

# Multi-Interactive-Modality based Modeling for Myopia Pro-Gression of Adolescent Student

Xiangyu Yan<sup>1,\*</sup>, Gongen Han<sup>1</sup>, Can Fang<sup>2</sup>, and Xuan Jing<sup>3</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>*Xi'an GAOXIN High School, Xi'an, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Southwest University, Chongqing, China*

<sup>3</sup>*NCS Pte Ltd, Singapore*

Correspondence\*:

Xiangyu Yan

jason.xyyan@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Myopia is a common visual disorder that affects millions of people worldwide and its prevalence has been increasing in recent years. Environmental factors, such as reading time, viewing distance, and ambient lighting, have been identified as potential factors in the development of myopia. In this study, we investigated the relationship between three major factors and myopia in 120 adolescents. By collecting environmental images of the adolescents in the learning state as well as retinal fundus images, we proposed an environmental visual load (EVL) model to extract the potential information in these images. Through experimental data analysis, we found that these three major factors are closely related to the severity of myopia, and that the simultaneous exacerbation of these factors sharply increases the myopia of the eye. Our results suggest that interventions targeting these environmental factors may help prevent and manage myopia.


**Keywords:** myopia, reading time, viewing distance, ambient lighting, and environmental visual load model

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Myopia, or nearsightedness, is a common refractive error that affects millions of people worldwide. In myopia, light entering the eye focuses in front of the retina instead of on it, causing distant objects to appear blurry. While myopia is generally correctable with glasses or contact lenses, high levels of myopia increase the risk of serious eye conditions such as retinal detachment, glaucoma, and cataracts (Grosvenor, 2007; Wang et al., 2023; Han et al., 2022).

The etiology of myopia is multifactorial, with both genetic and environmental factors playing a role. Studies have shown that environmental factors can significantly influence the development and progression of myopia (Öner et al., 2016). Among these environmental factors are reading duration, viewing distance, and ambient lighting, as shown in Figure 1.


One of the most consistent risk factors for myopia is prolonged near work, such as reading or computer use. This association has been observed in numerous studies across different populations and age groups. The exact mechanisms by which near work contributes to myopia are not fully understood, but it is thought to be related to the accommodative and convergence responses of the eye (Singh et al., 2019). The accommodative response is the process by which the eye adjusts the shape of its lens to focus on near objects. The convergence response is the process by which the eyes rotate inwards to maintain single



**Figure 1.** Environmental factors that cause myopia.

binocular vision (Huang et al., 2020). Therefore, the accommodative and convergence responses of the eyes are thought to play a role in the development of myopia due to prolonged near work activities.

The distance between the eyes and the object being viewed is another factor that has been linked to myopia. Studies have found that people who hold reading material closer to their eyes are more likely to be myopic than those who hold it further away (Pan et al., 2018). This relationship may also be related to the accommodative and convergence responses of the eye. The closer an object is to the eyes, the greater the demand on the accommodative and convergence systems (Li et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2002). This increased demand may cause the responses to become unbalanced, leading to axial elongation of the eye and the development of myopia. as shown in Fig. 2.



**Figure 2.** Example of accommodative and convergence reactions.

Ambient lighting, or the level of light in the environment, has also been implicated in the development and progression of myopia. Studies have found that people who spend more time in low light environments, such as dark classrooms or offices, are more likely to be myopic than those who spend more time in brightly lit environments. The exact mechanisms by which ambient lighting affects myopia are not fully understood,


but it is thought to be related to the size of the pupil and the amount of aberrations in the eye. In low light environments, the pupil may dilate to let in more light, which can increase the number of aberrations in the eye and contribute to the development of myopia (Li et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2012).

In summary, reading duration, viewing distance, and ambient lighting are all factors that have been implicated in the development and progression of myopia (Vienne et al., 2014; Matsuo and Ohtsuki, 1992; Borsting et al., 2003). However, explanations for most of these factors are separate, unsystematic, and lack mathematical modeling. In order to gain more insight into the relationship between myopia and these three factors, in this paper, we systematically model the association between myopia and the three factors and propose an environmental visual load (EVL) model. Specifically, we first collected environmental images of adolescents in the learning state as well as retinal fundus images. Second, we analyzed the values of several key variables affecting myopia in terms of reading time, viewing distance, and environmental lighting. Furthermore, based on these variables as well as the image data, we proposed three different models, namely the integrated dual-focus model, the expanded hyperbolic model, and the lighting model, to explore the mathematical relationships between these factors and myopia. In order to be able to describe these relationships more intuitively, we further unify these three models and proposed the final EVL model.

The experimental results showed that reading time, viewing distance, and environmental lighting are all important environmental factors that affect the development of myopia. Changes in these three major factors can lead to a tendency for myopia to develop in children's vision. Our proposed environmental visual load model can counteract this trend by multi-factor modeling.

