

# Expanding the Role of Affective Phenomena in Multimodal Interaction Research

Leena Mathur  
School of Computer Science  
Carnegie Mellon University  
lmathur@cs.cmu.edu

Maja J Matarić  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Southern California  
mataric@usc.edu

Louis-Philippe Morency  
School of Computer Science  
Carnegie Mellon University  
morency@cs.cmu.edu

## ABSTRACT

In recent decades, the field of affective computing has made substantial progress in advancing the ability of AI systems to recognize and express affective phenomena, such as affect and emotions, during human-human and human-machine interactions. This paper describes our examination of research at the intersection of multimodal interaction and affective computing, with the objective of observing trends and identifying understudied areas. We examined over 16,000 papers from selected conferences in multimodal interaction, affective computing, and natural language processing: ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction, AAAC International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction, Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, and Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing. We identified 910 affect-related papers and present our analysis of the role of affective phenomena in these papers. We find that this body of research has primarily focused on enabling machines to recognize and express affect and emotion. However, we find limited research on how affect and emotion predictions might be used by AI systems to enhance machine understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states. Based on our analysis, we discuss directions to expand the role of affective phenomena in multimodal interaction research.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → **Interaction paradigms**; • **Applied computing** → *Psychology*; • **Computing methodologies** → **Artificial intelligence**;

## KEYWORDS

affect, emotion, affective computing, social signals, artificial social intelligence, human-centered AI

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, research in psychology and neuroscience has highlighted the importance of affective phenomena in understanding, explaining, and predicting how humans behave and think during real-world social interactions [20, 21]. This body of research has demonstrated explanatory relationships among affective phenomena (e.g., affect and emotion), cognitive processes (e.g., memory, attention, perception, and decision-making), and behavioral processes (e.g., habits, adaptation, stimulus-response actions) [20]. In addition, affective states have been shown to regulate the dynamics of human *social behaviors* (e.g., communicative social signals) and *cognitive states* (e.g., attitudes) during social interactions [15, 29, 45].

In parallel to the aforementioned progress in the affective sciences, recent decades of computer science research have laid foundations in affective computing [19, 49, 52], with substantial progress in advancing the ability of AI systems to estimate affective phenomena in humans. After affective phenomena have been predicted by an AI system, we believe those predictions can be used to enhance the system’s understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states, towards more socially-intelligent AI. We were, therefore, motivated to explore the question: *How, and to what extent, have affective phenomena been used by AI systems in multimodal interaction research to enhance machine understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states?*

To begin answering this question, we scoped a study to examine trends in how multimodal interaction research has treated the role of affect and emotion in over 16,000 papers selected from premier conferences that represent communities in multimodal interaction, affective computing, and natural language processing (NLP): ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction (ICMI), AAAC International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII), Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL), and Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP).

Our paper makes four contributions. First, we identify 910 papers related to affect and emotion from past decades of proceedings at ICMI, ACII, ACL, and EMNLP and categorize the role of affect and emotion in these papers. Second, we quantify the extent to which affect and emotion have been used by AI systems to enhance AI system understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states in these 910 papers. Third, we analyze trends in how affect and emotion have been used to enhance machine understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states. Fourth, based on our analysis, we offer insights into future directions to expand the role of affect and emotion in multimodal interaction research.

## 2 AFFECTIVE PHENOMENA, SOCIAL BEHAVIORS, AND COGNITIVE STATES

This section provides a brief overview of relationships among affective phenomena, social behaviors, and cognitive states. This is a growing research area in psychology and neuroscience [20]. Findings suggest that affective phenomena (e.g., affect, emotion) drive human social behavior and social cognition [1, 5, 31, 47]. Affective processes can influence how people remember social information [39], make decisions [21, 30], and perceive others during interpersonal social interactions; for example, a person’s affective state before an interpersonal interaction can influence whether or not they end up liking or favourably judging another person (the reinforcement-affect model) [12, 14]. Prominent models backed




Figure 1: Conceptualization of use of an AI system to predict affect and emotion in a human and, then, use those predictions in models of human social behaviors and cognitive states.

by empirical findings include the *affect-as-information* theory (the perspective that humans directly query their affective state when making judgements) [13, 16], the *affect priming* theory (the perspective that affect primes connections across concepts during reasoning and choice of behaviors in social situations) [34], and the *affect infusion model* (defines social contexts in which affect influences the choice of social behavior) [22]. These relationships among affective phenomena, social behaviors, and cognitive states can be leveraged by AI systems during real-world interactions. As conceptualized in **Figure 1**, an AI system that uses behavioral cues to predict a human’s affective state can then use those predictions to model the human’s social behaviors and cognitive states.

### 3 SELECTING AND CATEGORIZING PAPERS

This study was designed to capture and analyze broad trends in premier conference venues, selected to reflect communities in multimodal interaction (ICMI), affective computing (ACII), and NLP (ACL and EMNLP). We note that our choice to include NLP venues in our analysis is due to the dialogue, discourse, and interaction research in this community. Our inclusion of ACL also encompassed prior papers at regional ACL conferences NAACL, EACL, AACL.

