

Classification of sleep stages from EEG, EOG and EMG signals by SSNet

Haifa Almutairi^{1*}, Ghulam Mubashar Hassan¹ and Amitava Datta¹

^{1*}Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering, he University of Western Australia, Australia.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s):
haifa.almutairi@research.uwa.edu.au;

Abstract

Classification of sleep stages plays an essential role in diagnosing sleep-related diseases including Sleep Disorder Breathing (SDB) disease. In this study, we propose an end-to-end deep learning architecture, named SSNet, which comprises of two deep learning networks based on Convolutional Neuron Networks (CNN) and Long Short Term Memory (LSTM). Both deep learning networks extract features from the combination of Electrooculogram (EOG), Electroencephalogram (EEG), and Electromyogram (EMG) signals, as each signal has distinct features that help in the classification of sleep stages. The features produced by the two-deep learning networks are concatenated to pass to the fully connected layer for the classification. The performance of our proposed model is evaluated by using two public datasets Sleep-EDF Expanded dataset and ISRUC-Sleep dataset. The accuracy and Kappa coefficient are 96.36% and 93.40% respectively, for classifying three classes of sleep stages using Sleep-EDF Expanded dataset. Whereas, the accuracy and Kappa coefficient are 96.57% and 83.05% respectively for five classes of sleep stages using Sleep-EDF Expanded dataset. Our model achieves the best performance in classifying sleep stages when compared with the state-of-the-art techniques.

Keywords: Sleep Disorder Breathing, EEG, EMG, EOG, Deep learning, Classification, Convolutional Neural Networks, Long Short Term Memory, Sleep stage.

1 Introduction

Sleep is a critical part of human life which helps to maintain good health and quality of life. When a person feels tired after a full night's sleep or fatigue during the day, this can be an indication that the person may be suffering from Sleep Disorders (SD) [1, 2]. Examples of SD diseases include Sleep Disordered Breathing (SDB) [3], Periodic Legs Movement (PLM) [4] and Insomnia [5]. A study by Peppard et al. [6] found that about 30% of the adult population in the United States of America have insomnia. Also, more than 50 million Americans are diagnosed with sleep disorders, and approximately 25 million Americans have SDB [7]. An early-stage diagnosis of SD can protect patients from severe diseases including cardiovascular problems, neurocognitive deficits, diabetes, stroke and recurrent heart attacks [3, 8].

Sleep is categorized into five sleep stages according to the guidelines of American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) [9], which are Wake (W) stage, Non-Rapid Eye Movement stage (NREM) which contains three stages (N1, N2 and N3) and Rapid Eye Movement stage (REM). Normally, people move from W stage to NREM stage followed by REM stage. Each sleep stage's electrical brain activity is recorded by sensors attached to different parts of the body. There are three different types of brain activities: alpha, theta and delta. W stage exhibits an alpha activity which appears in the occipital region. N1 stage is a shallow sleep that characterizes low alpha activity and the occurrence of theta activity [10]. The actual sleep starts in N2 stage and a unique waveform is produced which is called *sleep spindle* [11]. N3 stage is a deep sleep stage characterized by the occurrence of delta wave [12]. Lastly, REM stage is characterized by low-voltage and fast activity in theta waves [13]. Table 1 shows the Characteristic frequency of EEG signals for each sleep stage. The percentages of a normal cycle of sleep stages are: 50–60% of sleep time spent in the (N1, N2) light sleep stages, 15–20% of sleep time spent in the (N3) deep sleep stage, 20–25% of sleep time spent in REM sleep stage, and 5% or less of the sleep time spent in W sleep stage [14].

Table 1: Characteristic frequency ranges of EEG signals for each sleep stage

Sleep stage	Characteristic frequency
W	Alpha (8-12 Hz)
N1	Theta (4-8 Hz)
N2	Spindle (12-15 Hz)
N3	Delta (0.5-4 Hz)
REM	Alpha (8-12 Hz) Theta (4-8 Hz)

In a sleep laboratory, polysomnography (PSG) [15] is a standard clinical procedure used for classification of sleep stages. PSG device has multi-sensors to record physiological signals such as Electromyogram (EMG) [16], Electrocardiography (ECG) [17], Electroencephalogram (EEG) [18], and Electrooculogram (EOG) [19] signals. Sleep experts use manual analysis of physiological signals to classify sleep stages. The drawbacks of manual analysis include time-consuming process, the

possibility of human errors in diagnosis, and an inconvenient procedure for patients [20]. Therefore, an automatic procedure for the classification of sleep stages will help for diagnosing SD at hospitals.

Due to technologies showing improvement in health care system, machine learning models are developed to evaluate biomedical signals including EEG, ECG, EMG and EOG signals. For example, studies proposed models for different biomedical problems, such as detection of Parkinson's disease using EEG signals [21], detection of directions of eye movements using EOG signals [22], and detection of atrial fibrillation using ECG signals [23]. Few studies in the literature developed machine learning models for the sleep stage classification. Out of which some studies suggested to extract features from EEG signals and then classifying them using machine learning. They classified 30-second segments into three sleep stages: W, NREM and REM, and five sleep stages: W, N1, N2, N3 and REM. For instance, Hassan et al. [24] proposed Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) method and a random under-sampling boosting (RUSBoost) classifier. The segments' classification accuracy was 94.23% in the three sleep stage classification and 83.49% in the five sleep stage classification. Another study by Hassan et al. [25] proposed Complete Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (CEEMD) and Bootstrap Aggregating (Bagging) classifier. The classification accuracy on all segments for the three sleep stage classification was 94.10%, and for the five sleep stage classification was 90.96%. Zhu et al. [26] proposed a graph domain method and a Support vector machine (SVM) to classify the segments into the three and five sleep stages. The accuracy of their model on the classification of the three sleep stages was 92.60%, and for the five sleep stages was 88.90%. Sharma et al. [27] proposed a wavelet filter method and SVM classifier. The segment classification accuracy for the three sleep stages was 93.50%, and for the five sleep stages was 91.5%. Satapathy et al. [28] proposed a model that used statistical features such as mean, variance and skewness. They used a random forest classifier to classify 30-second segments of EEG signals into the five sleep stages and the accuracy was found to be 92.79%. A study by Rahman et al. [29] proposed a model that used a discrete wavelet transform method and SVM classifier. The segment classification accuracy for the five sleep stages was found to be 91.70%.

