

Listing 4-Cycles

Amir Abboud*

Seri Khoury†

Oree Leibowitz‡

Ron Safer§

Abstract

In this note we present an algorithm that lists all 4-cycles in a graph in time $\tilde{O}(\min(n^2, m^{4/3}) + t)$ where t is their number. Notably, this separates 4-cycle listing from triangle-listing, since the latter has a $(\min(n^3, m^{3/2}) + t)^{1-o(1)}$ lower bound under the 3-SUM Conjecture. Our upper bound is conditionally tight because (1) $O(n^2, m^{4/3})$ is the best known bound for detecting if the graph has any 4-cycle, and (2) it matches a recent $(\min(n^3, m^{3/2}) + t)^{1-o(1)}$ 3-SUM lower bound for enumeration algorithms. The latter lower bound was proved very recently by Abboud, Bringmann, and Fischer [arXiv, 2022] and independently by Jin and Xu [arXiv, 2022].

Independent work: Jin and Xu [arXiv, 2022] also present an algorithm with the same time bound.

1 Introduction

Finding small patterns in large graphs is a classical task. Perhaps the two smallest patterns that make such problems non-trivial are the triangle and the 4-cycle. The best known algorithms for *detecting* if a graph has at least one pattern take $O(\min(n^\omega, m^{2\omega/(\omega+1)}))$ for triangle [4], where $\omega < 2.37188$ is the fast matrix multiplication exponent [3, 7], and $O(\min(n^2, m^{4/3}))$ for 4-cycle [4].¹ Note that if $\omega = 2$ then the two bounds are the same.

In the *listing* formulation we are asked to return all occurrences of the pattern in the graph. Simple exhaustive search lets us list all triangles in $O(\min(n^3, m^{1.5}) + t)$ time, where t is their number. A more clever algorithm by Björklund, Pagh, Vassilevska Williams, and Zwick [5] has an upper bound of $\tilde{O}(n^\omega + n^{\frac{3(\omega-1)}{5-\omega}} t^{\frac{2(3-\omega)}{5-\omega}})$ and $\tilde{O}(m^{\frac{2\omega}{\omega+1}} + m^{\frac{3(\omega-1)}{\omega+1}} t^{\frac{3-\omega}{\omega+1}})$. Assuming $\omega = 2$ the running times simplify to $\tilde{O}(n^2 + nt^{2/3})$ and $\tilde{O}(m^{4/3} + mt^{1/3})$ which is essentially tight under the 3-SUM Conjecture due to a reduction of Kopelowitz, Pettie, and Porat [9] that optimizes a construction by Pătraşcu [10], and by the APSP Conjecture by a reduction of Vassilevska Williams and Xu [12].

We present the first algorithm improving over exhaustive search for 4-cycle listing. Notably, it is faster than the lower bounds for triangle listing and therefore separates the two problems (under the 3-SUM Conjecture).

Theorem 1.1. *4-cycle listing can be solved in $O(\min(n^2 + t, (m^{4/3} + t) \cdot \log^2 n)$ time.*

Any improvement on our upper bound would break the longstanding upper bound for 4-cycle *detection*. The first super-linear lower bound under popular conjectures for 4-cycle listing was proved recently by Abboud, Bringmann, Khoury, and Zamir [2] using the “short cycle removal” technique. Recent work by Abboud, Bringmann, and Fischer [1] and independently by Jin and Xu [8] optimized this technique and

*Weizmann Institute of Science. Supported by an Alon scholarship and a research grant from the Center for New Scientists at the Weizmann Institute of Science. Email: amir.abboud@weizmann.ac.il

†UC Berkeley. Email: seri.khoury@berkeley.edu

‡Weizmann Institute of Science. Email: oree.leibowitz@weizmann.ac.il

§Weizmann Institute of Science. Email: ron.safer@weizmann.ac.il

¹Throughout, we assume that graphs are unweighted, undirected, and have n nodes and m edges.

proved a $(\min(n^2, m^{4/3}) + t)^{1-o(1)}$ lower bound under the 3-SUM Conjecture. Our upper bound complements these lower bounds.²

Independent work: Jin and Xu [8] independently obtained the same result by a similar technique.

2 Upper bounds for 4-Cycle Listing

In Section 2.1, we start with a simple extension of the folklore $O(n^2)$ -time algorithm for 4-cycle detection [11] to an $O(n^2 + t)$ -time algorithm for 4-cycles listing, where t is their number.

Then, in Section 2.2, we present our main result in this note, which is an $\tilde{O}(m^{4/3} + t)$ -time algorithm for 4-cycles listing.

