Towards Continuous Time Finite Horizon LQR Control in SE(3)

Shivesh Kumar¹, Andreas Mueller², Patrick Wensing³ and Frank Kirchner^{1,4}

Abstract— The control of free-floating robots requires dealing with several challenges. The motion of such robots evolves on a continuous manifold described by the Special Euclidean Group of dimension 3, known as SE(3). Methods from finite horizon Linear Quadratic Regulators (LQR) control have gained recent traction in the robotics community. However, such approaches are inherently solving an unconstrained optimization problem and hence are unable to respect the manifold constraints imposed by the group structure of SE(3). This may lead to small errors, singularity problems and double cover issues depending on the choice of coordinates to model the floating base motion. In this paper, we propose the use of canonical exponential coordinates of SE(3) and the associated Exponential map along with its differentials to embed this structure in the theory of finite horizon LQR controllers.

I. INTRODUCTION

Methods from Lie Group and Screw theory are becoming increasingly popular in the robotics community to describe rigid body kinematics and dynamics [1], [2]. They are equally popular in the domain of robot control [3]. Additionally, the geometric framework has been used in time integration schemes for general multi-body systems (MBS) [4] including the flexible MBS [5].

MBS motions evolve on a Lie group and their dynamics is naturally described by differential equations on that Lie group. The most crucial Lie group for studying rigid body motion is the Special Euclidean Group of dimension 3, known as SE(3). Its importance is shown by the fact that all possible rigid body motions are captured by subgroups of SE(3). For the purpose of robot control or time integration of the robot dynamics, it is quite common to consider a direct product of the translational group \mathbb{R}^3 and the special orthogonal group SO(3) i.e. $SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3$. This allows one to use different parameterizations for rotation (e.g., Euler angles, quaternions, etc.) and translation parts. This configuration space (c-space) does, however, not account for the intrinsic geometry of rigid body motions in that rotations and translations are decoupled. However, rigid body motions in SE(3) are mathematically defined as the semi-direct

This work was supported by RIMA project (FKZ H2020-DT-2018-2020/H2020-DT-2018-1 #824990) funded by European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme. The second author acknowledges the support of the LCM-K2 Center within the framework of the Austrian COMET-K2 program.

¹Robotics Innovation Center, German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI GmbH), Bremen, Germany. shivesh.kumar@dfki.de

²Institute of Robotics, Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria a.mueller@jku.at

³Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of Notre Dame, USA pwensing@nd.edu

⁴Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bremen, Germany frank.kirchner@dfki.de

product between SO(3) and \mathbb{R}^3 i.e. $SE(3) = SO(3) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$. In other words, SO(3) is its quotient or factor group and \mathbb{R}^3 is its normal subgroup. In [6], it was shown that the naive use of $SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ can lead to constraint violations during geometric integration, which must be corrected by additional constraint stabilization techniques. By contrast, using $SE(3) = SO(3) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$ can often avoid such issues. Note that both SE(3) and $SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ allow for the representation of rigid body configurations. But only SE(3) allows for representing rigid body motions [6].

Similarly, improper treatment of SE(3) leads to various challenges in robot control. For example, the use of Euler angles to describe the rotation matrix leads to singularity issues [7], [8]. The use of quaternions addresses the singularity issue but the solutions may suffer from double cover issue i.e. multiple quaternion solutions to represent the same rotation matrix [9]. Geometric control [3] attempts to unify the study of mechanics and control under the setting of differential geometry. In [10], a time varying LQR controller was developed using a variation-based linearization of the non-linear systems evolving on various Lie groups such as SO(3) and \mathbb{S}^2 . In [11], the framework of geometric control was adopted to plan trajectories in $SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with large attitude changes by exploiting the Cayley map of SO(3) which involves the use of its local (non-canonical) coordinates. An extensive treatment of discrete-time differential dynamic programming (DDP) on Lie groups has been recently presented in [12]. Another recent work [13] presents an approach for trajectory optimization on generic matrix Lie groups using an augmented Lagrangian-based constrained discrete Differential Dynamic Programming. Finally, [14] proposes the use of cost function design on the Lie algebra for control on Lie Groups.