Data were accessed from the available online conference proceedings: ICMI proceedings began from 2002<sup>1</sup>, ACII proceedings began from 2009<sup>2</sup>, and ACL/EMNLP proceedings began from 1979 in the ACL Anthology Corpus dataset<sup>3</sup>. Our search yielded **16,966 papers**: 1161 ICMI papers from 2002-2022, 786 ACII papers from 2009-2022, and 15019 ACL and EMNLP papers from 1979-2022.

<sup>1</sup><https://dl.acm.org/conference/icmi-mlmi/proceedings>

<sup>2</sup><https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/conhome/1002992/all-proceedings>

<sup>3</sup><https://huggingface.co/datasets/ACL-OCL/acl-anthology-corpus>

We applied an initial filter to select papers in which the title or abstract contained at least one of the following keywords: *affective*, *affect-aware*, *valence*, *arousal*, *positive affect*, *negative affect*, *emotion*, *emotions*, *emotion-aware*, *emotional*. We chose this approach to filter our initial set of papers on the assumption that papers disseminating findings applicable to affective phenomena during social interactions will include at least one of these terms in the title or abstract; filtering papers with this approach should effectively capture affect-related research (papers that address affect and emotion) for our further analysis. This yielded a total of **910 papers** (129 ICMI papers, 547 ACII papers, 234 ACL/EMNLP papers).

We examined the 910 papers and categorized them into the following 7 groups, based on the primary focus of each paper in its treatment of affect and emotion.

(1) **Recognizing Affect and Emotion**: This category includes papers on modeling efforts to predict affect and emotion. For example, a paper that proposes a method to predict valence and arousal labels for speakers in a video dataset would be in this category.

(2) **Expressing Affect and Emotion**: This category includes papers that focus on techniques to enable virtual and embodied AI agents to express affect and emotion. For example, a paper that proposes a method to express facial emotions in virtual human avatars would be in this category.

(3) **Recognizing and Expressing Affect and Emotion**: This category includes papers that perform both recognition and expression of affect and emotion, warranting a separate category. For example, a paper that proposes a method to recognize emotional states in a human speaker and uses that method to inform a virtual avatar’s expressed emotion would be in this category.

(4) **Using Affect and Emotion for Enhanced Machine Understanding of Human Social Behaviors and Cognitive States**: This category includes papers that explore the role of affect and emotion to enhance machine understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states during interactions. For example, a paper that uses the outputs of an affect prediction model to predict human social behaviors would be in this category.

(5) **Affect and Emotion Frameworks and Analysis**: This category includes conceptual work and psychology studies of humans during interactions. For example, a paper analyzes students’ emotions while playing a game would be in this category.

(6) **Tools, Interfaces, and Datasets**: This category includes papers that discuss data collection tools, papers on interfaces for facilitating interactions, and papers that introduce datasets.

(7) **Miscellaneous**: This category includes papers that did not fit into prior categories. For example, a paper that focused on techniques for video retrieval and emphasized that the video’s social context involved emotion, would be in this category.

### 4 DISTRIBUTION OF RESEARCH FOCUS IN THE AFFECT-RELATED PAPERS

All 910 affect-related papers were published between 1994-2022. The number of these papers published across time at the studied venues is visualized in **Figure 2**. We observe a substantial increase in the number of papers during the past decade at ICMI and ACII, and during the past 5 years at ACL/EMNLP. We note that this increased interest in studying affective phenomena complements


the acceleration of research activity in affective phenomena across psychology, neuroscience, humanities, and social sciences [20].

The distribution of research focus in the 910 papers is visualized in **Figure 3**. We find that across these papers, the large proportion focused on techniques for machines to recognize and express affect and emotion (41% and 9%, respectively); an additional 1% focused on both these challenges. Only 6% (52 papers) discussed research that investigated using affect and emotion to enhance machine understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states. We analyze this subset of 52 papers in **Section 5** for insights about this understudied area. Among the remaining papers, 17% focused on affect-related frameworks and analysis, 9% on new tools, interfaces, and datasets, and 17% on miscellaneous topics (e.g., video retrieval in papers that happened to mention emotion).


## 5 USE OF AFFECT AND EMOTION FOR ENHANCED MACHINE UNDERSTANDING

We analyzed the 52 papers that used affect and emotion to enhance machine understanding of social behaviors and cognitive states. We find that these papers used affective phenomena in three primary ways: as a *feature*, in an *auxiliary task*, and as a *latent state*. All 52 papers were published between 2009-2022; 54% of them were published in the last 4 years. The accelerating increase in papers on this topic is visualized in **Figure 4**. This trend demonstrates a growing interest across the multimodal interaction, affective computing, and NLP communities in this understudied research area. We observed a steady increase in papers that used affective phenomena as a feature and a slower increase in papers that used affective phenomena as a latent state. In the past 5 years, we also observed a sharp increase in the number of papers that used affective phenomena in an auxiliary task. We further examine these categories.