2.1 Warm-up: An $O(n^2 + t)$ algorithm

Observation 2.1. *Given a graph G , there is an $O(n^2 + t)$ -time algorithm that lists all the 4-cycles, where t is their number.*

Proof Sketch. We can list all 2-paths, by going over all pairs of nodes u, v and list the 2-paths between u and v . After listing all 2-paths, we go over all pairs of nodes u, v again and list the 4-cycles that u and v participate in, by going over all pairs of 2-paths between u and v .

The time complexity is linear in the number of 2-paths and the number of 4-cycles t . By observing that the number of 2-paths is $O(n^2 + t)$, the claim follows. \square

2.2 An $\tilde{O}(m^{4/3} + t)$ -time algorithm

In order to improve the $O(n^2 + t)$ -time algorithm, we can't afford listing all 2-paths. For instance, in a star graph, there are $O(n^2)$ 2-paths, but no 4-cycles. Hence, intuitively speaking, we need to narrow our attention to a certain type of 2-paths that are useful for listing 4-cycles efficiently. Indeed, to overcome the star example, it suffices to note that there is no point in listing 2-paths with endpoints of degree one (leaves), as these 2-paths can't be extended to 4-cycles.

To extend this intuition, perhaps one could try to split the nodes into low-degree and high-degree groups, denoted by L and H , respectively, and consider different types of 2-paths with respect to this partitioning. The advantage of such partitioning is that we can narrow our attention to specific types of 2-paths that are more challenging for listing. For instance, one can immediately spot two types of 2-paths that are less challenging for listing. The first is the type of 2-paths with a low-degree node at the center, and the second is the type of 2-paths that use only high-degree nodes. For listing the first type, we just need to go over all the low-degree nodes, and list their pairs of neighbors, and there are only $O(\Delta^2)$ such pairs per low-degree node, where Δ is the degree threshold. For the second type, we can bound the number of high-degree nodes by $2m/\Delta$, which helps in bounding the number of 2-paths that use only high-degree nodes. Indeed, by picking $\Delta = m^{1/3}$, listing these two types of 2-paths takes $O(m^{4/3} + t)$ time (as shown in lemmas 2.6 and 2.7). Furthermore, listing these two types of 2-paths suffices for listing all types of 4-cycles, except the 4-cycles that use two overlapping 2-paths of the form LHH (2-paths with a high degree node at the center, one low degree endpoint, and one high degree endpoint). That is, these 4-cycles are of the form $LHHL$. To list these 4-cycles, we need to find a way to list 2-paths of the form LHH .

Unfortunately, we can't afford listing all 2-paths of the form LHH . For instance, take a graph where there is a node u that is connected to n leaves (low degree nodes), and to $n^{2/3-\epsilon}$ high-degree nodes, where each of these high-degree nodes is connected to $n^{1/3+\epsilon}$ leaves. In this example, we have $m = O(n)$ edges, $m^{5/3-\epsilon} \gg m^{4/3}$ 2-paths of the form LHH (the ones that go through u), but no 4-cycles.

²These lower bounds only hold for the closely related *enumeration* problem; our upper bounds also apply in that setting by standard techniques.

To overcome such examples, recall that the only remaining type of 4-cycles that we need to list are the ones of the form $LHHL$. Since we know how to list 2-paths of the form HLL (2-paths with a low-degree node at the center) efficiently, it suffices to list *only one of the two* LHH 2-paths that such a 4-cycle consists of. Hence, for the 4-cycles of the form $LHHL$, one could wonder: is there a property that one of the two overlapping LHH paths (that the 4-cycle consists of) must have, that would make it easier to list such 4-cycles?

Indeed, given a 4-cycle of the form $LHHL$, for the two middle high-degree nodes, one of them must have degree greater or equal to the other. Therefore, it suffices to list 2-paths of the form LHH , where the degree of the middle node is at most the degree of the third node (the high-degree endpoint). We refer to such 2-paths as $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ (the orientation from a node u to a node v here means that u 's degree is at most v 's). The question that remains is: can we afford listing all 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$? Interestingly, in this note we answer this question affirmatively. Roughly speaking, we show that there can't be that many $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ paths compared to 4-cycles. Hence, we use a charging argument that allows us to list all such 2-paths.

A road-map for the technical parts. First, in Section 2.3, we prove a helpful theorem that shows that there can't be too many 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ compared to 4-cycles. We refer to this theorem as the $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ theorem. Then, in Section 2.4, we put everything together and prove our main result - an $\tilde{O}(m^{4/3} + t)$ -time algorithm for 4-cycle listing.

