

DataRaceBench V1.4.1 and DataRaceBench-ML V0.1: Benchmark Suites for Data Race Detection

Le Chen
lechen@iastate.edu
Iowa State University
Ames, IA, USA
Lawrence Livermore National
Laboratory
Livermore, CA, USA

Wenhao Wu, Stephen F. Siegel
wuwenhao@udel.edu, siegel@udel.edu
University of Delaware
Newark, DE, USA

Pei-Hung Lin, Chunhua Liao
lin32@llnl.gov, liao6@llnl.gov
Lawrence Livermore National
Laboratory
Livermore, CA, USA

ABSTRACT

Data races pose a significant threat in multi-threaded parallel applications due to their negative impact on program correctness. DataRaceBench, an open-source benchmark suite, is specifically crafted to assess these data race detection tools in a systematic and measurable manner. Machine learning techniques have recently demonstrated considerable potential in high-performance computing (HPC) program analysis and optimization. However, these techniques require specialized data formats for training and refinement. This paper presents the latest update to DataRaceBench, incorporating new data race contributions from Wu et al. [4], and introduces a derived dataset named DataRaceBench-ML (DRB-ML) [1]. DRB-ML aligns with the emerging trend of machine learning and large language models. Originating from DataRaceBench, this dataset includes detailed labels that denote the presence of a data race and provides comprehensive details of associated variables, such as variable names, line numbers, and the operation (read/write). Unique to DRB-ML, we have also integrated a series of tailored prompt-response pairs specifically designed for LLM fine-tuning.

KEYWORDS

Data race, Large Language Model, Machine Learning

ACM Reference Format:

Le Chen, Wenhao Wu, Stephen F. Siegel, and Pei-Hung Lin, Chunhua Liao. 2023. DataRaceBench V1.4.1 and DataRaceBench-ML V0.1: Benchmark Suites for Data Race Detection. In *Proceedings of Seventh International Workshop on Software Correctness for HPC Applications (Correctness 2023)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

Data races pose a significant challenge in the field of concurrent programming, creating significant obstacles to ensuring software correctness, efficiency, and overall performance. These elusive and intricate bugs can incite non-deterministic and unpredictable behavior, often leading to serious consequences. Liao et al. launched

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Correctness 2023, November 12, 2023, Denver, CO

© 2023 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

DataRaceBench [2] to provide a systematic and quantitative evaluation of these tools. This open-source benchmark suite encompasses diverse data race cases, serving as a valuable asset for researchers and developers. DataRaceBench is publicly accessible on its GitHub repo. The latest version of DRB before this paper is DataRaceBench V1.4.0, which includes 181 microbenchmarks in C/C++.

Recently, machine learning has showcased remarkable successes across various fields, such as code analysis and parallelization tasks. Nevertheless, a notable gap persists in effectively utilizing and evaluating machine learning in conjunction with DataRaceBench. Applying machine learning techniques, specifically supervised learning models necessitates well-processed and thoroughly labeled data. This facilitates the precise identification of patterns and enables accurate predictions. Furthermore, with the growing interest in deploying Large Language Models (LLMs) for code analysis tasks, there is a clear need for a meticulously curated dataset of prompt-response pairs. These pairs are instrumental in guiding the initial learning process while also providing essential feedback to enhance the model's comprehension and predictive accuracy.

In this paper, we introduce DataRaceBench V1.4.1, an upgraded version of the original DataRaceBench, and DataRaceBench-ML v0.1, a new benchmark derived from DataRaceBench, designed to facilitate the use of machine learning in data race detection. We begin by enriching the DRB with twenty new C code files and updating the corresponding metadata. Building on the foundations of DataRaceBench V1.4.1, we have created DataRaceBench-ML V1.0 with the goal of promoting the development and benchmarking of advanced machine learning methods for data race detection, with a particular focus on Large Language Models. Our contributions are as follows:

- We examined open-source codes from relevant data race studies and adapted them to the DataRaceBench format, thereby extending DataRaceBench with twenty new data files.
- We processed DataRaceBench to incorporate informative labels suitable for machine learning-based data race detection and analysis.
- We generated prompt-response pairs as part of the DRB-ML dataset to facilitate LLM fine-tuning.

2 DATARACEBENCH V1.4.1

DataRaceBench [2], first introduced by Liao et al., has continually evolved, most recently leading to version V1.4.0 [3]. This paper presents the updated DataRaceBench V1.4.1. We delve into the modifications of this enhanced version, detailing the new code

inclusions and their implications in the pursuit of optimized data race detection.

2.1 Origins of Newly Added Microbenchmarks

Wu et al. [4] proposed a straightforward model-checking technique that validates a program is free from data races. To evaluate the efficacy of the proposed verifier, they employed DataRaceBench in conjunction with several supplemental examples. We selected twenty C-language programs from these supplemental instances, processed them thoroughly, and ultimately incorporated them into DataRaceBench.