**Affective Phenomena as Features:** 29 of the 52 papers used affective phenomena as features to predict human social behaviors and cognitive states. In these papers, affective phenomena were used to predict the following social behaviors and social signal dynamics in human-human and human-machine interaction: head nods [37], humor [73], idiom and metaphor expression [32, 51], non-cooperative behavior [68], deception [42], fake communication [9], self-disclosure [3], intimacy [43], dialogue acts [7], and negotiation dialogue dynamics [24]. In these papers, affective phenomena were also used to predict cognitive states such as personality traits [6, 66], working memory [23], cognitive task performance (especially tasks that require high cognitive-overload) [33], and perceptions of other individuals [44, 65]. We find that the main application domains were **healthcare** and **education**. The papers with healthcare applications used affective phenomena to predict depression severity [63], suicidal ideation [59–61], schizophrenic behavior [27], patient satisfaction with doctor communication styles [64], and multimodal distress assessment in patients during dyadic patient-clinician interactions, where affective context of clinician questions was taken into account [25]. The papers with education applications used affective phenomena to predict children’s pronunciation ability in an educational reading context [67] and cognitive strategies during learning [17]). Additional papers focused on using affective features to predict presentation proficiency [55], speaker reliability [50], and other affective states [53].



**Figure 2: Accumulation of affect-related papers at ACII, ICMI, and ACL/EMNLP over time.**



**Figure 3: Distribution of research focus in affect-related papers.**



**Figure 4: Accumulation of papers that used affective phenomena for enhanced machine understanding, split across use as a feature, in auxiliary tasks, and as a latent state.**

**Affective Phenomena in an Auxiliary Task:** 13 out of the 52 papers used affective phenomena in auxiliary modeling tasks (e.g., emotion prediction) to improve performance in downstream tasks predicting human social behaviors and cognitive states. 10 of these 13 papers were from NLP venues. Affective phenomena were used in auxiliary tasks during pretraining, multi-task learning, and fine-tuning; models with these auxiliary tasks achieved improved

performance in predicting the following states: stress [69, 74], dialogue acts [57, 58, 62], abusive behavior (e.g., harassment) [54], stance [76], sarcasm, [8], metaphor expression [18], group cohesion [41], rhetorical behavior (e.g., critical, discriminative, supportive rhetoric) [28], formality [10], frustration [10], and politeness [10]. We also found one paper that used multi-task learning for both emotion shift prediction and dialogue act recognition, to improve the emotion recognition in multi-party conversations [56].

**Affective Phenomena as Latent States:** 10 of these 52 papers treated affective phenomena as a latent state in models of human social behaviors and cognitive states. Several papers modeled group interaction dynamics by treating affective phenomena as latent states. Affective information was used as a latent variable in a partially observable Markov decision process to model dyadic human interactions, with applications in tutoring systems [26]. Other papers defined interpersonal emotion networks as graphs capturing relationship dynamics in multi-party settings [35] and modeled emotion under the premise of emotion states modulating dyadic human behavior [72]. Additional papers viewed affective phenomena as latent states in models for personality [70], moral conflicts [38], creative performance [46], human sarcasm perception [48], toxicity perception [36], and decision-making [4], as well as engagement, interactivity, impatience, reflectivity, and cognitive learning outcomes during online education [2].

The 52 papers are listed in the Appendix Table 1.

## 6 SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

In this paper, we explore *how*, and *to what extent*, research at the intersection of multimodal interaction and affective computing has treated the role of affective phenomena in AI systems. In our sample of over 16,000 papers from ICMI, ACII, ACL, and EMNLP, we identify 910 papers related to affective phenomena (affect and emotion) and find that this body of research has primarily focused on enabling machines to recognize and express affect and emotion. We find that the use of affect and emotion to enhance machine understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states has been understudied (52 of the 910 papers); this role is visualized in Figure 1. However, we observe an emerging interest in this direction (Figure 4). From our analysis, we offer insights into future directions to expand the role of affective phenomena in AI systems.

**(1) Expanding the Roles of Affective Phenomena in Multimodal Interaction Models:** We identify an emerging area of research using affective phenomena as features, in auxiliary tasks, and as latent states to improve downstream models of social behaviors and cognitive states. We acknowledge that there may be a selection bias influencing the publication of papers with positive results. We recommend that future research efforts replicate and validate past empirical findings on the usefulness of affective phenomena in these modeling contexts. From our study, we found that 10 of the 13 papers that used affective phenomena in auxiliary tasks were from experiments in unimodal text-only settings. We recommend that future research efforts explore affective signals in auxiliary tasks to include affective context in *multimodal* models of human social behaviors and cognitive states during different stages of the modeling process (e.g., pretraining, fine-tuning, co-learning

[75]). In addition, we suggest that future multimodal interaction research explore the inclusion of explicit and implicit affective signals as rewards under the reinforcement learning from human feedback framework [11, 40]), to adapt and expand AI system understanding of human social behaviors and cognitive states.

