
HEAD-NECK DUAL-ENERGY CT CONTRAST MEDIA REDUCTION USING DIFFUSION MODELS

Qing Lyu*, Josh Tan, Megan E. Lipford, Micheal E. Zapadka, Christopher M. Lack, Christopher T. Whitlow*

Wake Forest University School of Medicine
Winston-Salem, NC

{qlyu, jtan, m.lipford, mzapadka, clack, cwhitlow}@wakehealth.edu

Jonathan D. Clemente,
Carolinas Medical Center
Charlotte, NC

Jonathan.Clemente@atriumhealth.org

Chuang Niu, Ge Wang*
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, NY

{niuc, wangg6}@rpi.edu

*Corresponding authors

ABSTRACT

Iodinated contrast media is essential for dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) angiography. Previous studies show that iodinated contrast media may cause side effects, and the interruption of the supply chain in 2022 led to a severe contrast media shortage in the US. Both factors justify the necessity of contrast media reduction in relevant clinical applications. In this study, we propose a diffusion model-based deep learning framework to address this challenge. First, we simulate different levels of low contrast dosage DECT scans from the standard normal contrast dosage DECT scans using material decomposition. Conditional denoising diffusion probabilistic models are then trained to enhance the contrast media and create contrast-enhanced images. Our results demonstrate that the proposed methods can generate high-quality contrast-enhanced results even for images obtained with as low as 12.5% of the normal contrast dosage. Furthermore, our method outperforms selected competing methods in a human reader study.

Keywords Dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) · material decomposition · contrast media reduction · deep learning · denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM)

1 Introduction

Dual-energy computed tomography (DECT) is an advanced imaging technique that captures projection data with two energy spectra simultaneously or almost simultaneously. Because the x-ray linear attenuation coefficients of tissues and materials varies with the X-ray energy level, DECT can differentiate material components and quantify them tomographically [1, 2]. In the last decade, DECT has been widely used in many applications especially oncology to enhance tumor detection and characterization [3, 4].

Intravenous iodinated contrast media is essential for DECT angiography to analyze pathology and monitor treatment. While it is generally considered safe, previous studies show that its use may result in anaphylactoid and/or non-anaphylactoid adverse reactions, some of which may even be life-threatening [5]. Acute kidney injury is a common iodinated contrast-induced problem [6], and there are growing concerns about its use. On the one hand, the number of CT scans performed annually in the US has increased significantly over the past few decades, with a large percentage of these scans using intravenous contrast media [7]. On the other hand, as the patient population ages, chronic kidney disease and diabetes become more common, and patients are exposed to more iodinated contrast media, contrast-induced acute kidney injury is likely to become an even more significant challenge in the future [6, 8].

Last year, the COVID-19-related shutdown of a General Electric Healthcare factory in Shanghai disrupted the production of iodinated contrast media, leading to a major shortage in the US [9, 10]. Although the shortage has been over now, there is a possibility that it could recur due to the uncertainties related to future pandemics, supply chains, other factors. This further exacerbates the concern over the routine use of iodinated contrast media.

Reducing the clinical usage of iodinated contrast media in DECT scans offers several advantages. First, limiting its use would be more patient-friendly and reduce the risk of contrast media-induced adverse reactions, particularly for patients in critical condition or those who need repeated contrast administration [6]. Second, it significantly reduces the costs associated with contrast media usage [11, 12]. According to [13], the global market for contrast media is estimated to reach \$5.4 billion by 2026, indicating a significant financial impact of its usage. Third, it is a viable solution to address the potential contrast media shortage in the future.