2.3 The $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ Theorem

In this section we prove the following theorem that connects the number of 4-cycles in a graph to the number of 2-paths of a certain type. The degree of a node v is denoted by $\deg(v)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with m edges, let H be the set of nodes with degree larger than $m^{1/3}$, and $L = V \setminus H$. Orient all the edges $\{u, v\}$ from u to v if $\deg(u) \leq \deg(v)$ (break ties arbitrarily). Let P be the number of directed 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$. It holds that if $P > 100m^{4/3} \log^2 n$, then there are at least $P/(100 \log^2 n)$ 4-cycles.*

In order to proof theorem 2.2, we use two helper lemmas. In Lemma 2.3, we show that the number of 4-cycles is $\Omega(d^4 - n^2)$, where d is the average degree.³ In Lemma 2.4, we provide a view of the graph that has some nice properties. In particular, this view is a partitioning of the graph that has a useful regularity property, while the number of $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ 2-paths is preserved. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is provided after the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.3. *Any graph with n nodes and average degree d has $\Omega(d^4 - n^2)$ 4-cycles.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with n nodes and average degree d . Let A be the adjacency matrix of G . Denote by $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ the n eigenvalues of A . The top eigenvalue λ_1 of A is at least d . This is because:

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{v^T v = 1} v^T A v$$

Now, consider $u = (1/\sqrt{n}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{n})$. Clearly, it holds that $u^T u = 1$. On the other hand we have that:

$$u^T A u = \sum_{w \in V} \frac{1}{n} \deg(w) = 2|E(G)|/n = d$$

³This statement follows from known techniques; Jin and Xu use [6] who proved it using a convexity argument. We give a self-contained short proof with a spectral argument that is morally similar and might be of independent interest.

The number of closed 4-walks in G is at least d^4 . This is because this number is exactly the trace of A^4 and

$$\text{tr}(A^4) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^4 \geq \lambda_1^4 = d^4$$

Let T be the number of 4-cycles. To finish the proof, we show that the number of closed walks is at most

$$10n^2 + \frac{10T}{\binom{10}{2}} \quad (1)$$

This would imply that $T \geq d^4 - 10n^2 - \frac{10T}{\binom{10}{2}}$ which would imply that $T = \Omega(d^4 - n^2)$. To prove Equation 1, it suffices to bound the number of 2-paths. For this, let S be the set of pairs of nodes that intersect at most 10 times (that is, for each $u, v \in S$, we have $N(u) \cap N(v) \leq 10$). The number of 2-paths can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\{u,v\} \in S} N(u) \cap N(v) + \sum_{\{u,v\} \notin S} N(u) \cap N(v) \\ & \leq 10n^2 + \sum_{\{u,v\} \notin S} N(u) \cap N(v) \\ & \leq 10n^2 + \frac{10T}{\binom{10}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds because for any $\{u, v\} \notin S$, we can charge $\binom{x}{2}$ 4-cycles on only x 2-paths, where $x > 10$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ with m edges, let H be the set of nodes with degree larger than $m^{1/3}$, and $L = V \setminus H$. Orient all the edges $\{u, v\}$ from u to v if $\deg(u) \leq \deg(v)$ (break ties arbitrarily). Let P be the number of directed 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$. There is a partition of the set of nodes H into two parts A and B , such that the number of directed 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ is at least $P/(4 \log^2 n)$, and each node in A has the same number of incoming edges from L (up to a multiplicative 2-factor), and the same number of outgoing edges to B (up to a multiplicative 2-factor). Furthermore, each node in B has at least 1 incoming edge from A .*

Proof. We start by describing a simpler partitioning. This simpler partitioning splits the set of high-degree nodes H into two sets A and B such that the number of 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ is at least $P/4$. Such a partitioning exists by the probabilistic method: Each node in H joins A with probability $1/2$ independently. Thus, the probability that a 2-path $u \rightarrow v \rightarrow w$ that is of the form $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ survives in $L \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ is $\Pr(u \in A \wedge v \in B) = 1/4$. Hence, in expectation, we get $P/4$ such paths in $L \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$.