### **(2) Cognitively and Neurally-Inspired Models that Reflect the Complex Interaction of Affect, Behavior, and Cognition:**

The use of affective phenomena in AI models of human social behaviors and cognitive states can be motivated by existing relationships among these three constructs in humans [1, 5, 20, 31, 47] (overview in Section 2). The existence of these theorized and empirically-validated relationships in psychology and neuroscience (e.g., affect-as-information, affect priming, and affect infusion theories [13, 16, 22, 34]) have the potential to inform the science of multimodal interaction research. We, therefore, recommend that future research efforts explore how to leverage these theories to build computational models that reflect the complex interaction among these three constructs in humans. We believe that cognitively and neurally-inspired models have the potential to advance the ability of AI systems to use affective phenomena in order to better understand human social behaviors and cognitive states.

**(3) Expanding Multimodal Social Interaction Contexts:** We find that a majority of the papers in our sample focus on monadic contexts, with a limited focus on challenges present in dyadic and multi-party contexts. We recommend that future research efforts explore how AI systems can better integrate affective state predictions from multiple people and multiple contexts to inform models of social behaviors and cognitive states in group-level dynamics. For example, how can an AI system integrate its affect predictions of both people in a dyad in order to predict their synchrony (group-level behavior) [71]? How can affective state predictions of one person in a multi-party interaction inform an AI system’s understanding of behavior and cognition in other participants?