Contribution: This paper proposes the use of canonical coordinates (screw coordinates) of SE(3) to synthesize a finite horizon LQR controller for trajectory stabilization. By exploiting the exponential map and its differentials, we derive the linearization of the equation of motion (EOM) of a free-floating rigid body. The proposed linearization is easy to implement as it avoids the use of tensors by exploiting the directional derivative of the derivative of the exponential map (dexp map). Based on this linearization, a time varying LQR controller is developed. Note that we are currently evaluating the proposed controller in some numerical experiments and the results will be presented in the near future.

Organization: Section II presents the canonical screw coordinates of SE(3) along with the exponential map and its differentials. Section III presents the equation of motion of a free-floating rigid body and its geometric linearization.

Section IV derives the corresponding finite horizon LQR controller. Section V concludes the paper and highlights our future work.

II. Canonical Coordinates on SE(3), exp map and its Differentials

This section presents the fundamentals for describing rigid body motion in SE(3) in terms of canonical screw coordinates via the exponential map exp and its differential dexp. Further, the directional derivative of the dexp mapping is presented which is required for linearizing the EOM. For a detailed treatment, refer to [15].

A. Preliminaries

Let G be a n-dimensional Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Lie algebra elements are denoted $\hat{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathfrak{g}$, and when represented as vectors, they are denoted $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which implies an obvious isomorphism. Let $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \to G$ be the exponential map on G. Its right-trivialized differential $\operatorname{dexp}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is defined as

$$(D_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}} \exp)(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}) = \deg_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}) \exp(\hat{\mathbf{X}})$$
(1)

where $(D_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}} \exp)(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}) := \frac{d}{dt} \exp(\hat{\mathbf{X}} + t\hat{\mathbf{Y}})|_{t=0}$ is the directional derivative $D_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}} \exp: \mathfrak{g} \to T_{\exp \hat{\mathbf{X}}} G$ of exp at $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ in direction of $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$. The differential and its inverse admit the series expansions [16, pp. 26 & 36ff], [17], [18, Theorem 2.14.3.]

$$\operatorname{dexp}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \operatorname{ad}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}^{i}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}})$$
 (2)

$$\operatorname{dexp}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_i}{i!} \operatorname{ad}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}^i(\hat{\mathbf{Y}})$$
 (3)

where B_i denote the Bernoulli numbers. In vector representation of \mathfrak{g} , the differential mapping attains the form $\mathbf{dexp_X}\mathbf{Y}$, with matrix $\mathbf{dexp_X}$. This matrix and its inverse admit the series expansions

$$\mathbf{dexp}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \mathbf{ad}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}^{i}$$
 (4)

$$\mathbf{dexp}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_i}{i!} \mathbf{ad}_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}}^i.$$
 (5)

with the little adjoint matrix ad_X such that $ad_{\hat{X}}(\hat{Y}) = \widehat{ad_XY}$.

B. Rigid Body Motion in Terms of Exponential Map

Pose of the rigid body is expressed in terms of the canonical coordinates $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^6$ of the first kind with the exponential map $\mathbf{C}(t) = \mathbf{C}_0 \exp \hat{\mathbf{X}}(t)$, where $\hat{\mathbf{X}} \in se(3)$. The closed form can be expressed for $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ as

$$\exp(\hat{\mathbf{X}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}) \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y} + h \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
(6)

where

$$\mathbf{R} = \exp \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{I} + \alpha \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2}\beta \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 \tag{7}$$

with $\alpha := \operatorname{sinc} \|\mathbf{x}\|$, $\beta := \operatorname{sinc}^2 \frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|}{2}$. The body twist in body-fixed representation is given in terms of the time derivative of \mathbf{X} by the *local reconstruction equation*:

