

FLOER THEORY FOR THE VARIATION OPERATOR OF AN ISOLATED SINGULARITY

HANWOOL BAE, CHEOL-HYUN CHO, DONGWOOK CHOA, AND WONBO JEONG

ABSTRACT. The variation operator in singularity theory maps relative homology cycles to compact cycles in the Milnor fiber using the monodromy. We construct its symplectic analogue for an isolated singularity. We define a new Floer cohomology, called monodromy Lagrangian Floer cohomology, which provides categorifications of the standard theorems on the variation operator and the Seifert form. The key ingredients are a special class Γ in the symplectic cohomology of the inverse of the monodromy and its closed-open images. For isolated plane curve singularities whose A'Campo divide has depth zero, we find an exceptional collection consisting of non-compact Lagrangians in the Milnor fiber corresponding to a distinguished collection of vanishing cycles under the variation operator.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Symplectic cohomology of an exact symplectomorphism | 9 |
| 3. Monodromy class Γ of an isolated singularity | 16 |
| 4. Variation operator \mathcal{V} via the closed-open map | 25 |
| 5. Monodromy Lagrangian Floer cohomology HF_ρ^* | 40 |
| 6. Adapted family and exceptional collections | 47 |
| 7. Exceptional collections for some curve singularities | 49 |
| References | 63 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to construct a Floer theoretic operator \mathcal{V} and a cohomology HF_ρ^* which are analogues of the variation operator and the Seifert pairing associated to an isolated singularity f .

Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a germ of isolated hypersurface singularity. The Milnor fiber M of f is a smooth manifold, given by the intersection $f^{-1}(\delta) \cap \mathbb{B}_\epsilon(0)$ for sufficiently small real numbers δ and ϵ . The geometric monodromy ρ of the singularity f is a diffeomorphism $\rho : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (M, \partial M)$ that is the identity on ∂M , which is obtained by moving counter-clockwise along the fiber over the circle of radius δ in the base \mathbb{C} .

The variation operator

$$(1.1) \quad \text{var} : H_{n-1}(M, \partial M) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(M)$$

records the effect of monodromy on the middle-dimensional homology group. Namely, it takes a cycle relative to the boundary and sends it to the difference between its monodromy image and itself:

$$\text{var}(l) := \rho_*(l) - l \in H_{n-1}(M).$$

The variation operator is known to be an isomorphism, and it is a fundamental tool of singularity theory. For example, the Seifert pairing

$$S: H_{n-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_{n-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which is a non-degenerate bilinear form defined as the linking number of cycles, may be understood in terms of the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality of $H_{n-1}(M, \partial M)$ and $H_{n-1}(M)$ via the variation operator. We refer readers to the book [AGZV12] for more details.

We first construct a symplectic analogue \mathcal{V} , called *variation*, as an operator on Lagrangians. We also construct a cohomology theory denoted as $HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)$, called *monodromy Lagrangian Floer cohomology*, which categorifies classical theorems involving Seifert form, intersection pairing, and variation operator.

Before we explain our results, let us briefly give historical background on symplectic study of singularities. Arnold [Arn95] observed that for the complex Morse singularity, its monodromy is a generalized Dehn twist, which can be defined as a symplectic diffeomorphism. Seidel systematically developed a symplectic version of Picard Lefschetz theory of a singularity [Sei01b], [Sei01a], [Sei03], [Sei08], and it has been one of the most influential constructions in symplectic topology, mirror symmetry, and related fields.

Given a singularity f , one may perturb it to have a complex Morse function \tilde{f} . Then by choosing a generic regular value, and by choosing a collection of embedded paths from the chosen generic value to critical values, symplectic parallel transports define a distinguished collection of Lagrangian vanishing cycles. The directed Fukaya category of such vanishing cycles, or rather its derived version, is called *Fukaya-Seidel category* of f . It is independent of Morsifications, choices of regular value and embedded paths, and various choices made in the construction. This category turned out to be a mirror of the derived category of coherent sheaves on Fano manifold or graded matrix factorization category of Landau-Ginzburg model. See Futaki-Ueda [FU09], Ebeling-Takahashi [ET11], Lekili-Ueda [LU21], Harbermann-Smith [HS20], Polishchuk-Varolgunes [PV21], and the references therein for computation of Fukaya-Seidel category and associated mirror correspondences.

There are also recent constructions of Fukaya-Seidel category of Lefschetz fibrations by Abouzaid-Smith [AS19], Seidel [Sei18], Sylvan [Syl19], Ganatra-Pardon-Shende [GPS18]. In these constructions, non-compact Lagrangians in the total space of the Lefschetz fibration (which are compact when restricted to each fiber) are taken as objects of the partially wrapped version of Fukaya category. For example, instead of a Lagrangian vanishing cycle of the fiber, its Lefschetz thimble as a Lagrangian in the total space is considered.

Let us go back to the variation operator (1.1) and look at its domain. It is reasonable to consider Lagrangian submanifolds corresponding to homology classes in $H_{n-1}(M, \partial M)$. A perfect starting point is therefore the *wrapped Fukaya category* $\mathcal{WF}(M)$, which has been developed by Abouzaid and Seidel [AS10] to deal with such a situation. Recall that the Milnor fiber M has a canonical structure of a Liouville manifold with a contact boundary. Roughly, objects of $\mathcal{WF}(M)$ are Lagrangian submanifolds L with possibly a Legendrian boundary $\partial L \subset \partial M$. We take a completion of M by attaching an infinite cone $[1, \infty) \times \partial M$, extends L by attaching $[1, \infty) \times \partial L$ and then regard the wrapped Floer cohomology $HW^*(L_1, L_2)$ as a morphism. It can be viewed as the Lagrangian Floer cohomology whose Hamiltonian perturbation term is regulated to be quadratic on $[1, \infty)$ coordinate so that its flow is proportional to the Reeb flow on ∂M .

The first task is to extend the variation homomorphism in the context of Floer theory. The naive difference $\rho(L) - L (= \rho(L) \oplus L[1])$ is not a good candidate because its wrapped Floer cohomology is usually infinite-dimensional. In contrast, the image of the variation operator is a compact cycle in $H_{n-1}(M)$. Instead of the naive difference, we would like to find a morphism from L to $\rho(L)$ whose mapping cone represents a proper object. This leads us to the study of Floer cohomology of ρ itself.

The monodromy $\rho : M \rightarrow M$ of an isolated singularity f can be taken as a symplectic automorphism. One approach is to use the Milnor fibration $f/|f| : S^{2n-1}(\delta) \setminus f^{-1}(0) \rightarrow S^1$. Caubel, Némethi and Popescu-Pampu constructed the Milnor open book which gives rise to a pair of a Louville manifold and a compactly supported exact symplectomorphism, called abstract contact open book (see [Gir03], [CNPP06], [McL19]).

On the other hand, A'Campo [A'C75a] computed the Lefschetz numbers of the iterates of the topological monodromy map. In fact, A'Campo showed that monodromy has very special dynamics via the study about embedded resolutions of singularities. For example, the Lefschetz number of a monodromy map of an isolated singularity is always zero, and there exists a topological representative of the monodromy, whose fixed point set is (a collar of) the boundary of the Milnor fiber.

Recently, Fernandez de Bobabilla and Peřka [dBP22] have constructed, so called, *a symplectic monodromy at radius zero*, which gives a Louville manifold M together with an exact symplectomorphism $\rho : M \rightarrow M$ which has the same dynamics as the A'Campo's topological monodromy map. Moreover, using a small Hamiltonian flow near the boundary, one can choose such an exact symplectomorphism ρ so that its fixed points are exactly the boundary of the Milnor fiber.

We use this representative ρ and investigate its Hamiltonian Floer theory. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of *symplectic cohomology of ϕ* , denoted by $SH^*(\phi)$, for exact symplectomorphism ϕ following Uljarevic [Ulj17]. Roughly, it is a Hamiltonian Floer cohomology whose generators are twisted Hamiltonian orbits. This is an extension of fixed point Floer cohomology $HF^*(\phi, \pm)$ defined by Seidel [Sei01a], where \pm sign refers to a small Hamiltonian perturbation at the boundary ∂M using the Reeb flow along positive/negative direction. Our construction uses quadratic Hamiltonian at infinity, which can be shown to be canonically quasi-isomorphic to that of Uljarevic [Ulj17], which uses a direct limit of linear Hamiltonians.

In Section 3, we define the monodromy class Γ .

Definition 1.1 (see Definition 3.4). *There exists a cohomology class $\Gamma \in SH^*(\rho^{-1})$, called monodromy class, representing the fundamental class of ∂M -family of fixed points of ρ^{-1} .*

We observe that the set of fixed points of ρ^{-1} is given by ∂M , after a perturbation toward the positive Reeb direction near the cylindrical end. We remark that the presence of Γ is closely related to the vanishing of $HF^*(\rho, +)$ and $HF^*(\rho^{-1}, -)$. See [Sei96] for the A_1 -case, [Gau02] for plane curve singularities, and [McL19], [dBP22] for general isolated singularities.

After introducing Γ , we use structural maps in Floer theory to exploit its action on Lagrangian Floer theory. In Section 4, we consider the image of Γ under the twisted version of closed-open map,

$$\mathcal{CO}_L^\rho(\Gamma) \in CW^*(L, \rho(L))$$

and define an analogue of the classical variation operator (1.1) in Lagrangian Floer theory.

Definition 1.2. *We define the variation operator \mathcal{V} as the twisted complex*

$$\mathcal{V}(L) := \left(L[1] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{CO}_L^\rho(\Gamma)} \rho(L) \right) \in \mathcal{WF}(M).$$

When L has cylindrical ends, we also define the analogous cochain $\Gamma_L \in CW^*(L, \rho(L))$ which turns out to be the lowest action value term of $\mathcal{CO}_L^\rho(\Gamma)$. Moreover, we later prove the following property of $\mathcal{V}(L)$:

Theorem 1.3. (See Corollary 5.11) *For every object L of $\mathcal{WF}(M)$, $\mathcal{V}(L)$ is a proper object, i.e., $HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L), L')$ and $HW^*(L', \mathcal{V}(L))$ are finite dimensional for any L' .*




FIGURE 1

It is natural to ask whether there is a compact closed Lagrangian representative for $\mathcal{V}(L)$. One can construct a Lagrangian $L\sharp_{\partial L}\rho(L)$ by gluing L and $\rho(L)$ along ∂L via flow surgery [MW18]. We consider its compact component V_L . See Figure 1 for an illustration of $n = 2$ case.

Theorem 1.4. (See Proposition 4.22 and Theorem 4.24) Assume that

- (1) ∂L is connected for $n \neq 2$,
- (2) $L \cap \rho(L)$ is empty away from the boundary, and
- (3) $HW^*(L, L)$ or $HW^*(L, \rho(L))$ is infinite dimensional.

Then V_L is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(L)$ inside $\mathcal{WF}(M)$.

In Section 5, we make the following definition.

Definition 1.5. The monodromy Lagrangian Floer cochain complex $CF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)$ is defined as a cone of

$$(1.2) \quad \cap\Gamma : CW^*(\rho(L_1), L_2) \rightarrow CW^*(L_1, L_2),$$

where \cap denotes the twisted quantum cap action. We denote its cohomology group by $HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)$.

The twisted quantum cap action is defined by considering a pseudo-holomorphic disc with two boundary marked points and one interior marked point lying on a geodesic connecting the two boundary marked points, and we consider an insertion of Γ at the interior marked point.

We prove that monodromy Lagrangian Floer cohomology categorifies the Seifert form.

Theorem 1.6 (See Theorem 5.10). Let L_1, L_2 be objects in wrapped Fukaya category of M . Then we have

$$\chi(HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)) = (-1)^n S(v_2, v_1)$$

where v_i are the homology class of $\mathcal{V}(L_i)$ in $H_{n-1}(M)$.

Moreover, we show that the following classical theorems regarding relations between variation operator, Seifert form, and intersection pairing are categorified.

Theorem 1.7 (Arnold, Gussein-Zade, Varchenko [AGZV12]). For $v_1, v_2 \in H_{n-1}(M)$, the following hold:

- (1) $S(v_1, v_2) = \text{var}^{-1}(v_1) \bullet v_2$.
- (2) $\text{var}^{-1}(v_1) \bullet v_2 + v_1 \bullet \rho(\text{var}^{-1}(v_2)) = 0$.
- (3) $v_1 \bullet v_2 = -S(v_1, v_2) + (-1)^n S(v_2, v_1)$.

Theorem 1.8 (See Theorem 5.9 and 5.12). For every pair of objects L_i , $i = 1, 2$, we have the following.

- (1) $HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2) \cong HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_1)[-1], L_2) \cong HW^*(\rho(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2))$.
- (2) There is a canonical duality isomorphism

$$HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2) \cong HF_\rho^{n-2-*}(L_2, \rho(L_1))^\vee.$$

(3) *There is a long exact sequence of cohomologies*

$$\cdots \rightarrow HF_\rho^{n-1-*}(L_2, L_1)^\vee \rightarrow HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \rightarrow HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2) \rightarrow HF_\rho^{n-2-*}(L_2, L_1)^\vee \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Theorem 1.8 follows from the fact that \mathcal{V} is defined via the closed-open map of Γ . The essence of the proof is the standard cobordism of moving interior Γ -insertion toward the either boundary of the Floer strip. Observe that the statement (2) is an analogue of Seidel's observation that "winding once around the base" is the Serre functor for the Fukaya-Seidel category up to shift.

Remark 1.9. *We remark that from the construction of Fukaya-Seidel category, the directed Floer cohomology groups between distinguished collection of Lagrangian vanishing cycles naturally provide a categorification of Seifert form on this distinguished basis, which naturally extends to the Grothendieck group of the Fukaya-Seidel category (cf. Umut Varolgunes [Var23]).*

Remark 1.10. *Let us comment on the relation of the above construction to previous literatures. It has been known among experts that the relation between the Fukaya-Seidel category and the Fukaya category of the fiber can be described via Orlov cup and cap functors. Abouzaid-Smith [AS19] constructed cup and cap functor for Fukaya category of certain Lefschetz fibrations. Here cup functor takes a compact Lagrangian in the fiber to the U-shaped Lagrangian in the total space of the fibration. Cap functor is more delicate and only defined for U-shaped Lagrangians, which are sent to the twisted complex of compact Lagrangians (on each end of U) with morphisms defined by the count of J-holomorphic sections. It is expected that cap functor for a U-shaped Lagrangian which is fiberwise non-compact can be defined, but we could not find a literature of a general construction (c.f. [AA21] for the toric case). If such a functor can be defined, then for a Lagrangian L in the fiber, $(\cap \cup L)$ should be conjecturally quasi-isomorphic to our twisted complex $\mathcal{V}(L)$.*

The idea of using monodromy orbit Γ first appeared in the previous work [CCJ20] of the last three authors of this paper, in the case of weighted homogenous polynomial W . In this case, Γ is an element of the symplectic cohomology (of the identity automorphism) of the Milnor fiber quotient orbifold $[M_W/G_W]$ for the maximal diagonal symmetry group G_W . Namely, monodromy (which does not fix the boundary) is given by a diagonal weight action, and hence monodromy becomes trivial in the quotient $[M_W/G_W]$. This allowed us to define the Fukaya category of Landau-Ginzburg orbifold (W, G_W) .

In Section 6, we investigate another property of HF_ρ^* to effectively compare it with Fukaya-Seidel category. First note that we do not use any Morsification of the singularity ρ to define HF_ρ^* (and thus we do not choose vanishing paths). And our objects are not ordered, nor the definition of our cohomology is directed. But we still expect that our cohomology is related to the derived Fukaya-Seidel category: in this relation, a choice of Morsification and a set of vanishing paths would correspond to the choice of an exceptional collection in terms of HF_ρ^* .

Definition 1.11. *An ordered collection of Lagrangians (L_1, \dots, L_m) which satisfies the following properties is called an exceptional collection:*

- (1) $HF_\rho^*(L_i, L_i) = \mathbb{K} \cdot e_i[0]$ and
- (2) $HF_\rho^*(L_i, L_j) = 0$ if $i > j$.

Given an exceptional collection, we can relate their monodromy Lagrangian Floer cohomology to the standard Floer cohomology of variation images using Theorem 1.8 (3).

Theorem 1.12 (See Corollary 6.3). *For an exceptional collection (L_1, \dots, L_m) , we have*

$$HF_\rho^*(L_i, L_j) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & (i > j), \\ \mathbb{K} \cdot e_i[0] & (i = j), \\ HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_i), \mathcal{V}(L_j)) & (i < j). \end{cases}$$

This asymmetric formula is interesting for two reasons. First, it sharply contrasts HF_ρ^* with the usual Floer cohomology HF^* : the former on this collection is directed while the latter is symmetric by the Poincaré duality isomorphism

$$HF^*(V_i, V_j) \cong HF^{n-1-*}(V_j, V_i)^\vee.$$

Also, this formula reminds us of the very definition of Fukaya-Seidel category. The morphisms between distinguished collection of vanishing cycles are defined to be zero in an opposite ordering, which reflects the fact that $\text{hom}_{FS}^*(V_i, V_j)$ is a Floer theory between thimbles of V_i rather than V_i themselves. Even though the definition of HF_ρ^* is not directed, when we choose a special collection of objects, HF_ρ^* on this collection can be directed and behaves like Fukaya-Seidel category. If one knows a vanishing result along one direction, then the monodromy Floer cohomology in the other direction is determined by the usual Floer cohomology via the variation \mathcal{V} .

The final question we ask is therefore the following inverse problem. Given a singularity f , a choice of Morsification \tilde{f} and vanishing paths determines a distinguished collection of vanishing cycles denoted as $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\mu$. Is there an exceptional collection of non-compact Lagrangians $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\mu$ with respect to HF_ρ^* such that $\mathcal{V}(K_i) \cong V_i$?

We start with an easier question of topological representability. We are looking Lagrangian representatives $\{K_i\}$ such that each homology class $[K_i]$ goes to $[V_i]$ under the classical variation operator (1.1). We first find a convenient notion of an adapted family, which solves the topological question in terms of intersection numbers.

Definition 1.13. *An ordered family (K_1, \dots, K_μ) is said to be adapted to (V_1, \dots, V_μ) if it satisfies the following (signed) intersection conditions.*

- (1) For any $j > i$, we require that $K_j \bullet V_i = -(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} V_j \bullet V_i$.
- (2) For any $j < i$, we require that $K_j \cap V_i = \emptyset$.
- (3) We require that K_j intersects V_j at a single point positively for each j .

Here, we allow K_i to have several connected components.

Then, using the Picard-Lefschetz formula, we prove the following.

Proposition 1.14 (See Proposition 6.7). *If (K_1, \dots, K_μ) is adapted to (V_1, \dots, V_μ) , then we have the topological relation:*

$$\text{var}([K_i]) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} [V_i] \in H_{n-1}(M), \quad \forall i.$$

In Section 7, we will explain how our constructions work for plane curve singularities. We show that for a plane curve singularity whose A'Campo divide has depth 0, we can find an exceptional collection consisting of non-compact connected Lagrangians as follows.

A'Campo divide [A'C99], [A'C98] is a novel construction that enables one to visualize a distinguished collection of vanishing cycles as well as their intersections in the Milnor fiber of (a perturbation of) a real plane curve singularity. It produces a planar graph, called the $A\Gamma$ diagram, whose vertices correspond to vanishing cycles and edges correspond to intersections between them.

We define the notion of a depth of $A\Gamma$ diagram (and thus divide), by counting the minimal number of edges to reach vertices from outside (see Definition 7.4 for the precise definition). Hence, any vertex of a depth 0 $A\Gamma$ diagram always meets the non-compact region of the complement of $A\Gamma$ diagram in the plane. For example, ADE curve singularities have divides of depth 0.

Theorem 1.15 (See Theorem 7.10). *Let f be an isolated plane curve singularity having an A'Campo divide of depth 0. Denote by (V_1, \dots, V_μ) the distinguished collection of Lagrangian vanishing cycles in M from the*




FIGURE 2. Examples of divide and $A\Gamma$ diagram

given divide. Then there exists an exceptional collection (with respect to HF_ρ^*) of non-compact connected Lagrangians (K_1, \dots, K_μ) adapted to (V_1, \dots, V_μ) satisfying

$$\mathcal{V}(K_i) \simeq V_i[1] \in \mathcal{WF}(M).$$

Let us illustrate this in the case of E_6 -singularity $f(x, y) = x^3 + y^4$.