## 2 METHODS

For the whole modeling process, as show in Figure 3, we start with the extraction of key attributes. We consider that when people work in close proximity for long periods of time, it leads to a break in the accommodative and convergence response of the eye, and it has been found that children who had a greater accommodative response than convergence response were more likely to develop myopia. Both are therefore included in the overall set of key attributes. As for the effect of ambient light on myopia, we analyzed that the pupil may dilate in low-light environments to let in more light, which may increase the aberration of the eye and lead to the development of myopia. Therefore, we included the amount of aberration and pupil size as key elements.



**Figure 3.** The flow of the whole system.

After obtaining some key variables about myopia, we next model them to establish the intrinsic connections that have responded to the trends in visual acuity in adolescents. The integrated dual-focus model (IDFM) is the first mathematical model we propose that considers the accommodation and convergence responses of the eye during near work. This model assumes that the eye has a resting point of accommodation (RPA) and a resting point of convergence (RPV), which are the positions of the eye's lens and eye muscles, respectively, when the eye is at rest.

The model predicts the resting point of accommodation of the eye,  $A$ , and the resting point of convergence,  $V$ , based on the viewing distance,  $d$ , and the refractive error of the eye,  $M$ . The equations for the resting point of accommodation and convergence are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{M}{1-d} \\ V &= \frac{M}{1+d} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The IDFM model suggests that when the demand on the accommodative and convergence systems is unbalanced, the eye will respond by elongating axially, which can lead to the development of myopia. Specifically, the model predicts that myopia will develop when the RPA is closer to the eye than the RPV, causing the accommodative response to be greater than the convergence response.

The expanded hyperbolic model (EHM) is another mathematical model we propose that considers the accommodative and convergence responses of the eye, as well as the effects of near work on axial elongation. This model assumes that the elongation of the eye is proportional to the resting point of accommodation,  $A$ , and inversely proportional to the resting point of convergence,  $V$ .

The model predicts the axial length of the eye,  $AL$ , based on the resting point of accommodation and convergence, the initial axial length of the eye,  $AL_0$ , and the duration of near work,  $t$ . The equation for the axial length of the eye is as follows:

$$AL = AL_0 + n \times t \times \frac{A}{V} \quad (2)$$

where  $n$  is a constant that depends on the individual and the type of near work being performed.

The EHM model suggests that myopia will develop when the demand on the accommodative and convergence systems is unbalanced, leading to increased axial elongation of the eye.

The lighting model is a mathematical model that considers the effects of ambient lighting on the size of the pupil and the number of aberrations in the eye. This model assumes that the number of aberrations in the eye,  $W$ , is proportional to the size of the pupil,  $P$ .

The model predicts the refractive error of the eye,  $M$ , based on the number of aberrations in the eye,  $W$ , the size of the pupil,  $P$ , and the level of ambient lighting,  $L$ . The equation for the refractive error of the eye is as follows:

$$M = M_0 + n \times \frac{(W - W_0) \times (P - P_0)}{L} \quad (3)$$

where  $M_0$  is the initial refractive error of the eye,  $P_0$  is the initial size of the pupil,  $W_0$  is the initial number of aberrations, and  $n$  is a constant that depends on the individual and the type of near work being performed.

The lighting model suggests that myopia will develop when the level of ambient lighting is low, leading to increased pupil size and aberrations in the eye.

The above three equations can model each variable affecting myopia. Next, we will unify the three models into an environmental visual load (EVL) model.

In the previous analysis, we have illustrated two of the three main environmental factors affecting visual acuity, namely reading duration, and viewing distance, both lead to an imbalance in the demands of the accommodative and convergence systems. Therefore, we believe that such an imbalance can be a direct response to the cause of myopia formation, and we also incorporated the effect of ambient light. The EVL model can be calculated as follows:

$$O = \frac{AR}{VR} \quad (4)$$

where  $AR$  denotes the accommodative response, and  $VR$  denotes the convergence response, and their values change according to the environment of the human eye, so we use the axial length of the eye,  $AL$ , and the viewing distance,  $d$ , to correspond to their changes. They are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} AR &= AL + M(1 - d) \\ VR &= AL + M(1 + d) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If  $O > 1 + \theta$  or  $O < 1 - \theta$ , we consider that there is an imbalance between the accommodative and convergence responses, resulting in a reduced accommodative response relative to the level of stimulus convergence. This means that the eyes have difficulty focusing on distant objects, leading to blurry vision. If  $1 - \theta \leq O \leq 1 + \theta$ , then we believe that there is a balance between the adaptive and convergence responses and that there is not yet a clear tendency to cause blurred vision. We set the value of  $\theta$  to 0.1

In order to be able to evaluate the above proposed model accurately, we divided the parameters involved in the model into two categories: computational quantities as well as statistical quantities, as shown in Table 1. The former is based on the calculation of the statistics, while the latter is the value obtained through experimental statistics in this paper. Therefore, in the subsequent experiments, we mainly focus on these statistics for the analysis.