In recent years, efforts were made to reduce the needed dose of iodinated contrast media by lowering the X-ray tube voltage. It was reported that scanning patients at low tube voltage settings (such as 80 kVp) with a reduced iodinated contrast media dose (around 50% to 60% of the normal dose) can generate images without significant quality degradation after iterative reconstruction [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Recently, deep learning has produced promising results in several medical imaging applications such as CT denoising and metal artifact reduction [19, 20]. In the MRI domain, due to safety concerns about gadolinium-based contrast media, multiple deep learning methods were proposed to generate synthetic images that are comparable to full dose images using 10% or even zero contrast media [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. For CT iodinated contrast media reduction, Haubold *et al.* proposed a modified Pix2PixHD model that can generate contrast-enhanced images of comparable image quality and diagnostic accuracy from images with 50% contrast media reduction [8]. Liu *et al.* developed a DyeFreeNet model that can generate virtual contrast-enhanced CT images from non-contrast CT scans [27].

In this study, we propose an iodinated contrast media reduction method based on denoising diffusion probabilistic models (DDPMs) [28]. Compared to other contrast-enhancement methods such as material decomposition enhancement (MDE) and UNet, our proposed method can generate superior results with better representation of contrast-enhanced vessels in head and neck DECT images using as low as 12.5% of the normal iodinated contrast dose.




Figure 1: Overview of our proposed DDPm-based contrast enhancement method.

Table 1: Linear attenuation coefficients of basis materials.

Energy (keV)	Attenuation (cm^{-1})		
	Water	Bone	Iohexol
80	0.184	0.428	3.784
110	0.167	0.342	2.066

2 Methodology

As shown in Fig. 1, the proposed method consists of the two components: the low iodinated contrast DECT simulation and the deep learning iodinated contrast enhancement. The low iodinated contrast DECT simulation realistically simulates low-contrast DECT scans as training data, while the deep learning iodinated contrast enhancement uses a trained deep network to generate contrast-enhanced results from a low iodinated contrast dose DECT scan.

2.1 Data Acquisition

We used a total of 110 DECT scans collected by the Atrium Health Wake Forest Baptist Medical Center in May and June, 2022 on Siemens Somatom Drive CT scanners under the head or head & neck DECT angiography protocols. In the imaging process, Omnipaque iohexol contrast media was injected, with the amount of iodinated contrast media used for each patient ranging between 50 cc and 80 cc, as indicated by the medications list in the medical record. The Syngo.via server was used to produce monoenergetic images from the original DECT scans in the Monoenergetic plus setting. After processing, we obtained 80 keV and 110 keV monoenergetic images from each DECT scan, with a slice thickness of 0.6 mm and pixel resolution of 0.46 mm by 0.46 mm. 80 scans were used for the training and 20 scans were used for testing. Another 10 scans were used for reader study.

2.2 Material decomposition

As in our previous paper [29], we used the three-material mass fraction decomposition algorithm [2] based on 80 keV and 110 keV monoenergetic images. Through material decomposition, each monoenergetic image was decomposed into the summation of three basis material maps: water, bone, and iodinated contrast media. We calculated the linear attenuation coefficients of water, bone, and iohexol contrast media based on the mass attenuation coefficients and mass densities reported by National Institute of Standards and Technology [30] and Çakır *et al.* [31]. The mass fraction of each material can be calculated using the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \mu(E_L) = v_a\mu_a(E_L) + v_b\mu_b(E_L) + v_c\mu_c(E_L) \\ \mu(E_H) = v_a\mu_a(E_H) + v_b\mu_b(E_H) + v_c\mu_c(E_H) \\ 1 = v_a + v_b + v_c \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mu(E_L)$ and $\mu(E_H)$ represent the averaged pixel linear attenuation coefficients in the 80 keV low-energy and 110 keV high-energy images respectively. The linear attenuation coefficients of water, bone, and contrast media under the 80 keV low-energy and 110 keV high-energy settings, denoted as $\mu_a(E_L)$, $\mu_b(E_L)$, $\mu_c(E_L)$, $\mu_a(E_H)$, $\mu_b(E_H)$, and $\mu_c(E_H)$, are listed in Table 1. v_a , v_b , and v_c represent the mass fractions of the three materials.

