Computer Vision Estimation of Emotion Reaction Intensity in the Wild

Yang Qian University of Hawai'i at Manoa

 Ali Kargarandehkordi University of Hawai'i at Manoa

Saimourya Surabhi Stanford University Mohammadmahdi Honarmand Stanford University

Onur Cezmi Mutlu Stanford University

 Dennis Paul Wall* Stanford University

 Peter Washington* University of Hawai'i at Manoa

 ** Corresponding authors*

Abstract

Emotions play an essential role in human communication. Developing computer vision models for automatic recognition of expression can aid in a variety of domains, including robotics, digital behavioral healthcare, and media analytics. There are three types of emotional representations that are traditionally modeled in affective *computing research: Action Units, Valence Arousal (VA),* and Categorical Emotions. As part of an effort to move beyond these representations towards more fine-grained *labels, we describe our submission to the newly introduced* **Emotional Reaction Intensity (ERI) Estimation challenge in** the 5th competition for Affective Behavior Analysis inthe-*Wild (ABAW). We developed four deep neural networks trained in the visual domain to predict emotion reaction intensity. Our best-performing model on the Hume-***Reaction dataset achieved an average Pearson correlation** *coefficient of 0.31 on the test set using a pre-trained ResNet50 model. This work provides a first step towards the* development of production-grade models which predict emotion reaction intensities rather than discrete emotion *categories.*

1. Introduction

Natural facial expressions are the most potent, universally recognized signals for conveying emotional states and intentions $[1, 2]$. Mental disease diagnosis, human social/physiological interaction detection, social robotics, and many other sociotechnical applications have been target domains for research on automatic emotion recognition $[3-8]$.

An example of affective computing which is central to our research groups' collective work is digital therapeutics for developmental delays. Emotional expressions play a crucial role in certain types of pediatric developmental disorders. Autism spectrum disorder (ASD), for example, affects almost 1 in 44 people in the United States $[9]$, and it is one of the fastest-growing developmental disorders in terms of prevalence $[10,11]$. Children with autism tend to evoke emotions differently than neurotypical peers, and it is more difficult for them to produce the correct facial expressions $[12-14]$. Digital therapeutics have been developed to assist children who struggle with emotion by providing realtime emotion cues corresponding to the evocations of a conversational partner using real-time computer vision recognition of emotion expression $[15-23]$. Such digital and wearable devices enable families to provide therapy in the comfort of their homes and ability to customize the intervention structure to suit their child's needs [24–30]. However, these systems use models which only predict basic emotion categories and could benefit from the development of more sophisticated emotion recognition models.

Hume-React is a large-scale multimodal database containing user-generated video content and corresponding annotations of emotion reaction intensity.

By releasing the Hume-Reaction dataset, Hume contributed to the 5th Workshop and Competition on Affective Behavior Analysis InThe-Wild (ABAW) and to affective computing research as a whole. The released dataset includes more than 75 hours of video recordings consisting of spontaneous reactions of 2,222 individuals to 1841 evocative video elicitors. Each video is annotated by individuals with seven self-reported emotions at a scale of intensity 1-100 $[31]$. Prediction of continuous intensity rather than category alone can expand the possibilities of digital therapeutics for ASD.

We propose an affect recognition and level estimation model for the Emotional Reaction Intensity (ERI) Estimation task in the 5th ABAW Competition $[32]$. In contrast to the most recent ABAW competitions, where multi-task learning was the central theme or among one of the main challenges $[33, 34]$, this year the focus is on only uni-task solutions to four challenges: Valence-Arousal (VA) Estimation $[35, 36]$, Expression (Expr) Classification $[37, 38]$, Action Unit (AU) Detection $[37, 39]$, and Emotional Reaction Intensity (ERI) Estimation. We designed our algorithms to surpass the baseline network performance ResNet50 (pre-trained VGGFACE2) with fixed convolutional weights and employed multiple modifications to enhance the proposed models and achieve better efficiency in detecting emotion labels and estimating their intensity levels. Our code is publicly available here: https:// github.com/YangQiantwx/EERI_CVPR_2023.

2. Related Work

A multitude of features, including visual, audio, text, and physiological signals, have been introduced in prior multimodal deep learning models. We focus on reviewing visual features, as it was the modality used in this project.