2.2 Data Processing

Adhering to the design principles of DataRaceBench, we thoroughly processed the newly incorporated microbenchmarks. Our processing steps encompassed the following:

- We examined the length of each microbenchmark, ensuring it is as small as possible to represent typical data race detection cases. The twenty new microbenchmarks did not significantly alter the overall size of the DataRaceBench suite. The average size of microbenchmarks in DRB v1.4.1, measured by the string length, increased from 29.4 to 30.9 compared to DRB v1.4.0.
- We confirmed that each program was self-contained and included a main function, thereby ensuring its independence and usability for both static and dynamic analysis tools.
- We categorized each program into either the race-yes or race-no set based on its characteristics.
- For programs categorized in the race-yes set, we identified and marked the pair of source locations responsible for causing data races.
- Finally, we assigned appropriate data race property labels to the collected microbenchmarks following the DRB design. These labels are used to categorize different types of code patterns, such as unresolvable dependencies, missing data sharing clauses, missing synchronizations, and so on.

2.3 New Microbenchmark Example

Listing 1 shows an example of new microbenchmarks (DRB193) in DRB v1.4.1. Following the processing steps discussed in section 2.1, we transform the data race-related code to a DRB microbenchmark. DRB193 is a positive benchmark with known a data race pair due to different critical section names used.

```

1  /*
2  !!!-----!!!
3  !!! Copyright (c) 2017-20, Lawrence Livermore National
4  Security, LLC
5  !!! and DataRaceBench project contributors. See the
6  DataRaceBench/COPYRIGHT file for details.
7  !!!
8  !!! SPDX-License-Identifier: (BSD-3-Clause)
9  !!!-----!!!
10 /*
11 * This is a program based on a dataset contributed by
12 * Wenhao Wu and Stephen F. Siegel @Univ. of Delaware.
13 */

```

```

14 * Race due to different critical section names
15 * Data race pair: x@26:7:W vs. x@43:7:W
16 */
17
18 #include <stdio.h>
19 int main()
20 {
21     int x = 0, s = 0;
22     #pragma omp parallel sections shared(x, s) num_threads(2)
23     {
24         #pragma omp section
25         {
26             x = 1;
27         }
28         #pragma omp critical(A)
29         {
30             s = 1;
31         }
32     }
33     #pragma omp section
34     {
35         int done = 0;
36         while (!done)
37         {
38             #pragma omp critical(B)
39             {
40                 if (s)
41                     done = 1;
42             }
43             x = 2;
44         }
45     }
46     printf("%d\n", x);
47 }

```

Listing 1: DRB193-critical-section3-yes.c

3 DATARACEBENCH-ML V0.1

DataRaceBench has been proven to be a valuable resource for data race study. However, a gap exists between its current offerings and the specific needs of machine learning approaches designed for data race detection. To bridge this gap, we introduce DataRaceBench-ML (or DRB-ML) V0.1 in this section. DRB-ML is a derivative of DRB specifically crafted to support machine learning methodologies in the realm of data race detection.

3.1 Label Extraction

The efficacy of machine learning models, particularly supervised learning, hinges substantially on the quality and detail of the labels associated with the training data. In the context of data race detection, labels convey critical information, such as whether a data race exists within a given code segment and, if so, the precise location and nature of the race. A dataset with diverse labels can cater to many tasks for machine learning models, enhancing their training and ultimate performance.

To facilitate the application of machine learning to DataRaceBench, we executed a comprehensive label extraction process. Figure 1 showcases the information obtained from DRB to generate labels in DRB-ML. Each data entry in DRB-ML is formatted in JSON and includes the following keys:

- "ID": A unique index number starting from 1. It preserves the original ordering in DRB and is stored as an integer.




Figure 1: Transformation Process from DRB to DRB-ML Dataset: 1. Data Race Status Extraction; 2. Retrieval of Data Race Variables and Lines; 3. DRB Code Comment Trimming; 4. DRB-ML Template Integration.

- "name": The original filename of the DRB file. This is directly copied from the DRB filenames and stored as a string.
- "DRB_code": The original code present in DRB microbenchmarks. It is copied verbatim from the DRB benchmarks and stored as a string.
- "trimmed_code": The DRB_code with all comments removed. We employed a script to process each microbenchmark in DRB and store the comment-free code as a string.
- "code_len": An integer value representing the string length of the trimmed code. This label aids in thresholding data to match the input size constraints of various machine learning models, ensuring compatibility and adaptability.
- "data_race": This is a boolean value representing the data race condition in DRB. The presence of a data race is indicated by 1, and its absence by 0.
- "data_race_label": This label indicates the type of data race condition (race-yes or race-no) that DRB marks. We used DRB metadata to generate this label and stored it as a string variable.
- "var_pairs": This is a list of pairs of variables associated with a data race. It is empty when "data_race" is 0. Each item follows the format [VAR0, VAR1], where VAR1 depends on VAR0, and each variable has the following attributes:
 - "name": Variable names stored as a string variable.
 - "line": The line number indicating the variable's location, stored as an integer variable.
 - "col": The column number showing the variable's location, stored as an integer variable.
 - "operation": The operation performed on the variable, stored as a string variable. The value is either "w" (representing a write operation) or "r" (representing a read operation).