Example 1.16. For the case of E_6 singularity, there is a well-known divide given in Figure 2 (see [AGZV12 Chapter 4]). The Milnor fiber and its vanishing cycles are drawn in Figure 3 (A). The proof of Theorem 1.15 is constructive and provides the ordered family of non-compact Lagrangians

$$(K_1^-, K_2^-, K_1^0, K_2^0, K_3^0, K_1^+)$$

which is drawn in Figure 3(B).

One can explicitly check that this ordered set is adapted and hence gives an exceptional collection. Note also from Theorem 1.12 that a diagram of HF_ρ^* for this family of non-compact Lagrangians is exactly the same as that of hom_{FS}^* of the corresponding vanishing cycles, which is an $A\Gamma$ diagram with each edge replaced by arrows toward increasing type (see diagram 7.6).




FIGURE 3. Vanishing cycles and adapted family of E_6

To sketch what happens for divides of higher depth, we would need the following conjectural A_∞ -category.

Conjecture 1.17. There exist a \mathbb{Z} -graded A_∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_\rho(M)$ whose

- (1) objects are the same as that of the wrapped Fukaya category of M and
- (2) morphism for any two Lagrangians L_1, L_2 is defined to be

$$(1.3) \quad \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_\rho}^*(L_1, L_2) := CF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2) = CW^{*-1}(\rho(K_1), K_2)\epsilon \oplus CW^*(K_1, K_2).$$

Here, ϵ is a formal variable of degree -1 .

Its A_∞ -operation $\{M_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is defined by counting rigid pseudo-holomorphic popsicles with Γ -insertions at each sprinkles.

Namely, HF_ρ^* is expected to be the cohomology of this A_∞ -category. The conjectural A_∞ -structure is defined by counting rigid popsicles with Γ -insertions following [CCJ20], but there are obstructions to the A_∞ -structure. Let us explain this in more detail.

Popsicle, introduced by Abouzaid-Seidel [AS10] and Seidel [Sei18], is a decorated disc with a single negative boundary marking and several positive boundary markings. Also, it can have several positive interior markings on geodesics connecting the boundary output and a boundary input. Note that the quantum cap action is the counting of the simplest non-trivial pseudo-holomorphic popsicles with a single Γ -insertion.

The last three authors [CCJ20] applied this idea in the case when ρ is an identity: e.g., a quotient orbifold of the Milnor fiber of weighted homogeneous polynomial f . In general, interior insertions on popsicles collide with each other to create sphere bubbles with an induced popsicle structure. (This phenomenon does not happen in the original constructions [AS10, Sei18], since their sprinkles can overlap each other.) Such popsicle spheres give obstructions to having an A_∞ -structure. It has been shown that the obstructions vanish for degree reason when ∂M carries a Reeb flow S^1 -action of a negative index. This holds for weighted homogeneous polynomials of log Fano/Calabi-Yau type, hence A_∞ -category is defined (see [CCJ20]). A similar obstruction exists for Conjecture 1.17. They consist of similar popsicle spheres but with additional branch cuts along popsicle lines given by ρ . We also conjecture that such obstruction always vanishes when ρ comes from the monodromy of isolated singularity.

It would be interesting to study mutations of exceptional collections (Definition 1.11) in this conjectural A_∞ -category, which we leave it to the future.

Let us go back to the case of higher depth divides. We conjecture that the most natural way of expressing variation inverse images is via iterated cones of ϵ -morphisms; recall from (1.2) that the monodromy Floer cohomology of two Lagrangians K_1, K_2 comes from either Floer cochain of K_1 and K_2 or that of $\rho(K_1)$ and K_2 . The latter corresponds to the component with ϵ variable in (1.3) and we call them ϵ -morphisms for simplicity. In the higher depth case, we expect the vanishing cycles of A'Campo divide to be represented by iterated cones (or in general twisted complexes) of ϵ -morphisms. In Subsection 7.6, we illustrate the ϵ -cone object L in an example of singularity whose A'Campo divide has depth 1. We will leave a systematic study to future work.

Last but not least, we remark two interesting results regarding the generation of Fukaya categories. The first one due to Seidel [Sei08] is that for a weighted homogenous polynomial W with a weight condition $\frac{1}{w_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{w_n} \neq 1$, its vanishing cycles generate Fukaya category of its Milnor fiber. On the other hand, Keating [Kea15] exhibited a Lagrangian torus inside the Milnor fiber of $T_{p,q,r}$ singularities which are not generated by vanishing cycles. It is interesting to ask whether Keating's tori is of the form $\mathcal{V}(L)$ for some exact Lagrangian cylinder L .

Note that for a Lagrangian plane L , if we attach L and $\rho(L)$ via the Lagrangian cobordism in Section 4.7, then we obtain a Lagrangian sphere. But if we apply the construction to a Lagrangian L which is topologically a cylinder, then we obtain a Lagrangian torus (obtained by gluing two cylinders L and $\rho(L)$). Thus the existence of such L would imply that the essential image of \mathcal{V} is strictly larger than the subcategory generated by vanishing cycles.

1.1. Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Javier Fernandez de Bobabilla, Tomasz Pełka, Pablo Portilla Cuadrado, Nero Budur, Kaoru Ono, and Otto van Koert for very helpful discussions and their interest in our work. Bae and Cho are supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant

funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No.2020R1A5A1016126). Choa is supported by the KIAS individual grant (MG079401). Cho and Jeong are supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under project number SSTF-BA1402-52.

2. SYMPLECTIC COHOMOLOGY OF AN EXACT SYMPLECTOMORPHISM

Let $(W, \omega = d\lambda)$ be a Liouville domain of dimension $2n$. Let Z be the associated Liouville vector field, i.e., $\iota_Z d\lambda = \lambda$ and let ϕ_Z^t be the time t -flow of Z . Then there is a collar neighborhood $(0, 1] \times \partial W$ of ∂W , where the radial coordinate $r \in (0, 1]$ is obtained by integrating Z in the sense that $r(y) = 1$ for all $y \in \partial W$ and $r(\phi_Z^t(y)) = e^t r(y) = e^t$ for all $y \in \partial W, t \in (-\infty, 0]$. Therefore $(W, d\lambda)$ can be naturally extended to a non-compact symplectic manifold $(\widehat{W}, \omega = d\lambda)$ by gluing the cylindrical end $[1, \infty) \times \partial W$ with W along ∂W as follows:

$$\widehat{W} = W \cup_{\partial W} [1, \infty) \times \partial W \text{ and } \lambda = r\lambda|_{\partial W} \text{ on } [1, \infty) \times \partial W,$$

which is called the completion of $(W, d\lambda)$. The Liouville vector field Z extends to the cylindrical end by $Z = r\partial_r$. Furthermore, the restriction $\theta := \lambda|_{\partial W}$ is a contact form. Let R be the associated Reeb vector field and ϕ_R^t be its time- t flow. We may assume that the Liouville form λ is generic enough so that Reeb orbits are nondegenerate as discussed in [Abo10, Section 2].

We are interested in exact symplectomorphisms $\phi : W \rightarrow W$ that are given by a Reeb flow near ∂W in the sense that there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and small $0 < \eta < 1$ such that

$$\phi(r, y) = (\text{Id} \times \phi_R^a)(r, y) = (r, \phi_R^a(y)), \forall r \in [1 - \eta, 1], y \in \partial W.$$

Such ϕ may be extended to the completion \widehat{W} by $\text{Id} \times \phi_R^a$ on $[1, \infty) \times \partial W$ and will be still denoted by ϕ for convenience. We will assume that ϕ is such an exact symplectomorphism for the rest of this section.

We will introduce fixed point Floer cohomology $HF^*(\phi; H, J)$ for certain time-dependent Hamiltonians H and time-dependent almost complex structures J . In particular, when H is quadratic at infinity, the corresponding fixed point Floer cohomology will be called symplectic cohomology of ϕ and will be denoted by $SH^*(\phi)$, see Subsection 2.1.3.

Especially when $a = 0$, ϕ equals the identity map near ∂W . In [Ulj17], the author introduced the fixed point Floer cohomology $HF^*(\phi, b)$ for such an exact symplectomorphism ϕ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ that is not a period of any Reeb orbits, which is the fixed point Floer cohomology $HF^*(\phi; H_b, J)$ for a linear Hamiltonian H_b with slope b . Furthermore, they defined $HF^*(\phi, \infty)$ by the direct limit of $HF^*(\phi, b)$ with respect to the continuation maps as b goes to infinity. We will see that these definitions can be extended to any exact symplectomorphism ϕ that is given by a Reeb flow near ∂W and then in Subsection 2.1.3, it will be verified that the symplectic cohomology $SH^*(\phi)$ is canonically isomorphic to $HF^*(\phi, \infty)$.

2.1. Fixed point Floer cohomology. Let us begin the construction of fixed point Floer cohomology. Let ϕ be a symplectomorphism on W given as above. Our assumption on ϕ implies that there exists a compactly supported function $F_\phi : \widehat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^* \lambda - \lambda = dF_\phi.$$

This property holds since $(\text{Id} \times \phi_R^a)^*(r\theta) = r\theta$ on $[1, \infty) \times \partial W \subset \widehat{W}$.

We consider the mapping torus of ϕ :

$$T_\phi = \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{W} / (t, \phi(x)) \sim (t+1, x).$$

Then sections of the projection $\pi : T_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = S^1, [(t, x)] \mapsto t$ are ϕ -twisted loops, i.e., maps $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \widehat{W}$ satisfying

$$\phi(\gamma(t+1)) = \gamma(t).$$

Let us denote the space of twisted loops by

$$\Omega_\phi = \{\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \widehat{W} \mid \phi(\gamma(t+1)) = \gamma(t)\}.$$

Now, we consider time-dependent Hamiltonians $H : \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following:

(1) H is ϕ -periodic in the sense that

$$(2.2) \quad H(t+1, x) = H(t, \phi(x)), \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{W}.$$

(2) H satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad H(t, r, y) = h(r) \text{ in some open neighborhood of } R_i \text{'s.}$$

for some increasing sequence $(R_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq R}$ and some smooth function $h : [R, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_i = \infty$,
- $h''(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \geq R$.

We call such a Hamiltonian H ϕ -admissible and denote by $\mathcal{H}_\phi = \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ the set of all ϕ -admissible Hamiltonians.

Let $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ be given. The conditions (2.1) and (2.2) allow us to consider the action functional $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, H} : \Omega_\phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\phi, H}(\gamma) = - \int_0^1 \gamma^* \lambda + \int_0^1 H(t, \gamma(t)) dt - F_\phi(\gamma(1)).$$

Critical points of $\mathcal{A}_{\phi, H}$ are twisted Hamiltonian orbits, that is, elements of the set

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi, H) = \{\gamma \in \Omega_\phi \mid \dot{\gamma}(t) = X_{H_t}(\gamma(t))\},$$

where X_{H_t} is the Hamiltonian vector field associated to $H_t(\cdot) = H(t, \cdot)$ on \widehat{W} . A twisted Hamiltonian orbit $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\phi, H)$ is called *non-degenerate* if

$$\det(d\phi \circ d\phi_H^1 - \text{Id}) \neq 0$$

at $T_{\gamma(1)}W$, where ϕ_H^t is the time- t flow of the time-dependent Hamiltonian vector field $\{X_{H_t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. We call H *non-degenerate* if all the twisted Hamiltonian orbits $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\phi, H)$ are non-degenerate.

Next, let $\mathcal{J}_\phi = \mathcal{J}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ denote the set of all 1-parameter families $J = \{J_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of ω -compatible almost complex structures J_t of contact type on \widehat{W} that are ϕ -periodic in the sense that

$$(2.5) \quad J_{t+1} = \phi^* J_t, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By a *Floer datum for ϕ* , we mean a pair (H, J) of a Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ and an almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}_\phi(\widehat{W})$.

To endow our Floer chain complex with a \mathbb{Z} -grading, we first grade elements of $\mathcal{P}(\phi, H)$ for $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$. For that purpose, the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}}T_\phi$ on T_ϕ given by

$$T^{\text{ver}}T_\phi := \ker d\pi = \mathbb{R} \times T\widehat{W} / (t, d\phi(v)) \sim (t+1, v)$$

is required to satisfy $c_1(T^{\text{ver}}T_\phi) = 0$. This assumption implies that there is a non-vanishing complex volume form Ω on $T^{\text{ver}}T_\phi$ (with respect to J), or equivalently a 1-parameter family of non-vanishing complex volume form $\{\Omega_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on W (with respect to $\{J_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$) such that

$$(2.6) \quad \phi^* \Omega_t = \Omega_{t+1}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\{\Omega_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is determined by $\{\Omega_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ due to (2.6) and so is Ω , we will just write $\Omega = \{\Omega_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ to denote such a non-vanishing complex volume form on $T^{\text{ver}}T_\phi$.

For a given non-degenerate twisted Hamiltonian orbit $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\phi, H)$, we assign its cohomological degree as follows. First we choose a symplectic trivialization $\Psi : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \gamma^* T\widehat{W}$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad d\phi \circ \Psi_1 = \Psi_0,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \Psi_t^* \Omega_t = \wedge_{j=1}^n (dx_j + \sqrt{-1} dy_j),$$

where Ψ_t is the restriction $\Psi|_{\{t\} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ and $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ is the coordinate of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . This gives rise to a path of symplectic matrices:

$$(2.9) \quad \Lambda(t) = \Psi_t^{-1} \circ d\phi_H^t \circ \Psi_0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then we define the cohomological degree of γ by

$$\deg \gamma = n - \mu_{CZ}(\Lambda),$$

where the Conley-Zehnder index μ_{CZ} is defined as in [Gut14].

Let (H, J) be a Floer datum for some non-degenerate Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ and let Ω be a non-vanishing complex volume form $T^{\text{ver}} T_\phi$. We define the Floer complex $CF^*(\phi; H, J)$ by the graded vector space generated by $\mathcal{P}(\phi, H)$ over a field \mathbb{K} , where the degree of $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\phi, H)$ is given by $\deg \gamma$, i.e.,

$$CF^k(\phi; H, J) = \bigoplus_{\deg \gamma = k} \mathbb{K} \cdot \gamma.$$

The differential of the Floer complex $CF^*(\phi; H, J)$ is defined by counting Floer strips between two twisted Hamiltonian orbits of index 1 with proper signs. Here, a Floer strip means a solution $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \widehat{W}$ to the following Floer equation

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_s u + J_t(\partial_t u - X_H(u)) &= 0, \\ \phi(u(s, t+1)) &= u(s, t), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

To be more precise, for given $\gamma_-, \gamma_+ \in \mathcal{P}(\phi, H)$, we consider

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H, J) = \{u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \widehat{W} : (2.10), \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(s, t) = \gamma_\pm(t)\}.$$

Considering that the radial coordinate r is preserved by ϕ on the cylindrical end $[1, \infty) \times \partial W \subset \widehat{W}$, it is not difficult to see that a modification of the arguments in [Sei06] or in [Ulj17, Section 4] shows that there is a sufficiently large $R' \geq 1$ such that every element u of $\mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H, J)$ do not enter $[R', \infty) \times \partial W \subset \widehat{W}$.

An element $u \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H, J)$ is called *regular* if the associated Fredholm operator is surjective so that the component $\mathcal{M}^u(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H, J)$ of $\mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H, J)$ containing u is transversely cut out and hence has dimension $\deg \gamma_- - \deg \gamma_+$. We say that a Floer datum (H, J) is regular if H is non-degenerate and every element of $\mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H, J)$ is regular. A standard argument shows that, for a given Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$, there exists a residual subset $\mathcal{J}_\phi^{\text{reg}}(\widehat{W})$ of $\mathcal{J}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ such that the Floer datum (H, J) is regular for all $J \in \mathcal{J}_\phi^{\text{reg}}(\widehat{W})$.

For a regular Floer datum (H, J) , the differential $\delta : CF^*(\phi; H, J) \rightarrow CF^*(\phi; H, J)$ is defined by

$$(2.12) \quad \delta(\gamma_+) = \sum_{\deg \gamma_- = \deg \gamma_+ + 1} \#[\mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H, J)/\mathbb{R}] \cdot \gamma_-,$$

where the quotient $/\mathbb{R}$ is taken with respect to the translation along the s -direction. The signed count $\#[\mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H, J)/\mathbb{R}]$ is defined as in [McL19, Section 4]. Then the differential operator δ squares to zero as explained in [Ulj17, Theorem 2.18], [McL19, Section 4]. We define the Floer cohomology for the Floer datum (H, J) by the cohomology of the Floer complex $(CF^*(\phi; H, J), \delta)$:

$$HF^*(\phi; H, J) := H^*(CF^*(\phi; H, J), \delta).$$

The fixed point Floer cohomology is invariant under compact Hamiltonian isotopy in the following sense.

Proposition 2.1. *Let ϕ^1 and ϕ^2 be exact symplectomorphisms on a Liouville domain $(W, d\lambda)$ that are equal to a Reeb flow ϕ_R^a for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ near ∂W . Furthermore, assume that $\phi^2 = \phi^1 \circ \phi_H^1$ for some Hamiltonian $H : \widehat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a compact support $\text{Supp } H$.*

Let $H^1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi^1}$ and $H^2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi^2}$ be given in such a way that $H^1 = H^2$ outside $\mathbb{R} \times K$ for some compact subset V of \widehat{W} containing $\text{Supp } H$. Then, for any regular Floer datum (H^1, J^1) for ϕ^1 and regular Floer datum (H^2, J^2) for ϕ^2 , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$HF^*(\phi^1; H^1, J^1) \cong HF^*(\phi^2; H^2, J^2).$$

This can be shown by the standard argument using a continuation map. Indeed, there exists a continuation map $c_{1,2} : CF^*(\phi^1; H^1, J^1) \rightarrow CF^*(\phi^2; H^2, J^2)$ defined by using a 1-parameter family of triples (ϕ^s, H^s, J^s) , $s \in \mathbb{R}$ of an exact symplectomorphism ϕ^s on $(W, d\lambda)$, $H^s \in \mathcal{H}_{\phi^s}$ and $J^s \in \mathcal{J}_{\phi^s}$ such that

- $(\phi^s, H^s, J^s) = \begin{cases} (\phi^2, H^2, J^2) & (s \ll 0), \\ (\phi^1, H^1, J^1) & (s \gg 0), \end{cases}$
- ϕ^s is equal to the Reeb flow ϕ_R^a for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and
- H^s is equal to H^1 outside $\mathbb{R} \times V$ for the compact subset V above for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

It can be seen that such a continuation map is a chain map and induces an isomorphism on the homology as it admits an inverse on the homology defined similarly.

Remark 2.2. *An abstract contact open book is a triple (W, λ, ϕ) consisting of a Liouville domain (W, λ) (denoted by $(W, d\lambda)$ in our paper) and an exact symplectomorphism ϕ on W that is the identity map near ∂W . Following Seidel [Sei96], McLean introduced the fixed point Floer cohomology $HF^*(\phi, \pm)$ for a graded abstract contact open book (W, λ, ϕ) , where the sign \pm denotes the direction of the Reeb flow which is used to perturb ϕ near ∂W .*

Here, a grading on (W, λ, ϕ) consists of a grading on W and a grading on ϕ compatible with the grading on W , see [Sei08], [McL19], [dBP22, Section 5.4.3]. The former is determined by a non-vanishing complex volume form Ω_0 on W (up to homotopy) and the latter determined by a homotopy between Ω_0 and $\phi^ \Omega_0$ (up to homotopy), i.e., a 1-parameter family $\{\Omega_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ of complex volume form on W such that*

$$\Omega_1 = \phi^* \Omega_0.$$

Therefore, this grading data is equivalent to our grading data, a non-vanishing complex volume form on $T^{\text{ver}} T_\phi$ as shown in [dBP22, Corollary 5.10].

Two abstract contact open books (W_0, λ_0, ϕ_0) and (W_1, λ_1, ϕ_1) are isotopic if W_0 and W_1 are diffeomorphic and there is a smooth 1-parameter family of abstract contact open book $\{(W_t, \lambda_t, \phi_t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ connecting those two. An isotopy $\{(W_t, \lambda_t, \phi_t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ between two graded abstract contact open book is said to be graded if the 1-parameter family of graded symplectomorphisms ϕ_t vary smoothly on $t \in [0, 1]$. In [McL19], it was proved that the fixed point Floer cohomology $HF^(\phi, \pm)$ is invariant under a graded isotopy of graded abstract contact open book. For a graded abstract open book, $HF^*(\phi, \pm)$ of [McL19] can be understood as $HF^*(\phi; H_{\pm\epsilon}, J_{\pm\epsilon})$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen to be smaller than the minimal period of positive/negative Reeb orbits and $(H_{\pm\epsilon}, J_{\pm\epsilon})$ is a Floer datum for ϕ such that $H_{\pm\epsilon}$ is a linear Hamiltonian with slope $\pm\epsilon$ as will be explained the following subsection. (see [dBP22, Section 6]).*

In the following subsections, we will consider the fixed point Floer cohomology for linear and quadratic ϕ -admissible Hamiltonians, separately.