**(4) Expanding Application Areas:** We find that several of the papers successfully used affective phenomena to predict social behaviors and cognitive states in the domains of *healthcare* (e.g., modeling depression, doctor-patient communication dynamics) [25, 59–61, 63, 64, 69, 74] and *education* (e.g., modeling student learning dynamics) [2, 17, 67]. We recommend that future research efforts further explore how affective phenomena can be used as features, auxiliary tasks, and latent states to improve AI systems that support human health, education, and well-being through applications and empirical validation in additional populations and social contexts. Since it is possible that affective phenomena might not be useful to inform models in all settings, we recommend that future research explore techniques to enable AI systems to efficiently estimate when they need to *query* affective state information from their environment in order to improve their understanding of the social behaviors and cognitive states of the people around them.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE2140739. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ralph Adolphs and Antonio R Damasio. 2001. The interaction of affect and cognition: A neurobiological perspective. (2001).
- [2] Shazia Afzal, Bikram Sengupta, Munira Syed, Nitesh Chawla, G Alex Ambrose, and Malolan Chetlur. 2017. The ABC of MOOCs: Affect and its inter-play with behavior and cognition. In *2017 Seventh International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 279–284.
- [3] JinYeong Bak, Suin Kim, and Alice Oh. 2012. Self-disclosure and relationship strength in twitter conversations. In *Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, 60–64.
- [4] Matthew Barthelet, Ahmed Khalifa, Antonios Liapis, and Georgios N Yannakakis. 2022. Play with Emotion: Affect-Driven Reinforcement Learning. In *2022 10th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [5] Antoine Bechara, Antonio R Damasio, Hanna Damasio, and Steven W Anderson. 1994. Insensitivity to future consequences following damage to human prefrontal cortex. *Cognition* 50, 1-3 (1994), 7–15.
- [6] Joan-Isaac Biel, Lucía Teijeiro-Mosquera, and Daniel Gatica-Perez. 2012. FaceTube: Predicting Personality from Facial Expressions of Emotion in Online Conversational Video. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM International Conference on Multimodal Interaction* (Santa Monica, California, USA) (ICMI '12). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 53–56. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2388676.2388689>
- [7] Kristy Boyer, Joseph F Grafsgaard, Eun Young Ha, Robert Phillips, and James Lester. 2011. An affect-enriched dialogue act classification model for task-oriented dialogue. In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. 1190–1199.
- [8] Dushyant Singh Chauhan, SR Dhanush, Asif Ekbal, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. 2020. Sentiment and emotion help sarcasm? a multi-task learning framework for multi-modal sarcasm, sentiment and emotion analysis. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 4351–4360.
- [9] Kushal Chawla, Rene Clever, Jaysa Ramirez, Gale Lucas, and Jonathan Gratch. 2021. Towards emotion-aware agents for negotiation dialogues. In *2021 9th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [10] Kushal Chawla, Sopan Khosla, Niyati Chhaya, and Kokil Jaidka. 2019. Pre-trained affective word representations. In *2019 8th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 1–7.
- [11] Paul F Christiano, Jan Leike, Tom Brown, Miljan Martic, Shane Legg, and Dario Amodei. 2017. Deep reinforcement learning from human preferences. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 30 (2017).
- [12] Gerald L Clore and Donn Byrne. 1974. A reinforcement-affect model of attraction. *Foundations of interpersonal attraction* (1974), 143–170.
- [13] Gerald L Clore, Karen Gasper, and Erika Garvin. 2001. Affect as information. *Handbook of affect and social cognition* (2001), 121–144.
- [14] Gerald L Clore and John B Gormly. 1974. Knowing, feeling, and liking a psychophysiological study of attraction. *Journal of Research in Personality* 8, 3 (1974), 218–230.
- [15] Gerald L Clore and Jesse Pappas. 2007. The affective regulation of social interaction. *Social Psychology Quarterly* 70, 4 (2007), 333–339.
- [16] Gerald L Clore, Robert S Wyer, Bruce Dienes, Karen Gasper, Carol Gohm, and Linda Isbell. 2013. Affective feelings as feedback: Some cognitive consequences. In *Theories of mood and cognition*. Psychology Press, 27–62.
- [17] Elizabeth B Cloude, Franz Wortha, Daryn A Dever, and Roger Azevedo. 2021. Negative emotional dynamics shape cognition and performance with MetaTutor: toward building affect-aware systems. In *2021 9th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [18] Verna Dankers, Marek Rei, Martha Lewis, and Ekaterina Shutova. 2019. Modelling the interplay of metaphor and emotion through multitask learning. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*. 2218–2229.
- [19] Sidney K D’mello and Jacqueline Kory. 2015. A review and meta-analysis of multimodal affect detection systems. *ACM computing surveys (CSUR)* 47, 3 (2015), 1–36.
- [20] Daniel Dukes, Kathryn Abrams, Ralph Adolphs, Mohammed E Ahmed, Andrew Beatty, Kent C Berridge, Susan Broomhall, Tobias Brosch, Joseph J Campos, Zanna Clay, et al. 2021. The rise of affectivism. *Nature human behaviour* 5, 7 (2021), 816–820.
- [21] Joseph P Forgas. 2012. *Affect in social thinking and behavior*. Psychology Press.
- [22] Joseph P Forgas. 2013. The affect infusion model (AIM): An integrative theory of mood effects on cognition and judgments. In *Theories of mood and cognition*. Psychology Press, 99–134.
