

Improving Object Detection in Medical Image Analysis through Multiple Expert Annotators: An Empirical Investigation

Hieu H. Pham

Coordinated Science Laboratory, UIUC

VinUni-Illinois Smart Health Center & CECS, VinUniversity

hieu.ph@vinuni.edu.vn

Tuan V. Tran

VinUniversity

tuan.tv@vinuni.edu.vn

Khiem H. Le

VinUniversity

khiem.lh@vinuni.edu.vn

Ha Q. Nguyen

Vingroup Big Data Institute

v.hanq3@vinbigdata.org

1. Introduction

The work discusses the use of machine learning algorithms for anomaly detection in medical image analysis and how the performance of these algorithms depends on the number of annotators and the quality of labels. To address the issue of subjectivity in labeling with a single annotator, we introduce a simple and effective approach that aggregates annotations from multiple annotators with varying levels of expertise. We then aim to improve the efficiency of predictive models in abnormal detection tasks by estimating hidden labels from multiple annotations and using a re-weighted loss function to improve detection performance. Our method is evaluated on a real-world medical imaging dataset and outperforms relevant baselines that do not consider disagreements among annotators. This work¹ has been accepted for publication by IEEE Access and its full form can be found in [7]. We also released the dataset and the code used in this study².

2. Learning from multiple annotators

Existing works that are highly related to our work, including learning from multiple annotators [4–6, 8, 20–22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 29, 31, 33–35] and weighted training techniques [1–3, 9, 23, 26, 32]. Unlike any approaches above, we aggregated annotations from multiple annotators and propose a re-weighted loss function that assigns more weights to more confident examples that determine by the consensus of multiple annotators. Figure 1 shows an overview of our method. Specifically, we first estimate the actual labels using WBF algorithm [28]. They are then used to train a typical object detector with a re-weighted loss function.

¹This is a short version submitted to the Midwest Machine Learning Symposium (MMLS 2023), Chicago, IL, USA.

²<https://vindr.ai/datasets/cxr>.

Note a general form of the loss function for those detectors can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}(p, p^*, t, t^*) = \mathcal{L}_{cls}(p, p^*) + \beta I(t) \mathcal{L}_{loc}(t, t^*)$$

$$I(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if IoU}\{a, a^*\} > \eta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where t and t^* are the predicted and ground truth box coordinates, p and p^* are the class category probabilities, respectively; $\text{IoU}\{a, a^*\}$ denotes the Intersection over Union (IoU) between the anchor a and its ground truth a^* ; η is an IoU threshold for objectness, i.e. the confidence score of whether there is an object or not; β is a constant for balancing two loss terms \mathcal{L}_{cls} and \mathcal{L}_{loc} [36]. We use fused boxes confidence scores c_k^i obtained from WBF algorithm [28] to get a re-weighted loss function that emphasizes boxes with high annotators agreement. The new loss function can now be written as

$$\mathcal{L}(p, p^*, t, t^*) = c \mathcal{L}_{cls}(p, p^*) + c \beta I(t) \mathcal{L}_{loc}(t, t^*). \quad (2)$$




Figure 1. Illustration of the proposed approach.

3. Experiments and Results

We validated our method on VinDr-CXR [11], a real-world chest X-ray dataset with labels provided by multiple

radiologists for a typical task medical imaging [10, 12–19, 30]. It consists of 18,000 chest X-ray scans, with 15,000 for training and 3,000 for testing sets. We compared the performance of the proposed method against (1) Baseline using all annotations as the ground truth; models trained on annotations provided by each annotator independently (Annotators #1, #2, #3) and (3) an ensemble of independent models trained on separate radiologists’ annotation sets. Table 1 reports the experimental results in mAP@0.4 score. Our method outperforms the baselines, individual models, and even the ensemble of individual experts’ models. Experimental results validated the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Table 1. Experimental results on the VinDr-CXR dataset.

Method	mAP@0.4
Baseline	0.148
Annotator #1	0.121
Annotator #2	0.132
Annotator #3	0.124
Ensemble of all annotators	0.154
Ours	0.158

4. Discussions and Conclusion

The proposed method is the first effort to train an image detector from labels provided by multiple annotators. We empirically showed a notable improvement in terms of mAP scores by estimating the true labels and then integrating the implicit annotators’ agreement into the loss function to emphasize the accurate bounding boxes over the imprecise ones. The idea is simple but effective, allowing the overall framework can be applied in training any machine learning-based detectors. However, the overall architecture is not end-to-end. It may not fully exploit the benefits of combining truth inference and training the desired image detector.