We first describe common visual feature representations. The Facial Action Coding System (FACS) is a widely used affect recognition network that recognizes specific emotions based on facial Action Units (AU) [40]. Gabor wavelet is another emotion recognition tool successfully applied to facial representation $[41]$. Benefiting from the growing application of deep learning, researchers have discovered that extracting features based on deep learning techniques can achieve higher accuracy. For instance, to extract visual features, [42] uses CNN and RNN stack based on a convolutional recurrent neural network. To prove the efficiency of audio/visual networks, $[43]$ proposes the usage of 2D+1D convolutional neural networks. In the AVECs, researchers use other deep learning methods that all perform better than traditional feature extractors [44–46].

There are several prior works for facial emotion recognition $[4,7,8,47,48]$. A major bottleneck in affective computing is that emotion expression models are limited by the datasets they are trained on. Existing emotion datasets use only one of the three common types of emotional representations: Categorical Emotions (CE), Action Units (AU), and Valence Arousal (VA). The similarities between some expressions (i.e., the ambiguity of the labels in the dataset) is another challenge that increases the difficulty of distinguishing some facial expressions. This ambiguity might originate from inconsistent labeling. For example, "Sadness" can be similar to "Disgust" and it might be difficult to distinguish these two facial expressions.

To tackle some of the mentioned limitations above, the use of video-based datasets with alternative labeling representations has emerged. Dealing with the complexities of high-dimensional video data becomes a central challenge. Due to rapid expression changes, many frames might not contain reliable information for predicting facial expressions let alone estimation of the emotion's intensity. Labeling the video frame by frame [49] adds further complexity.

The Aff-Wild [50–53] and Aff-Wild2 [32, 54–58] Audio/Visual (A/V) datasets are current examples used in both academic and industrial communities that contain all three representation labels mentioned above. Aff-Wild2 is comprised of 548 videos of around 2.7M frames annotated in terms of the seven primary expressions (i.e., anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, surprise, and neutral).

The 5th Workshop and Competition on Affective Behavior Analysis in-the-wild (ABAW) introduces four primary challenges. These include: 1) Valence-Arousal (VA) Estimation i.e., how positive/negative and active/passive an emotional state is, 2) Expression (Expr) Classification, and 3) Action Unit (AU) Detection (specific movements of facial muscles from Facial Action Coding System), and 4) a new 4th challenge called Emotional Reaction Intensity (ERI) Estimation using a new dataset of emotion reaction intensities (Hume Reaction).

3. Methodology

We train deep neural networks which use convolutional feature extractors [59] pretrained on a small sample dataset from AffectNet [60] to represent visual features. We optimize all models using Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss:

$$
MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2
$$

To evaluate model performance, we measure the Pearson correlation coefficient between the predicted emotion intensity and the ground truth intensity label for each emotion and calculate their average scores:

$$
\rho = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}
$$

$$
\bar{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i
$$

3.1. Data Preprocessing And Normalization

RetinaFace $[61]$ was used to detect faces in each frame. The detected face coordinates were then used to crop the input frames to only show the detected faces, helping to prevent overfitting irrelevant portions of the image.

32 evenly separated frames were sampled for each video. The resulting shape of our training data is a tensor with shape [x, 32, 112, 112, 3], where x is the total number of data points used for training. We applied three data augmentation techniques for face analysis and emotion recognition $[62]$: brightness increases of up to 150% of the maximum value, horizontal flipping and rotation (20%) range).

The data were normalized by subtracting the mean (μ) of each feature and dividing by the standard deviation (σ) , ensuring that each feature has a mean of *θ* and a standard $deviation of 1:$

$$
Z_i = \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma}
$$

4. Model Architecture

Figure 1. CNN-LSTM architecture.

We designed two types of frameworks for our network architecture. Both of them utilize convolutional neural networks for feature extraction. To interpret the features across timestamps, the first framework relies on long shortterm memory (LSTM) network [63, 64] and the second one is based on Transformer [46].

4.1. CNN-LSTM

Our first proposed network architecture, termed the CNN-LSTM framework (Figure 1), is primarily characterized by its two key components - a Convolutional Neural

Network (CNN) and a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network. This pairing of feature extraction and temporal analysis forms an effective solution for processing spatially complex sequential data.

Initially, the input data passes through the CNN component of our model. This stage transforms the high dimensional input into a reduced feature space, generating a feature map that encapsulates the salient aspects of the input data. This feature map is then fed into the LSTM layer. The LSTM processes these features across time steps, capturing the temporal dependencies within the sequential data.

