Topic-switch adapted Japanese Dialogue System based on PLATO-2

Donghuo Zeng*1

Jianming Wu^{*1}

Yanan Wang^{*1} Kazunori Matsumoto Kazushi Ikeda

Gen Hattori

*1KDDI Research, Inc.

Large-scale open-domain dialogue systems such as PLATO-2 have achieved state-of-the-art scores in both English and Chinese. However, little work explores whether such dialogue systems also work well in the Japanese language. In this work, we create a large-scale Japanese dialogue dataset, Dialogue-Graph, which contains 1.656 million dialogue data in a tree structure from News, TV subtitles, and Wikipedia corpus. Then, we train PLATO-2 using Dialogue-Graph to build a large-scale Japanese dialogue system, PLATO-JDS. In addition, to improve the PLATO-JDS in the topic switch issue, we introduce a topic-switch algorithm composed of a topic discriminator to switch to a new topic when user input differs from the previous topic. We evaluate the user experience by using our model with respect to four metrics, namely, coherence, informativeness, engagingness, and humanness. As a result, our proposed PLATO-JDS achieves an average score of 1.500 for the human evaluation with human-bot chat strategy, which is close to the maximum score of 2.000 and suggests the high-quality dialogue generation capability of PLATO-2 in Japanese. Furthermore, our proposed topic-switch algorithm achieves an average score of 1.767 and outperforms PLATO-JDS by 0.267, indicating its effectiveness in improving the user experience of our system.

1. Introduction

Transformer-based methods are becoming fundamental techniques to developing human-like chatbots [Devlin et al., 2019, Brown et al., 2020, Golovanov et al., 2019, Wolf et al., 2019, Zhou et al., 2021, Shalaby et al., 2020, Adiwardana et al., 2020, Radford et al., 2019]. Large-scale open-domain dialogue systems such as PLATO-2 [Bao et al., 2021a] is designed to scale the model up to billion parameters leading to high-quality open-domain chatbots, have achieved state-of-the-art scores in both English and Chinese. However, such large-scale dialogue systems are rarely explored in Japanese.

In this work, we create a large-scale Japanese dialogue dataset, Dialogue-Graph by collecting 1.656 million dialogue data in a tree structure from News, TV subtitles and Wikipedia corpus. Then, we train PLATO-2 using Dialogue-Graph to build a large-scale Japanese dialogue system, PLATO-JDS. Moreover, we study some cases generated by PLATO-JDS and the result suggests that PLATO-JDS is difficult to suitably switch to a new topic during a dialogue. To solve this issue to further improve the user experience of our system, we introduce a topic-switch algorithm composed of a topic discriminator to switch previous topic to a new topic when user input differs from previous topic. The topic discriminator is a BERT-based binary classifier [Devlin et al., 2019] that used to predict whether the user input belongs to previous topic or not. The topic discriminator is a BERT-based binary classifier [Devlin et al., 2019] used to predict whether the user input belongs to previous topic or not. As shown in Figure 1, if the result is "Yes", the concatenation of the user input and previous dialogue is used as the input to PLATO-JDS, otherwise, only the user input is used.

We evaluate PLATO-JDS in terms of four metrics, namely, coherence, informativeness, engagingness and humanness [Bao et al., 2021a], and the results demonstrate that our proposed PLATO-JDS achieves scores of 1.600, 1.467, 1.467, and 1.467 on four metrics, respectively for human evaluation with the human-bot chat strategy, and the average score is 1.500 and close to the maximum score of 2.000, suggesting that PLATO-2 can be adopted to achieve highquality dialogue generation in Japanese. Furthermore, our proposed topic-switch algorithm achieves the average score of 1.767 and outperforms PLATO-JDS by 0.267, indicating its effectiveness in improving the user experience of our system.

Our contributions include: 1) we manually collected 1.656 million dialogue data to build a tree-structured large Japanese dataset Dialogue-Graph; 2) we trained a Japanese PLATO-2, PLATO-JDS by using Dialogue-Graph. Both human and automatic evaluations demonstrate that PLATO-JDS is effective to achieve high-quality dialogue generation; 3) Moreover, we introduced a topic-switch algorithm to further improve the user experience of PLATO-JDS.

Related Work 2.

Dialogue systems in the Japanese language domain have been developed by employing basic deep learning techniques, such as the AI love counseling system [Nakatsuji, 2019]. There are also some chatbot applications, such as Clova [Bae et al., 2022] and Rinna [Wu et al., 2016] that employ state-of-the-art NLP methods to improve the performance of dialogue generation. The Japanese language model [Tianyu and Sawada, 2021] has trained two types of models on a public corpus, including the GPT-2 [Radford et al., 2019] and RoBERTa [Liu et al., 2019] models. Considering a largescale dataset can be used to train high-performance dialogue systems and should be taken into account. Current works [Sugiyama et al., 2021, Rikters et al., 2019] try to collect a large-scale dataset to improve Japanese dialogue system. Even so, few large-scale Japanese conversation systems have been trained by using a large Japanese language dataset, such as those in the millions in terms of size.

Recently, large-scale transformer-based dialogue generation models have significantly improved the performance of opendomain chatbots [Zhang et al., 2020, Bao et al., 2020, Bao et al.,

Contact: KDDI Research, Inc., AI Division, 356-0003 Saitama, Fujimino, Ohara, 2 Chome-1-15, 049-278-7441, do-zeng@kddiresearch.jp.



