# End-to-end Manipulator Calligraphy Planning via Variational Imitation Learning

Fangping Xie, Pierre Le Meur, Charith Fernando

jeff@avatarin.com, pierre@avatarin.com, charith@avatarin.com

#### Abstract

Planning from demonstrations has shown promising results with the advances of deep neural networks. One of the most popular real-world applications is automated handwriting using a robotic manipulator. Classically it is simplified as a twodimension problem. This representation is suitable for elementary drawings, but it is not sufficient for Japanese calligraphy or complex work of art where the orientation of a pen is part of the user's expression. In this study, we focus on automated planning of Japanese calligraphy using a three-dimension representation of the trajectory as well as the rotation of the pen tip, and propose a novel deep imitation learning neural network that learns from expert demonstrations through a combination of images and pose data. The network consists of a combination of variational autoencoder, bi-directional LSTM, and Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP). Experiments are conducted in a progressive way, and results demonstrate that the proposed approach is successful in completion of tasks for real-world robots, overcoming the distribution shift problem in imitation learning. The source code and dataset will be public.

### Introduction

Learning from demonstrations or imitation learning is a research paradigm [\(Argall et al. 2009\)](#page-4-0) in machine learning applied to robotics planning. It allows machines to learn a complicated human-like policy that would otherwise be impossible to create programmatically for use in a reinforcement learning algorithm. A popular application in this field is handwriting planning, which uses complex bio-mechanisms to create trajectories. Many researchers achieved a certain degree of success in reproducing the writing trajectories created by human demonstrations. Previous methods for western calligraphy include Gaussian mixture models [\(Khansari-](#page-4-1)[Zadeh and Billard 2011\)](#page-4-1), hidden Markov models [\(Williams,](#page-4-2) [Toussaint, and Storkey 2006\)](#page-4-2), inverse optimal control [\(Yin](#page-4-3) [et al. 2016\)](#page-4-3), or dynamic movement primitive [\(Luo, Wu, and](#page-4-4) [Gombolay 2020\)](#page-4-4). Other studies focusing on Chinese calligraphy made use of generative adversarial nets (GAN) [\(Chao](#page-4-5) [et al. 2018,](#page-4-5) [2020\)](#page-4-6) or differential evolution [\(Gao et al. 2019\)](#page-4-7) to reproduce simple strokes.

In this study, we propose a policy for a real-world manipulator which is capable of planning the task of Japanese calligraphy. The policy imitates the style to write a relatively complicated character using a set of demonstrations from an expert. Previous studies mainly rely on the simplified two-dimension robot systems or devices such as tablets. For Japanese calligraphy, it is straightforward to consider the pen tip in three dimensions, including orientation. A robotic system using a manipulator with six degrees of freedom for the pen tip is implemented in this work. Along with the trajectory input, a third-person view camera is introduced to capture the vision states. Inspired by the successful imitation learning methods published in [\(Suzuki et al. 2021\)](#page-4-8) for the application of bi-manual manipulation, we propose a planner as a variational imitation learning network, which yields significant performance experimentally.

### Preliminaries

Handwriting planning can be described as a standard Markov decision process (MDP) model  $\langle S, A, r, T \rangle$ , where *S* and *A* are the sets of feasible state and action, respectively.  $r(s, a) : S \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is the reward function for taking an action *a* at state *s*, while  $T(s'|s, a) : S \times A \times S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ donates the dynamics of the environment as transition probability distribution from state *s* to the next state *s'* when action *a* is taken. In imitation learning, the objective is to learn a policy  $\pi(a|s)$  :  $S \times A \rightarrow [0,1]$  defined as the probability of choosing action *a* at state *s* from demonstrations  $\{\tau(i) = (s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, \dots)\}_{i=0}^n$  of an expert policy  $\pi_e$ , where *n* is the total number of states for the task. We parameterize  $\pi$  using a neural network with a set of parameters  $\theta$ , noted as  $\pi_{\theta}$ .

From demonstrations, we can expect the planning decision made by an expert on an MDP  $\langle S_e, A_e, r, T \rangle$  to be optimal for completing the task, e.g. Japanese calligraphy. This expert policy  $\pi_e$ , based on the state representation can be parameterized by a learner policy  $\pi_{\theta}$  through training a neural network with a specifically defined objective function, which is analog to the reward in the MDP.

