

Snail Homing and Mating Search Algorithm: A Novel Bio-Inspired Metaheuristic Algorithm

Anand J Kulkarni¹, Ishaan R Kale¹, Apoorva Shastri¹, Aayush Khandekar²

¹Institute of Artificial Intelligence, Dr Vishwanath Karad MIT World Peace University, 124 Paud Road, Kothrud, Pune, MH 411038, India

²Stevens School of Business, Stevens Institute of Technology, NJ 07030, USA

anand.j.kulkarni@mitwpu.edu.in; ishaan.kale@mitwpu.edu.in; apoorva.shastri@mitwpu.edu.in;
akhandek1@stevens.edu

Abstract

In this paper, a novel Snail Homing and Mating Search (SHMS) algorithm is proposed. It is inspired from the biological behaviour of the snails. Snails continuously travels to find food and a mate, leaving behind a trail of mucus that serves as a guide for their return. Snails tend to navigate by following the available trails on the ground and responding to cues from nearby shelter homes. The proposed SHMS algorithm is investigated by solving several unimodal and multimodal functions. The solutions are validated using standard statistical tests such as two-sided and pairwise signed rank Wilcoxon test and Friedman rank test. The solution obtained from the SHMS algorithm exhibited superior robustness as well as search space exploration capabilities within the less computational cost. The real-world application of SHMS algorithm is successfully demonstrated in the engineering design domain by solving three cases of design and economic optimization shell and tube heat exchanger problem. The objective function value and other statistical results obtained using SHMS algorithm are compared with other well-known metaheuristic algorithms.

Keywords: Snail Homing and Mating Search algorithm, Metaheuristic, Shell and tube heat exchanger problem, Bio-inspired optimization

1. Introduction

Several nature inspired metaheuristic algorithms so far have been proposed by the researchers. These algorithms are classified into several sub-domains (Kale and Kulkarni, 2021) such as (i) biology/ evolutionary algorithms, (ii) swarm algorithms, (iii) socio inspired algorithms and (vi) physics and chemical based algorithms. Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs) mimics the evolution of several biological species. EAs are derived using the operators such as crossover and mutation. The most commonly used EA is Genetic Algorithm (GA) (Holland, 1992) used these operators to generate the optimum solution. There are several other algorithms like Differential Evolution, Evolutionary Programming (Fogel et al., 1966), Genetic Programming, Evolutionary Strategies (Michalewicz and Schoenauer, 1996). The concept of swarm optimization algorithm was adopted from the literature proposed by Happener and Grenarder (1990). Based on this, Kennedy and Eberhart (1995) proposed Particle Swarm

Optimization (PSO). It mimics the foraging behavior of flock of birds/ school of fishes. The other well-known swarm algorithms are Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) (Colormi et al., 1991), Cat Swarm Optimization (CSO) (Chu et al., 2006), Cuckoo Search (CS) (Yang and Deb, 2009), Firefly Algorithm (FA) (Yang, 2010a), Bat Algorithm (BA) (Yang, 2010a), Snake Optimizer (Hashim and Hussien, 2022), Prairie dog optimization algorithm (Ezugwu et al., 2022), Dwarf mongoose optimization algorithm (Agushaka et al., 2022), etc. The socio inspired algorithms that draws inspiration from social behaviors and dynamics observed in nature and society. These algorithms are mainly classified based on social ideologies, sports, social and cultural interaction and colonization. The algorithms associated with these are as follows: Ideology Algorithm (IA) (Teo et al., 2017), Election Algorithm (EA) (Emami and Derakshan, 2015) and Election Campaign Optimization (ECO) (Lv et al., 2010), League Championship Algorithm (LCA) (Kashan, 2009), Teaching Learning Based Optimization (TLBO) (Rao, et al., 2011), Cohort Intelligence (CI) (Kulkarni et al., 2012), Social Learning Optimization (SLO) (Liu et al., 2016) algorithm, human-inspired metaheuristic algorithm (Dehghani et al., 2022), etc. The physics and chemical based algorithms such as Simulated Annealing (Yao, 1995), Harmony Search Algorithms (Geem et al., 2001), Ray Optimization (Kaveh and Khayatazad, 2012), Optical Inspired Algorithm (OIO) (Kashan, 2015), Colliding Bodies Optimization (CBO) (Kaveh and Mahdavi, 2014), RIME Optimization Algorithm (Su et al., 2023), etc. These algorithms have been widely applied to solved the complex problems from different domains such as design engineering, structural engineering, manufacturing problems, combinatorial optimization, power system, clustering, image processing, etc.

The metaheuristic algorithms are stochastic in nature and do not guarantee optimal solutions to all classes of problems. It has been proven in No-Free-Lunch theorem (Wolpert and Macready 1997). It necessitates to explore the search to obtained the better solutions. This motivates to introduce a new nature inspired algorithm referred as Snail Homing and Mating Search (SHMS) algorithm to solve the wide variety of problems. The SHMS algorithm is inspired from the living habitat of snails. The scientific name of the snail is hermaphroditic gastropods. The snails are found in aquatic systems such as lakes, ponds, rivers and oceans, meaning they can live in both marine systems and freshwater. SHSM algorithm exhibits the trail following behavior (Wells and Buckley, 1972; McFaruume, 1980) in the search of mate and while returning home.

Contributions of the proposed work are as follows:

- Introducing a novel Snail Homing and Mating Search (SHMS) algorithm inspired from the living habitat of the snails.
- Several linear and nonlinear benchmark function are solved to investigate the performance of SHMS algorithm and compared with latest metaheuristic algorithms. The SHMS achieved superior results as compared to other algorithms.
- Three cases of the design and economic optimization of shell and tube heat exchanger problem have been solved.

The organization of the paper is as follows: Section 2 describes the natural behavior of snails. The mathematical formulation of SHMS algorithm followed by the flowchart and graphical representation is presented in Section 3. Solution to unimodal (UM) and multimodal (MM) benchmark test function from SHMS algorithm and its statistical comparison along with result discussion are presented in Section 4. Section 5 represents the performance of SHMS algorithm by solving three cases of design and economic optimization shell and tube heat exchanger problem. The conclusions and future recommendations are presented in section 6.

2. Snail Homing and Mating Search (SHMS) Algorithm

In this section, the behavior of snails is explained in terms of SHMS algorithm. The mechanism of SHMS algorithm comprises of homing, mate searching, trail following returning to the home. SHMS algorithm is a bio-inspired, population-based optimization method capable of handling complex linear and nonlinear optimization problems across various domains. It leverages principles from nature to iteratively improve a population of potential solutions, ultimately finding the best solution to the given problem.

2.1 Biological background

The snails and slugs are basically classified as hermaphroditic gastropods. The class comprises of aquatic, i.e., salt water, fresh water as well as land or terrestrial snails living in humid areas. They have been evolving by continuously adapting to the changing environment. Their basic motivations are satisfying hunger, thirst, finding hiding place or a shelter and reproduce. The snails are in general vegetarian, so to maintain the necessary metabolism and calcium level they keep searching for food (Alfaro, 2007). To facilitate the locomotion associated with it as well as mate-searching they must constantly produce costly mucus which necessarily requires water

supply. The mucus lubrication is very necessary for their stomach foot glide across the surfaces. In order to reduce the water evaporation rate and avoid predators, snails generally take shelter in cool and humid places where moisture is available. As the snails are basically trail following gastropods, it is important to mention that the production of mucus trails is the most energy costly component of the snail locomotion (Ng et al., 2013, Hawkins and Hartnoll, 1983). Snails do have eyes however they are not able to see longer neither they can identify the colors (Chernorizov et al. 1994). So, they follow the mucus trail available on the ground and cues (air-borne chemical smell) arising from nearby home/ nest or from the other snails (Arey and Crozier, 1918, 1921). In addition to utilize the mucus trails left behind by every snail to search for food, water, shelter and mates, the trails are also being used for homing, self-organization, identifying conspecifics as well as discriminating between the trails laid by males and females.

2.2 Homing and Self Organization

It is referred to as a behavioral pattern of returning of gastropods to the specific resting positions crevices, holes, etc. after feeding excursions (Hawkins and Hartnoll, 1983; Ohgushi, 1954). The homes or shelters are generally of temporal persistence for solitary as well as aggregating collective homers. According to Stephenson (1936) and Cook et al. (1969), several snail species do not necessarily follow same trail route as they left, on the other hand, some of the species even artificially displaced or their trails are washed away they still find the trail route to home. This underscores that the snails follow the trails from the conspecifics as well as prevailing wind help in detecting the air-borne chemical cues guiding towards the resting home McFarume (1980) and Cook (1979); however, frequent changes in wind direction forces the snails to also resort to trail following.

2.3 Mate-searching

It has three major phases, viz. locating of right species, sexual selection and sexual conflict. The mucus trail following is a complementary or alternative mate-searching strategy to air-borne chemical cues (Cook, 1977; Reise, 2007). The snails can identify the conspecific trails especially when are sexually aroused (Townsend, 1974; Nakashima, 1995). The size of the fecund females is generally larger as compared to others. The chances of following such snails are quite high as the fertility chances are much higher. In addition, the trail of infected snail is generally not followed as it may be a sterile snail. According to Lodi and Keone (2016), the mating process sets up a conflict. The sperm donor snail desires to maximize the number of eggs fertilization belonging to the receiver

snail. On the other side, the sperm receiver desires to have its eggs fertilized by multiple donors and does not want all the sperms from one donor to reach the eggs. The snails while mating shoot love darts into each other's body. The chemicals contained in the successfully deployed love darts exercises to increase the chances of the donor's sperms to reach the receiver's eggs with reduced chances of wastage, while the receiver's reproductive system has been evolved to counter this donor's manipulation. More specifically, the chemicals contained in the love darts increases the number of contractions between the copulatory canal and sperm digestion sack of the receiver snail, which increases the chances of the donor's sperms to reach the sperm storage sack for egg fertilization. On the other hand, the sperm entry canal referred to as diverticulum tries to increase number of contractions to reduce the number of donor's sperms reaching the copulatory canal. This has in fact given rise to evolution of increasingly potent love-dart mucus.

3 SHMS Algorithm

The graphical representation of SHMS algorithm is presented in Figure 1. For the sake of explanation here H ($H = H_1, H_2, H_3$) number of homes are considered. Each home consists of equal number of snails S ($S = S_1, \dots, S_i, \dots, S_n$). Every snail S_i initialize the position within the vicinity of their home. Snails continuously travel to find food and a mate, leaving behind a trail of mucus that serves as a guide for their return. Snails tend to navigate by following the available trails on the ground and responding to cues from nearby shelter homes. However, their ability to return to their own homes is limited, resulting in variations in the number of snails in each home. The details mathematical formulation of proposed SHMS algorithm is presented in Section 3.




Fig. 1: Snail path and trail following diagram

———— indicates the path and - - - - - indicates the trail

3.1 Mathematical Formulation of SHMS Algorithm

Consider the generalized optimization problem in minimization sense as follows:

$$\min f(\mathbf{X}) = f(X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_n) \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$$

$$\psi = X_i^l \leq X_i \leq X_i^u$$

Step 1: Generation of Home and Snails

Initialize the positions of H homes $\mathbf{X}_H = ((X_1), \dots, (X_h), \dots, (X_H))$ and the associated solutions $(f(X_1), \dots, f(X_h), \dots, f(X_H))$ which are referred to as homes.

Generate S snails at every home h by generating the solution in the close neighborhood of associated home solution $f(X_h)$. The close neighborhood intervals are calculated as follows.

$$\psi_S = X_h \pm c$$

where, c is sampling index which keeps the position of snails within the close neighborhood of its home $f(X_h)$.

The sampling space of each snail is calculated only once during the test. Every snail randomly generates the position as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_S^h = ((X_1), \dots, (X_s), \dots, (X_S))^h$$

The snail solutions associated with every home h , ($h = 1, \dots, H$) are modeled as follows:

$(f(X_1^h), \dots, f(X_s^h), \dots, f(X_S^h))$. The matrix represents the arrangement of number of homes and snails.

Homes	X_1	\dots	X_h	\dots	X_H
Snails	$(X_1)^1$	$(X_1)^h$	$(X_1)^H$	$(X_s)^1$	$(X_s)^h$
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
	$(X_S)^1$	$(X_S)^h$	$(X_S)^H$	$(X_S)^1$	$(X_S)^h$
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
	$(X_S)^1$	$(X_S)^h$	$(X_S)^H$	$(X_S)^1$	$(X_S)^h$




Fig. 2: Flowchart of SHMS Algorithm

Step 2: Calculation of Fecundity Index of snails

If the solution achieved is better as compared to the earlier fecundity of the snail increases. It is measure using fecundity index as follows:

$$I_{s^h} = \left\| \frac{\left(f(X_1^h)^{iter} - f(X_1^h)^{iter-1} \right)}{\left(f(X_1^h)^{iter} - f(X_1^h)^{iter-2} \right)} \right\|.$$

If $I_{s^h} \neq 0$ else $I_{s^h} = rand(0,1)$

The fecundity index I_{s^h} increases if the solution consistently improves and decreases if the solution degenerates.

The positive value of the fecundity index I_{s^h} indicates that the snail is available for mating and negative value indicates that the snail moving towards worsen solution and hence not available for mating.

Step 3: Calculate the Probability and apply roulette wheel approach

Calculate the probability of snails

$$P_s = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{f(X_s^h)} \right)}{\sum_{s=1}^S \left(\frac{1}{f(X_s^h)} \right)} \quad (s = 1, \dots, S)$$

Apply the roulette wheel approach to select fecund snail for mating.

Step 4: Love Dart and Mating

If a snail consistently exhibits increase in fecundity index, then s snails in certain vicinity using a roulette wheel approach mates with the fecund snail. The love dart LD of the better solution snail are more effective. The LD effect is calculated as follows:

$$LD_s = \frac{1}{I_{s^h} \times \left(f(X_s^h) - f(X_{s^h}^{fecund}) \right)}$$

The better snail solution carries more weightage as compared with other snails.

The value of the LD_s are too large hence it is normalized for computational purpose as follows:

$$LD_s = \frac{(LD_s - (LD_s)_{min})}{(LD_s)_{max} - (LD_s)_{min}}$$

Further the LD effect is utilized to calculate the new sampling interval of the snails.

Step 5: Trail/ Cue following returning to the home

The snail having better solution carries more weightage as compared with other snails. The snail solutions with lower probability of obtaining the better solution are biased more towards better solution. Generally, snails follow the trail/ cues while returning to their home. There is a possibility that while returning to their home the snail may follow trail/ cues generated by other fecund snails s_{fecund}^h . It may happen the snail s^h can get the home of other snails. According to that, the snail generates a new position in the vicinity of the current home and continue to travel. These sampling intervals associated with every variable are generated as follows:

$$s_{up}^h = LD_s \times (s^h - s_{fecund}^h)$$

In this paper, several linear and nonlinear test problems and three cases of shell and tube heat exchanger problem are considered for the validation of proposed SHMS algorithm. The SHMS algorithm is coded in MATLAB R2022a and the simulations are run on Windows platform using 11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-1165G7 @ 2.80GHz processor speed and 8 GB RAM. Every individual problem is solved 30 times. The solutions obtained from SHMS algorithm are compared with other well-known nature inspired optimization algorithms discussed in following sections.

4. Solution to Benchmark test functions

The SHMS algorithm is validated by solving a set of different benchmark functions from Abdollahzadeh et al 2021. This set of benchmark functions consists of 2 different groups of unimodal (UM) and multi-modal (MM) functions. The function names and features of the UM and MM benchmark function are shown in Table 1- 3. Every problem in these benchmark test cases is solved 30 times using SHMS algorithm.