2.1.1. *Fixed point Floer cohomologies for linear Hamiltonians.* Let us first consider the fixed point Floer cohomology for linear Hamiltonians.

Let ϕ be an exact symplectomorphism on a Liouville domain $(W, d\lambda)$ that equals the Reeb flow ϕ_R^a for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ near ∂W . For any real number b not equal to periods of any Reeb orbits, let $H_b \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ be a Hamiltonian satisfying

$$H_b(t, r, x) = br + c, \forall (t, r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times [R, \infty] \times \partial W$$

for some $R > 0$ and for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We call such a Hamiltonian H_b linear at infinity with slope b or just linear with slope b . Note that a linear Hamiltonian $H_b \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ can be chosen to be non-degenerate since H_b is allowed to be time-dependent and generic enough on $\mathbb{R} \times \widehat{W} \setminus [R, \infty] \times \partial W$, where all the twisted Hamiltonian orbits of H_b occur.

For any real numbers $a_1 \leq a_2$ that are not periods of any Reeb orbits, we choose linear Hamiltonians $H_{a_1}, H_{a_2} \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ with slopes a_1, a_2 such that $H_{a_1} \leq H_{a_2}$ outside a compact subset of \widehat{W} and almost complex structures $J_{a_1}, J_{a_2} \in \mathcal{J}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ for which (H_{a_j}, J_{a_j}) is regular for $j = 1, 2$. Then, as shown in [Ulj17], there is a continuation map $c_{a_1, a_2} : CF^*(\phi; H_{a_1}, J_{a_1}) \rightarrow CF^*(\phi; H_{a_2}, J_{a_2})$ defined using a 1-parameter family of admissible Hamiltonians that are increasing from H_{a_1} to H_{a_2} outside a compact subset of \widehat{W} . We denote its induced map on homology by $c_{a_1, a_2} : HF^*(\phi; H_{a_1}, J_{a_1}) \rightarrow HF^*(\phi; H_{a_2}, J_{a_2})$ by abuse of notation. It was further shown that c_{a_1, a_2} is independent of the choice of an increasing homotopy from H_{a_1} to H_{a_2} used to define it. Using these continuation maps, we define $HF^*(\phi, \infty)$ as follows.

Definition 2.3. Let $(H_{a_i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ be a sequence of linear Hamiltonians in $\mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ for some increasing sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ of real numbers $a_i \in [1, \infty)$ such that

- a_i is not a period of any Reeb orbit for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and
- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \infty$,

and $(J^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ is a sequence of almost complex structures in $\mathcal{J}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ such that the Floer datum (H_{a_i}, J^i) is regular for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then $HF^*(\phi; \infty)$ is defined by

$$HF^*(\phi, \infty) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} HF^*(\phi; H_{a_i}, J_{a_i}).$$

where the direct limit is taken with respect to the continuation maps as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

For given two increasing sequence of Hamiltonians $(H_{a_i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ and $(H'_{a'_i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$, after finding their subsequences, we may assume that

$$a_i \leq a'_i \leq a_{i+1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

It follows that the continuation map $c_{a_i, a_{i+1}} : HF^*(\phi; H_{a_i}, J_{a_i}) \rightarrow HF^*(\phi; H_{a_{i+1}}, J_{a_{i+1}})$ factors through

$$c_{a_i, a'_i} : HF^*(\phi; H_{a_i}, J_{a_i}) \rightarrow HF^*(\phi; H'_{a'_i}, J'_{a'_i}) \text{ and } c_{a'_i, a_{i+1}} : HF^*(\phi; H'_{a'_i}, J'_{a'_i}) \rightarrow HF^*(\phi; H_{a_{i+1}}, J_{a_{i+1}})$$

and a similar statement holds for $c_{a'_i, a'_{i+1}}$. This implies that there exist canonically well-defined maps $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} HF^*(\phi; H_{a_i}, J_{a_i}) \rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} HF^*(\phi; H'_{a'_i}, J'_{a'_i})$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} HF^*(\phi; H'_{a'_i}, J'_{a'_i}) \rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} HF^*(\phi; H_{a_i}, J_{a_i})$ inverse to each other. This shows that $HF^*(\phi, \infty)$ is well-defined regardless of the choice of Floer data $\{(H_{a_i}, J_{a_i})\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$.

2.1.2. *Perturbation with Reeb flows.* For a real number c , we say that $\tilde{\phi}$ is a *good perturbation* of ϕ with a time- c Reeb flow if

$$\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \phi_{H_c}^1$$

for the time-1 Hamiltonian flow $\phi_{H_c}^1$ of a linear Hamiltonian $H_c \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ satisfying

$$H_c(t, r, y) = h_c(r), \forall (t, r, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [1 - \eta, \infty) \times \partial W$$

for some smooth function $h_c : [1 - \eta, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for some $0 < \eta < 1$ such that $h_c(r) = cr$ for $r \geq 1$. As a result, $\tilde{\phi}$ is an exact symplectomorphism that is equal to the Reeb flow ϕ_R^{a+c} near ∂W .

Proposition 2.4. *Let ϕ be an exact symplectomorphism on a Liouville domain $(W, d\lambda)$ that is equal to a Reeb flow near ∂W . Let $\tilde{\phi}$ be a good perturbation of ϕ with a time- c Reeb flow for a real number c .*

$$HF^*(\phi, \infty) \cong HF^*(\tilde{\phi}, \infty)$$

Proof. Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $H_b \in \mathcal{H}_\phi$ be a linear Hamiltonian with slope b . Let us define $\tilde{H}_{b-c} : \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{H}_{b-c}(t, x) = H_b(t, \phi_{H_c}^t(x)) - H_c(t, \phi_{H_c}^t(x)).$$

Then, the assumption that $H_b, H_c \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ implies that $\tilde{H}_{b-c} \in \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\phi}}(\widehat{W})$. Furthermore, \tilde{H}_{b-c} is linear with slope $b - c$ at infinity.

A simple computation shows that the time t -flow of the Hamiltonian vector field associated to \tilde{H}_{b-c} is given by

$$\phi_{\tilde{H}_{b-c}}^t(x) = (\phi_{H_c}^t)^{-1} \circ \phi_{H_b}^t(x).$$

As a consequence, for a given $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\phi, H_b)$, the path $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \widehat{W}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\phi_{H_c}^t)^{-1}(\gamma(t))$$

is an element of $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{H}_{b-c})$. This correspondence gives the bijection

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{P}(\phi, H_b) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{H}_{b-c}), \gamma \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}.$$

Assume that we have used the complex volume form $\{\Omega_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}}T_\phi$ to grade elements of $\mathcal{P}(\phi, H_b)$. If we grade elements of $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{H}_{b-c})$ with the complex volume form $\{\tilde{\Omega}_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}}T_{\tilde{\phi}}$ given by

$$\tilde{\Omega}_t = (\phi_{H_c}^t)^* \Omega_t, t \in [0, 1],$$

then the bijection (2.13) respects the gradings on $\mathcal{P}(\phi, H_b)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{H}_{b-c})$.

Furthermore, for a given $J = \{J_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_\phi(\widehat{W})$, we define $\tilde{J} = \{\tilde{J}_t\} \in \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\phi}}(W)$ by

$$\tilde{J}_t = (d\phi_{H_c}^t)^{-1} \circ J_t \circ d\phi_{H_c}^t.$$

Then the bijection (2.13) further extends to an identification between $\mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H_b, J) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\gamma}_-, \tilde{\gamma}_+; \tilde{H}_{b-c}, \tilde{J})$ by mapping $u \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma_-, \gamma_+; H_b, J)$ to $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\gamma}_-, \tilde{\gamma}_+; \tilde{H}_{b-c}, \tilde{J})$ defined by

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{u}(s, t) = (\phi_{H_c}^t)^{-1} \circ u(s, t).$$

Furthermore, if a Floer datum (H_b, J) for ϕ is regular, then $(\tilde{H}_{b-c}, \tilde{J})$ is a regular Floer datum for $\tilde{\phi}$. Summarizing what we have observed, the bijection (2.13) induces a chain isomorphism

$$(2.15) \quad CF^*(\phi; H_b, J) \rightarrow CF^*(\tilde{\phi}; \tilde{H}_{b-c}, \tilde{J}).$$

The correspondence (2.13) further extends to a bijection between moduli spaces used to define continuation maps. Therefore, since $b - c$ goes to infinity as b goes to infinity for a fixed real number c , the desired isomorphism follows. \square

2.1.3. *Symplectic cohomology of ϕ .* Let us consider the fixed point Floer cohomology for quadratic ϕ -periodic Hamiltonians.

Let $H^0 \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ be a Hamiltonian satisfying

$$H^0(t, r, x) = r^2, \forall (t, r, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times [R, \infty) \times \partial W$$

for some $R \geq 1$.

Since H^0 is time-independent on $[R, \infty) \times \partial W$ and ϕ is assumed to be the identity map on $\widehat{W} \setminus W$, we further need to perturb the Hamiltonian H^0 with a time-dependent function to get rid of the S^1 -symmetry of Hamiltonian orbits. Indeed, as done in [Abo10], we choose a smooth function $F : \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- $\text{Supp } F \subset [R, \infty) \times \partial W$,
- $F(t+1, x) = F(t, x)$ for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{W}$,
- F and $\lambda(X_F)$ are uniformly bounded in absolute value, and
- F vanishes outside small neighborhoods of periodic Hamiltonian orbits of X_{H^0} .

Then we define $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ by

$$(2.16) \quad H(t, x) = H^0(t, x) + F(t, x), \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \widehat{W},$$

which makes sense since both H^0 and F are ϕ -periodic. We call such a Hamiltonian H quadratic at infinity or just quadratic. As proven in [Abo10, Lemma 5.1], for a generic choice of such functions F and H^0 , every twisted Hamiltonian orbit $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\phi, H)$ is non-degenerate.

For a quadratic Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ that form a regular Floer datum (H, J) , we denote the Floer complex $(CF^*(\phi; H, J), \delta)$ by $SC^*(\phi)$ and denote the cohomology of $SC^*(\phi)$ by $SH^*(\phi)$, which we will call the symplectic cohomology of ϕ . The name ‘‘symplectic’’ can be justified since $SH^*(\phi)$ is canonically isomorphic to the symplectic cohomology $SH^*(W)$ when $\phi = \text{Id}$.

A slight modification of the argument given in [Sei06, Section (3e)] adapted to the fixed point Floer cohomology proves the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Let ϕ be an exact symplectomorphism on Liouville domain $(W, d\lambda)$ that is equal to a Reeb flow near ∂W . Then there is a canonical isomorphism:*

$$HF^*(\phi, \infty) \cong SH^*(\phi).$$

Combining Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\tilde{\phi}$ be a good perturbation of ϕ with a time- c Reeb flow for some real number c . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$SH^*(\phi) \cong SH^*(\tilde{\phi}).$$

Remark 2.7. *As mentioned in Remark 2.2, $HF^*(\phi, \pm)$ can be understood as the fixed point Floer cohomology $HF^*(\phi; H_{\pm\epsilon}, J_{\pm})$ for some admissible Hamiltonian $H_{\pm\epsilon} \in \mathcal{H}_\phi(\widehat{W})$ that equals $\pm\epsilon r + c$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and a real number c on the cylindrical end $[1, \infty) \times \partial W$ and some $J_{\pm} \in \mathcal{J}_\phi(\widehat{W})$.*

For later use in this paper, note that there is a continuation map

$$c : HF^*(\phi; H_{-\epsilon}, J_-) \rightarrow HF^*(\phi; H_\epsilon, J_+)$$

defined using an increasing 1-parameter family of admissible Hamiltonians from $H_{-\epsilon}$ to H_ϵ . Similarly, since there is also an increasing 1-parameter family of admissible Hamiltonians from $H_{\pm\epsilon}$ to a quadratic Hamiltonian H , we may consider continuation maps

$$c_{\pm} : HF^*(\phi; H_{\pm\epsilon}, J_{\pm}) \rightarrow SH^*(\phi).$$

We will use the continuation map c_+ when defining the class Γ in Section 3.3

3. MONODROMY CLASS Γ OF AN ISOLATED SINGULARITY

Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a germ of isolated hypersurface singularity for some $n \geq 2$. The monodromy ρ of the Milnor fiber M of f can be given as an exact symplectomorphism which is identity in a neighborhood of the boundary ∂M . We will define a Floer cohomology class $\Gamma \in SH^*(\rho^{-1})$, which we call the monodromy class. We will work with a particular monodromy symplectomorphism ρ that is constructed by Fernandez de Bobabilla-Pelka [dBP22], because there are no fixed points of such ρ except the points in the neighborhood of ∂M mentioned above. This will be used to show that Γ is indeed a cohomology class.

3.1. Milnor fiber and Monodromy ρ of an isolated singularity. Let $\mathbb{B}_\epsilon(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the Milnor ball of f . For a sufficiently small $0 < \delta < \epsilon$, we have a Milnor fibration

$$(3.1) \quad f|_{f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0))} : f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0)) \cap \mathbb{B}_\epsilon(0) \rightarrow B_\delta^*(0).$$

Here $B_\delta(0) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the closed disc of radius δ centered at the origin and $B_\delta^*(0) := B_\delta(0) \setminus \{0\}$. A pre-image of a point of f is diffeomorphic to the Milnor fiber M , and the parallel transport along the circle $S_\delta := \partial B_\delta(0)$ on the base defines a monodromy of M . It is an important question to understand the properties of this monodromy.

A'Campo considered an embedded resolution of the singularity f until the preimage of 0 becomes normal crossing divisors and used their local structure to define, so called the A'Campo space of f . This was used to compute the zeta function of monodromy of f . In particular, it can be shown that the monodromy of f can be chosen so that it does not have any fixed points except a neighborhood of the boundary of the Milnor fiber. Thus one may ask if there exists a symplectic monodromy that has such a nice topological behavior studied by A'Campo.

Fernandez de Bobabilla-Pelka [dBP22] answered this positively by constructing a symplectic version of A'Campo space. Let us recall their settings in two steps. The first step is a symplectic refinement of the fibration (3.1) and the second step is to do the same thing for A'Campo space.

For the first step, they constructed a Liouville fibration $f : N_\delta \rightarrow B_\delta^*(0)$ as a modification of the Milnor fibration (3.1) where $N_\delta \subset f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0))$ is a carefully chosen submanifold with corners via symplectic parallel transports so that monodromy around any loop in $B_\delta^*(0)$ is given by an exact symplectomorphism.

Namely, the fibration $f : N_\delta \rightarrow B_\delta^*(0)$ is equipped with 1-form $\lambda_f \in \Omega^1(N_\delta)$ that restricts to a Liouville one form on each fiber. Let $M_\delta = N_\delta \cap f^{-1}(\delta)$ be the fiber at the boundary point $\delta \in S_\delta$ and let $\lambda_\delta \in \Omega^1(M_\delta)$ be the restriction of λ_f to the fiber M_δ . Then, consider the restriction of this fibration to the boundary circle $S_\delta \subset B_\delta^*(0)$. As argued in [dBP22, Section 5], this admits a symplectic monodromy trivialization ([dBP22, Definition 5.5]) $\Phi : M_\delta \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N_\delta \cap f^{-1}(S_\delta)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad f|_{f^{-1}(S_\delta)}(\Phi(x, t)) = \delta \cdot e^{2\pi i t} \in S_\delta, \forall (x, t) \in M_\delta \times [0, 1].$$

In particular, $\rho_\delta = \Phi(-, 1) : M_\delta \rightarrow M_\delta$ is the symplectic monodromy relative to Φ , which is an exact symplectomorphism that is the identity map near ∂M_δ .

For the second step, denote by $\tau : B_{\delta, \log}(0) \rightarrow B_\delta(0)$ the real oriented blow up at the origin. Namely, the origin is blown-up to the circle $\partial B_{\delta, \log}(0) := \tau^{-1}(0)$, called the circle at radius 0. Note that $B_{\delta, \log}(0) \setminus \partial B_{\delta, \log}(0)$ is identified with $B_\delta^*(0)$. Fernandez de Bobabilla-Pelka [dBP22] constructed a symplectic version of A'Campo space A which has a Liouville fibration

$$f_A : A \rightarrow B_{\delta, \log}(0)$$

extending $f|_{f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0))}$ to the circle $\partial B_{\delta, \log}(0)$ at radius zero.

Furthermore, they constructed a subset N of A and a symplectic locally trivial fibration $f_{A|N} : N \rightarrow B_{\delta, \log}(0)$ in such a way that

- (1) it coincides with the usual Milnor fibration $f : f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0)) \rightarrow B_\delta^*(0)$ outside $f^{-1}(B_{\delta'}(0))$ for a certain $0 < \delta' < \delta$ and
- (2) the monodromy along the circle $\partial B_{\delta, \log}(0)$, called the symplectic monodromy at radius zero, has the same dynamics as that considered by A' Campo.

Let us denote a fiber of $f_A|_N$ by M at some point in $\partial B_{\delta, \log}(0)$ and the symplectic monodromy at radius zero by $\rho : M \rightarrow M$. The authors further showed that ρ can be chosen to be equal to the identity map near ∂M and that there exists a Liouville form $\lambda \in \Omega^1(M)$ for which ρ is exact.

3.2. Floer complex for ρ^{-1} and its Hamiltonian perturbation $\check{\rho}^{-1}$. For the exact symplectic monodromy ρ (at radius 0), we consider the symplectic cohomology $SH^*(\rho^{-1})$ of its inverse ρ^{-1} defined in the previous subsection and will define a certain cohomology class $\Gamma \in SH^0(\rho^{-1})$.

Let us first comment on the relation with ρ and ρ_δ defined from (3.2). The first item (1) for the fibration $f_A : N \rightarrow B_{\delta, \log}(0)$ shows that ρ and ρ_δ are isotopic as exact symplectomorphisms [dBP22]. It follows that the abstract contact open book (M, λ, ρ) is isotopic to $(M_\delta, \lambda_\delta, \rho_\delta)$. As a consequence the Floer cohomologies $HF^*(\rho^{\pm 1}, \pm)$ and $HF^*(\rho_\delta^{\pm 1}, \pm)$ are canonically isomorphic as proven in [McL19, Appendix B]. A slight modification of their method further shows that $SH^*(\rho^{\pm 1})$ and $SH^*(\rho_\delta^{\pm 1})$ are canonically isomorphic.

The radius 0 monodromy ρ has the following property as in the case of the topological monodromy studied by A'Campo: The fixed point set $\text{Fix } \rho$ is given by a tubular neighborhood of ∂M . More precisely, $\text{Fix } \rho$ is a codimension zero submanifold B_0 of M , which is homeomorphic to the cylinder $[1 - \tilde{\eta}, 1] \times f^{-1}(0) \cap \partial \mathbb{B}_\epsilon(0)$ of the link $f^{-1}(0) \cap \partial \mathbb{B}_\epsilon(0)$ for some small $0 < \tilde{\eta} < 1$.

We can also perturb the fixed points away using a Hamiltonian. Namely, it was further argued in [McL19], [dBP22] that there exist an open neighborhood U of B_0 and a time-independent Hamiltonian $H_0 : U \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$ such that

- $B_0 = H_0^{-1}(0) = \text{Fix } \rho$ and
- $\rho|_U = \phi_{H_0}^1|_U : U \rightarrow U$.

Then ρ can be further perturbed into $\check{\rho}$ with a Hamiltonian isotopy that equals a positive Reeb flow near ∂M so that the fixed locus B_0 vanishes as follows.

Proposition 3.1 ([McL19], Section 5). *There exist a Hamiltonian $b : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and small $\nu > 0$ satisfying*

- $b = F \circ H_0$ for some smooth function $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in $H_0^{-1}[-\nu, -\frac{\nu}{3}]$ such that $F \circ H_0 = H_0$ near $H_0^{-1}(-\nu)$,
- b is C^2 -small in $H_0^{-1}(-\frac{\nu}{3}, 0)$,
- $b = \epsilon r$ near ∂M , where r is the radial coordinate of M for $\epsilon > 0$ smaller than minimal period of Reeb vector field, and
- b has no critical points.

Then $\check{\rho} : M \rightarrow M$ defined by

$$\check{\rho} = \begin{cases} \rho & \text{outside } U \\ \phi_b^1 & \text{inside } U \end{cases}$$

has no fixed points. (See Figure 4.)