Figure 1: Overview of the topic-switch based PLATO-JDS. Given a user input with previous dialogue, the topic-switch consists of a topic discriminator that predicts if the user input is the same topic as previous dialogue, and switch to a new topic when it differs form previous dialogue's. The PLATO-JDS is a pretrained Japanese dialogue generation model for generating a response by giving specific inputs from the topic-switch.

2021a, Bao et al., 2021b, Roller et al., 2021, Lewis et al., 2020]. DialoGPT [Zhang et al., 2020] is a transformer-based dialogue generation model trained by using 147M dialogue-like exchanges from Reddit comments and shows good performance in generating context-based responses by giving a single user input. PLATO [Bao et al., 2020] focuses on performing one-to-many dialogue generation [Kim et al., 2020] (e.g., given a single user input, the model can reply with more than one response) that is able to improve DialoGPT. Furthermore, PLATO-2 [Bao et al., 2021a] scales the PLATO model up to billions of parameters to achieve a new state-of-the-art open-domain dialogue systems by introducing curriculum learning [Bengio et al., 2009], which contains pretraining on a one-to-one generation subtask and finetuning on a one-to-many generation subtask. However, PLATO-2 is evaluated on English and Chinese data, and there is no work exploring its effectiveness on Japanese data to facilitate the development of Japanese dialogue systems. To construct a high-quality dialogue system, control generation methods [Dathathri et al., 2019, Keskar et al., 2019, Madotto et al., 2020, Smith et al., 2020, Du and Ji, 2021] have been widely applied in dialogue system. In this study, PLATO-JDS is trained by curriculum learning, which controls coherent and fluent responses through two steps, as shown in Figure 5.

To improve the user experience of dialogue systems, it is necessary to make advanced models have very good topic adaptability. The work [Xu et al., 2021] extracts topic segments from dialogue history in an unsupervised way to select the most appropriate response for the topic-switch as needed. The work [Xia et al., 2022] also adopts the topic segments method but also learns inter-segment relationships to improve the topic-switch. The work [Sugiyama et al., 2021] collect a mixed dataset with three characteristics: personality, empathy, and knowledge, to fine-tune BlenderBot to conduct a chit-chat system, which can switch topics frequently. Although these models track global topic flow throughout multi-turn dialogue, they have difficulty eliminating the interference of historical dialogues when users suddenly switch topic that is not related to the previous dialogue. Instead of inputting all the historical dialogues and user's input as previous methods, we propose a topicswitch algorithm with a topic discriminator to determine what the inputs of the trained PLATO-JDS are.

3. Dataset

In this section, we describe the details of Japanese dataset *Dialogue-Graph* in §3.1 and the data preprocessing in §3.2.



Figure 2: *Dialogue-Graph*: Start from **topic 0:food** with an initial utterance $n_{0,0}^0$:"What is your favorite food?", the *Dialogue-Graph* grows to a one-to-many dialogue data containing eight dialogue turns in max. Here, one-to-many indicates that one user input can accommodate many responses.

3.1 Data collection

In this work, we use the crowd-driven system [Ikeda and Hoashi, 2018] to collect our dialogue data. This system can greatly improve the efficiency of dialogue data collection because it uses an asynchronous approach to dialogue creation, where workers can create an utterance at any time without waiting for the previous workers to finish creating the utterances. Specifically, when a worker starts using the system, the system will assign a dialogue that requires

the workers' input, and the worker creates an utterance based on the given topic as well as the previous utterances.

We recruit 60 participants to collect the dialogue dataset. We assumed that a dialogue consists of alternating utterances between role A and role B, all participants were divided into two groups of 30 individuals playing role A and role B. One role can create utterances for multiple dialogues, and a dialogue is created by multiple workers. In the end, we select only high-quality conversations by evaluation method the same as [Ikeda and Hoashi, 2018] and remove those dialogues that are not common sense, politically sensitive, and ethical concerns. To increase the efficiency of data collection and allow participants to create dialogues faster, we set the system to be accessible from 12:00 am to 3:00 pm. The dialogue data collection took over three months, costing 4 yen per utterance input and 32 yen per dialogue (about \$0.30).

Inspired by the studies [Galitsky, 2018, Cheng et al., 2020], we also create tree-structure dialogues, with 9 levels from the first head node to the leaf node, i.e. the length of the conversation is 8 turns. We use 50 topics and each topic has an initial utterance, the same as the work [Ikeda and Hoashi, 2018], for example, the topic is family and his initial utterance is "Do you live with someone?". A worker created 8 utterances based on the starting utterance, which became a dialogue. Then, we evaluated the collected dialogues using the same evaluation method as in [Ikeda and Hoashi, 2018]. This method leverages the quality of the dialogues from three perspectives: efficiency, quality, and workers' interest. After the data collection was completed, we hired the same 60 participants to do the evaluation.

In Figure 2, we define *Dialogue-Graph* $\mathcal{G}^{Dia} = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}), \mathcal{N} = \{n_{i,j}^t | 0 \leq t \leq 49, 0 \leq i \leq 8, j \geq 0\}$, where \mathcal{N} is the set of dialogue node $n_{i,j}^t, \mathcal{E} = \{e_{i,j \rightarrow m,n}^t | 0 \leq t \leq 49, 0 \leq i, m \leq 8, j, n \geq 0\}$ is the set of dialogue turns, such that $e_{0,0 \rightarrow 1,0}^0$ represents a single utterance turn from $n_{0,0}^0$ to $n_{1,0}^0$. Here, t, i/m and j/n indicate the number of topic types, utterance turns and candidates of the current dialogue node, respectively, the maximum number of t and i/m are 50 and 8, the minimum number of j/n is 3.

