

# ON THE GENERATORS OF NIL $K$ -GROUPS

SOURAYAN BANERJEE AND VIVEK SADHU

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study higher Nil  $K$ -groups via binary complexes. More particularly, we exhibit an explicit form of generators of higher Nil  $K$ -groups in terms of binary complexes.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a commutative ring  $R$ , let  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  denote the category of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules. Let  $\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  be a category consisting of all pairs  $(P, \nu)$ , where  $P$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $\nu$  is a nilpotent endomorphism of  $P$ . Let  $\text{Nil}_0(R)$  denote the kernel of the forgetful map  $K_0(\mathbf{Nil}(R)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbf{P}(R)) =: K_0(R)$ . The group  $\text{Nil}_0(R)$  is generated by elements of the form  $[(R^n, \nu)] - [(R^n, 0)]$  for some  $n$  and some nilpotent endomorphism  $\nu$ . Using these generators, we show that  $\text{Nil}_0(R) = 0$  provided every finitely generated torsion free  $R$ -module is projective (see Theorem 3.3). The hypothesis on  $R$  in the above-mentioned result holds for many well-known classes of rings, such as PID, valuation rings, Dedekind domains, and so on. In fact, in case of integral domains, the hypothesis on  $R$  is equivalent to  $R$  being a Prüfer domain (i.e., a ring which is locally a valuation ring). One of the goals of this article is to determine the generators of higher Nil  $K$ -groups.

Given an exact category  $\mathcal{N}$ , let  $i\mathcal{N}$  denote the subcategory of  $\mathcal{N}$  whose arrows are isomorphisms. Consider  $i\mathcal{N}$  as a category of weak equivalences. Then the  $K$ -theory spectrum of  $\mathcal{N}$  defined as  $K\mathcal{N} := Ki\mathcal{N}$ . The  $n$ -th  $K$ -group of  $\mathcal{N}$  is defined as  $K_n\mathcal{N} := \pi_n(Ki\mathcal{N}) = K_n i\mathcal{N}$ , where  $n \geq 0$  (see Appendix A of [2]). Let  $\text{Nil}(R)$  be the homotopy fibre of the forgetful functor  $K\mathbf{Nil}(R) \rightarrow K\mathbf{P}(R) =: K(R)$ . The  $n$ -th Nil group  $\text{Nil}_n(R)$  is  $\pi_n \text{Nil}(R)$ . Since the forgetful functor splits,  $K\mathbf{Nil}(R) \simeq \text{Nil}(R) \times K(R)$ . This implies that  $K_n \mathbf{Nil}(R) \cong \text{Nil}_n(R) \oplus K_n(R)$  for every ring  $R$ . We also have a natural decomposition  $K_n(R[t]) \cong K_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R)$ , where  $NK_n(R) = \ker[K_n(R[t]) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} K_n(R)]$ . There is an isomorphism  $\text{Nil}_n(R) \cong NK_{n+1}(R)$  for all  $n$  and  $R$  (see Theorem V.8.1 of [9]). The Nil  $K$ -groups measure the failure of algebraic  $K$ -theory to be homotopy invariant. The

---

*Date:* November 30, 2023.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19D06; Secondary 19D35, 18E10.

*Key words and phrases.* Nil  $K$ -groups, Binary complexes.

group  $\text{Nil}_n(R)$  is not finitely generated unless it is trivial (for instance, see Proposition IV.6.7.4 of [9]). It is natural to wonder:

*How do the generators of the group  $\text{Nil}_n(R)$  for  $n > 0$  look like?*

In this article, we are able to figure out generators of  $\text{Nil}_n(R)$  for  $n > 0$  using Grayson's technique (see [2]) of binary complexes. We refer to section 5 (Theorems 5.4 and 5.8) for the precise results. We hope the explicit form of generators of Nil  $K$ -groups obtained in this article might be useful for further research.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND GRAYSON'S DEFINITION

In order to define Grayson's  $K$ -groups, we need an idea of binary complexes. Let us recall the notion from [2] for exact categories.