Table 1 Details of unimodal benchmark functions

No.	Type	Function	Dimensions	Range	F_{min}
F1	US	Sphere	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-100, 100]^d$	0
F2	UN	Schwefel 2.22	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-10, 10]^d$	0
F3	UN	Schwefel 1.2	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-100, 100]^d$	0
F4	US	Schwefel 2.21	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-100, 100]^d$	0
F5	UN	Rosenbrock	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-30, 30]^d$	0
F6	US	Step	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-100, 100]^d$	0
F7	US	Quartic	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-128, 128]^d$	0

Table 2 Details of multi-modal benchmark functions

No.	Type	Function	Dimensions	Range	F_{min}
F8	MS	Schwefel	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-500, 500]^d$	$-418.9829 \times n$
F9	MS	Rastrigin	30, 100, 500, 1000	$[-5.12, 5.12]^d$	0

F10	MN	Ackley	30, 100, 500, 1000	[-32, 32] ^d	0
F11	MN	Griewank	30, 100, 500, 1000	[-600, 600] ^d	0
F12	MN	Penalized	30, 100, 500, 1000	[-50, 50] ^d	0
F13	MN	Penalized2	30, 100, 500, 1000	[-50, 50] ^d	0

Table 3 Details of fixed-dimension multi-modal benchmark functions

No.	Type	Function	Dimensions	Range	F_{min}
F14	FM	Foxholes	2	[-65, 65] ^d	1
F15	FM	Kowalik	4	[-5, 5] ^d	0.0003
F16	FM	Six Hump Camel	2	[-5, 5] ^d	-1.0316
F17	FM	Branin	2	[-5, 5] ^d	0.398
F18	FM	Goldstein-Price	2	[-2, 2] ^d	3
F19	FM	Hartman 3	3	[1, 3] ^d	-3.86
F20	FM	Hartman 6	6	[0, 1] ^d	-3.32
F21	FM	Shekel 5	4	[0, 10] ^d	-10.1532
F22	FM	Shekel 7	4	[0, 10] ^d	-10.4028
F23	FM	Shekel 10	4	[0, 10] ^d	-10.5363

4.1 Statistical Analysis

The SHMS algorithm proposed here is compared with several recent algorithms such as African Vultures Optimization Algorithm (AVOA) (Abdollahzadeh et al 2021), PSO (Simon, 2008), Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO) (Mirjalili et al., 2014), Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) (Mirjalili & Lewis, 2016), Farmland Fertility Algorithm (FFA) (Shayanfar & Gharehchopogh, 2018), TLBO (Rao, Savsani, & Vakharia, 2012), Moth-flame optimization algorithm (MFO) (Mirjalili, 2015), Biogeography-Based Optimization (BBO) (Simon, 2008), Differential Evolution (DE) (Simon, 2008), Salp Swarm Algorithm (SSA) (Mirjalili et al., 2017), Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) (Rashedi et al., 2009) and Inclined Planes system Optimization (IPO) (Mozaffari et al., 2016). Note that the results for the above-mentioned algorithms were obtained from Abdollahzadeh et al 2021. The comparison of mean solution, best solution and standard deviation (Std. Dev.), mean run time (in seconds) over the 30 runs of the SHMS algorithm solving F1-F13 problems for 30, 100, 500 and 1000 dimensions are represented in Table 4 to Table 7, respectively. The purpose of performing evaluation over different dimensions is to check the Snail algorithms scalability. From the Table 4-7 it is evident that SHMS algorithm demonstrates ability to deliver high quality solutions in various dimensions. In addition, it shows the ability to solve problems having larger dimensions with same set of parameters and without affecting its performance. Table 8 shows the performance comparison of SHMS algorithm for fixed dimension MM problems F14-F23. The algorithm yields comparable results for some of these functions. However, algorithm is not efficient in solving some benchmark functions due

to trapping in the local minima. The snails' behavior is visually represented through convergence plots, contour plots, and 3D mesh plots, revealing the outcomes. Figures 3(a)-3(e) represents the performance of SHMS algorithm on UM benchmark test functions. For the MM benchmark test functions, the performance of SHMS algorithm presented in Figures 4(a)-4(i). In these plots the best function values (best snails) are plotted. However, it is also important to showcase the behaviour of all the snails and their homes. The representation of homes and associated snails for two UM and MM are presented in Figure 5. In these plots, the *triangle* refers to the homes and *dots* refer to the associated snails. From the figures it is evident that SHMS algorithm has an ability of quick convergence which obtained the solution with lesser number of iterations. The convergence plot shows the convergence of the solution, contour plot and 3D mesh plot show the search path of the snails within the function.

A pairwise comparison of SHMS algorithm with every other algorithm is carried out, i.e., the values of 30 independent runs solving every problem using SHMS algorithm are compared with every other algorithm solving 30 independent runs of these problems. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for such pairwise comparison with the significance value α chosen to be 0.05. The algorithms with statistically better solutions for F1-F13 problems found using Wilcoxon signed-rank test for 30, 100, 500 and 1000 dimensions are presented in Table 10 to Table 13, respectively. The results highlighted that the SHMS algorithm performed significantly better than every other algorithm for the dimensions 30 and 100 as shown in Table 10 and 11. However, it is evident from the Table 12 and 13 that SHMS algorithm outperformed every other algorithm except AVOA due to the increase in the number of variables increases i.e., 500 and 1000. Table 14 shows the pairwise comparison of F14-F23 problems where SHMS algorithm could not yield better solutions as compared to other algorithms. Results shown in Table 9 demonstrate that the SHMS algorithm is a robust approach with reasonable computational cost and could quickly reach in the close neighbourhood of the global optimum solution. SHMS algorithm not only has found better solutions than other optimization algorithms in the F1-F13 test functions, but it also performs faster than other optimization algorithms in terms of execution time. It even takes less running time to solve large-scale issues and confirms that the computational complexity of SHMS is less than other algorithms. In addition, for statistical analysis Friedman test is performed. The Friedman test is a nonparametric statistical method used to detect multiple test attempts. Using the one-way repeated analysis of variances the ranks were calculated for multiple algorithms by columns. In the Friedman test, the average of optimum solutions obtained

from 30 runs solving the F1-F13 problem for 30, 100, 500 and 1000 dimensions and F14–F23 problems using SHMS algorithm is compared with other algorithms solving the same benchmark test functions. The Friedman test ranks are based on the mean values obtained by the algorithms (refer to Tables 15 to 19).

Table 4 Results of benchmark functions (F1-F13), with 30 dimensions

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F1	Best	5.44E-269	1.37E+03	6.81E-29	2.27E-07	2.43E-87	1.97E-100	1.01E-01	3.15E+00	7.46E-05	2.75E-08	2.11E-16	1.82E-12	0.00E+00
	Worst	6.05E-198	4.27E+03	3.14E-26	2.17E-06	2.01E-69	2.26E-95	1.00E+04	6.33E+00	7.89E-04	8.75E-07	8.65E-03	3.88E-10	0.00E+00
	Mean	2.01,199	2.37E+03	2.59E-27	7.88E-07	8.04E-71	1.66E-96	1.66E+03	4.47E+00	3.36E-04	2.07E-07	2.88E-04	4.76E-11	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	6.17E+02	5.83E-27	5.15E-07	3.72E-70	4.36E-96	3.78E+03	7.54E-01	1.83E-04	2.17,07	1.58E-03	8.42E-11	0.00E+00
F2	Best	2.87E-136	1.13E+01	1.29E-17	1.35E-06	5.07E-59	1.57E-50	8.00E-02	3.90E-01	1.54E-03	2.55E-01	5.64E-08	6.77E-08	0.00E+00
	Worst	2.58E-102	2.77E+01	4.34E-16	8.00E-06	2.16E-48	1.11E-48	7.00E+01	6.00E-01	5.95E-03	8.00E+00	1.19E+00	1.27E-05	0.00E+00
	Mean	8.72E-104	1.91E+01	9.02E-17	3.82E-06	7.41E-50	1.89E-49	2.92E+01	5.05E-01	3.48E-03	2.39E+00	1.40E-01	8.28E-07	0.00E+00
	SID	4.71E-103	3.55E+00	8.98E-17	1.54E-06	3.94E-49	2.79E-49	2.03E+01	4.53E-02	1.00E-03	1.72E+00	3.34E-01	2.37E-06	0.00E+00
F3	Best	4.92E-217	4.07E+03	9.78E-08	2.78E+03	1.02E+04	2.76E-26	3.45E+03	2.51E+02	2.17E+04	4.40E+02	6.57E+02	1.30E+00	0.00E+00
	Worst	2.35E-143	2.29E+04	7.58E-04	7.39E+03	6.08E+04	2.93E-21	4.48E+04	1.00E+03	5.36E+04	5.37E+03	1.77E+03	4.53E+00	0.00E+00
	Mean	7.83E-145	9.20E+03	4.02E-05	5.03E+03	4.18E+04	3.35E-22	2.23E+04	4.98E+02	3.64E+04	1.83E+03	1.09E+03	2.41E+00	0.00E+00
	STD	4.29E-144	4.24E+03	1.46E-04	1.32E+03	1.32E+04	6.76E-22	1.19E+04	1.49E+02	7.01E+03	1.02E+03	3.11E+02	7.86E-01	0.00E+00
F4	Best	2.57E-134	1.57E+01	6.93E-08	1.33E+01	5.27E+00	1.02E-42	5.39E+01	1.21E+00	6.27E+00	5.22E+00	4.27E+00	2.41E-02	0.00E+00
	Worst	2.84E-102	2.80E+01	6.17E-06	2.11E+01	8.61E+01	3.41E-40	8.28E+01	2.12E+00	1.75E+01	1.52E+01	1.12E+01	8.47E-02	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.03E-103	2.14E+01	7.54E-07	1.64E+01	4.94E+01	9.51E-41	6.77E+01	1.56E+00	9.40E+00	1.06E+01	7.43E+00	4.59E-02	0.00E+00
	STD	5.18E-103	3.51E+00	1.16E-06	2.39E+00	2.79E+01	1.07E-40	8.29E+00	2.00E-01	2.32E+00	2.54E+00	1.95E+00	1.48E-02	0.00E+00
F5	Best	1.96E-05	1.48E+05	2.61E+01	1.51E+01	2.72E+01	2.66E+01	1.17E+02	4.99E+01	2.75E+01	2.51E+01	2.46E+01	1.34E+02	2.89E+01
	Worst	2.56E-02	1.62E+06	2.88E+01	1.85E+02	2.84E+01	2.84E+01	7.99E+07	1.64E+03	2.38E+02	1.08E+03	3.27E+02	3.96E+02	2.90E+01
	Mean	6.50E-03	5.15E+05	2.70E+01	7.31E+01	2.76E+01	2.72E+01	2.69E+06	2.24E+02	6.00E+01	1.85E+02	8.34E+01	2.27E+02	2.90E+01
	STD	7.15E-03	3.37E+05	7.53E-01	3.94E+01	3.94E-01	4.23E-01	1.45E+07	3.60E+02	6.31E+01	2.46E+02	6.72E+01	7.44E+01	2.24E-02
F6	Best	2.43E-07	1.19E+03	9.91E-05	1.07E-06	1.00,01	3.37E-07	2.80,01	1.37E+00	7.32E-05	8.02,08	1.16E-16	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Worst	6.58E-06	3.55E+03	1.47E+00	1.24E-01	8.78E-01	5.71E-05	1.95E+04	3.17E+00	5.72E-04	6.62E-05	5.48E-03	4.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Mean	2.43E-06	2.35E+03	7.34E-01	4.26E-03	3.80E-01	1.06E-05	2.66E+03	2.36E+00	2.65E-04	9.76E-06	1.83E-04	9.33E-01	0.00E+00
	STD	1.89E-06	5.34E+02	3.06E-01	2.25E-02	1.91E-01	1.28E-05	5.18E+03	4.18,01	1.47E-04	1.61E-05	1.00E-03	1.17E+00	0.00E+00
F7	Best	4.26E-06	1.00,01	6.31E-04	1.94E-02	1.60E-04	4.27E-04	9.10,02	6.34E-03	3.00E-02	6.10,02	2.22E-02	9.85E-03	3.27E-04
	Worst	7.61E-04	8.91E-01	6.15E-03	8.19E-02	1.46E-02	2.66E-03	8.25E+00	2.67E-02	7.44E-02	3.17E-01	1.60E-01	4.36E-02	1.62E-02
	Mean	2.52E-04	3.77E-01	2.35E-03	5.03E-02	3.24E-03	1.27E-03	1.33E+00	1.62E-02	4.90E-02	1.71E-01	8.69E-02	2.75E-02	4.88E-03
	STD	2.05E-04	2.10E-01	1.34E-03	1.51E-02	3.45E-03	5.77E-04	2.58E+00	5.71E-03	1.15E-02	7.63E-02	3.90E-02	9.80E-03	3.53E-03

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F8	Best	-1.25E+04	-5.10E+03	-7.31E+03	-9.37E+03	-1.25E+04	-9.54E+03	-1.00E+04	-9.04E+03	-7.59E+03	-9.33E+03	-3.34E+03	-4.12E+03	0.00E+00
	Worst	-1.21E+04	-2.69E+03	-4.69E+03	-4.88E+03	-7.98E+03	-5.86E+03	-6.47E+03	-7.01E+03	-6.05E+03	-6.14E+03	-1.70E+03	-2.52E+03	0.00E+00
	Mean	-1.25E+04	-3.82E+03	-5.99E+03	-6.89E+03	-9.69E+03	-7.39E+03	-8.51E+03	-8.10E+03	-6.72E+03	-7.32E+03	-2.47E+03	-3.28E+03	0.00E+00
	STD	1.00E+02	6.19E+02	6.14E+02	1.14E+03	1.50E+03	9.60E+02	7.76E+02	5.65E+02	3.51E+02	7.84E+02	3.72E+02	3.60E+02	0.00E+00
F9	Best	0.00E+00	1.08E+02	5.68E-14	3.59E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	7.57E+01	2.59E+01	1.29E+02	2.98E+01	1.39E+01	9.25E+00	0.00E+00
	Worst	0.00E+00	2.11E+02	1.93E+01	1.66E+02	0.00E+00	4.52E+01	2.61E+02	8.01E+01	1.74E+02	9.55E+01	4.68E+01	3.17E+01	0.00E+00
	Mean	0.00E+00	1.48E+02	2.00E+00	7.96E+01	0.00E+00	2.08E+01	1.55E+02	5.22E+01	1.57E+02	5.05E+01	2.92E+01	1.68E+01	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	2.16E+01	4.07E+00	3.10E+01	0.00E+00	9.68E+00	4.15E+01	1.30E+01	1.06E+01	1.58E+01	7.25E+00	4.32E+00	0.00E+00
F10	Best	8.88E-16	6.71E+00	7.19E-14	9.29E-05	8.84E-16	4.44E-15	5.58E-01	3.80E-01	3.04E-03	1.34E+00	7.68E-09	1.61E+00	4.44E-16
	Worst	8.88E-16	1.20E+01	1.35E-13	8.73E-04	7.95E-15	7.99E-15	2.00E+01	7.63E-01	7.78E-03	6.28E+00	1.16E+00	3.83E+00	4.44E-16
	Mean	8.88E-16	1.02E+01	9.70E-14	3.44E-04	4.08E-15	5.98E-15	1.40E+01	5.90E-01	5.19E-03	2.99E+00	6.95E-02	2.30E+00	4.44E-16
	STD	0.00E+00	1.30E+00	1.55E-14	1.62E-04	2.35E-15	1.79E-15	7.67E+00	9.94E-02	1.32E-03	1.19E+00	2.66E-01	4.82E-01	0.00E+00
F11	Best	0.00E+00	1.22E+01	0.00E+00	2.77E-06	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.32E-01	9.32E-01	3.26E-04	1.59E-03	1.21E+01	1.02E-03	0.00E+00
	Worst	0.00E+00	3.24E+01	2.93E-02	3.53E-02	1.89E-01	1.20E-04	9.10E+01	1.07E+00	1.29E-01	6.12E-02	4.75E+01	4.30E-02	0.00E+00
	Mean	0.00E+00	2.11E+01	4.70E-03	6.41E-03	2.21E-02	4.98E-06	8.37E+00	1.01E+00	1.44E-02	1.69E-02	2.88E+01	1.10E-02	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	5.28E+00	8.48E-03	7.85E-03	5.38E-02	2.23E-05	2.34E+01	2.46E-02	3.48E-02	1.26E-02	6.76E+00	9.04E-03	0.00E+00
F12	Best	1.72E-08	9.28E+00	1.26E-02	7.85E-05	5.89E-03	6.03E-10	2.08E+00	2.94E-03	5.02E-05	1.44E+00	1.04E-01	3.05E-02	0.00E+00
	Worst	1.29E-06	3.58E+03	1.15E-01	1.96E+00	3.17E-01	1.81E-05	5.04E+03	1.38E-02	1.46E-03	1.43E+01	4.34E+00	1.46E+00	0.00E+00
	Mean	3.79E-07	2.74E+02	5.22E-02	1.32E-01	2.95E-02	1.25E-06	1.80E+02	8.11E-03	3.92E-04	7.24E+00	2.01E+00	3.75E-01	0.00E+00
	STD	3.32E-07	6.87E+02	2.56E-02	3.72E-01	5.49E-02	3.53E-06	9.18E+02	2.47E-03	4.13E-04	3.26E+00	1.13E+00	3.69E-01	0.00E+00
F13	Best	8.67E-07	1.36E+04	6.35E-02	1.01E-04	1.44E-01	4.00E-02	4.14E+00	8.53E-02	2.20E-04	2.09E-02	4.00E-02	6.38E-02	1.74E+00
	Worst	8.85E-05	9.43E+05	1.05E+00	2.89E-01	1.37E+00	1.01E+00	1.91E+03	1.80E-01	7.14E-03	4.88E+01	3.69E+01	2.71E-01	3.00E+00
	Mean	1.10E-05	1.66E+05	6.10E-01	4.78E-02	5.38E-01	4.38E-01	1.24E+02	1.23E-01	1.60E-03	1.80E+01	1.17E+01	1.21E-01	2.76E+00
	STD	1.56E-05	2.15E+05	2.63E-01	7.61E-02	3.19E-01	2.69E-01	3.82E+02	2.52E-02	1.59E-03	1.41E+01	8.46E+00	4.09E-02	3.11E-01