3.2 Preprocessing

To prepare training data for training the topic discriminator and *PLATO-JDS*, we follow three policies to preprocess data from *Dialogue-Graph*. We split each preprocessed dataset to training, validation and testing set with a common rate of 90% : 5% : 5%.

- **pos. vs neg.** As shown in Figure 3 (a), we extract arbitrary dialogue turns from the same topic and annotate them as positive samples. On the other hand, we annotate the counterpart as negative samples.
- one-to-one mapping. We prepare training data for the stage 1 of *PLATO-JDS*. As shown in Figure 3 (b), we employ the breadth-first search method (BFS) to extract 1.68M data samples in total. BFS is designed to traverse dialogue nodes in the graph to obtain utterance pairs. Starting from the root node, we extract all utterance turns as training data (*e.g.*, n⁰_{0,0} → n⁰_{1,0}, n⁰_{0,0} → n⁰_{1,3}). The definition of an utterance turn is provided in §3..
- **one-to-many mapping.** We prepare training data for the stage 2 of *PLATO-JDS*. As shown in Figure 3 (c), we use the



Positive sample:

 ${n_{1,1}^0: あなたはしっかり泳いだりしますか.,$ Can you swim well? $<math>n_{2,1}^0:$ 人が少なかったら泳ぎますね.} If there are few people, I can swim



Negative sample:

{n⁰_{1,1}: あなたはしっかり泳い だりしますか., Can you swim well? n¹_{2,1}:日常対話程度なら少し話 せます.} I can speak a little in daily conversation.

(a) Topic discriminator, [pos.vs neg.]



→ <u>Pair sample</u>

n⁰_{1,1}: あなたはしっかり泳いだりしま すか。Do you swim well? n⁰_{2,1} :人が少なかったら泳ぎますね。 If there are few people, I can swim.

(b) PLATO-JDS, [one-to-one mapping]



(c) PLATO-JDS, [one-to-many mapping]

Figure 3: Training data samples for training a topic discriminator and *PLATO-JDS*.

depth-first search method (DFS) method to generate 1.26M data samples. DFS is designed to traverse dialogue nodes in the graph to obtain all eight-turn dialogue as training data (e.g., $n_{0,0}^0 \rightarrow n_{1,0}^0 \rightarrow n_{2,1}^0 \rightarrow n_{3,1}^0 \rightarrow n_{4,1}^0 \rightarrow n_{5,0}^0 \rightarrow n_{6,0}^0 \rightarrow n_{7,0}^0 \rightarrow n_{8,2}^0$).

4. Approach

To achieve PLATO-JDS as shown in Figure 1, we design a topicswitch algorithm to utilize a topic discriminator to decide what the model inputs is by giving a user input and previous dialogue, then we feed it to a trained PLATO-JDS to generate a response. In this section, we first describe the detail of the topic discriminator in §4.1 and PLATO-JDS in §4.2 in detail, then we describe how the topic-switch algorithm works in §4.3.

4.1 Topic discriminator

The topic discriminator is a binary classifier that is designed to determine whether current user input belongs to a topic common to the previous dialogue. As shown in Figure 4, we build a BERTbased topic discriminator and train it by using the preprocessed Dialogue-Graph data described in §3.2. We annotate data that belongs to a common topic as positive samples and the counterpart as



Figure 4: Overview of topic discriminator. It consists of data preprocessing described in § 3.2, tokenization method employed to obtain word sequences, the backbone of BERT for training a binary classifier.

negative samples. We concatenate one turn containing an utterance pair as a single sentence to input into the tokenization method followed by the backbone of BERT. The objective of this training task is to discriminate whether two utterances in one pair belongs to a common topic by optimizing the binary cross entropy (BCE) loss as follows.

$$L_{BCE} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (Y_i \cdot \log \hat{Y}_i + (1 - Y_i) \cdot \log(1 - \hat{Y}_i)) \quad (1)$$

where N is the number of samples, Y_i denotes the ground-truth label (0 or 1), while \hat{Y}_i is the predicted probability of the topic discriminator.

Here, we employ the SentencePiece [Kudo and Richardson, 2018] tokenization method to segment a raw input sentence directly into word sequences. SentencePiece is a language-agnostic tokenization method based on a subword idea to avoid unknown tokens while reducing the number of tokens. The Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) [Gage, 1994, Sennrich et al., 2016] algorithm is a subword division algorithm employed by SentencePiece to shortlist high-frequency words as tokens and divide low-frequency words into two or more subwords as tokens. We use SentencePiece to split the Japanese dataset Dialogue-Graph into 48k tokens. Finally, we employ the same input representations as the original PLATO-2 model including token embedding, role embedding, turn embedding and position embedding, respectively. Here, token embedding is a pretrained embedding for different subwords. Role embedding is designed to distinguish the role of speakers during one dialogue. Turn embedding is assigned according to relative order when there are multi-turn dialogues in the conversion. Position embedding is obtained based on the token position in each dialogue.