Next, let $\deg_L(u)$ be the number of incoming edges from L to a node u . Similarly, $\deg_B(u)$ is the number of outgoing edges to B . It holds that the number of 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ is

$$P/4 = \sum_{a \in A} \deg_L(a) \cdot \deg_B(a) = \sum_{(i,j) \in [\log n]} \sum_{\substack{a \in A \text{ s.t.} \\ \deg_L(a) \approx 2^i \\ \deg_B(a) \approx 2^j}} \deg_L(a) \cdot \deg_B(a)$$

Hence, one of the (i, j) buckets is contributing at least $P/(4 \log^2 n)$ 2-paths, as desired. Furthermore, we can trivially delete nodes in B that have no incoming edges from A (we mean the updated version of A which is the set of nodes that fall into our large (i, j) bucket). □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. First, take the partitioning from Lemma 2.4. We know that the number of 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ is $P' \geq P/(4 \log^2 n) \geq 25m^{4/3}$. Recall that each node in A has the same in-degree from L up to a multiplicative 2-factor. Denote by d_L the minimum over these degrees. Similarly, each node in A has the same out-degree to B (up to a multiplicative 2-factor). Denote the minimum of these degrees by d_B . Furthermore, each node in B has at least one incoming neighbor from A .

We show that the number of 4-cycles is $\Omega(P')$. For this, let d_0 be the average degree in the graph induced by the nodes in $A \cup B$. Since we have at most $m^{2/3}$ nodes in $A \cup B$, by Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that $d_0^4 = \Omega(P')$.

For this, we split the proof into two cases:

1. $|A| > |B|$: Observe that in this case, $d_0 > d_B/2$. Hence, it suffices to show that $d_B^4 = \Omega(P')$. For this, recall that $P' \leq 4|A| \cdot d_L \cdot d_B$ (where the 4 factor is coming from the two 2 factors for d_L and d_B), and assume towards a contradiction that $(d_B)^4 < P'$ which implies (by substituting d_B with $P'/(4|A| \cdot d_L)$) that $(P')^3 < (4|A| \cdot d_L)^4$. But this is impossible because it would imply that $P' < 16m^{4/3}$ (as $|A| \cdot d_L \leq m$).
2. $|A| \leq |B|$: In this case, we have that $d_0 > d_B \cdot |A|/(2|B|)$. Hence, it suffices to show that $(d_B|A|/2|B|)^4 > P'$. Assume towards a contradiction that $(d_B|A|/2|B|)^4 \leq P'$. By substituting d_B with $P'/(4d_L \cdot |A|)$, this implies that $(P')^3 < (8d_L \cdot |B|)^4$. Now we want to argue that $d_L \cdot |B|$ is at most m to get a contradiction to $P' \geq 25m^{4/3}$. For this, recall that each node in B has at least one incoming edge from A , which implies that the degree of each node in B is at least d_L . Hence, we have that $m \geq \sum_{u \in B} \deg(u) \geq \sum_{u \in B} d_L = |B| \cdot d_L$, as desired.

□

2.4 Listing 4-cycles

In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Listing all the 4-cycles in an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ can be done in $O(m^{4/3} \log^2 n + t \log^2 n)$ time, where m is the number of edges and t is the number of 4-cycles.*

The proof of Theorem 2.5 is based on listing several types of 2-paths efficiently. Each of the lemmas 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8 shows that we can list a certain type of 2-paths efficiently.

Lemma 2.6. *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ with m edges. Let H be the set of nodes with degree larger than $m^{1/3}$ and $L = V \setminus H$. Listing all the 2-paths with only nodes from H can be done in $O(m^{4/3} + t)$ time.*

Proof. Let G' be the subgraph of G induced by H . Denote by n' the number of nodes in G' and by t' the number of 4-cycles in G' . Observe that $n' \leq 2m^{2/3}$ and $t' \leq t$. By using an argument similar to the one used in Observation 2.1, we can list all the 2-paths in G' in time $O(n'^2 + t') = O(m^{4/3} + t)$. □

Lemma 2.7. *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ with m edges. Let H be the set of nodes with degree larger than $m^{1/3}$ and $L = V \setminus H$. Listing all the 2-paths with a node from L at the center can be done in $O(m^{4/3})$ time.*

Proof. Scan all the edges in G and for those with at least one endpoint in L scan all the neighbors of the endpoints in L . This procedure finds all the 2-paths with a node from L in the middle. Each node in L has at most $m^{1/3}$ neighbors. Therefore, the running time of this procedure is $O(m^{4/3})$. □

Lemma 2.8. *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ with m edges. Let H be the set of nodes with degree larger than $m^{1/3}$ and $L = V \setminus H$. Orient all the edges $\{u, v\}$ from u to v if $\deg(u) \leq \deg(v)$ (break ties arbitrarily). Listing all the directed 2-paths of the form $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ can be done in $O(m^{4/3} \log^2 n + t \log^2 n)$ time.*