2.3 Synthesizing multi-level contrast dose reduced images

After we obtained the contrast media mass fraction map, we generated synthesized multi-level contrast media monoenergetic images by either weakening or strengthening the contrast media component. For each 80 keV or 110 keV monoenergetic scan, we generated synthesized images with contrast dose levels of 10 cc, 20 cc, 30 cc, 40 cc, 50 cc, 60 cc, 70 cc, and 80 cc. In this study, we considered 80 cc images as the full contrast dose ground truths. All other contrast dose level images were processed using the proposed method to produce contrast media enhanced images. It is worth noting that for the 10 cc, 20 cc, 30 cc, and 40 cc contrast dose level image enhancement, all images used were synthesized images. Conversely, for the 50 cc, 60 cc, and 70 cc contrast dose level image enhancement, we used a combination of synthesized images and real low contrast dose level images.

2.4 Contrast enhancement network

Here we adapted the conditional denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM) for contrast media enhancement. DDPM, a recently established generative model [28], has shown great successes in generating high-quality natural or

artistic images [32]. We optimized a customized DDPM for our medical imaging task: employing a low iodinated contrast DECT scan as the input to generate a contrast-enhanced counterpart. The backbone of the DDPM is a UNet [33] architecture, which is consistent with the original DDPM literature.




Figure 2: Patient demographic comparison of DECT scans in the training, testing, and reader study datasets.

2.5 Reader Study

To evaluate the quality of different contrast enhancement results, we invited three radiologists (28, 21, and 15 years of experience) to review each result and give a score on the five-point scale with 1-point for the worst and 5-point for the best. The reader study was conducted under two settings: a extremely low contrast dosage (10 cc) setting and a half contrast dosage (40 cc) setting. Under each setting, the scores were obtained on the quality of normal contrast dosage images, simulated low contrast dosage images, and contrast enhanced results obtained using three contrast enhancement methods (MDE, UNet, and DDPM) respectively. For the 10 cases used for this reader study, there are 5 scans without abnormality and 5 scans with abnormality.

3 Results

3.1 Data demographic analysis

In this study, 80 DECT scans were used for training, another 20 scans for testing, and the remaining 10 scans for the reader study. Fig. 2 shows the statistics of patient scans used for training, testing, and reader study respectively. In the training dataset, there are 37 female patients and 43 male patients with an overall average age of 58.4 years old. The testing dataset is made up of 10 male patients and 10 female patients with an average age of 56.6 years old. The reader study dataset consists of 7 male patients and 3 female patients with an average age of 59.5 years old.

3.2 DDPM Contrast Enhancement

We compared simulated low contrast dosage images and their corresponding contrast enhanced images in Fig. 3. It can be seen that for both 80 *keV* and 110 *keV* images, the visibility of vessels gradually diminished as the dosage of contrast media was decreased. In the 10 *cc* and 20 *cc* images, it became difficult to detect the vessels due to their vague appearance. In contrast, our contrast enhancement results effectively highlighted the vessels under all conditions. Taking the 10 *cc* enhancement as an example, our method successfully defined the contrast-enhanced vessels, whereas the original low dosage images failed to display them distinctly.




Figure 3: Comparison between the contrast-enhanced results and their corresponding simulated low contrast dosage images. The top half images displays the results obtained at 80 *keV*, while the bottom shows the results obtained at 110 *keV*.

3.3 Comparison of Contrast Enhancements Using Different Methods

We further compared our results with those obtained using other methods such as MDE and UNet. The MDE method is a non-deep learning approach that directly focuses on enhancing the contrast component obtained from the material decomposition of low contrast dose DECT images. On the other hand, UNet is a widely used deep learning model successful in various medical image analysis tasks. As illustrated in Fig. 4, all the three methods are capable of presenting contrast-enhanced vessels with clearer shapes and better-defined boundaries. However, when comparing our results and the ground truth to the MDE and UNet results, it can be observed that the enhanced vessels in MDE and UNet images had some small dark holes. In contrast, our results did not suffer from these holes, delineating vessels with uniform pixel intensity and closely resembling the ground truth.