4.2 PLATO-JDS

We follow the original PLATO-2 model [Bao et al., 2021a] to train it on Japanese data to perform dialogue generation. PLATO-2 is a large-scale transformer based dialogue generation framework that is trained via curriculum learning [Bengio et al., 2009]. Curriculum learning is a two-stage training strategy and is shown in Figure 3. In the first stage, a coarse-grained generation model is pretrained to learn response generation under different dialogue contexts by using the preprocessed one-to-one mapping data shown in Figure 3 (b). In the second stage, a fine-grained generative model and an evaluation model are trained by using the preprocessed oneto-many mapping data shown in Figure 3 (c). We adopt the same input representations as the topic discriminator model.

Stage 1, General response generation. One-to-one mapping is a conventional approach and it is an efficient way to learn high-level properties of response generation. Given a i^{th} response R(i) and its previous dialogue context C(i), the model is trained by minimizing the negative log-likelihood (NLL) loss as follows,

$$L_{NLL}^{General} = -\mathbf{E} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \log p(R(i)|C(i))$$
(2)

where L is the length of the generated response R(i).

Stage 2.1, Diverse Response Generation. To further train the model to capture the relationship of one-to-many mapping, a discrete latent variable z is introduced. The model estimates the latent distribution of training samples p(z|C(i), R(i)) and then generates sampled latent variable p(R(i)|C(i), z). The *NLL* loss of diverse response generation and the bag-of-words (BOW) loss are defined as follows.

$$L_{NLL}^{Diverse} = -\mathbf{E}_{z \sim p(z|C(i),R(i))} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \log p(R(i)|C(i),z)$$

$$L_{BOW}^{Diverse} = -\mathbf{E}_{z \sim p(z|C(i),R(i))} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \log p(R(i)|C(i),z)$$

$$L^{Diverse} = L_{NLL}^{Diverse} + L_{BOW}^{Diverse}$$
(3)

where L is the length of generated response R(i). The final generation model is optimized by the above integrated loss $L^{Diverse}$.

Stage 2.2, Coherent Response Selection. Once diverse responses generated from one-to-many generation, the highest quality response is selected as the final output. The selection model is trained by estimating the coherence between a given utterance and its response by leveraging the capacity of distributed representation of the masked language model (MLM). Two objective loss of response coherence estimation (RCE) and MLM are as follows.

$$L_{RCE}^{Selection} = -\log p(l_r = 1 | C(i), R(i)) - \log p(l_r = 0 | C(i), R(i))$$
$$L_{MLM}^{Selection} = -\mathbf{E} \sum_{i \in L} \log p(x_i | x/L)$$
$$L_{RCE}^{Selection} = L_{RCE}^{Selection} + L_{MLM}^{Selection}$$
(4)

where l_r denote the generated response is consistent with dialogue text (R(i)) or not $(\hat{R(i)})$, x denotes input tokens of context and response. $\{x_i\}_{i \in L}$ denotes mask tokens, and x/L represents rest unmasked tokens.

4.3 Topic-switch algorithm

A pretrained PLATO-JDS is used to generate a response by inputting the concatenation of the previous dialogue and current user input. We design a topic-switch algorithm to decide whether to include the previous dialogue used for response generation. We define the topic-switch algorithm in Algorithm 1. We utilize a topic discriminator to predict whether the topic of current user input belongs to the previous dialogue topic by comparing with an experimental threshold. If we get a score that is less than the



Figure 5: Overview of the PLATO-JDS model and its data processing. The data preprocessing described in § 3.2, tokenization method as same as the topic discriminator (§ 4.1), and the backbone dialogue generation model of PLATO-2.

threshold, the current user input is probably unrelated to the previous dialogue and the input for the PLATO-JDS module will be automatically switched to current user input. Conversely, the input for the PLATO-JDS module will include previous dialogue. Here, we set the threshold at 0.61 based on the trade-off between the precision and recall, where the recall is 99.75% and the precision is 95.72%.

Algorithm 1 Topic-switch algorithm

Input: ε : a threshold for deciding if switch to a new topic, *i*: the number of turns, C(i): the previous dialogue of turn *i*, $\Phi(i)$: the user utterance of turn *i*, $\Gamma(a, b)$: the topic discriminator to predict whether *a* and *b* belong to a same topic.

Output: the context of next utterance C(i)

1: $\beta = \Gamma(C(i), \Phi(i))$ 2: if $\beta \le \varepsilon$ then 3: $C(i) \leftarrow \Phi(i)$ > Switch to a new topic 4: else if $\beta > \varepsilon$ then 5: $C(i) \leftarrow C(i) + \Phi(i)$ 6: end if 7: $i \leftarrow i + 1$

5. Experiment

In this section, we discuss the evaluation metrics in §5.1. Then, we explain why we choose PLATO-2 as the backbone of dialogue generation module by discussing the result in the English data reported in PLATO-2's work in §5.2. To evaluate our proposed topic-switch based *PLATO-JDS*, we compare our model with *PLATO-JDS* and report the comparison results in §5.4. Furthermore, we discuss the result of a case study in §5.5, and the training detail in §5.3.

