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#### Abstract

Recent multilingual pretrained language models (mPLMs) have been shown to encode strong language-specific signals, which are not explicitly provided during pretraining. It remains an open question whether it is feasible to employ mPLMs to measure language similarity, and subsequently use the similarity results to select source languages for boosting cross-lingual transfer. To investigate this, we propose mPLMSim, a language similarity measure that induces the similarities across languages from mPLMs using multi-parallel corpora. Our study shows that mPLM-Sim exhibits moderately high correlations with linguistic similarity measures, such as lexicostatistics, genealogical language family, and geographical sprachbund. We also conduct a case study on languages with low correlation and observe that mPLM-Sim yields more accurate similarity results. Additionally, we find that similarity results vary across different mPLMs and different layers within an mPLM . We further investigate whether mPLMSim is effective for zero-shot cross-lingual transfer by conducting experiments on both low-level syntactic tasks and high-level semantic tasks. The experimental results demonstrate that mPLM-Sim is capable of selecting better source languages than linguistic measures, resulting in a $1 \%-2 \%$ improvement in zero-shot cross-lingual transfer performance. ${ }^{1}$


## 1 Introduction

Recent multilingual pretrained language models (mPLMs) trained with massive data, e.g., mBERT (Devlin et al., 2019), XLM-R (Conneau et al., 2020) and BLOOM (Scao et al., 2022), have become a standard for multilingual representation learning. Follow-up works (Wu and Dredze, 2019; Libovický et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2021; Chang et al., 2022)

[^0]show that these mPLMs encode strong languagespecific signals which are not explicitly provided during pretraining. However, the possibility of using mPLMs to measure language similarity and utilizing the similarity results to pick source languages for enhancing cross-lingual transfer is not yet thoroughly investigated.

To investigate language similarity in mPLMs, we propose mPLM-Sim, a measure that leverages mPLMs and multi-parallel corpora to measure similarity between languages. Using mPLM-Sim, we intend to answer the following research questions.
(Q1) What is the correlation between mPLMSim and linguistic similarity?

We compute Pearson correlation between similarity results of mPLM-Sim and linguistic similarity measures. The results show that mPLM-Sim has a moderately high correlation with some linguistic measures, such as lexical-based and language-family-based measures. Additional case studies on languages with low correlation demonstrate that mPLMs can acquire the similarity patterns among languages through pretraining on massive data.
(Q2) Do different layers of an mPLM produce different similarity results?

Jawahar et al. (2019); Sabet et al. (2020); Choenni and Shutova (2022) have demonstrated that different linguistic information is encoded across different layers of an mPLM. We analyze the performance of mPLM-Sim across layers and show that mPLM-Sim results vary across layers, aligning with previous findings. Specifically, the embedding layer captures lexical information, whereas the middle layers reveal more intricate similarity patterns encompassing general, geographical, and syntactic aspects. However, in the high layers, the ability to distinguish between languages becomes less prominent. Furthermore, we observe that clustering of languages also varies by layer, shedding new light on how the representation of languagespecific information changes throughout layers.
(Q3) Do different mPLMs produce different similarity results?

We make a comprehensive comparison among a diverse set of 11 mPLMs in terms of architecture, modality, model size, and tokenizer. The experimental results show that input modality (text or speech), model size, and data used for pretraining have large effects on mPLM-Sim while tokenizers and training objectives have little effect.
(Q4) Can mPLM-Sim choose better source languages for zero-shot cross-lingual transfer?

Previous works (Lin et al., 2019; Pires et al., 2019; Lauscher et al., 2020; Nie et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023; Imai et al., 2023) have shown that the performance of cross-lingual transfer positively correlates with linguistic similarity. However, we find that there can be a mismatch between mPLM subspaces and linguistic clusters, which may lead to a failure of zero-shot cross-lingual transfer for lowresource languages. Intuitively, mPLM-Sim can select the source languages that boost cross-lingual transfer better than linguistic similarity since it captures the subspaces learned during pretraining (and which are the basis for successful transfer). To examine this, we conduct experiments on four datasets that require reasoning about different levels of syntax and semantics for a diverse set of lowresource languages. The results show that mPLMSim achieves $1 \%-2 \%$ improvement over linguistic similarity measures for cross-lingual transfer.

## 2 Setup

## 2.1 mPLM-Sim

Generally, a transformer-based mPLM consists of $N$ layers: $N-1$ transformer layers plus the static embedding layer. Given a multi-parallel corpus ${ }^{2}$, $\mathrm{mPLM}-S i m$ aims to provide the similarity results of $N$ layers for an mPLM across $L$ languages considered. In this context, we define languages using the ISO 639-3 code combined with the script, e.g., "eng_Latn" represents English written in Latin.

For each sentence $x$ in the multi-parallel corpus, the mPLM computes its sentence embedding for the $i$ th layer of the mPLM: $\boldsymbol{h}_{i}=E(x)$. For mPLMs with bidirectional encoders, including encoder architecture, e.g., XLM-R, and encoderdecoder architecture, e.g., mT5, $E(\cdot)$ is a mean

[^1]pooling operation over hidden states, which performs better than [CLS] and MAX strategies (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019). For mPLMs with auto-regressive encoders, e.g., mGPT, $E(\cdot)$ is a position-weighted mean pooling method, which gives later tokens a higher weight (Muennighoff, 2022). Finally, sentence embeddings for all sentences of the $L$ languages are obtained.

For $i$ th layer, the similarity of each language pair is computed using the sentence embeddings of all multi-parallel sentences. Specifically, we get the cosine similarity of each parallel sentence of the language pair, and then average all similarity scores across sentences as the final score of the pair. Finally, we have a similarity matrix $\boldsymbol{S}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times L}$ across $L$ languages for the $i$ th layer of the mPLM.

## 2.2 mPLMs, Corpora and Languages

We consider a varied set of 11 mPLMs for our investigation, differing in model size, number of covered languages, architecture, modality, and data used for pretraining. Full list and detailed information of the selected mPLMs are shown in Tab. 1.

We work with three multi-parallel corpora: the text corpora Flores (Costa-jussà et al., 2022) and Parallel Bible Corpus (PBC, (Mayer and Cysouw, 2014)) and the speech corpus Fleurs (Conneau et al., 2022). Flores covers more than 200 languages. Since both PBC and Fleurs are not fully multi-parallel, we reconstruct them to make them multi-parallel. After recostruction, PBC covers 379 languages, while Fleurs covers 67 languages. PBC consists of religious text, and both Flores and Fleurs are from web articles. The speech of Fleurs is aligned to the text of Flores, enabling us to compare text mPLMs with speech mPLMs. We use 500 multi-parallel sentences from each corpus. Languages covered by mPLMs and corpora are listed in §A.

### 2.3 Evaluation

Pearson Correlation We compute Pearson correlation scores to measure how much mPLM-Sim correlates with seven linguistic similarity measures: LEX, GEN, GEO, SYN, INV, PHO and FEA. LEX is computed based on the edit distance of the two corpora. The six others are provided by lang2vec. GEN is based on language family. GEO is orthodromic distance, i.e., the shortest distance between two points on the surface of the earth. SYN is derived from the syntactic structures of the languages. Both INV and PHO are phonological features. INV

| Model | Size | \|Lang| | ILayerl | Tokenizer | Arch. | Objective | Modality | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mBERT (Devlin et al., 2019) | 172 M | 104 | 13 | Subword | Enc | MLM, NSP | Text | Wikipedia |
| XLM-R-Base (Conneau et al., 2020) | 270 M | 100 | 13 | Subword | Enc | MLM | Text | CC |
| XLM-R-Large (Conneau et al., 2020) | 559 M | 100 | 25 | Subword | Enc | MLM | Text | CC |
| Glot500 (Imani et al., 2023) | 395 M | 515 | 13 | Subword | Enc | MLM | Text | Glot500-c |
| mGPT (Shliazhko et al., 2022) | 1.3 B | 60 | 25 | Subword | Dec | CLM | Text | Wikipedia+mC4 |
| mT5-Base (Xue et al., 2021) | 580 M | 101 | 13 | Subword | Enc-Dec | MLM | Text | mC4 |
| CANINE-S (Clark et al., 2022) | 127 M | 104 | 17 | Char | Enc | MLM, NSP | Text | Wikipedia |
| CANINE-C (Clark et al., 2022) | 127 M | 104 | 17 | Char | Enc | MLM, NSP | Text | Wikipedia |
| XLM-Align (Chi et al., 2021b) | 270 M | 94 | 13 | Subword | Enc | MLM, TLM, DWA | Text | Wikipedia+CC |
| NLLB-200 (Costa-jussà et al., 2022) | 1.3 B | 204 | 25 | Subword | Enc-Dec | MT | Text | NLLB |
| XLS-R-300M (Babu et al., 2021) | 300 M | 128 | 25 | - | Enc | MSP | Speech | CommonVoice |

Table 1: 11 mPLMs considered in the paper. ILayerl denotes the number of layers used for measuring similarity. Both the static embedding layer and all layers of the transformer are considered. For encoder-decoder architectures, we only consider the encoder. ILangl: the number of languages covered. Arch.: Architecture. Enc: Encoder. Dec: Decoder. MLM: Masked Language Modeling. CLM: Causal Language Modeling. TLM: Translation Language Modeling. NSP: Next Sentence Prediction. DWA: Denoising Word Alignment. MT: Machine Translation. MSP: Masked Speech Prediction. CC: CommonCrawl.

| Task | Corpus | $\mid$ Train | $\mid$ Dev\| | $\mid$ Test\| | \|Lang| | Metric | Domain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sequence | NER (Pan et al., 2017) | 5,000 | 500 | $100-10,000$ | 108 | F1 | Wikipedia |
| Labeling | POS (de Marneffe et al., 2021) | 5,000 | 500 | $100-22,358$ | 60 | F1 | Misc |
| Text | MASSIVE (FitzGerald et al., 2022) | 11,514 | 2,033 | 2,974 | 44 | Acc | Misc |
| Classification | Taxi1500 (Ma et al., 2023) | 860 | 106 | 111 | 130 | F1 | Bible |

Table 2: Evaluation dataset statistics. |Train|/IDev|: train/dev set size (source language). |Test|: test set size (target language). ILang|: number of target languages.
is derived from PHOIBLE, while PHO is based on WALS and Ethnologue. FEA is computed by combining GEN, GEO, SYN, INV and PHO.

For each target language, we have the similarity scores between the target language and the other $L-1$ languages based on the similarity matrix $\boldsymbol{S}_{i}$ for layer $i$ (see §2.1), and also the similarity scores based on the considered linguistic similarity measure $j$. Then we compute the Pearson correlation $r_{i}^{j}$ between these two similarity score lists. We choose the highest correlation score across all layers as the result of each target language since the results for different languages vary across layers. Finally, we report MEAN (M) and MEDIAN (Mdn) of the correlation scores for all languages. Here, we consider 32 languages covered by all models and corpora.

Case Study In addition to the quantitative evaluation, we conduct manual analysis for languages that exhibit low correlation scores. We apply complete linkage hierarchical clustering to get the similar languages of the analyzed language for analysis. Specifically, the languages which have the most common shared path in the hierarchical tree with the target language are considered as similar languages. To analyze as many languages as possible, we consider the setting of Glot500 and PBC.

Cross-Lingual Transfer To compare mPLMSim with linguistic measures for zero-shot crosslingual transfer, we run experiments for lowresource languages on four datasets, including two for sequence labeling, and two for text classification. Details of the four tasks are shown in Tab. 2.

We selected six high-resource and typologically diverse languages, namely Arabic (arb_Arab), Chinese (cmn_Hani), English (eng_Latn), Hindi (hin_Deva), Russian (rus_Cyrl), and Spanish (spa_Latn), as source languages. For a fair comparison, we use the same amount of source language data for fine-tuning and validation as shown in Tab. 2.

The evaluation targets all languages that are covered by both Glot500 and Flores and have at least 100 samples, excluding the six source languages. The language list for evaluation is provided in §A.

We obtain the most similar source language for each target language by applying each of the seven linguistic similarity measures (LEX, GEN, GEO, SYN, INV, PHO, FEA) and our mPLM-Sim. Here, we consider the setting of Glot500 and Flores for mPLM-Sim since extensive experiments (see §B.2) show that Flores provides slightly better similarity results than PBC. For the linguistic similarity mea-

|  | XLM-R-Base |  | XLM-R-Large |  | mT5-Base |  | mGPT |  | mBERT |  | Glot500 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | Mdn | M | Mdn | M | Mdn | M | Mdn | M | Mdn | M | Mdn |
| LEX | 0.740 | 0.859 | 0.684 | 0.862 | 0.628 | 0.796 | 0.646 | 0.848 | 0.684 | 0.882 | 0.741 | 0.864 |
| GEN | 0.489 | 0.563 | 0.570 | 0.609 | 0.577 | 0.635 | 0.415 | 0.446 | 0.513 | 0.593 | 0.527 | 0.600 |
| GEO | 0.560 | 0.656 | 0.587 | 0.684 | 0.528 | 0.586 | 0.348 | 0.362 | 0.458 | 0.535 | 0.608 | 0.674 |
| SYN | 0.637 | 0.662 | 0.709 | 0.738 | 0.594 | 0.612 | 0.548 | 0.591 | 0.611 | 0.632 | 0.577 | 0.607 |
| INV | 0.272 | 0.315 | 0.312 | 0.292 | 0.295 | 0.321 | 0.340 | 0.394 | 0.216 | 0.246 | 0.248 | 0.293 |
| PHO | 0.112 | 0.151 | 0.207 | 0.258 | 0.166 | 0.176 | 0.184 | 0.239 | 0.111 | 0.125 | 0.094 | 0.144 |
| FEA | 0.378 | 0.408 | 0.443 | 0.466 | 0.354 | 0.371 | 0.455 | 0.479 | 0.346 | 0.361 | 0.358 | 0.372 |
| AVG | 0.455 | 0.516 | 0.502 | 0.559 | 0.449 | 0.500 | 0.420 | 0.480 | 0.420 | 0.482 | 0.451 | 0.508 |
|  | CANINE-S |  | CANINE-C |  | NLLB-200 |  | XLM-Align |  | XLS-R-300M |  | AVG |  |
|  | M | Mdn | M | Mdn | M | Mdn | M | Mdn | M | Mdn | M | Mdn |
| LEX | 0.661 | 0.821 | 0.639 | 0.784 | 0.722 | 0.856 | 0.728 | 0.869 | 0.285 | 0.262 | 0.651 | 0.791 |
| GEN | 0.548 | 0.629 | 0.565 | 0.633 | 0.538 | 0.626 | 0.516 | 0.606 | 0.401 | 0.353 | 0.514 | 0.572 |
| GEO | 0.504 | 0.560 | 0.533 | 0.624 | 0.490 | 0.499 | 0.616 | 0.690 | 0.531 | 0.541 | 0.524 | 0.583 |
| SYN | 0.476 | 0.521 | 0.507 | 0.559 | 0.375 | 0.370 | 0.634 | 0.669 | 0.354 | 0.389 | 0.548 | 0.577 |
| INV | 0.329 | 0.390 | 0.369 | 0.406 | 0.337 | 0.373 | 0.252 | 0.315 | 0.191 | 0.180 | 0.287 | 0.321 |
| PHO | 0.112 | 0.137 | 0.117 | 0.173 | 0.101 | 0.108 | 0.105 | 0.143 | 0.124 | 0.115 | 0.130 | 0.161 |
| FEA | 0.317 | 0.297 | 0.367 | 0.360 | 0.311 | 0.326 | 0.368 | 0.399 | 0.203 | 0.175 | 0.355 | 0.365 |
| AVG | 0.421 | 0.479 | 0.442 | 0.506 | 0.411 | 0.451 | 0.460 | 0.527 | 0.298 | 0.288 | 0.430 | 0.481 |

Table 3: Comparison across mPLMs: Pearson correlation between mPLM-Sim and seven similarity measures for all mPLMs and Flores/Fleurs on 32 languages. mPLM-Sim strongly correlates with LEX, moderate strongly correlates with GEN, GEO, and SYN, and weakly correlates with INV, PHO, and FEA.
sures, if the most similar source language is not available due to missing values in lang2vec, we use eng_Latn as the source language. We also compare $\mathrm{mPLM}-S i m$ with the ENG baseline defined as using eng_Latn as the source language for all target languages.