Table 5 Results of benchmark functions (F1-F13), with 100 dimensions

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F1	Best	4.37E-260	9.85E+03	3.22E-13	1.75E+03	7.24E-84	2.00E-92	3.00E+04	1.66E+02	2.44E+03	7.65E+02	2.74E+03	3.59E-01	0.00E+00
	Worst	2.77E-193	2.44E+04	8.28E-12	3.29E+03	1.65E-69	1.68E-89	8.90E+04	2.64E+02	5.31E+03	2.73E+03	6.84E+03	2.72E+00	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.35E-194	1.38E+04	1.89E-12	2.43E+03	5.62E-71	1.30E-90	5.84E+04	2.17E+02	3.50E+03	1.51E+03	4.25E+03	1.01E+00	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	3.24E+03	1.69E-12	4.00E+02	3.02E-70	3.09E-90	1.30E+04	2.26E+01	7.32E+02	4.62E+02	8.52E+02	6.69E-01	0.00E+00
F2	Best	1.75E-139	6.96E+01	1.31E-08	1.72E+01	2.78E-56	2.55E-47	1.95E+02	9.57E+00	3.40E+01	2.94E+01	1.26E+01	4.08E+00	0.00E+00
	Worst	4.65E-103	1.06E+02	5.88E-08	5.20E+01	1.02E-48	1.48E-45	3.39E+02	1.26E+01	8.40E+01	1.25E+02	3.38E+01	1.01E+01	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.66E-104	8.92E+01	3.85E-08	2.65E+01	6.24E-50	4.27E-46	2.42E+02	1.01E+01	6.26E+01	5.13E+01	1.84E+01	6.53E+00	0.00E+00
	STD	8.49E-104	9.79E+00	1.07E-08	7.18E+00	2.02E-49	4.36E-46	2.80E+01	7.06E-01	1.20E+01	1.63E+01	4.78E+00	1.48E+00	0.00E+00
F3	Best	2.06E-208	2.98E+04	3.91E+01	1.54E+05	6.46E+05	5.39E-14	1.17E+05	3.90E+04	3.04E+05	1.65E+04	8.84E+03	2.90E+03	0.00E+00
	Worst	3.71E-132	1.86E+05	2.93E+03	2.33E+05	1.71E+06	1.16E-08	3.35E+05	7.08E+04	5.73E+05	1.01 e+05	2.64E+04	9.60E+03	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.28E-133	9.60E+04	6.03E+02	2.14E+05	1.06E+06	7.90E-10	2.34E+05	5.01E+04	4.76E+05	5.10E+04	1.54E+04	4.76E+03	0.00E+00
	STD	6.77E-133	3.64E+04	6.81E+02	1.56E+04	2.92E+05	2.51E-09	6.22E+04	8.35E+03	6.00E+04	2.45E+04	4.59E+03	1.30E+03	0.00E+00
F4	Best	2.62E-129	2.41E+01	1.49E-01	8.88E+01	2.74E+01	7.36E-39	8.77E+01	1.54E+01	9.02E+01	2.30E+01	1.63E+01	8.64E+00	0.00E+00
	Worst	2.74E-100	4.00E+01	2.37E+00	9.76E+01	9.77E+01	4.44E-37	9.74E+01	2.75E+01	9.80E+01	3.75E+01	2.32E+01	1.37E+01	0.00E+00
	Mean	9.17E-102	3.07E+01	5.79E-01	9.53E+01	8.22E+01	1.17E-37	9.36E+01	2.04E+01	9.49E+01	2.92E+01	1.95E+01	1.06E+01	0.00E+00
	STD	5.00E-101	3.75E+00	4.84E-01	1.81E+00	1.67E+01	1.09E-37	2.11E+00	2.93E+00	1.85E+00	3.46E+00	1.90E+00	1.30E+00	0.00E+00
F5	Best	4.67E-04	2.44E+06	9.59E+01	4.28E+06	9.77E+01	9.68E+01	6.30E+07	4.19E+03	2.42E+06	4.71E+04	3.66E+04	5.28E+03	2.89E+01
	Worst	2.88E-01	7.32E+06	9.81E+01	2.10E+07	9.81E+01	9.84E+01	2.56E+08	7.36E+03	8.88E+06	2.55E+05	2.63E+05	2.59E+04	2.90E+01
	Mean	5.12E-02	4.75E+06	9.77E+01	1.17E+07	9.79E+01	9.76E+01	1.50E+08	5.31E+03	5.34E+06	1.31E+05	1.15E+05	1.07E+04	2.90E+01
	STD	7.27E-02	1.34E+06	5.87E-01	3.66E+06	2.15E-01	3.78E-01	5.84E+07	9.20E+02	1.61E+06	5.60E+04	6.87E+04	3.85E+03	1.64E-02
F6	Best	3.29E-06	1.04E+04	6.88E+00	1.36E+03	2.10E+00	4.72E+00	2.79E+04	1.76E+02	2.38E+03	6.53E+02	2.96E+03	3.40E+01	0.00E+00
	Worst	3.51E-03	1.89E+04	1.32E+01	3.29E+03	6.92E+00	9.46E+00	1.00E+05	2.99E+02	4.81E+03	2.72E+03	8.75E+03	1.40E+03	0.00E+00
	Mean	7.23E-04	1.44E+04	1.00E+01	2.41E+03	4.26E+00	7.39E+00	5.91E+04	2.30E+02	3.39E+03	1.52E+03	4.65E+03	1.27E+02	0.00E+00
	STD	1.00E-03	2.38E+03	1.55E+00	4.63E+02	1.25E+00	1.08E+00	1.45E+04	2.57E+01	6.31E+02	4.43E+02	1.10E+03	2.50E+02	0.00E+00
F7	Best	9.38E-06	3.15E+00	2.54E-03	9.39E+00	5.81E-05	5.17E-04	5.24E+01	8.27E-02	3.39E+00	1.50E+00	2.15E+00	9.33E-01	9.29E-05
	Worst	4.68E-04	1.34E+01	1.08E-02	2.06E+01	1.27E-02	3.20E-03	5.24E+02	1.68E-01	1.21E+01	4.72E+00	9.99E+00	3.60E+01	2.53E-02
	Mean	1.83E-04	7.55E+00	6.72E-03	1.37E+01	3.53E-03	1.77E-03	2.41E+02	1.25E-01	6.56E+00	2.75E+00	4.38E+00	4.49E+00	6.30E-03
	STD	1.38E-04	2.64E+00	2.26E-03	3.33E+00	3.52E-03	6.45E-04	1.11E+02	2.63E-02	2.14E+00	7.48E-01	1.82E+00	7.89E+00	5.78E-03

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F8	Best	-4.15E+04	-1.06E+04	-2.01 e+04	-1.92E+04	-4.18E+04	-2.34E+04	-2.58E+04	-2.55E+04	-1.37E+04	-2.59E+04	-6.02E+03	-1.36E+04	-4.84E+03
	Worst	-4.12E-04	-6.28E+03	-5.85E+03	-8.89E+03	-2.52E+04	-1.05E+04	-1.82E+04	-2.02E+04	-1.09E+04	-1.79E+04	-2.87E+03	-4.91E+03	-2.24E+01
	Mean	-4.14E+04	-7.62E+03	-1.58E+04	-1.36E+04	-3.40E+04	-1.69E+04	-2.17E+04	-2.25E+04	-1.18E+04	-2.14E+04	-4.05E+03	-1.07E+04	-1.23E+03
	STD	5.28E+01	1.13E+03	3.05E+03	3.09E+03	5.69E+03	2.71E+03	1.83E+03	1.03E+03	6.65E+02	1.73E+03	7.99E+02	1.93E+03	1.09E+03
F9	Best	0.00E+00	6.66E+02	1.38E-10	6.44E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	7.43E+02	2.55E+02	9.10E+02	1.54E+02	1.37E+02	2.40E+02	0.00E+00
	Worst	0.00E+00	8.35E+02	2.39E+01	1.08E+03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.00E+03	3.98E+02	1.02E+03	3.03E+02	2.65E+02	3.43E+02	0.00E+00
	Mean	0.00E+00	7.36E+02	8.83E+00	8.92E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.64E+02	3.17E+02	9.80E+02	2.35E+02	1.93E+02	2.79E+02	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	4.13E+01	6.15E+00	1.19E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	7.54E+01	3.33E+01	2.85E+01	3.87E+01	3.64E+01	2.58E+01	0.00E+00
F10	Best	8.88E-16	1.09E+01	7.13E-08	7.59E+00	8.88E-16	4.44E-15	1.94E+01	3.18E+00	7.87E+00	8.38E+00	3.54E+00	4.27E+00	4.44E-16
	Worst	8.88E-16	1.32E+01	3.21E-07	9.84E+00	7.99E-15	7.99E-15	2.03E+01	3.56E+00	1.00E+01	1.23E+01	6.83E+00	6.45E+00	4.44E-16
	Mean	8.88E-16	1.19E+01	1.30E-07	8.68E+00	4.08E-15	7.63E-15	1.99E+01	3.42E+00	9.11E+00	1.01E+01	4.96E+00	4.93E+00	4.44E-16
	STD	0.00E+00	6.76E-01	6.01E-08	5.81E-01	1.94E-15	1.05E-15	1.31E-01	1.19E-01	6.18E-01	1.10E+00	7.62E-01	5.55E-01	0.00E+00
F11	Best	0.00E+00	9.56E+01	1.93E-13	1.48E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.55E+02	2.65E+00	1.64E+01	6.66E+00	6.10E+02	3.47E-01	0.00E+00
	Worst	0.00E+00	1.77E+02	3.18E-02	3.22E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	7.09E+02	3.59E+00	5.61E+01	2.20E+01	7.86E+02	1.09E+00	0.00E+00
	Mean	0.00E+00	1.23E+02	5.30E-03	2.29E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	5.33E+02	3.17E+00	3.13E+01	1.34E+01	6.92E+02	8.22E-01	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	2.15E+01	1.09E-02	4.15E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.05E+02	2.01E-01	8.05E+00	3.60E+00	4.12E+01	2.48E-01	0.00E+00
F12	Best	4.01E-07	4.25E+02	1.46E-01	1.09E+07	1.83E-02	7.43E-02	5.11E+07	1.22E+00	3.46E+06	1.20E+01	4.70E+00	5.24E+00	0.00E+00
	Worst	6.36E-05	6.15E+05	5.08E-01	5.79E+07	1.30E-01	1.62E-01	6.24E+08	1.27E+01	1.94E+07	5.70E+01	1.93E+01	8.15E+00	0.00E+00
	Mean	6.55E-06	1.21E+05	3.07E-01	2.26E+07	5.34E-02	1.17E-01	2.84E+08	4.05E+00	9.18E+06	3.23E+01	1.17E+01	6.92E+00	0.00E+00
	STD	1.25E-05	1.30E+05	7.64E-02	1.01E+07	2.89E-02	2.31E-02	1.62E+08	2.41E+00	4.31E+06	9.77E+00	3.91E+00	8.03E-01	0.00E+00
F13	Best	3.39E-05	7.78E+05	5.76E+00	1.74E+07	1.26E+00	6.62E+00	1.76E+08	9.06E+00	6.15E+06	1.60E+02	1.86E+02	5.39E+00	9.99E+00
	Worst	2.88E-03	8.58E+06	7.51E+00	8.77E+07	4.62E+00	9.92E+00	1.14E+09	1.34E+01	3.56E+07	5.85E+04	3.02E+04	1.60E+02	1.00E+01
	Mean	7.54E-04	3.39E+06	6.72E+00	5.33E+07	2.75E+00	8.09E+00	5.71E+08	1.13E+01	1.68E+07	6.04E+03	4.90E+03	3.59E+01	1.00E+01
	STD	7.67E-04	2.04E+06	3.97E-01	1.87E+07	9.29E-01	9.62E-01	2.90E+08	1.18E+00	7.34E+06	1.19E+04	7.72E+03	4.23E+01	2.91E-03