5.1 Evaluation Metric

We evaluate our model from human and automatic perspectives. To achieve a fair comparison, we utilize the same evaluation metrics used in the PLATO-2's study [Bao et al., 2021a]. In terms of human evaluation, we asked 15 people (*11 male and 4 female*) to perform a three-level evaluation (*0:bad,1:neutral,2:good*) with respect to the utterance-level metrics of "coherence" and "informativeness", and the dialogue-level metrics of "engagingness" and "humanness" to evaluate the user experience. The definitions of these metrics are as follows:

- Coherence: leveraging the response whether it is relevant to the current topic and consistent with the context.
- Informativeness: measuring the response whether it is informative and is appropriate.
- Engagingness: judging the dialogue whether the evaluator likes to talk with the speaker in a long dialogue.
- Humanness: assessing the dialogue whether the speaker is a human being or the response is natural.

In terms of automatic evaluation, to evaluate the model's capacity on lexical diversity, we employ the corpus-level metrics [Li et al., 2016a] distinct-1 and distinct-2 (*distinct-1/2 in Table 1, 2*), which are defined as the number of distinct *unigrams* and *bigrams* as scaled by the total number of generated tokens in response generation.

5.2 Baseline

We set PLATO-2 as our baseline since it is the state-of-the-art dialogue generation model on the English and Chinese domains. PLATO-2 has achieved the best performance in both human and automatic evaluations compared to the Blender model [Roller et al., 2021] that mitigates undesirable toxic or bias traits of large corpora by introducing blended skills. However it requires extensive manual annotations. Considering the cost-performance ratio, we only implement PLATO-2 as *PLATO-JDS* and compare it with our proposed topic-switch based *PLATO-JDS*, both trained on *Dialogue-Graph* in this work. We perform both evaluations through bot-bot and human-bot chat strategies and provide the detail in §5.4. The description of *PLATO-JDS* is provided in §4.2.

5.3 Training Details

The *PLATO-JDS* training include two stages. In stage 1, we employed negative log-likelihood (NLL) loss to capture the general characteristics of response generation via one-to-one mapping learning. In stage 2.1, we took the sum of NLL loss and bag-of-words (BOW) loss to learn the fine-grained generation. Here, BOW loss is used to reflect the training process of discrete latent variables. In stage 2.2, the objective loss was the sum of losses of response coherence estimation and Masked Language Model (MLM) loss [Bao et al., 2021a]. More details of the above loss functions can be found in § 4.2. Moreover, we set the maximum sequence length of context and response to 512, and the size of position embedding to 256. We trained *PLATO-JDS* for 25 days by using NVIDIA RTX A5000 GPU (24G x 2).

In addition, we show the loss curve of model training and validation process in the stages 1, 2.1, and 2.2 in Figure 7 in Appendices. It shows that overfitting does not occur during model training, and demonstrates that the trained model is reliable.

5.4 Result

5.4.1 Bot-bot chat strategy

The bot-bot chat strategy is designed to evaluate dialogue generation by performing dialogue simulation between two chatbots to reduce time consumption and expense of full human evaluations, which require humans to spend time talking to the chatbot and scoring generated response. This strategy is commonly applied to achieve a fair evaluation of dialogue generation [Li et al., 2016b, Bao et al., 2021a]. We randomly selected 200 questions from our dataset as the start to produce ten-turns dialogues per question for models. As the result shown in Table 1, our topic-switch based PLATO-JDS outperformed PLATO-JDS on all human evaluation metrics, which suggests that the responses generated by our topic-switch based PLATO-JDS are coherent in the current dialogue context, and contains much more information than PLATO-JDS. In addition, from the scores of "Engagingness" and "Humanness" metrics, we believe that the topic-switch based PLATO-JDS is capable of improving the user experience on PLATO-JDS, which also proves the effectiveness of generating human-like responses. Furthermore, the result of distinct-1/2 demonstrated that our topic-switch based PLATO-JDS contains a larger number of distinct unigrams and bigrams than PLATO-JDS, which indicates its capacity in terms of lexical diversity. In addition, from the average length of utterance and the average number of topics, our topic-switch based PLATO-JDS achieved higher point than PLATO-JDS, which further verifies the validity of the topic-switch on switching to a new topic depending on the user input.

Model Metric	w/o topic-switch	w/ topic-switch				
Human evaluation						
Coherence	1.667	1.800				
Informativeness	1.533	1.867				
Engagingness	1.533	1.733				
Humanness	1.533	1.800				
Average score	1.567	1.800				
A	Automatic evaluation	n				
Distinct-1/2	0.343/0.712	0.388/0.730				
Length (avg.)	14.016	14.667				
Topics (avg.)	4.6	6.8				

Table 1: The bot-bot chat strategy: comparison results of *PLATO-JDS* (w/o topic-switch) and topic-switch based *PLATO-JDS* (w/ topic-switch).

5.4.2 Human-bot chat strategy

In addition to the bot-bot chat strategy, we also collected humanbot dialogue records to evaluate our model in a real-world setting. We employed 15 participants to chat with the bot, and each participant was requested to talk to the bot for 50 turns. We generated a total of 750 dialogue data. The results are summarized in Table 2. We obtained a very close comparison result as well as the result described in §5.4.1. Although lower scores were obtained for our topic-switch based *PLATO-JDS* compared with those in the bot-bot chat strategy, we achieved higher scores on all metrics compare to *PLATO-JDS*.

To further confirm the effectiveness of the topic-switch, we also compared the number of topics on average in the generated dialogue. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, topic-switch based *PLATO-JDS* manages two more topics and longer dialogue than the *PLATO-JDS*, which demonstrates the effectiveness of the topic-switch on making *PLATO-JDS* to generate a response based on a new topic. As a result, adapting the topic-switch algorithm that can improve *PLATO-JDS* in both human and automatic evaluations and suggest the capability in improving the user experience.