Now we will argue that $SH^*(\check{\rho}^{-1})$ and $SH^*(\rho^{-1})$ are isomorphic. Indeed, the above proposition says more specifically that

$$(3.3) \quad \check{\rho} = \phi_K^1 \circ \rho,$$

where ϕ_K^1 is the time-1 flow of a time independent Hamiltonian K on M such that $K = \epsilon r$ on a collar neighborhood $[1 - \eta, 1] \times \partial M$ of ∂M in M for some $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that $[1 - \eta, 1] \times \partial M \subset \text{Fix } \rho$.




FIGURE 4. Illustration of the image of ρ and $\check{\rho}$ for the curve L in the case $n = 2$.

On the other hand, there exists a Hamiltonian $H_\epsilon \in \mathcal{H}_\rho$ satisfying

$$H_\epsilon(t, r, y) = h_\epsilon(r), \forall (t, r, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [1 - \eta, \infty) \times \partial M,$$

where $h_\epsilon : [1 - \eta, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $h_\epsilon(r) = \epsilon r - \epsilon$ for $r \geq 1$. This means that $\tilde{\rho}^{-1} := \rho^{-1} \circ \phi_{-H_\epsilon}^1$ is a good perturbation of ρ^{-1} with a time- $(-\epsilon)$ Reeb flow. It follows from Corollary 2.6 that there exists an isomorphism

$$(3.4) \quad SH^*(\rho^{-1}) \cong SH^*(\tilde{\rho}^{-1}).$$

Furthermore, by construction of $\check{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\rho}$, it can be deduced that there is a Hamiltonian isotopy between $\check{\rho}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}$ generated by some time-independent Hamiltonian whose support lies in the interior of M . Thus, Proposition 2.1 implies that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$(3.5) \quad SH^*(\tilde{\rho}^{-1}) \cong SH^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}).$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain the desired isomorphism

$$(3.6) \quad SH^*(\rho^{-1}) \cong SH^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}).$$

3.3. Monodromy class Γ . Let us begin the investigation of the cohomology class $\Gamma \in SH^*(\check{\rho}^{-1})$. We will first define it as a cohomology class in $HF^*(\rho^{-1}, +)$ first and consider its image under the continuation map $c_+ : HF^*(\rho^{-1}, +) \rightarrow SH^*(\rho^{-1})$ (see Remark 2.7). Since we will work with closed-open maps from $SH^*(\rho^{-1})$ to $HW^*(L, \rho(L))$ for some Lagrangian L of M later in this paper, it is more natural to regard Γ as an element of $SH^*(\rho^{-1})$.

Let $H_{2\epsilon} \in \mathcal{H}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ be a linear Hamiltonian with slope 2ϵ for $\epsilon > 0$ chosen above such that

- it constantly zero on M and
- it satisfies $H_{2\epsilon}(t, r, y) = h_{2\epsilon}(r), \forall (t, r, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [1, \infty) \times \partial M$ for some function $h_{2\epsilon} : [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is linear with slope 2ϵ at infinity and satisfies $h_{2\epsilon}''(r) \geq 0$.

Then, for an almost complex structure $J_{2\epsilon} \in \mathcal{J}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ that forms a regular Floer datum $(H_{2\epsilon}, J_{2\epsilon})$, $HF^*(\rho^{-1}, +)$ is defined by $HF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_{2\epsilon}, J_{2\epsilon})$. This can be justified since there is an isomorphism $HF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}, H_{2\epsilon}, J_{2\epsilon}) \cong HF^*(\rho^{-1}; \tilde{H}_\epsilon, \tilde{J}_\epsilon)$ for some linear Hamiltonian \tilde{H}_ϵ of slope ϵ as seen in the proof of Proposition 2.4.

Here, it makes sense to assume that $H_{2\epsilon}$ is zero on M and non-degenerate simultaneously since $\check{\rho}^{-1}$ has no fixed point in M (Proposition 3.1). Furthermore, there is a unique $r_0 \in (1, \infty)$ such that $h_{2\epsilon}'(r_0) = \epsilon$ by our choice of $h_{2\epsilon}$. These observations and Proposition 3.1 make it easier to compute $HF^*(\rho^{-1}, +)$.

Lemma 3.2. *The following hold.*

- (1) *There is no twisted Hamiltonian orbit $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\check{\rho}^{-1}, H_{2\epsilon})$ whose image intersects $M \cup [1, r_0] \times \partial M$.*
 (2) $\Sigma := \{r_0\} \times \partial M$ *forms a Morse-Bott component of twisted Hamiltonian orbits in $\mathcal{P}(\check{\rho}^{-1}, H_{2\epsilon})$.*

Proof. Note that the set $\mathcal{P}(\check{\rho}^{-1}, H_{2\epsilon})$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(\check{\rho}^{-1} \circ \phi_{H_{2\epsilon}}^1)$. But, for $x \in M$, $\check{\rho}^{-1} \circ \phi_{H_{2\epsilon}}^1(x) = \check{\rho}^{-1}(x) \neq x$ since $H_{2\epsilon} = 0$ on M and $\check{\rho}^{-1}$ is assumed to have no fixed point as in Proposition 3.1. Moreover, for $x = (r, y) \in [1, r_0] \times \partial W$,

$$\check{\rho}^{-1} \circ \phi_{H_{2\epsilon}}^1(x) = \check{\rho}^{-1}\left(r, \phi_R^{h'_{2\epsilon}(r)}(y)\right) = \left(r, \phi_R^{h'_{2\epsilon}(r)-\epsilon}(y)\right) \neq (r, y) = x$$

due to our choice of ϵ and r_0 .

For the second statement, for any $y \in \partial M$, $\gamma_y : [0, 1] \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ defined by

$$\gamma_y(t) = \left(r_0, \phi_R^{h'(r_0)t}(y)\right) = \left(r_0, \phi_R^{\epsilon t}(y)\right)$$

is an element of $\mathcal{P}(\check{\rho}^{-1}, H_{2\epsilon})$ since $\check{\rho}^{-1}$ is extended to $[1 - \eta, \infty) \times \partial M$ by $\text{Id} \times \phi_R^{-\epsilon}$. These form a Morse-Bott component of twisted Hamiltonian orbits, which we denote by $\Sigma = \{\gamma_y | y \in \partial M\}$. (See Figure 5.) \square




FIGURE 5. Illustration of the Morse-Bott family Σ for $n = 2$ case

Our class Γ will correspond to the fundamental chain of the Morse-Bott component Σ . More precisely, we use the perturbation introduced in [KvK16] to define it. Indeed, for a small real number $\delta > 0$, Morse function $g_{\partial M} : \partial M \cong \{r_0\} \times \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and a smooth concave function $q : [1 - \eta, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ supported near r_0 with a unique maximum at r_0 , we define a perturbation $H_{2\epsilon, \delta}$ of $H_{2\epsilon}$ with $g_{\partial M}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(t, r, y) &:= ((g_{\partial M} \cdot q) \circ \phi_K^t(r, y)), \forall (t, r, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [1 - \eta, \infty) \times \partial M, \\ H_{2\epsilon, \delta} &:= H_{2\epsilon} + \delta \bar{g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_{\partial M} \cdot q : \widehat{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function supported in $[1 - \eta, \infty) \times \partial M$ such that

$$g_{\partial M} \cdot q(r, y) = g_{\partial M}(y) q(r), \forall (r, y) \in [1 - \eta, \infty) \times \partial M.$$

Note that $H_{2\epsilon, \delta}$ is still a linear Hamiltonian in $\mathcal{H}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ with slope 2ϵ at infinity since q is supported near r_0 .

Let $J_{2\epsilon, \delta} \in \mathcal{J}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ be an almost complex structure such that $(H_{2\epsilon, \delta}, J_{2\epsilon, \delta})$ forms a regular Floer datum. If $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small, then the Floer complex $CF^*(\rho^{-1}; H_{2\epsilon, \delta}, J_{2\epsilon, \delta})$ is generated by short twisted Hamiltonian orbits $\gamma_p \in \mathcal{P}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}, H_{2\epsilon, \delta}}$, $p \in \text{Crit } g$. Here, by a short twisted Hamiltonian orbit, we mean a twisted Hamiltonian orbit $\gamma_p(t) = (\text{Id} \times \phi_R^{\epsilon t})(p)$ of length ϵ , which can be chosen to be an arbitrarily small

positive number. Furthermore, the differential on $CF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_{2\epsilon, \delta}, J_{2\epsilon, \delta})$ is identified with the Morse differential on the Morse complex $CM^*(g_{\partial M})$ of $g_{\partial M}$, which follows from [CFHW96], [KvK16].

For each critical point $p \in \text{Crit } g$, the degree of γ_p is given by

$$\deg \gamma_p = -\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma) + \text{ind}_{g_{\partial M}} p.$$

Here the Conley-Zehnder index $\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ for the linearized Hamiltonian flow is defined via the symplectic trivialization of a Hamiltonian orbit $\gamma \in \Sigma$ as in Section 2 (see [Gut14]). In Proposition 3.7, we will show that $\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma) = 0$. Now we define the class Γ_ϵ as follows.

Definition 3.3. We define $\Gamma_\epsilon \in CF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_{2\epsilon, \delta}, J_{2\epsilon, \delta})$ by

$$\Gamma_\epsilon = \sum_{\substack{p \in \text{Crit } g_{\partial M}, \\ \text{ind}_{g_{\partial M}} p = 0}} \gamma_p.$$

According to the above formula, if we assume $\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma) = 0$, then the degree of Γ is given by

$$\deg \Gamma_\epsilon = -\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma) + \text{ind}_{g_{\partial M}} p = 0.$$

Furthermore, Γ_ϵ is a cycle since $\sum_{p \in \text{Crit } g_{\partial M}, \text{ind}_{g_{\partial M}} p = 0} p$ is a cycle of the Morse complex of $g_{\partial M}$ representing the identity class in the cohomology of ∂M or the fundamental class of the homology of ∂M under the canonical isomorphisms:

$$HM^0(g) \cong H^0(\partial M; \mathbb{K}) \cong H_{2n-1}(\partial M; \mathbb{K}).$$

Now we are ready to define the class Γ .

Definition 3.4 (Monodromy class). $\Gamma \in SH^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}) \cong SH^*(\rho^{-1})$ is defined by

$$\Gamma = c_+(\Gamma_\epsilon),$$

where $c_+ : HF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_{2\epsilon}, J_{2\epsilon}) \rightarrow SH^*(\check{\rho}^{-1})$ is the continuation map introduced in Remark 2.7.

To realize the chain level description of the class Γ , we consider a regular Floer datum (H, J) consisting of an almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ and a quadratic Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ such that

- it is constantly zero on M and
- it satisfies (2.16) for some quadratic Hamiltonian H_0 and some perturbation function F such that

$$H_0(t, r, y) = h(r), \forall (t, r, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [1, \infty) \times \partial M$$

for some function $h : [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ quadratic at infinity.

Then $SH^*(\check{\rho}^{-1})$ can be defined by $HF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H, J)$.

Moreover, for convenience, we may choose h in such a way that

- $h = h_{2\epsilon}$ on $[1, 2r_0]$ for r_0 introduced above and
- $h \geq h_{2\epsilon}$ everywhere.

Then, as in the case of $H_{2\epsilon}$, there is a Morse-Bott family of twisted orbits of $\mathcal{P}(\check{\rho}^{-1}, H)$ diffeomorphic to ∂M along $\{r_0\} \times \partial M \subset \widehat{M}$. Once again, we may perturb H with the Morse function $g_{\partial M}$ on ∂M and some sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ exactly in the same way we did for $H_{2\epsilon}$. Let us call the resulting perturbed Hamiltonian H_δ . Consequently, for each $p \in \text{Crit } g_{\partial M}$, there is a corresponding twisted Hamiltonian orbit $\gamma_p \in \mathcal{P}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}, H_\delta}$ and hence we may define $\Gamma \in CF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_\delta, J_\delta)$ by

$$\Gamma = \sum_{\substack{p \in \text{Crit } g_{\partial M}, \\ \text{ind}_{g_{\partial M}} p = 0}} \gamma_p.$$

We may assume that

$$H_{2\epsilon, \delta} = H_\delta$$

on $M \cup [1, 2r_0] \times \partial M \subset \widehat{M}$ due to our choice of h . Then considering that the continuation map does not decrease the action value, it is not difficult to see that the continuation map $c_+ : CF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_{2\epsilon, \delta}, J_{2\epsilon, \delta}) \rightarrow CF^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_\delta, J_\delta)$ is identified with the inclusion $CF^*_{(-2\epsilon, \infty)}(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_\delta, J_\delta) \rightarrow CF^*(\rho^{-1}; H_\delta, J_\delta)$ of the subcomplex generated by twisted Hamiltonian chords of action greater than -2ϵ and there for it sends Γ_ϵ to Γ on the chain level.

3.4. Comparison with spectral sequence of McLean [McL19]. The spectral sequence associated with the action filtration is a useful tool for studying Floer cohomology. McLean [McL19] proved that the minimal natural number m such that $HF^*(\rho^m, +)$ does not vanish is equal to the multiplicity of the singularity (conjectured by Seidel [Sei14]) using the spectral sequence. The monodromy class Γ should be visible in the similar spectral sequence that converges to the Floer cohomology $HF^*(\rho^{-1}, +)$, and we specify the corresponding element in this subsection. For that purpose, we first show that a local fixed point cohomology $HF^*_{\text{loc}}(\rho^{-1}, +)$ that appears in the spectral sequence is isomorphic to the singular cohomology $H^*(\partial M; \mathbb{K})$, following McLean [McL19], Fernandez de Bobabilla and Peřka [dBP22].

To see this, we need some preparations. First, our degree deg is different from the degree $\text{deg}_\#$ used in [McL19]. Indeed, those two are related by

$$\text{deg} = n + \text{deg}_\#.$$

This means that there is an isomorphism

$$(3.7) \quad HF^*(\rho^{-1}, \pm) \cong HF^*_{\#}{}^{-n}(\rho^{-1}, \pm),$$

where $HF^*_{\#}(\rho^{-1}, \pm)$ is the fixed point Floer cohomology defined using the degree convention $\text{deg}_\#$ in [McL19].

Second, we already have seen that there is a perturbation $\check{\rho}^{-1}$ of ρ^{-1} with a negative Reeb flow near ∂M , i.e., there is a time-independent Hamiltonian K linear with slope ϵ on $[1 - \eta, 1] \times \partial M$ such that $\check{\rho}^{-1} = \rho^{-1} \circ \phi_{-K}^1$.

Lemma 3.5. *There is a perturbation $\widetilde{\rho}^{-1}$ of ρ^{-1} with a positive Reeb flow near ∂M such that the fixed locus $\widetilde{B} = \text{Fix}\widetilde{\rho}^{-1}$ of $\widetilde{\rho}^{-1}$ is given by a connected submanifold of M of codimension 0, which is diffeomorphic to the cylinder $[a, b] \times \partial M$ for some real numbers $a < b$. Furthermore, there is an open neighborhood \widetilde{U} of \widetilde{B} in M and a time-independent Hamiltonian $\widetilde{H} : \widetilde{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that*

- $\widetilde{H}^{-1}(0) = \widetilde{B}$,
- $\widetilde{\rho}^{-1}|_{\widetilde{U}} = \phi_{\widetilde{H}}^1$, and
- for every $p \in \partial \widetilde{B}$, there is an open neighborhood V of p such that $H|_{V \setminus \widetilde{B}} > 0$.

Proof. We may consider a time-independent Hamiltonian G on M satisfying $G = g(r)$ on $[1 - \eta, 1] \times \partial M$ for some $g : [1 - \eta, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- $g'(1 - \eta) = 0$,
- $g'(1) = 2\epsilon$,
- $g''(r) \geq 0$, and
- $g'(r) = \epsilon$ for $r \in [1 - \frac{2\eta}{3}, 1 - \frac{\eta}{3}]$.

Then $\widetilde{\rho}^{-1}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\rho}^{-1} = \check{\rho}^{-1} \circ \phi_G^1 = \rho^{-1} \circ \phi_{-K}^1 \circ \phi_G^1$$

satisfies all the properties in the statement. For example, the fixed locus of $\widetilde{\rho^{-1}}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{B} := \left[1 - \frac{2\eta}{3}, 1 - \frac{\eta}{3} \right] \times \partial M$$

and it is contained in an open neighborhood

$$\widetilde{U} = (1 - \eta, 1) \times \partial M,$$

where $\widetilde{\rho^{-1}}$ is given by the time-1 flow of the time-independent Hamiltonian

$$\widetilde{H} = G - K - V$$

for the constant $V = G(1, y) - K(1, y)$, $y \in \partial M$. □

Now, if we consider the local fixed point Floer cohomology $HF_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1}, +)$ localized at \widetilde{U} and the degree adjustment (3.7) explained above, then the argument in the proof of [McL19, Lemma 9.4], [dBP22, Proposition 6.3] shows that there is an isomorphism

$$(3.8) \quad HF_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1}, +) \cong H_{2n-*-\mu_{CZ}(\widetilde{B})}(\widetilde{B}, \partial\widetilde{B}; \mathbb{K}).$$

Almost the same argument as in Subsection 3.5 can be applied here to show that $\mu_{CZ}(\widetilde{B}) = 0$. Furthermore, since $\widetilde{B} \cong [a, b] \times \partial M$ and $\partial\widetilde{B} \cong \{b\} \times \partial M \sqcup \{a\} \times \partial M$, the long exact sequence of homology associated to the triple $([a, b] \times \partial M, \{a, b\} \times \partial M, \{a\} \times \partial M)$ shows that

$$(3.9) \quad H_{2n-*}(\widetilde{B}, \partial\widetilde{B}; \mathbb{K}) \cong HF_{2n-1-*}(\partial M; \mathbb{K}) \cong HF^*(\partial M; \mathbb{K}),$$

where the second isomorphism is the Poincaré duality.

Finally, since the fixed locus of $\widetilde{\rho^{-1}}$ is given by $\widetilde{B} (\subset \widetilde{U})$, there is no difference between the fixed point Floer cohomology $HF^*(\rho^{-1}, +)$ and the local fixed point Floer cohomology $HF_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1}, +)$. Consequently, combining (3.8), (3.9) and $\mu_{CZ}(\widetilde{B}) = 0$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$HF^*(\rho^{-1}, +) \cong H^*(\partial M; \mathbb{K}).$$

3.5. Grading. Let us discuss the grading on $SH^*(\check{\rho}^{-1})$ and show that $\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma) = 0$ as asserted above.

First note that $c_1(f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0)) \setminus f^{-1}(0)) = 0$ (for a small $\delta > 0$) as there is the standard non-vanishing holomorphic volume form $dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus f^{-1}(0)$ (here (z_1, \dots, z_n) is the standard coordinate of \mathbb{C}^n). And there exists a fiberwise complex volume form $\overline{\Omega}_\delta^{\text{ver}}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}} f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0)) = \ker df$ over $f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0))$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n = \overline{\Omega}_\delta^{\text{ver}} \wedge df.$$

Also observe that the symplectic monodromy trivialization Φ on $f^{-1}(S_\delta)$ identifies $f^{-1}(S_\delta)$ with the mapping torus T_{ρ_δ} as a fibration over S^1 . Recall that a non-vanishing complex volume form on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}} T_{\rho_\delta}$ determines a grading on $(M_\delta, \lambda_\delta, \rho_\delta)$ (see Remark 2.2). Therefore, the restriction of $\overline{\Omega}_\delta^{\text{ver}}$ to $f^{-1}(S_\delta) \cong T_{\rho_\delta}$ induces a grading on $(M_\delta, \lambda_\delta, \rho_\delta)$ (see [dBP22, Setting 5.11] for more details), and thus a grading on (M, λ, ρ) associated with the Milnor fiber at radius zero [dBP22, Corollary 5.16]. Observe further that $\overline{\Omega}_\delta^{\text{ver}}$ is well-defined away from the critical point $0 \in f^{-1}(B_\delta(0)) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ by (3.10).

From Remark 2.2, this grading determines a non-vanishing complex volume form $\overline{\Omega}$ on the vertical tangent bundle of $T^{\text{ver}} T_\rho$ uniquely up to homotopy. In other words, there is a 1-parameter family of complex volume forms $\{\overline{\Omega}_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on \widehat{M} such that $\overline{\Omega}_1 = \rho^* \overline{\Omega}_0$.