Recently, researchers proposed Deep Learning (DL) techniques based on Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) for sleep stage classification. CNN architecture has been very successful in classification [30, 31], object recognition [32] and image segmentation [33] problems. Several studies proposed different CNN models to classify 30-second segments into the three and five sleep stages. For instance, Yildirim et al. [34] proposed a CNN model to extract features from EEG and EOG segments without applying any feature engineering methods. They used 10 layers of 1D-CNN and a fully connected layer. Their model achieved an accuracy of 94.24% in the three sleep stage classification and 90.98% in the five sleep stage classification. Nguyen et al. [35] proposed CNN model for the five sleep stage classification. Their architecture contains three layers of 1D-CNN, in which the first 1D-CNN is followed by max-pooling and dropout layers, the second 1D-CNN layer is followed by max-pooling

Classification of sleep stages

and batch normalization, and the last 1D-CNN is followed by max-pooling and two fully connected layers. Their model achieved an accuracy of 87.67% in the five sleep stage classification. Similarly, Zhu et al. [36] proposed a deep learning model based on 1D-CNN and attention mechanism. The segment classification accuracy for the five sleep stages was reported to be 82.80%.

The existing studies mentioned above share some limitations. Firstly, they involve feature extracting methods which are complicated, time-consuming and computationally complex processes [37]. Secondly, most of the existing works are based on a single channel of EEG signals. We found that some other behaviours such as muscle and eye movements, which record from EMG and EOG signals can also affect sleep irregularities [38]. We solved these limitations by using a combination of EEG, EMG and EOG signals, which provide distinct features that help us to improve the results.

EOG and EMG signals contribute valuable additional sources with EEG signals in the classification of sleep stages. Muscular activities and eye movements appear in EMG and EOG signals during sleep stages. EMG signals show that muscular activities are reduced during NREM sleep stage, whereas muscular activities are lost completely during REM stage. On the other hand, EOG signals show bilateral eye movements during REM stage [38]. These features from EMG and EOG signals can distinguish between NREM and REM sleep stages. Few studies focused on the classification of 30-second segments of sleep stages based on a combination of EEG, EMG and EOG signals. For instance, Cui et al. [39] proposed a CNN model to extract features from EEG, EMG and EOG segments without applying any feature engineering methods. They used two layers of 2D-CNN followed by max-pooling and the last layer is fully connected. Their model achieved an accuracy of 92% on a subject wise test set for the five sleep stage classification. Phan et al. [40] proposed a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) method and 2D-CNN model for five sleep stage classification. Their model achieved an accuracy of 83.6% on a subject wise test set.

In this paper, we propose an efficient automatic deep learning model for the classification of the three and five sleep stages. Our proposed model is an end-to-end deep learning model called *SleepStageNet (SSNet)* to classify 30-second segments of the combination of EEG, EMG and EOG signals. Our proposed architecture contains two deep learning networks. The first deep learning network includes a 1D-CNN network to extract time-invariant features from the raw signals. The second deep learning network includes a Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) to extract temporal features from a sequence of the raw signal. A fully connected layer classifies the combined features extracted from both the deep learning networks. SSNet can be used for the automatic classification of sleep stages at hospitals. It can assist physician experts in analysing PSG signals rather than using manual methods.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 includes data preparation which involves describing two datasets and data distribution. Section 3 describes the proposed SSNet. Section 4 presents the results while Section 5 presents discussion. The conclusion is presented at the end.

2 Data Preparation

This section describes two public datasets: ISRUC-Sleep dataset and Sleep-EDF Expanded dataset, and data distribution used in the experiments of this study.

2.1 ISRUC-Sleep Dataset

This dataset is collected by Sleep Medicine Centre of Hospital of Coimbra University (CHUC) [9]. The total number of PSG recordings is 116 with 11 channels. Each recording includes the following channels:

- Six EEG channels with references A1 and A2 (C3-A2), (C4-A1), (F3-A2), (O1-A2), (O2-A1), and (F4-A1) which are placed on the both sides of earlobes.
- Two EOG channels (LOC-A2) and (ROC-A1) which are placed on the left and right eye movements.
- Chine EMG channel (X1) which are placed between the chin and the lower lip.
- One channel of ECG signals (X2)
- Two EMG channels (X3 and X4) which are placed on the left and right leg movement.

Each recording was sampled at 200 Hz, and the duration of the recording was around 8 hours. Sleep physicians segmented the recordings into 30-second segments and labelled them according to American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) rules. Each segment was labelled with one of the five sleep stages: W, N1, N2, N3 and REM.

ISRUC-Sleep dataset is divided into three subgroups depending on the health status:

- **Subgroup 1:** the data is recorded from 100 subjects having sleep disorder disease. Each recording belongs to one subject.
- **Subgroup 2:** the data is recorded from 8 subjects who were under treatment. Two recording sessions are provided per subject.
- **Subgroup 3:** the data is recorded from 10 healthy subjects. Each recording belongs to one subject.

2.2 Sleep-EDF Expanded Dataset

Sleep-EDF Expanded dataset is an extended version of Sleep-EDF dataset [41], which was published publicly on the PhysioBank website in 2013. The total number of PSG recordings is 197. Each recording contains two channels of EEG signals (Fpz-Cz and Pz-Oz electrode locations), one channel of EOG signals (horizontal), and one channel of chin EMG signals. The labels of 30-second segments of each recording are done manually by sleep experts based on AASM guidelines. Each segment is labelled with

Classification of sleep stages

one of five sleep stages: W, N1, N2, N3, and REM and the sampling rate of each recording is 100 Hz. The dataset is divided into two subgroups [42]:

- **Sleep Cassette subgroup (SC*):** it contains 153 recordings. Each two recordings set belongs to one healthy subject. The duration of the two recordings is around 20 hours.
- **Sleep Telemetry subgroup (ST*):** it contains 44 recordings. The duration of each recording is around 9 hours. Each recording belongs to one subject who has mild difficulty in falling asleep.