We use the same hyper-parameter settings as in (Hu et al., 2020; FitzGerald et al., 2022; Ma et al., 2023). Specifically, we set the batch size to 32 and the learning rate to $2 \mathrm{e}-5$ for both NER and POS, and fine-tune Glot500 for 10 epochs. For MASSIVE, we use a batch size of 16 , a learning rate of $4.7 \mathrm{e}-6$, and train for 100 epochs. For Taxi1500, we use a batch size of 32 , a learning rate of $2 \mathrm{e}-5$, and train for 30 epochs. In all tasks, we select the model for evaluating target languages based on the performance of the source language validation set.

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Comparison Between mPLM-Sim and Linguistic Similarity

Tab. 3 shows the Pearson correlation between $\mathrm{mPLM}-S i m$ and linguistic similarity measures of 11 mPLMs , and also the average correlations of all 11 mPLMs . We observe that mPLM-Sim
strongly correlates with LEX, which is expected since mPLMs learn language relationships from data and LEX similarity is the easiest pattern to learn. Besides, mPLM-Sim has moderately strong correlations with GEN, GEO, and SYN, which shows that mPLMs can learn high-level patterns for language similarity. mPLM-Sim also has a weak correlation with INV, and a very weak correlation with PHO , indicating mPLMs do not capture phonological similarity well. Finally, mPLM-Sim correlates with FEA weakly since FEA is the measure combining both high- and low-correlated linguistics features.

To further compare mPLM-Sim with linguistic similarity measures, we conduct a manual analysis on languages for which mPLM-Sim has weak correlations with LEX, GEN, and GEO. As mentioned in $\S 2$, with the setting of Glot500 and PBC, we apply hierarchical clustering and use similar results for analysis.

We find that mPLM-Sim can deal well with languages that are not covered by lang2vec. For example, Norwegian Nynorsk (nno_Latn) is not covered by lang2vec, and mPLM-Sim can correctly find its similar languages, i.e., Norwegian Bokmål
(nob_Latn) and Norwegian (nor_Latn). Furthermore, mPLM-Sim can well capture the similarity between languages which cannot be well measured by either LEX, GEN, or GEO.

For LEX, mPLM-Sim can capture similar languages written in different scripts. A special case is the same languages in different scripts. Specifically, mPLM-Sim matches Uighur in Latin and Arabic (uig_Arab and uig_Latn), also Karakalpak in Latin and Cyrillic (kaa_Latn and kaa_Cyrl). In general, mPLM-Sim does a good job at clustering languages from the same language family but written in different scripts, e.g., Turkic (Latn, Cyrl, Arab) and Slavic (Latn, Cyrl).

For GEN, mPLM-Sim captures correct similar languages for isolates and constructed languages. Papantla Totonac (top_Latn) is a language of the Totonacan language family and spoken in Mexico. It shares areal features with the Nahuan languages (nch_Latn, ncj_Latn, and ngu_Latn) of the Uto-Aztecan family, which are all located in the Mesoamerican language area. ${ }^{3}$ Esperanto (epo_Latn) is a constructed language whose vocabulary derives primarily from Romance languages, and mPLM-Sim correctly identifies Romance languages such as French (fra_Latn) and Italian (ita_Latn) as similar. The above two cases show the superiority of mPLM-Sim compared to GEN.

The GEO measure may not be suitable for certain language families, such as Austronesian languages and mixed languages. Austronesian languages have the largest geographical span among language families prior to the spread of IndoEuropean during the colonial period. ${ }^{4}$ Moreover, for mixed languages, such as creole languages, their similar languages are often geographically distant due to colonial history. In contrast to GEO, mPLM-Sim can better cluster these languages.

The above analysis shows that it is non-trivial to use either LEX, GEN, or GEO for measuring language similarity. In contrast, mPLM-Sim directly captures similarity from mPLMs and can therefore produce better similarity results.

However, we observe that obtaining accurate similarity results from mPLMs using mPLM-Sim can be challenging for certain languages. To gain further insights into this issue, we examine the

[^2]

Figure 1: Comparison across layers: Pearson correlation (MEAN) between mPLM-Sim and linguistic similarity measures across layers for Glot500 and Flores on 32 languages. Correlation between mPLM-Sim and LEX peaks in the first layer and decreases, while the correlation with GEN, GEO, and SYN slightly increases in the low layers before reaching its peak.
correlation between performances, specifically the correlation between mPLM-Sim and GEN, and the sizes of the pretraining data. Surprisingly, we find a remarkably weak correlation (-0.008), suggesting that differences in pretraining data sizes do not significantly contribute to variations in performances.

Instead, our findings indicate a different key factor: the coverage of multiple languages within the same language family. This observation is substantiated by a strong correlation of 0.617 between the diversity of languages within a language family (measured by the number of languages included) and the performance of languages belonging to that particular language family.

### 3.2 Comparison Across Layers for mPLM-Sim

We analyze the correlation between mPLM-Sim and linguistic similarity measures across different layers of an mPLM, specifically for Glot500. The results, presented in Fig. 1, demonstrate the variation in mPLM-Sim results across layers. Notably, in the first layer, mPLM-Sim exhibits a high correlation with LEX, which gradually decreases as we move to higher layers. Conversely, the correlation between mPLM-Sim and GEN, GEO, and SYN shows a slight increase in the lower layers, reaching its peak in layer 1 or 2 of the mPLM. However, for the higher layers (layers 10-12), all correlations slightly decrease. We also performed further visualization and analysis across layers using the setting of Glot500 and Flores for mPLM-Sim (§C). The findings are consistent with our observations from Fig. 1.


Figure 2: Macro average results (averaged over target languages) on cross-lingual transfer for baselines and for mPLM-Sim in all layers of Glot500. ENG represents using English as the source language. LEX, GEN, GEO, and FEA indicate using the most similar languages based on the corresponding similarity measures as the source language. The red dots of mPLM-Sim highlight the layer with the highest score.

Furthermore, our case study shows that the layers which have highest correlations between mPLM-Sim and LEX, GEN, or GEO vary across languages. For example, Atlantic-Congo languages achieve highest correlation with GEN at the 1st layer, while Mayan languages at the 6th layer. This finding demonstrates that language-specific information changes across layers.

### 3.3 Comparison Across Models for mPLM-Sim

Tab. 3 presents a broad comparison among 11 different mPLMs, revealing several key findings.

Firstly, the decoder architecture has a negative impact on performance due to the inherent difficulty in obtaining accurate sentence-level representations from the decoder. For example, the decoderonly mPLM mGPT performs worse than encoderonly mPLMs such as XLM-R and mBERT. This observation is reinforced by the comparison between XLM-R-Large and mT5-Base, which have nearly identical model sizes. Remarkably, XLM-RLarge outperforms mT5-Base on AVG by $5 \%$ for both Mean (M) and Median (Mdn) scores.

Additionally, tokenizer-free mPLMs achieve comparable performance to subword-tokenizerbased mPLMs. Notably, mPLMs such as mBERT, CANINE-S, and CANINE-C, which share pretraining settings, exhibit similar performances.

The size of mPLMs also influences mPLMSim in terms of LEX, GEN, and SYN. Comparing XLM-R-Base with XLM-R-Large, higher-level language similarity patterns are more evident in larger mPLMs. Specifically, XLM-R-Large shows a higher correlation with high-level patterns such as GEN and SYN, while having a lower correla-
tion with low-level patterns like LEX, compared to XLM-R-Base.

The training objectives adopted in mPLMs also impact the performance of mPLM-Sim. Taskspecific mPLMs, such as NLLB-200, perform slightly worse than general-purpose mPLMs. Besides, XLM-Align, which leverages parallel objectives to align representations across languages, achieves comparable results to XLM-R-Base. This highlights the importance of advancing methods to effectively leverage parallel corpora.

The choice of pretraining data is another important factor. For example, mBERT uses Wikipedia, while XLM-R-Base uses CommonCrawl, which contains more code-switching. As a result, XLM-R-Base has a higher correlation with GEO and achieves higher AVG compared to mBERT.

The speech mPLM, i.e., XLS-R-300M, exhibits lower correlation than text mPLMs, consistent with findings from Abdullah et al. (2023). XLS-R-300M learns language similarity from speech data, which is biased towards the accents of speakers. Consequently, XLS-R-300M has a higher correlation with GEO, which is more related to accents, than other similarity measures.

Factors such as the number of languages have minimal effects on mPLM-Sim. Glot500, covering over 500 languages, achieves comparable results with XLM-R-Base.

### 3.4 Effect for Cross-Lingual Transfer

The macro average results of cross-lingual transfer across target languages for both mPLM-Sim and baselines are presented in Fig. 2. Among the evaluated tasks, ENG exhibits the worst performance in three out of four tasks, emphasizing the importance

|  |  | Language | GEN |  | mPLM-Sim |  | $\Delta$ |  | Language |  | GEN |  | M-Sim | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\times}{\mu}$ | jp | 0.177 | eng_L | 0.451 | cmn | 0.275 | \% | jpn_Jpan | 0.165 | eng_Latn | 0.534 | cmn_Hani | 0.369 |
|  |  | kir_Cyrl | 0.391 | eng_Latn | 0.564 | rus_Cyrl | 0.173 |  | mlt_Latn | 0.603 | arb_Arab | 0.798 | spa_Latn | 0.196 |
|  |  | mya_Mymr | 0.455 | cmn_Hani | 0.607 | hin_Deva | 0.153 |  | wol_Latn | 0.606 | eng_Latn | 0.679 | spa_Latn | 0.074 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { B } \\ & \underline{O} \end{aligned}$ |  | pes_Arab | 0.653 | n_D | 0.606 | b_ | -0.047 |  | ekk_Latn | 0.815 | eng_Latn | 0.790 | rus_Cyrl | -0.025 |
|  |  | tgl_L | 0.745 | eng_ | 0.667 | spa_ | -0.078 |  | bam_Latn | 0.451 | eng_Latn | 0.411 | spa_Latn | -0.039 |
|  |  | sun_Latn | 0.577 | eng_Latn | 0.490 | spa_Latn | -0.087 |  | gla_Latn | 0.588 | rus_Cyrl | 0.548 | spa_Latn | -0.040 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B } \\ & 0 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{n}^{n}$ | my | 0.616 | n_ | 0.707 | _D | 0.091 | 8 |  | 0.49 | n_ | 0.724 | s_Cyrl | 0.231 |
|  |  | amh_Ethi | 0.532 | arb_Arab | 0.611 | hin_Deva | 0.079 |  | kin_Latn | 0.431 | eng_Latn | 0.619 | spa_Latn | 0.188 |
|  |  | jpn_Jpan | 0.384 | eng_Latn | 0.448 | cmn_Hani | 0.064 |  | kik_Latn | 0.384 | eng_Latn | 0.555 | spa_Latn | 0.172 |
|  |  | cym_Latn | 0.495 | rus_Cyrl | 0.480 | spa_Latn | -0.015 |  | ckb_Arab | 0.622 | in_Deva | 0.539 | arb_Arab | -0.083 |
|  |  | tgl_Latn | 0.752 | eng_Latn | 0.723 | spa_Latn | -0.028 |  | nld_Latn | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.628 | spa_Latn | -0.085 |
|  |  | deu_Latn | 0.759 | eng_Latn | 0.726 | spa_Latn | -0.033 |  | kac_Latn | 0.580 | cmn_Hani | 0.483 | hin_Deva | -0.097 |

Table 4: Results for three languages each with the largest (high end) and smallest (low end) gains from mPLM-Sim vs. GEN for four tasks. mPLM-Sim's gain over GEN is large at the high end and smaller negative at the low end. We report both the selected source languages and the results on the evaluated target languages. For mPLM-Sim, the results are derived from the layers exhibiting the best performances as shown in Fig. 2. See §E for detailed results for each task and each target language.
of considering language similarity when selecting source languages for cross-lingual transfer. mPLMSim surpasses all linguistic similarity measures in every task, including both syntactic and semantic tasks, across all layers except layer 0 . This indicates that mPLM-Sim is more effective in selecting source languages that enhance the performance of target languages compared to linguistic similarity measures.

For low-level syntactic tasks, the lower layers (layer 1 or 2 ) exhibit superior performance compared to all other layers. Conversely, for high-level semantic tasks, it is the middle layer of the mPLM that consistently achieves the highest results across all layers. This can be attributed to its ability to capture intricate similarity patterns.

In Tab. 4, we further explore the benefits of mPLM-Sim in cross-lingual transfer. We present a comprehensive analysis of the top 3 performance improvements and declines across languages. We compare mPLM-Sim and GEN across four crosslingual transfer tasks. By examining these results, we gain deeper insights into the advantages of $\mathrm{mPLM}-S i m$ in facilitating effective cross-lingual transfer.

The results clearly demonstrate that mPLMSim has a substantial performance advantage over GEN for certain target languages. On one hand, for languages without any source language in the same language family, such as Japanese (jpn_Jpan), mPLM-Sim successfully identifies its similar language, Chinese (cmn_Hani), whereas GEN fails to do so. Notably, in the case of Japanese, mPLMSim outperforms GEN by $27.5 \%$ for NER, $36.9 \%$
for POS, and $6.4 \%$ for MASSIVE.
On the other hand, for languages having source languages within the same language family, mPLMSim accurately detects the appropriate source language, leading to improved cross-lingual transfer performance. In the case of Burmese (mya_Mymr), mPLM-Sim accurately identifies Hindi (hin_Deva) as the source language, while GEN mistakenly selects Chinese (cmn_Hani). This distinction results in a significant performance improvement of $15.3 \%$ for NER and $9.1 \%$ for MASSIVE.

However, we also observe that mPLM-Sim falls short for certain languages when compared to GEN, although the losses are smaller in magnitude compared to the improvements. This finding suggests that achieving better performance in cross-lingual transfer is not solely dependent on language similarity. As mentioned in previous studies such as Lauscher et al. (2020) and Nie et al. (2022), the size of the pretraining data for the source languages also plays a crucial role in cross-lingual transfer.

## 4 Related Work

### 4.1 Language Typology and Clustering

Similarity between languages can be due to common ancestry in the genealogical language tree, but also influenced by linguistic influence and borrowing (Aikhenvald and Dixon, 2001; Haspelmath, 2004). Linguists have conducted extensive relevant research by constructing high-quality typological, geographical, and phylogenetic databases, including WALS (Dryer and Haspelmath, 2013), Glottolog (Hammarström et al., 2017), Ethnologue (Saggion et al., 2023), and PHOIBLE (Moran et al.,

2014; Moran and McCloy, 2019). The lang2vec tool (Littell et al., 2017) further integrates these datasets into multiple linguistic distances. Despite its integration of multiple linguistic measures, lang 2 vec weights each measure equally, and the quantification of these measures for language similarity computation remains a challenge.

In addition to linguistic measures, some nonlingustic measures are also proposed to measure similarity between languages. Specifically, Holman et al. (2011) use Levenshtein (edit) distance to compute the lexical similarity between languages. Lin et al. (2019) propose dataset-dependent features, which are statistical features specific to the corpus used, e.g., lexical overlap. Ye et al. (2023) measure language similarity with basic concepts across languages. However, these methods fail to capture deeper similarities beyond surface-level features.

Language representation is another important category of language similarity measures. Before the era of multilingual pretrained language models (mPLMs), exploiting distributed language representations for measuring language similarity have been studied (Östling and Tiedemann, 2017; Bjerva and Augenstein, 2018). Recent mPLMs trained with massive data have become a new standard for multilingual representation learning. Tan et al. (2019) represent each language by an embedding vector and cluster them in the embedding space. Fan et al. (2021b) find the representation sprachbund of mPLMs, and then train separate mPLMs for each sprachbund. However, these studies do not delve into the research questions mentioned in §1, and it motivates us to carry out a comprehensive investigation of language similarity using mPLMs.

### 4.2 Multilingual Pretrained Language Models

The advent of mPLMs, e.g., mBERT (Devlin et al., 2019), XLM (Conneau and Lample, 2019), and XLM-R (Conneau et al., 2020), have brought significant performance gains on numerous multilingual natural language understanding benchmarks (Hu et al., 2020).