Next, the fact that (M, λ, ρ) is graded implies that (M, λ, ρ^{-1}) is also graded. Indeed, we have $\widetilde{\Omega} = \{\widetilde{\Omega}_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}} T_{\rho^{-1}}$ given by

$$(3.11) \quad \widetilde{\Omega}_t = \overline{\Omega}_{1-t},$$

which satisfies $(\rho^{-1})^* \tilde{\Omega}_0 = \tilde{\Omega}_1$.

Finally, to endow with a grading on the Floer complex $SC^*(\check{\rho}^{-1})$, we define a complex volume form $\Omega = \{\Omega_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}} T_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ by $\Omega_t = (\phi_{-K}^t)^* \tilde{\Omega}_t$, where K is from (3.3).

As the Morse-Bott component Σ lies in the collar neighborhood of ∂M , we need to discuss a collar trivialization of our Milnor fibration and the complex volume form thereof. Recall from [dBP22, Setting 5.11] that the authors found an open subset W of \mathbb{C}^n containing 0 that satisfies

- its closure \overline{W} is compact,
- its boundary is a manifold, and
- $f^{-1}(0) \pitchfork \partial W$.

Then modifying the restriction of f to $\overline{W} \cap f^{-1}(B_\delta^*(0))$, they constructed a subset N_δ of W such that the restriction of f to N_δ is a Liouville fibration $f : N_\delta \rightarrow B_\delta^*(0)$. They further showed that there is a collar neighborhood C_δ^* of the horizontal boundary $\partial_{\text{hor}} N_\delta$ with a collar trivialization F_δ^* :

$$F_\delta^* : D_\delta \times B_\delta^*(0) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_\delta^*,$$

for some collar neighborhood D_δ of ∂M_δ in M_δ , for which the symplectic monodromy $\Phi : M_\delta \times [0, 1] \rightarrow f^{-1}(S_\delta)$ satisfies (for all $x \in D_\delta$ and $t \in [0, 1]$)

$$(3.12) \quad \Phi(x, t) = F_\delta^*(x, \delta e^{2\pi i t}).$$

Moreover, their construction further says that the collar trivialization F_δ extends even over $f^{-1}(0)$ since the origin is the unique critical point inside the Milnor ball. Hence there is a collar neighborhood D_0 of the boundary of the singular fiber $N_\delta \cap f^{-1}(0)$ so that the collar neighborhood C_δ^* of ∂N_δ can be extended over $f^{-1}(0)$ by adding D_0 :

$$C_\delta = C_\delta^* \cup D_0.$$

Therefore, the collar trivialization F_δ^* extends to a collar trivialization F_δ for C_δ :

$$(3.13) \quad F_\delta : D_\delta \times B_\delta(0) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_\delta.$$

An analogous collar trivialization exists for the Milnor fibration at radius zero. Consider the A'Campo space A and the associated fibration $f_A : A \rightarrow B_{\delta, \log}(0)$, whose fiber is denoted by M . By [dBP22, Setting 5.15], there is a collar neighborhood C of the horizontal boundary of a compact subdomain N of A obtained as the fiber product of the projection map $B_{\delta, \log}(0) \rightarrow B_\delta(0)$ and the fibration $f : C_\delta \rightarrow B_\delta(0)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & C_\delta \\ f_A \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ B_{\delta, \log}(0) & \longrightarrow & B_\delta(0). \end{array}$$

Consequently, there is a collar neighborhood D of $\partial M \cap N$ in M identified with the collar neighborhood D_0 of the boundary of $N_\delta \cap f^{-1}(0)$ by definition and a collar trivialization of C

$$(3.14) \quad F : D \times B_{\delta, \log}(0) \xrightarrow{\sim} C$$

obtained from the collar trivialization F_δ (3.13) satisfying $\text{pr}_{B_{\delta, \log}(0)} = f_A \circ F$.

Identifying $\partial B_{\delta, \log}(0)$ with $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, then $N \cap f_A^{-1}(\partial B_{\delta, \log}(0)) (\xrightarrow{f_A} \partial B_{\delta, \log}(0) = S^1)$ is identified with the mapping torus T_ρ as a fibration over S^1 .

As we work with ρ^{-1} , we use the diffeomorphism $\sigma : T_\rho \rightarrow T_{\rho^{-1}}, [(t, x)] \mapsto [(1-t, x)]$ that lifts the orientation-reversing diffeomorphism $S^1 \rightarrow S^1, t \mapsto 1-t$ and the collar trivialization F to obtain the collar neighborhood $E = \sigma(C) \subset T_{\rho^{-1}}$ of its horizontal boundary and consequently a collar trivialization

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{F} = \sigma \circ F : D \times S^1 \rightarrow E$$

of E in $T_{\rho^{-1}}$. Then we observe the following.

Lemma 3.6. *The complex volume form $\tilde{\Omega} = \{\tilde{\Omega}_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ (3.11) on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}}T_{\rho^{-1}}$ is homotopic to a complex volume form $\tilde{\Omega}' = \{\tilde{\Omega}'_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ that is independent of $t \in [0,1]$ on the collar neighborhood E in the sense that the complex volume form on D given by*

$$\tilde{F}^* \tilde{\Omega}'|_{D \times \{t\}}$$

is independent of $t \in [0,1]$ as a complex volume form on D , where each fiber $D \times \{t\}$ is identified with D .

Proof. By the definition of $\tilde{\Omega}_t$ in (3.11), it suffices to show that the complex volume form $\bar{\Omega} = \{\bar{\Omega}_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}}T_\rho$ is homotopic to a complex volume form $\bar{\Omega}' = \{\bar{\Omega}'_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ such that $F^* \bar{\Omega}'|_{D \times \{t\}}$ is independent of $t \in [0,1]$ as a complex volume form on D . We prove this assertion for a proof.

Since the collar trivialization F_δ (3.13) extends over $B_\delta(0)$ and the fiberwise complex volume form $\bar{\Omega}_\delta^{\text{ver}}$ is well-defined over C_δ , we deduce that $\left\{F_\delta^* \bar{\Omega}|_{D_\delta \times \{t\}}\right\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ is homotopic to the 1-parameter family of constant complex volume form on D_δ .

Now [dBP22, Corollary 5.16] says that the graded abstract open book (M, λ, ρ) at radius zero is graded isotopic to the graded abstract open book $(M_\delta, \lambda_\delta, \rho_\delta)$, whose grading is induced by the complex volume form $\{\bar{\Omega}_{\delta,t}\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on $T^{\text{ver}}T_{\rho_\delta}$ as explained above. Recall that the collar neighborhood C of T_ρ that appears in (3.14) is obtained as the fiber product of the obvious projection map $B_{\delta, \log}(0) \rightarrow B_\delta(0)$ and the fibration $f : C_\delta \rightarrow B_\delta(0)$. Furthermore the collar trivialization F (3.15) is obtained from the collar trivialization F_δ (3.13). It follows that the restriction of complex volume form $\{\bar{\Omega}_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}}T_\rho$ is homotopic to a complex volume form $\{\bar{\Omega}'_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ such that $F^* \bar{\Omega}'_t|_{D \times \{t\}}$ is independent of $t \in [0,1]$. This completes the proof. \square

Finally, using the above lemma, we prove that $\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma) = 0$ as desired.

Proposition 3.7. *Let Σ be the Morse-Bott component of twisted Hamiltonian orbits $\mathcal{P}(\check{\rho}^{-1}, H)$ for a quadratic Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ discussed in Subsection 3.3. Then,*

$$\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma) = 0.$$

Proof. First of all, we may shrink C so that the corresponding collar neighborhood D of ∂M is included in the region $[1-\eta, 1] \times \partial M$ where K is linear with slope ϵ . It follows that, on $D \subset [1-\eta, 1] \times \partial M$, ϕ_{-K}^t is given by $\text{Id} \times \phi_R^{-\epsilon t}$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. As a consequence of Lemma 3.6 and the above observation, the complex volume form $\Omega = \{\Omega_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}}T_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ can be homotoped so that

$$(3.16) \quad F^* \Omega|_{D \times \{t\}} = (\text{Id} \times \phi_R^{-\epsilon t})^* F^* \Omega|_{D \times \{0\}},$$

where both $D \times \{t\}$ and $D \times \{0\}$ are identified with D . Since the grading depends only on the homotopy type of complex volume form on the vertical tangent bundle $T^{\text{ver}}T_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$, we may assume that $\Omega = \{\Omega_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ already satisfies (3.16).

For a quadratic Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\check{\rho}^{-1}}$ as chosen in Subsection 3.3, let $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H)$ be a twisted Hamiltonian orbit belonging to the Morse-Bott component Σ . Note that the image of γ lies in $D \cup [1, \infty) \times \partial M$. Then, we may choose a trivialization $\Psi : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \gamma^* M$ in such a way that

$$\Psi_t = d(\text{Id} \times \phi_R^{\epsilon t})_* \Psi_0$$

in $T_{\tilde{\rho}^{-1}}$. Therefore (2.7) is satisfied for Ψ . Moreover, it satisfies (2.8) due to (3.16). Now it follows that the path of symplectic matrices Λ given by

$$\Lambda(t) = \Psi_t^{-1} \circ d(\phi_H^t) \circ \Psi_0 = \Psi_t^{-1} \circ d(\text{Id} \times \phi_R^t) \circ \Psi_0$$

is constantly the identity matrix, which implies that the index $\mu_{CZ}(\Sigma)$ is zero. \square

4. VARIATION OPERATOR \mathcal{V} VIA THE CLOSED-OPEN MAP

The goal of this section is the construction of the variation operator \mathcal{V} using the action of Γ on Lagrangian Floer theory via the closed-open map

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho : SH^*(\rho^{-1}) \rightarrow HW^*(L, \rho(L)).$$

We also define $\Gamma_L \in CW^*(L, \rho(L))$ for each L analogous to Γ which will be useful throughout the paper. It turns out to be equal to the lowest action value term of $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho(\Gamma)$.

4.1. Wrapped Floer cohomology. From now on, a Lagrangian L we consider is always assumed to be;

- orientable and spin,
- $2c_1(M, L) = 0$, and
- it is either closed or it has cylindrical ends at ∂M .

We briefly recall the definition of wrapped Floer complex (see [Abo10]). We take H a time-independent Hamiltonian that is quadratic at infinity. First, we choose a regular Floer datum $(H_{L_1, L_2}, J_{L_1, L_2})$ for a pair of Lagrangians (L_1, L_2) . H_{L_1, L_2} is a small, possibly time dependent perturbation of H so that Hamiltonian chords from L_0 to L_1 are non-degenerate. We denote the set of non-degenerate Hamiltonian chords from L_0 to L_1 by

$$\mathcal{P}(L_1, L_2; H_{L_1, L_2}).$$

J_{L_1, L_2} denotes a time-dependent almost complex structure that is of contact type at ∂M . For a generic choice of J_{L_1, L_2} , every element of the following moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic strips is regular;

$$\mathcal{M}_{d_{CW}}(\xi_+, \xi_-; H_{L_1, L_2}, J_{L_1, L_2}) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M \left| \begin{array}{l} \partial_s u + J_{L_1, L_2}(\partial_t u - X_{H_{L_1, L_2}}(u)) = 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) = \xi_-(t), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u(s, t) = \xi_+(t), \\ u(s, 0) \in L_1, \quad u(s, 1) \in L_2 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

The wrapped Floer complex of L_1 and L_2 is defined as

$$CW^*(L_1, L_2; H_{L_1, L_2}, J_{L_1, L_2}) := \bigoplus_{\xi \in \mathcal{P}(L_0, L_1; H_{L_1, L_2})} \mathbb{K} \cdot \xi,$$

where the grading is given by the Maslov index. The Floer differential d_{CW} is defined as a signed counting of rigid pseudo-holomorphic strips modulo translational symmetry:

$$d_{CW}(\xi_+) := \sum_{\deg(\xi_-) = \deg(\xi_+) + 1} \# [\mathcal{M}_{d_{CW}}(\xi_+, \xi_-; H_{L_1, L_2}, J_{L_1, L_2}) / \mathbb{R}] \cdot \xi_-.$$

4.1.1. The induced grading. We recall an interplay between a fibration and gradings. Recall that we equip Milnor fiber M a 1-parameter family of complex volume form $\{\Omega_t\}$. Suppose $L \subset M = M_0$ is graded with respect to Ω_0 , which means that L carries a particular choice of a lift Λ_0 of a squared phase function $\bar{\Lambda}_0 : L \rightarrow S^1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_0} & \mathbb{R} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & \bar{\Lambda}_0 & S^1 \end{array}, \quad \bar{\Lambda}_0(p) = \left(\frac{\Omega_0(\Lambda^n T_p L)}{|\Omega_0(\Lambda^n T_p L)|} \right)^2.$$

We have a relative family of squared phase function $\bar{\Lambda}_t : L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^1$ by replacing Ω_0 with Ω_t . This can be viewed as a homotopy between $\bar{\Lambda}_0$ and other $\bar{\Lambda}_t$. We conclude that we have a one-parameter family $\Lambda_t : L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of lifts. Since $\rho^* \Omega_{t_0} = \Omega_{t_0+1}$, one obtains a canonical grading $(\rho^{-1})^* \Lambda_1$ on $\rho(L)$.

Let $\rho_t : M_0 \rightarrow M_t$ be a symplectic parallel transport [Sei08, Chapter(8k)] around a circle on the base. Then one gets a moving boundary condition

$$\mathcal{L}_t = (\rho_t(L), (\rho_t^{-1})^* \Lambda_t)$$

from $L_0 = L$ to $L_1 = \rho_1(L)$. A grading structure transports accordingly because our $\{\Omega_t\}$ is defined as a family of volume forms induced by the fibration. This boundary condition can be equivalently expressed as a "branch cut" version; by abuse of notation, we write

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_t = \begin{cases} (L, \Lambda_t) & t \in (0, t_0), \\ (\rho(L), (\rho^{-1})^* \Lambda_t) & t \in (t_0, 1) \end{cases}$$

with a jump at $t_0 \in (0, 1)$. They correspond to two equivalent descriptions of pseudo-holomorphic curves twisted by ρ . When a boundary condition has the cut, we may put a branch cut on the domain of the curve and twist the map along the cut by ρ , so its boundary condition will jump at the branch cut. Alternatively, we may interpret the same map as a pseudo-holomorphic section of, for example, a symplectic mapping tori associated with ρ (with appropriate insertions):

$$E_\rho := \frac{M \times \mathbb{R}_s \times \mathbb{R}_t}{(s, t+1, x) \sim (s, t, \rho(x))} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R} \times S^1, \quad \pi(x, s, t) = (s, t).$$

We mostly stick to the "branch cut" description in the paper. From now on, L is understood as a Lagrangian submanifold equipped with grading and spin structure, while $\rho(L)$ is always considered as a graded Lagrangian $(\rho(L), (\rho^{-1})^* \Lambda_1)$.

4.2. Construction of Γ_L . Recall that we chose in Proposition 3.1 a neighborhood $U \simeq (1 - \eta, 1] \times \partial M$ of ∂M on which $\check{\rho}$ is written as a Hamiltonian flow of b . In particular, $\check{\rho}^{-1}$ have no fixed points in $M^{\text{in}} := M \setminus (1 - \eta, 1] \times \partial M$. We extend this neighborhood, still denoted as U , in the symplectization of M , so that $U \simeq (1 - \eta, 1 + \eta) \times \partial M$. Suppose a Lagrangian L has nontrivial cylindrical ends at infinity, then we may write

$$L \cap U \simeq (1 - \eta, 1 + \eta) \times \partial L \subset (1 - \eta, 1 + \eta) \times \partial M \simeq U.$$

We also write $\mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L)}, U)$ as the subset of $\mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L)})$ consisting of Hamiltonian chords in U . The local Floer complex is defined as

$$CF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L)}, J_{L, \rho(L)}) = \bigoplus_{\xi \in \mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L)}, U)} \mathbb{K} \cdot \xi,$$

with its differential defined as a counting of local pseudo-holomorphic strips modulo translations.

$$d_{\text{loc}}(\xi_+) := \sum_{\xi_- \in \mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L)}, U)} \# [\mathcal{M}_{d_{\text{loc}}}(\xi_+, \xi_-; H_{L, \rho(L)}, J_{L, \rho(L)}, U) / \mathbb{R}] \cdot \xi_-,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{d_{\text{loc}}}(\xi_+, \xi_-; H_{L, \rho(L)}, J_{L, \rho(L)}, U) := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_{d_{\text{CW}}}(\xi_+, \xi_-; H_{L, \rho(L)}, J_{L, \rho(L)}) \mid u(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \subset U\}.$$

The next proposition justifies the definition of the local Floer complex.

Proposition 4.1. *For $\|\nabla(H_{L, \rho(L)} - H)\| \ll 1$, $(CF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L)}, J_{L, \rho(L)}), d_{\text{loc}})$ is a well-defined complex. In particular, the cohomology of the complex, denoted as $HF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L))$, is independent of the choice of $H_{L, \rho(L)}$ and $J_{L, \rho(L)}$. Moreover,*

$$HF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L)) \simeq H^*(L \cap U).$$

Proof. See [Poż99], [CFHW96] for a detailed proof. We sketch the proof of the last claim, which follows from an identification

$$(4.2) \quad CF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L)}, J_{L, \rho(L)}) \simeq CM^*(g_L|_{L \cap U})$$

of chain complexes for particular perturbation $H_{L, \rho(L)}$ and a Morse function g_L .

The intersection of L and $\rho(L)$ is highly degenerate; ρ is an identity on the set B_0 . To get rid of this degeneracy, we first perturb ρ to $\check{\rho}$ by Hamiltonian function b in Proposition 3.1. Then one can check that

$$L \cap \check{\rho}(L) \cap U = \emptyset, \quad \phi_H^1(L) \cap \check{\rho}(L) \cap U = \{r_0\} \times \partial L$$

for a fixed $r_0 \in (1-\eta, 1+\eta)$. Next, we choose a C^2 -small function g_L on M by the following procedure. Start with a positive C^2 -small Morse function $g_{\partial L}$ on ∂L and take smooth concave function $q = q(r)$ with the unique maximum at r_0 . Then, $g_{L \cap U} = g_{\partial L} \cdot q$ on $L \cap U$ has its critical points on $\{r_0\} \times \partial L$ and its gradients pointing inward at the boundary. We extend it to a C^2 -small function g_L on M so that its gradients flow into L along the normal direction of the Weinstein neighborhood of $L \cap U$.

Next, recall that $\check{\rho} = \phi_K^1 \circ \rho$ for another time-independent Hamiltonian K . Define a time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_L(t, x) &:= g_L \circ \phi_K^t, \\ H_{L, \rho(L), \delta} &:= G + \delta \bar{g}_L + F_L, \quad G = H \circ \phi_K^t - K \circ \phi_K^t, \quad 0 < \delta \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here, F_L is a generic C^2 -small time-dependent perturbation whose support is disjoint from that of \bar{g}_L . Under the time dependent coordinate change $(\phi_K^t)^{-1} : M \rightarrow M$ we have seen as in (2.13), $\mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L), \delta}, U)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with Morse critical points of g_L inside U for a small enough δ . Also, Floer strips are in one-to-one correspondence with Morse trajectories between those critical points. The term F_L is chosen as in 2.1.3 so that $H_{L, \rho(L), \delta}$ non-degenerate. Therefore, we get the desired identification (4.2) up to degree shift given by $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\partial L)$. An argument similar to Proposition 3.7 shows $\mu_{\text{CZ}}(\partial L) = 0$. \square

Definition 4.2. For each Lagrangian L with cylindrical ends, we define

$$\Gamma_L \in CF_{\text{loc}}^0(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L), \delta}, J_{L, \rho(L)})$$

as the cochain corresponding to fundamental cycle of $CM^*(g_L|_{L \cap U})$.

Observe that Γ_L does not define a cocycle in $CW^*(L, \rho(L))$ for general L . It does define a cocycle in the following situation, just as Γ does.

Proposition 4.3. Further assume $L \cap \rho(L) = \emptyset$ except where $\rho = \text{Id}$ near ∂M . Then $[\Gamma_L]$ defines a cocycle of $CW^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L)})$.

Proof. The inclusion $CF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L), \delta}) \rightarrow CW^*(L, \rho(L))$ is a cochain map since L and $\rho(L)$ does not have interior intersection points. The result follows. \square

4.3. Closed-open map and Γ_L . In this subsection, we describe a relation between Γ and Γ_L under the twisted closed-open map $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho$.