Model Metric	w/o topic-switch	w/ topic-switch					
Human evaluation							
Coherence	1.600	1.733					
Informativeness	1.467	1.800					
Engagingness	1.467	1.800					
Humanness	1.467	1.733					
Average score	1.500	1.767					
A	utomatic evaluation	n					
Distinct-1/2	0.339/0.709	0.376/0.715					
Length	13.970	14.333					
Topics (avg.)	4.2	6.5					

Table 2: The human-bot chat strategy: comparison results of *PLATO-JDS* (w/o topic-switch) and topic-switch based *PLATO-JDS* (w/ topic-switch).

5.5 Case study

We pick up two human-bot dialogue samples of *PLATO-JDS* with or without topic-switch by using a same start utterance in the same topic "beauty and health", which is to study how topic-switch affect the response generation. As shown in Figure 6, when user input changes to a new topic that differs from context in previous dialogue, we noted that our model with the topic-switch is able to generate an appropriate response but *PLATO-JDS* can not. We believe that our proposed topic-switch forced *PLATO-JDS* module to switch to a new topic to generate its relevant response, thereby demonstrating the effectiveness of the topic-switch. Furthermore, the case study also demonstrated that the coherence among all the evaluation results described in §5.4.



Figure 6: Two dialogue samples generated with the *PLATO-JDS* with or without topic-switch models. Starting from the same utterance "I have a pain in my stomach" that belongs to the topic of "Health", when the user input change to the new topic of "Alcohol" in the red dotted box to chat; our topic-switch based *PLATO-JDS* can switch to the "Alcohol" topic to generate an appropriate response but *PLATO-JDS* can not.

6. Conclusion

We built a PLATO-2 based Japanese dialogue system PLATO-JDS, and proposed a topic-switch algorithm to make PLATO-JDS switch to a new topic if user input differs from the previous topic. We built the largest Japanese dialogue generation dataset *Dialogue-Graph* by collecting 1.656M dialogue data from News, TV subtitles and Wikipedia corpus. our proposed PLATO-JDS achieved the average score of **1.500** for the human evaluation with human-bot chat strategy, and our proposed topic-switch algorithm further improved PLATO-JDS by **0.267** and achieved **1.767** human evaluation. The results suggest that PLATO-2 can be adopted to achieve high-quality dialogue generation in Japanese and the topic-switch is effective for improving the user experience of our system.

References

- [Adiwardana et al., 2020] Adiwardana, D., Luong, M.-T., So, D. R., Hall, J., Fiedel, N., Thoppilan, R., Yang, Z., Kulshreshtha, A., Nemade, G., Lu, Y., et al. (2020). Towards a human-like open-domain chatbot. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.09977.
- [Bae et al., 2022] Bae, S., Kwak, D., Kim, S., Ham, D., Kang, S., Lee, S.-W., and Park, W. (2022). Building a role specified opendomain dialogue system leveraging large-scale language models. In Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 2128–2150, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Bao et al., 2020] Bao, S., He, H., Wang, F., Wu, H., and Wang, H. (2020). PLATO: Pre-trained dialogue generation model with discrete latent variable. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 85–96, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Bao et al., 2021a] Bao, S., He, H., Wang, F., Wu, H., Wang, H., Wu, W., Guo, Z., Liu, Z., and Xu, X. (2021a). PLATO-2: Towards building an open-domain chatbot via curriculum learning. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 2513–2525, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Bao et al., 2021b] Bao, S., He, H., Wang, F., Wu, H., Wang, H., Wu, W., Wu, Z., Guo, Z., Lu, H., Huang, X., et al. (2021b). Platoxl: Exploring the large-scale pre-training of dialogue generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.09519*.
- [Bengio et al., 2009] Bengio, Y., Louradour, J., Collobert, R., and Weston, J. (2009). Curriculum learning. In Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML) (Volume 382: Long Papers), page 41–48, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [Brown et al., 2020] Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J. D., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Agarwal, S., Herbert-Voss, A., Krueger, G., Henighan, T., Child, R., Ramesh, A., Ziegler, D., Wu, J., Winter, C., Hesse, C., Chen, M., Sigler, E., Litwin, M., Gray, S., Chess, B., Clark, J., Berner, C., McCandlish, S., Radford, A.,

Sutskever, I., and Amodei, D. (2020). Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (Volume 33: Long Papers)*, pages 1877–1901, Online. Curran Associates, Inc.