Table 6 Results of benchmark functions (F1-F13), with 500 dimensions

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F1	Best	3.18E-249	7.46E+04	6.20E-04	4.86E+05	1.40E-83	3.47E-88	1.05E+06	6.32E+03	4.89E+05	7.64E+04	5.02E+04	9.28E+03	0.00E+00
	Worst	2.94E-199	1.19E+05	2.89E-03	5.62E+05	6.09E-66	4.43E-85	1.22E+06	8.16E+03	6.17E+05	1.05E+05	6.22E+04	1.39E+04	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.04E-200	9.80E+04	1.57E-03	5.25E+05	2.05E-67	4.13E-86	1.12E+06	7.15E+03	5.63E+05	9.33E+04	5.57E+04	1.13E+04	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	1.30E+04	5.47E-04	2.02E+04	1.11,66	9.06E-86	3.11E+04	5.43E+02	2.92E+04	8.25E+03	2.82E+03	1.17E+03	0.00E+00
F2	Best	2.15E-131	4.49E+02	8.12E-03	1.77E+52	2.13E-55	4.25E-45	5.79E+75	2.05E+02	1.44E+03	4.97E+02	2.80E+02	1.64E+02	0.00E+00
	Worst	1.00E-99	6.36E+02	1.42E-02	7.09E+117	9.69E-47	3.44E-43	1.77E+120	2.75E+02	1.54E+03	5.75E+02	3.23E+270	1.98E+02	0.00E+00
	Mean	3.38E-101	5.33E+02	1.09E-02	2.36E+116	3.89E-48	3.95E-44	6.04E+118	2.29E+02	1.50E+03	5.31E+02	1.08E+269	1.81E+02	0.00E+00
	STD	1.84E-100	4.81E+01	1.58E-03	1.29E+117	1.78E-47	6.78E-44	3.24E+119	1.72E+01	3.50E+01	2.00E+01	1.00E+300	9.43E+00	0.00E+00
F3	Best	7.15E-196	1.00E+06	2.33E+05	4.74E+06	1.49E+07	6.47E-08	3.31E+06	1.19E+06	8.87E+06	5.20E+05	3.92E+05	1.10E+05	0.00E+00
	Worst	8.95E-102	5.44E+06	5.27E+05	6.41E+06	5.22E+07	8.04E-03	6.39E+06	2.34E+06	1.32E+07	2.39E+06	3.88E+06	2.20E+05	0.00E+00
	Mean	2.98E-103	2.29E+06	3.57E+05	5.57E+06	3.04E+07	6.76E-04	4.80E+06	1.55E+06	1.16E+07	1.24E+06	1.17E+06	1.48E+05	0.00E+00
	STD	1.63E-102	8.31E+05	7.70E+04	4.80E+05	1.00E+07	1.99E-03	9.16E+05	2.25E+05	1.10E+06	6.10E+05	6.80E+05	2.78E+04	0.00E+00
F4	Best	1.87E-136	3.44E+01	5.69E+01	9.83E+01	1.62E+01	8.57E-37	9.82E+01	4.86E+01	9.88E+01	3.50E+01	2.55E+01	1.84E+01	0.00E+00
	Worst	3.49E-100	4.77E+01	7.77E+01	9.92E+01	9.87E+01	4.51E-35	9.91E+01	5.75E+01	9.92E+01	4.86E+01	3.27E+01	2.19E+01	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.47E-101	3.82E+01	6.47E+01	9.89E+01	8.19E+01	8.65E-36	9.85E+01	5.27E+01	9.89E+01	4.03E+01	2.87E+01	2.04E+01	0.00E+00
	STD	6.43E-101	2.73E+00	5.77E+00	3.01E-01	2.10E+01	9.83E-36	3.71E-01	2.07E+00	1.98E-01	3.30E+00	1.56E+00	9.22E-01	0.00E+00
F5	Best	3.34E-03	2.16E+07	4.93E+02	1.72E+09	4.91E+02	4.94E+02	4.64E+09	6.08E+05	1.75E+09	2.75E+07	6.49E+06	2.31E+06	2.89E+01
	Worst	1.86E+01	6.50E+07	4.96E+02	2.95E+09	4.97E+02	4.97E+02	5.45E+09	1.01E+06	6.00E+09	4.72E+07	1.40E+07	3.53E+06	2.90E+01
	Mean	3.66E+00	4.31E+07	4.94E+02	2.30E+09	4.93E+02	4.95E+02	5.02E+09	8.44E+05	2.82E+09	3.71E+07	8.69E+06	2.85E+06	2.90E+01
	STD	4.12E+00	1.13E+07	3.30E-01	3.17E+08	3.52E-01	1.13E-01	2.21E+08	9.37E+04	8.04E+08	4.14E+06	1.62E+06	3.81E+05	1.83E-02
F6	Best	2.76E-04	7.35E+04	8.75E+01	4.72E+05	1.89E+01	9.06E+01	1.05E+06	6.66E+03	4.87E+05	7.57E+04	5.00E+04	1.36E+04	0.00E+00
	Worst	4.78E-01	1.20E+05	9.44E+01	5.73E+05	4.73E+01	9.82E+01	1.21E+06	8.51E+03	6.23E+05	1.08E+05	6.27E+04	2.71E+04	0.00E+00
	Mean	5.90E-02	9.65E+04	9.14E+01	5.29E+05	3.24E+01	9.42E+01	1.15E+06	7.35E+03	5.50E+05	9.42E+04	5.70E+04	1.96E+04	0.00E+00
	STD	1.03E-01	1.42E+04	1.68E+00	2.55E+04	8.30E+00	2.05E+00	3.50E+04	4.77E+02	3.53E+04	6.51E+03	2.75E+03	4.06E+03	0.00E+00
F7	Best	2.71E-06	1.74E+02	2.62E-02	1.23E+04	1.43E-04	5.14E-04	3.28E+04	3.67E+02	1.16E+04	2.08E+02	7.08E+02	1.77E+03	2.60E-03
	Worst	5.53E-04	6.70E+02	7.89E-02	1.91E+04	1.40E-02	3.30E-03	4.23E+04	7.03E+02	2.04E+04	3.54E+02	1.44E+03	4.79E+03	2.84E-02
	Mean	2.09E-04	3.50E+02	5.20E-02	1.59E+04	4.65E-03	1.67E-03	3.87E+04	4.96E+02	1.55E+04	2.75E+02	9.88E+02	2.86E+03	1.02E-02
	STD	1.54E-04	1.35E+02	1.36E-02	1.49E+03	4.70E-03	6.18E-04	2.31E+03	9.00E+01	2.19E+03	3.96E+01	1.74E+02	7.30E+02	6.91E-03

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F8	Best	-2.13e-F05	-2.25E+04	-6.50E+04	-3.92E+04	-2.09E+05	-6.07E+04	-7.14E+04	-7.49E+04	-2.76E+04	-6.80E+04	-1.61E+04	-4.85E+04	-7.90E+03
	Worst	-2.10e-F05	-1.34E+04	-4.96E+04	-1.84E+04	-1.26E+05	-2.57E+04	-5.01E+04	-6.62E+04	-2.38E+04	-4.93E+04	-6.32E+03	-9.29E+03	-5.07E+01
	Mean	-2.12E+05	-1.78E+04	-5.80E+04	-2.73E+04	-1.69E+05	-3.96E+04	-6.22E+04	-7.07E+04	-2.55E+04	-6.08E+04	-1.10E+04	-3.06E+04	-2.75E+03
	STD	6.16E+02	2.57E+03	3.75E+03	5.92E+03	3.09E+04	9.39E+03	4.89E+03	2.53E+03	1.12E+03	4.37E+03	2.27E+03	1.44E+04	2.76E+03
F9	Best	0.00e-F00	4.28E+03	2.37E+01	6.50E+03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.61E+03	5.75E+03	6.51E+03	2.95E+03	2.53E+03	3.17E+03	0.00E+00
	Worst	0.00e-F00	4.86E+03	1.61E+02	7.02E+03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	7.27E+03	6.41E+03	7.10E+03	3.34E+03	2.98E+03	3.45E+03	0.00E+00
	Mean	0.00E+00	4.61E+03	7.88E+01	6.84E+03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.93E+03	6.05E+03	6.75E+03	3.16E+03	2.73E+03	3.33E+03	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00e-F00	1.58E+02	2.83E+01	1.16E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.65E+02	1.80E+02	1.24E+02	1.04E+02	1.16E+02	7.02E+01	0.00E+00
F10	Best	8.88E-16	1.21E+01	1.23E-03	1.95E+01	8.88E-16	4.44E-15	2.03E+01	2.02E+01	1.91E+01	1.38E+01	1.01E+01	1.35E+01	4.44E-16
	Worst	8.88E-16	1.44E+01	3.38E-03	1.98E+01	7.99E-15	7.99E-15	2.05E+01	2.05E+01	2.01E+01	1.46E+01	1.11E+01	1.46E+01	4.44E-16
	Mean	8.88E-16	1.30E+01	1.90E-03	1.97E+01	4.44E-15	7.87E-15	2.04E+01	2.03E+01	1.95E+01	1.42E+01	1.05E+01	1.41E+01	4.44E-16
	STD	0.00e-F00	6.47E-01	4.40E-04	9.58E-02	2.46E-15	6.48E-16	1.49E-01	8.64E-02	1.45E-01	2.27E-01	2.32E-01	2.56E-01	0.00E+00
F11	Best	0.00E+00	6.73E+02	1.07E-04	4.18E+03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	9.83E+03	2.27E+03	4.02E+03	7.64E+02	8.17E+03	8.63E+01	0.00E+00
	Worst	0.00e-F00	1.28E+03	1.29E-01	5.16E+03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.06E+04	3.30E+03	5.53E+03	9.47E+02	8.99E+03	1.11E+02	0.00E+00
	Mean	0.00e-F00	9.41E+02	3.35E-02	4.71E+03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.02E+04	3.02E+03	5.03E+03	8.46E+02	8.618+03	9.618+01	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	1.74E+02	4.90E-02	2.14E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.86E+02	2.62E+02	3.34E+02	5.56E+01	2.05E+02	5.73E+00	0.00E+00
F12	Best	8.97E-09	8.55E+05	6.59E-01	4.04E+09	2.45E-02	5.89E-01	1.05E+10	3.84E+08	4.69E+09	2.27E+05	1.99E+02	1.39E+01	0.00E+00
	Worst	4.98E-04	1.47E+07	8.21E-01	9.90E+09	1.87E-01	7.19E-01	1.24E+10	7.24E+08	1.83E+10	3.69E+06	8.02E+04	2.42E+01	0.00E+00
	Mean	4.19E-05	4.05E+06	7.43E-01	7.44E+09	8.59E-02	6.52E-01	1.20E+10	4.95E+08	1.13E+10	1.39E+06	1.46E+04	1.92E+01	0.00E+00
	STD	9.45E-05	3.49E+06	4.36E-02	1.53E+09	4.24E-02	3.33E-02	5.00E+08	8.51E+07	4.24E+09	7.92E+05	1.91E+04	2.40E+00	0.00E+00
F13	Best	3.38E-04	1.41E+07	4.88E+01	7.74E+09	9.45E+00	4.98E+01	1.94E+10	8.71E+08	7.35E+09	1.85E+07	1.69E+06	6.78E+03	5.00E+01
	Worst	1.99E-01	1.27E+08	5.40E+01	1.42E+10	2.58E+01	4.99E+01	2.42E+10	1.75E+09	3.43E+10	5.92E+07	7.18E+06	5.47E+04	5.00E+01
	Mean	2.52E-02	5.34E+07	5.11E+01	1.13E+10	1.80E+01	4.99E+01	2.21E+10	1.35E+09	1.47E+10	3.32E+07	3.89E+06	2.73E+04	5.00E+01
	STD	4.30E-02	2.83E+07	1.25E+00	1.63E+09	4.12E+00	7.42E-03	9.85E+08	2.47E+08	6.31E+09	8.49E+06	1.33E+08	1.13E+04	2.41E-03

Table 7 Results of benchmark functions (F1-F13), with 1000 dimensions

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F1	Best	7.50E-278	1.47E+05	1.41E-01	1.33E+06	9.06E-84	2.06E-87	2.62E+06	6.00E+05	1.49E+06	2.12E+05	1.22E+05	4.10E+04	0.00E+00
	Worst	3.06E-193	3.04E+05	4.79E-01	1.49E+06	5.41E-67	2.33E-84	2.83E+06	7.30E+05	1.81E+06	2.67E+05	1.48E+05	5.07E+04	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.05E-194	2.16E+05	2.42E-01	1.43E+06	1.80E-68	2.44E-85	2.73E+06	6.69E+05	1.60E+06	2.37E+05	1.31E+05	4.60E+04	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	4.33E+04	7.43E-02	4.49E+04	9.88E-68	4.40E-85	4.83E+04	2.99E+04	8.51E+04	1.30E+04	5.62E+03	2.32E+03	0.00E+00
F2	Best	4.21E-173	1.00E+300	2.87E-01	1.00E+300	2.13E-56	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.11E+03	1.17E+263	4.39E+02	0.00E+00
	Worst	2.02E-112	1.00E+300	2.10E+00	1.00E+300	2.83E-47	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.25E+03	6.38E+289	4.88E+02	0.00E+00
	Mean	6.75E-114	1.00E+300	7.17E-01	1.00E+300	1.93E-48	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.19E+03	3.46E+288	4.60E+02	0.00E+00
	STD	3.69E-113	1.00E+300	3.96E-01	1.00E+300	5.68E-48	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	1.00E+300	3.14E+01	1.00E+300	1.04E+01	0.00E+00
F3	Best	2.27E-219	3.96E+06	1.15E+06	1.83E+07	6.83E+07	4.55E-06	1.31E+07	6.81E+06	3.62E+07	2.37E+06	2.99E+06	3.28E+05	0.00E+00
	Worst	5.26E-111	1.36E+07	2.65E+06	2.49E+07	2.26E+08	1.59E-01	2.66E+07	1.23E+07	5.94E+07	9.55E+06	1.37E+07	7.63E+05	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.76E-112	8.18E+06	1.67E+06	2.15E+07	1.32E+08	1.13E-02	1.85E+07	9.66E+06	4.77E+07	5.92E+06	6.53E+06	5.55E+05	0.00E+00
	STD	9.60E-112	2.44E+06	3.22E+05	1.82E+06	4.84E+07	3.16E-02	3.66E+06	1.60E+06	5.67E+06	1.92E+06	2.56E+06	9.61E+04	0.00E+00
F4	Best	3.10E-143	3.61E+01	7.28E+01	9.91E+01	9.05E+00	2.61E-36	9.90E+01	8.27E+01	9.88E+01	4.00E+01	3.13E+01	2.24E+01	0.00E+00
	Worst	1.34E-100	5.58E+01	8.84E+01	9.95E+01	9.96E+01	1.50E-34	9.94E+01	8.99E+01	9.95E+01	5.26E+01	3.72E+01	2.47E+01	0.00E+00
	Mean	4.47E-102	4.23E+01	7.90E+01	9.92E+01	8.23E+01	2.97E-35	9.92E+01	8.60E+01	9.91E+01	4.48E+01	3.40E+01	2.35E+01	0.00E+00
	STD	2.44E-101	3.75E+00	3.21E+00	9.52E-02	2.01E+01	2.95E-35	1.42E-01	2.03E+00	1.40E-01	2.82E+00	1.28E+00	7.51E-01	0.00E+00
F5	Best	1.44E-03	5.25E+07	1.00E+03	6.94E+09	9.92E+02	9.93E+02	1.19E+10	7.96E+08	1.42E+10	9.60E+07	2.09E+07	1.14E+07	2.89E+01
	Worst	5.25E+01	2.02E+08	1.12E+03	9.62E+09	9.95E+02	9.95E+02	1.31E+10	1.17E+09	1.53E+10	1.53E+08	2.85E+07	1.61E+07	2.90E+01
	Mean	5.80E+00	9.78E+07	1.02E+03	8.51E+09	9.93E+02	9.94E+02	1.24E+10	9.58E+08	1.48E+10	1.14E+08	2.47E+07	1.36E+07	2.90E+01
	STD	1.11E+01	3.42E+07	2.12E+01	6.35E+08	7.07E-01	1.31E-01	2.91E+08	8.91E+07	2.77E+08	1.21E+07	1.95E+06	1.09E+06	2.18E-02
F6	Best	2.26E-03	1.41E+05	1.95E+02	1.28E+06	2.80E+01	2.03E+02	2.57E+06	6.07E+05	1.38E+06	2.15E+05	1.21E+05	4.69E+04	0.00E+00
	Worst	1.05E+00	2.91E+05	2.06E+02	1.47E+06	1.17E+02	2.18E+02	2.75E+06	7.21E+05	2.27E+06	2.54E+05	1.48E+05	9.84E+04	0.00E+00
	Mean	1.27E-01	1.99E+05	2.00E+02	1.41E+06	7.29E+01	2.13E+02	2.72E+06	6.66E+05	1.63E+06	2.37E+05	1.31E+05	6.24E+04	0.00E+00
	STD	2.06E-01	3.44E+04	2.79E+00	5.50E+04	1.91E+01	2.20E+00	4.95E+04	3.34E+04	1.61E+05	1.12E+04	5.65E+03	1.06E+04	0.00E+00
F7	Best	1.41E-05	8.87E+02	9.98E-02	8.40E+04	1.30E-04	8.77E-04	1.90E+05	1.19E+04	9.92E+04	1.31E+03	5.43E+03	1.83E+04	2.60E-03
	Worst	9.11E-04	2.64E+03	2.10E-01	1.28E+05	1.87E-02	3.67E-03	2.09E+05	1.56E+04	2.49E+05	2.15E+03	8.26E+03	2.69E+04	2.84E-02
	Mean	2.85E-04	1.57E+03	1.48E-01	1.10E+05	3.54E-03	2.01E-03	1.97E+05	1.34E+04	2.05E+05	1.69E+03	6.43E+03	2.20E+04	1.02E-02
	STD	2.43E-04	4.93E+02	3.08E-02	1.27E+04	4.43E-03	6.18E-04	4.50E+03	1.15E+03	5.24E+04	1.74E+02	7.09E+02	2.00E+03	6.91E-03