4.3.1. Twisted closed-open map. We recall the definition of the twisted version of the closed-open map [Sei01a]:

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho : SC^*(\rho^{-1}) \rightarrow CW^*(L, \rho(L)).$$

Let S be the standard disc with the following decorations:

- one interior marked point $0 \in D^2$ equipped with positive cylindrical end η^+ ,
- one boundary marked point $-1 \in \partial D^2$ equipped with negative strip-like end ϵ^- , and

- a branch cut $(0, 1] \subset D^2$.

We use coordinate $z = e^{s+it}$ with $s \in (-\infty, 0]$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. The branch cut indicates that we distinguish $e^{s+2\pi i}$ from $e^{s+0 \cdot i}$. We choose a domain-dependent Hamiltonian function denoted by H_S , a domain-dependent almost complex structure J_S , and a closed one form α on S which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta^+)^* H_S &= H, & (\eta^+)^* J_S &= J, & (\eta^+)^* \alpha &= dt, \\ (\epsilon^-)^* H_S &= H_{L,\rho(L)}, & (\epsilon^-)^* J_S &= J_{L,\rho(L)}, & (\epsilon^-)^* \alpha &= dt \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha|_{\partial D^2} = 0$.

Remark 4.4. *To obtain maximum principle, we choose α and H_S so that $d_S(H_S \cdot \alpha) \leq 0$ holds for $\forall s \in S$. In particular, H_S must decrease along the radial direction of D^2 . To make such a choice possible, we may assume that the perturbation data satisfy $H \leq H_{L,\rho(L)}$ at every point. (This is different from [Abo10] where the Liouville rescaling trick is used at the price of changing the weight of the closed-open map equation) In the case of our interest, H and $H_{L,\rho(L)}$ are obtained by adding a bounded perturbation term \bar{g} and \bar{g}_L to a fixed function. We may assume $0 \leq \bar{g} \leq \delta_1 \leq \bar{g}_L \leq \delta_2 \ll 1$ by adding constants and scaling.*

Now, consider the following moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic curves

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho}(\gamma_+, \xi_-; H_S, J_S) := \left\{ u: S \rightarrow M \left| \begin{array}{l} (du - X_{H_S}(u) \otimes \alpha)_{J_S}^{0,1} = 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (\epsilon^-)^* u = \xi_-(t), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\eta^+)^* u = \gamma_+(t), \\ \rho \circ u(e^{s+2\pi i}) = u(e^{s+0 \cdot i}), \\ u(e^{it}) \in \mathcal{L}_t \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

A twisted closed-open map is defined as a counting of the moduli space;

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho(\gamma_+) := \sum_{\xi_- \in \mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L); H_{L,\rho(L)})} \# \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho}(\gamma_+, \xi_-; H_S, J_S) \cdot \xi_-.$$

The following proposition is a routine and implies $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho(\Gamma)$ is a degree zero cocycle.

Proposition 4.5 ([Sei06]). *$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho$ is a cochain map.*

4.3.2. *Local closed-open map.* We will consider a local moduli space as before. By shrinking the neighborhood U , we may assume ρ is an identity on the open set U . Now, look at

$$\mathcal{M}(\gamma_+, \xi_-; H_S, J_S, U) := \{u \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma_+, \xi_-; H_S, J_S) \mid u(S) \subset U\}$$

for specific Hamiltonian perturbation we used before and define a local version of twisted closed-open map

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L,\text{loc}}^\rho : CF_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1}; H_{\delta_1}, J) \rightarrow CF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L,\rho(L),\delta_2}, J_{L,\rho(L)})$$

for sufficiently small $\delta_1, \delta_2 \ll 1$.

Lemma 4.6. *For sufficiently small $0 \leq \delta_1, \delta_2 \ll 1$, every element $u \in \mathcal{M}(\gamma_+, \xi_-; H_S, J_S, U)$ has uniformly bounded image away from the boundary of U .*

Proof. See Proposition 4.14 below. □

In particular, $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L,\text{loc}}^\rho$ is a well-defined chain map. One of the main results of this section is a computation of $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L,\text{loc}}^\rho(\Gamma)$. To state the result, choose

- a Morse function f_M on M so that $f_M|_U$ is still Morse and its negative gradient flow points inward along ∂U , and
- a Morse function f_L on L so that $f_L|_{L \cap U}$ is still Morse and its negative gradient flow points inward along $\partial(L \cap U)$.

Theorem 4.7. *There are morphisms of cochain complexes*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &: CM^*(f_M|_U) \rightarrow CF_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1}; H_{\delta_1}, J), \\ \Phi_L &: CM^*(f_L|_{L \cap U}) \rightarrow CF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}, J_{L, \rho(L)})\end{aligned}$$

which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(U, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^*(L \cap U, \mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi_L \\ SH_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L, \text{loc}}^\rho} & HF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L)) \end{array} .$$

Moreover, Φ and Φ_L are isomorphisms on cohomologies.

The vertical homomorphisms in Theorem 4.7 are versions of PSS homomorphisms, or more precisely, their local inverses. We can ignore the twist by ρ since it is an identity along neighborhood U . At the level of cochains,

$$\Phi: CM^*(f_M|_U) \rightarrow CF_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1}; H_{\delta_1}, J)$$

is defined as follows: consider the following data on a cylinder.

- A regular Floer datum (H_{δ_1}, J) for ρ^{-1} , and
- a sub-closed one form as $\alpha = a(s)dt$, where $a(s)$ is a smooth function only depending on s -coordinate decreasing from 1 to 0.

Then we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(p_+) &:= \sum_{\gamma_- \in \mathcal{P}(\rho^{-1}; H_{\delta_1}(u), U)} \# \mathcal{M}_\Phi(p_+, \gamma_-; f_M, H_{\delta_1}, J, U) \cdot \gamma_-, \\ \mathcal{M}_\Phi(p_+, \gamma_-; f_M, H_{\delta_1}, J, U) &:= \left\{ (u, l) \left| \begin{array}{ll} u: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M, & \partial_s u + J(\partial_t u - X_{H_{\delta_1}}(u) \cdot a(s)) = 0, \\ u(-\infty, t) = \gamma_-(t), & u(\infty, t) = l(0), \\ u(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \subset U, & \\ l: [0, \infty) \rightarrow M, & l'(s) + \nabla f_M \circ l = 0, \\ l(\infty) = p_+, & l([0, \infty)) \subset U \end{array} \right. \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\Phi_L: CM^*(f_L|_{L \cap U}) \rightarrow CF_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}, J_{L, \rho(L)})$$

is defined as follows: consider the following data on an infinite strip.

- A Floer datum $(H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}, J_{L, \rho(L)})$ for $(L, \rho(L))$, and
- a sub-closed one form as $\alpha = a(s)dt$, where $a(s)$ is a smooth function only depending on s -coordinate decreasing from 1 to 0.

Then Φ_L is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_L(q_+) &:= \sum_{\gamma_- \in \mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L), H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}, U)} \# \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_L}(q_+, \gamma_-; f_L, H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}, J_{L, \rho(L)}, U) \cdot \gamma_-, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\Phi_L}(q_+, \xi_-; f_L, H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}, J_{L, \rho(L)}, U) &:= \left\{ (u, l) \left| \begin{array}{ll} u: \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M, & \partial_s u + J_{L, \rho(L)}(\partial_t u - X_{H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}}(u) \cdot a(s)) = 0, \\ u(-\infty, t) = \xi_-(t), & u(\infty, t) = l(0), \\ u(\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]) \subset U, & u(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \sqcup \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}) \subset L, \\ l: [0, \infty) \rightarrow L, & l'(s) + \nabla f_L \circ l = 0, \\ l(\infty) = q_+, & l([0, \infty)) \subset L \cap U \end{array} \right. \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, the pull-back i^* is defined by counting rigid pairs of Morse flows concatenated along a point;

$$i^*(q_+) := \sum_{p_- \in \text{crit}(f_L) \cap U} \# \mathcal{M}_{i^*}(p_+, q_-; f_M, f_L, U) \cdot p_-,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{i^*}(p_+, q_-; f_M, f_L, U) := \left\{ (l_1, l_2) \left| \begin{array}{ll} l_1 : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow M, & l_1'(s) + \nabla f_M \circ l_1 = 0, \\ l_1(\infty) = q_-, & l_1(0) = l_2(0), \\ l_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow L, & l_2'(s) + \nabla f_L \circ l_2 = 0, \\ l_2(0) = p, & l_2([0, \infty)) \subset L \cap U \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.8. *For sufficiently small $0 < \delta_i \ll 1$, ($i = 1, 2$), every element of each moduli space has uniformly bounded image away from the boundary of U .*

Proof. Gradient flow lines of f and f_L are confined in U by our choice of functions. The boundedness of perturbed pseudo-holomorphic holomorphic discs is covered by Proposition 4.14 below. \square

In particular, a usual degeneration argument is valid over U . Therefore, Φ , Φ_L , and i^* are well-defined cochain maps so that the diagram of cohomologies in Theorem 4.7 makes sense. Before going into the proof, we derive some corollaries of the theorem.

Corollary 4.9. *Suppose L has cylindrical ends. We have $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L, \text{loc}}^\rho(\Gamma) = \Gamma_L$.*

Proof. Theorem 4.7 immediately implies $[\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L, \text{loc}}^\rho(\Gamma)] = [\Gamma_L]$. This should hold at the cochain level because both cocycles are of degree zero and each cochain complex is zero on the negative degree. \square

Corollary 4.10. *Suppose L has cylindrical ends. We have*

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho(\Gamma) = \Gamma_L + \sum_{p \in L \cap \rho(L) \cap M^{\text{in}}} a_p \cdot p$$

with possibly non-zero coefficient a_p . If $L \cap \rho(L) = \emptyset$ except where $\rho = \text{Id}$ near ∂M , then $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho(\Gamma) = \Gamma_L$.

4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.7. We construct a two-step homotopy between $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{\text{loc}} \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi_L \circ i^*$.

4.4.1. First Homotopy. We define the first homotopy denoted by K_I . On a positive half cylinder $[0, \infty) \times S^1$, we put the following data parametrized by $R \in [1, \infty)$;

- negative boundary marked point $(0, 1) \in [0, \infty) \times S^1$,
- strip-like end $\epsilon^- : (-\infty, 0] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty) \times S^1$ at the marked point $(0, 1)$ such that $\text{Im}(\epsilon^-) \subset [0, 1/2] \times S^1$, and
- a domain dependent Hamiltonian function $H_{S,R}$ and a closed one form β_R on a domain satisfies
 - (1) $(\epsilon^-)^* X_{H_{S,R}} \otimes \beta_R = X_{H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}} \otimes dt$,
 - (2) $X_{H_{S,R}} \otimes \beta_R|_{[1, R] \times S^1} = X_{H_{\delta_1}} \otimes dt$,
 - (3) $X_{H_{S,R}} \otimes \beta_R|_{[R+1, \infty) \times S^1} = 0$,
 - (4) $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H_{S,R} \leq 0$, and
 - (5) $\beta_R|_{\{0\} \times S^1} = 0$.

The fourth condition is satisfied after we manipulate our Morse perturbation term so that $\bar{g} \geq \bar{g}_L > 0$. Now, take a smooth family of diffeomorphisms $\Psi_R = \exp(-(\alpha_R(s) + it)) : [0, \infty) \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} D^2 \setminus \{0\}$ where $\alpha_R(s) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a strictly increasing function such that $\alpha_1 = \text{Id}$ and

$$\alpha'_R(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & (0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}), \\ \text{decreasing} & (\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1), \\ 1/R & (1 \leq s \leq R), \\ \text{increasing} & (R \leq s \leq R + \frac{1}{2}), \\ 1 & (R + \frac{1}{2} \leq s). \end{cases}$$

In this way, Ψ_R interpolates standard biholomorphic map $\exp(-(s+it))$ on $\{s \in (0, 1/2) \sqcup (R+1/2, \infty)\}$ and a $1/R$ -scale $\exp(-(s/R+it))$ on $\{s \in (1, R)\}$ along $\{s \in (1/2, 1) \sqcup (R, R+1/2)\}$. We get a family of complex

structures j_R on a disc by pushing forward the standard one on $[0, \infty) \times S^1$ along Ψ_R . The family j_R describes a degeneration of a domain; it degenerates from the standard one $j_{R=1}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, as depicted in Figure 6.

Remark 4.11. *The construction of K_I is a "half" of the one that appeared in [Alb05, Theorem 1.5]. The author extended β_R to $R < 1$ in a non-monotone manner which cannot be adapted to our situation.*




FIGURE 6. Degeneration of \mathcal{M}_{K_I}

The moduli space defining K_I consists of triples;

$$\mathcal{M}_{K_I}(q_+, \xi_-; H_{S,R}, J_{S,R}, U) := \left\{ (R, l, u) \left| \begin{array}{ll} l: [0, \infty) \rightarrow U, & l'(s) + \nabla f \circ l = 0, \\ l(\infty) = q_+, & l(0) = u(0), \\ u: D^2 \rightarrow U, & (du - X_{H_{S,R}}(u) \otimes \beta_R)_{J_{S,R}}^{0,1} = 0, \\ u(\partial D^2) \subset L, & \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (\epsilon^-)^* u = \xi_-, \quad R \in [1, \infty) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

We also define a homomorphism

$$F: CM^*(f|_U) \rightarrow CF_{loc}^*(L, \rho(L); H_{L, \rho(L), \delta_2}, J_{L, \rho(L)})$$

by the rigid counting of the moduli space for K_I but restricted to $R = 1$:

$$\mathcal{M}_F(q_+, \xi_-; H_S, J_S, U) := \mathcal{M}_{K_I}(q_+, \xi_-; H_{S,R}, J_{S,R}, U)|_{R=1}.$$

A usual degeneration argument of Floer moduli space proves;

Proposition 4.12. *(See [Alb05] and Figure 6) $K_1 \circ d_{\text{Morse}} + d_{CF} \circ K_1 = \mathcal{C}O_{loc}^\rho \circ \Phi - F$.*

4.4.2. *Second homotopy.* Now we want to construct a second homotopy K_{II} between F and $\Phi_L \circ i^*$. We choose decorations on a half-cylinder parametrized by $r \in [0, \infty)$ as follows:

- negative boundary marked point $(0, 1) \in [0, \infty) \times S^1$,
- strip-like end $\epsilon^-: (-\infty, 0] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty) \times S^1$ at $(0, 1)$ such that $\text{Im}(\epsilon^-) \subset [0, 1/2] \times S^1$,
- a neighborhood W such that $\epsilon^-((-\infty, 0] \times [0, 1]) \subset W \subset [0, 1] \times \{-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2\} \subset [0, \infty) \times S^1$,
- smooth bump functions $\theta_{S,r}: [0, \infty) \times S^1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for each r such that

$$\theta_{S,r}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & (s \in \text{Im}(\epsilon^-)), \\ \zeta(r) & (s \in W^c) \end{cases}, \quad \zeta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & (t < 1), \\ 0 & (2 \leq t) \end{cases}$$

with $\theta_{S,0}(s)$ constant function. Here, $\zeta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a smooth decreasing function from 1 to 0,

- an r -parameter family of Hamiltonian perturbation $H_{S,r} := H_{S,R=1} \times \theta_{S,r}(s)$, and
- a closed one-form $\beta = \beta_{R=1}$.

This perturbation data has been chosen so that the following conditions are satisfied;

- (1) $(\epsilon^-)^* X_{H_{S,r}} \otimes \beta = X_{H_{L,\rho(L),\delta_2}} \otimes dt$ for $\forall r \in [0, \infty)$,
- (2) $(H_{S,r}, \beta)|_{r=0}$ coincides with $(H_{S,R}, \beta_R)_{R=1}$,
- (3) $X_{H_{S,r}} \otimes \beta = 0$ for $\forall s \in W^c$ when $r \geq 2$,
- (4) $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H_{S,r} \leq 0$ for $\forall r \in [0, \infty)$, and
- (5) $\beta|_{\{0\} \times S^1} = 0$.

We import this data to the standard disc under conformal diffeomorphism $\exp(-(s+it))$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II}}$ is defined as a union of two different moduli spaces. The construction is motivated by pearl complexes, but since there are no J -holomorphic discs bounded by an exact Lagrangian, we only need to consider the following two moduli spaces.

The first moduli space consists of triples,

$$\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},1}(q_+, \xi_-; f_M, H_{S,r}, J_{S,r}) := \left\{ (r, l, u) \left| \begin{array}{ll} r \in [0, \infty), & \\ l: [0, \infty) \rightarrow U, & l'(s) + \nabla f_M \circ l = 0, \\ l(\infty) = q_+, & l(0) = u(1 - e^{-r}), \\ u: D^2 \rightarrow U, & (du - X_{H_{S,R}}(u) \otimes \beta)_{J_{S,r}}^{0,1} = 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (\epsilon^-)^* u = \xi_-, & u(\partial D^2) \subset L \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

while the second moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},2}(q, \xi)$ consists of quintuples,

$$\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},2}(q_+, \xi_-; f_M, f_L, H_{S,\infty}, J_{S,\infty}) := \left\{ (r, l_1, u_1, l_2, u_2) \left| \begin{array}{ll} l_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow U, & l_1'(s) + \nabla f_M \circ l_1 = 0, \\ l_1(\infty) = q_+, & l_1(0) = u_1(1), \\ u_1: D^2 \rightarrow U, & \partial_s u_1 + J \circ \partial_t u_1 = 0, \\ u_1(-1) = l_2(r), & r \in [0, \infty), \\ l_2: [-r, r] \rightarrow U, & l_2'(s) + \nabla f_L \circ l_2 = 0, \\ l_2(-r) = u_2(0), & l_2([-r, r]) \subset L \cap U, \\ u_2: D^2 \rightarrow U, & (du - X_{H_{S,\infty}}(u_2) \otimes \beta)_{J_{S,\infty}}^{0,1} = 0, \\ u_i(\partial D^2) \subset L, & \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (\epsilon^-)^* u_2 = \xi_- \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.13. $K_2 \circ d_{\text{Morse}} + d_{CF} \circ K_2 = F - \Phi_L \circ i^*$.

Proof. The boundary component of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},1}(q, \xi)$ corresponding to $r \rightarrow 0$ contributes F .




FIGURE 7. Degeneration of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},1}$

Observe that we design the perturbation data so that $X_{H_{S,r}} \otimes \beta = 0$ around a neighborhood of $1 \in D^2$ for all $r \geq 2$. In particular, Hamiltonian perturbation term around $l(0) = u(1 - e^{-r})$ becomes zero. Therefore, the $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},1}$ consists of pair (l, u_1, u_2) such that

- $l: [0, \infty) \rightarrow U$ is a Morse flowline of f such that $l(\infty) = q_+$,

- u_1 is a J -holomorphic disc bounded by L such that $u_1(0) = l(0)$, and
- $u_2 : D^2 \rightarrow U$ is a solution of perturbed Floer equation

$$(du - X_{H_{S,\infty}}(u_2) \otimes \beta)_{J_{S,\infty}}^{0,1} = 0, \quad u_2(\partial D^2) \subset L$$

such that $u_2(1) = u_1(-1)$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (\epsilon^-)^* u_2 = \xi_-$.

Of course, u_1 is always constant because L is exact.

At first, $r \rightarrow 0$ limit of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},2}$ cancels $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},1}$. This procedure simply degenerates l_2 to a point and the results are the same as we just described.




FIGURE 8. Degeneration of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},2}$

On the other extreme, the $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{II},2}(q, \xi)$ consists of quintuples $(l_1, u_1, l_2-, l_2+, u_2)$ where

- $l_1 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow U$ is a Morse flowline of f such that $l_1(\infty) = q_+$,
- u_1 is a J -holomorphic disc bounded by L such that $u_1(0) = l_1(0)$,
- $l_2- : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow L \cap U$ is a Morse flowline of g_L such that $l_2-(0) = u_1(-1)$,
- $l_2+ : [0, \infty) \rightarrow L \cap U$ is a Morse flowline of g_L such that $l_2+(\infty) = l_2-(-\infty)$, and
- $u_2 : D^2 \rightarrow U$ is a solution of perturbed Floer equation

$$(du - X_{H_{S,\infty}}(u_2) \otimes \beta)_{J_{S,\infty}}^{0,1} = 0, \quad u_2(\partial D^2) \subset L$$

such that $u_2(1) = l_2+(0)$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} (\epsilon^-)^* u_2 = \xi_-$.

Note that $l_2+(\infty) = l_2-(\infty)$ is a Morse critical point of f_L . Again, u_1 is always constant because L is an exact Lagrangian. It is easy to check that the contribution of the quintuples is exactly $\Phi_L \circ i^*$. \square

4.5. Uniform boundedness of perturbed holomorphic curves inside U . A technical detail we must check is that a family of various holomorphic curves inside U does not escape U . This idea, called local Floer cohomology, appeared in [CFHW96] with many applications such as [KvK16], [McL19], [MR23].