**Binary chain complexes.** Let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote an exact category. A bounded chain complex  $N$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be an *acyclic chain complex* if each differential  $d_i : N_i \rightarrow N_{i-1}$  can be factored as  $N_i \rightarrow Z_{i-1} \rightarrow N_{i-1}$  such that each  $0 \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow Z_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $C\mathcal{N}$  denote the category of bounded chain complexes in  $\mathcal{N}$ . The full subcategory of  $C\mathcal{N}$  consisting of bounded acyclic complexes in  $C\mathcal{N}$  is denoted by  $C^q\mathcal{N}$ . The category  $C^q\mathcal{N}$  is exact.

A chain complex in  $\mathcal{N}$  with two differentials (not necessarily distinct) is called a *binary chain complex* in  $\mathcal{N}$ . In other words, it is a triple  $(N_*, d, d')$  with  $(N_*, d)$  and  $(N_*, d')$  are in  $C\mathcal{N}$ . If  $d = d'$  then we call a diagonal binary complex. A morphism between two binary complexes  $(N_*, d, d')$  and  $(\tilde{N}_*, \tilde{d}, \tilde{d}')$  is a morphism between the underlying graded objects  $N$  and  $\tilde{N}$  that commutes with both differentials. The category of bounded binary complexes in  $\mathcal{N}$  is denoted by  $B\mathcal{N}$ . There is always a diagonal functor (see Definition 3.1 of [2])

$$\Delta : C\mathcal{N} \rightarrow B\mathcal{N}, \text{ defined by } \Delta((N_*, d)) = (N_*, d, d).$$

As before, let  $B^q\mathcal{N}$  denote the full subcategory of  $B\mathcal{N}$  whose objects are bounded acyclic binary complexes. This is also an exact category.

By iterating, one can define exact category  $(B^q)^n\mathcal{N} = B^q B^q \cdots B^q \mathcal{N}$  for each  $n \geq 0$ . An object of the exact category  $(B^q)^n\mathcal{N}$  of bounded acyclic binary multicomplexes of dimension  $n$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  is a bounded  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded collection of objects of  $\mathcal{N}$ , together with a pair of acyclic differentials  $d^i$  and  $\tilde{d}^i$  in each direction  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where the differentials  $d^i$  and  $\tilde{d}^i$  commute with  $d^j$  and  $\tilde{d}^j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . Thus, a typical object looks like  $(N_*, (d^1, \tilde{d}^1), (d^2, \tilde{d}^2), \dots, (d^n, \tilde{d}^n))$ , where  $N_*$  is a bounded  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded collection of objects of  $\mathcal{N}$ . We say that an acyclic binary multicomplex  $(N_*, (d^1, \tilde{d}^1), (d^2, \tilde{d}^2), \dots, (d^n, \tilde{d}^n))$  is *diagonal* if  $d^i = \tilde{d}^i$  for at least one  $i$ .

**Grayson's Definition.** In [7], Nenashev gave a description of  $K_1$ -group in terms of generator and relations using the notion of double exact sequences. Motivated by [7], Grayson defined higher  $K$ -groups in terms of generators and relations using binary complexes (see [2]). However, Nenashev's  $K_1$ -group agrees with Grayson's  $K_1$ -group (see Corollary 4.2 of [4]). In the rest of the paper, we assume the following as the definition of higher  $K$ -groups.

**Definition 2.1.** (see Corollary 7.4 of [2]) Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be an exact category. For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $K_n\mathcal{N}$  is the abelian group having generators  $[N]$ , one for each object  $N$  of  $(B^q)^n\mathcal{N}$  and the relations are:

- (1)  $[N'] + [N''] = [N]$  for every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$  in  $(B^q)^n\mathcal{N}$ ;
- (2)  $[T] = 0$  if  $T$  is a diagonal acyclic binary multicomplex.

Note that if we only consider the relation (1) then it is just  $K_0(B^q)^n\mathcal{N}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** We denote  $T_{\mathcal{N}}^n$  as the subgroup of  $K_0(B^q)^n\mathcal{N}$  generated by the  $K_0$ -classes of all diagonal acyclic binary multicomplexes in  $(B^q)^n\mathcal{N}$ .