### 3 EXPERIMENT

The experimental scenario is shown in Figure 4. The experimental environment was set up as two scenarios: the Environmental Awareness System (EAS) and the Eye Condition Awareness System (ECAS). The former

was mainly used to record data on the subject's environmental state, as well as the ambient light level to which the subject was exposed, and the duration of near work. The latter was used to photograph and measure the subject's eye condition and to obtain retinal fundus images, as well as to analyze parameters such as eye pupil size, refractive error of the eye, aberration size and viewing distance. As for the types of near work of the subjects being performed, they were divided into three types: reading, writing, and playing with cell phones, with corresponding values of 1, 1.5, and 2.





(a) environment awareness system (EAS)



(b) eye condition awareness system (ECAS)

**Figure 4.** Experimental Scenario.

We conducted a cross-sectional study of 120 adolescents between the ages of 8 and 16, with an average of 15 of each age. Each participant underwent a comprehensive eye examination, including a measurement of spherical equivalent refraction (SER), which is a standard measure of myopia. We also use the EAS system and ECAS system to collect information on the ocular status of each participant in the experimental setting and fill in the corresponding statistics, respectively, as shown in Figure 5 and Table 2. A sample of our experimental environment configuration and the retinal fundus photograph collected are shown in Figure 5.



**Figure 5.** Example of experiment. The image on the left is an environmental image, and the right is a retinal fundus photograph.

We divided the collected data into different age groups, with approximately 20 individuals in each age group. And we placed the adolescents of each age group in different experimental settings. Since they differ in their own eye status, they differ in the initialization values of the model. We set three different values for the initial level of ambient lighting  $L_0$ , namely 189, 527 and 892. Table 2 below shows the experimental

initialization values for different age groups of adolescents. The data in the table shows the initial axial length of the eye, the size of the pupil, the refractive error of the eye and the amount of aberration, all of which change with age. Axial length usually increases as the eye grows and develops, while the size of the pupil decreases with age, as has been verified in a number of studies (Bach et al., 2019; Kasthurirangan and Glasser, 2006).

The experimental data collected through the experimental system are shown in Table 3. We still divided the collected data into different age groups. And for the collected data, we divided them according to different types of experimental systems, e.g., the EAS system mainly collected the duration of near work  $t$  and the level of ambient lighting  $L$ , while the ECAS system mainly collected the size of the pupil  $P$ , viewing distance  $d$ , the refractive error of the eye  $M$  and the number of aberrations  $W$ .

After obtaining all the experimental data, we calculated and analyzed them in detail. In the 8-10 years old group, we mainly conducted experiments on the duration of near work. With the increase of work time, the accommodative and convergent responses were unbalanced, and the response was based on the  $O$  value, and the SER value showed a downward trend. This indicates that our EVL model can reflect the adverse effects of the duration of near work on visual acuity.

This phenomenon was also present in the ambient lighting and reading distance factors, which were manifested in the 10-12 and 12-14-year-old groups, respectively. The absolute value of the trend toward myopia gradually increased as ambient light became weaker and reading distance became shorter. And in the 14-16 years old group, we found that increasing the values of the three main factors simultaneously led to an increase in the output values of the final EVL model, which increased the imbalance between the adaptive and convergent responses. All these groups led to a decrease in the value of SER indicators with the change of factors. And the final 14-16 group showed the most significant changes, also indicating that simultaneous changes in reading time, ambient lighting and reading distance had the greatest effect on children's visual acuity.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

Our findings suggest that reading time, viewing distance, and environmental lighting are all important environmental factors that influence the development of myopia. The development of myopia. With these three main factors in mind, we analyzed the key ocular attributes that would be affected by these three factors. By introducing the EAS and ECAS devices, we were able to analyze these key attributes using the acquired environmental images as well as retinal fundus images. Based on this we proposed three different models: integrated dual-focus model, expanded hyperbolic model, and lighting model, which were combined into the final environmental visual load (EVL) model. The EVL model was used to reflect the trend of myopia.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Table 1.** Parameters of each model

	Name	Symbol
Computational quantities	resting point of accommodation	$A$
	resting point of convergence	$V$
	axial length of the eye	$AL$
	the accommodative response	$AR$
	the convergence response	$VR$
	the trend of myopia	$O$
Statistical quantities	the refractive error of the eye	$M(\text{diopter})$
	viewing distance	$d(m)$
	the size of the pupil	$P(mm)$
	type of near work being performed	$n$
	the duration of near work	$t(\text{min})$
	the number of aberrations	$W$
	the level of ambient lighting	$L(\text{lux})$