References

- [1] Devansh Arpit, Stanisław Jastrzundefinedbski, Nicolas Ballas, David Krueger, Emmanuel Bengio, Maxinder S. Kanwal, Tegan Maharaj, Asja Fischer, Aaron Courville, Yoshua Bengio, and Simon Lacoste-Julien. A closer look at memorization in deep networks. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML’17*, page 233–242. JMLR.org, 2017. 1
- [2] Yoshua Bengio, Jérôme Louradour, Ronan Collobert, and Jason Weston. Curriculum learning. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML ’09*, page 41–48, New York, NY, USA, 2009. Association for Computing Machinery. 1
- [3] N. Dalal and B. Triggs. Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection. In *IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, volume 1, pages 886–893 vol. 1, 2005. 1
- [4] A. Dawid and A. Skene. Maximum likelihood estimation of observer error-rates using the em algorithm. *Journal of The Royal Statistical Society Series C-applied Statistics*, 28:20–28, 1979. 1
- [5] Yuan Jin, Mark Carman, Ye Zhu, and Yong Xiang. A technical survey on statistical modelling and design methods for crowdsourcing quality control. *Artificial Intelligence*, page 103351, 2020. 1
- [6] David Karger, Sewoong Oh, and Devavrat Shah. Iterative learning for reliable crowdsourcing systems. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 24, 2011. 1
- [7] Khiem H Le, Tuan V Tran, Hieu H Pham, Hieu T Nguyen, Tung T Le, and Ha Q Nguyen. Learning from multiple expert annotators for enhancing anomaly detection in medical image analysis. *IEEE Access*, 11:14105–14114, 2023. 1
- [8] Jingzheng Li, Hailong Sun, Jiyi Li, Zhijun Chen, Renshuai Tao, and Yufei Ge. Learning from multiple annotators by incorporating instance features, 2021. 1
- [9] Tsung-Yi Lin, Priya Goyal, Ross Girshick, Kaiming He, and Piotr Dollár. Focal loss for dense object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2980–2988, 2017. 1
- [10] Huy Ngoc Nguyen, Hieu Pham, Thanh Tran, Tuan Nguyen, and Quy Ha Nguyen. Vindr-pcxr: An open, large-scale chest radiograph dataset for interpretation of thoracic diseases in children. *medRxiv*, pages 2022–03, 2022. 2
- [11] Ha Q Nguyen, Khanh Lam, Linh T Le, Hieu H Pham, Dat Q Tran, Dung B Nguyen, Dung D Le, Chi M Pham, Hang TT Tong, Diep H Dinh, et al. VinDr-CXR: An open dataset of chest X-rays with radiologist’s annotations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.15029*, 2020. 1
- [12] Hieu Trung Nguyen, Ha Quy Nguyen, Hieu Huy Pham, Khanh Lam, Linh Tuan Le, Minh Dao, and Van Vu. Vindr-mammo: A large-scale benchmark dataset for computer-aided diagnosis in full-field digital mammography. *MedRxiv*, pages 2022–03, 2022. 2
- [13] Hieu T Nguyen, Hieu H Pham, Nghia T Nguyen, Ha Q Nguyen, Thang Q Huynh, Minh Dao, and Van Vu. Vindr-spinexr: A deep learning framework for