Following the LSTM stage, the extracted temporal features are further processed through a dense layer. This layer acts as a feature processor that distills the temporal features into a refined format. Lastly, another dense layer takes the refined features and transforms them into the final output. This layer employs a sigmoid activation function to predict the final emotion reaction intensities in the range of [0, 1].

4.2. CNN-Transformer

In the second framework, we incorporate a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) with a Transformer model (Figure 2) to perform complex spatial and temporal analysis.

The framework begins with applying a CNN to the input tensor, which has the shape of (*T,H,W,*3) where *T* denotes the number of frames, *H* and *W* are the height and width of each frame. The CNN extracts the spatial features from the input, resulting in a feature tensor of shape (T,h,w,d) , where h and w are the reduced height and width, and d is the depth of the feature map.

To effectively capture spatial relationships in these features, we pass them through a spatial embedding layer, which reduces the dimensionality of the tensor to (*T,h,w,d*′). Following this, we perform global average pooling to remove the spatial dimensions, thus resulting in a feature vector of size (*T,d*′).

Next, we utilize position encoding to the feature vector and feed it into a TransformerEncoder layer characterized by parameters: d_f (size of the feed-forward layer in the Transformer block), d_{model} (embedding dimension) = d', and num heads (number of attention heads). The output from this step maintains the shape (T, d') and can be expressed as:

Xencoded = *Transformer*(*Xpos,dff,dmodel,numheads*)

where X_{pos} is the position-encoded feature vector.

The output from the TransformerEncoder is then fed into a 1D Temporal Convolutional Network (TCN) for another layer of encoding, this time considering the temporal dependencies within the feature sequence. Following this, we again apply position encoding to the TCN output and pass it through another TransformerEncoder layer, using the same parameters as before.

Finally, we perform global average pooling on the output from the second TransformerEncoder layer. This pooled output is then passed through two consecutive dense layers. The final layer applies a sigmoid activation function to yield the final output.

5. Experiments

In our experiments, we trained and tested four distinct models - two using a pre-trained ResNet18 and two using a pre-trained ResNet50 for the CNN backbones.

Figure 2. CNN-Transformer architecture.

5.1. Hardware and Software Setup

All computations were executed on an Nvidia A100 GPU, with models built using Keras. Our initial learning rate was set at 0.0002 and the total epoch is 50.

5.2. Model Configuration

The LSTM layer comprised 512 units. For the Transformer, we set the number of layers to 3, the embedding dimension (*dmodel*) to 256, the size of the feedforward layer (d_f) to 128, and the number of attention heads to 8.

5.3. Training Process

The Adam optimizer was employed for training, with a batch size of 128. Training was monitored using three callback functions:

- EarlyStopping, which terminated training and restored the best model weights when the validation Pearson correlation failed to improve by a minimum delta of 0.0001 over 12 epochs.
- ReduceLROnPlateau, which reduced the learning rate by a factor of 0.5 when the validation Pearson correlation did not improve by at least 0.0001 over 6 epochs.
- ModelCheckpoint, which saved the model weights in a file whenever an improvement in the validation Pearson correlation was detected.

6. Results

The performance of each model on the Hume-Reaction dataset is provided in Table 1. It was evaluated based on the Pearson Correlation Coefficient. The baseline model achieved a Pearson Correlation Coefficient of 0.249.

6.1. Model Performance

Table 1 summarizes the performance of our models in terms of the Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC).

Model	PCC
Baseline	0.249
ResNet18 + LSTM	0.248
ResNet50 + LSTM	0.259
ResNet18 + Transformer	0.286
ResNet50 + Transformer	0.312

Table 1. Performance of models using Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC).

The ResNet50 + Transformer model outperformed all the other models and the baseline, achieving the highest Pearson Correlation Coefficient of 0.312. Meanwhile, the ResNet18 + LSTM model slightly underperformed when compared to the baseline. The results demonstrate the

effectiveness of using Transformer models over LSTM when combined with CNNs, especially with ResNet50 as the base.

7. Conclusion

We evaluated a series of neural network architectures for emotional reaction intensity estimation using the Hume Reaction dataset. Among the architectures tested, our model leveraging the combination of a ResNet50 and Transformer (referred as R50 + Trans.) achieved the highest performance when operating on visual data solely. In contrast, the model coupling ResNet18 with LSTM (R18 + LSTM) displayed slightly inferior results compared to our baseline, implying the distinct advantage of Transformer over LSTM in this task.