Given their success, a variety of following mPLMs are proposed. Specifically, different architectures, including decoder-only, e.g., mGPT (Shliazhko et al., 2022) and BLOOM (Scao et al., 2022), and encoder-decoder, e.g., mT5 (Xue et al., 2021), are designed. Tokenizer-free models, including CANINE (Clark et al., 2022), ByT5 (Xue et al., 2022), and Charformer (Tay et al., 2022),
are also proposed. Clark et al. (2022) introduce CANINE-S and CANINE-C. CANINE-S adopts a subword-based loss, while CANINE-C uses a character-based one. Glot500 (Imani et al., 2023) extends XLM-R to cover more than 500 languages using vocabulary extension and continued pretraining. Both InfoXLM (Chi et al., 2021a) and XLMAlign (Chi et al., 2021b) exploit parallel objectives to further improve mPLMs. Some mPLMs are specifically proposed for Machine Translation, e.g., M2M-100 (Fan et al., 2021a) and NLLB-200 (Costa-jussà et al., 2022). XLS-R-300M (Babu et al., 2021) is a speech (as opposed to text) model.

Follow-up works show that strong languagespecific signals are encoded in mPLMs by means of probing tasks (Wu and Dredze, 2019; Rama et al., 2020; Pires et al., 2019; Müller et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2021; Choenni and Shutova, 2022) and investigating the geometry of mPLMs (Libovický et al., 2020; Chang et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023). Concurrent with our work, Philippy et al. (2023) have verified that the language representations encoded in mBERT correlate with both linguistic typology and cross-lingual transfer on XNLI for 15 languages. However, these methods lack in-depth analysis and investigate on a limited set of mPLMs and downstream tasks. This inspires us to conduct quantitative and qualitative analysis on linguistic typology and cross-lingual transfer with a broad and diverse set of mPLMs and downstream tasks.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce mPLM-Sim, a novel approach for measuring language similarities. Extensive experiments substantiate the superior performance of mPLM-Sim compared to linguistic similarity measures. Our study reveals variations in similarity results across different mPLMs and layers within an mPLM. Furthermore, our findings reveal that mPLM-Sim effectively identifies the source language to enhance cross-lingual transfer.

The results obtained from mPLM-Sim have significant implications for multilinguality. On the one hand, it can be further used in linguistic study and downstream applications, such as cross-lingual transfer, as elaborated in the paper. On the other hand, these findings provide valuable insights for improving mPLMs, offering opportunities for their further development and enhancement.

## Limitations

(1) The performance of mPLM-Sim may be strongly influenced by the quality and quantity of data used for training mPLMs, as well as the degree to which the target language can be accurately represented. (2) The success of mPLM-Sim depends on the supporting languages of mPLMs. We conduct further experiment and analysis at $\S \mathrm{D}$. (3) As for $\S 3.3$, we are unable to conduct a strictly fair comparison due to the varying settings in which mPLMs are pretrained, including the use of different corpora and model sizes.
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## A Languages

Tab. 5-10 show the language list covered by mPLMs and corpora.

Tab. 11 provides the languages used for evaluating cross-lingual transfer.

|  | mBERT CANINE-S CANINE-C | XLM-R-Base <br> XLM-R-Large | Glot500 | mGPT | mT5-Base | XLM-Align | NLLB-200 | XLS-R-300M | Flores | PBC | Fleurs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ace_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| ace_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ach_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| acm_Arab |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| acq_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| acr_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| aeb_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| afr_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| agw_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ahk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ajp_Arab |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| aka_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| aln_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| als_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| alt_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| alz_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| amh_Ethi |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| aoj_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| apc_Arab |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| arb_Arab | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| arb_Latn |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| arn_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ars_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| ary_Arab |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| arz_Arab |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| asm_Beng |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ast_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| awa_Deva |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| ayr_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| azb_Arab | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| azj_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| bak_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ban_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bar_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bba_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bbc_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bci_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bcl_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bel_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| bem_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ben_Beng | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| bho_Deva |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| bhw_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bim_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bis_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bjn_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| bjn_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| bod_Tibt |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bos_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| bqc_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bre_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bts_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| btx_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bug_Latn |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| bul_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| bum_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| bzj_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cab_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cac_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cak_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| caq_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cat_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cbk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cce_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ceb_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ces_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| cfm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| che_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| chk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| chv_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cjk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

Table 5: Languages covered by mPLMs and corpora.

|  | mBERT CANINE-S CANINE-C | XLM-R-Base XLM-R-Large | Glot500 | mGPT | mT5-Base | XLM-Align | NLLB-200 | XLS-R-300M | Flores | PBC | Fleurs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ckb_Arab |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ckb_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cmn_Hani | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| cnh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| crh_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| crh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| crs_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| csy_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ctd_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ctu_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cuk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| cym_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| dan_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| deu_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| dik_Latn |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| djk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| dln_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| dtp_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| dyu_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| dzo_Tibt |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| efi_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ekk_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| ell_Grek | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| eng_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| enm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| epo_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| eus_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ewe_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| fao_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| fij_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| fil_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| fin_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| fon_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| fra_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| fry_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| fur_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| fuv_Latn |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| gaa_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gaz_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| gil_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| giz_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gkn_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gkp_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gla_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gle_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| glg_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| glv_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gom_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gor_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| grc_Grek |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| guc_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gug_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| guj_Gujr | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| gur_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| guw_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gya_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| gym_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hat_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hau_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| haw_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| heb_Hebr | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| hif_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hil_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hin_Deva | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hin_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hmo_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hne_Deva |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hnj_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hra_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hrv_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| hui_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hun_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

Table 6: Languages covered by mPLMs and corpora.

|  | mBERT <br> CANINE-S <br> CANINE-C | XLM-R-Base XLM-R-Large | Glot500 | mGPT | mT5-Base | XLM-Align | NLLB-200 | XLS-R-300M | Flores | PBC | Fleurs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hus_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| hye_Armn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| iba_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ibo_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ifa_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ifb_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ikk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ilo_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ind_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| isl_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ita_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ium_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ixl_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| izz_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| jam_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| jav_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| jpn_Jpan | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kaa_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kaa_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kab_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kac_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kal_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kam_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| kan_Knda | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kas_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| kas_Deva |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| kat_Geor | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kaz_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| kbp_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kea_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| kek_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| khk_Cyrl |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| khm_Khmr |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kia_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kik_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kin_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kir_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| kjb_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kjh_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kmb_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| kmm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kmr_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kmr_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| knc_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| knc_Latn |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| kng_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| knv_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kor_Hang | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kpg_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| krc_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kri_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ksd_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kss_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ksw_Mymr |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| kua_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| lam_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| lao_Laoo |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| lat_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| lav_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ldi_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| leh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| lhu_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| lij_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| lim_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| lin_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| lit_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1mo_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| loz_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ltg_Latn |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 1tz_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| lua_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| lug_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |

Table 7: Languages covered by mPLMs and corpora.

|  | mBERT CANINE-S CANINE-C | XLM-R-Base XLM-R-Large | Glot500 | mGPT | mT5-Base | XLM-Align | NLLB-200 | XLS-R-300M | Flores | PBC | Fleurs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| luo_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| lus_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| lvs_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| lzh_Hani |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mad_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mag_Deva |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| mah_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mai_Deva |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mal_Mlym | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| mam_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mar_Deva | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| mau_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mbb_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mck_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| men_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mco_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mdy_Ethi |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| meu_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mfe_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mgh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mgr_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mhr_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| min_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| min_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| miq_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mlt_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| mon_Cyrl |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| mos_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mps_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mri_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| mrw_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mwm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mya_Mymr | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| myv_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| mzh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nan_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| naq_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nav_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nbl_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nch_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ncj_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ndc_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nde_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ndo_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nds_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nep_Deva | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| ngu_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nia_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nld_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nmf_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nnb_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nno_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nob_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nor_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| npi_Deva |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nse_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nso_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nus_Latn |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| nya_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| nyn_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nyy_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| nzi_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| oci_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| ory_Orya |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| oss_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ote_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pag_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pam_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pan_Guru | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |

Table 8: Languages covered by mPLMs and corpora.

|  | mBERT CANINE-S CANINE-C | XLM-R-Base XLM-R-Large | Glot500 | mGPT | mT5-Base | XLM-Align | NLLB-200 | XLS-R-300M | Flores | PBC | Fleurs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pap_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pau_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pbt_Arab |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| pcm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pdt_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pes_Arab | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pis_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pls_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| plt_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| poh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pol_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| pon_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| por_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| prk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| prs_Arab |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| pxm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| qub_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| quc_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| qug_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| quh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| quw_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| quy_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| quz_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| qvi_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| rap_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| rar_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| rmy_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ron_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| rop_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| rug_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| run_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| rus_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| sag_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| sah_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| san_Deva |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| san_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| sat_Olck |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| sba_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| scn_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| seh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| shn_Mymr |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| sin_Sinh |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| slk_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| slv_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| sme_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| smo_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| sna_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| snd_Arab |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| som_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| sop_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| sot_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| spa_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| sqi_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| srm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| srn_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| sro_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| srp_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| srp_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ssw_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| sun_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| suz_Deva |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| swe_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| swh_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| sxn_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| szl_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| tam_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tam_Taml | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| taq_Latn |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| taq_Tfng |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| tat_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tbz_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tca_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |

Table 9: Languages covered by mPLMs and corpora.

|  | mBERT CANINE-S CANINE-C | XLM-R-Base XLM-R-Large | Glot500 | mGPT | mT5-Base | XLM-Align | NLLB-200 | XLS-R-300M | Flores | PBC | Fleurs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tdt_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tel_Telu | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| teo_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tgk_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tgl_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tha_Thai |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| tih_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tir_Ethi |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tlh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tob_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| toh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| toi_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| toj_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ton_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| top_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tpi_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tpm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tsn_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tso_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tsz_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tuc_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tui_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tuk_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tuk_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tum_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tur_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| twi_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tyv_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tzh_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| tzm_Tfng |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| tzo_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| udm_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| uig_Arab |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| uig_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ukr_Cyrl | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| umb_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| urd_Arab | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| urd_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| uzn_Cyrl |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| uzn_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| vec_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| ven_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| vie_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| wal_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| war_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| wol_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| xav_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| xho_Latn |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| yan_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| yao_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| yap_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ydd_Hebr |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| yom_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| yor_Latn | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| yua_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| yue_Hani |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| zai_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| zlm_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| zom_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| zsm_Latn | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| zul_Latn |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

Table 10: Languages covered by mPLMs and corpora.

| Task | Language List |
| :---: | :---: |
| NER (108) | ace_Latn, afr_Latn, als_Latn, amh_Ethi, arz_Arab, asm_Beng, ast_Latn, azj_Latn, bak_Cyrl, bel_Cyrl, ben_Beng, bho_Deva, bod_Tibt, bos_Latn, bul_Cyrl, cat_Latn, ceb_Latn, ces_Latn, ckb_Arab, crh_Latn, cym_Latn, dan_Latn, deu_Latn, ekk_Latn, ell_Grek, epo_Latn, eus_Latn, fao_Latn, fin_Latn, fra_Latn, fur_Latn, gla_Latn, gle_Latn, glg_Latn, gug_Latn, guj_Gujr, heb_Hebr, hrv_Latn, hun_Latn, hye_Armn, ibo_Latn, ilo_Latn, ind_Latn, is1_Latn, ita_Latn, jav_Latn, jpn_Jpan, kan_Knda, kat_Geor, kaz_Cyrl, khm_Khmr, kin_Latn, kir_Cyrl, kor_Hang, lij_Latn, lim_Latn, lin_Latn, lit_Latn, lmo_Latn, ltz_Latn, mal_Mlym, mar_Deva, min_Latn, mkd_Cyrl, mlt_Latn, mri_Latn, mya_Mymr, nld_Latn, nno_Latn, oci_Latn, ory_Orya, pan_Guru, pes_Arab, plt_Latn, pol_Latn, por_Latn, ron_Latn, san_Deva, scn_Latn, sin_Sinh, slk_Latn, slv_Latn, snd_Arab, som_Latn, srp_Cyrl, sun_Latn, swe_Latn, swh_Latn, szl_Latn, tam_Taml, tat_Cyrl, tel_Telu, tgk_Cyrl, tgl_Latn, tha_Thai, tuk_Latn, tur_Latn, uig_Arab, ukr_Cyrl, urd_Arab, uzn_Latn, vec_Latn, vie_Latn, war_Latn, ydd_Hebr, yor_Latn, yue_Hani, zsm_Latn |
| POS (60) | afr_Latn, ajp_Arab, amh_Ethi, bam_Latn, bel_Cyrl, bho_Deva, bul_Cyrl, cat_Latn, ceb_Latn, ces_Latn, cym_Latn, dan_Latn, deu_Latn, ekk_Latn, ell_Grek, eus_Latn, fao_Latn, fin_Latn, fra_Latn, gla_Latn, gle_Latn, glg_Latn, heb_Hebr, hrv_Latn, hun_Latn, hye_Armn, ind_Latn, isl_Latn, ita_Latn, jav_Latn, jpn_Jpan, kaz_Cyrl, kmr_Latn, kor_Hang, lij_Latn, lit_Latn, mlt_Latn, nld_Latn, pes_Arab, pol_Latn, por_Latn, ron_Latn, san_Deva, sin_Sinh, slk_Latn, slv_Latn, swe_Latn, tam_Taml, tat_Cyrl, tel_Telu, tgl_Latn, tha_Thai, tur_Latn, uig_Arab, ukr_Cyrl, urd_Arab, vie_Latn, wol_Latn, yor_Latn, yue_Hani |
| Massive (44) | afr_Latn, als_Latn, amh_Ethi, azj_Latn, ben_Beng, cat_Latn, cym_Latn, dan_Latn, deu_Latn, ell_Grek, fin_Latn, fra_Latn, heb_Hebr, hun_Latn, hye_Armn, ind_Latn, isl_Latn, ita_Latn, jav_Latn, jpn_Jpan, kan_Knda, kat_Geor, khm_Khmr, kor_Hang, lvs_Latn, mal_Mlym, mya_Mymr, nld_Latn, nob_Latn, pes_Arab, pol_Latn, por_Latn, ron_Latn, slv_Latn, swe_Latn, swh_Latn, tam_Taml, tel_Telu, tgl_Latn, tha_Thai, tur_Latn, urd_Arab, vie_Latn, zsm_Latn |
| Taxi1500 (130) | ace_Latn, afr_Latn, aka_Latn, als_Latn, ary_Arab, arz_Arab, asm_Beng, ayr_Latn, azb_Arab, bak_Cyrl, bam_Latn, ban_Latn, bel_Cyrl, bem_Latn, ben_Beng, bul_Cyrl, cat_Latn, ceb_Latn, ces_Latn, ckb_Arab, cym_Latn, dan_Latn, deu_Latn, dyu_Latn, dzo_Tibt, ell_Grek, epo_Latn, eus_Latn, ewe_Latn, fao_Latn, fij_Latn, fin_Latn, fon_Latn, fra_Latn, gla_Latn, gle_Latn, gug_Latn, guj_Gujr, hat_Latn, hau_Latn, heb_Hebr, hne_Deva, hrv_Latn, hun_Latn, hye_Armn, ibo_Latn, ilo_Latn, ind_Latn, isl_Latn, ita_Latn, jav_Latn, kab_Latn, kac_Latn, kan_Knda, kat_Geor, kaz_Cyrl, kbp_Latn, khm_Khmr, kik_Latn, kin_Latn, kir_Cyrl, kng_Latn, kor_Hang, lao_Laoo, lin_Latn, lit_Latn, ltz_Latn, lug_Latn, luo_Latn, mai_Deva, mar_Deva, min_Latn, mkd_Cyrl, mlt_Latn, mos_Latn, mri_Latn, mya_Mymr, nld_Latn, nno_Latn, nob_Latn, npi_Deva, nso_Latn, nya_Latn, ory_Orya, pag_Latn, pan_Guru, pap_Latn, pes_Arab, plt_Latn, pol_Latn, por_Latn, prs_Arab, quy_Latn, ron_Latn, run_Latn, sag_Latn, sin_Sinh, slk_Latn, slv_Latn, smo_Latn, sna_Latn, snd_Arab, som_Latn, sot_Latn, ssw_Latn, sun_Latn, swe_Latn, swh_Latn, tam_Taml, tat_Cyrl, tel_Telu, tgk_Cyrl, tgl_Latn, tha_Thai, tir_Ethi, tpi_Latn, tsn_Latn, tuk_Latn, tum_Latn, tur_Latn, twi_Latn, ukr_Cyrl, vie_Latn, war_Latn, wol_Latn, xho_Latn, yor_Latn, yue_Hani, zsm_Latn, zul_Latn |

Table 11: Languages for evaluating zero-shot cross-lingual transfer. The number in brackets is the number of the evaluated languages.

|  | mPLM-Sim | Mono | 1 | 5 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEX | 0.741 | 0.704 | 0.688 | 0.745 | 0.743 |
| GEN | 0.527 | 0.504 | 0.480 | 0.482 | 0.510 |
| GEO | 0.608 | 0.597 | 0.523 | 0.562 | 0.597 |
| SYN | 0.577 | 0.583 | 0.556 | 0.560 | 0.573 |
| INV | 0.248 | 0.245 | 0.226 | 0.265 | 0.260 |
| PHO | 0.094 | 0.109 | 0.114 | 0.118 | 0.102 |
| FEA | 0.358 | 0.369 | 0.347 | 0.371 | 0.360 |
| AVG | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 1}$ | 0.444 | 0.419 | 0.444 | 0.449 |

Table 12: Comparison of pearson correlation result: Pearson correlation between seven similarity measurs and mPLM-Sim ( 500 multi-parallel sentences), Mono (Monolingual corpora) and the results of using different amounts $(1,5,10)$ of multi-parallel sentences.