2.3 Data Distribution

We used five channels of EEG, EOG and EMG signals (O1-A2, C3-A2, C4-A1, X1 and LOC-A2) of ISRUC-Sleep dataset as per recommendation of Cui et al. [39] who suggested that the CNN can extract features from the combination of EEG, EOG and EMG signals to classify the five sleep stages. Each segment has 6000 sampling points and each recording is labelled with a final diagnosis as SDB, Epilepsy [43], Parasomnia [44], or other sleep-related disorders. In this study, we selected the recordings that have the final diagnosis of SDB. In Sleep-EDF Expanded dataset, we selected 73 recordings from the (SC*) subgroup and 42 recordings from the (ST*) subgroup randomly. This restricted selection was due to the computational resources limitation including GPU and size of RAM. Each segment has 3000 sampling points. We used all the four available channels of EEG, EOG and EMG signals (Fpz-Cz, Pz-Oz, EOG horizontal and chin EMG).

Table 2 shows the details of the selected segments of the two datasets. We randomly selected 25,449 out of 55,824 NREM segments of ISRUC-Sleep dataset and 25,201 out of 77,158 NREM segments of Sleep-EDF Expanded datasets. The reason for decreasing the segments is to prevent overfitting and resolve class imbalance during the classification stages.

It is worth mentioning that, we did not use any methods for filtering or noise removal. We only normalised the segments in the two datasets by Z-score as presented in Eq. (1) [45].

$$z_{score} = \frac{(S - E_S)}{\alpha_S} \quad (1)$$

where S is the segment, E is the mean of the segment and α represents the standard deviation of the segment.

SSNet was trained and tested on a system having an Intel (R) Core (TM) 3.6 GHz (i7-7700) processor and 8 GB RAM. We used Python 3.7 version with Keras and Scikit-learn libraries. We used Adam optimization rate of 0.002, cross-entropy loss functions and a batch size of 128. We evaluated the performance of the proposed SSNet with the two datasets. We selected segments randomly for each set: training set has 70%, validation set has 15%, and testing set has 15% of the datasets.

Table 2: Distribution of the selected segments of SleepEDFX and ISRUC-Sleep datasets.

Number of segments	Dataset	W	N1	N2	N3	R	Total
Total segments	SleepEDFX	25,201	10,420	52,502	14,236	21,602	123,962
Selected segments	SleepEDFX	25,201	3,207	16,748	5,246	21,602	72,000
Total segments	ISRUC-sleep	19,810	11,101	27,398	17,325	11,256	86,993
Selected segments	ISRUC-sleep	19,810	4,935	12,668	7,846	11,256	56,515

3 The proposed SSNet

Our proposed architecture consists of two main deep learning networks as shown in Figure 1. The combination of EEG, EMG, and EOG signals is fed into the first and second deep learning networks. The first deep learning network is based on a CNN model, while the second deep learning network is based on the LSTM model. CNN model learns filters to extract time-invariant features from the raw signals while LSTM model learns long term dependencies from the input sequences of the previous sleep stage segments. For the first and second deep learning networks, we set the sizes of CNN and LSTM to be small to select only the important features from the raw signals. The selected features produced from the first and second deep learning networks are concatenated and fed to a classifier which is a fully connected layer to predict the final results. Our architecture is designed for classifying combination of 30-second EEG, EMG and EOG segments following the standard of AASM. Table 3 lists the parameters of the two-deep learning networks and the classifier of SSNet.

3.1 First deep learning network

We employ multi 1D-CNN layers with small filters size to extract time-invariant features from the raw signals such as specific signal patterns. The first deep learning network consists of five 1D-CNN, max-pooling and dropout layers. Each 1D-CNN layer contains *Kernels* filters, which are used to extract features from the raw signal in the form of a feature map [46]. The CNN layer is presented in Eq. (2)[8]:

$$CNN = W_k^l x_{i,j}^l + b_k^l \quad (2)$$

where W is the weight vector, b is bias, and x is the raw signals. While l is the layer, and (i, j) is the location of the feature value in the k th feature map.

The feature maps are produced by convolving the inputs with filters and ReLU which is represented in Eq. (3)[8]:

$$ReLU = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x < 0 \\ x, & \text{for } x \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where x is the raw signal.

Each 1D-CNN layer is followed by 1D-max pooling to reduce feature size and the computational cost of the architecture. Then, we add a dropout layer to prevent

Classification of sleep stages




Fig. 1: The detailed architecture of the proposed SSNet consists of two main deep learning networks using Sleep-EDFX dataset size. The first deep learning network is based on 1D-CNN layers with a number of feature maps are 64,32,20,16,10, respectively. Max-pooling layers with size 3 are added after each 1D-CNN layer. The second deep learning network is based on LSTM layers with sizes 64 and 20, respectively. The classifier at the end concatenates the extracted features and predicts the final outputs by using a fully connected layer with softmax.

overfitting during the training. We repeat the same order of previous layers (1D-CNN, max-pooling and dropout) four times with different parameters as presented in Table 3. After that, we add a flatten layer to convert the features produced from the last 1D-max-pooling layer to a single long feature vector. The total number of features produced from the first deep learning network is 120.

3.2 Second deep learning network

We apply two layers of LSTM networks to capture temporal features from previous input sequences such as sleep scoring rules [47]. For instance, sleep experts determine segments as W stage when alpha activity appears in the occipital region with more than 50% of the segment. In this case, LSTM network can learn long term dependencies from the previous sleep stages segments to remember that it has seen W stage, and score segments as W stage if it still detects characteristics of W stage.