The superior performance of the R50 + Trans. model can be attributed to the comprehensive integration of CNN and Transformer. This robust architecture is capable of capturing both spatial attributes from CNN and temporal dependencies from Transformer, thereby allowing effective estimation of emotional intensity.

In our future work, we plan to explore additional enhancements to our model. We will investigate alternative feature representations, refine model architectures, optimize hyperparameters, and experiment with different data fusion strategies. We expect that these efforts will further boost the model's effectiveness in predicting emotional reaction intensity. Despite the significant improvement brought by our best model, this is just the beginning of our journey towards a more sophisticated and accurate estimation of emotional intensity.

Acknowledgements

The technical support and advanced computing resources from University of Hawaii Information Technology Services – Cyberinfrastructure, funded in part by the National Science Foundation CC* awards # 2201428 and # 2232862 are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] C. Darwin and P. Prodger, *The expression of the emotions in man and animals*. Oxford University Press, USA, 1998. 1
- [2] Y.-I. Tian, T. Kanade, and J. F. Cohn, "Recognizing action units for facial expression analysis," IEEE Transactions on pattern *analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 97–115, 2001. 1
- [3] A. Banerjee, P. Washington, C. Mutlu, A. Kline, and D. P. Wall, "Training and profiling a pediatric emotion recognition

classifier on mobile devices," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.11754*, 2021. 1