- [Cheng et al., 2020] Cheng, J., Agrawal, D., Alonso, H. M., Bhargava, S., Driesen, J., Flego, F., Ghosh, S., Kaplan, D., Kartsaklis, D., Li, L., et al. (2020). Conversational semantic parsing for dialog state tracking. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.12770.
- [Dathathri et al., 2019] Dathathri, S., Madotto, A., Lan, J., Hung, J., Frank, E., Molino, P., Yosinski, J., and Liu, R. (2019). Plug and play language models: A simple approach to controlled text generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.02164.
- [Devlin et al., 2019] Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., and Toutanova, K. (2019). BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171– 4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Du and Ji, 2021] Du, W. and Ji, Y. (2021). Sidecontrol: Controlled open-domain dialogue generation via additive side networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.01958*.
- [Gage, 1994] Gage, P. (1994). A new algorithm for data compression. *C Users Journal*.
- [Galitsky, 2018] Galitsky, B. (2018). Building dialogue structure from discourse tree of a question. In *Workshops at the thirty-second AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*.
- [Golovanov et al., 2019] Golovanov, S., Kurbanov, R., Nikolenko, S. I., Truskovskyi, K., Tselousov, A., and Wolf, T. (2019). Largescale transfer learning for natural language generation. In Korhonen, A., Traum, D. R., and Màrquez, L., editors, *Proceedings* of the 57th Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 6053–6058, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Ikeda and Hoashi, 2018] Ikeda, K. and Hoashi, K. (2018). Utilizing crowdsourced asynchronous chat for efficient collection of dialogue dataset. In *Proceedings of the Sixth AAAI Conference on Human Computation and Crowdsourcing, HCOMP* (Volume 6: Long Papers), pages 60–69, Zúrich, Switzerland. AAAI Press.
- [Keskar et al., 2019] Keskar, N. S., McCann, B., Varshney, L. R., Xiong, C., and Socher, R. (2019). Ctrl: A conditional transformer language model for controllable generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.05858.
- [Kim et al., 2020] Kim, B., Ahn, J., and Kim, G. (2020). Sequential latent knowledge selection for knowledge-grounded dialogue. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.07510*.
- [Kudo and Richardson, 2018] Kudo, T. and Richardson, J. (2018). SentencePiece: A simple and language independent subword

tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 66–71, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- [Lewis et al., 2020] Lewis, M., Liu, Y., Goyal, N., Ghazvininejad, M., Mohamed, A., Levy, O., Stoyanov, V., and Zettlemoyer, L. (2020). BART: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 7871–7880, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Li et al., 2016a] Li, J., Galley, M., Brockett, C., Gao, J., and Dolan, B. (2016a). A diversity-promoting objective function for neural conversation models. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 110–119, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Li et al., 2016b] Li, J., Monroe, W., Ritter, A., Jurafsky, D., Galley, M., and Gao, J. (2016b). Deep reinforcement learning for dialogue generation. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference* on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pages 1192–1202, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Liu et al., 2019] Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., Levy, O., Lewis, M., Zettlemoyer, L., and Stoyanov, V. (2019). Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692.*
- [Madotto et al., 2020] Madotto, A., Ishii, E., Lin, Z., Dathathri, S., and Fung, P. (2020). Plug-and-play conversational models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.04344*.
- [Nakatsuji, 2019] Nakatsuji, M. (2019). Can ai generate love advice?: Toward neural answer generation for non-factoid questions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.10163*.
- [Radford et al., 2019] Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., Sutskever, I., et al. (2019). Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog (Volume 1 (8): Long Papers)*, page 9.
- [Rikters et al., 2019] Rikters, M., Ri, R., Li, T., and Nakazawa, T. (2019). Designing the business conversation corpus. In *Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Asian Translation*, pages 54–61, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Roller et al., 2021] Roller, S., Dinan, E., Goyal, N., Ju, D., Williamson, M., Liu, Y., Xu, J., Ott, M., Smith, E. M., Boureau, Y.-L., and Weston, J. (2021). Recipes for building an opendomain chatbot. In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Main Volume*, pages 300–325, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Sennrich et al., 2016] Sennrich, R., Haddow, B., and Birch, A. (2016). Neural machine translation of rare words with subword

units. In Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 1715–1725, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- [Shalaby et al., 2020] Shalaby, W., Arantes, A. S., GonzalezDiaz, T., and Gupta, C. (2020). Building chatbots from large scale domain-specific knowledge bases: challenges and opportunities. In *IEEE International Conference on Prognostics and Health Management, ICPHM 2020*, pages 1–8, Detroit, MI, USA. IEEE.
- [Smith et al., 2020] Smith, E. M., Gonzalez-Rico, D., Dinan, E., and Boureau, Y.-L. (2020). Controlling style in generated dialogue. arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.10855.
- [Sugiyama et al., 2021] Sugiyama, H., Mizukami, M., Arimoto, T., Narimatsu, H., Chiba, Y., Nakajima, H., and Meguro, T. (2021). Empirical analysis of training strategies of transformer-based japanese chit-chat systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.05217.
- [Tianyu and Sawada, 2021] Tianyu, Z. and Sawada, K. (2021). 日本語自然言語処理における事前学習モデルの公開.人工知能学会研究会資料言語・音声理解と対話処理研究会, 93:169–170.
- [Wolf et al., 2019] Wolf, T., Sanh, V., Chaumond, J., and Delangue, C. (2019). Transfertransfo: A transfer learning approach for neural network based conversational agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.08149*.
- [Wu et al., 2016] Wu, X., Ito, K., Iida, K., Tsuboi, K., and Klyen, M. (2016). りんな: 女子高生人工知能. 言語処理学会第 22 回年次大会発表論文集, pages 306–309.
- [Xia et al., 2022] Xia, J., Liu, C., Chen, J., Li, Y., Yang, F., Cai, X., Wan, G., and Wang, H. (2022). Dialogue topic segmentation via parallel extraction network with neighbor smoothing. In SIGIR '22: The 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval., pages 2126–2131, Madrid, Spain. ACM.
- [Xu et al., 2021] Xu, Y., Zhao, H., and Zhang, Z. (2021). Topicaware multi-turn dialogue modeling. In *Thirty-Fifth AAAI Con*ference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2021, Thirty-Third Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2021, The Eleventh Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2021, pages 14176–14184, Online. AAAI Press.
- [Zhang et al., 2020] Zhang, Y., Sun, S., Galley, M., Chen, Y.-C., Brockett, C., Gao, X., Gao, J., Liu, J., and Dolan, B. (2020). DIALOGPT : Large-scale generative pre-training for conversational response generation. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*, pages 270–278, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [Zhou et al., 2021] Zhou, H., Ke, P., Zhang, Z., Gu, Y., Zheng, Y., Zheng, C., Wang, Y., Wu, C. H., Sun, H., Yang, X., et al. (2021). Eva: An open-domain chinese dialogue system with large-scale generative pre-training. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.01547*.