## B Comparison Across Corpora for mPLM-Sim

## B. 1 Monolingual vs. Parallel

Both monolingual and parallel corpora can be exploited for obtaining sentence embeddings for measuring language similarity. We conduct experiments of exploiting monolingual corpora for measuring similarity across languages, and also provide the results of using different amounts ( $1,5,10,500$ ) of multi-parallel sentences.

For the experiment of pearson correlation in Sec. 3.1, the results (MEAN) are shown in Tab. 12. For the experiment of cross-lingual transfer in Sec. 3.4, the results are shown in Tab. 13. Based on these two experiments, we have the conclusions below:

- mPLM-Sim using multi-parallel corpora achieves slightly better results than using monolingual corpora.
- mPLM-Sim (500 sentences) requires less data than exploiting monolingual corpora. Besides, using mPLM-Sim ( 10 sentences) can achieve comparable results with mPLM-Sim ( 500 sentences). While including a truly low-resource language for similarity measurement, mPLMSim requires around 10 sentences parallel to one existing language, while monolingual corpora requires massive sentences.

In a word, exploiting parallel corpora is better for measuring language similarity than monolingual corpora.

## B. 2 Flores vs. PBC

To investigate the impact of multi-parallel corpora on the performance of mPLM-Sim, we compare

|  | mPLM-Sim | Mono | 1 | 5 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NER | 0.647 | 0.644 | 0.644 | 0.646 | 0.647 |
| POS | 0.751 | 0.737 | 0.748 | 0.753 | 0.752 |
| Massive | 0.730 | 0.730 | 0.723 | 0.728 | 0.730 |
| Taxi | 0.583 | 0.585 | 0.580 | 0.582 | 0.582 |
| AVG | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7 8}$ | 0.674 | 0.674 | 0.677 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7 8}$ |

Table 13: Comparison of cross-lingual transfer result: Cross-lingual transfer result for four tasks from mPLMSim (500 multi-parallel sentences), Mono (Monolingual corpora) and the results of using different amounts (1, 5,10 ) of multi-parallel sentences.

|  | Flores |  | PBC |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | Mdn | M | Mdn |
| LEX | 0.741 | 0.864 | 0.654 | 0.735 |
| GEN | 0.527 | 0.600 | 0.519 | 0.572 |
| GEO | 0.608 | 0.674 | 0.546 | 0.603 |
| SYN | 0.577 | 0.607 | 0.491 | 0.528 |
| INV | 0.248 | 0.293 | 0.254 | 0.276 |
| PHO | 0.094 | 0.144 | 0.103 | 0.098 |
| FEA | 0.358 | 0.372 | 0.333 | 0.357 |
| AVG | 0.451 | 0.508 | 0.414 | 0.453 |

Table 14: Comparison across corpora: Pearson correlation between $\mathrm{mPLM}-$ Sim and linguistic similarity measures for Glot500 and all corpora on 32 languages. Flores achieves higher correlations than PBC.
the results of Glot500 with Flores and PBC on 32 languages that are covered by both corpora.

Tab. 14 shows that Flores outperforms PBC across all similarity measures, except for PHO. To gain further insights, we conduct a case study focusing on languages that exhibit different performances between the two corpora.

In comparison to PBC, Flores consists of text that is closer to web content and spans a wider range of general domains. For example, a significant portion of Arabic script in Flores is written without short vowels, which are commonly used in texts requiring strict adherence to precise pronunciation, such as the Bible. ${ }^{5}$ This discrepancy leads to challenges in tokenization and representation for languages written in Arabic, such as Moroccan Arabic (ary_Arab) and Egyptian Arabic (arz_Arab), resulting in poorer performance.

[^3]
## C Visualization and Analysis Across Layers

## C. 1 Hierarchical Clustering Analysis

We conducted hierarchical clustering analysis at different layers $(0,4,8$, and 12 ) using the setting of Glot500 and Flores for mPLM-Sim. The results, shown in Fig. 3, reveal distinct patterns of language clustering. In layer 0 , the clustering primarily emphasizes lexical similarities, with languages sharing the same scripts being grouped together. As we progress to layers 4 and 8 , more high-level similarity patterns beyond the surface-level are captured. For instance in these layers, Turkish (tur_Latn) and Polish (pol_Latn) are clustered with their Turkic and Slavic relatives although they use different writing systems. The similarity results of layer 12 are comparatively worse than those of the middle layers. For instance, English (eng_Latn) deviates from its Germanic and Indo-European relatives and instead clusters with Malay languages (ind_Latn, zsm_Latn). This phenomenon can be attributed to the higher layer exhibiting lower inter-cluster distances (comparison between the y-axis range across figures of different layers), which diminishes its ability to effectively discriminate between language clusters.

## C. 2 Similarity Heatmaps

Fig. 4-7 show the cosine simlarity values in heatmaps at layer $0,4,8$ and 12 , using the Glot500 and Flores settings for mPLM-Sim.

Generally, as the layer number increases, higher cosine similarity values are observed. Layer 0 exhibits a significant contrast in similarity values, whereas layer 12 demonstrates very low contrast. Notably, Burmese (mya_Mymr) consistently receives the lowest values across all layers, indicating the relationship between Burmese and other languages may be not well modeled.


Figure 3: Dendrograms illustrating hierarchical clustering results at layer 0, 4, 8, and 12 for Glot500 and Flores across 32 languages.


Figure 4: Heatmaps of cosine similarity results at layer 0 for Glot500 and Flores across 32 languages.


Figure 5: Heatmaps of cosine similarity results at layer 4 for Glot500 and Flores across 32 languages.


Figure 6: Heatmaps of cosine similarity results at layer 8 for Glot500 and Flores across 32 languages.


Figure 7: Heatmaps of cosine similarity results at layer 12 for Glot500 and Flores across 32 languages.

## D Analysis on Unseen Languages of mPLMs

The success of mPLM-Sim depends on the supporting languages of mPLMs. To get more insights about languages which are this not supported by a specific mPLM, we conduct a new Pearson correlation experiment based on 94 languages unseen by XLM-R. Among 94 languages, there are 24 ( $25.5 \%$ ) languages that achieve higher correlation than the average level of seen languages. These 24 languages usually have close languages seen by XLM-R, e.g, the unseen language, Cantonese (yue_Hani) is close to Mandarin (cmn_Hani). It shows that mPLM-Sim can be directly applied to some unseen languages which have close seen languages.

For the unseen languages which mPLM-Sim performs poorly, we can connect it to seen languages using traditional linguistic features, e.g., language family, and then use or weight the similarity results of seen languages as the results of the unseen languages. Since it is shown that mPLM-Sim provides better results than traditional linguistic features in our paper, connecting unseen languages to seen languages would be beneficial for unseen languages.

## E Detailed Results of Cross-Lingual Transfer

We report the detailed results for all tasks and languages in Tab. 15-16 (NER), 17 (POS), 18 (MASSIVE), 19-21 (Taxi1500).

|  | ENG |  | LEX |  | GEN |  | GEO |  | FEA | mPLM-Sim |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ace_Latn | 0.421 | 0.421 | eng_Latn | 0.421 | eng_Latn | 0.427 | hin_Deva | 0.421 | eng_Latn | 0.439 | spa_Latn |
| afr_Latn | 0.739 | 0.739 | eng_Latn | 0.739 | eng_Latn | 0.720 | arb_Arab | 0.707 | rus_Cyrl | 0.739 | eng_Latn |
| als_Latn | 0.767 | 0.767 | eng_Latn | 0.737 | rus_Cyrl | 0.774 | spa_Latn | 0.737 | rus_Cyrl | 0.774 | spa_Latn |
| amh_Ethi | 0.450 | 0.389 | cmn_Hani | 0.515 | arb_Arab | 0.515 | arb_Arab | 0.554 | hin_Deva | 0.554 | hin_Deva |
| arz_Arab | 0.491 | 0.715 | arb_Arab | 0.715 | arb_Arab | 0.715 | arb_Arab | 0.491 | eng_Latn | 0.715 | arb_Arab |
| asm_Beng | 0.661 | 0.603 | arb_Arab | 0.720 | hin_Deva | 0.720 | hin_Deva | 0.720 | hin_Deva | 0.720 | hin_Deva |
| ast_Latn | 0.813 | 0.857 | spa_Latn | 0.857 | spa_Latn | 0.857 | spa_Latn | 0.680 | hin_Deva | 0.857 | spa_Latn |
| azj_Latn | 0.625 | 0.625 | eng_Latn | 0.625 | eng_Latn | 0.664 | arb_Arab | 0.654 | hin_Deva | 0.648 | spa_Latn |
| bak_Cyrl | 0.558 | 0.675 | rus_Cyrl | 0.558 | eng_Latn | 0.675 | rus_Cyrl | 0.681 | hin_Deva | 0.675 | rus_Cyrl |
| bel_Cyrl | 0.728 | 0.748 | rus_Cyrl | 0.748 | rus_Cyrl | 0.728 | eng_Latn | 0.715 | arb_Arab | 0.748 | rus_Cyrl |
| ben_Beng | 0.670 | 0.647 | arb_Arab | 0.692 | hin_Deva | 0.692 | hin_Deva | 0.692 | hin_Deva | 0.692 | hin_Deva |
| bho_Deva | 0.544 | 0.690 | hin_Deva | 0.690 | hin_Deva | 0.690 | hin_Deva | 0.610 | arb_Arab | 0.690 | hin_Deva |
| bod_Tibt | 0.417 | 0.544 | cmn_Hani | 0.544 | cmn_Hani | 0.522 | hin_Deva | 0.544 | cmn_Hani | 0.544 | cmn_Hani |
| bos_Latn | 0.697 | 0.697 | eng_Latn | 0.756 | rus_Cyrl | 0.715 | spa_Latn | 0.702 | arb_Arab | 0.715 | spa_Latn |
| bul_Cyrl | 0.748 | 0.783 | rus_Cyrl | 0.783 | rus_Cyrl | 0.787 | spa_Latn | 0.783 | rus_Cyrl | 0.783 | rus_Cyrl |
| cat_Latn | 0.806 | 0.808 | spa_Latn | 0.808 | spa_Latn | 0.808 | spa_Latn | 0.806 | eng_Latn | 0.808 | spa_Latn |
| ceb_Latn | 0.563 | 0.563 | eng_Latn | 0.563 | eng_Latn | 0.211 | cmn_Hani | 0.530 | spa_Latn | 0.530 | spa_Latn |
| ces_Latn | 0.760 | 0.760 | eng_Latn | 0.741 | rus_Cyrl | 0.760 | eng_Latn | 0.741 | rus_Cyrl | 0.741 | rus_Cyrl |
| ckb_Arab | 0.707 | 0.716 | arb_Arab | 0.692 | hin_Deva | 0.716 | arb_Arab | 0.703 | rus_Cyrl | 0.716 | arb_Arab |
| crh_Latn | 0.521 | 0.521 | eng_Latn | 0.521 | eng_Latn | 0.472 | arb_Arab | 0.402 | cmn_Hani | 0.551 | spa_Latn |
| cym_Latn | 0.593 | 0.593 | eng_Latn | 0.617 | rus_Cyrl | 0.593 | eng_Latn | 0.542 | arb_Arab | 0.636 | spa_Latn |
| dan_Latn | 0.792 | 0.792 | eng_Latn | 0.792 | eng_Latn | 0.792 | eng_Latn | 0.747 | arb_Arab | 0.792 | eng_Latn |
| deu_Latn | 0.714 | 0.714 | eng_Latn | 0.714 | eng_Latn | 0.714 | eng_Latn | 0.714 | eng_Latn | 0.706 | spa_Latn |
| ekk_Latn | 0.713 | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.729 | rus_Cyrl | 0.729 | spa_Latn |
| ell_Grek | 0.686 | 0.686 | eng_Latn | 0.733 | rus_Cyrl | 0.729 | spa_Latn | 0.733 | rus_Cyrl | 0.733 | rus_Cyrl |
| epo_Latn | 0.639 | 0.639 | eng_Latn | 0.639 | eng_Latn | 0.639 | eng_Latn | 0.628 | rus_Cyrl | 0.722 | spa_Latn |
| eus_Latn | 0.516 | 0.516 | eng_Latn | 0.516 | eng_Latn | 0.552 | spa_Latn | 0.588 | hin_Deva | 0.552 | spa_Latn |
| fao_Latn | 0.706 | 0.706 | eng_Latn | 0.706 | eng_Latn | 0.706 | eng_Latn | 0.710 | arb_Arab | 0.719 | spa_Latn |
| fin_Latn | 0.728 | 0.728 | eng_Latn | 0.728 | eng_Latn | 0.728 | eng_Latn | 0.728 | rus_Cyrl | 0.760 | spa_Latn |
| fra_Latn | 0.730 | 0.730 | eng_Latn | 0.805 | spa_Latn | 0.730 | eng_Latn | 0.730 | eng_Latn | 0.805 | spa_Latn |
| fur_Latn | 0.567 | 0.567 | eng_Latn | 0.545 | spa_Latn | 0.567 | eng_Latn | 0.605 | hin_Deva | 0.545 | spa_Latn |
| gla_Latn | 0.571 | 0.571 | eng_Latn | 0.612 | rus_Cyrl | 0.571 | eng_Latn | 0.576 | arb_Arab | 0.582 | spa_Latn |
| gle_Latn | 0.670 | 0.670 | eng_Latn | 0.574 | rus_Cyrl | 0.670 | eng_Latn | 0.688 | spa_Latn | 0.688 | spa_Latn |
| glg_Latn | 0.768 | 0.822 | spa_Latn | 0.822 | spa_Latn | 0.822 | spa_Latn | 0.822 | spa_Latn | 0.822 | spa_Latn |
| gug_Latn | 0.552 | 0.552 | eng_Latn | 0.552 | eng_Latn | 0.566 | spa_Latn | 0.566 | spa_Latn | 0.566 | spa_Latn |
| guj_Gujr | 0.573 | 0.582 | arb_Arab | 0.606 | hin_Deva | 0.606 | hin_Deva | 0.606 | hin_Deva | 0.606 | hin_Deva |
| heb_Hebr | 0.458 | 0.300 | cmn_Hani | 0.542 | arb_Arab | 0.542 | arb_Arab | 0.463 | rus_Cyrl | 0.542 | arb_Arab |
| hin_Deva | 0.650 | 0.697 | arb_Arab | 0.697 | arb_Arab | 0.697 | arb_Arab | 0.697 | arb_Arab | 0.697 | arb_Arab |
| hrv_Latn | 0.738 | 0.738 | eng_Latn | 0.746 | rus_Cyrl | 0.738 | eng_Latn | 0.746 | rus_Cyrl | 0.776 | spa_Latn |
| hun_Latn | 0.727 | 0.727 | eng_Latn | 0.727 | eng_Latn | 0.727 | eng_Latn | 0.721 | rus_Cyrl | 0.762 | spa_Latn |
| hye_Armn | 0.518 | 0.533 | arb_Arab | 0.518 | eng_Latn | 0.533 | arb_Arab | 0.512 | rus_Cyrl | 0.531 | hin_Deva |
| ibo_Latn | 0.574 | 0.574 | eng_Latn | 0.574 | eng_Latn | 0.563 | spa_Latn | 0.574 | eng_Latn | 0.563 | spa_Latn |
| ilo_Latn | 0.673 | 0.673 | eng_Latn | 0.673 | eng_Latn | 0.577 | cmn_Hani | 0.673 | eng_Latn | 0.716 | spa_Latn |
| ind_Latn | 0.594 | 0.594 | eng_Latn | 0.594 | eng_Latn | 0.443 | hin_Deva | 0.594 | eng_Latn | 0.594 | eng_Latn |
| isl_Latn | 0.707 | 0.707 | eng_Latn | 0.707 | eng_Latn | 0.707 | eng_Latn | 0.707 | eng_Latn | 0.726 | spa_Latn |
| ita_Latn | 0.764 | 0.762 | spa_Latn | 0.762 | spa_Latn | 0.762 | spa_Latn | 0.762 | spa_Latn | 0.762 | spa_Latn |
| jav_Latn | 0.580 | 0.580 | eng_Latn | 0.580 | eng_Latn | 0.215 | cmn_Hani | 0.529 | hin_Deva | 0.614 | spa_Latn |
| jpn_Jpan | 0.177 | 0.451 | cmn_Hani | 0.177 | eng_Latn | 0.451 | cmn_Hani | 0.260 | hin_Deva | 0.451 | cmn_Hani |
| kan_Knda | 0.531 | 0.567 | arb_Arab | 0.531 | eng_Latn | 0.638 | hin_Deva | 0.638 | hin_Deva | 0.638 | hin_Deva |
| kat_Geor | 0.644 | 0.640 | arb_Arab | 0.644 | eng_Latn | 0.640 | arb_Arab | 0.681 | hin_Deva | 0.681 | hin_Deva |
| kaz_Cyrl | 0.416 | 0.525 | rus_Cyrl | 0.416 | eng_Latn | 0.525 | rus_Cyrl | 0.315 | cmn_Hani | 0.525 | rus_Cyrl |
| khm_Khmr | 0.404 | 0.404 | eng_Latn | 0.404 | eng_Latn | 0.467 | hin_Deva | 0.404 | eng_Latn | 0.549 | arb_Arab |
| kin_Latn | 0.626 | 0.626 | eng_Latn | 0.626 | eng_Latn | 0.672 | arb_Arab | 0.626 | eng_Latn | 0.726 | spa_Latn |
| kir_Cyrl | 0.391 | 0.564 | rus_Cyrl | 0.391 | eng_Latn | 0.564 | rus_Cyrl | 0.455 | hin_Deva | 0.564 | rus_Cyrl |
| kor_Hang | 0.470 | 0.445 | cmn_Hani | 0.470 | eng_Latn | 0.445 | cmn_Hani | 0.445 | cmn_Hani | 0.551 | hin_Deva |