- [23] Daniel Gabana, Laurissa Tokarchuk, Emily Hannon, and Hatice Gunes. 2017. Effects of valence and arousal on working memory performance in virtual reality gaming. In *2017 Seventh International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 36–41.
- [24] Bilal Ghanem, Simone Paolo Ponzetto, Paolo Rosso, and Francisco Rangel. 2021. Fakeflow: Fake news detection by modeling the flow of affective information. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.09810* (2021).
- [25] Sayan Ghosh, Moitrey Chatterjee, and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2014. A multimodal context-based approach for distress assessment. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*. 240–246.
- [26] Jesse Hoey, Tobias Schroder, and Areej Alhothali. 2013. Bayesian affect control theory. In *2013 Humaine Association Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction*. IEEE, 166–172.
- [27] Kai Hong, Christian G Kohler, Mary E March, Amber A Parker, and Ani Nenkova. 2012. Lexical differences in autobiographical narratives from schizophrenic patients and healthy controls. In *Proceedings of the 2012 Joint Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning*. 37–47.
- [28] Pere-Lluís Huguet-Cabot, David Abadi, Agneta Fischer, and Ekaterina Shutova. 2021. Us vs. them: a dataset of populist attitudes, news bias and emotions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.11956* (2021).
- [29] Alice M Isen. 1987. Positive affect, cognitive processes, and social behavior. In *Advances in experimental social psychology*. Vol. 20. Elsevier, 203–253.
- [30] Alice M Isen and Barbara Means. 1983. The influence of positive affect on decision-making strategy. *Social cognition* 2, 1 (1983), 18–31.
- [31] Tiffany A Ito and John T Cacioppo. 2001. Affect and attitudes: A social neuroscience approach. (2001).
- [32] Hyeju Jang, Yohan Jo, Qinlan Shen, Michael Miller, Seungwan Moon, and Carolyn Rose. 2016. Metaphor detection with topic transition, emotion and cognition in context. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. 216–225.
- [33] Apostolos Kalatzis, Vishnumarayan Girishan Prabhhu, Saïdur Rahman, Mike Wittie, and Laura Stanley. 2022. Emotions Matter: Towards Personalizing Human-System Interactions Using a Two-layer Multimodal Approach. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*. 63–72.
- [34] Karl Christoph Klauer and Jochen Musch. 2003. Affective priming: Findings and theories. *The psychology of evaluation: Affective processes in cognition and emotion* 7 (2003), 49.
- [35] Shiro Kumano, Kazuhiro Otsuka, Dan Mikami, and Junji Yamato. 2009. Recognizing Communicative Facial Expressions for Discovering Interpersonal Emotions in Group Meetings. In *Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Multimodal Interfaces* (Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) (ICMI-MLMI '09). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 99–106. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1647314.1647333>
- [36] Allison Lahnala, Charles Welch, Béla Neuendorf, and Lucie Flek. 2022. Mitigating toxic degeneration with empathetic data: Exploring the relationship between toxicity and empathy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.07233* (2022).
- [37] Jina Lee, Helmut Prendinger, Alena Neviarouskaya, and Stacy Marsella. 2009. Learning models of speaker head nods with affective information. In *2009 3rd international conference on affective computing and intelligent interaction and workshops*. IEEE, 1–6.
- [38] Minha Lee, Jaebok Kim, Khiet Truong, Yvonne de Kort, Femke Beute, and Wijnand IJsselstein. 2017. Exploring moral conflicts in speech: multidisciplinary analysis of affect and stress. In *2017 Seventh International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 407–414.
- [39] Elizabeth A Lemerise and William F Arsenio. 2000. An integrated model of emotion processes and cognition in social information processing. *Child development* 71, 1 (2000), 107–118.
- [40] Jinying Lin, Zhen Ma, Randy Gomez, Keisuke Nakamura, Bo He, and Guangliang Li. 2020. A Review on Interactive Reinforcement Learning From Human Social Feedback. *IEEE Access* 8 (2020), 120757–120765. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3006254>
- [41] Lucien Maman, Mohamed Chetouani, Laurence Likforman-Sulem, and Giovanna Varni. 2021. Using Valence Emotion to Predict Group Cohesion’s Dynamics: Top-down and Bottom-up Approaches. In *2021 9th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [42] Leena Mathur and Maja J Mataric. 2020. Introducing representations of facial affect in automated multimodal deception detection. In *Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*. 305–314.
- [43] Kazuyuki Matsumoto, Kyosuke Akita, Minoru Yoshida, Kenji Kita, and Fuji Ren. 2015. Estimate the intimacy of the characters based on their emotional states for application to non-task dialogue. In *2015 International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 327–333.
- [44] Daniel McDuff, Rana El Kaliouby, Evan Kodra, and Rosalind Picard. 2013. Measuring voter’s candidate preference based on affective responses to election debates. In *2013 Humaine Association Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction*. IEEE, 369–374.
- [45] Bert S Moore and Alice M Isen. 1990. *Affect and social behavior*. Cambridge University Press.
- [46] Robert R Morris, Mira Dontcheva, Adam Finkelstein, and Elizabeth Gerber. 2013. Affect and creative performance on crowdsourcing platforms. In *2013 Humaine Association Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction*. IEEE,