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{dexp}_{-\mathbf{X}}\dot{\mathbf{X}} \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{dexp_X}: \mathbb{R}^6 \to \mathbb{R}^6$ is the matrix form of the right-trivialized differential of the exp map. The inverse relation is $\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{dexp_{-X}^{-1}V}$. For SE(3), it can be expressed in closed-form as

$$\mathbf{dexp}_{\mathbf{X}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{dexp}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ (\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{dexp}^{-1})(\mathbf{y}) & \mathbf{dexp}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

with

$$(D_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{dexp}^{-1})(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}} (1 - \gamma) (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{4}} (\frac{1}{\beta} + \gamma - 2) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{2}$$

and $\gamma := \alpha/\beta$ [15]. In vector representation $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^6$, the matrix form of the adjoint operator for SE(3) is

$$\mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}} & \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{10}$$

Using it, a computationally efficient and numerically stable version of (9) was presented in [19], [20] and is given by

$$\mathbf{dexp}_{\mathbf{X}}^{-1} = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{X}} + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \left(2 - \frac{1+3\alpha}{2\beta}\right) \mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{X}}^2 + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^4} \left(1 - \frac{1+\alpha}{2\beta}\right) \mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{X}}^4.$$
(11)

The singularity in (11) is removable by exploiting the limit $\lim_{\|\mathbf{x}\|\to\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{dexp}_{\mathbf{X}}^{-1} = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{X}}.$

C. Differential of the dexp mapping

The directional derivative of the matrix \mathbf{dexp}^{-1} for SE(3) is

$$(D_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{dexp}^{-1})(\mathbf{U}) = \begin{pmatrix} (D_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{dexp}^{-1})(\mathbf{u}) & \mathbf{0} \\ (D_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Ddexp}^{-1})(\mathbf{U}) & (D_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{dexp}^{-1})(\mathbf{u}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

where $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$, and the directional derivative of matrix \mathbf{Ddexp}^{-1} possesses the explicit closed-form

$$\begin{split} (D_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{Ddexp}^{-1})(\mathbf{U}) &= -\tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \\ + \tfrac{1-\gamma}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \left(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{v}} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \tfrac{1}{4}(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{u})(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \right) \\ &- \tfrac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^4} \Big((1-\gamma) \left(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{u} \right) (2+\gamma)(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \\ + (2-\gamma-\tfrac{1}{\beta})(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{y})(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + (\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{u}) \\ &\qquad \qquad (2+\tfrac{1}{4}(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{u}) - \gamma - \tfrac{1}{\beta})\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 \Big) \\ + \tfrac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^6} (\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{u}) \left(8 - 3\gamma - \gamma^2 - \tfrac{2}{\beta^2} \left(\alpha + \beta \right) \right) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2 \;. \end{split}$$

The above relation can be implemented to cope with $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \mathbf{0}$ [15]. An equivalent expression for the directional derivative of the matrix of the left-trivialized differential, i.e. with

negative argument X, was derived in Appendix A.1 of [5]. Evaluating (12) additionally requires the directional derivative of \mathbf{dexp}^{-1} for SO(3) which is given by

$$(D_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{dexp}^{-1})(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} (1 - \gamma) (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{y}} + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^4} (\frac{1}{\beta} + \gamma - 2) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^2.$$
(13)

III. GEOMETRIC LINEARIZATION OF EOM OF FLOATING-BASE SYSTEMS

This section presents the equation of motion of a free-floating single rigid body in SE(3), its state space form, and the linearization for inclusion in finite horizon LQR controllers. Note that we consider a fully actuated free-floating rigid body. However, without any loss of generality, the derivation presented below can be extended to underactuated free-floating systems by including an actuator selection matrix.