**Table 2.** Experimental Initialization Values

age	$AL_0$	$P_0$	$M_0$	$W_0$
8-10	20	6	55	48
10-12	20	5	61	52
12-14	22	3	68	51
14-16	23	5	52	47

**Table 3.** Experimental data for each age group

age	n	EAS			ECAS			EVL			SER
		t	L	P	M	d	W	AR	VR	O	
8-10	1	30	987	8	55.01	0.1	53	106.18	117.196	0.906	-0.65
8-10	1	120	987	8	55.01	0.1	53	216.175	245.63	0.88	-1.1
10-12	1.5	30	987	6	61.0	0.1	54	111.572	123.773	0.904	-0.83
10-12	1.5	30	207	6	61.0	0.1	54	154.32	179.44	0.86	-1.5
12-14	2	30	987	4	68.01	0.1	55	132.096	145.19	0.91	-1.2
12-14	2	30	987	4	68.01	0.05	55	130.818	150.365	0.87	-2.4
14-16	1	30	987	8	52.101	0.1	54	94.525	99.727	0.947	-1.1
14-16	1	60	207	8	52.101	0.1	54	205.127	250.154	0.82	-3.2

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XY contributed to conception and design of the study. GH organized the database. CF performed the statistical analysis. XJ wrote the first draft of the manuscript. XY wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

## REFERENCES

- Bach, A., Villegas, V. M., Gold, A. S., Shi, W., and Murray, T. G. (2019). Axial length development in children. *International journal of ophthalmology* 12, 815
- Borsting, E., Rouse, M. W., Deland, P. N., Hovett, S., Kimura, D., Park, M., et al. (2003). Association of symptoms and convergence and accommodative insufficiency in school-age children. *Optometry (St. Louis, Mo.)* 74, 25–34
- Grosvenor, T. P. (2007). *Primary care optometry* (Elsevier Health Sciences)



- Han, X., Liu, C., Chen, Y., and He, M. (2022). Myopia prediction: a systematic review. *Eye* 36, 921–929
- Huang, P.-C., Hsiao, Y.-C., Tsai, C.-Y., Tsai, D.-C., Chen, C.-W., Hsu, C.-C., et al. (2020). Protective behaviours of near work and time outdoors in myopia prevalence and progression in myopic children: a 2-year prospective population study. *British Journal of Ophthalmology* 104, 956–961
- Jiang, B.-c., Hung, G. K., and Ciuffreda, K. J. (2002). Models of vergence and accommodation-vergence interactions. *Models of the visual system*, 341–384
- Kasthurirangan, S. and Glasser, A. (2006). Age related changes in the characteristics of the near pupil response. *Vision Research* 46, 1393–1403. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2005.07.004>
- Li, B., Luo, X., Li, T., Zheng, C., Ji, S., Ma, Y., et al. (2016). Effects of constant flickering light on refractive status, 5-ht and 5-ht2a receptor in guinea pigs. *PloS one* 11, e0167902
- Li, S.-M., Li, S.-Y., Kang, M.-T., Zhou, Y., Liu, L.-R., Li, H., et al. (2015). Near work related parameters and myopia in chinese children: the anyang childhood eye study. *PloS one* 10, e0134514
- Matsuo, T. and Ohtsuki, H. (1992). Follow-up results of a combination of accommodation and convergence insufficiency in school-age children and adolescents. *Graefe's archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology* 230, 166–170
- Öner, V., Bulut, A., Oruç, Y., and Özgür, G. (2016). Influence of indoor and outdoor activities on progression of myopia during puberty. *International ophthalmology* 36, 121–125
- Pan, C.-W., Wu, R.-K., Liu, H., Li, J., and Zhong, H. (2018). Types of lamp for homework and myopia among chinese school-aged children. *Ophthalmic epidemiology* 25, 250–256
- Singh, N. K., James, R. M., Yadav, A., Kumar, R., Asthana, S., and Labani, S. (2019). Prevalence of myopia and associated risk factors in schoolchildren in north india. *Optometry and Vision Science* 96, 200–205
- Smith, E. L., Hung, L.-F., and Huang, J. (2012). Protective effects of high ambient lighting on the development of form-deprivation myopia in rhesus monkeys. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science* 53, 421–428
- Vienne, C., Sorin, L., Blondé, L., Huynh-Thu, Q., and Mamassian, P. (2014). Effect of the accommodation-vergence conflict on vergence eye movements. *Vision research* 100, 124–133
- Wang, G., Liu, Y.-F., Yang, Z., Yu, C.-X., Tong, Q., Tang, Y.-L., et al. (2023). Short-term acute bright light exposure induces a prolonged anxiogenic effect in mice via a retinal iprgc-cea circuit. *Science Advances* 9, eadf4651