Table 15: Cross-Lingual Transfer Results of NER (Part 1): The first column is the target language. For each language similarity measure, we report both the source language selected based on similarity and also the evaluation results on target language using the source language. For mPLM-Sim, we report the layer achieving best performance (layer 1).

|  | ENG | LEX |  | GEN |  | GEO |  | FEA |  | mPLM-Sim |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lij_Latn | 0.431 | 0.431 | eng_Latn | 0.413 | spa_Latn | 0.413 | spa_Latn | 0.395 | hin_Deva | 0.413 | spa_Latn |
| lim_Latn | 0.646 | 0.646 | eng_Latn | 0.646 | eng_Latn | 0.646 | eng_Latn | 0.605 | hin_Deva | 0.621 | spa_Latn |
| lin_Latn | 0.486 | 0.486 | eng_Latn | 0.486 | eng_Latn | 0.555 | arb_Arab | 0.486 | eng_Latn | 0.519 | spa_Latn |
| lit_Latn | 0.707 | 0.707 | eng_Latn | 0.699 | rus_Cyrl | 0.707 | eng_Latn | 0.699 | rus_Cyrl | 0.699 | rus_Cyrl |
| 1mo_Latn | 0.712 | 0.712 | eng_Latn | 0.706 | spa_Latn | 0.706 | spa_Latn | 0.559 | hin_Deva | 0.706 | spa_Latn |
| ltz_Latn | 0.646 | 0.646 | eng_Latn | 0.646 | eng_Latn | 0.646 | eng_Latn | 0.663 | spa_Latn | 0.663 | spa_Latn |
| mal_Mlym | 0.591 | 0.642 | arb_Arab | 0.591 | eng_Latn | 0.709 | hin_Deva | 0.709 | hin_Deva | 0.709 | hin_Deva |
| mar_Deva | 0.583 | 0.725 | hin_Deva | 0.725 | hin_Deva | 0.725 | hin_Deva | 0.725 | hin_Deva | 0.725 | hin_Deva |
| min_Latn | 0.405 | 0.405 | eng_Latn | 0.405 | eng_Latn | 0.363 | hin_Deva | 0.405 | eng_Latn | 0.423 | spa_Latn |
| mkd_Cyrl | 0.696 | 0.767 | rus_Cyrl | 0.767 | rus_Cyrl | 0.730 | spa_Latn | 0.767 | rus_Cyrl | 0.767 | rus_Cyrl |
| mlt_Latn | 0.667 | 0.667 | eng_Latn | 0.597 | arb_Arab | 0.732 | spa_Latn | 0.641 | rus_Cyrl | 0.732 | spa_Latn |
| mri_Latn | 0.531 | 0.531 | eng_Latn | 0.531 | eng_Latn | 0.433 | cmn_Hani | 0.531 | eng_Latn | 0.572 | spa_Latn |
| mya_Mymr | 0.493 | 0.612 | arb_Arab | 0.455 | cmn_Hani | 0.607 | hin_Deva | 0.493 | eng_Latn | 0.607 | hin_Deva |
| nld_Latn | 0.779 | 0.779 | eng_Latn | 0.779 | eng_Latn | 0.779 | eng_Latn | 0.779 | eng_Latn | 0.781 | spa_Latn |
| nno_Latn | 0.762 | 0.762 | eng_Latn | 0.762 | eng_Latn | 0.762 | eng_Latn | 0.686 | hin_Deva | 0.762 | eng_Latn |
| oci_Latn | 0.678 | 0.802 | spa_Latn | 0.802 | spa_Latn | 0.802 | spa_Latn | 0.802 | spa_Latn | 0.802 | spa_Latn |
| ory_Orya | 0.230 | 0.262 | arb_Arab | 0.300 | hin_Deva | 0.230 | hin_Deva | 0.300 | hin_Deva | 0.300 | hin_Deva |
| pan_Guru | 0.464 | 0.470 | hin_Deva | 0.470 | hin_Deva | 0.470 | hin_Deva | 0.470 | hin_Deva | 0.470 | hin_Deva |
| pes_Arab | 0.386 | 0.606 | arb_Arab | 0.653 | hin_Deva | 0.606 | arb_Arab | 0.653 | hin_Deva | 0.606 | arb_Arab |
| plt_Latn | 0.533 | 0.533 | eng_Latn | 0.533 | eng_Latn | 0.424 | arb_Arab | 0.510 | rus_Cyrl | 0.507 | spa_Latn |
| pol_Latn | 0.754 | 0.754 | eng_Latn | 0.719 | rus_Cyrl | 0.754 | eng_Latn | 0.719 | rus_Cyrl | 0.719 | rus_Cyrl |
| por_Latn | 0.745 | 0.803 | spa_Latn | 0.803 | spa_Latn | 0.803 | spa_Latn | 0.745 | eng_Latn | 0.803 | spa_Latn |
| ron_Latn | 0.632 | 0.632 | eng_Latn | 0.746 | spa_Latn | 0.632 | eng_Latn | 0.614 | rus_Cyrl | 0.746 | spa_Latn |
| san_Deva | 0.306 | 0.523 | hin_Deva | 0.523 | hin_Deva | 0.523 | hin_Deva | 0.523 | hin_Deva | 0.523 | hin_Deva |
| scn_Latn | 0.676 | 0.676 | eng_Latn | 0.750 | spa_Latn | 0.750 | spa_Latn | 0.623 | arb_Arab | 0.750 | spa_Latn |
| sin_Sinh | 0.536 | 0.560 | arb_Arab | 0.727 | hin_Deva | 0.727 | hin_Deva | 0.727 | hin_Deva | 0.727 | hin_Deva |
| slk_Latn | 0.745 | 0.745 | eng_Latn | 0.721 | rus_Cyrl | 0.745 | eng_Latn | 0.659 | hin_Deva | 0.721 | rus_Cyrl |
| slv_Latn | 0.766 | 0.766 | eng_Latn | 0.724 | rus_Cyrl | 0.766 | eng_Latn | 0.724 | rus_Cyrl | 0.724 | rus_Cyrl |
| snd_Arab | 0.374 | 0.441 | arb_Arab | 0.530 | hin_Deva | 0.530 | hin_Deva | 0.530 | hin_Deva | 0.441 | arb_Arab |
| som_Latn | 0.598 | 0.598 | eng_Latn | 0.562 | arb_Arab | 0.562 | arb_Arab | 0.579 | hin_Deva | 0.605 | spa_Latn |
| srp_Cyrl | 0.627 | 0.586 | rus_Cyrl | 0.586 | rus_Cyrl | 0.627 | eng_Latn | 0.586 | rus_Cyrl | 0.586 | rus_Cyrl |
| sun_Latn | 0.577 | 0.577 | eng_Latn | 0.577 | eng_Latn | 0.492 | hin_Deva | 0.577 | eng_Latn | 0.490 | spa_Latn |
| swe_Latn | 0.632 | 0.632 | eng_Latn | 0.632 | eng_Latn | 0.632 | eng_Latn | 0.632 | eng_Latn | 0.632 | eng_Latn |
| swh_Latn | 0.687 | 0.687 | eng_Latn | 0.687 | eng_Latn | 0.503 | arb_Arab | 0.662 | spa_Latn | 0.662 | spa_Latn |
| szl_Latn | 0.670 | 0.670 | eng_Latn | 0.655 | rus_Cyrl | 0.670 | eng_Latn | 0.631 | hin_Deva | 0.655 | rus_Cyrl |
| tam_Taml | 0.498 | 0.597 | arb_Arab | 0.498 | eng_Latn | 0.626 | hin_Deva | 0.626 | hin_Deva | 0.626 | hin_Deva |
| tat_Cyrl | 0.630 | 0.715 | rus_Cyrl | 0.630 | eng_Latn | 0.715 | rus_Cyrl | 0.672 | arb_Arab | 0.715 | rus_Cyrl |
| tel_Telu | 0.420 | 0.516 | arb_Arab | 0.420 | eng_Latn | 0.539 | hin_Deva | 0.539 | hin_Deva | 0.539 | hin_Deva |
| tgk_Cyrl | 0.588 | 0.652 | rus_Cyrl | 0.598 | hin_Deva | 0.652 | rus_Cyrl | 0.629 | arb_Arab | 0.652 | rus_Cyrl |
| tgl_Latn | 0.745 | 0.745 | eng_Latn | 0.745 | eng_Latn | 0.466 | cmn_Hani | 0.667 | spa_Latn | 0.667 | spa_Latn |
| tha_Thai | 0.049 | 0.074 | cmn_Hani | 0.049 | eng_Latn | 0.014 | hin_Deva | 0.049 | eng_Latn | 0.074 | cmn_Hani |
| tuk_Latn | 0.577 | 0.577 | eng_Latn | 0.577 | eng_Latn | 0.579 | arb_Arab | 0.553 | cmn_Hani | 0.615 | spa_Latn |
| tur_Latn | 0.712 | 0.712 | eng_Latn | 0.712 | eng_Latn | 0.707 | arb_Arab | 0.707 | rus_Cyrl | 0.758 | spa_Latn |
| uig_Arab | 0.460 | 0.547 | arb_Arab | 0.460 | eng_Latn | 0.525 | rus_Cyrl | 0.485 | cmn_Hani | 0.547 | arb_Arab |
| ukr_Cyrl | 0.695 | 0.802 | rus_Cyrl | 0.802 | rus_Cyrl | 0.695 | eng_Latn | 0.802 | rus_Cyrl | 0.802 | rus_Cyrl |
| urd_Arab | 0.596 | 0.689 | arb_Arab | 0.743 | hin_Deva | 0.743 | hin_Deva | 0.743 | hin_Deva | 0.743 | hin_Deva |
| uzn_Latn | 0.713 | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.716 | rus_Cyrl | 0.479 | hin_Deva | 0.792 | spa_Latn |
| vec_Latn | 0.624 | 0.624 | eng_Latn | 0.680 | spa_Latn | 0.680 | spa_Latn | 0.549 | hin_Deva | 0.680 | spa_Latn |
| vie_Latn | 0.654 | 0.654 | eng_Latn | 0.654 | eng_Latn | 0.406 | cmn_Hani | 0.654 | eng_Latn | 0.546 | rus_Cyrl |
| war_Latn | 0.554 | 0.554 | eng_Latn | 0.554 | eng_Latn | 0.425 | cmn_Hani | 0.425 | cmn_Hani | 0.585 | spa_Latn |
| ydd_Hebr | 0.496 | 0.496 | eng_Latn | 0.496 | eng_Latn | 0.496 | eng_Latn | 0.609 | hin_Deva | 0.569 | arb_Arab |
| yor_Latn | 0.614 | 0.614 | eng_Latn | 0.614 | eng_Latn | 0.612 | spa_Latn | 0.532 | rus_Cyrl | 0.612 | spa_Latn |
| yue_Hani | 0.261 | 0.635 | cmn_Hani | 0.635 | cmn_Hani | 0.635 | cmn_Hani | 0.635 | cmn_Hani | 0.635 | cmn_Hani |
| zsm_Latn | 0.654 | 0.654 | eng_Latn | 0.654 | eng_Latn | 0.522 | hin_Deva | 0.654 | eng_Latn | 0.654 | eng_Latn |

Table 16: Cross-Lingual Transfer Results of NER (Part 2): The first column is the target language. For each language similarity measure, we report both the source language selected based on similarity and also the evaluation results on target language using the source language. For mPLM-Sim, we report the layer achieving best performance (layer 1).