**Remark 2.3.** In view of Definition 2.1, we have  $K_n\mathcal{N} \cong K_0(B^q)^n\mathcal{N}/T_{\mathcal{N}}^n$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** For each  $n \geq 1$ , there is a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_{n-1}C^q\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\Delta} K_{n-1}B^q\mathcal{N} \rightarrow K_n\mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is functorial in  $\mathcal{N}$ .

*Proof.* See Lemma 2.7 of [3]. □

**Remark 2.5.** For  $n = 1$ ,  $K_0C^q\mathcal{N} \cong \text{im}(\Delta) = T_{\mathcal{N}}^1$ . Thus, the above lemma implies that  $K_0B^q\mathcal{N} \cong T_{\mathcal{N}}^1 \oplus K_1\mathcal{N}$ .

**Higher Nil  $K$ -groups via binary complexes.** The category  $\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  is exact. By Remark 2.3, we can view the  $n$ -th Nil  $K$ -group as a quotient of the Grothendieck group of the category  $(B^q)^n\mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . More precisely,  $K_n\mathbf{Nil}(R) \cong K_0(B^q)^n\mathbf{Nil}(R)/T_{\mathbf{Nil}(R)}^n$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Here,  $T_{\mathbf{Nil}(R)}^n$  is a subgroup of  $K_0(B^q)^n\mathbf{Nil}(R)$ , as described in Definition 2.2. Moreover, there is a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}_n(R) \rightarrow K_0(B^q)^n\mathbf{Nil}(R)/T_{\mathbf{Nil}(R)}^n \rightarrow K_0(B^q)^n\mathbf{P}(R)/T_{\mathbf{P}(R)}^n \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_n(R)$  (resp.  $\widetilde{T}_{\mathbf{P}(R)}^n$ ) denote the kernel of the map  $K_0(B^q)^n\mathbf{Nil}(R) \rightarrow K_0(B^q)^n\mathbf{P}(R)$  (resp.  $T_{\mathbf{Nil}(R)}^n \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}(R)}^n$ ). Clearly, the map  $T_{\mathbf{Nil}(R)}^n \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}(R)}^n$  is surjective. We have the following result, which will be used in section 5.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , there is a canonical short exact sequence*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \widetilde{T}_R^n \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_n(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}_n(R) \rightarrow 0.$$

*If  $n = 1$  then this sequence splits, i.e.,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_1(R) \cong \mathbf{Nil}_1(R) \oplus \widetilde{T}_R^1$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows by chasing the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{T}_R^n & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathbf{Nil}(R)}^n & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathbf{P}(R)}^n \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_n(R) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B^q)^n \mathbf{P}(R) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Nil}_n(R) & \longrightarrow & K_n \mathbf{Nil}(R) & \longrightarrow & K_n(R) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0,
\end{array}$$

where rows are split exact sequences, the second and third columns are exact sequences. For  $n = 1$ , the second column is split exact (see Remark 2.5). This forces that the first column is split exact provided  $n = 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. VANISHING OF ZERO TH NIL $K$ -GROUPS

We know  $\mathbf{Nil}_0(R) \cong NK_1(R) = \ker[K_1(R[t]) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} K_1(R)]$  (for instance, see Proposition III.3.5.3 of [9]). The homotopy invariance of  $K$ -theory is known for regular noetherian rings and valuation rings (see [5]). Thus,  $\mathbf{Nil}_0(R) = 0$  provided  $R$  is a regular noetherian or valuation ring. In this section, we discuss a condition on  $R$  under which  $\mathbf{Nil}_0(R)$  is trivial.

For a fix  $n$ ,  $(R^n, \nu)$  is an object in  $\mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . Assume that  $\nu$  is non-zero nilpotent. Since  $\nu$  is nilpotent, there exist a least  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\nu^m = 0$  and  $\nu^r \neq 0$  for  $r < m$ . Then we have a chain of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \subseteq \ker(\nu) \subseteq \ker(\nu^2) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \ker(\nu^{m-1}) \subseteq \ker(\nu^m) = R^n.$$

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\frac{\ker(\nu^{i+1})}{\ker(\nu^i)}$  is a torsion free  $R$ -module for  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x + \ker(\nu^i)$  be a torsion element of  $\frac{\ker(\nu^{i+1})}{\ker(\nu^i)}$ . Then there exist a non-zero-divisor  $r \in R$  such that  $rx \in \ker(\nu^i)$ . This implies that  $r\nu^i(x) = 0$  in  $R^n$ . So,  $x \in \ker(\nu^i)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $[(R^n, \nu)] = [(R^n, 0)]$  in  $K_0(\mathbf{Nil}(R))$  provided every finitely generated torsion free  $R$ -module is projective.