Proof. We can list all the $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ 2-paths in time that is linear in their number and the number of edges. This can be done by going over all the nodes $u \in L$, and then going over the neighbors $v \in H$ of u , and then going over all neighbors of v with higher degree than v . This can be done in time that is linear in the number of edges and the number of $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ 2-paths. This is because we can prepare a set of higher degree nodes in H for all the nodes $u \in V$, via a simple $O(m)$ -time preprocessing step, where we go over all the edges (with at least one endpoint in H), detect for each edge the higher degree endpoint, and store it. Therefore, the running time of the algorithm is $O(m + P)$ where P is the number of directed $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ 2-paths. Since by Theorem 2.2 we have that $P = O(m^{4/3} \log^2 n + t \log^2 n)$, the claim follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. We consider all the different types of 4-cycles (in terms of low-high degree nodes) and show that we can list all of them in the desired running time.

Type 1: 4-cycles that use only high-degree nodes. This class of 4-cycles can be decomposed into two 2-paths of all high-degree nodes. These 2-paths can be listed in $O(m^{4/3} + t)$ time by Lemma 2.6.

Type 2: 4-cycles with two non-adjacent low-degree nodes. This class of 4-cycles can be decomposed into two 2-paths with a low-degree node at the center. These 2-paths can be listed in $O(m^{4/3})$ time by Lemma 2.7.

Type 3: 4-cycles with three high-degree nodes and one low-degree node. This class can be decomposed into a 2-path of all high-degree nodes and a 2-path with a low-degree node at the center. Using Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 these 2-paths can be listed in $O(m^{4/3} + t)$ time.

Type 4: 4-cycles with two adjacent low-degree nodes and two adjacent high-degree nodes. These 4-cycles can be decomposed into a directed $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ 2-path and an LLH 2-path. Using Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.7 we can list all these 2-paths in $O(m^{4/3} \log^2 n + t \cdot \log^2 n)$ time.

To sum up, we showed how to list all the different types of 4-cycles in $O(m^{4/3} \log^2 n + t \cdot \log^2 n)$ time, as desired. \square




Figure 1: The types of 4-cycles. A 4-cycle of the fourth type always consists an $L \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$ directed 2-path and a 2-path with a low-degree node at the center.

References

- [1] Amir Abboud, Karl Bringmann, and Nick Fischer. Stronger 3-sum lower bounds for approximate distance oracles via additive combinatorics, 2022.
- [2] Amir Abboud, Karl Bringmann, Seri Khoury, and Or Zamir. Hardness of approximation in p via short cycle removal: cycle detection, distance oracles, and beyond. In Stefano Leonardi and Anupam Gupta, editors, *STOC '22: 54th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, Rome, Italy, June 20 - 24, 2022*, pages 1487–1500. ACM, 2022.

- [3] Josh Alman and Virginia Vassilevska Williams. A refined laser method and faster matrix multiplication. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 522–539. SIAM, 2021.
- [4] Noga Alon, Raphael Yuster, and Uri Zwick. Finding and counting given length cycles. *Algorithmica*, 17(3):209–223, 1997.
- [5] Andreas Björklund, Rasmus Pagh, Virginia Vassilevska Williams, and Uri Zwick. Listing triangles. In *International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*, pages 223–234. Springer, 2014.
- [6] Karl Bringmann, Fabrizio Grandoni, Barna Saha, and Virginia Vassilevska Williams. Truly subcubic algorithms for language edit distance and RNA folding via fast bounded-difference min-plus product. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 48(2):481–512, 2019.
- [7] Ran Duan, Hongxun Wu, and Renfei Zhou. Faster matrix multiplication via asymmetric hashing, 2022.
- [8] Ce Jin and Yinzhan Xu. Removing additive structure in 3sum-based reductions, 2022.
- [9] Tsvi Kopelowitz, Seth Pettie, and Ely Porat. Higher lower bounds from the 3sum conjecture. In *SODA*, 2016.
- [10] Mihai Patrascu. Towards polynomial lower bounds for dynamic problems. In *Proceedings of the forty-second ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 603–610, 2010.
- [11] Dana Richards and Arthur L. Liestman. Finding cycles of a given length. In B.R. Alspach and C.D. Godsil, editors, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics (27): Cycles in Graphs*, volume 115 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, pages 249–255. North-Holland, 1985.
- [12] Virginia Vassilevska Williams and Yinzhan Xu. Monochromatic triangles, triangle listing and apsp. *2020 IEEE 61st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 786–797, 2020.