|  | ENG | LEX |  | GEN |  | GEO |  | FEA |  | mPLM-Sim |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afr_Latn | 0.850 | 0.850 | eng_Latn | 0.850 | eng_Latn | 0.599 | arb_Arab | 0.809 | rus_Cyrl | 0.854 | spa_Latn |
| ajp_Arab | 0.671 | 0.648 | arb_Arab | 0.648 | arb_Arab | 0.648 | arb_Arab | 0.651 | hin_Deva | 0.648 | arb_Arab |
| amh_Ethi | 0.648 | 0.645 | cmn_Hani | 0.670 | arb_Arab | 0.670 | arb_Arab | 0.704 | hin_Deva | 0.704 | hin_Deva |
| bam_Latn | 0.451 | 0.451 | eng_Latn | 0.451 | eng_Latn | 0.411 | spa_Latn | 0.484 | hin_Deva | 0.411 | spa_Latn |
| bel_Cyrl | 0.824 | 0.934 | rus_Cyrl | 0.934 | rus_Cyrl | 0.824 | eng_Latn | 0.719 | arb_Arab | 0.934 | rus_Cyrl |
| ben_Beng | 0.767 | 0.583 | arb_Arab | 0.803 | hin_Deva | 0.803 | hin_Deva | 0.803 | hin_Deva | 0.803 | hin_Deva |
| bho_Deva | 0.520 | 0.682 | hin_Deva | 0.682 | hin_Deva | 0.682 | hin_Deva | 0.536 | arb_Arab | 0.682 | hin_Deva |
| bul_Cyrl | 0.871 | 0.899 | rus_Cyrl | 0.899 | rus_Cyrl | 0.882 | spa_Latn | 0.899 | rus_Cyrl | 0.899 | rus_Cyrl |
| cat_Latn | 0.860 | 0.962 | spa_Latn | 0.962 | spa_Latn | 0.962 | spa_Latn | 0.860 | eng_Latn | 0.962 | spa_Latn |
| ceb_Latn | 0.605 | 0.605 | eng_Latn | 0.605 | eng_Latn | 0.481 | cmn_Hani | 0.634 | spa_Latn | 0.634 | spa_Latn |
| ces_Latn | 0.826 | 0.826 | eng_Latn | 0.874 | rus_Cyrl | 0.826 | eng_Latn | 0.874 | rus_Cyrl | 0.874 | rus_Cyrl |
| cym_Latn | 0.621 | 0.621 | eng_Latn | 0.612 | rus_Cyrl | 0.621 | eng_Latn | 0.602 | arb_Arab | 0.618 | spa_Latn |
| dan_Latn | 0.873 | 0.873 | eng_Latn | 0.873 | eng_Latn | 0.873 | eng_Latn | 0.640 | arb_Arab | 0.873 | eng_Latn |
| deu_Latn | 0.850 | 0.850 | eng_Latn | 0.850 | eng_Latn | 0.850 | eng_Latn | 0.850 | eng_Latn | 0.784 | spa_Latn |
| ekk_Latn | 0.815 | 0.815 | eng_Latn | 0.815 | eng_Latn | 0.815 | eng_Latn | 0.790 | rus_Cyrl | 0.790 | rus_Cyrl |
| ell_Grek | 0.822 | 0.822 | eng_Latn | 0.871 | rus_Cyrl | 0.834 | spa_Latn | 0.871 | rus_Cyrl | 0.871 | rus_Cyrl |
| eus_Latn | 0.625 | 0.625 | eng_Latn | 0.625 | eng_Latn | 0.681 | spa_Latn | 0.702 | hin_Deva | 0.681 | spa_Latn |
| fao_Latn | 0.869 | 0.869 | eng_Latn | 0.869 | eng_Latn | 0.869 | eng_Latn | 0.701 | arb_Arab | 0.876 | spa_Latn |
| fin_Latn | 0.771 | 0.771 | eng_Latn | 0.771 | eng_Latn | 0.771 | eng_Latn | 0.773 | rus_Cyrl | 0.773 | rus_Cyrl |
| fra_Latn | 0.838 | 0.838 | eng_Latn | 0.885 | spa_Latn | 0.838 | eng_Latn | 0.838 | eng_Latn | 0.885 | spa_Latn |
| gla_Latn | 0.571 | 0.571 | eng_Latn | 0.588 | rus_Cyrl | 0.571 | eng_Latn | 0.498 | arb_Arab | 0.548 | spa_Latn |
| gle_Latn | 0.578 | 0.578 | eng_Latn | 0.624 | rus_Cyrl | 0.578 | eng_Latn | 0.624 | spa_Latn | 0.624 | spa_Latn |
| glg_Latn | 0.796 | 0.864 | spa_Latn | 0.864 | spa_Latn | 0.864 | spa_Latn | 0.864 | spa_Latn | 0.864 | spa_Latn |
| gug_Latn | 0.213 | 0.213 | eng_Latn | 0.213 | eng_Latn | 0.256 | spa_Latn | 0.256 | spa_Latn | 0.256 | spa_Latn |
| heb_Hebr | 0.636 | 0.560 | cmn_Hani | 0.696 | arb_Arab | 0.696 | arb_Arab | 0.704 | rus_Cyrl | 0.696 | arb_Arab |
| hin_Deva | 0.665 | 0.612 | arb_Arab | 0.612 | arb_Arab | 0.612 | arb_Arab | 0.612 | arb_Arab | 0.612 | arb_Arab |
| hrv_Latn | 0.829 | 0.829 | eng_Latn | 0.899 | rus_Cyrl | 0.829 | eng_Latn | 0.899 | rus_Cyrl | 0.899 | rus_Cyrl |
| hun_Latn | 0.801 | 0.801 | eng_Latn | 0.801 | eng_Latn | 0.801 | eng_Latn | 0.740 | rus_Cyrl | 0.811 | spa_Latn |
| hye_Armn | 0.817 | 0.595 | arb_Arab | 0.817 | eng_Latn | 0.595 | arb_Arab | 0.846 | rus_Cyrl | 0.846 | rus_Cyrl |
| ind_Latn | 0.814 | 0.814 | eng_Latn | 0.814 | eng_Latn | 0.695 | hin_Deva | 0.814 | eng_Latn | 0.814 | eng_Latn |
| isl_Latn | 0.805 | 0.805 | eng_Latn | 0.805 | eng_Latn | 0.805 | eng_Latn | 0.805 | eng_Latn | 0.802 | spa_Latn |
| ita_Latn | 0.852 | 0.906 | spa_Latn | 0.906 | spa_Latn | 0.906 | spa_Latn | 0.906 | spa_Latn | 0.906 | spa_Latn |
| jav_Latn | 0.742 | 0.742 | eng_Latn | 0.742 | eng_Latn | 0.543 | cmn_Hani | 0.645 | hin_Deva | 0.731 | spa_Latn |
| jpn_Jpan | 0.165 | 0.534 | cmn_Hani | 0.165 | eng_Latn | 0.534 | cmn_Hani | 0.402 | hin_Deva | 0.534 | cmn_Hani |
| kaz_Cyrl | 0.724 | 0.739 | rus_Cyrl | 0.724 | eng_Latn | 0.739 | rus_Cyrl | 0.545 | cmn_Hani | 0.739 | rus_Cyrl |
| kmr_Latn | 0.748 | 0.748 | eng_Latn | 0.719 | hin_Deva | 0.646 | arb_Arab | 0.748 | eng_Latn | 0.777 | spa_Latn |
| kor_Hang | 0.497 | 0.447 | cmn_Hani | 0.497 | eng_Latn | 0.447 | cmn_Hani | 0.447 | cmn_Hani | 0.491 | hin_Deva |
| lij_Latn | 0.739 | 0.739 | eng_Latn | 0.819 | spa_Latn | 0.819 | spa_Latn | 0.685 | hin_Deva | 0.819 | spa_Latn |
| lit_Latn | 0.787 | 0.787 | eng_Latn | 0.840 | rus_Cyrl | 0.787 | eng_Latn | 0.840 | rus_Cyrl | 0.840 | rus_Cyrl |
| mal_Mlym | 0.847 | 0.680 | arb_Arab | 0.847 | eng_Latn | 0.804 | hin_Deva | 0.804 | hin_Deva | 0.804 | hin_Deva |
| mar_Deva | 0.813 | 0.830 | hin_Deva | 0.830 | hin_Deva | 0.830 | hin_Deva | 0.830 | hin_Deva | 0.830 | hin_Deva |
| mlt_Latn | 0.776 | 0.776 | eng_Latn | 0.603 | arb_Arab | 0.798 | spa_Latn | 0.787 | rus_Cyrl | 0.798 | spa_Latn |
| nld_Latn | 0.874 | 0.874 | eng_Latn | 0.874 | eng_Latn | 0.874 | eng_Latn | 0.874 | eng_Latn | 0.855 | spa_Latn |
| pes_Arab | 0.675 | 0.690 | arb_Arab | 0.709 | hin_Deva | 0.690 | arb_Arab | 0.709 | hin_Deva | 0.690 | arb_Arab |
| pol_Latn | 0.791 | 0.791 | eng_Latn | 0.881 | rus_Cyrl | 0.791 | eng_Latn | 0.881 | rus_Cyrl | 0.881 | us_Cyrl |
| por_Latn | 0.857 | 0.910 | spa_Latn | 0.910 | spa_Latn | 0.910 | spa_Latn | 0.857 | eng_Latn | 0.910 | spa_Latn |
| ron_Latn | 0.747 | 0.747 | eng_Latn | 0.816 | spa_Latn | 0.747 | eng_Latn | 0.794 | rus_Cyrl | 0.816 | spa_Latn |
| san_Deva | 0.217 | 0.319 | hin_Deva | 0.319 | hin_Deva | 0.319 | hin_Deva | 0.319 | hin_Deva | 0.319 | hin_Deva |
| sin_Sinh | 0.546 | 0.520 | arb_Arab | 0.652 | hin_Deva | 0.652 | hin_Deva | 0.652 | hin_Deva | 0.652 | hin_Deva |
| slk_Latn | 0.820 | 0.820 | eng_Latn | 0.865 | rus_Cyrl | 0.820 | eng_Latn | 0.743 | hin_Deva | 0.865 | rus_Cyrl |
| slv_Latn | 0.743 | 0.743 | eng_Latn | 0.805 | rus_Cyrl | 0.743 | eng_Latn | 0.805 | rus_Cyrl | 0.805 | rus_Cyrl |
| swe_Latn | 0.891 | 0.891 | eng_Latn | 0.891 | eng_Latn | 0.891 | eng_Latn | 0.891 | eng_Latn | 0.891 | eng_Latn |
| tam_Taml | 0.733 | 0.586 | arb_Arab | 0.733 | eng_Latn | 0.771 | hin_Deva | 0.771 | hin_Deva | 0.771 | hin_Deva |
| tat_Cyrl | 0.675 | 0.692 | rus_Cyrl | 0.675 | eng_Latn | 0.692 | rus_Cyrl | 0.587 | arb_Arab | 0.692 | rus_Cyrl |
| tel_Telu | 0.791 | 0.653 | arb_Arab | 0.791 | eng_Latn | 0.781 | hin_Deva | 0.781 | hin_Deva | 0.781 | hin_Deva |
| tgl_Latn | 0.695 | 0.695 | eng_Latn | 0.695 | eng_Latn | 0.416 | cmn_Hani | 0.719 | spa_Latn | 0.719 | spa_Latn |
| tha_Thai | 0.502 | 0.499 | cmn_Hani | 0.502 | eng_Latn | 0.453 | hin_Deva | 0.502 | eng_Latn | 0.499 | cmn_Hani |
| tur_Latn | 0.671 | 0.671 | eng_Latn | 0.671 | eng_Latn | 0.522 | arb_Arab | 0.671 | rus_Cyrl | 0.697 | spa_Latn |
| uig_Arab | 0.660 | 0.536 | arb_Arab | 0.660 | eng_Latn | 0.670 | rus_Cyrl | 0.525 | cmn_Hani | 0.687 | hin_Deva |
| ukr_Cyrl | 0.821 | 0.918 | rus_Cyrl | 0.918 | rus_Cyrl | 0.821 | eng_Latn | 0.918 | rus_Cyrl | 0.918 | rus_Cyrl |
| urd_Arab | 0.589 | 0.580 | arb_Arab | 0.889 | hin_Deva | 0.889 | hin_Deva | 0.889 | hin_Deva | 0.889 | hin_Deva |
| vie_Latn | 0.648 | 0.648 | eng_Latn | 0.648 | eng_Latn | 0.442 | cmn_Hani | 0.648 | eng_Latn | 0.658 | rus_Cyrl |
| wol_Latn | 0.606 | 0.606 | eng_Latn | 0.606 | eng_Latn | 0.679 | spa_Latn | 0.606 | eng_Latn | 0.679 | spa_Latn |
| yor_Latn | 0.644 | 0.644 | eng_Latn | 0.644 | eng_Latn | 0.651 | spa_Latn | 0.658 | rus_Cyrl | 0.651 | spa_Latn |
| yue_Hani | 0.196 | 0.787 | cmn_Hani | 0.787 | cmn_Hani | 0.787 | cmn_Hani | 0.787 | cmn_Hani | 0.787 | cmn_Hani |

Table 17: Cross-Lingual Transfer Results of POS: The first column is the target language. For each language similarity measure, we report both the source language selected based on similarity and also the evaluation results on target language using the source language. For mPLM-Sim, we report the layer achieving best performance (layer 2).