*Proof.* We have an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\nu^{m-1}) \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow \frac{R^n}{\ker(\nu^{m-1})} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1,  $\frac{R^n}{\ker(\nu^{m-1})}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules. Thus the sequence splits, and we get that  $\ker(\nu^{m-1})$  is also a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. By considering the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \ker(\nu^{m-2}) \rightarrow \ker(\nu^{m-1}) \rightarrow \frac{\ker(\nu^{m-1})}{\ker(\nu^{m-2})} \rightarrow 0$  and using Lemma 3.1, we obtain  $\ker(\nu^{m-2})$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. Continuing this way, each  $\ker(\nu^i)$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. Observe that the following diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\nu^i) & \longrightarrow & \ker(\nu^{i+1}) & \longrightarrow & \frac{\ker(\nu^{i+1})}{\ker(\nu^i)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \nu \downarrow & & \nu \downarrow & & \bar{\nu}=0 \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\nu^i) & \longrightarrow & \ker(\nu^{i+1}) & \longrightarrow & \frac{\ker(\nu^{i+1})}{\ker(\nu^i)} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ . Thus, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\ker(\nu^i), \nu) \rightarrow (\ker(\nu^{i+1}), \nu) \rightarrow \left( \frac{\ker(\nu^{i+1})}{\ker(\nu^i)}, 0 \right) \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ . In  $K_0(\mathbf{Nil}(R))$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} [(R^n, \nu)] &= [(\ker(\nu^{m-1}), \nu)] + \left[ \left( \frac{R^n}{\ker(\nu^{m-1})}, 0 \right) \right] \\ &= [(\ker(\nu^{m-2}), \nu)] + \left[ \left( \frac{\ker(\nu^{m-1})}{\ker(\nu^{m-2})}, 0 \right) \right] + \left[ \left( \frac{R^n}{\ker(\nu^{m-1})}, 0 \right) \right] \\ &= [(\ker(\nu^2), \nu)] + \cdots + \left[ \left( \frac{\ker(\nu^{m-1})}{\ker(\nu^{m-2})}, 0 \right) \right] + \left[ \left( \frac{R^n}{\ker(\nu^{m-1})}, 0 \right) \right] \\ &= [(\ker(\nu) \oplus \frac{\ker(\nu^2)}{\ker(\nu)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{R^n}{\ker(\nu^{m-1})}, 0)] \\ &= [(R^n, 0)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Assume that every finitely generated torsion free  $R$ -module is projective. Then  $\mathbf{Nil}_0(R) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathbf{Nil}_0(R)$  is generated by elements of the form  $[(R^n, \nu)] - [(R^n, 0)]$  for some  $n$  and some nilpotent endomorphism  $\nu$ . Lemma 3.2 yields the result. □

**Remark 3.4.** The hypothesis on  $R$  in the above theorem holds for any *Prüfer* domain. We say that a ring  $R$  is a *Prüfer* domain if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a valuation domain for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ . Clearly, a valuation ring is *Prüfer*. A domain  $R$  is *Prüfer* if and only if every finitely

generated torsion free  $R$ -module is projective. The ring of integer-valued polynomials  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \mid f(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a Prüfer domain. In fact, it is a non-noetherian Prüfer domain (see [1]). One can see [8] for  $K$ -theory of Prüfer domains.

#### 4. COFINALITY LEMMA

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be an exact category. An exact subcategory  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  is called *closed under extensions* whenever there exists a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  then  $N$  is isomorphic to an object of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We say that an exact subcategory  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  is *cofinal* in  $\mathcal{N}$  if for every object  $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}$  there exists  $N_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $N_1 \oplus N_2$  is isomorphic to an object of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\mathbf{Free}(R)$  denote the category of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules. Clearly,  $\mathbf{Free}(R)$  is an exact subcategory of  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  which is cofinal and closed under extensions.