Table 2: Reader study results using various methods for 10cc and 40cc contrast enhancement.

		10 cc				40 cc				ND
		LD	MDE	UNet	DDPM	LD	MDE	UNet	DDPM	
Reader 1	Abnormal	1.0	1.2	2.4	3.4	1.8	3.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
	Normal	1.0	1.0	2.8	3.6	1.2	2.8	3.6	3.6	4.0
	Overall	1.0	1.1	2.6	3.5	1.5	2.9	3.7	3.7	3.9
Reader 2	Abnormal	1.0	1.6	2.4	2.8	1.6	2.6	2.4	2.8	3.2
	Normal	1.0	1.2	2.8	3.4	1.6	3.0	3.4	3.6	4.0
	Overall	1.0	1.4	2.6	3.1	1.6	2.8	2.9	3.2	3.6
Reader 3	Abnormal	1.0	1.6	3.2	3.2	2.2	3.4	4.0	3.8	4.2
	Normal	1.0	1.0	3.2	3.2	1.6	3.0	3.4	3.8	4.0
	Overall	1.0	1.3	3.2	3.2	1.9	3.2	3.7	3.8	4.1

Figs. 5 and 6 demonstrate the coronal and sagittal views of a case used for the reader study. It can be found that blood vessels are barely shown in low contrast dosage images, especially for the 10 cc setting. Meanwhile, all the three contrast enhancement methods can successfully demonstrate vessels in good contrast compared with corresponding low contrast dosage images. DDPM and UNet results overcome corresponding MDE results with smoother vessel blood pixel intensity demonstration.




Figure 4: Comparison between MDE, UNet, our contrast-enhancement results. The first column shows 10 cc low contrast dose DECT images. The second, third, and fourth columns contain MDE, UNet, and our contrast-enhancement results. The fifth and sixth columns present 80 cc normal contrast dosage ground truth and the original 65 cc DECT scan images, respectively.

3.4 Reader Study Results

We calculated the average score of normal cases, abnormal cases and all cases from each reader, as shown in Table 2. It can be observed that under the 10 cc setting, the MDE method showed minimal improvement in image quality and achieved similar low scores as the low contrast dose images. On the other hand, the other two deep learning methods demonstrated significant improvements in image quality and produced favorable results. Under the 40 cc setting, all the three contrast enhancement methods yielded substantially better results than the corresponding low contrast dose images. Notably, with our conditional DDPM method, we achieved contrast-enhanced results that exhibit similar scores to the normal dosage images.

4 Discussions

Iodinated contrast media is extensively used in contrast-enhanced DECT scans to visualize vasculature. When the dosage of contrast media is reduced, vessels become vague and even disappear from DECT images. As a result,




Figure 5: Coronal view of contrast-enhancement results used for the reader study. Top: from left to right, 10 *cc* simulated low contrast dosage images, MDE, UNet, DDPM contrast-enhanced results, and 65 *cc* normal contrast dosage images. Bottom: from left to right, 40 *cc* simulated low contrast dosage images, MDE, UNet, DDPM contrast-enhanced results, and 65 *cc* normal contrast dosage images. Bottom left corner of each sub-figure shows zoomed in region bounded by the red box.

contrast-reduced images have lower quality, making it difficult to directly use them for uncompromised diagnostic performance. Table 2 demonstrates a large gap between reader scores of low contrast dose images and normal contrast dose images. This gap becomes larger when the dosage is further reduced from 40 *cc* to 10 *cc*. Using our proposed method, contrast of vessels can be enhanced and clearly presented, approaching a decent image quality similar to that of normal contrast dose scans, as shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Impressively, our approach can achieve good outcomes even in an extremely low contrast dose setting, even with only 12.5% of the normal contrast dose. The reader study results in Table 2 show that our results have similar scores to that for normal dose images.