|  | ENG | LEX |  | GEN |  | GEO |  | FEA |  | mPLM-Sim |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| afr_Latn | 0.732 | 0.732 | eng_Latn | 0.732 | eng_Latn | 0.589 | arb_Arab | 0.701 | rus_Cyrl | 0.732 | eng_Latn |
| als_Latn | 0.708 | 0.708 | eng_Latn | 0.721 | rus_Cyrl | 0.727 | spa_Latn | 0.727 | spa_Latn | 0.727 | spa_Latn |
| amh_Ethi | 0.557 | 0.470 | cmn_Hani | 0.532 | arb_Arab | 0.532 | arb_Arab | 0.611 | hin_Deva | 0.611 | hin_Deva |
| azj_Latn | 0.773 | 0.773 | eng_Latn | 0.773 | eng_Latn | 0.705 | arb_Arab | 0.793 | hin_Deva | 0.793 | hin_Deva |
| ben_Beng | 0.676 | 0.625 | arb_Arab | 0.768 | hin_Deva | 0.768 | hin_Deva | 0.768 | hin_Deva | 0.768 | hin_Deva |
| cat_Latn | 0.731 | 0.833 | spa_Latn | 0.833 | spa_Latn | 0.833 | spa_Latn | 0.731 | eng_Latn | 0.833 | spa_Latn |
| cym_Latn | 0.492 | 0.492 | eng_Latn | 0.495 | rus_Cyrl | 0.492 | eng_Latn | 0.433 | arb_Arab | 0.480 | spa_Latn |
| dan_Latn | 0.838 | 0.838 | eng_Latn | 0.838 | eng_Latn | 0.838 | eng_Latn | 0.720 | arb_Arab | 0.838 | eng_Latn |
| deu_Latn | 0.759 | 0.759 | eng_Latn | 0.759 | eng_Latn | 0.759 | eng_Latn | 0.759 | eng_Latn | 0.726 | spa_Latn |
| ell_Grek | 0.715 | 0.715 | eng_Latn | 0.729 | rus_Cyrl | 0.717 | spa_Latn | 0.729 | rus_Cyrl | 0.729 | rus_Cyrl |
| fin_Latn | 0.677 | 0.677 | eng_Latn | 0.677 | eng_Latn | 0.677 | eng_Latn | 0.701 | rus_Cyrl | 0.701 | rus_Cyrl |
| fra_Latn | 0.812 | 0.812 | eng_Latn | 0.816 | spa_Latn | 0.812 | eng_Latn | 0.812 | eng_Latn | 0.816 | spa_Latn |
| heb_Hebr | 0.697 | 0.576 | cmn_Hani | 0.691 | arb_Arab | 0.691 | arb_Arab | 0.714 | rus_Cyrl | 0.691 | arb_Arab |
| hun_Latn | 0.673 | 0.673 | eng_Latn | 0.673 | eng_Latn | 0.673 | eng_Latn | 0.698 | rus_Cyrl | 0.698 | rus_Cyrl |
| hye_Armn | 0.781 | 0.729 | arb_Arab | 0.781 | eng_Latn | 0.729 | arb_Arab | 0.780 | rus_Cyrl | 0.780 | rus_Cyrl |
| ind_Latn | 0.819 | 0.819 | eng_Latn | 0.819 | eng_Latn | 0.779 | hin_Deva | 0.819 | eng_Latn | 0.819 | eng_Latn |
| isl_Latn | 0.658 | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.664 | rus_Cyrl |
| ita_Latn | 0.772 | 0.817 | spa_Latn | 0.817 | spa_Latn | 0.817 | spa_Latn | 0.817 | spa_Latn | 0.817 | spa_Latn |
| jav_Latn | 0.507 | 0.507 | eng_Latn | 0.507 | eng_Latn | 0.416 | cmn_Hani | 0.504 | hin_Deva | 0.495 | spa_Latn |
| jpn_Jpan | 0.384 | 0.448 | cmn_Hani | 0.384 | eng_Latn | 0.448 | cmn_Hani | 0.363 | hin_Deva | 0.448 | cmn_Hani |
| kan_Knda | 0.682 | 0.628 | arb_Arab | 0.682 | eng_Latn | 0.729 | hin_Deva | 0.729 | hin_Deva | 0.729 | hin_Deva |
| kat_Geor | 0.618 | 0.605 | arb_Arab | 0.618 | eng_Latn | 0.605 | arb_Arab | 0.620 | hin_Deva | 0.620 | hin_Deva |
| khm_Khmr | 0.655 | 0.655 | eng_Latn | 0.655 | eng_Latn | 0.636 | hin_Deva | 0.655 | eng_Latn | 0.611 | arb_Arab |
| kor_Hang | 0.758 | 0.643 | cmn_Hani | 0.758 | eng_Latn | 0.643 | cmn_Hani | 0.643 | cmn_Hani | 0.768 | hin_Deva |
| lvs_Latn | 0.661 | 0.661 | eng_Latn | 0.661 | eng_Latn | 0.661 | eng_Latn | 0.651 | hin_Deva | 0.722 | rus_Cyrl |
| mal_Mlym | 0.717 | 0.678 | arb_Arab | 0.717 | eng_Latn | 0.764 | hin_Deva | 0.764 | hin_Deva | 0.764 | hin_Deva |
| mya_Mymr | 0.688 | 0.656 | arb_Arab | 0.616 | cmn_Hani | 0.707 | hin_Deva | 0.688 | eng_Latn | 0.707 | hin_Deva |
| nld_Latn | 0.813 | 0.813 | eng_Latn | 0.813 | eng_Latn | 0.813 | eng_Latn | 0.813 | eng_Latn | 0.813 | eng_Latn |
| nob_Latn | 0.847 | 0.847 | eng_Latn | 0.847 | eng_Latn | 0.847 | eng_Latn | 0.847 | eng_Latn | 0.847 | eng_Latn |
| pes_Arab | 0.831 | 0.780 | arb_Arab | 0.817 | hin_Deva | 0.780 | arb_Arab | 0.817 | hin_Deva | 0.817 | hin_Deva |
| pol_Latn | 0.768 | 0.768 | eng_Latn | 0.788 | rus_Cyrl | 0.768 | eng_Latn | 0.788 | rus_Cyrl | 0.788 | rus_Cyrl |
| por_Latn | 0.793 | 0.839 | spa_Latn | 0.839 | spa_Latn | 0.839 | spa_Latn | 0.793 | eng_Latn | 0.839 | spa_Latn |
| ron_Latn | 0.791 | 0.791 | eng_Latn | 0.814 | spa_Latn | 0.791 | eng_Latn | 0.790 | rus_Cyrl | 0.814 | spa_Latn |
| slv_Latn | 0.643 | 0.643 | eng_Latn | 0.720 | rus_Cyrl | 0.643 | eng_Latn | 0.720 | rus_Cyrl | 0.720 | rus_Cyrl |
| swe_Latn | 0.834 | 0.834 | eng_Latn | 0.834 | eng_Latn | 0.834 | eng_Latn | 0.834 | eng_Latn | 0.834 | eng_Latn |
| swh_Latn | 0.465 | 0.465 | eng_Latn | 0.465 | eng_Latn | 0.468 | arb_Arab | 0.499 | spa_Latn | 0.499 | spa_Latn |
| tam_Taml | 0.698 | 0.657 | arb_Arab | 0.698 | eng_Latn | 0.737 | hin_Deva | 0.737 | hin_Deva | 0.737 | hin_Deva |
| tel_Telu | 0.695 | 0.657 | arb_Arab | 0.695 | eng_Latn | 0.756 | hin_Deva | 0.756 | hin_Deva | 0.756 | hin_Deva |
| tgl_Latn | 0.752 | 0.752 | eng_Latn | 0.752 | eng_Latn | 0.648 | cmn_Hani | 0.723 | spa_Latn | 0.723 | spa_Latn |
| tha_Thai | 0.791 | 0.714 | cmn_Hani | 0.791 | eng_Latn | 0.752 | hin_Deva | 0.791 | eng_Latn | 0.714 | cmn_Hani |
| tur_Latn | 0.747 | 0.747 | eng_Latn | 0.747 | eng_Latn | 0.650 | arb_Arab | 0.731 | rus_Cyrl | 0.786 | hin_Deva |
| urd_Arab | 0.716 | 0.686 | arb_Arab | 0.806 | hin_Deva | 0.806 | hin_Deva | 0.806 | hin_Deva | 0.806 | hin_Deva |
| vie_Latn | 0.771 | 0.771 | eng_Latn | 0.771 | eng_Latn | 0.680 | cmn_Hani | 0.771 | eng_Latn | 0.771 | eng_Latn |
| zsm_Latn | 0.754 | 0.754 | eng_Latn | 0.754 | eng_Latn | 0.731 | hin_Deva | 0.754 | eng_Latn | 0.754 | eng_Latn |

Table 18: Cross-Lingual Transfer Result of MASSIVE: The first column is the target language. For each language similarity measure, we report both the source language selected based on similarity and also the evaluation results on target language using the source language. For mPLM-Sim, we report the layer achieving best performance (layer 8).

|  | ENG | LEX |  | GEN |  | GEO |  | FEA |  | mPLM-Sim |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ace_Latn | 0.624 | 0.624 | eng_Latn | 0.624 | eng_Latn | 0.726 | hin_Deva | 0.624 | eng_Latn | 0.654 | spa_Latn |
| afr_Latn | 0.600 | 0.600 | eng_Latn | 0.600 | eng_Latn | 0.455 | arb_Arab | 0.522 | rus_Cyrl | 0.604 | spa_Latn |
| aka_Latn | 0.518 | 0.518 | eng_Latn | 0.518 | eng_Latn | 0.471 | spa_Latn | 0.469 | hin_Deva | 0.471 | spa_Latn |
| als_Latn | 0.575 | 0.575 | eng_Latn | 0.557 | rus_Cyrl | 0.536 | spa_Latn | 0.557 | rus_Cyrl | 0.536 | spa_Latn |
| ary_Arab | 0.421 | 0.484 | arb_Arab | 0.484 | arb_Arab | 0.465 | spa_Latn | 0.421 | eng_Latn | 0.484 | arb_Arab |
| arz_Arab | 0.325 | 0.430 | arb_Arab | 0.430 | arb_Arab | 0.430 | arb_Arab | 0.325 | eng_Latn | 0.430 | arb_Arab |
| asm_Beng | 0.574 | 0.548 | arb_Arab | 0.600 | hin_Deva | 0.600 | hin_Deva | 0.600 | hin_Deva | 0.600 | hin_Deva |
| ayr_Latn | 0.694 | 0.694 | eng_Latn | 0.694 | eng_Latn | 0.645 | spa_Latn | 0.564 | cmn_Hani | 0.685 | hin_Deva |
| azb_Arab | 0.527 | 0.585 | arb_Arab | 0.527 | eng_Latn | 0.585 | arb_Arab | 0.639 | hin_Deva | 0.639 | hin_Deva |
| bak_Cyrl | 0.632 | 0.667 | rus_Cyrl | 0.632 | eng_Latn | 0.667 | rus_Cyrl | 0.635 | hin_Deva | 0.667 | rus_Cyrl |
| bam_Latn | 0.487 | 0.487 | eng_Latn | 0.487 | eng_Latn | 0.617 | spa_Latn | 0.531 | hin_Deva | 0.617 | spa_Latn |
| ban_Latn | 0.446 | 0.446 | eng_Latn | 0.446 | eng_Latn | 0.483 | cmn_Hani | 0.497 | hin_Deva | 0.489 | spa_Latn |
| bel_Cyrl | 0.622 | 0.571 | rus_Cyrl | 0.571 | rus_Cyrl | 0.622 | eng_Latn | 0.530 | arb_Arab | 0.571 | rus_Cyrl |
| bem_Latn | 0.418 | 0.418 | eng_Latn | 0.418 | eng_Latn | 0.477 | arb_Arab | 0.517 | spa_Latn | 0.517 | spa_Latn |
| ben_Beng | 0.667 | 0.568 | arb_Arab | 0.634 | hin_Deva | 0.634 | hin_Deva | 0.634 | hin_Deva | 0.634 | hin_Deva |
| bul_Cyrl | 0.612 | 0.618 | rus_Cyrl | 0.618 | rus_Cyrl | 0.574 | spa_Latn | 0.618 | rus_Cyrl | 0.618 | rus_Cyrl |
| cat_Latn | 0.496 | 0.614 | spa_Latn | 0.614 | spa_Latn | 0.614 | spa_Latn | 0.496 | eng_Latn | 0.614 | spa_Latn |
| ceb_Latn | 0.565 | 0.565 | eng_Latn | 0.565 | eng_Latn | 0.565 | cmn_Hani | 0.456 | spa_Latn | 0.456 | spa_Latn |
| ces_Latn | 0.620 | 0.620 | eng_Latn | 0.577 | rus_Cyrl | 0.620 | eng_Latn | 0.577 | rus_Cyrl | 0.577 | rus_Cyrl |
| ckb_Arab | 0.544 | 0.539 | arb_Arab | 0.622 | hin_Deva | 0.539 | arb_Arab | 0.589 | rus_Cyrl | 0.539 | arb_Arab |
| cym_Latn | 0.488 | 0.488 | eng_Latn | 0.435 | rus_Cyrl | 0.488 | eng_Latn | 0.469 | arb_Arab | 0.501 | spa_Latn |
| dan_Latn | 0.556 | 0.556 | eng_Latn | 0.556 | eng_Latn | 0.556 | eng_Latn | 0.401 | arb_Arab | 0.556 | eng_Latn |
| deu_Latn | 0.559 | 0.559 | eng_Latn | 0.559 | eng_Latn | 0.559 | eng_Latn | 0.559 | eng_Latn | 0.561 | spa_Latn |
| dyu_Latn | 0.520 | 0.520 | eng_Latn | 0.520 | eng_Latn | 0.587 | spa_Latn | 0.568 | hin_Deva | 0.587 | spa_Latn |
| dzo_Tibt | 0.495 | 0.612 | arb_Arab | 0.682 | cmn_Hani | 0.681 | hin_Deva | 0.681 | hin_Deva | 0.681 | hin_Deva |
| ell_Grek | 0.532 | 0.532 | eng_Latn | 0.547 | rus_Cyrl | 0.485 | spa_Latn | 0.547 | rus_Cyrl | 0.547 | rus_Cyrl |
| epo_Latn | 0.548 | 0.548 | eng_Latn | 0.548 | eng_Latn | 0.548 | eng_Latn | 0.511 | rus_Cyrl | 0.530 | spa_Latn |
| eus_Latn | 0.196 | 0.196 | eng_Latn | 0.196 | eng_Latn | 0.299 | spa_Latn | 0.268 | hin_Deva | 0.299 | spa_Latn |
| ewe_Latn | 0.480 | 0.480 | eng_Latn | 0.480 | eng_Latn | 0.589 | spa_Latn | 0.530 | hin_Deva | 0.589 | spa_Latn |
| fao_Latn | 0.658 | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.591 | arb_Arab | 0.526 | spa_Latn |
| fij_Latn | 0.512 | 0.512 | eng_Latn | 0.512 | eng_Latn | 0.525 | cmn_Hani | 0.576 | spa_Latn | 0.576 | spa_Latn |
| fin_Latn | 0.465 | 0.465 | eng_Latn | 0.465 | eng_Latn | 0.465 | eng_Latn | 0.518 | rus_Cyrl | 0.518 | rus_Cyrl |
| fon_Latn | 0.462 | 0.462 | eng_Latn | 0.462 | eng_Latn | 0.562 | spa_Latn | 0.462 | eng_Latn | 0.562 | spa_Latn |
| fra_Latn | 0.566 | 0.566 | eng_Latn | 0.627 | spa_Latn | 0.566 | eng_Latn | 0.566 | eng_Latn | 0.627 | spa_Latn |
| gla_Latn | 0.489 | 0.489 | eng_Latn | 0.476 | rus_Cyrl | 0.489 | eng_Latn | 0.464 | arb_Arab | 0.503 | spa_Latn |
| gle_Latn | 0.375 | 0.375 | eng_Latn | 0.387 | rus_Cyrl | 0.375 | eng_Latn | 0.502 | spa_Latn | 0.502 | spa_Latn |
| gug_Latn | 0.396 | 0.396 | eng_Latn | 0.396 | eng_Latn | 0.561 | spa_Latn | 0.561 | spa_Latn | 0.561 | spa_Latn |
| guj_Gujr | 0.717 | 0.646 | arb_Arab | 0.680 | hin_Deva | 0.680 | hin_Deva | 0.680 | hin_Deva | 0.680 | hin_Deva |
| hat_Latn | 0.571 | 0.571 | eng_Latn | 0.644 | spa_Latn | 0.571 | eng_Latn | 0.584 | arb_Arab | 0.644 | spa_Latn |
| hau_Latn | 0.486 | 0.486 | eng_Latn | 0.560 | arb_Arab | 0.550 | spa_Latn | 0.486 | eng_Latn | 0.550 | spa_Latn |
| heb_Hebr | 0.398 | 0.391 | cmn_Hani | 0.359 | arb_Arab | 0.359 | arb_Arab | 0.373 | rus_Cyrl | 0.359 | arb_Arab |
| hin_Deva | 0.705 | 0.618 | arb_Arab | 0.618 | arb_Arab | 0.618 | arb_Arab | 0.618 | arb_Arab | 0.618 | arb_Arab |
| hne_Deva | 0.708 | 0.711 | hin_Deva | 0.711 | hin_Deva | 0.711 | hin_Deva | 0.711 | hin_Deva | 0.711 | hin_Deva |
| hrv_Latn | 0.569 | 0.569 | eng_Latn | 0.680 | rus_Cyrl | 0.569 | eng_Latn | 0.680 | rus_Cyrl | 0.680 | rus_Cyrl |
| hun_Latn | 0.540 | 0.540 | eng_Latn | 0.540 | eng_Latn | 0.540 | eng_Latn | 0.609 | rus_Cyrl | 0.609 | rus_Cyrl |

Table 19: Cross-Lingual Transfer Results of Taxi1500 (Part 1): The first column is the target language. For each language similarity measure, we report both the source language selected based on similarity and also the evaluation results on target language using the source language. For mPLM-Sim, we report the layer achieving best performance (layer 4).