Let us recall the setting. We choose a fixed collar neighborhood U of ∂M by the inverse Liouville flow. We use a coordinate system $(r, x) \in (1 - \eta, 1 + \eta) \times \partial M \cong U$. The symplectomorphism $\check{\rho}$ is equal to a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of b as in Proposition 3.1. We choose a Hamiltonian function $H = h(r)$ so that it only depends on r . Moreover,

- U is invariant under the Hamiltonian flow of H , which is a multiple of Reeb flow $h'(r) \cdot R$,
- if a (twisted) Hamiltonian orbit of h is contained in U , then it is contained in $\{r_0\} \times \partial M$, and
- if Hamiltonian chords of H between L and $\rho(L)$ is contained in U , then it is also contained in $\{r_0\} \times \partial M$.

Next, we use

- a bounded time-dependent perturbation term f_M to get $H_\delta := H + \delta f_M$,
- a bounded time-dependent perturbation term f_L to get $H_{L,\rho(L),\delta} := H + \delta f_L$, and
- a perturbed pseudo-holomorphic curve u with at most one input and at least one output among $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\rho^{-1}; H_\delta)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L); H_{L,\rho(L),\delta})$. (We abbreviate them as $u \in \mathcal{M}$.)

Proposition 4.14. *For any neighborhood V such that $U \supset V \supset \{r_0\} \times \partial M$, there exist a $\delta_V > 0$ such that for all $\delta_V > \delta > 0$, the following are true.*

- (1) *The image of every elements of $\mathcal{P}(\rho^{-1}; H_\delta, U)$ is already contained in V .*
- (2) *The image of every element of $\mathcal{P}(L, \rho(L); H_{L,\rho(L),\delta}, U)$ is already contained in V .*
- (3) *If the image of $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is contained in U , then it is also contained in V .*

Proof. We proceed with our proof in several steps.

Step 1: prove (1) and (2). We prove (1) by contradiction following [CFHW96]. If it is false, one can find an open set V and a sequence (δ_n, γ_n) such that $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma_n \in \mathcal{P}(\rho^{-1}; H_\delta, U)$ which are not contained in V for any n . By the compact Sobolev embedding, there is a subsequence γ_{i_k} that converges to a Hamiltonian orbit γ of a time-independent H . It contradicts to our assumption on γ_n because γ is completely contained in $\{r_0\} \times \partial M$ and in particular, inside V . The same argument works for (2) as well.

Step 2: Limiting to autonomous case. We are going to apply a similar argument to $u \in \mathcal{M}$ with a little more care. Suppose the claim is not true; then we may choose (after passing to a subsequence) a sequence of quadruples $(\delta_n, u_n, H_{S,n}, J_{S,n})$ such that $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ and each u_n is a regular solution of Floer equation

$$(du_n - X_{H_{S,n}}(u_n) \otimes \alpha)_{J_{S,n}}^{0,1} = 0$$

whose images are contained in U but not in V , with $(e^i)^* H_{S,n} = H_{i,\delta_n}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Here, α is an appropriate sub-closed one form. Observe that a sequence $H_{S,n}$ is converging to an autonomous Hamiltonian H . By the usual convergence argument for the solution of an elliptic partial differential equation, we conclude that u_n converges to u which satisfies

$$(du - X_H(u) \otimes \alpha)_{J_S}^{0,1} = 0,$$

whose inputs or outputs, denoted as γ and ξ , are Hamiltonian orbits or chords of H .

Step 3: Unwrapping. We cancel out an effect of autonomous Hamiltonian H by the unwrapping procedure. By the assumption on U and H , γ and ξ are contained in $\{r_0\} \times \partial M$ and share the common period $h'(r_0)$. We apply a time-dependent change of coordinate $\phi_{-H}^t : U \rightarrow U$. Then $\tilde{u} = \phi_{-H}^t \circ u$ is a solution of

$$(d\tilde{u} - X_{\tilde{H}}(\tilde{u}) \otimes \alpha)_{\tilde{J}_S}^{0,1} = 0,$$

where $\tilde{J}_S = (\phi_{-H}^t)^* J_S$ and $\tilde{H} = H \circ \phi_{-H}^t$. Note that \tilde{J}_S is still of contact type at the boundary. Moreover, possible inputs or outputs, $\phi_{-H}^t \circ \gamma$ or $\phi_{-H}^t \circ \xi$, of \tilde{u} are points of $\{r_0\} \times \partial M$ since ϕ_{-H}^t preserves the level set of U . By assumption on u_n , the image of \tilde{u} is not contained in $\phi_{-H}^t(V)$. It contradicts the following lemma and hence finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.15. *Suppose $\tilde{u} : S \rightarrow U$ is an inhomogeneous pseudo-holomorphic curve satisfying*

$$(d\tilde{u} - X_{\tilde{H}}(\tilde{u}) \otimes \alpha)_{\tilde{J}_S}^{0,1} = 0,$$

where J_S is a domain-dependent almost complex structure that is contact type at infinity, $H = h(r)$ is an autonomous Hamiltonian function that depends only on the r direction, and α is a sub-closed one form on S . If the action values of the possible inputs and outputs are zero, then the image u is contained in an integral curve of the Reeb flow.

Proof. For a contact type almost complex structure J, ∂_r, R and $\ker \theta$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to $\langle -, - \rangle_J = \omega(-, J-)$. Now write $u = (a, v) : S \rightarrow (1-\eta, 1+\eta) \times \partial M$. We decompose du into three orthogonal summands as follows;

$$du - X_{\check{H}} \otimes \alpha = (da, \pi_{\ker \theta} \circ dv, \pi_R \circ dv - h'(r)R \otimes \alpha).$$

Recall that energy inequality tells us $0 \leq E_{\text{geo}}(u) \leq E_{\text{top}}(u) = \mathcal{A}_{\check{H}}(\gamma_{\text{out}}) - \mathcal{A}_{\check{H}}(\gamma_{\text{in}}) = 0$. Therefore, the geometric energy of u is zero and we must have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_{\text{geo}}(u) &= \int_S \|du - X_{\check{H}} \otimes \alpha\|^2 \\ &= \int_S \|da\|^2 + \|\pi_{\ker \theta} \circ dv\|^2 + \|\theta(dv) - h'(r) \otimes \alpha\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

As u is smooth, this implies that a needs to be constant and dv must be parallel to R . \square

Remark 4.16. A proof of (3) for Floer cylinders/strips can be found in [CFHW96] [Poż99]. The original proof does not apply because it exploited translational symmetries of a cylinder.

4.5.1. *Inverses of Φ and Φ_L .* Next, we see that Φ and Φ_L are isomorphisms and establish Theorem 4.7. Hamiltonian PSS morphisms are defined by the counting of the moduli space of pairs:

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\text{PSS}}(\gamma_+, q_-; H, J) := \left\{ (l, u) \left| \begin{array}{l} l : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow M, \quad l'(s) + \nabla f_M \circ l = 0, \\ u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M, \quad \partial_s u + J(\partial_t u - X_H(u) \cdot \check{\alpha}(s)) = 0, \\ u(\infty, t) = \gamma_+(t), \quad l(-\infty) = q_- \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $\check{\alpha}(s)$ is an increasing cut-off function from 0 to 1. The condition breaks the monotonicity of the Floer equation. A compactness of the moduli spaces is therefore not guaranteed because the solutions may escape to infinity. We explain how to recover the compactness by showing that the solutions we consider do not escape to infinity, following [Rit13, Lemma 15.5] in the case of symplectic cohomology.

Note that the elements of the local Floer cohomology have arbitrary small periods. We can even use ρ rather than a small perturbation $\check{\rho}$. The isomorphism (2.13) provides a chain isomorphism

$$CF_{\text{loc}}^*(\check{\rho}^{-1}; H_\delta) \simeq CF_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1}; \check{H}) \cong CF^*(U; \check{H}),$$

$$H_\delta = H + \delta \bar{g}, \quad \check{H} = g = g_{\partial M} \times q(r).$$

Here, we can choose H and g to satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \check{H} = \begin{cases} -\epsilon & (r < 1 - \eta), \\ \epsilon & (1 + \eta < r) \end{cases}, \quad \|\nabla \check{H}\|_\infty \ll 1$$

so that its negative gradient flow points inward along the boundary of U , and Hamiltonian orbits of \check{H} are precisely the Morse critical points.

Proposition 4.17. For a fixed open subset $W \supset U$, the image of the solution of the Floer equation $u : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M$,

$$\partial_s u + J(\partial_t u - X_{\check{H}}(u) \otimes \check{\alpha}(s)) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) = p, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u(s, t) = q \in \text{crit}(\check{H})$$

with $\check{\alpha}(s)$ as in (4.3), is either contained in U or escapes W for $\|\nabla \check{H}\|_\infty$ sufficiently small.

Proof. See [Rit13, Lemma 15.5] for a detailed proof where the author considered M^{in} instead of U . The key idea is that every solution of the Floer equation becomes t -independent, i.e., Morse flowlines of \check{H} whenever $\|\check{H}\|_\infty$ is sufficiently small. We can further confine the solution into U because the Morse flowlines of \check{H} flow into U . \square

With this observation in mind, combined with the fact that ϕ_H^{-t} preserves level sets, define Φ^{-1} as

$$\Phi^{-1}(\gamma_+) := \sum_{q_- \in \text{crit}(f) \cap U} \# \mathcal{M}_{\Phi^{-1}}(\gamma_+, q_-; f_M, \check{H}, J) \cdot q_-,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Phi^{-1}}(\gamma_+, q_-; f_M, \check{H}, J) := \left\{ (l, u) \left| \begin{array}{ll} u: \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \rightarrow M, & \partial_s u + J(\partial_t u - X_{\check{H}}(u) \cdot \check{a}(s)) = 0, \\ u(\infty, t) = \gamma_+(t), & u(\mathbb{R} \times S^1) \subset U, \\ l: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow M, & l'(s) + \nabla f_M \circ l = 0, \\ l(-\infty) = q_-, & l(0) = u(-\infty, t), \quad \forall t \in S^1 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

By Proposition 4.17, we conclude that no elements in $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi^{-1}}$ are C^0 -close to the solution of the same Floer equation which escapes U . The element of $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi^{-1}}$ has a uniformly bounded image, and then we recover the compactness of the moduli.

Proposition 4.18. Φ^{-1} is a chain map and $\Phi^{-1} \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi \circ \Phi^{-1}$ are homotopic to the identities.

Proof. The proof works the same as in [Rit13, Theorem 6.6]. \square

This implies $\Phi: H^*(U) \rightarrow SH_{\text{loc}}^*(\rho^{-1})$ is an isomorphism. Using a similar argument, one can show that Φ_L^{-1} also exists and $\Phi_L: H^*(L \cap U) \rightarrow HW_{\text{loc}}^*(L, \rho(L))$ is an isomorphism. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.7.

4.6. Variation operator \mathcal{V} . For a Milnor fiber M of f , there is a homomorphism called *variation* defined as

$$\text{var}: H_{n-1}(M, \partial M) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(M), \quad l \mapsto \rho_*(l) - l.$$

It is known to be an isomorphism when f defines an isolated singularity. It motivates the following definition (Definition 1.2).

Definition 4.19. For each object L in $\mathcal{WF}(M)$, we define its variation as the twisted complex

$$\mathcal{V}(L) := \left(L[1] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{O}_L^\rho(\Gamma)} \rho(L) \right).$$

Remark 4.20. \mathcal{V} extends canonically to a functor from the wrapped Fukaya category to itself by sending morphisms between L_1 and L_2 to morphisms between second factors $\rho(L_1)$ and $\rho(L_2)$. But we will not use this fact in the rest of the paper.

The name "variation" will be justified in the upcoming section. In particular, we show in Theorem 5.10 below that $HF^*(\mathcal{V}(L), L')$ and $HF^*(L', \mathcal{V}(L))$ are cohomologically finite for all L' . Therefore, it is natural to expect a compact representative V_L for $\mathcal{V}(L)$ in a favorable situation. But first, let us write the following simple property of ρ .

Lemma 4.21. Let f_L be a primitive of $\lambda|_L$. Then $f_{\rho(L)} = f_L$ near ∂M .

Proof. Recall $f_{\rho(L)} = f_L \circ \rho^{-1} + F_{\rho^{-1}}$ where $F_{\rho^{-1}}$ as in (2.1). $F_{\rho^{-1}}$ is constant near the boundary. We can further assume that $F_{\rho^{-1}}$ is constantly zero near the boundary. When $n > 2$, observe that M has only one connected boundary component so that we can add a suitable constant on $F_{\rho^{-1}}$ to make it zero. When $n = 2$, one may use the fact that ρ^{-1} is isotopic to the composition of Dehn twists through compactly supported isotopy and use an explicit form of F_τ for each of Dehn twists τ , e.g., as in [Sei08]. \square

With this property in mind, the construction of V_L is presented in Section 4.7. We provide an elementary proof of this fact for surfaces.

Proposition 4.22. *Suppose $\dim M = 2$ and $L \cap \rho(L)$ is empty except near the boundary. Then there is a compact representative V_L for $\mathcal{V}(L)$.*

Proof. L and $\rho(L)$ are topologically intervals with coordinate x . One can draw V_L in the following way; choose L' as a small perturbation of L inside its normal neighborhood given by a graph of df such that $f'(x)$ is increasing from -1 to 1 . Orient L' in an opposite direction to L . Set $\rho(L)' = \rho(L')$ and orient it as $\rho(L)$ does. One can check that L' meets L only once in the interior and L' and does not meet $\rho(L)$, and the same is true for $\rho(L)'$. In this situation, we choose the exact V_L as a union of L' and $\rho(L)'$ connected along small arcs connecting their respective boundaries. Then V_L meets L and $\rho(L)$ once and thrice. We name them as

$$V_L \cap L = \{1_L\}, \quad V_L \cap \rho(L) = \{1_{\rho(L)}, p, q\}.$$




FIGURE 9. Intersection points in cylinder case

Here, $1_{\rho(L)}$ corresponds to the unique intersection of $\rho(L)'$ and $\rho(L)$. p and q are intersection points coming from the negative and positive boundaries of $\rho(L)'$. There are only four holomorphic polygons bounded by $L, \rho(L)$ and V_L whose corners are either their intersections or Γ_L . See Figure 9. From this information, we can calculate the Floer complex as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} CW^*(V_L, \mathcal{V}(L)) &= \mathbb{C}\langle 1_L \rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle 1_{\rho(L)}, p, q \rangle, \\ HW^*(V_L, \mathcal{V}(L)) &= \mathbb{C}\langle [1_{\rho(L)} + 1_L], [p + q] \rangle, \\ \deg(1_L) = \deg(1_{\rho(L)}) &= 0, \quad \deg(p) = \deg(q) = 1, \\ m_1(1_{\rho(L)}) &= p - q, \quad m_2(\Gamma_L, 1_L) = q - p. \end{aligned}$$

Here, two strips including $1_{\rho(L)}$ in Figure 9 calculates m_1 , and other two triangles calculates $m_2(\Gamma, -)$. Similarly, we can calculate $CW^*(\mathcal{V}(L), V_L)$ by taking transpose:

$$\begin{aligned} CW^*(\mathcal{V}(L), V_L) &= \mathbb{C}\langle 1_{\rho(L)}^\vee, p^\vee, q^\vee \rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle 1_L^\vee \rangle, \\ HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L), V_L) &= \mathbb{C}\langle [1_{\rho(L)}^\vee + 1_L^\vee], [p^\vee + q^\vee] \rangle, \\ \deg(1_L^\vee) = \deg(1_{\rho(L)}^\vee) &= 1, \quad \deg(p^\vee) = \deg(q^\vee) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the same polygons can be used to show that $[1_{\rho(L)} + 1_L] : V_L \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(L)$ and $[p^\vee + q^\vee] : \mathcal{V}(L) \rightarrow V_L$ gives the isomorphism between them, i.e., their m_2 -product is the identity. \square

4.7. Construction of a compact Lagrangian V_L . Recall an exact Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset M$ is said to have a cylindrical end if, near its boundary, it coincides with $\{\phi_Z^t(\partial L) \mid a \leq t \leq 0\}$ for some $-\infty < a < 0$ where $\partial L = L \cap \partial M$. This implies that, for any primitive f_L of $\lambda|_L$, f_L is constant near ∂L .

Let L be an exact Lagrangian of M with a cylindrical end $\partial L \subset \partial M$. Consider $\phi_H^\epsilon(L)$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small constant and H is a Hamiltonian on M satisfying $H = r + b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$ near ∂M so that ϕ_H^t coincides with the time t flow of the Reeb vector field near ∂M . For any $y \in \partial M$ and a Reeb flow $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \partial M$ given by $\gamma(t) = \phi_R^t(y)$ on ∂M , we have $\int_0^t \gamma^* \theta = t\epsilon$. So it is natural to define a primitive $f_{\phi_H^\epsilon(L)}$ for $\phi_H^\epsilon(L)$ in such a way that

$$f_{\phi_H^\epsilon(L)}(r, y) = f_L(r, \phi_R^{-\epsilon}(y)) + \epsilon$$

for all r near 1 and all $y \in \phi_H^\epsilon(\partial L)$.

4.7.1. Flow surgery. The flow surgery [MW18] is a Lagrangian surgery along a clean intersection which we now recall. Suppose two Lagrangian L_1 and L_2 cleanly intersect along a submanifold D . Weinstein theorem tells us that there is a Darboux neighborhood L_1 along D such that

- L_1 is a zero-section of T^*L_1 and
- L_2 is a conormal bundle N_{D/L_1}^* .

Next, choose a smooth curve $a(s) + ib(s) \subset \mathbb{C}$ whose image is a red curve in Figure 10 and define a function v_λ by the equation

$$\frac{dv_\eta}{dr} = a \circ b^{-1}(x).$$

Define a *flow handle* of D with respect to a v_λ to be

$$H_{v_\eta}^D = \left\{ \phi_{v_\eta(\|p\|)}^1(p) \in T^*L_1 : p \in N_{D/L_1}^* \setminus D, \|p\| < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.23. *If λ is smaller than the injective radius of D , then $\partial H_{v_\eta}^D$ divides L_1 into exactly two components. In particular, one can obtain a Lagrangian*

$$L_1 \#_D^{v_\eta} L_2 := (L_1 \setminus (U \cap L_1)) \cup (L_2 \setminus (U \cap L_2)) \cup H_{v_\eta}^D$$

obtained by replacing a small Darboux neighborhood U of D with the flow handle. We call $L_1 \#_D^{v_\eta} L_2$ a flow surgery of L_1 and L_2 along D .

The isotopy class of the flow surgery does not depend on the particular choice of v_η as long as ϵ_1 is sufficiently small and η is smaller than the injective radius of D . We will omit v_η and simply write $L_1 \#_D L_2$.

4.7.2. Flow surgery along ∂L . Let L_1 and L_2 be exact Lagrangians of M with a cylindrical end such that $\partial L_1 = \partial L_2 \subset \partial M$. Choose coordinates x_i for the Legendrian $\partial L \subset \partial M$ around which the contact form is presented as a standard form $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i dx_i + dz$. Then $\lambda = r\alpha$ can be viewed as a standard presentation of the Liouville form in a Weinstein neighborhood of L_1 near ∂M with Darboux coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, r, r y_1, \dots, r y_{n-1}, z$.

Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2\delta]$ be a strictly increasing smooth function which satisfies

- $f(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & (0 \leq r \leq \epsilon) \\ 2\delta & (1 - \epsilon \leq r \leq 1), \end{cases}$
- $f(1 - r) = 2\delta - f(r)$ and $f'(1/2) = 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Take Hamiltonian perturbations \tilde{L}_i of L_i inside the neighborhood above in the following way.

$$\tilde{L}_1 = \{y_i = 0, z = f(r)\}, \quad \tilde{L}_2 = \{y_i = 0, z = f(1 - r)\}, \quad \tilde{L}_1 \cap \tilde{L}_2 = \{r = 1/2, z = \delta\} \simeq \partial L.$$

This model is equal to the local model for a clean intersection (after $\frac{\pi}{4}$ rotation of $r - z$ plane). We can apply flow surgery along ∂L .

We go back to the case we are interested in and prove our expectations under one more condition. The additional algebraic condition below is expected to be held for a broad class of Lagrangians. See [Gan21].

Theorem 4.24. *Assume that*

- (1) ∂L is connected,
- (2) $L \cap \rho(L)$ is empty away from the boundary, and
- (3) $HW^*(L, L)$ or $HW^*(L, \rho(L))$ is infinite dimensional.