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F8	Best	-4.18E+05	-3.43E+04	-1.02E+05	-6.79E+04	-4.18E+05	-9.18E+04	-1.79E+05	-8.37E+04	-4.25E+04	-1.01E+05	-2.38E+04	-7.88E+04	0.00E+00
	Worst	-3.95E+05	-1.98E+04	-1.77E+04	-2.46E+04	-2.21E+05	-2.75E+04	-7.40E+04	-7.22E+04	-3.39E+04	-7.11E+04	-9.51E+03	-1.60E+04	0.00E+00
	Mean	-4.17E+05	-2.58E+04	-8.58E+04	-3.72E+04	-3.27E+05	-5.87E+04	-8.72E+04	-7.80E+04	-3.64E+04	-8.72E+04	-1.34E+04	-5.47E+04	0.00E+00
	STD	4.69E+03	3.72E+03	1.88E+04	1.07E+04	6.28E+04	1.38E+04	6.85E+03	2.85E+03	2.00E+03	8.08E+03	2.78E+03	1.89E+04	0.00E+00
F9	Best	0.00E+00	9.21E+03	1.11E+02	1.38E+04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.50E+04	1.13E+04	1.38E+04	7.17E+03	6.23E+03	7.62E+03	0.00E+00
	Worst	0.00E+00	1.00E+04	2.90E+02	1.46E+04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.58E+04	1.18E+04	1.43E+04	7.93E+03	7.02E+03	8.10E+03	0.00E+00
	Mean	0.00E+00	9.66E+03	1.90E+02	1.43E+04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.52E+04	1.17E+04	1.41E+04	7.57E+03	6.69E+03	7.79E+03	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	1.87E+02	4.19E+01	1.64E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.92E+02	2.42E+02	2.41E+02	2.07E+02	2.16E+02	1.13E+02	0.00E+00
F10	Best	8.88E-16	1.24E+01	1.38E-02	1.95E+01	8.88E-16	7.99E-15	2.00E+01	1.96E+01	2.01E+01	1.42E+01	1.10E+01	1.41E+01	4.44E-16
	Worst	8.88E-16	1.55E+01	2.24E-02	2.03E+01	4.44E-15	1.30E+01	2.06E+01	2.03E+01	2.06E+01	1.49E+01	1.15E+01	1.48E+01	4.44E-16
	Mean	8.88E-16	1.37E+01	1.83E-02	2.00E+01	3.01E-15	4.29E-01	2.05E+01	2.00E+01	2.03E+01	1.46E+01	1.12E+01	1.45E+01	4.44E-16
	STD	0.00E+00	8.96E-01	2.17E-03	9.92E-02	1.77E-15	2.34E+00	1.91E-01	8.64E-02	1.10E-01	1.82E-01	1.43E-01	1.51E-01	0.00E+00
F11	Best	0.00E+00	1.42E+03	8.47E-03	1.12E+04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.40E+04	5.15E+03	1.24E+04	1.97E+03	1.98E+04	4.78E+02	0.00E+00
	Worst	0.00E+00	2.50E+03	2.50E-01	1.38E+04	0.00E+00	1.11E-16	2.51E+04	6.47E+03	1.70E+04	2.30E+03	2.13E+04	5.97E+02	0.00E+00
	Mean	0.00E+00	1.87E+03	5.11E-02	1.28E+04	0.00E+00	3.33E-17	2.46E+04	5.86E+03	1.45E+04	2.07E+03	2.05E+04	5.44E+02	0.00E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	2.73E+02	7.61E-02	5.92E+02	0.00E+00	5.17E-17	3.53E+02	3.55E+02	1.02E+03	8.45E+01	3.34E+02	2.69E+01	0.00E+00
F12	Best	2.19E-07	1.28E+06	9.04E-01	2.38E+10	3.60E-02	8.20E-01	2.79E+10	7.51E+08	3.39E+10	5.05E+06	5.39E+04	2.68E+01	0.00E+00
	Worst	5.89E-04	3.48E+07	1.88E+00	3.85E+10	3.03E-01	8.94E-01	3.22E+10	1.07E+09	3.79E+10	1.79E+07	5.00E+05	1.90E+02	0.00E+00
	Mean	6.76E-05	1.09E+07	1.18E+00	3.17E+10	1.05E-01	8.54E-01	3.04E+10	8.87E+08	3.68E+10	1.11E+07	1.88E+05	5.47E+01	0.00E+00
	STD	1.44E-04	8.13E+06	2.76E-01	4.68E+09	6.06E-02	1.52E-02	1.01E+09	8.29E+07	1.09E+09	3.00E+06	1.19E+05	3.99E+01	0.00E+00
F13	Best	1.93E-03	3.71E+07	1.06E+02	3.44E+10	1.91E+01	9.98E+01	5.15E+10	2.35E+09	6.49E+10	1.08E+08	9.50E+06	3.58E+05	1.00E+02
	Worst	2.89E-01	3.20E+08	1.24E+02	4.87E+10	6.70E+01	9.95E+01	5.80E+10	3.42E+09	6.92E+10	2.15E+08	2.66E+07	1.04E+06	1.00E+02
	Mean	5.76E-02	1.15E+08	1.18E+02	4.44E+10	3.65E+01	9.94E+01	5.55E+10	2.78E+09	6.70E+10	1.46E+08	1.60E+07	6.38E+05	1.00E+02
	STD	8.02E-02	6.11E+07	5.35E+00	3.17E+09	1.14E+01	1.17E-02	1.44E+09	2.71E+08	1.22E+09	2.20E+07	4.03E+06	1.92E+05	3.33E-03

Table 8 Results of benchmark functions (F14-F23)

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F14	Best	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	9.98E-01	1.27E+01
	Worst	2.98E+00	1.60E+01	1.27E+01	7.83B+00	1.08E+01	9.98E-01	1.27E+01	7.87E+00	5.93E+00	4.95E+00	1.25E+01	6.90E+00	1.27E+01
	Mean	1.26E+00	5.95E+00	4.06E+00	1.91E+00	2.57E+00	9.98E-01	2.87E+00	3.27E+00	1.39E+00	1.39E+00	5.41E+00	2.61E+00	1.27E+01
	STD	5.79E-01	3.76E+00	4.18E+00	2.07E+00	2.54E+00	4.12E-17	2.55E+00	2.12E+00	1.26E+00	8.85E-01	3.35E+00	1.82E+00	0.00E+00
F15	Best	3.08E-04	4.20E-04	3.07E-04	3.34B-04	3.08E-04	3.07E-04	7.12E-04	3.93E-04	3.08E-04	3.08E-04	1.17E-03	3.07E-04	4.67E-04
	Worst	7.57E-04	5.67E-02	2.04E-02	8.75E-04	2.13E-03	2.04E-02	2.01E-02	2.04E-02	2.01E-02	6.33E-02	1.29E-02	7.14E-04	7.65E-03
	Mean	4.65E-04	1.07E-02	4.39E-03	5.90E-04	6.55E-04	1.09E-03	1.93E-03	4.79E-03	1.14E-03	4.28E-03	4.35E-03	4.30E-04	2.75E-03
	STD	1.48E-04	1.80E-02	8.13E-03	1.19B-04	4.22E-04	3.65E-03	3.75E-03	7.92E-03	3.64E-03	1.22E-02	2.74E-03	1.36E-04	1.89E-03
F16	Best	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00
	Worst	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.01E+00
	Mean	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00	-1.03E+00
	STD	6.78E-16	6.59E-06	1.56E-08	6.78E-16	2.02E-09	6.78E-16	6.78E-16	4.43E-12	6.78E-16	4.09E-14	4.88E-16	5.61E-16	4.95E-03
F17	Best	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.16E+00
	Worst	3.98E-01	8.86E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	8.92E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	1.77E+01
	Mean	3.98E-01	4.62E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	4.77E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	3.98E-01	9.72E+00
	STD	1.69E-16	1.15E-01	1.47E-06	3.95E-12	6.06E-06	1.69E-16	1.69E-16	1.39E-01	1.69E-16	2.29E-05	1.69E-16	2.87E-13	3.31E+00
F18	Best	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.22E+00
	Worst	3.00E+00	8.40E+01	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	8.43E+01	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.21E+01
	Mean	3.00E+00	1.06E+01	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	7.510+00	3.000+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	3.00E+00	8.90E+00
	STD	0.00E+00	1.72E+01	3.93E-05	0.00E+00	2.29E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.60E+01	0.000+00	2.74E-12	4.20E-15	2.78E-15	7.24E+00
F19	Best	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.84E+00
	Worst	-3.86E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.85E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.79E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.13E+00
	Mean	-3.86E+00	-3.80E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.85E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.86E+00	-3.59E+00
	STD	9.16E-10	1.05E-01	2.45E-03	3.48E-08	1.60E-02	2.63E-15	1.44E-03	3.42E-15	2.71E-15	5.26E-10	2.31E-15	2.25E-15	2.05E-01
F20	Best	-3.32E+00	-3.29E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.32E+00	-2.55E+00
	Worst	-3.20E+00	-1.30E+00	-3.09E+00	-3.20E+00	-3.07E+00	-3.20E+00	-3.14E+00	-3.20E+00	-3.20E+00	-3.15E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.20E+00	-1.08E+00
	Mean	-3.31E+00	-2.77E+00	-3.27E+00	-3.30E+00	-3.19E+00	-3.29E+00	-3.22E+00	-3.28E+00	-3.29E+00	-3.23E+00	-3.32E+00	-3.31E+00	-1.82E+00
	STD	3.02E-02	4.31E-01	7.55E-02	4.43E-02	9.04E-02	5.34E-02	5.20E-02	5.83E-02	5.11E-02	6.33E-02	1.61E-15	3.03E-02	3.92E-01

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F21	Best	-1.02E+01	-1.01E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.02E+01	-1.69E+00
	Worst	-1.02E+05	-6.87E-01	-2.68E+00	-4.81E+00	-2.63E+00	-2.68E+00	-2.63E+00	-2.63E+00	-2.68E+00	-2.63E+00	-2.63E+00	-2.63E+00	-9.28E-01
	Mean	-1.02E+01	-3.78E+00	-8.15E+00	-9.02E+00	-7.66E+00	-9.26E+00	-5.56E+00	-5.07E+00	-9.40E+00	-6.30E+00	-7.03E+00	-8.07E+00	-1.25E+00
	STD	1.08E-10	2.86E+00	2.95E+00	1.96E+00	2.90E+00	2.02E+00	3.41E+00	3.24E+00	1.99E+00	3.53E+00	3.68E+00	3.29E+00	2.42E-01
F22	Best	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-1.04E+01	-3.49E+00
	Worst	-1.04E+01	-1.60E+00	-1.04E+01	-3.68E+00	-2.76E+00	-3.61E+00	-2.75E+00	-2.75E+00	-2.75E+00	-2.75E+00	-2.77E+00	-2.75E+00	-9.40E-01
	Mean	-1.04E+01	-5.04E+00	-1.04E+01	-9.68E+00	-7.79E+00	-8.70E+00	-9.05E+00	-5.96E+00	-9.85E+00	-8.89E+00	-9.79E+00	-9.89E+00	-1.34E+00
	STD	9.14E-11	2.92E+00	1.32E-03	2.05E+00	3.09E+00	2.67E+00	2.78E+00	3.47E+00	1.85E+00	2.85E+00	1.90E+00	1.94E+00	5.06E-01
F23	Best	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.05E+01	-1.94E+00
	Worst	-1.05E+01	-1.63E+00	-2.42E+00	-2.87E+00	-7.89E-01	-3.84E+00	-2.43E+00	-1.86E+00	-2.87E+00	-2.43E+00	-2.43E+00	-2.42E+00	-9.80E-01
	Mean	-1.05E+01	-4.89E+00	-1.03E+01	-9.81E+00	-6.81E+00	-9.87E+00	-8.68E+00	-5.28E+00	-1.03E+01	-8.53E+00	-9.49E+00	-7.81E+00	-1.35E+00
	STD	4.34E-11	3.20E+00	1.48E+00	2.14E+00	3.34E+00	2.04E+00	3.16E+00	3.55E+00	1.40E+00	3.42E+00	2.72E+00	3.49E+00	2.43E-01

Table 9: Comparison of average running time results (sec.) over 30 runs for larger-scale problems with 1000 variables

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F1	Best	9.17E-01	1.45E+00	2.70E+00	2.77E+00	2.51E+00	1.40E+00	1.85E+00	1.40E+01	1.65E+00	1.56E+00	1.61E+01	6.13E+00	1.38E-01
	Worst	1.08E+00	2.40E+00	3.16E+00	3.24E+00	2.90E+00	2.39E+00	2.12E+00	1.50E+01	2.08E+00	1.76E+00	1.88E+01	9.62E+00	1.69E-01
	Mean	1.02E+00	1.64E+00	2.75E+00	2.85E+00	2.74E+00	1.63E+00	1.93E+00	1.42E+01	1.73E+00	1.63E+00	1.64E+01	6.73E+00	1.45E-01
	STD	7.82E-02	2.19E-01	9.87E-02	1.31E-01	1.08E-01	2.14E-01	6.81E-02	1.48E-01	1.12E-01	4.87E-02	6.90E-01	6.83E-01	7.26E-03
F2	Best	9.92E-01	1.51E+00	2.79E+00	2.99E+00	2.81E+00	1.50E+00	1.90E+00	1.42E+01	1.69E+00	1.58E+00	6.56E+01	6.00E+00	8.43E-02
	Worst	1.13E+00	1.86E+00	3.32E+00	4.33E+00	3.18E+00	1.88E+00	2.24E+00	1.87E+01	1.99E+00	1.78E+00	9.04E+01	7.19E+00	9.66E-02
	Mean	1.01E+00	1.72E+00	2.89E+00	3.27E+00	2.96E+00	1.65E+00	2.00E+00	1.46E+01	1.89E+00	1.62E+00	7.30E+01	6.51E+00	9.03E-02
	STD	4.36E-02	1.01E-01	1.04E-01	3.16E-01	9.40E-02	8.26E-02	8.58E-02	8.72E-01	8.18E-02	4.77E-02	7.88E+00	2.70E-01	3.88E-03
F3	Best	2.40E+01	3.02E+01	3.05E+01	5.43E+01	3.06E+01	5.53E+01	2.84E+01	5.85E+01	2.96E+01	2.82E+01	3.94E+01	2.19E+01	1.26E+00
	Worst	2.54E+01	3.66E+01	3.71E+01	5.95E+01	3.50E+01	6.07E+01	2.98E+01	5.93E+01	3.35E+01	3.00E+01	4.19E+01	3.90E+01	1.68E+00
	Mean	2.41E+01	3.10E+01	3.10E+01	5.47E+01	3.13E+01	5.60E+01	2.89E+01	5.86E+01	3.01E+01	2.84E+01	3.97E+01	2.95E+01	1.50E+00
	STD	3.34E-01	1.49E+00	1.45E+00	1.11E+00	9.16E-01	1.03E+00	2.16E-01	3.10E-01	8.12E-01	3.33E-01	6.33E-01	5.66E+00	1.15E-01
F4	Best	8.99E-01	1.42E+00	2.74E+00	2.63E+00	2.82E+00	1.35E+00	1.82E+00	1.40E+01	1.71E+00	1.54E+00	1.61E+01	6.03E+00	7.72E-01
	Worst	1.07E+00	2.44E+00	3.25E+00	3.27E+00	3.82E+00	2.13E+00	2.00E+00	1.64E+01	2.23E+00	1.71E+00	1.98E+01	6.64E+00	1.12E+00