|  | ENG | LEX |  | GEN |  | GEO |  | FEA |  | mPLM-Sim |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hye_Armn | 0.650 | 0.678 | arb_Arab | 0.650 | eng_Latn | 0.678 | arb_Arab | 0.654 | rus_Cyrl | 0.654 | rus_Cyrl |
| ibo_Latn | 0.544 | 0.544 | eng_Latn | 0.544 | eng_Latn | 0.566 | spa_Latn | 0.544 | eng_Latn | 0.566 | spa_Latn |
| ilo_Latn | 0.511 | 0.511 | eng_Latn | 0.511 | eng_Latn | 0.463 | cmn_Hani | 0.511 | eng_Latn | 0.591 | spa_Latn |
| ind_Latn | 0.720 | 0.720 | eng_Latn | 0.720 | eng_Latn | 0.795 | hin_Deva | 0.720 | eng_Latn | 0.720 | eng_Latn |
| isl_Latn | 0.497 | 0.497 | eng_Latn | 0.497 | eng_Latn | 0.497 | eng_Latn | 0.497 | eng_Latn | 0.602 | spa_Latn |
| ita_Latn | 0.608 | 0.593 | spa_Latn | 0.593 | spa_Latn | 0.593 | spa_Latn | 0.593 | spa_Latn | 0.593 | spa_Latn |
| jav_Latn | 0.445 | 0.445 | eng_Latn | 0.445 | eng_Latn | 0.428 | cmn_Hani | 0.441 | hin_Deva | 0.516 | spa_Latn |
| kab_Latn | 0.259 | 0.259 | eng_Latn | 0.368 | arb_Arab | 0.396 | spa_Latn | 0.259 | eng_Latn | 0.396 | spa_Latn |
| kac_Latn | 0.451 | 0.451 | eng_Latn | 0.580 | cmn_Hani | 0.483 | hin_Deva | 0.580 | cmn_Hani | 0.483 | hin_Deva |
| kan_Knda | 0.673 | 0.637 | arb_Arab | 0.673 | eng_Latn | 0.640 | hin_Deva | 0.640 | hin_Deva | 0.640 | hin_Deva |
| kat_Geor | 0.558 | 0.464 | arb_Arab | 0.558 | eng_Latn | 0.464 | arb_Arab | 0.672 | hin_Deva | 0.672 | hin_Deva |
| kaz_Cyrl | 0.587 | 0.636 | rus_Cyrl | 0.587 | eng_Latn | 0.636 | rus_Cyrl | 0.629 | hin_Deva | 0.636 | rus_Cyrl |
| kbp_Latn | 0.357 | 0.357 | eng_Latn | 0.357 | eng_Latn | 0.361 | spa_Latn | 0.357 | eng_Latn | 0.378 | hin_Deva |
| khm_Khmr | 0.653 | 0.653 | eng_Latn | 0.653 | eng_Latn | 0.679 | hin_Deva | 0.653 | eng_Latn | 0.679 | hin_Deva |
| kik_Latn | 0.384 | 0.384 | eng_Latn | 0.384 | eng_Latn | 0.456 | arb_Arab | 0.555 | spa_Latn | 0.555 | spa_Latn |
| kin_Latn | 0.431 | 0.431 | eng_Latn | 0.431 | eng_Latn | 0.530 | arb_Arab | 0.431 | eng_Latn | 0.619 | spa_Latn |
| kir_Cyrl | 0.623 | 0.601 | rus_Cyrl | 0.623 | eng_Latn | 0.601 | rus_Cyrl | 0.750 | hin_Deva | 0.601 | rus_Cyrl |
| kng_Latn | 0.353 | 0.353 | eng_Latn | 0.353 | eng_Latn | 0.455 | arb_Arab | 0.455 | arb_Arab | 0.381 | spa_Latn |
| kor_Hang | 0.614 | 0.602 | cmn_Hani | 0.614 | eng_Latn | 0.602 | cmn_Hani | 0.602 | cmn_Hani | 0.686 | hin_Deva |
| lao_Laoo | 0.689 | 0.689 | eng_Latn | 0.689 | eng_Latn | 0.711 | cmn_Hani | 0.689 | eng_Latn | 0.711 | cmn_Hani |
| lin_Latn | 0.504 | 0.504 | eng_Latn | 0.504 | eng_Latn | 0.541 | arb_Arab | 0.504 | eng_Latn | 0.450 | spa_Latn |
| lit_Latn | 0.566 | 0.566 | eng_Latn | 0.594 | rus_Cyrl | 0.566 | eng_Latn | 0.594 | rus_Cyrl | 0.594 | rus_Cyrl |
| ltz_Latn | 0.546 | 0.546 | eng_Latn | 0.546 | eng_Latn | 0.546 | eng_Latn | 0.547 | spa_Latn | 0.547 | spa_Latn |
| lug_Latn | 0.474 | 0.474 | eng_Latn | 0.474 | eng_Latn | 0.564 | arb_Arab | 0.510 | spa_Latn | 0.510 | spa_Latn |
| luo_Latn | 0.394 | 0.394 | eng_Latn | 0.394 | eng_Latn | 0.435 | arb_Arab | 0.394 | eng_Latn | 0.427 | spa_Latn |
| mai_Deva | 0.698 | 0.724 | hin_Deva | 0.724 | hin_Deva | 0.724 | hin_Deva | 0.724 | hin_Deva | 0.724 | hin_Deva |
| mar_Deva | 0.720 | 0.665 | hin_Deva | 0.665 | hin_Deva | 0.665 | hin_Deva | 0.665 | hin_Deva | 0.665 | hin_Deva |
| min_Latn | 0.482 | 0.482 | eng_Latn | 0.482 | eng_Latn | 0.464 | hin_Deva | 0.482 | eng_Latn | 0.552 | spa_Latn |
| mkd_Cyrl | 0.701 | 0.648 | rus_Cyrl | 0.648 | rus_Cyrl | 0.629 | spa_Latn | 0.648 | rus_Cyrl | 0.648 | rus_Cyrl |
| mlt_Latn | 0.503 | 0.503 | eng_Latn | 0.519 | arb_Arab | 0.527 | spa_Latn | 0.556 | rus_Cyrl | 0.527 | spa_Latn |
| mos_Latn | 0.360 | 0.360 | eng_Latn | 0.360 | eng_Latn | 0.506 | spa_Latn | 0.360 | eng_Latn | 0.506 | spa_Latn |
| mri_Latn | 0.522 | 0.522 | eng_Latn | 0.522 | eng_Latn | 0.391 | cmn_Hani | 0.522 | eng_Latn | 0.484 | spa_Latn |
| mya_Mymr | 0.581 | 0.574 | arb_Arab | 0.537 | cmn_Hani | 0.674 | hin_Deva | 0.581 | eng_Latn | 0.674 | hin_Deva |
| nld_Latn | 0.713 | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.713 | eng_Latn | 0.628 | spa_Latn |
| nno_Latn | 0.704 | 0.704 | eng_Latn | 0.704 | eng_Latn | 0.704 | eng_Latn | 0.691 | hin_Deva | 0.704 | eng_Latn |
| nob_Latn | 0.656 | 0.656 | eng_Latn | 0.656 | eng_Latn | 0.656 | eng_Latn | 0.656 | eng_Latn | 0.656 | eng_Latn |
| npi_Deva | 0.694 | 0.712 | hin_Deva | 0.712 | hin_Deva | 0.694 | eng_Latn | 0.712 | hin_Deva | 0.712 | hin_Deva |
| nso_Latn | 0.514 | 0.514 | eng_Latn | 0.514 | eng_Latn | 0.519 | arb_Arab | 0.519 | arb_Arab | 0.564 | spa_Latn |
| nya_Latn | 0.560 | 0.560 | eng_Latn | 0.560 | eng_Latn | 0.584 | arb_Arab | 0.584 | arb_Arab | 0.624 | spa_Latn |
| ory_Orya | 0.698 | 0.635 | arb_Arab | 0.683 | hin_Deva | 0.698 | eng_Latn | 0.683 | hin_Deva | 0.683 | hin_Deva |
| pag_Latn | 0.618 | 0.618 | eng_Latn | 0.618 | eng_Latn | 0.572 | cmn_Hani | 0.610 | spa_Latn | 0.610 | spa_Latn |
| pan_Guru | 0.709 | 0.675 | hin_Deva | 0.675 | hin_Deva | 0.675 | hin_Deva | 0.675 | hin_Deva | 0.675 | hin_Deva |
| pap_Latn | 0.572 | 0.572 | eng_Latn | 0.538 | spa_Latn | 0.538 | spa_Latn | 0.607 | arb_Arab | 0.538 | spa_Latn |
| pes_Arab | 0.624 | 0.619 | arb_Arab | 0.668 | hin_Deva | 0.619 | arb_Arab | 0.668 | hin_Deva | 0.668 | hin_Deva |

Table 20: Cross-Lingual Transfer Results of Taxi1500 (Part 2): The first column is the target language. For each language similarity measure, we report both the source language selected based on similarity and also the evaluation results on target language using the source language. For mPLM-Sim, we report the layer achieving best performance (layer 4).

|  | ENG | LEX |  | GEN |  | GEO |  | FEA |  | mPLM-Sim |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| plt_Latn | 0.503 | 0.503 | eng_Latn | 0.503 | eng_Latn | 0.495 | arb_Arab | 0.627 | rus_Cyrl | 0.562 | spa_Latn |
| pol_Latn | 0.690 | 0.690 | eng_Latn | 0.690 | rus_Cyrl | 0.690 | eng_Latn | 0.690 | rus_Cyrl | 0.690 | rus_Cyrl |
| por_Latn | 0.615 | 0.605 | spa_Latn | 0.605 | spa_Latn | 0.605 | spa_Latn | 0.615 | eng_Latn | 0.605 | spa_Latn |
| prs_Arab | 0.677 | 0.653 | arb_Arab | 0.665 | hin_Deva | 0.665 | hin_Deva | 0.691 | cmn_Hani | 0.665 | hin_Deva |
| quy_Latn | 0.696 | 0.696 | eng_Latn | 0.696 | eng_Latn | 0.693 | spa_Latn | 0.718 | hin_Deva | 0.693 | spa_Latn |
| ron_Latn | 0.582 | 0.582 | eng_Latn | 0.617 | spa_Latn | 0.582 | eng_Latn | 0.589 | rus_Cyrl | 0.617 | spa_Latn |
| run_Latn | 0.470 | 0.470 | eng_Latn | 0.470 | eng_Latn | 0.508 | arb_Arab | 0.546 | hin_Deva | 0.504 | spa_Latn |
| sag_Latn | 0.476 | 0.476 | eng_Latn | 0.476 | eng_Latn | 0.491 | arb_Arab | 0.476 | eng_Latn | 0.442 | spa_Latn |
| sin_Sinh | 0.582 | 0.652 | arb_Arab | 0.663 | hin_Deva | 0.663 | hin_Deva | 0.663 | hin_Deva | 0.663 | hin_Deva |
| slk_Latn | 0.568 | 0.568 | eng_Latn | 0.592 | rus_Cyrl | 0.568 | eng_Latn | 0.635 | hin_Deva | 0.592 | rus_Cyrl |
| slv_Latn | 0.635 | 0.635 | eng_Latn | 0.718 | rus_Cyrl | 0.635 | eng_Latn | 0.718 | rus_Cyrl | 0.718 | rus_Cyrl |
| smo_Latn | 0.600 | 0.600 | eng_Latn | 0.600 | eng_Latn | 0.630 | cmn_Hani | 0.549 | arb_Arab | 0.625 | spa_Latn |
| sna_Latn | 0.443 | 0.443 | eng_Latn | 0.443 | eng_Latn | 0.444 | arb_Arab | 0.555 | spa_Latn | 0.555 | spa_Latn |
| snd_Arab | 0.694 | 0.621 | arb_Arab | 0.726 | hin_Deva | 0.726 | hin_Deva | 0.726 | hin_Deva | 0.726 | hin_Deva |
| som_Latn | 0.355 | 0.355 | eng_Latn | 0.454 | arb_Arab | 0.454 | arb_Arab | 0.424 | hin_Deva | 0.485 | spa_Latn |
| sot_Latn | 0.441 | 0.441 | eng_Latn | 0.441 | eng_Latn | 0.537 | arb_Arab | 0.537 | arb_Arab | 0.516 | spa_Latn |
| ssw_Latn | 0.437 | 0.437 | eng_Latn | 0.437 | eng_Latn | 0.424 | arb_Arab | 0.424 | arb_Arab | 0.497 | spa_Latn |
| sun_Latn | 0.493 | 0.493 | eng_Latn | 0.493 | eng_Latn | 0.548 | hin_Deva | 0.493 | eng_Latn | 0.514 | spa_Latn |
| swe_Latn | 0.665 | 0.665 | eng_Latn | 0.665 | eng_Latn | 0.665 | eng_Latn | 0.665 | eng_Latn | 0.665 | eng_Latn |
| swh_Latn | 0.642 | 0.642 | eng_Latn | 0.642 | eng_Latn | 0.558 | arb_Arab | 0.574 | spa_Latn | 0.574 | spa_Latn |
| tam_Taml | 0.684 | 0.643 | arb_Arab | 0.684 | eng_Latn | 0.695 | hin_Deva | 0.695 | hin_Deva | 0.695 | hin_Deva |
| tat_Cyrl | 0.670 | 0.664 | rus_Cyrl | 0.670 | eng_Latn | 0.664 | rus_Cyrl | 0.648 | arb_Arab | 0.664 | rus_Cyrl |
| tel_Telu | 0.557 | 0.594 | arb_Arab | 0.557 | eng_Latn | 0.684 | hin_Deva | 0.684 | hin_Deva | 0.684 | hin_Deva |
| tgk_Cyrl | 0.490 | 0.724 | rus_Cyrl | 0.493 | hin_Deva | 0.724 | rus_Cyrl | 0.426 | arb_Arab | 0.724 | rus_Cyrl |
| tgl_Latn | 0.628 | 0.628 | eng_Latn | 0.628 | eng_Latn | 0.563 | cmn_Hani | 0.567 | spa_Latn | 0.567 | spa_Latn |
| tha_Thai | 0.600 | 0.669 | cmn_Hani | 0.600 | eng_Latn | 0.651 | hin_Deva | 0.600 | eng_Latn | 0.669 | cmn_Hani |
| tir_Ethi | 0.487 | 0.497 | cmn_Hani | 0.531 | arb_Arab | 0.531 | arb_Arab | 0.601 | hin_Deva | 0.601 | hin_Deva |
| tpi_Latn | 0.621 | 0.621 | eng_Latn | 0.621 | eng_Latn | 0.579 | cmn_Hani | 0.621 | eng_Latn | 0.609 | spa_Latn |
| tsn_Latn | 0.397 | 0.397 | eng_Latn | 0.397 | eng_Latn | 0.447 | arb_Arab | 0.413 | cmn_Hani | 0.495 | spa_Latn |
| tuk_Latn | 0.537 | 0.537 | eng_Latn | 0.537 | eng_Latn | 0.649 | arb_Arab | 0.592 | cmn_Hani | 0.604 | hin_Deva |
| tum_Latn | 0.559 | 0.559 | eng_Latn | 0.559 | eng_Latn | 0.528 | arb_Arab | 0.642 | hin_Deva | 0.533 | spa_Latn |
| tur_Latn | 0.609 | 0.609 | eng_Latn | 0.609 | eng_Latn | 0.602 | arb_Arab | 0.615 | rus_Cyrl | 0.640 | hin_Deva |
| twi_Latn | 0.532 | 0.532 | eng_Latn | 0.532 | eng_Latn | 0.507 | spa_Latn | 0.532 | eng_Latn | 0.507 | spa_Latn |
| ukr_Cyrl | 0.506 | 0.558 | rus_Cyrl | 0.558 | rus_Cyrl | 0.506 | eng_Latn | 0.558 | rus_Cyrl | 0.558 | rus_Cyrl |
| vie_Latn | 0.642 | 0.642 | eng_Latn | 0.642 | eng_Latn | 0.656 | cmn_Hani | 0.642 | eng_Latn | 0.614 | rus_Cyrl |
| war_Latn | 0.449 | 0.449 | eng_Latn | 0.449 | eng_Latn | 0.472 | cmn_Hani | 0.472 | cmn_Hani | 0.505 | spa_Latn |
| wol_Latn | 0.396 | 0.396 | eng_Latn | 0.396 | eng_Latn | 0.400 | spa_Latn | 0.396 | eng_Latn | 0.400 | spa_Latn |
| xho_Latn | 0.486 | 0.486 | eng_Latn | 0.486 | eng_Latn | 0.507 | arb_Arab | 0.486 | eng_Latn | 0.422 | spa_Latn |
| yor_Latn | 0.542 | 0.542 | eng_Latn | 0.542 | eng_Latn | 0.556 | spa_Latn | 0.584 | rus_Cyrl | 0.556 | spa_Latn |
| yue_Hani | 0.577 | 0.718 | cmn_Hani | 0.718 | cmn_Hani | 0.718 | cmn_Hani | 0.718 | cmn_Hani | 0.718 | cmn_Hani |
| zsm_Latn | 0.658 | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.694 | hin_Deva | 0.658 | eng_Latn | 0.658 | eng_Latn |
| zul_Latn | 0.504 | 0.504 | eng_Latn | 0.504 | eng_Latn | 0.527 | arb_Arab | 0.526 | rus_Cyrl | 0.529 | spa_Latn |

Table 21: Cross-Lingual Transfer Results of Taxi1500 (Part 3). The first column is the target language. For each language similarity measure, we report both the source language selected based on similarity and also the evaluation results on target language using the source language. For mPLM-Sim, we report the layer achieving best performance (layer 4).


[^0]:    *Equal contribution.
    ${ }^{1}$ Our code is open-sourced at https://github.com/ cisnlp/mPLM-Sim.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Monolingual corpora covering multiple languages can be also used to measure language similarity. Our initial experiments (§B.1) show that parallel corpora yield better results while using fewer sentences than monolingual corpora. Therefore, we use parallel corpora for our investigation.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Mesoamerican_language_area
    ${ }^{4}$ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Austronesian_languages

[^3]:    5https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arabic_ diacritics

