volume 41, Issue 7, 2014, Pages 3538-3560, ISSN 0957-4174, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.10.059>.

MABC




(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

ABC




(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)

PSO




(i)

(j)

(k)

(l)

GA




(m)

(n)

(o)

(p)

DE+OTSU



(q)


(r)

(s)

(t)

Figure 2: Segmented images obtained for 1st satellite image using MABC, ABC, PSO, GA with Kapur's entropy and proposed DE+OTSU methods. (a-d): levels 2-5 from MABC using Kapur's entropy. (e-h): levels 2-5 from ABC using Kapur's entropy. (i-l): levels 2-5 from PSO using Kapur's entropy. (m-p): levels 2-5 from GA using Kapur's entropy. (q-t): levels 2-5 from proposed DE+OTSU algorithm.

MABC




(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

ABC




(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)

PSO




(i)

(j)

(k)

(l)

GA




(m)

(n)

(o)

(p)

DE+OTSU



(q)


(r)

(s)

(t)

Figure 3: Segmented images obtained for 1st satellite image using MABC,ABC,PSO,GA with Between-class variance and proposed DE+OSU methods. (a-d) : levels 2-5 from MABC using Between-class variance. (e-h) : levels 2-5 from ABC using Between-class variance. (i-l) : levels 2-5 from PSO using Between-class variance.(m-p) : levels 2-5 from GA using Between-class variance. (q-t) : levels 2-5 from proposed DE+OTSU algorithm.

MABC




(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

ABC




(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)

PSO




(i)

(j)

(k)

(l)

GA




(m)

(n)

(o)

(p)

DE+OTSU



(q)

(r)

(s)

(t)

Figure 4: Segmented images obtained for 1st satellite image using MABC,ABC,PSO,GA with Tsallis entropy and proposed DE+OSU methods. (a-d) : levels 2-5 from MABC using Tsallis entropy. (e-h) : levels 2-5 from ABC using Tsallis entropy. (i-l) : levels 2-5 from PSO using Tsallis entropy.(m-p) : levels 2-5 from GA using Tsallis entropy. (q-t) : levels 2-5 from proposed DE+OTSU algorithm.

MABC



(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

levels 2-5 from MABC using Kapur's entropy.

MABC



(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

levels 2-5 from MABC using Between-Class variance.

MABC



(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

levels 2-5 from MABC using Tsallis entropy.



(a)

(b)


(c)

(d)

levels 2-5 from proposed DE+OTSU algorithm.

Figure 5: Segmented images obtained for 2nd satellite image using MABC and proposed DE+OSU methods.

MABC




(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

ABC




(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)

PSO




(i)

(j)

(k)

(l)

GA




(m)

(n)

(o)

(p)

DE+OTSU



(q)


(r)

(s)

(t)

Figure 6: Segmented images obtained for 3rd satellite image using MABC,ABC,PSO,GA with Kapur's entropy and proposed DE+OSU methods.(a-d) : levels 2-5 from MABC using Kapur's entropy.(e-h) : levels 2-5 from ABC using Kapur's entropy.(i-l) : levels 2-5 from PSO using Kapur's entropy.(m-p) : levels 2-5 from GA using Kapur's entropy.(q-t) : levels 2-5 from proposed DE+OTSU algorithm.

MABC




(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

ABC




(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)

PSO




(i)

(j)

(k)

(l)

GA




(m)

(n)

(o)

(p)

DE+OTSU



(q)


(r)

(s)

(t)

Figure 7: Segmented images obtained for 3rd satellite image using MABC,ABC,PSO,GA with Between-class variance and proposed DE+OSU methods. (a-d) : levels 2-5 from MABC using Between-class variance. (e-h) : levels 2-5 from ABC using Between-class variance. (i-l) : levels 2-5 from PSO using Between-class variance.(m-p) : levels 2-5 from GA using Between-class variance. (q-t) : levels 2-5 from proposed DE+OTSU algorithm.

MABC




(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

ABC



(e)

(f)

(g)

(h)

PSO




(i)

(j)

(k)

(l)

GA




(m)

(n)

(o)

(p)

DE+OTSU



(q)

(r)

(s)

(t)

Figure 8: Segmented images obtained for 3rd satellite image using MABC,ABC,PSO,GA with Tsallis entropy and proposed DE+OSU methods. (a-d) : levels 2-5 from MABC using Tsallis entropy. (e-h) : levels 2-5 from ABC using Tsallis entropy. (i-l) : levels 2-5 from PSO using Tsallis entropy.(m-p) : levels 2-5 from GA using Tsallis entropy. (q-t) : levels 2-5 from proposed DE+OTSU algorithm.