We can define a category  $\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$  whose object are pairs  $(F, \nu)$ , where  $F$  is in  $\mathbf{Free}(R)$  and  $\nu$  is a nilpotent endomorphism. A morphism  $f : (F_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow (F_2, \nu_2)$  is a  $R$ -module map  $f : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  such that  $f\nu_1 = \nu_2f$ . One can check that  $\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$  is an exact category.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The category  $\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$  is an exact full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  which is cofinal and closed under extensions.*

*Proof.* Let  $(P, \nu) \in \mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . Then there exists a  $Q$  in  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  such that  $\alpha : P \oplus Q \cong R^n$  for some  $n > 0$ . Note that  $(Q, 0) \in \mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . So, we get  $(P, \nu) \oplus (Q, 0) \cong (R^n, \nu')$ , where  $\nu' = \alpha(\nu, 0)\alpha^{-1}$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R)) \subseteq \mathbf{Nil}(R)$  is cofinal.

Suppose that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (P_1, \nu_1) \rightarrow (P, \nu) \rightarrow (P_2, \nu_2) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact with  $(P_1, \nu_1), (P_2, \nu_2) \in \mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ , i.e., the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \nu_1 \downarrow & & \nu \downarrow & & \nu_2 \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative with exact rows and  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbf{Free}(R)$ . Let  $\beta$  denote the isomorphism  $P \cong P_1 \oplus P_2$ . We define a nilpotent endomorphism of  $P_1 \oplus P_2$  as  $\beta\nu\beta^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $(P, \nu) \cong (P_1 \oplus P_2, \beta\nu\beta^{-1})$  in  $\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ . Hence the lemma.  $\square$

We now consider categories  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$  and  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . Note that one can identify  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$  with  $\mathbf{Nil}(B^q\mathbf{Free}(R))$  and  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  with  $\mathbf{Nil}(B^q\mathbf{P}(R))$  because nilpotent endomorphism commutes with each differential.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The categories  $C^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R)) \subseteq C^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  and  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R)) \subseteq B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  both are cofinal and closed under extensions.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.2 of [2], we know that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is an exact full subcategory of  $\mathcal{N}$  which is cofinal and closed under extensions then for every  $(N_*, d, d') \in B^q\mathcal{N}$  there is an object  $(L_*, e) \in C^q\mathcal{N}$  such that  $(N_*, d, d') \oplus (L_*, e, e) \cong (M_*, f, f') \in B^q\mathcal{M}$ . The assertion now follows from Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

### 5. GENERATORS OF $\mathbf{Nil}_{n>0}(R)$

We first describe generators for  $\mathbf{Nil}_1(R) (\cong NK_2(R))$ . Before that we need some preparations. Let us begin with the following Lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $[(P_*, p, p')] = [(Q_*, q, q')]$  in  $K_0B^q\mathbf{P}(R)$  then  $[(P_*, p, p', 0)] = [(Q_*, q, q', 0)]$  in  $K_0B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $[(P_*, p, p')] = [(Q_*, q, q')]$  in  $K_0B^q\mathbf{P}(R)$ , there are short exact sequences (see Exercise II.7.2 of [9])

$$0 \rightarrow (C_*, c, \tilde{c}) \rightarrow (A_*, a, \tilde{a}) \rightarrow (D_*, d, \tilde{d}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow (C_*, c, \tilde{c}) \rightarrow (B_*, b, \tilde{b}) \rightarrow (D_*, d, \tilde{d}) \rightarrow 0$$

in  $B^q\mathbf{P}(R)$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad (P_*, p, p') \oplus (A_*, a, \tilde{a}) \cong (Q_*, q, q') \oplus (B_*, b, \tilde{b}).$$