When compared with the MDE and UNet methods, our method produces superior results that are close to the ground truth, as evidenced by excellent reader scores. Due to the interference of noise within DECT images and the oversimplification of the material decomposition hypothesis, the iodinated contrast component obtained using the three-material decomposition algorithm may not be most accurate. This inaccuracy is more serious in a lower contrast dosage setting. As a result, there are some pixels in the vessel region that are not decomposed as contrast, and the material decomposition result of iodinated contrast component contains some small holes in the vessel region using the MDE method. Using the UNet method, although the holes can be somewhat mitigated, they are still noticeable in the final results. In contrast, our method completely eliminates the holes and ensures that the results are very close to the normal contrast dose scans, as presented in Fig. 4. Since our method utilizes the same neural network architecture as UNet, the superior results must have come from the strong generative ability of the DDPM framework.

Our proposed method may significantly contribute to clinical tasks enabled by contrast-enhanced DECT scans from both healthcare and economic perspectives. Reducing contrast dosage means a reduced occurrence rate of iodinated contrast-induced adverse effects, such as acute kidney injury. We will conduct clinical studies to optimize our method for clinical translation. Additionally, using lower contrast dose DECT can greatly reduce the consumption of contrast media, addressing the issue of contrast media shortage. Also, the potential economic contribution of our study is obvious. Taking the Atrium Health Wake Forest Baptist hospital as an example. The average cost of a typical Ominipaque




Figure 6: Sagittal view of contrast-enhancement results used for the reader study. Top: from left to right, 10 cc simulated low contrast dosage images, MDE, UNet, DDPM contrast-enhanced results, and 65 cc normal contrast dosage images. Bottom: from left to right, 40 cc simulated low contrast dosage images, MDE, UNet, DDPM contrast-enhanced results, and 65 cc normal contrast dosage images. Bottom left corner of each sub-figure shows zoomed in region bounded by the red box.

350 contrast media is around \$0.15 per milliliter in Winston-Salem, and it is estimated that around 3,200 liters of contrast media are used every year. It can be found that the annual contrast media cost is around \$480,000. By adopting the technique developed in this study, the amount of contrast media usage can be greatly reduces. Let's say that the reduction is just by half, the financial gain is already substantial, which around \$240,000 saved every year.

Our current study is based on simulation to obtain contrast reduced images. In the future, we will conduct studies on phantoms and animals such as non-human primates to obtain real contrast reduced DECT scan datasets. Then, we will conduct clinical trails and establish clinical feasibility and utilities of low contrast dose DECT and MRI in the future.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have proposed a DDPM-based deep learning framework to relax the requirement of iodinated contrast media in DECT scans. We first use material decomposition to extract the iodinated contrast component and then create multi-level contrast dose reduced images. Conditional DDPMs are then trained to enhance the iodinated contrast component and generate contrast-enhanced images. According to our results, we can generate contrast-enhanced images with image quality comparable to that of normal contrast dose images, even with a contrast dose as low as 12.5% of the normal contrast dose. Our approach outperforms selected competing methods quantitatively and visually in our reader study. Further work is underway to optimize the approach for clinical translation.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by US National Institutes of Health grants R01CA237267, R01EB032716 and R01EB031885.