LSTM network can learn long term dependencies through three gate layers: the Input gate layer, Forget gate layer and Output gate layer. The mathematical representation of Forget gate layer f_t is presented in Eq.(4), which uses a sigmoid layer to exclude some information from the cell state C_t . The Input gate layer i_t decides to store new information in the C_t by two steps. The first step, as presented in Eq (5), is a sigmoid layer determining which values will be updated. Second step, as presented in Eq (6), which is a \tanh layer creating a new candidate values \tilde{C}_t that will be added to the C_t . Then, the previous two steps will update the old C_{t-1} as presented in Eq. (7). The Output gate layer h_t produces the output from two steps: first step, a sigmoid

Table 3: The detailed feature map of all layers of SSNet.

Deep learning network	Layer name	feature map	Kernal size	Stride	Activation
First	1D-CNN	64	5	Same	ReLU
	1D-Maxpooling	3			
	Dropout	0.02			
	1D-CNN	32	3	Same	ReLU
	1D-Maxpooling	3			
	Dropout	0.02			
	1D-CNN	20	2	Same	ReLU
	1D-Maxpooling	3			
	Dropout	0.02			
	1D-CNN	16	8	Same	ReLU
	1D-Maxpooling	3			
	Dropout	0.02			
	1D-CNN	10	3	Same	ReLU
	1D-Maxpooling	3			
	Dropout	0.02			
	Flatten				
Second	LSTM	64			
	Recurrent dropout	0.02			
	BatchNormalization				
	LSTM	20			
	Recurrent dropout	0.02			
Classifier	Concatenated				
	Fully connected	3,5			Softmax

layer o_t is used to filter the information in the C_t as presented in Eq. (8), second step, a \tanh layer is used to normalize the values in the C_t between 1 and -1 and multiply the result from o_t with a \tanh layer as presented in Eq. (9).

$$f_t = \sigma(W.[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b) \quad (4)$$

$$i_t = \sigma(W.[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b) \quad (5)$$

$$C_t^{\sim} = \tanh(W.[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b) \quad (6)$$

$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * C_t^{\sim} \quad (7)$$

$$o_t = \sigma(W.[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b) \quad (8)$$

$$h_t = o_t * \tanh(C_t) \quad (9)$$

where h_{t-1} is the hidden units and x_t is the input feature at the time t , while W is the weight of the inputs and b is the bias. σ is the non-linear hyperbolic function.

The first LSTM network is followed by batch-normalization layer to speed up the training phase. The size of the two LSTM networks are 64 and 20, respectively. The total number of features produced from the second deep learning network is 20.

Classification of sleep stages

3.3 Classifier

We concatenate all the selected features extracted by the first and second deep learning networks, resulting in 140 features. From this step, our model enables to classify the combination of time-invariant features extracted from the CNN and the temporal features learned from the previous input sequences in LSTM networks. We add a fully connected layer with a softmax to predict the final classification results. We train our model to classify the segments into three classes: W, NREM, and REM. Then, we repeat the experiment to classify the segments into five classes: W, N1, N2, N3, and REM.

4 Performance Metrics

We evaluated the performance of SSNet using machine learning metrics such as Sensitivity (SE) or Recall, Accuracy (ACC), F1 score, Specificity (SP) and Kappa coefficient. Kappa coefficient is an appropriate performance metric for assessing classification performance on an imbalanced dataset [48]. These metrics are calculated as:

$$SE = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (10)$$

$$SP = \frac{TN}{TN + FP} \quad (11)$$

$$ACC = \frac{TN + TP}{N} \quad (12)$$

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (13)$$

$$F1 = \frac{2(SE \times Precision)}{SE + Precision} \quad (14)$$

$$Kappa = \frac{2(TN \times TP - FP \times FN)}{(TN + FN) \times (FN + TP) + (FP + TP) \times (TN + FP)} \quad (15)$$

where TP refers to True Positive segments, TN refers to True Negative segments, FP refers to False Positives segments, and N refers to the total number of segments.

5 Results

We conduct experiments using Sleep EDFX dataset for three sleep stage classification to find the best input sources. We train our proposed model with single-channel of EEG: FPz-Cz, single-channel of EEG: Pz-Oz, single-channel EMG, single-channel EOG, a combination of the two channels of EEG signal and single-channel EOG, and a combination of the two channels of EEG signal and single-channel EMG. The detailed results of the performance of the proposed SSNet with single-channels of EEG, EMG and EOG signals, and the combination of signals of EEG+EOG, and EEG+EMG for the three sleep stage classification using Sleep-EDFX dataset are presented in Table 4. It can be observed that the highest results of accuracy and kappa are obtained with the combination of two channels of EEG: FPz-Cz and Pz-Oz, and

Table 4: The classification results of single-channel and combination signals for each class and average using SSNet for three sleep stage classification.

Sleep-EDF Expanded Dataset												
EEG(FPz-Cz)			EEG(Pz-Oz)		EMG		EOG		2EE+EMG		2EEG+EOG	
Class	ACC	Kappa	ACC	Kappa	ACC	Kappa	ACC	Kappa	ACC	Kappa	ACC	Kappa
W	95.93	90.94	95.00	89.02	87.58	71.54	94.30	85.67	96.89	93.13	97.08	93.54
NREM	94.36	87.60	92.63	83.62	87.56	71.88	93.84	87.04	95.21	89.56	95.32	89.75
R	92.41	82.33	90.66	78.09	82.24	61.43	92.04	82.23	94.29	86.41	94.54	87.08
Average	<i>94.24</i>	<i>86.96</i>	<i>92.76</i>	<i>83.58</i>	<i>85.79</i>	<i>68.28</i>	<i>93.39</i>	<i>84.98</i>	<i>95.46</i>	<i>89.70</i>	<i>95.65</i>	<i>90.12</i>

Bold represents the best results. ACC = Accuracy, Kappa= Kappa coefficient.

EMG signals, and with the same two channels of EEG and EOG signals. The average accuracy and Kappa are 95.46% and 89.70% respectively with the combination of two channels of EEG and EMG signals. Similarly, the average accuracy and Kappa are 95.65%, and 90.12% respectively for the combination of two channels of EEG and EOG signals.