- 67–72.
- [47] Paula M Niedenthal, Jamin B Halberstadt, Jonathan Margolin, and Åse H Innes-Ker. 2000. Emotional state and the detection of change in facial expression of emotion. *European journal of social psychology* 30, 2 (2000), 211–222.
- [48] Silviu Vlad Oprea, Steven Wilson, and Walid Magdy. 2022. Should a Chatbot be Sarcastic? Understanding User Preferences Towards Sarcasm Generation. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. 7686–7700.
- [49] Maja Pantic and Leon JM Rothkrantz. 2003. Toward an affect-sensitive multimodal human-computer interaction. *Proc. IEEE* 91, 9 (2003), 1370–1390.
- [50] Srinivas Parthasarathy and Carlos Busso. 2017. Predicting speaker recognition reliability by considering emotional content. In *2017 Seventh International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 434–439.
- [51] Jing Peng, Anna Feldman, and Ekaterina Vylomova. 2018. Classifying idiomatic and literal expressions using topic models and intensity of emotions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.09061* (2018).
- [52] Rosalind W Picard. 2000. *Affective computing*. MIT press.
- [53] Kosmas Pinitas, Konstantinos Makantasis, Antonios Liapis, and Georgios N. Yannakakis. 2022. Supervised Contrastive Learning for Affect Modelling. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction (Bengaluru, India) (ICMI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 531–539. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3536221.3556584>
- [54] Santhosh Rajamanickam, Pushkar Mishra, Helen Yannakoudakis, and Ekaterina Shutova. 2020. Joint modelling of emotion and abusive language detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14028* (2020).
- [55] Vikram Ramanarayanan, Chee Wee Leong, Lei Chen, Gary Feng, and David Suendermann-Oeft. 2015. Evaluating speech, face, emotion and body movement time-series features for automated multimodal presentation scoring. In *Proceedings of the 2015 acm on international conference on multimodal interaction*. 23–30.
- [56] Sandratra Rasendrasoa, Alexandre Pauchet, Julien Saunier, and Sébastien Adam. 2022. Real-Time Multimodal Emotion Recognition in Conversation for Multi-Party Interactions. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction (Bengaluru, India) (ICMI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 395–403. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3536221.3556601>
- [57] Tulika Saha, Aditya Patra, Sriparna Saha, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. 2020. Towards emotion-aided multi-modal dialogue act classification. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 4361–4372.
- [58] Tulika Saha, Apoorva Upadhyaya, Sriparna Saha, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. 2021. Towards sentiment and emotion aided multi-modal speech act classification in twitter. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. 5727–5737.
- [59] Ramit Sawhney, Harshit Joshi, Lucie Flek, and Rajiv Shah. 2021. Phase: Learning emotional phase-aware representations for suicide ideation detection on social media. In *Proceedings of the 16th conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: main volume*. 2415–2428.
- [60] Ramit Sawhney, Harshit Joshi, Saumya Gandhi, and Rajiv Shah. 2020. A time-aware transformer based model for suicide ideation detection on social media. In *Proceedings of the 2020 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP)*. 7685–7697.
- [61] Ramit Sawhney, Harshit Joshi, Rajiv Shah, and Lucie Flek. 2021. Suicide ideation detection via social and temporal user representations using hyperbolic learning. In *Proceedings of the 2021 conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: human language technologies*. 2176–2190.
- [62] Ramit Sawhney, Puneet Mathur, Taru Jain, Akash Kumar Gautam, and Rajiv Shah. 2021. Multitask learning for emotionally analyzing sexual abuse disclosures. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. 4881–4892.
- [63] Stefan Scherer, Giota Stratou, and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2013. Audiovisual behavior descriptors for depression assessment. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM on International conference on multimodal interaction*. 135–140.
- [64] Taylan Sen, Mohammad Rafayet Ali, Mohammed Ehsan Hoque, Ronald Epstein, and Paul Duberstein. 2017. Modeling doctor-patient communication with affective text analysis. In *2017 seventh international conference on affective computing and intelligent interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 170–177.
- [65] Behjat Siddiquie, Dave Chisholm, and Ajay Divakaran. 2015. Exploiting multimodal affect and semantics to identify politically persuasive web videos. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM on International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*. 203–210.
- [66] Gizem Sogancioglu, Heysem Kaya, and Albert Ali Salah. 2021. Can mood primitives predict apparent personality?. In *2021 9th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [67] Samuel Spaulding and Cynthia Breazeal. 2019. Frustratingly Easy Personalization for Real-time Affect Interpretation of Facial Expression. In *2019 8th International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. 531–537. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACII.2019.8925515>
- [68] Giota Stratou, Rens Hoegen, Gale Lucas, and Jonathan Gratch. 2015. Emotional signaling in a social dilemma: An automatic analysis. In *2015 International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 180–186.
- [69] Elsbeth Turcan, Smaranda Muresan, and Kathleen McKeown. 2021. Emotion-infused models for explainable psychological stress detection. In *Proceedings of the 2021 conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: human language technologies*. 2895–2909.
- [70] Julia Wache, Ramanathan Subramanian, Mojtaba Khomami Abadi, Radu-Laurentiu Vieri, Nicu Sebe, and Stefan Winkler. 2015. Implicit user-centric personality recognition based on physiological responses to emotional videos. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM on International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*. 239–246.
- [71] Adrienne Wood, Jennie Lipson, Olivia Zhao, and Paula Niedenthal. 2021. Forms and functions of affective synchrony. *Handbook of embodied psychology: Thinking, feeling, and acting* (2021), 381–402.
- [72] Zhaojun Yang, Boqing Gong, and Shrikanth Narayanan. 2017. Weighted geodesic flow kernel for interpersonal mutual influence modeling and emotion recognition in dyadic interactions. In *2017 Seventh International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII)*. IEEE, 236–241.
- [73] Zixiaofan Yang, Shayan Hooshmand, and Julia Hirschberg. 2021. CHoRaL: Collecting humor reaction labels from millions of social media users. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 4429–4435.
- [74] Yiqun Yao, Michalis Papakostas, Mihai Burzo, Mohamed Abouelenien, and Rada Mihalcea. 2021. Muser: Multimodal stress detection using emotion recognition as an auxiliary task. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.08146* (2021).
- [75] Amir Zadeh, Paul Pu Liang, and Louis-Philippe Morency. 2020. Foundations of multimodal co-learning. *Information Fusion* 64 (2020), 188–193.
- [76] Bowen Zhang, Min Yang, Xutao Li, Yunming Ye, Xiaofei Xu, and Kuai Dai. 2020. Enhancing cross-target stance detection with transferable semantic-emotion knowledge. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 3188–3197.