Note that

$$0 \rightarrow (C_*, c, \tilde{c}, 0) \rightarrow (A_*, a, \tilde{a}, 0) \rightarrow (D_*, d, \tilde{d}, 0) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow (C_*, c, \tilde{c}, 0) \rightarrow (B_*, b, \tilde{b}, 0) \rightarrow (D_*, d, \tilde{d}, 0) \rightarrow 0$$

both are short exact sequences in  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . Thus,  $[(A_*, a, \tilde{a}, 0)] = [(B_*, b, \tilde{b}, 0)] = [(C_*, c, \tilde{c}, 0)] + [(D_*, d, \tilde{d}, 0)]$  in  $K_0B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . By using the isomorphism (5.1), we get  $(P_*, p, p', 0) \oplus (A_*, a, \tilde{a}, 0) \cong (Q_*, q, q', 0) \oplus (B_*, b, \tilde{b}, 0)$ . Hence the assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *For every  $(P_*, p, p', \nu)$  in  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  there exists a  $(Q_*, q, q, 0)$  in  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  such that  $(P_*, p, p', \nu) \oplus (Q_*, q, q, 0) \cong (F_*, f, f', \nu')$ , where  $(F_*, f, f', \nu') \in B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $(P_*, p, p') \in B^q\mathbf{P}(R)$ . By Lemma 6.2 of [2], there exists a  $(Q_*, q, q)$  such that  $(P_*, p, p') \oplus (Q_*, q, q) \cong (F_*, f, f')$ , where  $(F_*, f, f') \in B^q\mathbf{Free}(R)$ . Let  $\alpha$  denote the above isomorphism. Define  $\nu' := \alpha(\nu, 0)\alpha^{-1}$ . The isomorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  commute with differentials. We need to check that  $\nu'$  is a nilpotent endomorphism on  $(F_*, f, f')$ . Let us just consider one case. Checking for other case is similar. We have

$\alpha(p, q) = f\alpha$  and  $(p, q)\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}f$ . Moreover,  $\nu$  commutes with  $p$  and  $p'$ . Then  $f\nu' = f\alpha(\nu, 0)\alpha^{-1} = \alpha(p, q)(\nu, 0)\alpha^{-1} = \alpha(\nu, 0)(p, q)\alpha^{-1} = \alpha(\nu, 0)\alpha^{-1}f = \nu'f$ . This shows that  $\nu'$  is a nilpotent endomorphism on  $(F_*, f, f')$  and we get the desired isomorphism  $(P_*, p, p', \nu) \oplus (Q_*, q, q, 0) \cong (F_*, f, f', \nu')$ .  $\square$

Recall that we have the forgetful map

$$K_0B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R) \rightarrow K_0B^q\mathbf{P}(R), [(P_*, p, p', \nu)] \mapsto [(P_*, p, p')]$$

and  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_1(R)$  denotes the kernel of the above map.

**Lemma 5.3.** *The group  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_1(R)$  is generated by elements of the form  $[(F_*, f, f', \nu)] - [(F_*, f, f', 0)]$ , where  $(F_*, f, f', \nu)$  and  $(F_*, f, f', 0)$  both are in  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2,  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R)) \subseteq B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  is cofinal with closed under extensions. Let  $x \in K_0(B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R))$ . Then  $x$  is of the form  $[(P_*, p, p', \nu)] - [(F_*, f, f', \tilde{\nu})]$ , where  $(P_*, p, p', \nu) \in B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$  and  $(F_*, f, f', \tilde{\nu}) \in B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$  (see Remark II.7.2.1 of [9]). If  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_1(R)$  then

$$(5.2) \quad [(P_*, p, p')] = [(F_*, f, f')].$$

Since  $(P_*, p, p') \in B^q\mathbf{P}(R)$ , there exists a  $(Q_*, q, q) \in B^q\mathbf{P}(R)$  such that  $[(P_*, p, p')] + [(Q_*, q, q)] = [(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}')] in  $K_0B^q\mathbf{P}(R)$  (by Lemma 6.2 of [2]). Here  $(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}') \in B^q\mathbf{Free}(R)$ . We also have (see Lemma 5.2)$

$$(5.3) \quad [(P_*, p, p', \nu)] + [(Q_*, q, q, 0)] = [(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}', \nu')].$$