We automated the extraction process to ensure consistency and scalability. Leveraging a custom-developed script, we systematically traversed through each microbenchmark in DRB, extracting the pertinent information and transforming it into an effective format for machine learning. This process yielded a comprehensive and richly labeled dataset, making it a valuable resource for developing and evaluating machine learning models focused on data race analysis.

3.2 Prompt-Response Pairs For Supervised Fine Tuning LLMs

In the context of fine-tuning Large Language Models (LLMs), using prompt-response pairs plays a pivotal role. These pairs provide the model with clear instances of a problem (the prompt) and its desired solution (the response), essentially guiding the model's learning trajectory toward understanding and generating the expected outputs.

In our work, we curated a unique set of prompt-response pairs specifically tailored for data race detection tasks. The "prompt" encapsulates the code segment under consideration, while the "response" comprises the corresponding label information obtained during our label extraction process. This strategy taps into the enormous capacity of LLMs to comprehend intricate text patterns, linking these patterns to labels that signify the presence or absence of a data race.

We systematically produced these pairs using an automated procedure, ensuring extensive coverage of potential data race scenarios and providing a comprehensive learning experience for the LLM. These prompt-response pairs constitute a crucial component of the DataRaceBench-ML dataset, enhancing its effectiveness for fine-tuning LLMs and bolstering its relevance in real-world data race detection tasks.

Incorporating such pairs in our dataset underscores the potential of LLMs to boost the performance of traditional data race detection tools, paving the way for a new era of more efficient, robust, and scalable solutions.

3.3 DRB-ML Example

Listing 2 shows an example in DRB-ML derived from microbenchmark in Listing 1. We omit the code content for readability. It is worth mentioning that the "line" value in DRB-ML is based on the code without comments.

```

1 {
2   "ID": 193,
3   "name": "DRB193-critical-section3-yes.c"
4   "DRB_code": "...",
5   "trimmed_code": "...",
6   "code_len": 425,
7   "data_race": 1,
8   "data_race_label": "Y3",
9   "var_pairs": [pair0]
10  "pair0": {
11    "name": ["x", "x"],
12    "line": [9, 26],
13    "col": [7, 7],
14    "operation": ["w", "w"]
15  }
16 }
  
```

Listing 2: DRB-ML-193.json

Listing 3 presents a pair of prompts and responses for DRB-ML labels in listing 2. Again, we omit the code content for readability.

```

1 {
  
```

```

2 "prompt": "You are an HPC expert. Examine
   the following code and identify if there
   's a data race. If a data race is
   present, specify the variable pairs
   causing it, along with their line
   numbers and operations. Code: ...",
3 "response": "Yes, the provided code exhibits
   data race issues. The data race is
   caused by the variable "x" at line 9 and
   the variable "x" at line 26. Both
   instances involve write operations."
4 }

```

Listing 3: prompt-response example for DRB-ML-193

4 CONCLUSION

This paper introduced two datasets: the latest version of DataRaceBench and the new DataRaceBench-ML (DRB-ML). While DataRaceBench is designed to evaluate traditional data race detection tools, DRB-ML is a unique dataset engineered to evaluate and enhance Large

Language Models (LLMs) applied to data race detection. As the intersection of high-performance computing and machine learning expands, DRB-ML offers a beacon for future research, promising improved precision and real-world applicability in code analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Prepared by LLNL under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344 (LLNL-PROC-853240) and supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Advanced Scientific Computing Research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Le Chen, Whenhao Wu, Stephen Siegel, Pei-hung Lin, and Chunhua Liao. 2023. DataRaceBench-ML. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.5072/zenodo.1230296>
- [2] Chunhua Liao, Pei-Hung Lin, Joshua Asplund, Markus Schordan, and Ian Karlin. 2017. DataRaceBench: a benchmark suite for systematic evaluation of data race detection tools. In *Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis*. 1–14.
- [3] Pei-Hung Lin and Chunhua Liao. 2021. High-precision evaluation of both static and dynamic tools using dataracebench. In *2021 IEEE/ACM 5th International Workshop on Software Correctness for HPC Applications (Correctness)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [4] Whenhao Wu, Jan Hückelheim, Paul D. Hovland, Ziqing Luo, and Stephen F. Siegel. 2023. Model Checking Race-Freedom When “Sequential Consistency for Data-Race-Free Programs” is Guaranteed. In *Computer Aided Verification*, Constantin Enea and Akash Lal (Eds.). Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 265–287.