A. EOM of free-floating single rigid body

Let us consider a simple case of free-floating rigid body with its body fixed reference (BFR) frame located at the center of mass (COM). The EOM of such a rigid body in SE(3) is given by

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{M}\dot{\mathbf{V}} + \mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{V}}^{T}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V} \tag{14}$$

where $\mathbf{W} \in se^*(3)$ is the net wrench acting on the body, $\mathbf{V} \in se(3)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{V}} \in \mathbb{R}^6$ represent the twist and acceleration of the moving body respectively - all in body fixed representation. $\mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{P}(6)$ denotes the 6×6 symmetric and positive-definite mass-inertia matrix of the body with the following form:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_b & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & m\mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{I}_b \in \mathcal{P}(3)$ is its rotational inertia and $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is the mass of the moving body. The expression for forward dynamics can be obtained by rearranging (14) as

$$\dot{\mathbf{V}} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{a} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{V}}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V} \right) \tag{16}$$

which is a 2nd order ordinary differential equation (ODE).

B. Dynamics in state-space representation

Let $\mathbf{S} = (\eta, \boldsymbol{\xi})^T \in \mathbb{R}^6$ denote the canonical screw coordinates of SE(3). Together with \mathbf{V} , one could denote the state of the rigid body as $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{V})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$. Using (16) and $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{dexp}_{-\mathbf{S}}\dot{\mathbf{S}}$ (substitute $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{S}$ in (8)), its first order time derivative $\dot{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$ is given by:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{S}} \\ \dot{\mathbf{V}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{dexp}_{-\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{M}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{V}}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V} \right) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) \quad (17)$$

which captures the dynamics of the free-floating rigid body in the form of a $1^{\rm st}$ order ODE. Note that any other choice of coordinates of SE(3) (Study parameters [21], dual quaternions [22]) would require resolution of additional algebraic constraints typically leading to a differential-algebraic equation (DAE).

C. Linearization of the state-space dynamics

Considering the Taylor series expansion of (17), the system dynamics can be linearized and written in the following state-space form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{W} \tag{18}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{12 \times 12}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{W}} \in \mathbb{R}^{12 \times 6}$ are the partial derivatives of the dynamics $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W})$ with respect to the state vector \mathbf{x} and wrench acting on the body \mathbf{W} respectively. The expression for the matrix \mathbf{A} is given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \left(\mathbf{dexp}_{-\mathbf{S}}^{-1}\mathbf{V}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{S}} & \mathbf{dexp}_{-\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{6\times6} & \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \left(\mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{V}}^{T}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{V}} \end{bmatrix} . \tag{19}$$

Here the top-left block matrix $\frac{\partial \left(\operatorname{dexp}_{-s}^{-1}\mathbf{V}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{S}}$ requires the multiplication of first order partial differential of the inverse of dexp mapping (which is a tensor) by \mathbf{V} . In order to avoid the computation of this tensor quantity explicitly, one can exploit the directional derivative expression in (12) with the basis vectors \mathbf{e}_i taken from the i^{th} column of a 6×6 identity matrix $\mathbf{I}_{6\times 6}$ (for $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,6\}$) as

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \left(\mathbf{dexp}_{-S}^{-1}\mathbf{V}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{S}} = \\ \left[\left(D_{-S}\mathbf{dexp}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\mathbf{V} \quad \dots \quad \left(D_{-S}\mathbf{dexp}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{6}\right)\mathbf{V} \right]_{6\times 6} \;. \end{split}$$

The top-right block matrix can be evaluated with (11). The bottom-right block matrix $\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \left(\mathbf{ad}_{V}^{T} \mathbf{MV}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{V}}$ requires the use of tensorial quantities but can be computed easily by multiplying \mathbf{M}^{-1} with the formula for

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \left(\mathbf{a} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{V}}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{V}} &= \mathbf{a} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{V}}^T \mathbf{M} + \\ & \left(\mathbf{a} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{e}_1}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V} \quad \mathbf{a} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{e}_2}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V} \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{a} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{e}_6}^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V}\right) \;. \end{split}$$