By (5.2),  $[(F_*, f, f')] + [(Q_*, q, q)] = [(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}')] in  $K_0B^q\mathbf{P}(R)$ . Using Lemma 5.1, we get  $[(F_*, f, f', 0)] + [(Q_*, q, q, 0)] = [(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}', 0)] in  $K_0B^q\mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . Now, (5.3) implies that$$

$$(5.4) \quad [(P_*, p, p', \nu)] = [(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}', \nu')] - [(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}', 0)] + [(F_*, f, f', 0)].$$

Therefore,

$$(5.5) \quad x = ([(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}', \nu')] - [(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}', 0)]) - ([(\tilde{F}_*, \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}', \nu)] - [(F_*, f, f', 0)]).$$

This shows that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_1(R)$  is generated by elements of the form  $[(F_*, f, f', \nu)] - [(F_*, f, f', 0)]$ , where  $(F_*, f, f', \nu)$  and  $(F_*, f, f', 0)$  both are in  $B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** *The group  $\mathbf{Nil}_1(R)$  is generated by elements of the form*

$$[(F_*, f, f', \nu)] - [(F_*, f, f', 0)],$$

where  $(F_*, f, f', \nu), (F_*, f, f', 0) \in B^q\mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$  and  $f \neq f'$ .

*Proof.* We know  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_1(R) \cong \mathbf{Nil}_1(R) \oplus \widetilde{T}_R^1$  (see Lemma 2.6). Hence the assertion by Lemma 5.3.  $\square$



**Generators of  $\text{Nil}_n(R)$  for  $n > 1$ .** We consider the case  $\text{Nil}_n(R) (\cong NK_{n+1}(R))$  for  $n > 1$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *If  $[(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n))] = [(Q_*, (q_1, q'_1), (q_2, q'_2), \dots, (q_n, q'_n))]$  in  $K_0(B^q)^n \mathbf{P}(R)$  then*

$$[(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n), 0)] = [(Q_*, (q_1, q'_1), (q_2, q'_2), \dots, (q_n, q'_n), 0)]$$

in  $K_0(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to Lemma 5.1. More precisely, just rewrite the proof of Lemma 5.1 for  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{P}(R)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *For every  $(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n), \nu)$  in  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R)$  there exists a  $(Q_*, (q, q), (q_2, q'_2), \dots, (q_n, q'_n), 0)$  in  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R)$  such that*

$$(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n), \nu) \oplus (Q_*, (q, q), (q_2, q'_2), \dots, (q_n, q'_n), 0) \cong (F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \nu'),$$

where  $(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \nu')$  is in  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ .

*Proof.* By repeatedly using Lemma 4.2,  $(B^q)^{n-1} \mathbf{Free}(R) \subseteq (B^q)^{n-1} \mathbf{P}(R)$  is cofinal and closed under extensions. By Lemma 6.2 of [2], for  $(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n)) \in (B^q)^n \mathbf{P}(R)$  there exists a  $(Q_*, (q, q), (q_2, q'_2), \dots, (q_n, q'_n))$  such that

$$(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n)) \oplus (Q_*, (q, q), (q_2, q'_2), \dots, (q_n, q'_n)) \stackrel{\alpha}{\cong} (F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n)),$$

where  $(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n)) \in (B^q)^n \mathbf{Free}(R)$ . Define  $\nu' := \alpha(\nu, 0)\alpha^{-1}$ . Note that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  commute with differentials in each direction. The rest of the argument is similar to Lemma 5.2.  $\square$

Recall that  $\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_n(R)$  denotes the kernel of

$$K_0(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R) \rightarrow K_0(B^q)^n \mathbf{P}(R),$$

$$[(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n), \nu)] \mapsto [(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n))].$$

**Lemma 5.7.** *The group  $\widetilde{\text{Nil}}_n(R)$  is generated by elements of the form*

$$[(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \nu)] - [(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), 0)],$$

where  $(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \nu)$  and  $(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), 0)$  both are in  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2,  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R)) \subseteq (B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R)$  is cofinal with closed under extensions. Let  $x \in K_0(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R)$ . By applying Remark II.7.2.1 of [9] for the categories  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R)) \subseteq (B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R)$ , we get

$$x = [(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n), \nu)] - [(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \tilde{\nu})], \text{ where}$$