In general, for handwriting robotic manipulation, a primitive planner corresponding to a parameterized policy that maps states to actions  $\pi(a|s)$  is integrated as a low-level controller. In another word, given a primitive planner and the initial state  $s_0$ , The presented framework is expected to learn a high-level planning policy  $\pi(s_{t+1}|s_t)$  to produce a sequence of states that will be further used by an inverse kinematics (IK) solver to generate action sequences  $(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ for robot movement control, as shown in Figure [1.](#page-2-0)

# Proposed Approach

Provided with expert demonstrations  $\tau_{i=1}^n$ , the target of imitation learning is to learn a policy  $\pi(a|s)$  that replicates the planning behavior of an expert. The proposed flowchart is described in Figure [1.](#page-2-0) The policy is decomposed into two modules, a probabilistic encoder  $p_{\theta}(z|s)$ , and a predictive decoder  $p_{\theta}(s'|z)$ . We present the variational approximate posterior  $q_{\phi}(z|s)$  as multivariate Gaussian with a diagonal covariance structure as introduced in [\(Kingma and Welling](#page-4-9) [2013\)](#page-4-9).

$$
\log q_{\phi}(z|s^i) = \log \mathcal{N}\left(z; \mu^i, \sigma^{2(i)}\mathbf{I}\right) \tag{1}
$$

where the mean  $\mu^{i}$  and standard deviation  $\sigma^{i}$  of the approximate posterior are the outputs of the encoding network with variational parameters.

The second part of the policy decoder consists of two different modules, reconstruction of the predicted image and the pose states, the latter serves as the action in the definition. Policy  $\pi_{\theta}$  is learnt by training an end-to-end neural network using Algorithm [1.](#page-2-1)

# **Objective**

Following the variational model definition in [\(Kingma and](#page-4-9) [Welling 2013\)](#page-4-9), we define the training objective as

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta; s^i) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^J (1 + \log((\sigma_j^i)^2) - (\mu_j^i)^2 - (\sigma_j^i)^2) + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L \log p_\theta(s^i | z^{(i, l)})
$$
\n(2)

where  $z^{(i,l)} = \mu^i + \sigma^i * \epsilon^l,$  and  $\epsilon^l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathrm{I}).$  The first term is the estimated KL divergence of the approximate posterior from the prior, which acts as a regularizer, while the second term is the reconstruction error of predicted states from the decoder module, noted as  $\mathcal{L}_2(\theta, s^i)$ .

Inspired by [\(Zhang et al. 2018\)](#page-4-10), for the second term in Equation [2,](#page-1-0) instead of a negative log-likelihood loss, a combination of mean absolute loss and mean square loss is applied as follows.

$$
\mathcal{L}_2(\theta, s^i) = \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{MAE}(t) + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{MSE}(t)
$$
  

$$
\lambda_3 \mathcal{L}_{MAE}(R) + \lambda_4 \mathcal{L}_{MSE}(R)
$$
  

$$
+ \lambda_5 \mathcal{L}_{MSE}(I)
$$
 (3)

where  $t$  stands for 3-state translation,  $R$  is the rotation which is represented by a 4-state quaternion, and  $I$  stands for the image. Hyper-parameters  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_5$  leverages the prediction of the expert actions and conciseness about the visual observations. An extra weight  $\lambda_6$  is given for the KL divergence term in Equation [2.](#page-1-0)

### Residual Connection Feature Pyramid Network

We propose a residual connection feature pyramid network for the encoder module as described in Figure [2.](#page-2-2) Resnet [\(He](#page-4-11) [et al. 2016\)](#page-4-11) stands for the residual network. It is popular due to its success in the ImageNet image classification challenge,

as well as its portability to other computer vision tasks such as object detection, segmentation, etc. Resnet architecture is based on residual blocks. A residual block uses a series of convolution layers along with a skip connection creating a direct link between the input and output of the block. The skip connection helps to reduce the problem of vanishing gradients in deep neural networks, as well as reducing the impact of less useful convolutional blocks.

Despite the advantages of Resnet, it faces the drawback of losing global image features after each convolutional block. The architecture of Feature Pyramidal Network (FPN) [\(Lin](#page-4-12) [et al. 2017\)](#page-4-12) with lateral connections is proposed to extract high-level semantic feature maps at all scales under marginal extra computational cost. Experimental results in various computer vision applications demonstrate the success of this architecture [\(Zhao et al. 2019\)](#page-4-13). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time applying FPN in the imitation learning from demonstrations.