In the second matrix summand of the above formula, each matrix column $\mathbf{ad}_{\mathbf{e}_i}^T \mathbf{MV}$ is evaluated with the basis vectors \mathbf{e}_i as before. The expression of the matrix \mathbf{B} is simply given by

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{M}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} . \tag{20}$$

IV. TRAJECTORY STABILIZATION IN SE(3)

Assume that pre-computed optimal state $\mathbf{x}_0(t) = (\mathbf{S}_0(t), \mathbf{V}_0(t))^T$ and input $(\mathbf{W}_0(t))$ trajectories for the floating base system are described in terms of canonical coordinates (screw coordinates) on SE(3). The error in a local coordinate system relative to the nominal trajectory can be defined as:

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}_0(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{12}$$
, $\bar{\mathbf{W}}(t) = \mathbf{W}(t) - \mathbf{W}_0(t) \in \mathbb{R}^6$

The time derivative of the state error trajectory is

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}(t) = \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \dot{\mathbf{x}}_0(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{W}(t)) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{W}_0(t))$$

which can be approximated by 1st order Taylor series approximation as

$$\begin{split} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}(t) &\approx \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{W}_0(t)) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{W}_0(t))}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{x}_0(t)) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{W}_0(t))}{\partial \mathbf{W}} (\mathbf{W}(t) - \mathbf{W}_0(t)) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0(t), \mathbf{W}_0(t)) \\ &= \mathbf{A}(t) \bar{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{B}(t) \bar{\mathbf{u}}(t) \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(t)$ can be evaluated with (19) and (20) respectively. Note that the linearization is time varying and is evaluated along the nominal trajectory.

Considering a quadratic form on the trajectory following

$$J = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T(t)\mathbf{Q}_f\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \int_0^{t_f} \left(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^T(t)\mathbf{Q}\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \bar{\mathbf{W}}(t)\mathbf{R}\bar{\mathbf{W}}(t)\right) dt$$

with $\mathbf{Q} \succeq 0$, $\mathbf{Q}_f \succeq 0$ and $\mathbf{R} \succ 0$, the optimal tracking controller can be derived by solving differential Riccati equation

$$-\dot{\mathbf{S}}(t) = \mathbf{S}(t)\mathbf{A}(t) + \mathbf{A}^{T}(t)\mathbf{S}(t)$$
$$-\mathbf{S}(t)\mathbf{B}(t)\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{T}(t)\mathbf{S}(t) + \mathbf{Q}, \qquad (21)$$

with the terminal condition

$$\mathbf{S}(t_f) = \mathbf{Q}_f \ . \tag{22}$$

The resulting optimal controller takes the linear form $\bar{\mathbf{W}}^*(t) = -\mathbf{K}(t)\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)$, or:

$$\mathbf{W}^*(t) = \mathbf{W}_0(t) - \mathbf{K}(t)(\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \dot{\mathbf{x}}_0(t)) \tag{23}$$

and the corresponding optimal cost-to-go function is given by

$$J^*(\mathbf{x}(t)) = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T(t)\mathbf{S}(t)\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t) . \tag{24}$$

Note that it is crucial to respect the symmetric and positive semi-definite structure of the $\mathbf{S}(t)$ matrix in order to avoid numerical errors in solving (21). This can be done by using the square-root factorization of the matrix $\mathbf{S}(t) = \mathbf{P}^T(t)\mathbf{P}(t)$ as discussed in [23]. An alternative approach to ensure this is to use symplectic integrators as shown in [24].

V. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

This paper presents a novel geometric linearization of the equations of motion of a free-floating rigid body in SE(3) in terms of its canonical screw coordinates. This linearization exploits the differential of the exponential map and its directional derivative in order to compute the involved partial derivatives. Subsequently, we use this linearization to develop a finite horizon LQR controller which can be used to locally stabilize any pre-computed optimal trajectory. The next step includes numerical validation of the approach presented in this paper. In the near future, we also plan to extend our work to other similar control approaches, such as iterative LQR (iLQR) and differential dynamic programming (DDP).