$(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n), \nu) \in (B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(R)$  and  $(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \tilde{\nu}) \in (B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ . Suppose  $x \in \widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_n(R)$ . Thus,

$$[(P_*, (p_1, p'_1), (p_2, p'_2), \dots, (p_n, p'_n))] = [(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n))]$$

in  $K_0(B^q)^n \mathbf{P}(R)$ . The rest of the argument is similar to the case of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_1(R)$ . By using Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6, we get

(5.6)

$$x = ([(\tilde{F}_*, (\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}'_1), (\tilde{f}_2, \tilde{f}'_2), \dots, (\tilde{f}_n, \tilde{f}'_n), \nu')] - [(\tilde{F}_*, (\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}'_1), (\tilde{f}_2, \tilde{f}'_2), \dots, (\tilde{f}_n, \tilde{f}'_n), 0)]) - ([(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \tilde{\nu})] - [(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), 0)]),$$

where all the entries are in  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ . Therefore,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_n(R)$  is generated by elements of the form

$$[(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \nu)] - [(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), 0)].$$

□

By Lemma 2.6,  $\mathbf{Nil}_n(R) \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{Nil}}_n(R) / \widetilde{T}_R^n$ . Hence we get,

**Theorem 5.8.** *The group  $\mathbf{Nil}_n(R)$  is generated by elements of the form*

$$([(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \nu)] - [(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), 0)]) \pmod{\widetilde{T}_R^n},$$

where  $(F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), \nu), (F_*, (f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2), \dots, (f_n, f'_n), 0)$  are objects of  $(B^q)^n \mathbf{Nil}(\mathbf{Free}(R))$ .

**Remark 5.9.** D. Grayson in [2, Remark 8.1] remarked that acyclic binary multicomplexes supported on  $[0, 2]^n$  suffice to generate whole group  $K_n \mathcal{N}$ . Recently, D. Kasprowski and C. Wings establish Grayson's remark in [6] (more precisely, see Theorem 1.3 of [6]). In view of [6], generators of  $\mathbf{Nil}_n(R)$  for  $n > 0$  obtained in Theorems 5.4 and 5.8 can be restricted to acyclic binary multicomplexes supported on  $[0, 2]^n$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Paul-Jean Cahen, Jean-Luc Chabert, *What you should know about integer-valued polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly **123** (2016), no. 4, 311-337.
- [2] D. R. Grayson, *Algebraic K-theory via binary complexes*, Journal of American Mathematical Society, Vol **25**, Number **4** (2012), 1149-1167.
- [3] T. Harris, *Algebraic proofs of some fundamental theorems in Algebraic K-theory*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications, vol. **17(1)** (2015), 267-280.
- [4] D. Kasprowski, B. Kock and C. Wings,  *$K_1$ -groups via binary complexes of fixed length*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **22** (2020), no. 1, 203-213.
- [5] S. Kelly and M. Morrow, *K-theory of valuation rings*, Compos. Math. **157** (2021), no. 6, 1121-1142.

- [6] D. Kasproski and C. Winges, *Shortening binary complexes and commutativity of  $K$ -theory with infinite products*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Series B **7**(2020), 1-23.
- [7] A. Nenashev,  $K_1$  by generators and relations, J.of Pure and Applied Algebra. **131(2)** (1998), 195-212.
- [8] S. Banerjee and V. Sadhu,  $K$ -theory of Prüfer domains, Arch. Math. (Basel) **118** (2022), no. 5, 465-470.
- [9] C. Weibel, The  $K$ -Book: An Introduction to Algebraic  $K$ -theory. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **145**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH  
BHOPAL, BHOPAL BYPASS ROAD, BHAURI, BHOPAL-462066, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA

*Email address:* [sourayan16@iiserb.ac.in](mailto:sourayan16@iiserb.ac.in), [vsadhu@iiserb.ac.in](mailto:vsadhu@iiserb.ac.in), [viveksadhu@gmail.com](mailto:viveksadhu@gmail.com)