- [4] C. Hou, H. Kalantarian, P. Washington, K. Dunlap, and D. P. Wall, "Leveraging video data from a digital smartphone autism therapy to train an emotion detection classifier," *medRxiv*, pp. 2021–07, 2021. 1, 2
- [5] H. Kalantarian, K. Jedoui, P. Washington, and D. P. Wall, "A mobile game for automatic emotion-labeling of images," *IEEE transactions on games, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 213-218,* 2018. 1
- [6] H. Kalantarian, K. Jedoui, P. Washington, Q. Tariq, K. Dunlap, J. Schwartz, and D. P. Wall, "Labeling images with facial emotion and the potential for pediatric healthcare," *Artificial intelligence in medicine*, vol. 98, pp. 77–86, 2019. 1
- [7] P. Washington, H. Kalantarian, J. Kent, A. Husic, A. Kline, E. Leblanc, C. Hou, C. Mutlu, K. Dunlap, Y. Penev, *et al.*, "Training an emotion detection classifier using frames from a mobile therapeutic game for children with developmental disorders," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.08678*, 2020. 1, 2
- [8] P. Washington, H. Kalantarian, J. Kent, A. Husic, A. Kline, E. Leblanc, C. Hou, C. Mutlu, K. Dunlap, Y. Penev, *et al.*, "Training affective computer vision models by crowdsourcing soft-target labels," Cognitive computation, vol. 13, pp. 1363–1373, 2021. 1, 2
- [9] D. L. Christensen, K. V. N. Braun, J. Baio, D. Bilder, J. Charles, J. N. Constantino, J. Daniels, M. S. Durkin, R. T. Fitzgerald, M. Kurzius-Spencer, et al., "Prevalence and characteristics of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 yearsautism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, united states, 2012," *MMWR Surveillance Summaries*, vol. 65, no. 13, p. 1, 2018. 1
- [10] K. Ardhanareeswaran and F. Volkmar, "Introduction. focus: autism spectrum disorders.," The Yale Journal of Biology and *Medicine*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 3–4, 2015. 1
- [11] E. Gordon-Lipkin, J. Foster, and G. Peacock, "Whittling down the wait time: exploring models to minimize the delay from initial concern to diagnosis and treatment of autism spectrum disorder," *Pediatric Clinics*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 851– 859, 2016. 1
- [12] A. Lakkapragada, A. Kline, O. C. Mutlu, K. Paskov, B. Chrisman, N. Stockham, P. Washington, and D. P. Wall, "The classification of abnormal hand movement to aid in autism detection: Machine learning study," JMIR Biomedical *Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. e33771, 2022. 1
- [13] A. Nag, N. Haber, C. Voss, S. Tamura, J. Daniels, J. Ma, B. Chiang, S. Ramachandran, J. Schwartz, T. Winograd, *et al.*, "Toward continuous social phenotyping: analyzing gaze patterns in an emotion recognition task for children with autism through wearable smart glasses," Journal of medical *Internet research*, vol. 22, no. 4, p. e13810, 2020. 1
- [14] J. Manfredonia, A. Bangerter, N. V. Manyakov, S. Ness, D. Lewin, A. Skalkin, M. Boice, M. S. Goodwin, G. Dawson, R. Hendren, *et al.*, "Automatic recognition of posed facial expression of emotion in individuals with autism spectrum disorder," Journal of autism and developmental disorders, vol. 49, pp. 279–293, 2019. 1
- [15] P. Washington, A. Kline, O. C. Mutlu, E. Leblanc, C. Hou, N. Stockham, K. Paskov, B. Chrisman, and D. Wall, "Activity recognition with moving cameras and few training examples: applications for detection of autism-related headbanging," in *Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 1-7, 2021. 1
- [16] P. Washington, C. Voss, N. Haber, S. Tanaka, J. Daniels, C. Feinstein, T. Winograd, and D. Wall, "A wearable social interaction aid for children with autism," in *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human* Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 2348-2354, 2016. 1
- [17] N. Haber, C. Voss, J. Daniels, P. Washington, A. Fazel, A. Kline, T. De, T. Winograd, C. Feinstein, and D. P. Wall, "A wearable social interaction aid for children with autism," arXiv *preprint arXiv:2004.14281*, 2020. 1
- [18] P. Washington and D. P. Wall, "A review of and roadmap for data science and machine learning for the neuropsychiatric phenotype of autism," arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03577, 2023. 1
- [19] C. Voss, N. Haber, and D. P. Wall, "The potential for machine learning-based wearables to improve socialization in teenagers and adults with autism spectrum disorder-reply," *JAMA pediatrics*, vol. 173, no. 11, pp. 1106–1106, 2019. 1
- [20] J. Daniels, J. N. Schwartz, C. Voss, N. Haber, A. Fazel, A. Kline, P. Washington, C. Feinstein, T. Winograd, and D. P. Wall, "Exploratory study examining the at-home feasibility of a wearable tool for social-affective learning in children with autism," *NPJ digital medicine*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 32, 2018. 1
- [21] C. Voss, J. Schwartz, J. Daniels, A. Kline, N. Haber, P. Washington, Q. Tariq, T. N. Robinson, M. Desai, J. M. Phillips, et al., "Effect of wearable digital intervention for improving socialization in children with autism spectrum disorder: a randomized clinical trial," *JAMA pediatrics*, vol. 173, no. 5, pp. 446–454, 2019. 1
- [22] H. Kalantarian, K. Jedoui, K. Dunlap, J. Schwartz, P. Washington, A. Husic, Q. Tariq, M. Ning, A. Kline, D. P. Wall, *et al.*, "The performance of emotion classifiers for children with parent-reported autism: quantitative feasibility study," *JMIR mental health*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. e13174, 2020. 1