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F5	Mean	9.56E-01	1.69E+00	2.86E+00	2.84E+00	3.04E+00	1.47E+00	1.89E+00	1.43E+01	1.89E+00	1.59E+00	1.64E+01	6.45E+00	9.81E-01
	STD	3.88E-02	2.00E-01	1.00E-01	1.47E-01	2.05E-01	1.77E-01	5.74E-02	5.73E-01	1.45E-01	4.92E-02	9.44E-01	2.02E-01	8.36E-02
	Best	9.97E-01	1.76E+00	2.80E+00	2.84E+00	2.88E+00	1.54E+00	1.91E+00	1.40E+01	1.64E+00	1.66E+00	1.62E+01	6.32E+00	7.76E-01
	Worst	1.19E+00	2.09E+00	4.97E+00	3.33E+00	3.71E+00	2.12E+00	2.09E+00	1.56E+01	2.32E+00	1.87E+00	2.14E+01	6.87E+00	9.10E-01
F6	Mean	1.03E+00	1.91E+00	3.10E+00	3.03E+00	3.21E+00	1.75E+00	1.97E+00	1.42E+01	1.91E+00	1.74E+00	1.66E+01	6.72E+00	8.19E-01
	STD	4.27E-02	1.06E-01	4.31E-01	9.62E-02	1.90E-01	1.68E-01	6.04E-02	3.38E-01	1.57E-01	5.85E-02	1.34E+00	9.59E-02	3.17E-02
	Best	9.38E-01	1.45E+00	2.73E+00	2.74E+00	2.94E+00	1.34E+00	1.83E+00	1.41E+01	1.69E+00	1.62E+00	1.61E+01	5.89E+00	9.65E-02
	Worst	1.11E+00	2.02E+00	3.46E+00	3.17E+00	3.77E+00	1.96E+00	2.00E+00	1.51E+01	2.21E+00	1.90E+00	1.93E+01	6.58E+00	1.16E-01
F7	Mean	9.74E-01	1.76E+00	2.88E+00	2.88E+00	3.14E+00	1.52E+00	1.90E+00	1.43E+01	1.91E+00	1.70E+00	1.67E+01	6.36E+00	1.03E-01
	STD	3.80E-02	1.29E-01	1.82E-01	9.69E-02	1.58E-01	1.60E-01	5.20E-02	1.33E-01	1.34E-01	6.84E-02	1.03E+00	1.45E-01	3.68E-03
	Best	2.94E+00	3.97E+00	5.20E+00	7.25E+00	5.08E+00	6.17E+00	4.21E+00	1.51E+01	4.06E+00	3.97E+00	1.82E+01	7.24E+00	9.05E-02
	Worst	3.41E+00	4.54E+00	5.52E+00	7.75E+00	6.75E+00	6.56E+00	4.51E+00	1.65E+01	4.37E+00	4.27E+00	2.60E+01	1.03E+01	1.79E-01
F8	Mean	3.05E+00	4.07E+00	5.27E+00	7.37E+00	5.37E+00	6.24E+00	4.25E+00	1.55E+01	4.12E+00	4.02E+00	2.01E+01	7.86E+00	1.08E-01
	STD	1.11E-01	1.88E-01	8.60E-02	1.25E-01	3.59E-01	8.83E-02	6.89E-02	3.20E-01	8.51E-02	6.51E-02	3.27E+00	6.37E-01	1.79E-02
	Best	1.26E+00	2.45E+00	3.17E+00	3.89E+00	3.33E+00	2.51E+00	2.28E+00	1.50E+01	2.60E+00	2.19E+00	2.38E+01	6.12E+00	9.65E-02
	Worst	1.77E+00	3.51E+00	3.74E+00	4.71E+00	3.92E+00	3.19E+00	2.77E+00	1.59E+01	3.64E+00	2.54E+00	2.88E+01	6.94E+00	1.16E-01
F9	Mean	1.35E+00	2.71E+00	3.23E+00	4.25E+00	3.59E+00	2.78E+00	2.41E+00	1.52E+01	2.82E+00	2.32E+00	2.45E+01	6.62E+00	1.03E-01
	STD	1.19E-01	1.84E-01	1.08E-01	1.68E-01	1.38E-01	1.72E-01	1.13E-01	2.54E-01	2.25E-01	7.37E-02	1.34E+00	1.57E-01	3.68E-03
	Best	1.02E+00	2.26E+00	2.93E+00	3.72E+00	3.05E+00	1.88E+00	2.18E+00	1.45E+01	2.53E+00	2.03E+00	1.63E+01	6.25E+00	2.73E-01
	Worst	1.26E+00	3.00E+00	3.23E+00	4.51E+00	3.68E+00	2.58E+00	2.67E+00	1.55E+01	2.89E+00	2.31E+00	2.38E+01	9.95E+00	3.48E-01
F10	Mean	1.07E+00	2.48E+00	3.00E+00	4.02E+00	3.29E+00	2.17E+00	2.28E+00	1.50E+01	2.62E+00	2.13E+00	1.91E+01	6.87E+00	3.00E-01
	STD	4.70E-02	1.56E-01	7.73E-02	2.07E-01	1.41E-01	1.78E-01	9.77E-02	1.69E-01	6.92E-02	7.15E-02	3.54E+00	6.97E-01	2.03E-02
	Best	1.07E+00	2.10E+00	2.98E+00	3.75E+00	3.05E+00	1.96E+00	2.26E+00	1.46E+01	2.58E+00	2.08E+00	1.64E+01	4.77E+00	1.22E-01
	Worst	1.54E+00	2.77E+00	3.27E+00	4.86E+00	3.69E+00	2.61E+00	2.52E+00	1.56E+01	3.12E+00	2.35E+00	1.95E+01	5.42E+00	1.51E-01
F11	Mean	1.16E+00	2.34E+00	3.01E+00	4.00E+00	3.31E+00	2.24E+00	2.32E+00	1.50E+01	2.74E+00	2.18E+00	1.66E+01	4.95E+00	1.33E-01
	STD	9.40E-02	1.90E-01	8.05E-02	2.43E-01	1.32E-01	1.88E-01	5.85E-02	2.08E-01	1.34E-01	7.08E-02	7.95E-01	1.09E-01	6.94E-03
	Best	1.23E+00	2.27E+00	3.10E+00	4.16E+00	3.27E+00	2.24E+00	2.38E+00	1.46E+01	2.81E+00	2.25E+00	1.66E+01	6.52E+00	8.38E-02
	Worst	1.56E+00	3.50E+00	3.70E+00	5.20E+00	4.40E+00	2.91E+00	2.69E+00	1.55E+01	3.26E+00	2.53E+00	1.73E+01	1.03E+01	1.05E-01
F11	Mean	1.29E+00	2.60E+00	3.21E+00	4.42E+00	3.51E+00	2.48E+00	2.49E+00	1.50E+01	2.92E+00	2.35E+00	1.67E+01	7.19E+00	9.31E-02
	STD	8.44E-02	2.20E-01	1.45E-01	2.19E-01	2.00E-01	1.76E-01	7.97E-02	2.29E-01	1.14E-01	6.92E-02	1.69E-01	6.23E-01	4.97E-03

No.		AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
F12	Best	3.62E+00	5.27E+00	6.16E+00	9.41E+00	6.24E+00	8.40E+00	5.24E+00	1.53E+01	5.66E+00	5.00E+00	1.89E+01	8.98E+00	8.38E-02
	Worst	4.41E+00	6.35E+00	6.68E+00	1.02E+01	6.66E+00	9.02E+00	5.63E+00	2.12E+01	6.17E+00	5.47E+00	2.23E+01	9.70E+00	1.05E-01
	Mean	3.76E+00	5.44E+00	6.29E+00	9.80E+00	6.39E+00	8.54E+00	5.31E+00	1.58E+01	5.79E+00	5.09E+00	1.94E+01	9.45E+00	9.31E-02
	STD	1.83E-01	2.55E-01	1.35E-01	1.49E-01	9.46E-02	1.24E-01	8.54E-02	1.01E+00	1.20E-01	9.87E-02	9.67E-01	1.19E-01	4.97E-03
F13	Best	3.62E+00	5.33E+00	6.09E+00	9.33E+00	6.18E+00	7.96E+00	5.18E+00	1.54E+01	5.51E+00	5.09E+00	1.90E+01	9.90E+00	2.65E-01
	Worst	4.42E+00	6.37E+00	6.73E+00	1.00E+01	6.83E+00	8.49E+00	5.67E+00	1.65E+01	6.40E+00	5.50E+00	1.93E+01	1.07E+01	2.99E-01
	Mean	3.77E+00	5.48E+00	6.20E+00	9.68E+00	6.41E+00	8.15E+00	5.27E+00	1.58E+01	5.82E+00	5.19E+00	1.91E+01	1.01E+01	2.80E-01
	STD	1.96E-01	2.54E-01	1.24E-01	1.66E-01	1.27E-01	1.22E-01	1.04E-01	2.07E-01	2.07E-01	9.25E-02	7.58E-02	1.33E-01	1.30E-02

Table 10 Pairwise Wilcoxon signed rank test for benchmark functions (F1-13), with 30 dimensions

Other Algorithms vs SNAIL	p-value	T+	T-	Winner
AVOA vs SNAIL	9.66E-01	32	34	SHMS
PSO vs SNAIL	1.05E-02	10	81	SHMS
GWO vs SNAIL	8.02E-01	41.5	49.5	SHMS
FFA vs SNAIL	9.42E-02	21	70	SHMS
WOA vs SNAIL	6.22E-01	32	46	SHMS
TLBO vs SNAIL	8.93E-01	43	48	SHMS
MFO vs SNAIL	1.34E-02	11	80	SHMS
BBO vs SNAIL	9.24E-02	21	70	SHMS
DE vs SNAIL	8.03E-02	20	71	SHMS
SSA vs SNAIL	2.15E-02	13	78	SHMS
GSA vs SNAIL	2.15E-02	13	78	SHMS
IPO vs SNAIL	1.27E-01	23	68	SHMS

Table 11 Pairwise Wilcoxon signed rank test for benchmark functions (F1-13), with 100 dimensions

Other Algorithms vs SNAIL	p-value	T+	T-	Winner
AVOA vs SNAIL	1.34E-02	11	80	SHMS
PSO vs SNAIL	4.60E-03	7	84	SHMS
GWO vs SNAIL	2.15E-02	13	78	SHMS
FFA vs SNAIL	8.10E-03	9	82	SHMS
WOA vs SNAIL	1.02E-01	14	52	SHMS
TLBO vs SNAIL	1.75E-01	17	49	SHMS
MFO vs SNAIL	4.60E-03	7	84	SHMS
BBO vs SNAIL	1.71E-02	12	79	SHMS
DE vs SNAIL	8.10E-03	9	82	SHMS
SSA vs SNAIL	1.34E-02	11	80	SHMS
GSA vs SNAIL	6.10E-03	8	83	SHMS
IPO vs SNAIL	1.71E-02	12	79	SHMS

Table 12 Pairwise Wilcoxon signed rank test for benchmark functions (F1-13), with 500 dimensions

Other Algorithms vs SNAIL	p-value	T+	T-	Winner
AVOA vs SNAIL	7.65E-01	37	29	AVOA
PSO vs SNAIL	4.60E-03	7	84	SHMS
GWO vs SNAIL	1.71E-02	12	79	SHMS
FFA vs SNAIL	3.40E-03	6	85	SHMS
WOA vs SNAIL	2.78E-01	20	46	SHMS
TLBO vs SNAIL	4.65E-01	24	42	SHMS
MFO vs SNAIL	3.40E-03	6	85	SHMS
BBO vs SNAIL	8.10E-03	9	82	SHMS
DE vs SNAIL	4.60E-03	7	84	SHMS
SSA vs SNAIL	4.60E-03	7	84	SHMS
GSA vs SNAIL	2.40E-03	5	86	SHMS
IPO vs SNAIL	1.34E-02	11	80	SHMS

Table 13 Pairwise Wilcoxon signed rank test for benchmark functions (F1-13), with 1000 dimensions

Other Algorithms vs SNAIL	p-value	T+	T-	Winner
AVOA vs SNAIL	7.65E-01	37	29	AVOA
PSO vs SNAIL	3.40E-03	6	85	SHMS
GWO vs SNAIL	1.71E-02	12	79	SHMS
FFA vs SNAIL	2.40E-03	5	86	SHMS
WOA vs SNAIL	2.78E-01	20	46	SHMS
TLBO vs SNAIL	2.04E-01	22	56	SHMS
MFO vs SNAIL	2.40E-03	5	86	SHMS
BBO vs SNAIL	3.40E-03	6	86	SHMS
DE vs SNAIL	2.40E-03	5	86	SHMS
SSA vs SNAIL	4.60E-03	7	84	SHMS
GSA vs SNAIL	2.40E-03	5	86	SHMS
IPO vs SNAIL	8.10E-03	9	82	SHMS

Table 14 Pairwise Wilcoxon signed rank test for benchmark functions (F14-23)

Other Algorithms vs SNAIL	p-value	T+	T-	Winner
AVOA vs SNAIL	3.90E-03	45	0	AVOA
PSO vs SNAIL	3.91E-02	40	5	PSO
GWO vs SNAIL	7.80E-03	44	1	GWO
FFA vs SNAIL	3.90E-03	45	0	FFA
WOA vs SNAIL	3.90E-03	45	0	WOA
TLBO vs SNAIL	3.90E-03	45	0	TLBO
MFO vs SNAIL	3.90E-03	45	0	MFO
BBO vs SNAIL	7.80E-03	44	1	BBO
DE vs SNAIL	3.90E-03	45	0	DE
SSA vs SNAIL	7.80E-03	44	1	SSA
GSA vs SNAIL	7.80E-03	44	1	GSA
IPO vs SNAIL	3.90E-03	45	0	IPO

Table 15 Friedmann test for benchmark functions (F1-F13), with 30 dimensions

Algorithm	AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
Mean Values	2.5	11.6923	5.2308	7.3077	5.8462	3.6923	11.5385	7.7692	7.5385	8.6154	8.7692	7.2308	3.2692
Ranking	1	13	4	7	5	3	12	9	8	10	11	6	2

Table 16 Friedmann test for benchmark functions (F1-F13), with 100 dimensions

Algorithm	AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
Mean Values	1.8462	10.6923	4.8462	10.4615	4.3846	3.5385	11.7692	6.4615	10.7692	8.2308	8.6923	6.8462	2.4615
Ranking	1	11	5	10	4	3	13	6	12	8	9	7	2

Table 17 Friedmann test for benchmark functions (F1-F13), with 500 dimensions

Algorithm	AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
Mean Values	1.7692	8.7692	5.2308	11.0385	4.1538	3.6923	11.8462	7.9231	11.3462	7.6154	8.2308	6.6923	2.6923
Ranking	1	10	5	11	4	3	13	8	12	7	9	6	2

Table 18 Friedmann test for benchmark functions (F1-F13), with 1000 dimensions

Algorithm	AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
Mean Values	1.7308	8.0385	5	10.9615	4.0385	4.3077	11.4231	9.5385	11.8077	7.5769	7.5385	6.3846	2.6538
Ranking	1	9	5	11	3	4	12	10	13	8	7	6	2

Table 19 Friedmann test for benchmark functions (F14-F23)

Algorithm	AVOA	PSO	GWO	FFA	WOA	TLBO	MFO	BBO	DE	SSA	GSA	IPO	SHMS
Mean Values	13.35	11.6	6.15	5.05	7.7	5.1	7.25	9.65	4.7	7	6.45	5.2	11.8
Ranking	13	11	5	4	9	2	8	10	1	7	6	3	12




Fig. 3(a): Sphere function




Fig. 3(b): Schwefel 2.22 function




Fig. 3(c): Schwefel 1.2 function




Fig. 3(d): Schwefel 2.21 function




Fig. 3(e): Rosenbrock function




Fig. 3(f): Step function




Fig. 3(e): Quartic function

Figure 3: The convergence curve, contour plot and 3D mesh plot of SHMS results solving unimodal benchmark test problems




Fig. 4(a): Schwefel function




Fig. 4 (b): Rastrigin function




Fig. 4 (c): Ackley function




Fig. 4 (d): Griewank function




Fig. 4 (e): Penalized function




Fig. 4 (f): Penalized 2 function




Fig. 4 (g): Foxholes function




Fig. 4 (h): Six Hump Camel function




Fig. 4 (i): Branin function




Fig. 4 (i): Goldstein Price function

Fig 4: The convergence curve, contour plot and 3D mesh plot of SHMS results solving multimodal benchmark test problems




Fig 5: The performance of SHMS algorithm representing the homes and associated snails

5. Solution to Shell and Tube heat exchanger design and economic optimization problem

The shell and tube heat exchanger problem is most widely used to test an applicability of the metaheuristic algorithms. This problem has three cases as follows:

Case 1: 4.34 (MW) heat duty, methanol-brackish water heat exchanger.

Case 2: 1.44 (MW) heat duty, kerosene-crude oil heat exchanger.

Case 3: 0.46 (MW) heat duty, distilled water-raw water heat exchanger.

The problem has been previously solved using several metaheuristic algorithms such as GA (Caputo et al. 2008), PSO (Patel and Rao 2010), ABC (Sahinet al.2011), BBO (Hadidi and Nazari 2013), Intelligent Tuned Harmony Search Algorithm (ITHS), Improved Intelligent Tuned Harmony Search Algorithm (I-ITHS) (Turgut et al. 2014), CI (Dhavle et al. 2016), FFA (Mohanty et al. 2016), Adaptive Range Genetic Algorithm (ARGA) (Iyer et al., 2019), TLBO (Rao and Saroj, 2017) and SAMPE-JAYA (Rao and Saroj, 2017) algorithm. The design of the STHE on the mentioned costs was considered: the capital investment (C_{inv}), annual operating cost (C_{annual}), energy cost (C_E) and total discounted operating cost (C_{total_disc}) (Caputo et al. 2008). The objective is to minimize the total cost (C_{total}). The mathematical formulation of the design and economic optimization of the shell and tube heat exchanger problem is adopted from ARGA (Iyer et al., 2019). The work comprehensively addresses all three cases of this problem.