### Latent Space Prediction

For sequential data, the Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) architecture, one type of Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), achieves big success in tasks like handwriting recognition [\(AGMLS, Bunke, and Schmiduber 2009\)](#page-4-14) or speech recognition [\(Graves, Mohamed, and Hinton 2013\)](#page-4-15) by resolving the issue related to gradient propagation through time, i.e. backpropagated error either explosion or decays exponentially.

Bi-directional LSTM (Bi-LSTM) was proposed by [\(Cornegruta et al. 2016\)](#page-4-16) and it basically consists of two LSTMs: one taking the input in a forward direction, and the other in a backward direction. In another word, the output will contain information on both the backward and forward dynamics of the motion.

Planning in latent space [\(Ha and Schmidhuber 2018;](#page-4-17) [Hafner et al. 2019\)](#page-4-18) attracts wide attention in the controlling and skills-learning field. Inspired by the idea of latent imagination from images, we use the decoder as a reconstruction of predicted images from the output of Bi-LSTM.

#### Data Augmentation

The planning model targets learning from expert demonstrations. In general, it is time-consuming and expensive to collect data from an expert. Dataset is expanded with data augmentation by adding Gaussian noises to the pose data. Data augmentation also acts as a regularizer and reduces overfitting during model training.

Image augmentation has been proven in improving the performance of methods learning from pixels [\(Kostrikov,](#page-4-19) [Yarats, and Fergus 2020\)](#page-4-19). In particular, shift transformation is highly recommended for deep reinforcement learning. Besides, random noises in brightness, hue, and saturation are introduced to improve the system performance, especially in eliminating the impact of environment changes between the recording and inference times.

### Experiments and Results

Calligraphy learning starts from simple strokes to complicated characters consisting of dozens of strokes. Further-

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1: Planning pipeline. The top chart shows the procedures of the manipulator system, while the bottom one describes the planning module as a variational imitation learning model.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

Figure 2: Residual connection feature pyramid network.

more, the spirit of calligraphy lies in the specified way of initializing and finishing each stroke and the movement speed in between. These key factors determine the styling of calligraphy. This work focuses on solving the shape reproduction of Japanese characters from an expert which is the first step of automated planning of calligraphy.

# Inference Pipeline

It is widely acknowledged [\(Ross, Gordon, and Bagnell](#page-4-20) [2011\)](#page-4-20) that the evaluation of imitation learning policies on pre-recorded datasets is biased since the real-world environment will have changing parameters such as light intensity, light orientation, and so on. On top of environment changes, output from trained model will diverge from the expert path, which is also ignored for evaluation on pre-recorded dataset. Those changes between real-time data and pre-recorded data <span id="page-2-1"></span>Algorithm 1: Variational imitation learning training

**Input**: Expert trajectories  $\tau_i \sim \pi_e$ , initial policy  $\pi$  with parameters  $\theta$ 

- 1: while parameters  $\theta$  not converged do
- 2: Sample batch  $S_{i,i+1}$  from trajectory  $\tau_i$
- 3: Encode input  $S_i$  to stochastic latent space  $z_i \sim$  $q_{\theta}(z_i|s_i) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i)$
- 4: Random sample  $\epsilon$  from normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 5: Reparameterize  $z_i = \mu_i + \sigma_i * \theta$
- 6: Predict the next latent space  $z_{i+1}$
- 7: Decode latent space  $z_{i+1}$  to a probabilistic distribution  $p_{\theta}(s_{i+1}|z_{i+1})$
- 8: Gradients  $g \leftarrow \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta, S_{i,i+1})$  (Eq. 1)<br>9: Update parameters  $\theta$  of policy  $\pi$  with
- Update parameters  $\theta$  of policy  $\pi$  with gradients g
- 10: end while
- 11: **return** policy  $\pi_{\theta}$

are called distribution shift. From experimental results, the inference on the pre-recorded data shows good performance, as illustrated in row A of Figure [3.](#page-3-0) Our inference on a realworld robot iteratively captures the real-time pose data and images as the input.

### Real World Robot Application

Evaluation of learned policies focuses on the experimental results of completion [\(Sun, Qian, and Xu 2014\)](#page-4-21) due to the lack of benchmark and widely accepted metrics [\(Teo, Bur](#page-4-22)[det, and Lim 2002\)](#page-4-22).