From the observation of results presented in Table 4, we select the two channels of EEG with EMG and EOG signals as input sources. We train our model on both the datasets: ISRUC-Sleep and Sleep-EDFX. The detailed results of the performance of the proposed SSNet for the three sleep stage classification with the combination of EEG, EMG and EOG signals are presented in Table 5 and the confusion matrix is presented in Figure 2. The average accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, F1 score and Kappa using ISRUC-Sleep dataset are 94.90%, 92.00%, 96.02%, 91.90% and 90.34%, respectively while, the average accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, F1 score, and Kappa using Sleep-EDFX dataset are 96.36%, 94.53%, 97.28%, 94.49%, and 93.40%, respectively. Kappa results of the proposed model with ISRUC-Sleep dataset range from 87.98% to 98.88%, while kappa results with Sleep-EDFX dataset range from 92.08% to 95.15%.

The detailed results of the performance of the proposed SSNet for the five sleep stage classification are presented in Table 6 and confusion matrix is shown in Figure 3. The average accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, F1 score and Kappa using ISRUC-Sleep dataset are found to be 93.69%, 79.51%, 96.10%, 79.05%, and 77.31% respectively. For Sleep-EDFX dataset, the average accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, F1 score, and Kappa are found to be 96.57%, 82.81%, 97.89%, 84%, and 83.05%, respectively. It can be seen that the lowest results of Kappa are obtained for N1 class with 48.24% on ISRUC-Sleep dataset and 58.77% on Sleep-EDFX dataset, while Kappa results for other classes are significantly better with the range from 73.55% to 95.15%.

6 Discussion

We compare the results obtained by our proposed model with the most relevant state-of-the-art models, which address the three and five sleep stage classifications. We include all the state-of-the-art studies that use a splitting strategy of the datasets in which the segments from the same patient recording are split into training and

Classification of sleep stages




Fig. 2: Confusion matrix of the three sleep stage classification using: (a) Sleep-EDFX dataset and (b) ISRUC-sleep dataset




Fig. 3: Confusion matrix of the five sleep stage classification using: (a) Sleep-EDFX dataset and (b) ISRUC-sleep dataset

Table 5: The classification results for each class and average using SSNet for three sleep stage classification.

Class	ISRUC-Sleep Dataset					Sleep-EDF Expanded Dataset				
	ACC	SE	SP	F1	Kappa	ACC	SE	SP	F1	Kappa
W	93.00	90.91	94.72	92.13	98.88	97.22	95.70	98.03	95.99	95.15
NREM	95.98	95.66	96.16	94.38	93.16	95.98	93.53	97.29	94.19	92.97
R	95.71	89.71	97.18	89.17	87.98	95.88	94.37	96.54	93.30	92.08
Average	94.90	92.00	96.02	91.90	90.34	96.36	94.53	97.28	94.49	93.40

Bold represents the best results. ACC = Accuracy, SE = Sensitivity, SP = Specificity, Kappa= Kappa coefficient.

Classification of sleep stages

Table 6: The classification results for each class and average using SSNet for five sleep stage classification.

Class	ISRUC-Sleep Dataset					Sleep-EDF Expanded Dataset				
	ACC	SE	SP	F1	Kappa	ACC	SE	SP	F1	Kappa
W	95.80	91.30	98.08	93.61	92.35	97.25	94.32	98.81	95.98	95.15
N1	91.92	47.65	96.08	50.34	48.24	96.80	55.95	98.60	59.58	58.77
N2	89.55	74.74	93.91	76.49	73.55	94.48	90.27	95.75	88.31	86.75
N3	96.18	89.39	97.29	86.55	85.52	97.59	77.98	99.19	83.00	82.44
R	95.01	94.47	95.15	88.26	86.87	96.73	95.52	97.09	93.05	92.21
<i>Average</i>	<i>93.69</i>	<i>79.51</i>	<i>96.10</i>	<i>79.05</i>	<i>77.31</i>	<i>96.57</i>	<i>82.81</i>	<i>97.89</i>	<i>84.00</i>	<i>83.05</i>

Bold represents the best results. ACC = Accuracy, SE = Sensitivity, SP = Specificity, Kappa= Kappa coefficient.

Table 7: The performance of proposed model and the state-of-the-art models for three sleep stages classification using Sleep-EDF and Sleep-EDFX datasets.

Authors	Dataset	Method	Number of segments	Signals	Accuracy	Kappa
Hassan et al. [24]	Sleep-EDF	EEMD+RUSBoost	15,188	1- EEG	94.23	84.70
Hassan et al. [25]	Sleep-EDF	CEEMDAN+ Bagging	15,188	1- EEG	94.10	93.00
Zhu et al. [26]	Sleep-EDF	HVG+SVM	14,963	1- EEG	92.60	87.00
Sharma et al. [27]	Sleep-EDF	Wavelet filter+SVM	85,900	1- EEG	92.10	56.80
Yildirim et al. [34]	Sleep-EDF	1D-CNN	15,188	1-EEG 1-EOG	94.20	-
Yildirim et al. [34]	Sleep-EDFX	1D-CNN	127,512	1-EEG 1-EOG	94.23	-
Proposed model	Sleep-EDFX	SSNet	72,000	2-EEG 1-EOG 1-EMG	96.36	93.40

Bold represents the best results.

Table 8: The performance of our proposed model and the state-of-the-art models for five sleep stages classification using Sleep-EDF and Sleep-EDFX datasets.