References

- [1] Carlo Nicola De Cecco, Anna Darnell, Marco Rengo, Giuseppe Muscogiuri, Davide Bellini, Carmen Ayuso, and Andrea Laghi. Dual-energy CT: Oncologic applications. *AJR-American Journal of Roentgenology*, 199(5):S98, 2012.
- [2] Xin Liu, Lifeng Yu, Andrew N Primak, and Cynthia H McCollough. Quantitative imaging of element composition and mass fraction using dual-energy CT: Three-material decomposition. *Medical physics*, 36(5):1602–1609, 2009.
- [3] Elise D Roele, Veronique CML Timmer, Laretta AA Vaassen, Anna MJL van Kroonenburgh, and AA Postma. Dual-energy CT in head and neck imaging. *Current radiology reports*, 5(5):1–19, 2017.
- [4] Reza Forghani. Advanced dual-energy CT for head and neck cancer imaging. *Expert review of anticancer therapy*, 15(12):1489–1501, 2015.
- [5] Jagdish Singh and Aditya Daftary. Iodinated contrast media and their adverse reactions. *Journal of nuclear medicine technology*, 36(2):69–74, 2008.
- [6] Peter A McCullough. Acute kidney injury with iodinated contrast. *Critical care medicine*, 36(4):S204–S211, 2008.
- [7] DJ Brenner. Slowing the increase in the population dose resulting from CT scans. *Radiation research*, 174(6b):809–815, 2010.
- [8] Johannes Haubold, René Hosch, Lale Umutlu, Axel Wetter, Patrizia Haubold, Alexander Radbruch, Michael Forsting, Felix Nensa, and Sven Koitka. Contrast agent dose reduction in computed tomography with deep learning using a conditional generative adversarial network. *European Radiology*, 31(8):6087–6095, 2021.
- [9] Statement from the ACR committee on drugs and contrast media. <https://www.acr.org/Advocacy-and-Economics/ACR-Position-Statements/Contrast-Media-Shortage>. Accessed: 2022-08-24.
- [10] Matthew S Davenport, Philip Chu, Timothy P Szczykutowicz, and Rebecca Smith-Bindman. Comparison of strategies to conserve iodinated intravascular contrast media for computed tomography during a shortage. *JAMA*, 328(5):476–478, 2022.
- [11] Jeffrey D Robinson, Lee M Mitsumori, and Ken F Linnau. Evaluating contrast agent waste and costs of weight-based ct contrast bolus protocols using single-or multiple-dose packaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*, 200(6):W617–W620, 2013.
- [12] Samin K Sharma. Iodinated contrast media and contrast-induced nephropathy: Is there a preferred cost-effective agent? *Journal of Invasive Cardiology*, 20(5), 2008.
- [13] Contrast media - global market trajectory & analytics. <https://www.researchandmarkets.com/reports/4804626/contrast-media-global-market-trajectory-and>. Accessed: 2022-08-24.
- [14] Nico Buls, Gert Van Gompel, Toon Van Cauteren, Koenraad Nieboer, Inneke Willekens, Guy Verfaillie, Paul Evans, Sven Macholl, Ben Newton, and Johan de Mey. Contrast agent and radiation dose reduction in abdominal CT by a combination of low tube voltage and advanced image reconstruction algorithms. *European radiology*, 25(4):1023–1031, 2015.
- [15] Takeshi Nakaura, Kazuo Awai, Natsuki Maruyama, Noboru Takata, Ichiro Yoshinaka, Kazunori Harada, Shouzaburou Uemura, and Yasuyuki Yamashita. Abdominal dynamic CT in patients with renal dysfunction: Contrast agent dose reduction with low tube voltage and high tube current–time product settings at 256–detector row CT. *Radiology*, 261(2):467–476, 2011.
- [16] Anne B Sigal-Cinqualbre, Remi Hennequin, Hicham T Abada, Xiaoyan Chen, and Jean-François Paul. Low-kilovoltage multi–detector row chest CT in adults: Feasibility and effect on image quality and iodine dose. *Radiology*, 231(1):169–174, 2004.
- [17] Andetta R Hunsaker, Isabel B Oliva, Tianxi Cai, Beatrice Trotman-Dickenson, Ritu R Gill, Hiroto Hatabu, and Frank J Rybicki. Contrast opacification using a reduced volume of iodinated contrast material and low peak kilovoltage in pulmonary CT angiography: Objective and subjective evaluation. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 195(2):W118–W124, 2010.
- [18] Kai Higashigaito, Tabea Schmid, Gilbert Puippe, Fabian Morsbach, Mario Lachat, Burkhardt Seifert, Thomas Pfammatter, Hatem Alkadhi, and Daniela B Husarik. CT angiography of the aorta: Prospective evaluation of individualized low-volume contrast media protocols. *Radiology*, 280(3):960–968, 2016.