- spinal lesions detection and classification from radiographs. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part V 24*, pages 291–301. Springer, 2021. 2
- [14] Ngoc Huy Nguyen, Ha Quy Nguyen, Nghia Trung Nguyen, Thang Viet Nguyen, Hieu Huy Pham, and Tuan Ngoc-Minh Nguyen. A clinical validation of vindr-cxr, an ai system for detecting abnormal chest radiographs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.02256*, 2021. 2
- [15] Ngoc Huy Nguyen, Ha Quy Nguyen, Nghia Trung Nguyen, Thang Viet Nguyen, Hieu Huy Pham, and Tuan Ngoc-Minh Nguyen. Deployment and validation of an ai system for detecting abnormal chest radiographs in clinical settings. *Frontiers in Digital Health*, page 130, 2022. 2
- [16] Thao Nguyen, Tam M Vo, Thang V Nguyen, Hieu H Pham, and Ha Q Nguyen. Learning to diagnose common thorax diseases on chest radiographs from radiology reports in vietnamese. *Plos one*, 17(10):e0276545, 2022. 2
- [17] Hieu H Pham, Dung V Do, and Ha Q Nguyen. Dicom imaging router: An open deep learning framework for classification of body parts from dicom x-ray scans. *medRxiv*, 2021. 2
- [18] Hieu H Pham, Tung T Le, Dat Q Tran, Dat T Ngo, and Ha Q Nguyen. Interpreting chest X-rays via CNNs that exploit hierarchical disease dependencies and uncertainty labels. *Neurocomputing*, 437:186–194, 2021. 2
- [19] Hieu H Pham, Ha Q Nguyen, Hieu T Nguyen, Linh T Le, and Lam Khanh. An accurate and explainable deep learning system improves interobserver agreement in the interpretation of chest radiograph. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.03545*, 2022. 2
- [20] Anil Ramakrishna, Rahul Gupta, Ruth B Grossman, and Shrikanth S Narayanan. An expectation maximization approach to joint modeling of multidimensional ratings derived from multiple annotators. In *Interspeech*, pages 1555–1559, 2016. 1
- [21] Vikas C. Raykar, Shipeng Yu, Linda H. Zhao, Anna Jerebko, Charles Florin, Gerardo Hermosillo Valadez, Luca Bogoni, and Linda Moy. Supervised learning from multiple experts: Whom to trust when everyone lies a bit. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML ’09*, page 889–896, New York, NY, USA, 2009. Association for Computing Machinery. 1
- [22] Filipe Rodrigues and Francisco Pereira. Deep learning from crowds. In *Proceedings of the Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 32, 2018. 1
- [23] Robert E Schapire. Explaining AdaBoost. In *Empirical Inference*, pages 37–52. Springer, 2013. 1
- [24] Victor S. Sheng, Foster Provost, and Panagiotis G. Ipeirotis. Get another label? Improving data quality and data mining using multiple, noisy labelers. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD ’08*, page 614–622, New York, NY, USA, 2008. Association for Computing Machinery. 1
- [25] Victor S. Sheng and Jing Zhang. Machine learning with crowdsourcing: A brief summary of the past research and future directions. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33(01):9837–9843, Jul. 2019. 1
- [26] Abhinav Shrivastava, Abhinav Gupta, and Ross Girshick. Training region-based object detectors with online hard example mining. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 761–769, 2016. 1
- [27] Padhraic Smyth, Usama Fayyad, Michael Burl, Pietro Perona, and Pierre Baldi. Inferring ground truth from subjective labelling of venus images. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS’94*, page 1085–1092, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1994. MIT Press. 1
- [28] Roman Solovyev, Weimin Wang, and Tatiana Gabruseva. Weighted boxes fusion: Ensembling boxes from different object detection models. *Image and Vision Computing*, 107:104117, Mar 2021. 1
- [29] Ryutaro Tanno, Ardavan Saeedi, Swami Sankaranarayanan, Daniel C Alexander, and Nathan Silerman. Learning from noisy labels by regularized estimation of annotator confusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11244–11253, 2019. 1
- [30] Thanh T Tran, Hieu H Pham, Thang V Nguyen, Tung T Le, Hieu T Nguyen, and Ha Q Nguyen. Learning to automatically diagnose multiple diseases in pediatric chest radiographs using deep convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3314–3323, 2021. 2
- [31] Jacob Whitehill, Ting-fan Wu, Jacob Bergsma, Javier Movellan, and Paul Ruvolo. Whose vote should count more: Optimal integration of labels from labelers of unknown expertise. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 22:2035–2043, 2009. 1

- [32] Chiyuan Zhang, Samy Bengio, Moritz Hardt, Benjamin Recht, and Oriol Vinyals. Understanding deep learning (still) requires rethinking generalization. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(3):107–115, 2021. [1](#)
- [33] Le Zhang, Ryutaro Tanno, Mou-Cheng Xu, Chen Jin, Joseph Jacob, Olga Ciccarrelli, Frederik Barkhof, and Daniel Alexander. Disentangling human error from ground truth in segmentation of medical images. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. F. Balcan, and H. Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 15750–15762. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020. [1](#)
- [34] Le Zhang, Ryutaro Tanno, Mou-Cheng Xu, Chen Jin, Joseph Jacob, Olga Ciccarrelli, Frederik Barkhof, and Daniel Alexander. Disentangling human error from ground truth in segmentation of medical images. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. F. Balcan, and H. Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 15750–15762. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020. [1](#)
- [35] Yudian Zheng, Guoliang Li, Yuanbing Li, Caihua Shan, and Reynold Cheng. Truth inference in crowdsourcing: Is the problem solved? *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, 10(5):541–552, Jan. 2017. [1](#)
- [36] Zhengxia Zou, Zhenwei Shi, Yuhong Guo, and Jieping Ye. Object detection in 20 years: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.05055*, 2019. [1](#)