Authors	Dataset	Method	Number of segments	Signals	Accuracy	Kappa
Hassan et al.[24]	Sleep-EDF	EEMD+RUSBoost	15,188	1- EEG	83.49	84.05
Hassan et al. [25]	Sleep-EDF	CEEMDAN+ Bagging	15,188	1- EEG	90.69	89.00
Zhu et al. [26]	Sleep-EDF	HVG+SVM	14,963	1- EEG	88.90	83.00
Sharma et al. [27]	Sleep-EDF	Wavelet filter+SVM	85,900	1- EEG	91.50	58.81
Yildirim et al. [34]	Sleep-EDF	1D-CNN	15,188	1-EEG 1-EOG	91.22	-
Rahman et al.[29]	Sleep-EDF	DWT+SVM	15,188	1- EOG	90.20	-
Nguyen et al. [35]	Sleep-EDFX	1D-CNN	3,000	1- EGG	87.67	-
Yildirim et al. [34]	Sleep-EDFX	1D-CNN	127,512	1-EEG 1-EOG	90.98	-
Satapathy et al. [28]	Sleep-EDFX	Statistic features+ RF	15,139	1-EEG	92.79	88.00
Rahman et al. [29]	Sleep-EDFX	DWT+SVM	54,587	1- EOG	91.70	-
Zhu et al. [36]	Sleep-EDFX	Attention CNN	42,269	1-EEG	82.80	77.34
Proposed model	Sleep-EDFX	SSNet	72,000	2-EEG 1-EOG 1-EMG	96.57	83.05

Bold represents the best results.

*Classification of sleep stages***Table 9:** The performance of proposed model and the state-of-the-art models for three and five sleep stages classification using ISRUC-Sleep dataset.

Authors	Classes	Method	Number of segments	Signals	Accuracy	Kappa
Nguyen et al. [35]	5	1D-CNN	3,000	1- EEG	86.76	-
Rahman et al.[29]	5	DWT+SVM	9,001	1- EOG	86.00	-
Proposed model	3	SSNet	56,515	2-EEG 1-EOG 2-EMG	94.90	90.34
proposed model	5	SSNet	56,515	2-EEG 1-EOG 2-EMG	93.96	77.31

Bold represents the best results.

Table 10: Comparison of REM detection in terms of precision and recall for five sleep stage classification by the proposed model and the state-of-the-art methods.

Research studies	Precision	Recall
Hassan et al. [25]	80.17	80.86
Sharma et al. [27]	46.87	36.45
Zhu et al. [26]	76.21	72.85
Zhu et al. [36]	82	84.60
Rahman et al. [29]	-	84.70
Proposed model	90.71	95.52

Bold represents the best results.

testing sets. Many studies propose feature engineering methods and machine learning models for the three and five sleep stage classifications, while a few studies use deep learning models without any feature engineering methods.

Table 7 presents a comparison of accuracy and kappa between our proposed model and the state-of-the-art models using Sleep-EDF and Sleep-EDFX datasets. Most of the state-of-the-art studies did not provide the other evaluation metrics. The total number of segments of sleep stages obtained from Sleep-EDFX dataset is 72,000 segments. We achieved an accuracy of 96.36%, approximately 3% higher than the existing state-of-the-art result. It can also be observed from kappa results that our proposed model is found to be better (93.40%) than the stat-of-the-art models. Hassan et al. [25] achieved 93% of kappa which is approximately the same as our kappa result using a small number of segments in their study (15,188 segments). Overall, we conclude that the performance of our proposed model for classification of the three sleep stages achieved promising results and setting new state-of-the-art result.

Table 8 presents the performance of our proposed model and state-of-the-art models for five sleep stage classification using Sleep-EDF and Sleep-EDFX datasets. We obtained an accuracy of 96.57%, approximately 5% higher compared to the other state-of-the-art models. Comparing the Kappa results, Hassan et al. [24, 25] and Satapathy et al. [28] achieved higher kappa results of 84%, 89% and 88%, respectively with comparatively smaller number of segments as compared to our study as presented

in Table 8. In addition, these studies require feature engineering methods for extracting features from PSG signals to classify them by traditional machine learning models. These models may cause overfitting if high dimensional PSG signals are used to train their models as reported in [49, 50]. In addition, it is also reported that use of feature engineering methods to convert PSG signals to lower-dimensional feature vectors may result in information loss [50]. Therefore, we believe that our proposed deep learning model is appropriate to use for five stage classification as it provides good performance in large dataset and more generalised.

Table 9 presents the performance of our proposed model and state-of-the-art models for three and five sleep stage classifications using ISRUC-Sleep dataset. We used 56,515 segments of sleep stages obtained from ISRUC-Sleep dataset. We obtained the accuracies of the three and five sleep stage classification to be 94.90% and 93.96%, respectively. These results are the higher as compared to the state-of-the-art results by 7%. The state-of-the-art studies [29, 35] did not provide Kappa results in their article to be compared.

It can be observed from Table 5 and 6 that our proposed model can classify the combination of signals with different sampling frequencies. Our model achieved good performance using ISRUC-Sleep dataset (200 Hz) as well as Sleep-EDFX dataset (100 Hz). However, it can also be observed from Table 6 that N1 class did not performed well as compared to other classes. This low performance in identifying N1 class can be attributed to the common transition characteristics of W stage to other sleep stages, making it harder to distinguish as reported by Khalighi et al. [9].

Detection of REM stage is essential for diagnosing sleep disorders including narcolepsy and REM behaviour disorder [51]. Previous research studies suggested that EOG and EMG signals may provide discriminative features to detect REM stage from the other sleep stages [52]. Therefore, we compare the performance of our model in detecting REM stage using evaluation metrics of precision and recall with the state-of-the-art methods. Few studies [25–27, 29, 36] provided their confusion matrix which helped us to calculate precision and recall of REM stage for those studies. Table 10 presents REM stage precision and recall in the classification of five classes of the proposed model and the existing state-of-the-art studies. It can be observed that our proposed model demonstrates that the combination of EEG, EMG and EOG improves the detection of REM stage which is found to be 90.71% precision and 95% recall which is higher as compared to the state-of-the-art studies.