- [19] Qingsong Yang, Pingkun Yan, Yanbo Zhang, Hengyong Yu, Yongyi Shi, Xuanqin Mou, Mannudeep K Kalra, Yi Zhang, Ling Sun, and Ge Wang. Low-dose CT image denoising using a generative adversarial network with Wasserstein distance and perceptual loss. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 37(6):1348–1357, 2018.
- [20] Chuang Niu, Mengzhou Li, and Ge Wang. Multiple window learning for CT metal artifact reduction. In *Developments in X-Ray Tomography XIII*, volume 11840, page 161. SPIE, 2021.
- [21] Enhao Gong, John M Pauly, Max Wintermark, and Greg Zaharchuk. Deep learning enables reduced gadolinium dose for contrast-enhanced brain MRI. *Journal of magnetic resonance imaging*, 48(2):330–340, 2018.
- [22] Jens Kleesiek, Jan Nikolas Morshuis, Fabian Isensee, Katerina Deike-Hofmann, Daniel Paech, Philipp Kickingereder, Ullrich Köthe, Carsten Rother, Michael Forsting, Wolfgang Wick, et al. Can virtual contrast enhancement in brain MRI replace gadolinium?: A feasibility study. *Investigative radiology*, 54(10):653–660, 2019.
- [23] Huanyu Luo, Tao Zhang, Nan-Jie Gong, Jonthan Tamir, Srivathsa Pasumarthi Venkata, Cheng Xu, Yunyun Duan, Tao Zhou, Fuqing Zhou, Greg Zaharchuk, et al. Deep learning–based methods may minimize GBCA dosage in brain MRI. *European Radiology*, 31(9):6419–6428, 2021.
- [24] Alexandre Bône, Samy Ammari, Jean-Philippe Lamarque, Mickael Elhaik, Émilie Chouzenoux, François Nicolas, Philippe Robert, Corinne Balleyguier, Nathalie Lassau, and Marc-Michel Rohé. Contrast-enhanced brain MRI synthesis with deep learning: Key input modalities and asymptotic performance. In *2021 IEEE 18th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI)*, pages 1159–1163. IEEE, 2021.
- [25] Javier Montalt-Tordera, Michael Quail, Jennifer A Steeden, and Vivek Muthurangu. Reducing contrast agent dose in cardiovascular MR angiography with deep learning. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 54(3):795–805, 2021.
- [26] Srivathsa Pasumarthi, Jonathan I Tamir, Soren Christensen, Greg Zaharchuk, Tao Zhang, and Enhao Gong. A generic deep learning model for reduced gadolinium dose in contrast-enhanced brain MRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 86(3):1687–1700, 2021.
- [27] Jingya Liu, Yingli Tian, A Muhteşem Ağildere, K Murat Haberal, Mehmet Coşkun, Cihan Duzgol, and Oguz Akin. DyeFreeNet: Deep virtual contrast CT synthesis. In *International Workshop on Simulation and Synthesis in Medical Imaging*, pages 80–89. Springer, 2020.
- [28] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- [29] Wenxiang Cong, Yan Xi, Paul Fitzgerald, Bruno De Man, and Ge Wang. Virtual monoenergetic CT imaging via deep learning. *Patterns*, 1(8):100128, 2020.
- [30] John H Hubbell and Stephen M Seltzer. Tables of X-ray mass attenuation coefficients and mass energy-absorption coefficients 1 keV to 20 MeV for elements Z= 1 to 92 and 48 additional substances of dosimetric interest. Technical report, National Institute of Standards and Technology - PL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA, 1995.
- [31] Tahir Çakır. Determining the photon interaction parameters of iodine compounds as contrast agents for use in radiology. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*, 13(1):252–259, 2020.
- [32] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022.
- [33] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-Net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention. MICCAI 2015*, pages 234–241. Springer, Cham, 2015. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-24574-4_28.