The SHMS algorithm is successfully investigated for solving three cases of shell and tube heat exchanger problem. The performance from SHMS algorithm is compared with well-known nature inspired optimization algorithms such as GA, PSO, ABC, BBO, ITHS, I-ITHS, FFA, CI, TLBO, SAMPE-Jaya and ARGA. The result comparison of Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3 of shell and tube heat exchanger problem are presented in Table 20, Table 21 and Table 22, respectively. The comparison tables illustrate the outstanding performance of the SHMS algorithm in Case 1 and Case 2 when compared with the other metaheuristic algorithms presented in Table 20 and Table 21. However, in Case 3, the SHMS algorithm fell short of achieving a better solution when compared to the TLBO, SAMPLE-JAYA, I-ITHS, ITHS, BBO, and ABC algorithms. The cost comparison associated with these cases are presented in Figure 6, Figure 8 and Figure 10, respectively. The SHMS algorithm is run for 30 times. The statistical results such as best, mean and worst function value, standard deviation, function evaluations and CPU time are presented in Table 23. The closeness to the best reported solution (%) is presented in Table 24. Snails are known for their

ability to sense humidity gradients and move towards areas with higher humidity, which is vital for their survival. Similarly, in optimization algorithms, the idea is to mimic or draw inspiration from such natural processes to solve complex problems. The convergence plots for all the three cases obtained from the SHMS algorithm are presented in Figure 7, Figure 9 and Figure 11.

For Case 1: Solving shell and tube heat exchanger problem case 1, the best, mean and worst function values (C_{total}) obtained from 30 trails are 41718.6558, 41725.3892 and 41728.6558, respectively with standard deviation 4.0847, average function evaluations 20510 and CPU time 9.62 *sec*. The best solution obtained using SHMS algorithm is 0.4649% better as compared to previously best reported solution using ARGGA (Iyer et al., 2019) (refer Table 20).

For Case 2: The best mean worst function values (C_{total}) using SHMS algorithm for Case 2 are 19084.3059, 19088.3476 and 19097.2054, respectively with standard deviation 3.1663, function evaluations 17235 and CPU time 8.10 *sec*. The best solution obtained using SHMS algorithm is 0.5952% better as compared to previously best reported solution using ARGGA (Iyer et al., 2019) (refer Table 21).

For Case 3: The best mean worst function values (C_{total}) using SHMS algorithm for Case 3 are 20744.3639, 20746.1280 and 20749.8314, respectively with standard deviation 1.45653.1663, function evaluations 44721 and CPU time 20.13 *sec*. The best solution obtained using SHMS algorithm is 0.2775% better as compared to previously best reported solution using ARGGA (Iyer et al., 2019) (refer Table 22).

SHMS algorithm has indeed demonstrated superior performance compared to other metaheuristic algorithms for solving the shell and tube heat exchanger design and economic optimization problem. It is due to its ability to efficiently navigate through the solution space, guided by the principles of cue following observed in snails. This makes it particularly effective in solving problems that involve multivariable and complex nature.

Table 20: Comparison of SHMS results Design and Economic Optimization of Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Problem Case 1

Parameters	Original Study	GA	PSO	ABC	BBO	ITHS	I-ITHS	CI	FFA	TLBO	SAMPE-Jaya	ARGA	SHMS
$D_s(m)$	0.894	0.83	0.81	1.3905	0.801	0.762	0.7635	0.7800	0.858	0.858	0.76860	0.6651	0.6447
$L(m)$	4.83	3.379	3.115	3.963	2.04	2.0791	2.0391	1.9367	2.416	2.416	1.47660	1.2636	1.1121
$b(m)$	0.356	0.5	0.424	0.4669	0.5	0.4988	0.4955	0.500	0.402	0.402	0.4999	0.4903	0.4166
$d_o(m)$	0.02	0.016	0.015	0.0104	0.01	0.0101	0.01	0.010	0.01575	0.01575	0.01	0.01	0.01
$P_t(m)$	0.025	0.02	0.0187	-	0.0125	0.1264	0.0125	0.0125	0.01968	0.01968	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125
$C_1(m)$	0.005	0.004	0.0037	-	0.0025	0.0253	0.0025	0.0025	-	-	-	0.0025	0.0025
n_t	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
N_t	918	1567	1658	1528	3587	3454	3558	3734.1233	1692	1692	3614	2625.873	2451.7768
$v_t(m/s)$	0.75	0.69	0.67	0.36	0.77	0.782	0.7744	0.7381	0.656	0.656	0.7624	1.0492	1.1237
Re_t	14925	10936	10503	-	7642.49	7842.52	7701.29	7342.7474	10286	10286	7586.57	10440.12	11181.4577
Pr_t	5.7	5.7	5.7	-	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.6949	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.694915	5.6949
$h_t(W/m^2K)$	3812	3762	3721	3818	4314	4415.918	4388.79	4584.7085	6228	6228	3777.88	6196.002	6545.5440
f_t	0.028	0.031	0.0311	-	0.034	0.0354	0.03555	0.0343	0.03119	0.03119	0.03401	0.0310	0.0305
$\Delta P_t(Pa)$	6251	4298	4171	3043	6156	6998.7	6887.63	5862.7287	4246	4246	5078.37	9756.238	10349.6306
$a_s(m^2)$	0.032	0.083	0.0687	-	0.0801	0.07602	0.07567	0.0780	-	-	-	0.0652	0.0537
$D_e(m)$	0.014	0.011	0.0107	-	0.007	0.00719	0.00711	0.0071	0.0105	0.0105	0.00711	0.0071	0.0071
$v_s(m/s)$	0.58	0.44	0.53	0.118	0.46	0.48755	0.48979	0.4752	0.54	0.54	0.48221	0.5683	0.6899
Re_s	18381	11075	12678	-	7254	7736.89	7684.054	7451.3906	12625	12625	7571.34	8912.325	10819.8036
Pr_s	5.1	5.1	5.1	-	5.1	5.08215	5.08215	5.0821	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.0821	5.0821
$h_s(W/m^2K)$	1573	1740	1950.8	3396	2197	2213.89	2230.913	2195.9461	1991	1991	2084.05	2422.804	2695.5285
f_s	0.33	0.357	0.349	-	0.379	0.3759	0.37621	0.3780	0.349	0.349	0.377126	0.3680	0.3575
$\Delta P_s(Pa)$	35789	13267	20551	8390	13799	14794.94	14953.91	13608.4472	18788	18788	10488.39	10746.31	15447.1357
$U(W/m^2K)$	615	660	713.9	832	755	760.594	761.578	764.5084	876.4	876.4	719.05	1031.472	1090.5668
$S(m^2)$	278.6	262.8	243.2	-	229.95	228.32	228.03	227.1607	202.3	202.3	167.56	168.2758	159.1575
$C_{inv}(€)$	51507	49259	46453	44559	44536	44301.66	44259.01	44132.5190	39336	39336	37519.89	35498.87	34139.5254
$C_{annual}(€/year)$	21111	947	1038.7	1014.5	984	964.164	962.4858	955.9112	1040	1040	731.71	1043.96	1233.4685
$C_{total\ disc}(€)$	12973	5818	6778.2	6233.8	6046	5924.343	5914.058	5873.6607	6446	6446	4496.08	6414.68	7579.1304
$C_{total}(€)$	64480	55077	53231	50793	50582	50226	50173	50006.1797	45782	45,782	42015.98	41913.54	41718.6558




Fig. 6: Total Cost Comparison for Case 1




Fig. 7: Convergence plot for Design and Economic Optimization of Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Problem Case 1

Table 21: Comparison of SHMS results Design and Economic Optimization of Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Problem Case 2

Parameters	Original Study	GA	PSO	ABC	BBO	ITHS	I-ITHS	CI	FFA	ARGA	SHMS
$D_s(m)$	0.539	0.63	0.59	0.3293	0.74	0.32079	0.31619	0.4580	0.7276	0.400009	0.4
$L(m)$	4.88	2.153	1.56	3.6468	1.199	5.15184	5.06235	1.3833	1.64	0.710016	0.69
$b(m)$	0.127	0.12	0.1112	0.0924	0.1066	0.24725	0.24147	0.125	0.1054	0.154626	0.1526
$d_o(m)$	0.025	0.02	0.015	0.0105	0.015	0.01204	0.01171	0.0100	0.01575	0.011441	0.0114
$P_t(m)$	0.031	0.025	0.0187	-	0.0188	0.01505	0.01464	0.0125	0.01968	0.014301	0.0143
$C_1(m)$	0.006	0.005	0.0037	-	0.0038	0.00301	0.00293	0.0025		0.00286	0.0028
n_t	4	4	2	2	2	1	1	2		2	2
N_t	158	391	646	511	1061	301	309	1152.888	924	635.2294	635.2587
$v_t(m/s)$	1.44	0.87	0.93	0.43	0.69	0.8615	0.8871	0.6522	0.677	0.904129	0.9041
Re_t	8227	4068	3283	-	2298	2306.77	2303.46	1450.0174	2408	2299.998	2299.9910
Pr_t	55.2	55.2	55.2	-	55.2	56.4538	56.4538	56.4538	55.2	56.45385	56.4538
$h_t(W/m^2K)$	619	1168	1205	2186	1251	1398.85	1435.68	1639.2213	1262	1174.574	1208.7230
f_t	0.033	1168	0.044	-	0.05	0.04848	0.04854	0.0591	0.049	0.049861	0.04986
$\Delta P_t(Pa)$	49245	14009	16926	1696	5109	10502.45	11165.45	5382.9311	9374	5179.414	5091.273
$a_s(m^2)$	0.0137	0.0148	0.0131	-	0.0158	0.01585	0.01527	0.0114		0.01237	0.0122
$D_e(m)$	0.025	0.019	0.0149	-	0.0149	0.01188	0.01157	0.0071	0.0156	0.008134	0.0081
$v_s(m/s)$	0.47	0.43	0.495	0.37	0.432	0.40948	0.42526	0.5672	0.4	0.524974	0.5316
Re_s	25281	18327	15844	-	13689	10345.29	10456.39	8568.0357	14448	9073.644	9188.785
Pr_s	7.5	7.5	7.5	-	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.6	7.5	7.6	7.6
$h_s(W/m^2K)$	920	1034	1288	868	1278	1248.86	1290.789	2062.1966	1156	1857.576	1870.585
f_s	0.315	0.331	0.337	-	0.345	0.35987	0.35929	0.3702	0.3422	0.367025	0.3663
$\Delta P_s(Pa)$	24909	15717	21745	10667	15275	14414.26	15820.74	36090.0964	12768	9708.001	9780.794
$U(W/m^2K)$	317	376	409.3	323	317.75	326.071	331.358	381.6827	347.6	336.1286	339.9925
$S(m^2)$	61.5	52.9	47.5	61.566	60.35	58.641	57.705	50.09702	56.6	56.84084	56.1948
$C_{inv}(€)$	19007	17599	16707	19014	18799	18536.55	18383.46	17129.8543	18202	18241.79	18135.82
$C_{annual}(€/year)$	1304	440	523.3	197.139	164.414	272.576	292.7937	352.885	210.2	155.71	154.3616
$C_{total_disc}(€)$	8012	2704	3215.6	1211.3	1010.25	1674.86	1799.09	2163.3257	1231	956.79	948.485
$C_{total}(€)$	27020	20303	19922.6	20225	19810	20211	20182	19298.18	19433	19198.58	19084.31




Fig. 8: Total Cost Comparison for Case 2




Fig. 9: Convergence plot for Design and Economic Optimization of Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Problem Case 2

Table 22: Comparison of SHMS results Design and Economic Optimization of Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Problem Case 3

Parameters	Original Study	GA	PSO	ABC	BBO	ITHS	I-ITHS	CI	TLBO	SAMPE-Jaya	ARGA	SHMS
D_s (m)	0.387	0.62	0.0181	1.0024	0.55798	0.5726	0.5671	0.5235	0.5524	0.5671	0.460204468	0.4702
L (m)	4.88	1.548	1.45	2.4	1.133	0.9737	0.9761	1.1943	0.9854	0.9569	0.793852708	0.7054
b (m)	0.305	0.44	0.423	0.354	0.5	0.4974	0.4989	0.5000	0.464	0.499	0.460204468	0.5104
d_o (m)	0.019	0.016	0.0145	0.103	0.01	0.0101	0.01	0.0100	0.010	0.01	0.011981248	0.01
P_t (m)	0.023	0.02	0.0187	-	0.0125	0.0126	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.01497656	0.0125
C_t (m)	0.004	0.004	0.0036	-	0.0025	0.0025	0.0025	0.0025			0.002995312	0.0025
n_t	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2			2	2
N_t	160	803	894	704	1565	1845	1846	1548.6665	1743	1841	781.7678209	1222.003
v_t (m/s)	1.76	0.68	0.74	0.36	0.898	0.747	0.761	0.9083	0.80695	0.76399	1.25317137	1.1508
Re_t	36409	9487	9424	-	7804	6552	6614	7889.7151	7009.98	6636.82	13043.08036	9997.4150
Pr_t	6.2	6.2	6.2	-	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2026	6.2026	6.2025	6.202580645	6.2025
h_t (W/m ² K)	6558	6043	5618	4438	9180	5441	5536	4901.7267			6290.111612	6170.5740
f_t	0.023	0.031	0.0314	-	0.0337	0.0369	0.0368	0.0336	0.034817	0.035386	0.029220623	0.0314
ΔP_t (Pa)	62812	3673	4474	2046	4176	3869	4049	6200.0472	4416.42	3926.01	7719.023019	6975.8420
a_s (m ²)	0.0236	0.0541	0.059	-	0.0558	0.0569	0.0565	0.0523	5789.17	5541.30	0.04235763	0.0480
D_e (m)	0.013	0.015	0.01	-	0.0071	0.0071	0.0071	0.0071	0.00711	0.00711	0.008517657	0.0071
v_s (m/s)	0.94	0.41	0.375	0.12	0.398	0.3893	0.3919	0.4237	0.4326	0.39172	0.523657822	0.4620
Re_s	16200	8039	4814	-	3515	3473	3461	3746.0280	3830.527	3467.839	5547.544747	4085.1680
Pr_s	5.4	5.4	5.4	-	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3935	5.3935	5.3935	5.393548387	5.3935
h_s (W/m ² K)	5735	3476	4088.3	5608	4911	4832	4871	5078.1022	5374.56	5088.428	5267.295773	5333.3460
f_s	0.337	0.374	0.403	-	0.423	0.4238	0.4241	0.4191	0.4177	0.423988	0.395136701	0.4136
ΔP_s (Pa)	67684	4365	4271	27166	5917	4995	5062	6585.2425	6412.95	4928.072	5024.067328	4016.2380
U (W/m ² K)	1471	1121	1177	1187	1384	1220	1229	1198.4141	1274.73	1242.84	1296.89011	1294.3750
S (m ²)	46.6	62.5	59.2	54.72	55.73	57.3	56.64	58.0975	53.9355	55.318	59.48776644	59.6033
C_{inv} (€)	16549	19163	18614	17893	18059	18273	18209	18447.6373	17764.30	17991.96	18674.91	18693.7960
C_{annual} (€/year)	4466	272	276	257.82	203.68	231	238	383.4699	278.455	231.53	346.19	333.7221
$C_{total\ disc}$ (€)	27440	1671	1696	1584.2	1251.5	1419	1464	2356.2566	1710.988	1422.69	2127.18	2050.5779
C_{total} (€)	43989	20834	20310	19478	19310	19693	19674	20803.8940	19475.297	19414.65	20802.09	20744.3639




Fig. 10: Total Cost Comparison for Case 3




Fig. 11: Convergence plot for Design and Economic Optimization of Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Problem Case 3

Table 23: SHMS performance details

Case studies	Solutions	Standard Deviation	Avg. No. of Function Evaluations (FE)	Avg. Comp. Time(sec)
	Best			
	Mean			
	Worst			
Case 1	41718.6558	4.0847	20510	9.62
	41725.3892			
	41728.6558			
Case 2	19084.3059	3.1663	17235	8.10
	19088.3476			
	19097.2054			
Case 3	20744.3639	1.4565	44721	20.13
	20746.1280			
	20749.8314			