SSNet has several advantages over the existing studies. Firstly, it uses multi-channels of EEG, EMG and EOG signals, which help to improve the classification results. Secondly, we propose CNN and LSTM, which work alongside efficiently to extract features automatically instead of using complicated feature engineering methods. Thirdly, we thoroughly tested our proposed model by using two popular datasets for the classification of three and five sleep stage classes. The results show that our proposed network perform better for both classification problem.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced a novel deep learning model, called SSNet. Our proposed model classified 30-second segments of a combination of EEG, EOG and EMG signals to classify three and five sleep stages. SSNet contains two deep learning networks. The first deep learning network is composed of CNN, while the second deep learning network is composed of LSTM networks. The extracted features of both networks are concatenated and passed to the fully connected layer for classification. The results demonstrated that the combination of EEG, EOG and EMG signals contributed significantly in improving the performance of classification using our proposed model. The accuracy and Kappa achieved by SSNet for three sleep stage classification were 96.36%, and 91.81%, respectively while the accuracy and Kappa achieved by SSNet for five sleep stage classification were 96.57%, and 87.43%, respectively. The limitation of our work is the low performance of our proposed model for the detection of N1 class.

References

- [1] Wulff, K., Gatti, S., Wettstein, J.G., Foster, R.G.: Sleep and circadian rhythm disruption in psychiatric and neurodegenerative disease. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **11**(8), 589–599 (2010)
- [2] Ohayon, M.M.: Epidemiological overview of sleep disorders in the general population. *Sleep Medicine Research* **2**(1), 1–9 (2011)
- [3] Malhotra, A., White, D.P.: Obstructive sleep apnoea. *The Lancet* **360**(9328), 237–245 (2002)
- [4] Ohayon, M.M., Roth, T.: Prevalence of restless legs syndrome and periodic limb movement disorder in the general population. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* **53**(1), 547–554 (2002)
- [5] Morin, C.M., Benca, R.: Chronic insomnia. *The Lancet* **379**(9821), 1129–1141 (2012)
- [6] Peppard, P.E., Young, T., Barnet, J.H., Palta, M., Hagen, E.W., Hla, K.M.: Increased prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing in adults. *American journal of epidemiology* **177**(9), 1006–1014 (2013)
- [7] Altevogt, B.M., Colten, H.R., et al.: Sleep disorders and sleep deprivation: an unmet public health problem (2006)
- [8] Almutairi, H., Hassan, G.M., Datta, A.: Detection of obstructive sleep apnoea by ecg signals using deep learning architectures. In: 2020 28th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), pp. 1382–1386 (2021). IEEE

Classification of sleep stages

- [9] Khalighi, S., Sousa, T., Santos, J.M., Nunes, U.: Isruc-sleep: A comprehensive public dataset for sleep researchers. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine* **124**, 180–192 (2016)
- [10] Lee, M., Song, C.-B., Shin, G.-H., Lee, S.-W.: Possible effect of binaural beat combined with autonomous sensory meridian response for inducing sleep. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* **13**, 425 (2019)
- [11] Lee, M., Baird, B., Gosseries, O., Nieminen, J.O., Boly, M., Postle, B.R., Tononi, G., Lee, S.-W.: Connectivity differences between consciousness and unconsciousness in non-rapid eye movement sleep: a tms–eeg study. *Scientific Reports* **9**(1), 1–9 (2019)
- [12] Garcia-Molina, G., Tsoneva, T., Jasko, J., Steele, B., Aquino, A., Baher, K., Pastoor, S., Pfundtner, S., Ostrowski, L., Miller, B., *et al.*: Closed-loop system to enhance slow-wave activity. *Journal of Neural Engineering* **15**(6), 066018 (2018)
- [13] Nir, Y., Massimini, M., Boly, M., Tononi, G.: Sleep and consciousness. In: *Neuroimaging of Consciousness*, pp. 133–182. Springer, ??? (2013)
- [14] Penzel, T., Kantelhardt, J.W., Lo, C.-C., Voigt, K., Vogelmeier, C.: Dynamics of heart rate and sleep stages in normals and patients with sleep apnea. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **28**(1), 48–53 (2003)
- [15] Bloch, K.E.: Polysomnography: a systematic review. *Technology and Health Care* **5**(4), 285–305 (1997)
- [16] Shokrollahi, M., Krishnan, S.: Sleep emg analysis using sparse signal representation and classification. In: *2012 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pp. 3480–3483 (2012). IEEE
- [17] Kesper, K., Canisius, S., Penzel, T., Ploch, T., Cassel, W.: Ecg signal analysis for the assessment of sleep-disordered breathing and sleep pattern. *Medical & biological engineering & computing* **50**(2), 135–144 (2012)
- [18] Campbell, I.G.: Eeg recording and analysis for sleep research. *Current protocols in neuroscience* **49**(1), 10–2 (2009)
- [19] Jammes, B., Sharabty, H., Esteve, D.: Automatic eeg analysis: A first step toward automatic drowsiness scoring during wake-sleep transitions. *Somnologie-schlafforschung und Schlafmedizin* **12**(3), 227–232 (2008)
- [20] Collop, N.A.: Scoring variability between polysomnography technologists in different sleep laboratories. *Sleep medicine* **3**(1), 43–47 (2002)