- [23] H. Kalantarian, P. Washington, J. Schwartz, J. Daniels, N. Haber, and D. P. Wall, "Guess what? towards understanding autism from structured video using facial affect," Journal of *healthcare informatics research*, vol. 3, pp. 43-66, 2019. 1
- [24] A. Kline, C. Voss, P. Washington, N. Haber, H. Schwartz, Q. Tariq, T. Winograd, C. Feinstein, and D. P. Wall, "Superpower glass," *GetMobile: Mobile Computing and Communications*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 35-38, 2019. 1
- [25] C. Voss, P. Washington, N. Haber, A. Kline, J. Daniels, A. Fazel, T. De, B. McCarthy, C. Feinstein, T. Winograd, *et al.*, "Superpower glass: delivering unobtrusive real-time social cues in wearable systems," in *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Compu/ng: Adjunct*, pp. 1218–1226, 2016. 1
- [26] P. Washington, N. Park, P. Srivastava, C. Voss, A. Kline, M. Varma, Q. Tariq, H. Kalantarian, J. Schwartz, R. Patnaik, *et al.*, "Data-driven diagnostics and the potential of mobile artificial intelligence for digital therapeutic phenotyping in computational psychiatry," *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 759–769, 2020. 1
- [27] N. Haber, C. Voss, and D. Wall, "Making emotions transparent: Google glass helps autistic kids understand facial expressions through augmented-reaiity therapy," *IEEE Spectrum*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 46–52, 2020. 1
- [28] P. Washington, C. Voss, A. Kline, N. Haber, J. Daniels, A. Fazel, T. De, C. Feinstein, T. Winograd, and D. Wall, "Superpowerglass: a wearable aid for the at-home therapy of children with autism," Proceedings of the ACM on *interactive, mobile, wearable and ubiquitous technologies,* vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 1–22, 2017. 1
- [29] J. Daniels, N. Haber, C. Voss, J. Schwartz, S. Tamura, A. Fazel, A. Kline, P. Washington, J. Phillips, T. Winograd, *et al.*, "Feasibility testing of a wearable behavioral aid for social learning in children with autism," Applied clinical *informatics, vol. 9, no. 01, pp. 129-140, 2018. 1*
- [30] C. Voss, N. Haber, P. Washington, A. Kline, B. McCarthy, J. Daniels, A. Fazel, T. De, C. Feinstein, T. Winograd, *et al.*, "Designing a holistic at-home learning aid for autism," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.04263*, 2020. 1
- [31] L. Christ, S. Amiriparian, A. Baird, P. Tzirakis, A. Kathan, N. Muller, L. Stappen, E.-M. Meßner, A. K¨ onig, A. Cowen,¨ et al., "The muse 2022 multimodal sentiment analysis challenge: humor, emotional reactions, and stress," in Proceedings of the 3rd International on Multimodal *Sentiment Analysis Workshop and Challenge*, pp. 5–14, 2022. 2
- [32] D. Kollias, P. Tzirakis, A. Baird, A. Cowen, and S. Zafeiriou, "Abaw: Valence-arousal estimation, expression recognition, action unit detection & emotional reaction intensity estimation challenges," arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01498, 2023. 2
- [33] A. V. Savchenko, "Frame-level prediction of facial expressions, valence, arousal and action units for mobile devices," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.13436*, 2022. 2
- [34] W. Zhang, Z. Guo, K. Chen, L. Li, Z. Zhang, and Y. Ding, "Prior aided streaming network for multi-task affective recognitionat the 2nd abaw2 competition," arXiv preprint *arXiv:2107.03708*, 2021. 2
- [35] S. Zhang, R. An, Y. Ding, and C. Guan, "Continuous emotion recognition using visual-audio-linguistic information: A technical report for abaw3," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp.* 2376–2381, 2022. 2
- [36] L. Meng, Y. Liu, X. Liu, Z. Huang, W. Jiang, T. Zhang, Y. Deng, R. Li, Y. Wu, J. Zhao, et al., "Multi-modal emotion estimation for in-the-wild videos," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.13032*, 2022. 2
- [37] W. Zhang, F. Qiu, S. Wang, H. Zeng, Z. Zhang, R. An, B. Ma, and Y. Ding, "Transformer-based multimodal information fusion for facial expression analysis," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recogni/on*, pp. 2428–2437, 2022. 2
- [38] F. Xue, Z. Tan, Y. Zhu, Z. Ma, and G. Guo, "Coarse-to-fine cascaded networks with smooth predicting for video facial expression recognition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp.* 2412–2418, 2022. 2
- [39] W. Jiang, Y. Wu, F. Qiao, L. Meng, Y. Deng, and C. Liu, "Facial action unit recognition with multi-models ensembling," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.13046*, 2022. 2
- [40] P. Ekman and W. V. Friesen, "Facial action coding system," *Environmental Psychology & Nonverbal Behavior*, 1978. 2
- [41] Z. Zhang, "Feature-based facial expression recognition: Sensitivity analysis and experiments with a multilayer perceptron," *International journal of pattern recognition and Ar/ficial Intelligence*, vol. 13, no. 06, pp. 893–911, 1999. 2
- [42] S. Poria, I. Chaturvedi, E. Cambria, and A. Hussain, "Convolutional mkl based multimodal emotion recognition and sentiment analysis," in 2016 IEEE 16th international *conference on data mining (ICDM)*, pp. 439–448, IEEE, 2016. 2
- [43] T. Baltrusaitis, P. Robinson, and L.-P. Morency, "Openface: an open source facial behavior analysis toolkit," in *2016 IEEE* winter conference on applications of computer vision *(WACV)*, pp. 1–10, IEEE, 2016. 2
- [44] S. Chen, Q. Jin, J. Zhao, and S. Wang, "Multimodal multitask learning for dimensional and continuous emotion