We carry out experiments in a progressive way. For handwriting tasks, it is straightforward that more strokes increase the difficulties to complete the task. Roughly, strokes in Japanese characters consist of simple straight lines, curve-

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 3: Inference results. First row shows the x-y plane plot of inference (red) on pre-recorded data compared with ground truth (blue), while second row is the inference (red) on the real-world robot xArm-7. Third and fourth rows are ground truth and real-world inference results, respectively.

style strokes, and a combination of these two basic types.

Experiments are conducted on five different characters. The proposed policy model learned from expert demonstrations is capable to complete all these tasks. In Figure [3,](#page-3-0) increasing number of strokes is drawn in columns from left to right. From the plots in second row, we show the correctness of the learned policy on the shape reproduction of the realworld robot. Comparing third and forth rows of the recorded images, the shape during inference time remains the same writing style, except for that some starting and ending parts of the strokes have slight differences.

### Ablation Study

Extensive ablation studies are performed to validate the correctness and improvement of our approach. All results shown in Figure [4](#page-3-1) are obtained through the same robot system and configuration on the most complicated Japanese character demonstrated in this work. The challenge in behavior cloning is that the method can complete the task on pre-recorded data, but fails in real-time processing, comparing top and bottom rows of Figure [4.](#page-3-1) For expanded description of each result, please see supplemental video.

W/O Bidirectional LSTM Bi-LSTM is replaced with a standard LSTM layer in this experiment. Comparing the result shown in first column of Figure [4,](#page-3-1) the model is capable to complete the task with slight loss of shape maintenance, e.g. the right part of the character.

W/O Variational Encoder Similar to the previous section, the result in second column of Figure [4](#page-3-1) without using a variational encoder can also complete the task. However, we can visualize the loss of straightness in some strokes.

**Resnet Encoder** Figure [4](#page-3-1) third row shows the result of removing the feature pyramid in the image encoding part, i.e.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

Figure 4: Ablation study. Top row is the x-y plane plot of inference (red) on the recorded data compared with ground truth (blue) on five experiments, while bottom row is the corresponding real-world inference results.

using Resnet encoder. It is obvious that the learned policy has difficulties to write the Japanese character properly, especially in the aspect of continuousness for some strokes.

W/O Image Augmentation From forth column in Figure [4,](#page-3-1) the trained policy without using image augmentation performs well on pre-recorded data (top), but fails at the second stroke during real-time inference. The essential role of image augmentation methods described in the approach section is verified in this experiment.

W/O Pose Augmentation The result by training the policy without pose data augmentation, last column of Figure [4,](#page-3-1) shows that the learned policy fails the task before the second stroke. Pose data augmentation plays a major role in our proposed method which absorbs the noise and distribution shift of the system during the demonstration.

# **Discussion**

We propose a variational imitation learning neural network as a policy for Japanese calligraphy planning through learning from an expert. The policy is decomposed into an encoder and decoder to make use of the prediction of latent space. This work targets solving the common distribution shift problem in imitation learning. Experimental results on real-world robots demonstrate the correctness of the proposed method in a progressive way. Further ablation studies show the necessities and advantages of each part in the completion of Japanese calligraphy tasks.

In this work, we focus on the three-dimension automated planning policy for shape reproduction from an expert. Further directions include training a policy that is capable to perform multiple characters with external input, e.g. speech instruction or robot and agent policies fusion. Naturally, handwriting with a brush is a more complicated task, which requires taking more states into consideration, including but not limited to the velocity of pen tip movement, the thickness of each stroke and force control.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grant JPMJMS2013, Japan Science and Technology Agency Moonshot R&D Program as part of the project "Cybernetic being".

### References

<span id="page-4-14"></span>AGMLS, F.; Bunke, R.; and Schmiduber, J. 2009. A novel connectionist system for improved unconstrained handwriting recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis Machine Intelligence*, 31(5).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Argall, B. D.; Chernova, S.; Veloso, M.; and Browning, B. 2009. A survey of robot learning from demonstration. *Robotics and autonomous systems*, 57(5): 469–483.

<span id="page-4-6"></span>Chao, F.; Lin, G.; Zheng, L.; Chang, X.; Lin, C.-M.; Yang, L.; and Shang, C. 2020. An LSTM Based Generative Adversarial Architecture for Robotic Calligraphy Learning System. *Sustainability*, 12(21): 9092.