Table 24: Closeness of SHMS solutions with other algorithms

Case studies	Referred algorithms	Solutions of Total Cost (€)	Closeness to the Best Reported Solution (%)
Case 1	Original Study	64480	35.2998 ↑
	GA	55077	24.2539 ↑
	PSO	53231.1	21.6272 ↑
	ABC	50793	17.8653 ↑
	BBO	50582	17.5227 ↑
	ITHS	50226	16.9381 ↑
	I-ITHS	50173	16.8503 ↑
	FFA	45783	8.8774 ↑
	CI	50006.18	16.5729 ↑
	TLBO	45,782	8.8754 ↑
	SAMPE-Jaya	42015.98	0.7076 ↑
	ARGA	41913.54	0.4649 ↑
Case 2	Original Study	27020	29.3697 ↑
	GA	20303	6.0025 ↑
	PSO	19922.6	4.2077 ↑
	ABC	20225	5.6400 ↑
	BBO	19810	3.6632 ↑
	ITHS	20211	5.5746 ↑
	I-ITHS	20182	5.4389 ↑
	FFA	19433	1.7943 ↑
	CI	19298.18	1.1082 ↑
	ARGA	19198.58	0.5952 ↑
Case 3	Original Study	43989	52.8419 ↑
	GA	20834	0.4302 ↑
	PSO	20310	2.1386 ↓
	ABC	19478	6.5015 ↓
	BBO	19310	7.4280 ↓
	ITHS	19693	5.3387 ↓
	I-ITHS	19674	5.4405 ↓

	CI	20803.89	0.2861 ↑
	TLBO	19475.297	6.5162 ↓
	SAMPE-Jaya	19414.65	6.8490 ↓
	ARGA	20802.09	0.2775 ↑

Conclusion and Future Directions

In this paper, a novel Snail Homing and Mating Search (SHMS) algorithm inspired from the living habitat of the snails is proposed. Snails usually live in moist and humid regions and continuously travels to find food and a mate, leaving behind a trail of mucus that serves as a guide for their return journey. Snails tend to navigate by following the available trails on the ground and responding to cues from nearby shelter homes. The approach is validated by solving set of benchmark problems consisting of 2 different groups of unimodal (UM) and multi-modal (MM) functions (F1-F23). To check the SHMS algorithms scalability, F1-F13 problems are solved for 30, 100, 500 and 1000 dimensions. Wilcoxon and Friedman statistical tests are conducted for comparing the performance of the SHMS algorithm with the existing algorithms. The performance of SHMS algorithm is exceedingly better as compared to PSO, GWO, FFA, WOA, TLBO, MFO, BBO, DE, SSA, GSA and IPO while solving F1-F13 functions for 30, 100, 500 and 1000 dimensions in terms of objective function value (best and mean), robustness, as well as computational time. The performance of the SHMS algorithm is marginally better as compared to AVOA for 30 and 100 dimensions. The solution quality highlighted that the SHMS algorithm is a robust approach with reasonable computational cost and could quickly reach in the close neighbourhood of the global optimum solution. For fixed dimensions F14-F23 functions SHMS algorithm could not yield better solutions as compared to other algorithms due to weak exploitation. In addition, real-world application of SHMS algorithm is successfully demonstrated in the engineering design domain by solving three cases of shell and tube heat exchanger problem. The total cost (C_{total}) is significantly minimized. The results are compared with other well-known metaheuristic algorithms such as GA, PSO, ABC, BBO, ITHS, I-ITHS, FFA, CI, TLBO, SAMPE-Jaya and ARGA. A generalised constraint handling mechanism needs to be developed and incorporated into the SHMS algorithm. This can help SHMS algorithm to solve real-world problems which are generally constrained in nature. The constrained SHMS version could be further extended to solve complex structural optimisation problems.

References

- Agushaka, J.O., Ezugwu, A.E. and Abualigah, L., 2022. Dwarf mongoose optimization algorithm. *Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering*, 391, p.114570.
- Agushaka, J.O., Ezugwu, A.E. and Abualigah, L., 2022. Dwarf mongoose optimization algorithm. *Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering*, 391, p.114570.
- Alfaro, A.C., 2007. Migration and trail affinity of snails, *Littoraria scabra*, on mangrove trees of Nananu-i-ra, Fiji Islands. *Marine and Freshwater behaviour and Physiology*, 40(4), pp.247-255.
- Abdollahzadeh, B., Gharehchopogh, F.S. and Mirjalili, S., 2021. African vultures optimization algorithm: A new nature-inspired metaheuristic algorithm for global optimization problems. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 158, p.107408.
- Arey, L.B. and Crozier, W.J., 1918. The Homing Habits' of the Pulmonate Mollusk *Onchidium*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 4(11), pp.319-321.
- Arey, L.B. and Crozier, W.J., 1921. On the natural history of *Onchidium*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, 32(3), pp.443-502.
- Asadi, M., Song, Y., Sunden, B., and Xie, G., 2014, "Economic Optimization Design of Shell-And- Tube Heat Exchangers by A Cuckoo-Search-Algorithm", *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, 73, pp. 1032-1040.
- Caputo, A.C., Pelagagge, P.M, and Salini, P., 2008, "Heat Exchanger Design Based on Economic Optimization", *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, 28, pp. 1151-1159.
- Chu, S.C., Tsai, P.W. and Pan, J.S., 2006. Cat swarm optimization. In *PRICAI 2006: Trends in Artificial Intelligence: 9th Pacific Rim International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Guilin, China, August 7-11, 2006 Proceedings 9* (pp. 854-858). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Chernorizov, A.M., Shekhter, E.D., Arakelov, G.G. and Zimachev, M.M., 1994. The vision of the snail: the spectral sensitivity of the dark-adapted eye. *Neuroscience and Behavioral Physiology*, 24, pp.59-62.
- Chu, S.C., Tsai, P.W. and Pan, J.S., 2006. Cat swarm optimization. In *PRICAI 2006: Trends in Artificial Intelligence: 9th Pacific Rim International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Guilin, China, August 7-11, 2006 Proceedings 9* (pp. 854-858).
- Coloni A., Dorigo M., Maniezzo V. [1991], Distributed optimization by ant-colonies, in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Artificial Life (ECAL'91)*, edited by F. Varela and P. Bourguine, 134-142, Cambridge, Mass, USA, MIT Press.
- Cook, A., 1979. Homing in the gastropoda. *Malacologia*, Vol. 18, pp. 315-318.
- Cook, A., 1977. Mucus trail following by the slug *Limax grossus* Lupu. *Animal Behaviour*, 25, pp.774-781.
- Dehghani, M., Trojovská, E. and Zušćák, T., 2022. A new human-inspired metaheuristic algorithm for solving optimization problems based on mimicking sewing training. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), p.17387.
- Dehghani, M., Trojovská, E. and Zušćák, T., 2022. A new human-inspired metaheuristic algorithm for solving optimization problems based on mimicking sewing training. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), p.17387.
- Emami, H. and Derakhshan, F., 2015. Election algorithm: A new socio-politically inspired strategy. *AI Communications*, 28(3), pp.591-603.
- Ezugwu, A.E., Agushaka, J.O., Abualigah, L., Mirjalili, S. and Gandomi, A.H., 2022. Prairie dog optimization algorithm. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 34(22), pp.20017-20065.
- Ezugwu, A.E., Agushaka, J.O., Abualigah, L., Mirjalili, S. and Gandomi, A.H., 2022. Prairie dog optimization algorithm. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 34(22), pp.20017-20065.

- Fogel, L. J., Owens, A. J., & Walsh, M. J. (1966). Artificial intelligence through simulated evolution.
- Heppner, F.H. and Grenander, U., 1990. A stochastic nonlinear model for coordinated bird flocks', *The ubiquity of chaos*.
- Geem, Z.W., Kim, J.H. and Loganathan, G.V., 2001. A new heuristic optimization algorithm: harmony search. *simulation*, 76(2), pp.60-68.
- Geem, Z.W., Kim, J.H. and Loganathan, G.V., 2001. A new heuristic optimization algorithm: harmony search. *simulation*, 76(2), pp.60-68.
- Hadidi, A., and Nazari, A., 2013, "Design and Economic Optimization of Shell-and-Tube Heat Exchangers Using Biogeography-Based (BBO) Algorithm", *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, 51, pp. 1263-1272.
- Hadidi, A., Hadidi, M., and Nazari, A., 2013, "A New Design Approach for Shell-And-Tube Heat Exchangers Using Imperialist Competitive Algorithm (ICA) From Economic Point of View", *Energy Convers. Manag.*, 67, pp. 66-74.
- Hashim, F.A. and Hussien, A.G., 2022. Snake Optimizer: A novel meta-heuristic optimization algorithm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 242, p.108320.
- Hashim, F.A. and Hussien, A.G., 2022. Snake Optimizer: A novel meta-heuristic optimization algorithm. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 242, p.108320.
- Hawkins, S.J. and Hartnoll, R.G., 1983. Grazing of intertidal algae by marine invertebrates. *Oceanography and marine biology*, 21, pp.195-282.
- Holland, J.H., 1992. *Adaptation in natural and artificial systems: an introductory analysis with applications to biology, control, and artificial intelligence*. MIT press.
- Huan, T.T., Kulkarni, A.J., Kanesan, J., Huang, C.J. and Abraham, A., 2017. Ideology algorithm: a socio-inspired optimization methodology. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 28, pp.845-876.
- Kale, I.R. and Kulkarni, A.J., 2021 'Cohort intelligence with self-adaptive penalty function approach hybridized with colliding bodies optimization algorithm for discrete and mixed variable constrained problems', *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp.1565-1596.
- Kashan, A.H., 2014. League Championship Algorithm (LCA): An algorithm for global optimization inspired by sport championships. *Applied Soft Computing*, 16, pp.171-200.
- Kashan, A.H., 2015. An effective algorithm for constrained optimization based on optics inspired optimization (OIO). *Computer-Aided Design*, 63, pp.52-71.
- Kaveh, A. and Khayatizad, M., 2012. A new meta-heuristic method: ray optimization. *Computers & structures*, 112, pp.283-294.
- Kaveh, A. and Khayatizad, M., 2012. A new meta-heuristic method: ray optimization. *Computers & structures*, 112, pp.283-294.
- Kaveh, A. and Mahdavi, V.R., 2014. Colliding bodies optimization: a novel meta-heuristic method. *Computers & Structures*, 139, pp.18-27.
- Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R.C., 1997, October. A discrete binary version of the particle swarm algorithm. In 1997 IEEE International conference on systems, man, and cybernetics. *Computational cybernetics and simulation* (Vol. 5, pp. 4104-4108). IEEE.
- Kulkarni, A.J., Durugkar, I.P. and Kumar, M., 2013, October. Cohort intelligence: a self-supervised learning behavior. In 2013 IEEE international conference on systems, man, and cybernetics (pp. 1396-1400). IEEE.
- Liu, Z.Z., Chu, D.H., Song, C., Xue, X. and Lu, B.Y., 2016. Social learning optimization (SLO) algorithm paradigm and its application in QoS-aware cloud service composition. *Information Sciences*, 326, pp.315-333.

- Lv, W., He, C., Li, D., Cheng, S., Luo, S. and Zhang, X., 2010. Election campaign optimization algorithm. *Procedia Computer Science*, 1(1), pp.1377-1386.
- Lodi, M. and Koene, J.M., 2016. On the effect specificity of accessory gland products transferred by the love-dart of land snails. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 16, pp.1-12.
- McFaruume, I.D., 1980. Trail-following and trail-searching behaviour in homing of the intertidal gastropod mollusc, *Onchidium verruculatum*. *Marine & Freshwater Behaviour & Phy*, 7(1), pp.95-108.
- Michalewicz, Z. and Schoenauer, M., 1996. Evolutionary algorithms for constrained parameter optimization problems. *Evolutionary computation*, 4(1), pp.1-32.
- Mirjalili, S. (2015). Moth-flame optimization algorithm: A novel nature-inspired heuristic paradigm. *Knowledge-based Systems*, 89, 228–249.
- Mirjalili, S., & Lewis, A. (2016). The whale optimization algorithm. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 95, 51–67.
- Mirjalili, S., et al. (2017). Salp Swarm Algorithm: A bio-inspired optimizer for engineering design problems. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 114, 163–191.
- Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., & Hatamlou, A. (2016). Multi-verse optimizer: A natureinspired algorithm for global optimization. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 27(2), 495–513.
- Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., & Lewis, A. (2014). Grey wolf optimizer. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 69, 46–61.
- Mohanty, D.K., 2016, “Application of Firefly Algorithm for Design Optimization of A Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger from Economic Point Of View”, *Int J Therm. Sci.*, 102, pp. 228-238.
- Mozaffari, M. H., Abdy, H., & Zahiri, S. H. (2016). IPO: An inclined planes system optimization algorithm. *Computing and Informatics*, 35(1), 222–240.
- Nakashima, Y., 1995. Mucous trail following in 2 intertidal nudibranchs. *Journal of Ethology*, 13, pp.125-128.
- Ng, T.P., Saltin, S.H., Davies, M.S., Johannesson, K., Stafford, R. and Williams, G.A., 2013. Snails and their trails: the multiple functions of trail-following in gastropods. *Biological Reviews*, 88(3), pp.683-700.
- Patel, V.K., and Rao, R.V., 2010, “Design Optimization of Shell-and-Tube Heat Exchanger Using Particle Swarm Optimization Technique”, *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, 30 pp. 1417-1425.
- Rao, R.V. and Saroj, A., 2017. Constrained economic optimization of shell-and-tube heat exchangers using elitist-Jaya algorithm. *Energy*, 128, pp.785-800
- Rao, R.V., Savsani, V.J. and Vakharia, D.P., 2011. Teaching–learning-based optimization: a novel method for constrained mechanical design optimization problems. *Computer-aided design*, 43(3), pp.303-315.
- Rashedi, E., Nezamabadi-Pour, H., & Saryazdi, S. (2009). GSA: A gravitational search algorithm. *Information Sciences*, 179(13), 2232–2248.
- Reise, H., 2007. A review of mating behavior in slugs of the genus *Deroceras* (Pulmonata: Agriolimacidae). *American Malacological Bulletin*, 23(1), pp.137-156.
- Sahin, A.S., Kılıç, B., and Kılıç, U., 2010, “Design And Economic Optimization of Shell And Tube Heat Exchangers Using Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) Algorithm”, *Energy Convers. Manag*, 52, pp. 3356-3362.
- Shayanfar, H., & Gharehchopogh, F. S. (2018). Farmland fertility: A new metaheuristic algorithm for solving continuous optimization problems. *Applied Soft Computing*, 71, 728–746.
- Simon, D. (2008). Biogeography-based optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 12(6), 702–713.

- Su, H., Zhao, D., Heidari, A.A., Liu, L., Zhang, X., Mafarja, M. and Chen, H., 2023. RIME: A physics-based optimization. *Neurocomputing*, 532, pp.183-214.
- SV, Dhaval, AJ Kulkarni, A Shastri, IR Kale IR, 2016, "Design and economic optimization of shell-and-tube heat exchanger using cohort intelligence algorithm", *Neural Comput & Applic*, doi:10.1007/s00521-016-2683-z.
- Townsend, C.R., 1974. Mucus trail following by the snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* (Say). *Animal Behaviour*, 22(1), pp.170-177.
- Turgut, O.E., Turgut, M.S., and Coban M.T., .2014, "Design and Economic Investigation of Shell And Tube Heat Exchangers Using Improved Intelligent Tuned Harmony Search Algorithm", *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 5, pp. 1215-1231
- VH. Iyer, S Mahesh, R Malpani, M Sapre, AJ Kulkarni "Adaptive Range Genetic Algorithm: A hybrid optimization approach and its application in the design and economic optimization of Shell-and-Tube Heat Exchanger", *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 85 (2019) 444–461
- Wells, M.J. and Buckley, S.K.L., 1972. Snails and trails. *Animal Behaviour*, 20(2), pp.345-355.
- Wolpert DH, Macready WG (1997) No free lunch theorems for optimization. *IEEE Trans Evol Comput* 1(1):67–82
- X. S. Yang and S. Deb, "Cuckoo search via Lévy flights", *Proc. of World Congress on Nature & Biologically Inspired Computing (NaBic 2009)*, pp. 210-214, 2009.
- X.-S. Yang; S. Deb (December 2009). Cuckoo search via Lévy flights. *World Congress on Nature & Biologically Inspired Computing (NaBIC 2009)*. IEEE Publications. pp. 210–214
- Yang, X.S., 2010a. Firefly algorithm, stochastic test functions and design optimisation. *International journal of bio-inspired computation*, 2(2), pp.78-84.
- Yang, XS. (2010b). A New Metaheuristic Bat-Inspired Algorithm. In: González, J.R., Pelta, D.A., Cruz, C., Terrazas, G., Krasnogor, N. (eds) *Nature Inspired Cooperative Strategies for Optimization (NICSO 2010)*. *Studies in Computational Intelligence*, vol 284. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12538-6_6
- Yao, X., 1995. A new simulated annealing algorithm. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 56(3-4), pp.161-168.