recognition," in Proceedings of the 7th Annual Workshop on Audio/Visual Emotion Challenge, pp. 19-26, 2017. 2

- [45] J. Huang, Y. Li, J. Tao, Z. Lian, Z. Wen, M. Yang, and J. Yi, "Continuous multimodal emotion prediction based on long short term memory recurrent neural network," in *Proceedings of the 7th Annual Workshop on Audio/Visual Emotion Challenge*, pp. 11-18, 2017. 2
- [46] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," Advances in neural information processing systems, vol. 30, 2017. 2, 3
- [47] A. Raghuvanshi and V. Choksi, "Facial expression recognition with convolutional neural networks," CS231n Course *Projects*, vol. 362, 2016. 2
- [48] S. Happy and A. Routray, "Automatic facial expression recognition using features of salient facial patches," IEEE *transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1-12, 2014. 2
- [49] A. Saeed, A. Al-Hamadi, R. Niese, and M. Elzobi, "Framebased facial expression recognition using geometrical features," *Advances in human-computer interaction*, vol. 2014, pp. 4-4, 2014. 2
- [50] D. Kollias, A. Schulc, E. Hajiyev, and S. Zafeiriou, "Analysing affective behavior in the first abaw 2020 competition," in 2020 15th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG 2020), pp. 637-643, IEEE, 2020. $\overline{2}$
- [51] D. Kollias, V. Sharmanska, and S. Zafeiriou, "Face behavior a la carte: Expressions, affect and action units in a single network," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.11111*, 2019. 2
- [52] D. Kollias, P. Tzirakis, M. A. Nicolaou, A. Papaioannou, G. Zhao, B. Schuller, I. Kotsia, and S. Zafeiriou, "Deep affect prediction in-the-wild: Aff-wild database and challenge, deep architectures, and beyond," International Journal of *Computer Vision*, vol. 127, no. 6-7, pp. 907–929, 2019. 2
- [53] S. Zafeiriou, D. Kollias, M. A. Nicolaou, A. Papaioannou, G. Zhao, and I. Kotsia, "Aff-wild: valence and arousal'inthewild'challenge," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops, pp.* 34– 41, 2017. 2
- [54] D. Kollias, "Abaw: Valence-arousal estimation, expression recognition, action unit detection & multi-task learning challenges," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 2328-2336, 2022. 2
- [55] D. Kollias, V. Sharmanska, and S. Zafeiriou, "Distribution matching for heterogeneous multi-task learning: a

largescale face study," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.03790*, 2021. 2

- [56] D. Kollias and S. Zafeiriou, "Expression, affect, action unit recognition: Aff-wild2, multi-task learning and arcface," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.04855*, 2019. 2
- [57] D. Kollias and S. Zafeiriou, "Analysing affective behavior in the second abaw2 competition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pp.* 3652–3660, 2021. 2
- [58] D. Kollias and S. Zafeiriou, "Affect analysis in-the-wild: Valence-arousal, expressions, action units and a unified framework," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.15792*, 2021. 2
- [59] G. Huang, Z. Liu, L. Van Der Maaten, and K. Q. Weinberger, "Densely connected convolutional networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 4700-4708, 2017. 2*
- [60] A. Mollahosseini, B. Hasani, and M. H. Mahoor, "Affectnet: A database for facial expression, valence, and arousal computing in the wild," IEEE Transactions on Affective *Computing*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 18-31, 2019. 2
- [61] J. Deng, J. Guo, E. Ververas, I. Kotsia, and S. Zafeiriou, "Retinaface: Single-shot multi-level face localisation in the wild," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 5203-5212, 2020. 3
- [62] Z. Yu and C. Zhang, "Image based static facial expression recognition with multiple deep network learning," in Proceedings of the 2015 ACM on international conference *on multimodal interaction, pp. 435-442, 2015. 3*
- [63] S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long short-term memory," *Neural computation*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735–1780, 1997. 3
- [64] B. Sun, Q. Wei, L. Li, Q. Xu, J. He, and L. Yu, "Lstm for dynamic emotion and group emotion recognition in the wild," in Proceedings of the 18th ACM international conference on *multimodal interaction*, pp. 451-457, 2016. 3