Classification of sleep stages

- [21] Oh, S.L., Hagiwara, Y., Raghavendra, U., Yuvaraj, R., Arunkumar, N., Murugappan, M., Acharya, U.R.: A deep learning approach for parkinson's disease diagnosis from eeg signals. *Neural Computing and Applications* **32**(15), 10927–10933 (2020)
- [22] Banerjee, A., Datta, S., Pal, M., Konar, A., Tibarewala, D., Janarthanan, R.: Classifying electrooculogram to detect directional eye movements. *Procedia Technology* **10**, 67–75 (2013)
- [23] Andersen, R.S., Peimankar, A., Puthusserypady, S.: A deep learning approach for real-time detection of atrial fibrillation. *Expert Systems with Applications* **115**, 465–473 (2019)
- [24] Hassan, A.R., Bhuiyan, M.I.H.: Automated identification of sleep states from eeg signals by means of ensemble empirical mode decomposition and random under sampling boosting. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine* **140**, 201–210 (2017)
- [25] Hassan, A.R., Bhuiyan, M.I.H.: Computer-aided sleep staging using complete ensemble empirical mode decomposition with adaptive noise and bootstrap aggregating. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control* **24**, 1–10 (2016)
- [26] Zhu, G., Li, Y., Wen, P.: Analysis and classification of sleep stages based on difference visibility graphs from a single-channel eeg signal. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics* **18**(6), 1813–1821 (2014)
- [27] Sharma, M., Goyal, D., Achuth, P., Acharya, U.R.: An accurate sleep stages classification system using a new class of optimally time-frequency localized three-band wavelet filter bank. *Computers in biology and medicine* **98**, 58–75 (2018)
- [28] Satapathy, S.K., Bhoi, A.K., Loganathan, D., Khandelwal, B., Barsocchi, P.: Machine learning with ensemble stacking model for automated sleep staging using dual-channel eeg signal. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control* **69**, 102898 (2021)
- [29] Rahman, M.M., Bhuiyan, M.I.H., Hassan, A.R.: Sleep stage classification using single-channel eeg. *Computers in biology and medicine* **102**, 211–220 (2018)
- [30] Yang, R., Zha, X., Liu, K., Xu, S.: A cnn model embedded with local feature knowledge and its application to time-varying signal classification. *Neural Networks* **142**, 564–572 (2021)
- [31] Xia, Y., Zhang, J., Ye, Q., Cheng, N., Lu, Y., Zhang, D.: Evaluation of deep convolutional neural networks for detection of freezing of gait in parkinson's disease patients. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control* **46**, 221–230 (2018)

- [32] Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., Sun, J.: Faster r-cnn: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* **39**(6), 1137–1149 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2016.2577031>
- [33] Badrinarayanan, V., Kendall, A., Cipolla, R.: Segnet: A deep convolutional encoder-decoder architecture for image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* **39**(12), 2481–2495 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2016.2644615>
- [34] Yildirim, O., Baloglu, U.B., Acharya, U.R.: A deep learning model for automated sleep stages classification using psg signals. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **16**(4), 599 (2019)
- [35] Rajbhandari, E., Alsadoon, A., Prasad, P., Seher, I., Nguyen, T.Q.V., Pham, D.T.H.: A novel solution of enhanced loss function using deep learning in sleep stage classification: predict and diagnose patients with sleep disorders. *Multimedia Tools and Applications* **80**(8), 11607–11630 (2021)
- [36] Zhu, T., Luo, W., Yu, F.: Convolution-and attention-based neural network for automated sleep stage classification. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **17**(11), 4152 (2020)
- [37] Mirza, B., Wang, W., Wang, J., Choi, H., Chung, N.C., Ping, P.: Machine learning and integrative analysis of biomedical big data. *Genes* **10**(2), 87 (2019)
- [38] Torres, G., Cinelli, M.P., Hynes, A.T., Kaplan, I.S., Leheste, J.R.: Electroencephalogram mapping of brain states. *Journal of Neuroscience and Neuroengineering* **3**(2), 73–77 (2014)
- [39] Cui, Z., Zheng, X., Shao, X., Cui, L.: Automatic sleep stage classification based on convolutional neural network and fine-grained segments. *Complexity* **2018** (2018)
- [40] Phan, H., Andreotti, F., Cooray, N., Chén, O.Y., De Vos, M.: Joint classification and prediction cnn framework for automatic sleep stage classification. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **66**(5), 1285–1296 (2018)
- [41] Goldberger, A.L., Amaral, L.A., Glass, L., Hausdorff, J.M., Ivanov, P.C., Mark, R.G., Mietus, J.E., Moody, G.B., Peng, C.-K., Stanley, H.E.: Physiobank, physiotoolkit, and physionet: components of a new research resource for complex physiologic signals. *circulation* **101**(23), 215–220 (2000)
- [42] Kemp, B., Zwinderman, A.H., Tuk, B., Kamphuisen, H.A., Obery, J.J.: Analysis of a sleep-dependent neuronal feedback loop: the slow-wave microcontinuity of the eeg. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* **47**(9), 1185–1194 (2000)

Classification of sleep stages

- [43] Sander, J., Shorvon, S.D.: Epidemiology of the epilepsies. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry* **61**(5), 433 (1996)
- [44] Schenck, C.H., Bundlie, S.R., Patterson, A.L., Mahowald, M.W.: Rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: a treatable parasomnia affecting older adults. *Jama* **257**(13), 1786–1789 (1987)
- [45] Mohamad, I.B., Usman, D.: Standardization and its effects on k-means clustering algorithm. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology* **6**(17), 3299–3303 (2013)
- [46] Almutairi, H., Hassan, G.M., Datta, A.: Classification of obstructive sleep apnoea from single-lead ecg signals using convolutional neural and long short term memory networks. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control* (2021)
- [47] Iber, C.: *The aasm manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events: Rules, Terminology and Technical Specification* (2007)
- [48] Timotius, I.K., Miaou, S.-G.: Arithmetic means of accuracies: A classifier performance measurement for imbalanced data set. In: *2010 International Conference on Audio, Language and Image Processing*, pp. 1244–1251 (2010). IEEE
- [49] Faust, O., Hagiwara, Y., Hong, T.J., Lih, O.S., Acharya, U.R.: Deep learning for healthcare applications based on physiological signals: A review. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine* **161**, 1–13 (2018)
- [50] Faust, O., Razaghi, H., Barika, R., Ciaccio, E.J., Acharya, U.R.: A review of automated sleep stage scoring based on physiological signals for the new millennia. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine* **176**, 81–91 (2019)
- [51] Iranzo, A., Molinuevo, J.L., Santamaría, J., Serradell, M., Martí, M.J., Valldeoriola, F., Tolosa, E.: Rapid-eye-movement sleep behaviour disorder as an early marker for a neurodegenerative disorder: a descriptive study. *The Lancet Neurology* **5**(7), 572–577 (2006)
- [52] Loh, H.W., Ooi, C.P., Vicnesh, J., Oh, S.L., Faust, O., Gertych, A., Acharya, U.R.: Automated detection of sleep stages using deep learning techniques: A systematic review of the last decade (2010–2020). *Applied Sciences* **10**(24), 8963 (2020)