## **A APPENDIX**

The 52 papers that use affect or emotion to enhance machine understanding of social behaviors and cognitive states are listed on the next page in **Table 1**.



**Table 1: The 52 papers that use affect or emotion to enhance machine understanding of social behaviors and cognitive states.**

Year	Venue	Title	Use
2009	ACII	Learning models of speaker head nods with affective information [37]	Feature
2009	ICMI	Recognizing communicative facial expressions for discovering interpersonal emotions in group meetings [35]	Latent State
2011	ACL	An Affect-Enriched Dialogue Act Classification Model for Task-Oriented Dialogue [7]	Feature
2012	ICMI	FaceTube: predicting personality from facial expressions of emotion in online conversational video [6]	Feature
2012	ACL	Self-Disclosure and Relationship Strength in Twitter Conversations [3]	Feature
2012	EMNLP	Lexical Differences in Autobiographical Narratives from Schizophrenic Patients and Healthy Controls [27]	Feature
2013	ACII	Affect and Creative Performance on Crowdsourcing Platforms [46]	Latent State
2013	ACII	Bayesian Affect Control Theory [26]	Latent State
2013	ACII	Measuring Voter’s Candidate Preference Based on Affective Responses to Election Debates [44]	Feature
2013	ICMI	Audiovisual behavior descriptors for depression assessment [63]	Feature
2014	ICMI	A Multimodal Context-based Approach for Distress Assessment [25]	Feature
2014	EMNLP	Classifying Idiomatic and Literal Expressions Using Topic Models and Intensity of Emotions [51]	Feature
2015	ACII	Emotional signaling in a social dilemma: An automatic analysis [68]	Feature
2015	ACII	Estimate the intimacy of the characters based on their emotional states for application to non-task dialogue [43]	Feature
2015	ICMI	Evaluating Speech, Face, Emotion and Body Movement Time-series Features for Automated Multimodal Presentation Scoring [55]	Feature
2015	ICMI	Exploiting Multimodal Affect and Semantics to Identify Politically Persuasive Web Videos [65]	Feature
2015	ICMI	Implicit User-centric Personality Recognition Based on Physiological Responses to Emotional Videos [70]	Latent State
2016	ACL	Metaphor Detection with Topic Transition, Emotion and Cognition in Context [32]	Feature
2017	ACII	Effects of valence and arousal on working memory performance in virtual reality gaming [23]	Feature
2017	ACII	Modeling doctor-patient communication with affective text analysis [64]	Feature
2017	ACII	Weighted geodesic flow kernel for interpersonal mutual influence modeling and emotion recognition in dyadic interactions [72]	Latent State
2017	ACII	The ABC of MOOCs: Affect and its inter-play with behavior and cognition [2]	Latent State
2017	ACII	Exploring moral conflicts in speech: Multidisciplinary analysis of affect and stress [38]	Latent State
2017	ACII	Predicting speaker recognition reliability by considering emotional content [50]	Feature
2019	ACII	Pre-trained Affective Word Representations [10]	Auxiliary Task
2019	ACII	Frustratingly Easy Personalization for Real-time Affect Interpretation of Facial Expression [67]	Feature
2019	EMNLP	Modelling the interplay of metaphor and emotion through multitask learning [18]	Auxiliary Task
2020	EMNLP	A Time-Aware Transformer Based Model for Suicide Ideation Detection on Social Media [60]	Feature
2020	ACL	Towards Emotion-aided Multi-modal Dialogue Act Classification [57]	Auxiliary Task
2020	ACL	Joint Modelling of Emotion and Abusive Language Detection [54]	Auxiliary Task
2020	ACL	Enhancing Cross-target Stance Detection with Transferable Semantic-Emotion Knowledge [76]	Auxiliary Task
2020	ACL	Sentiment and Emotion help Sarcasm? A Multi-task Learning Framework for Multi-Modal Sarcasm, Sentiment and Emotion Analysis [8]	Auxiliary Task
2020	ICMI	Introducing Representations of Facial Affect in Automated Multimodal Deception Detection [42]	Feature
2021	ACII	Towards Emotion-Aware Agents For Negotiation Dialogues [9]	Feature
2021	ACII	Using Valence Emotion to Predict Group Cohesion’s Dynamics: Top-down and Bottom-up Approaches [41]	Auxiliary Task
2021	ACII	Negative emotional dynamics shape cognition and performance with MetaTutor: Toward building affect-aware systems [17]	Feature
2021	ACII	Can mood primitives predict apparent personality? [66]	Feature
2021	EMNLP	CHoRaL: Collecting Humor Reaction Labels from Millions of Social Media Users [73]	Feature
2021	ACL	MUSER: MULTImodal Stress detection using Emotion Recognition as an Auxiliary Task [74]	Auxiliary Task
2021	ACL	Us vs. Them: A Dataset of Populist Attitudes, News Bias and Emotions [28]	Auxiliary Task
2021	ACL	Towards Sentiment and Emotion aided Multi-modal Speech Act Classification in Twitter [58]	Auxiliary Task
2021	ACL	Multitask Learning for Emotionally Analyzing Sexual Abuse Disclosures [62]	Auxiliary Task
2021	ACL	Emotion-Infused Models for Explainable Psychological Stress Detection [69]	Auxiliary Task



**Table 1: (continued) The 52 papers that use affect or emotion to enhance machine understanding of social behaviors and cognitive states.**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Venue</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Use</b>
2021	ACL	PHASE: Learning Emotional Phase-aware Representations for Suicide Ideation Detection on Social Media [59]	Feature
2021	ACL	Fake Flow: Fake News Detection by Modeling the Flow of Affective Information [24]	Feature
2021	ACL	Suicide Ideation Detection via Social and Temporal User Representations using Hyperbolic Learning [61]	Feature
2022	ACII	Play with Emotion: Affect-Driven Reinforcement Learning [4]	Latent State
2022	ACL	Should a Chatbot be Sarcastic? Understanding User Preferences Towards Sarcasm Generation [48]	Latent State
2022	ACL	Mitigating Toxic Degeneration with Empathetic Data: Exploring the Relationship Between Toxicity and Empathy [36]	Latent State
2022	ICMI	Emotions Matter: Towards Personalizing Human-System Interactions Using a Two-layer Multimodal Approach [33]	Feature
2022	ICMI	Real-Time Multimodal Emotion Recognition in Conversation for Multi-Party Interactions [56]	Auxiliary Task
2022	ICMI	Supervised Contrastive Learning for Affect Modelling [53]	Feature