REFERENCES

- K. M. Lynch and F. C. Park, Modern robotics. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [2] R. M. Murray, Z. Li, S. S. Sastry, and S. S. Sastry, A mathematical introduction to robotic manipulation. CRC press, 1994.
- [3] F. Bullo and A. D. Lewis, "Geometric control of mechanical systems," 2005.
- [4] J. Park and W.-K. Chung, "Geometric integration on euclidean group with application to articulated multibody systems," *IEEE Transactions* on Robotics, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 850–863, 2005.
- [5] V. Sonneville, "A geometric local frame approach for flexible multibody systems," Ph.D. dissertation, ULiège - Université de Liège, 27 April 2015.
- [6] A. Mueller and Z. Terze, "On the choice of configuration space for numerical lie group integration of constrained rigid body systems," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 262, pp. 3– 13, 2014.
- [7] E. G. Hemingway and O. M. O'Reilly, "Perspectives on euler angle singularities, gimbal lock, and the orthogonality of applied forces and applied moments," *Multibody System Dynamics*, vol. 44, pp. 31–56, 2018
- [8] M. D. Shuster et al., "A survey of attitude representations," Navigation, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 439–517, 1993.
- [9] J. Diebel et al., "Representing attitude: Euler angles, unit quaternions, and rotation vectors," Matrix, vol. 58, no. 15-16, pp. 1–35, 2006.
- [10] G. Wu and K. Sreenath, "Variation-based linearization of nonlinear systems evolving on so(3) and \sim^2 ," *IEEE Access*, vol. 3, pp. 1592–1604, 2015.
- [11] B. E. Jackson, K. Tracy, and Z. Manchester, "Planning with attitude," IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 5658–5664, 2021.
- [12] G. I. Boutselis and E. Theodorou, "Discrete-time differential dynamic programming on lie groups: Derivation, convergence analysis, and numerical results," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 4636–4651, 2021.
- [13] G. Alcan, F. J. Abu-Dakka, and V. Kyrki, "Trajectory optimization on matrix lie groups with differential dynamic programming and nonlinear constraints," 2023.
- [14] S. Teng, W. Clark, A. Bloch, R. Vasudevan, and M. Ghaffari, "Lie algebraic cost function design for control on lie groups," in 2022 IEEE 61st Conference on Decision and Control (CDC). IEEE, 2022, pp. 1867–1874
- [15] A. Müller, "Review of the exponential and cayley map on se (3) as relevant for lie group integration of the generalized poisson equation and flexible multibody systems," *Proceedings of The Royal Society A*, vol. 477, no. 2253, p. 20210303, 2021.
- [17] A. Iserles, "Solving linear ordinary differential equations by exponentials of iterated commutators," *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 45, pp. 183–199, 1984.
- [18] V. S. Varadarajan, Lie groups, Lie algebras, and their representations. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013, vol. 102.
- [19] C. L. Bottasso and M. Borri, "Integrating finite rotations," Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, vol. 164, no. 3, pp. 307–331, 1998. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045782598000310
- [20] F. Bullo and R. M. Murray, "Proportional derivative (pd) control on the euclidean group," 1995.
- [21] M. L. Husty, M. Pfurner, H.-P. Schröcker, and K. Brunnthaler, "Algebraic methods in mechanism analysis and synthesis," *Robotica*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 661–675, Nov. 2007.
- [22] W. Blaschke, Anwendung dualer Quaternionen auf Kinematik. Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia, 1958.
- [23] R. Tedrake, Underactuated Robotics, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://underactuated.csail.mit.edu
- [24] L. Dieci and T. Eirola, "Positive definiteness in the numerical solution of riccati differential equations," *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 303–313, 1994.