<span id="page-4-5"></span>Chao, F.; Lv, J.; Zhou, D.; Yang, L.; Lin, C.-M.; Shang, C.; and Zhou, C. 2018. Generative adversarial nets in robotic Chinese calligraphy. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 1104–1110. IEEE.

<span id="page-4-16"></span>Cornegruta, S.; Bakewell, R.; Withey, S.; and Montana, G. 2016. Modelling radiological language with bidirectional long short-term memory networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08409*.

<span id="page-4-7"></span>Gao, X.; Zhou, C.; Chao, F.; Yang, L.; Lin, C.-M.; Xu, T.; Shang, C.; and Shen, Q. 2019. A data-driven robotic Chinese calligraphy system using convolutional auto-encoder and differential evolution. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 182: 104802.

<span id="page-4-15"></span>Graves, A.; Mohamed, A.-r.; and Hinton, G. 2013. Speech recognition with deep recurrent neural networks. In *2013 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing*, 6645–6649. Ieee.

<span id="page-4-17"></span>Ha, D.; and Schmidhuber, J. 2018. Recurrent World Models Facilitate Policy Evolution. In Bengio, S.; Wallach, H.; Larochelle, H.; Grauman, K.; Cesa-Bianchi, N.; and Garnett, R., eds., *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 31. Curran Associates, Inc.

<span id="page-4-18"></span>Hafner, D.; Lillicrap, T.; Ba, J.; and Norouzi, M. 2019. Dream to control: Learning behaviors by latent imagination. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01603*.

<span id="page-4-11"></span>He, K.; Zhang, X.; Ren, S.; and Sun, J. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 770–778.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Khansari-Zadeh, S. M.; and Billard, A. 2011. Learning stable nonlinear dynamical systems with gaussian mixture models. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 27(5): 943–957.

<span id="page-4-9"></span>Kingma, D. P.; and Welling, M. 2013. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*.

<span id="page-4-19"></span>Kostrikov, I.; Yarats, D.; and Fergus, R. 2020. Image augmentation is all you need: Regularizing deep reinforcement learning from pixels. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.13649*.

<span id="page-4-12"></span>Lin, T.-Y.; Dollár, P.; Girshick, R.; He, K.; Hariharan, B.; and Belongie, S. 2017. Feature pyramid networks for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2117–2125.

<span id="page-4-4"></span>Luo, Q.; Wu, J.; and Gombolay, M. 2020. A Generalized Robotic Handwriting Learning System based on Dynamic Movement Primitives (DMPs). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.03898*.

<span id="page-4-20"></span>Ross, S.; Gordon, G.; and Bagnell, D. 2011. A reduction of imitation learning and structured prediction to no-regret online learning. In *Proceedings of the fourteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*, 627–635. JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings.

<span id="page-4-21"></span>Sun, Y.; Qian, H.; and Xu, Y. 2014. Robot learns Chinese calligraphy from demonstrations. In *2014 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 4408–4413. IEEE.

<span id="page-4-8"></span>Suzuki, K.; Kanamura, M.; Suga, Y.; Mori, H.; and Ogata, T. 2021. In-air knotting of rope using dual-arm robot based on deep learning. In *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 6724–6731. IEEE.

<span id="page-4-22"></span>Teo, C. L.; Burdet, E.; and Lim, H. 2002. A robotic teacher of Chinese handwriting. In *Proceedings 10th Symposium on Haptic Interfaces for Virtual Environment and Teleoperator Systems. HAPTICS 2002*, 335–341. IEEE.

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Williams, B. H.; Toussaint, M.; and Storkey, A. J. 2006. Extracting motion primitives from natural handwriting data. In *International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks*, 634–643. Springer.

<span id="page-4-3"></span>Yin, H.; Alves-Oliveira, P.; Melo, F. S.; Billard, A.; and Paiva, A. 2016. Synthesizing robotic handwriting motion by learning from human demonstrations. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 3530–3537. AAAI Press. ISBN 9781577357704.

<span id="page-4-10"></span>Zhang, T.; McCarthy, Z.; Jow, O.; Lee, D.; Chen, X.; Goldberg, K.; and Abbeel, P. 2018. Deep imitation learning for complex manipulation tasks from virtual reality teleoperation. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 5628–5635. IEEE.

<span id="page-4-13"></span>Zhao, Z.-Q.; Zheng, P.; Xu, S.-t.; and Wu, X. 2019. Object detection with deep learning: A review. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, 30(11): 3212–3232.