話題	Торіс	話題	Topic	
美容と健康(食生活)	Beauty&health (eat habits)	映画	movie	
自己紹介	self-introduction	家族	family	
アウトドア	outdoors	恋愛	love	
休日の過ごし方	way to spend holidays	趣味	hobby	
友人関係	friendship	スポーツ	do sports	
スポーツ	watch sports	勉強	study	
習い事	lesson	学生生活	student life	
アルバイト	part-time job	料理	cooking	
スイーツ	sweets	お酒	alcohol	
テレビ番組	TV program	通勤	commute	
インテリア	indoor setting	家事	housework	
車、ドライブ	car, drive	仕事	work	
好きな動物	Favorite animal	季節	season	
家電	Home appliances	携帯電話	cellphone	
旅行(国内)	Travel (domestic)	出身地	birthplace	
美容と健康(運動)	Beauty&health (exercise)	語学	language	
マッサージ	message	カフェ	Cafe	
読書・マンガ	Reading / manga	音楽	music	
欲しいもの	what I want	結婚	marriage	
旅行(海外)	travel (overseas)	食べ物	food	
どこでもドア	anywhere door	ランチ	lunch	
好きな芸能人	favorite entertainer	好きな街	favorite town	
ハマっているもの	addicted things	将来の夢	future dream	
ファッション	fashion	宝くじ	lottery	
睡眠(生活習慣?)	Sleep (lifestyle?)	SNS	SNS	

Table 3: 50 topics list in English and Japanese

Appendix

Table 3 list all the 50 topics in our data collection. Table 4 list the start utterance of each topic. Fig. 8 draw the number of dialogue with the change of topics. Table 5 displays the statistic of our Dialogue-Graph dataset. Table 7 shows the comparison result of bot-bot chat of PLATO-2 on English data. Table 6 displays the details of PLATO-JDS training setting. Fig. 7 draws the loss of PLATO-JDS during the training.

Topic	start utterance	
family	Are you living alone?	
marriage	Are you married??	
friendship	Do you meet friends in school?	
hobby	What do you do for fun??	
do sports	Do you do some sports?	
study	Do you have any studying now?	
cooking	Do you like cooking?	
sweets	Do you like sweets?	
	••••••	

Table 4: Example of topics and the corresponding start utterances.

#	Number of #
Utterance with max number of tokens	95
Utterance with min number of tokens	1
Utterance with avg. number of tokens	12.28
Dialogue with max number of tokens	258
Dialogue with min number of tokens	23
Dialogue with avg. number of tokens	109.3
Number of Turns	8
Total dialogues	1.656M
Total utterances	9,837,742
Max number of all dialogues for a topic	22,397
Min number of all dialogues for a topic	21,903
Avg. number of all dialogues across topics	22,092.04
Variance of all dialogues across topics	14106.32

Table 5: Statistics of our Dialogue-Graph dataset.

Model(Stage)	Hy	per-parameters		PPI Los		NLL/PCF loss	Row loss	MI M locr
widdei(Stage)	Batch size	Learning rate	Steps		LUSS	NLL/KCE 1088	DOW 1055	WILWI 1088
Stage 1	2,700	4e-4	16M	1.92	0.52	0.52	-	-
Stage 2.1	5,400	4e-5	1.10M	1.20	4.40	0.23	4.17	-
Stage 2.2	2,900	4e-5	2.54M	-	0.58	0.39	-	0.19

Table 6: Details of *PLATO-JDS* training setting. The *NLL* loss is computed in stage 1, the *NLL* and *Bow* losses are calculated in stage 2.1, and the *RCE* and *MLM* losses are obtained in stage 2.2

Model Metric	PLATO	DialoGPT	Blender	PLATO-2	
Human Evaluation					
Coherence	0.568	0.720	1.856	1.920	
Informativeness	0.564	0.712	1.816	1.892	
Engagingness	0.340	0.340	1.820	1.840	
Humanness	0.280	0.100	1.540	1.740	
Automatic Evaluation					
Distinct-1/2	0.042/0.255	0.150/0.508	0.117/0.385	0.169/0.613	

Table 7: Comparison results of bot-bot chat strategy of PLATO-2 on English data. A higher value indicates higher quality. All the results come from original PLATO-2 paper (Bao et al., 2021a)



(a) One to one mapping model training in the stage 1 of the PLATO-JDS .



(b) One to many mapping model training in the stage 2.1 of *PLATO-JDS* Loss



(c) Response evaluation model training in the stage 2.2 of PLATO-JDS

Figure 7: Three loss graphs of *PLATO-JDS* model training in the stage-1, stage-2.1, and stage-2.2.



Figure 8: The number of dialogues with the change of topics.