Then the compact component $\tilde{L} \#_{\partial L} \widetilde{\rho(L)}$, denoted as V_L , is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(L)$ inside $\mathcal{WF}(M)$.

Proof. The proof is via the simple Lagrangian cobordism $K \subset M \times \mathbb{C}$ associated with $\tilde{L}, \widetilde{\rho(L)}$ and $\tilde{L} \#_{\partial L} \widetilde{\rho(L)}$. We briefly recall its construction and refer to [BC13] for a more detailed discussion, whose extension to Liouville domains can be found in [Bos22]. First, Let K' be a flow surgery of $\tilde{L} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{\rho(L)} \times i\mathbb{R}$ along $\partial L \times \{0\}$. Then $K'' := K' \cap \pi^{-1}(\{x \leq 0, y \geq 0\})$ is a Lagrangian such that $K''|_{x \ll 0, y=0} = \tilde{L}$, $K''|_{x=0, y \gg 0} = \widetilde{\rho(L)}$ and $K''|_{x=y=0} = \tilde{L} \#_{\partial L} \widetilde{\rho(L)}$. We can complete K'' into a Lagrangian with cylindrical ends K by extending $K''|_{x=y=0}$ in a radial direction. See Figure 10.

By [BC13, Bos22], the Lagrangian cobordism K provides an exact triangle

$$\tilde{L} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_K} \widetilde{\rho(L)} \longrightarrow \tilde{L} \#_{\partial L} \widetilde{\rho(L)} \longrightarrow L[1]$$

in $\mathcal{WF}(M)$. The closed morphism \mathcal{F}_K is determined in the following way; consider a product Lagrangian $\tilde{L} \times l$ in $M \times \mathbb{C}$, where l is an exact Lagrangian curve in $(\mathbb{C}, \frac{1}{2}(xdy - ydx))$ depicted as the blue curve in Figure 10 below. We obtain a closed morphism between Floer complexes and a distinguished element

$$\mathcal{F}(K) : CW^*(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}) \rightarrow CW^*(\tilde{L}, \widetilde{\rho(L)}), \quad \mathcal{F}_K := \mathcal{F}(K)(1_{\tilde{L}})$$

by counting pseudo-holomorphic sections from p to q over the shaded gray region in Figure 10 below. Here, $1_{\tilde{L}}$ is a cocycle for the unit of $HW^*(\tilde{L}, \tilde{L})$.




FIGURE 10. (Left) Definition of v_η . (Right) Cobordism K and a computation of \mathcal{F}_K .

The proposition follows from the following additional approximation of action values (see [BCS18] for a related analysis). Suppose ξ is a possible contribution of \mathcal{F}_K which is given by an output of a perturbed pseudo-holomorphic section u . Denote the topological energy of u by $E_{top}(u)$. It satisfies $0 \leq E_{top}(u) = \mathcal{A}(\xi) - \mathcal{A}(1_{\tilde{L}})$. Therefore the following inequality holds.

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 \left(-\xi^* \lambda + H_{\tilde{L} \times l, K}(\xi(t)) dt \right) + (f_{\tilde{L} \times l}(\xi(1)) - f_K(\xi(0))) - \mathcal{A}(1_{\tilde{L}}).$$

Suppose that ξ is a non-constant Hamiltonian chord. By the construction of \tilde{L} and $\widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})$, it is contained in $\{r > r_0\}$ for some fixed $r_0 > 1$. We estimate each of the three terms in the inequality as follows:

- (1) The first integral of the right-hand side is dominated by $-r_0^2$ since $H_{\tilde{L} \times l, K} = H_{\tilde{L}, \widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})}$ is a C^2 -small perturbation of r^2 .
- (2) On $\{r > r_0\}$, the primitives of \tilde{L} and $\widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})$ are constants given by $f_{\tilde{L}} = f_L + \delta$ and $f_{\widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})} = f_L$ by Lemma 4.21. We may assume that $f_{\tilde{L} \times l} = f_{\tilde{L}} + g_l$ where g_l is a primitive of l so that $f_K(p) = f_{\tilde{L}}(p) = f_{\tilde{L} \times l}(p)$. The primitive of l will start changing as l becomes not radial. As in Figure 10, l is drawn to be close to the boundary of the projection image of K so that their x -coordinates differ by less than ϵ_2 . Notice that the boundary of the image of K in the 2nd quadrant is precisely given by the graph of the function $v_\lambda(-r)$. By Stokes' theorem, we have

$$0 \geq g_l(q) - g_l(p) = \frac{1}{2} \int l^*(x dy - y dx) \geq -(\epsilon_1 \eta + \epsilon_2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$0 \leq f_{\tilde{L} \times l}(\xi(1)) - f_K(\xi(0)) = f_{\tilde{L}}(\xi(1)) - f_{\widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})}(\xi(0)) - (g_l(q) - g_l(p)) \leq (\epsilon_1 \eta + \epsilon_2 + \delta).$$

- (3) The action value of $1_{\tilde{L}}$ depends on the specific Floer data $H_{\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}}$. We choose its C^2 -norm to be bounded by ϵ_3 inside a compact part of M so that

$$0 \leq \mathcal{A}(1_{\tilde{L}}) \leq 2 \|H\|_{\infty, M^{cpt}} + \|H\|_{1, M^{cpt}} \leq 3\epsilon_3.$$

From these approximations, we conclude that $0 \leq -r_0^2 + \epsilon_1 \eta + \epsilon_2 + 3\epsilon_3 + \delta$ is positive for arbitrary ϵ_i , δ , and η , which is a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that ξ is an element from the intersection appearing in the compact part of M .

We can apply the Mose-Bott perturbation as before along the intersection $\tilde{L} \cap \widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L}) \simeq \partial L$ so that ξ corresponds to the Morse critical point of ∂L . Recall that \tilde{L} , $\widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})$ and $\tilde{L} \#_{\partial L} \widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})$ are all graded exact. This condition forces the degree of \mathcal{F}_K to be zero ([MW18]). Therefore, the only candidate for ξ is the fundamental class of $\tilde{L} \cap \widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})$ which corresponds exactly to Γ_L . As a result, we get $\mathcal{F}_K = c \cdot \Gamma_L$ for some c .

The proof is completed if c is not zero because the quasi-isomorphism class of $\text{Cone}(c \cdot \Gamma_L)$ does not depend on a non-zero value of c . For this, observe that $\tilde{L}[1] \oplus \widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})$ is not a proper object by assumption (2). Meanwhile, $\tilde{L} \#_{\partial L} \widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})$ has two components. One is a compact connected V_L , and another is quasi-isomorphic to zero as it is contained in the collar neighborhood of ∂M . Therefore, $\tilde{L} \#_{\partial L} \widehat{\rho}(\tilde{L})$ is a proper object and c must be non-zero. \square

5. MONODROMY LAGRANGIAN FLOER COHOMOLOGY HF_ρ^*

In this section, we define the monodromy Lagrangian Floer cohomology $HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)$ between L_1 and L_2 and describe its basic properties.

5.1. **Seifert pairing.** We recall another classical definition that motivates our constructions.

Definition 5.1. *The Seifert paring of an isolated singularity f is a bilinear pairing*

$$S: H_{n-1}(M) \otimes H_{n-1}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad v_1 \otimes v_2 \rightarrow l(v_1, \rho_\epsilon(v_2))$$

where ρ_ϵ is a small parallel transport in the counter-clockwise direction and l is a linking number of two disjoint $(n-1)$ cycles inside S^{2n-1} .

The Seifert pairing is non-degenerate and ρ -invariant but not symmetric in general due to ρ_ϵ in the formula. We collect some basic properties of S we are interested in.

Theorem 5.2 (Arnold, Gussein-Zade, Varchenko [AGZV12]). *For $v_1, v_2 \in H_{n-1}(M)$,*

- (1) $S(v_1, v_2) = \text{var}^{-1}(v_1) \bullet v_2$,
- (2) $\text{var}^{-1}(v_1) \bullet v_2 + v_1 \bullet \rho(\text{var}^{-1}(v_2)) = 0$, and
- (3) $v_1 \bullet v_2 = -S(v_1, v_2) + (-1)^n S(v_2, v_1)$.

The property (1) of Theorem 5.2 provides a computation of S using $H_{n-1}(M, \partial M)$ instead of $H_{n-1}(M)$. Let us write $l_i = \text{var}^{-1}(v_i)$, then

$$(5.1) \quad S(v_1, v_2) = l_1 \bullet v_2 = l_1 \bullet \rho_*(l_2) - l_1 \bullet l_2.$$

This particular equation (5.1) is the one we would like to categorify. Then we will find a corresponding identity for the formula (2) and (3) of Theorem 5.2. We upgrade homology cycles l_i to Lagrangians L_i , intersection number \bullet to a wrapped Floer cohomology $HW^*(-, -)$. The most difficult part would be realizing the subtraction in the equation, which we will explain in the next section.

Remark 5.3. *Another way to compute the linking number is by taking two n -chains A_1, A_2 in B^{2n} which bound v_1 and v_2 respectively, and then*

$$S(v_1, v_2) = \rho_\epsilon(A_2) \bullet A_1 = (-1)^n A_1 \bullet \rho_\epsilon(A_2).$$

Fukaya-Seidel category can be viewed as a symplectic analogue of this observation.

5.2. **Definition of HF_ρ^* .** We describe another action of $SH^*(\rho^{-1})$ on Lagrangian Floer cohomologies.

Let S be a decorated disc with

- one interior marked point $0 \in D^2$, equipped with positive cylindrical end η^+ ,
- one boundary marked point $1 \in D^2$ equipped with positive strip-like end ϵ^+ ,
- one boundary marked point $-1 \in \partial D^2$ equipped with negative strip-like end ϵ^- , and
- a branch cut $(0, -i] \subset D^2$.

We also choose a domain-dependent Hamiltonian function denoted by H_S which satisfies

$$(\epsilon^+)^* H_S = H_{\rho(L_1), L_2},$$

$$(\epsilon^-)^* H_S = H_{L_1, L_2},$$

$$(\eta^+)^* H_S = H.$$

Consider the following moduli space of pseudo-holomorphic curves

$$\mathcal{M}_\cap(\gamma_+, \xi_+, \xi_-; H_S, J_S) := \left\{ u: S \rightarrow M \left| \begin{array}{l} \rho \circ u(e^{s+\frac{3\pi i}{2}}) = u(e^{s-\frac{\pi i}{2}}), \quad (du - X_{H_S}(u) \otimes \alpha)_{J_S}^{0,1} = 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} (\epsilon^\pm)^* u = \xi_\pm(t), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\eta^+)^* u = \gamma_+(t), \\ u(e^{\pi i t}) \in L_2, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad u(e^{\pi i(1+t)}) \in \mathcal{L}_{1,t}, \quad t \in (0, 1) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}_{1,t}$ is L_1 for $t \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\rho(L_1)$ for $t \in (1/2, 1)$ with branch cut at $t = 1/2$; see (4.1).

Definition 5.4. Let $\gamma_+ \in CF^*(\rho^{-1}; H, J)$ be a cochain. Its twisted quantum cap action

$$\cap\gamma_+ : CW^*(\rho(L_1), L_2; H_{\rho(L_1), L_2}, J_{\rho(L_1), L_2}) \rightarrow CW^*(L_1, L_2; H_{L_1, L_2}, J_{L_1, L_2})$$

is defined as

$$\xi_+ \cap \gamma_+ := \sum_{\xi_- \in \mathcal{P}(L_1, L_2; H_{L_1, L_2})} \# \mathcal{M}_{\cap}(\gamma_+, \xi_+, \xi_-; H_S, J_S) \cdot \xi_-.$$

If γ_+ is a cocycle, then a routine calculation reveals that $\cap\gamma_+$ is a cochain map of $\deg\gamma_+$. We apply this fact to the cocycle Γ .

Definition 5.5. Define $CF_{\rho}^*(L_1, L_2)$ as the total complex of

$$CF_{\rho}^*(L_1, L_2) := \left(CW^*(\rho(L_1), L_2, J_{\rho(L_1), L_2}, H_{\rho(L_1), L_2}) [1] \xrightarrow{\cap\Gamma} CW^*(L_1, L_2, J_{L_1, L_2}, H_{L_1, L_2}) \right).$$

We denote its cohomology by $HF_{\rho}^*(L_1, L_2)$ and call it monodromy Lagrangian Floer cohomology.

5.3. Invariance. We check that the cohomology group HF_{ρ}^* has two Hamiltonian invariance properties. They can be easily obtained from the invariances of usual Floer theories with a little more care. The first one ensures that it is independent of Hamiltonian perturbation data.

Proposition 5.6. The cohomology group HF_{ρ}^* is independent of the choice of Floer datum.

Proof. The statement amounts to showing that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CW^*(\rho(L_1), L_2; H_{\rho(L_1), L_2}^1) & \xrightarrow{\cap\Gamma} & CW^*(L_1, L_2; H_{L_1, L_2}^1) \\ \downarrow c_{\rho(L_1), L_2} & & \downarrow c_{L_1, L_2} \\ CW^*(\rho(L_1), L_2; H_{\rho(L_1), L_2}^2) & \xrightarrow{\cap c_{1,2}(\Gamma)} & CW^*(L_1, L_2; H_{L_1, L_2}^2) \end{array}$$

Here, $c_{1,2}$ denotes the standard continuation map for Hamiltonian Floer complexes. c_{L_1, L_2} and $c_{\rho(L_1), L_2}$ denote corresponding continuation maps of Lagrangian Floer complexes which are quasi-isomorphisms.

We first build a family of complex structures on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ by constructing a diffeomorphism $\Psi_{R \in [1, \infty)} : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ as in Subsection 4.4.1 so that its induced complex structure degenerates into a desired configuration depicted in the Figure 11 below.




FIGURE 11. Family of complex structures

In detail, choose disjoint open subsets inside $(-\infty, -1) \times [0, 1]$;

$$U_1 = \left(-\infty, -\frac{2R}{R-1} - 3 \right) \times [0, 1], \quad V_1 = \left(-\frac{2R}{R-1} - 2, -\frac{R}{R-1} - 2 \right) \times [0, 1], \quad W_1 = (-2, -1) \times [0, 1].$$

Then, Ψ_R is given by

- $\Psi_R : U_1 \rightarrow (-\infty, -5) \times [0, 1]$ is a translation; $(s, t) \mapsto (s + \frac{2}{R-1}, t)$,
- $\Psi_R : V_1 \rightarrow (-4, -3) \times [0, 1]$ is a $\frac{R}{R-1}$ scale on the first factor $(s, t) \mapsto (\frac{R}{R-1}(s+2) - 2, t)$,
- $\Psi_R : W_1 \rightarrow (-2, -1)$ is the identity,
- $\Psi_R|_{(-\infty, -1) \times [0, 1] \setminus (U_1 \cup V_1 \cup W_1)}$ is a scale on the first factor interpolating $\Psi_R|_{U_1}$, $\Psi_R|_{V_1}$, and $\Psi_R|_{W_1}$, and
- Ψ_R converges to the identity on $(-\infty, -1) \times [0, 1]$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Similary, choose disjoint open subsets $U_2 = (2R + 3, \infty) \times [0, 1]$, $V_2 = (2 + R, 2 + 2R) \times [0, 1]$, and $W_2 = (1, 2) \times [0, 1]$. On these open sets,

- $\Psi_R : U_2 \rightarrow (5, \infty) \times [0, 1]$ is a translation; $(s, t) \mapsto (s + 2 - 2R, t)$,
- $\Psi_R : V_2 \rightarrow (3, 4) \times [0, 1]$ is an R^{-1} -scale on the first factor $(s, t) \mapsto (R^{-1}(s - 2) + 2, t)$,
- $\Psi_R : W_2 \rightarrow (1, 2) \times [0, 1]$ is the identity,
- $\Psi_R|_{(1, \infty) \times [0, 1] \setminus (U_2 \cup V_2 \cup W_2)}$ is a scale on the first factor interpolating $\Psi_R|_{U_2}$, $\Psi_R|_{V_2}$, and $\Psi_R|_{W_2}$, and
- Ψ_R converges to an identity on $(1, \infty) \times [0, 1]$ as $R \rightarrow 1$.

Thirdly, introduce a radial coordinate $= e^{-(r+i\theta)}$ for a disc neighborhood $D^* := \{(s, t - \frac{1}{2}) \mid |s| < \frac{1}{3}\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. Choose disjoint open subsets of D^* (with respect to radial coordinate)

$$U_3 = (\log(2R + 5), \infty) \times [0, 2\pi), \quad V_3 = (\log(R + 4), \log(2R + 4)) \times [0, 2\pi), \quad W_3 = (\log 3, \log 4) \times [0, 2\pi)$$

and set Ψ_R with respect to the radial coordinate as

- $\Psi_R : U_3 \rightarrow (\log 7, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$ is a translation; $(r, \theta) \mapsto (r - \log(2R + 5) + \log 7, \theta)$,
- $\Psi_R : V_3 \rightarrow (\log 5, \log 6) \times [0, 2\pi)$ is given by $(r, \theta) \mapsto (\log(R^{-1}(e^r - 4) + 4), \theta)$,
- $\Psi_R : W_3 \rightarrow (\log 3, \log 4) \times [0, 2\pi)$ is the identity,
- $\Psi_R|_{(\log 3, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi) \setminus (U_3 \cup V_3 \cup W_3)}$ interpolates between $\Psi_R|_{U_3}$, $\Psi_R|_{V_3}$, and $\Psi_R|_{W_3}$, and
- Ψ_R converges to the identity on $(\log 3, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$ as $R \rightarrow 1$.

Finally, set Ψ_R to be the identity for $\forall R$ on $[-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \setminus D^*$. Let j_R be a complex structure on a punctured strip induced by Ψ_R . Observe that j_R is designed to degenerate along $\Psi_R(V_1)$ into a union of punctured strip and the other strip when $R \rightarrow 1$, while it breaks simultaneously at $\Psi_R(V_2)$ and $\Psi_R(V_3)$ into the union of a punctured strip, a cylinder and the other strip as $R \rightarrow \infty$. We put

- a negative strip-like end ϵ^- around $s = -\infty$ so that $\epsilon^-((-\infty, 0) \times [0, 1]) \subset U_1$,
- a positive strip-like end ϵ^+ around $s = \infty$ so that $\epsilon^+((0, \infty) \times [0, 1]) \subset U_2$,
- a positive cylindrical end η^+ around $(0, 1/2)$ so that $\eta^+((0, \infty) \times S^1) \subset U_3$,

and a domain-dependent Hamiltonian $H_{S,R}$ so that

$$H_{S,R} = \begin{cases} H_{\rho^{(L_1), L_2}}^1 & (7 < s), \\ H_{\rho^{(L_1), L_2}}^2 & (3 < s < 6, \quad 1 \leq r \ll 2), \\ H_{\rho^{(L_1), L_2}}^1 & (3 < s < 6, \quad 2 \ll r), \\ H_{L_1, L_2}^2 & (-6 < s < -3, \quad 1 \leq R \ll 2), \\ H_{L_1, L_2}^1 & (-6 < s < -4, \quad 2 \ll R), \\ H_{L_1, L_2}^2 & (s < -7), \\ H_{L_1, L_2}^1 & (\log 8 < r), \\ H^1 & (\log 4 < r < \log 7, \quad 1 \leq R \ll 2), \\ H^2 & (\log 4 < r < \log 7, \quad 2 \ll R). \end{cases}$$

As $R \rightarrow 1$, $H_{S,R}$ becomes a Hamiltonian perturbation for Floer continuation map from H_{L_1, L_2}^1 to H_{L_1, L_2}^2 on the strip break off in the course of the degeneration. On the other extreme, it is designed to become a perturbation for the Floer continuation map from $H_{\rho^{(L_1), L_2}}^1$ to $H_{\rho^{(L_1), L_2}}^2$ on the strip component of the $R \rightarrow \infty$ degeneration. Also, it becomes a perturbation for the continuation map from H^1 to H^2 on a cylinder component of the same degeneration.

In conclusion, the moduli space of perturbed holomorphic curves $u : (S, j_R) \rightarrow M$ provides a homotopy between $c_{L_1, L_2} \circ \cap \Gamma$ and $(\cap c(\Gamma)) \circ c_{\rho(L_1), L_2}$. \square

The second invariance property ensures that it is well-defined for the Hamiltonian isotopy class of ρ .

Proposition 5.7. *Let ρ_t be a compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopy between $\rho = \rho_0$ and $\tau = \rho_1$. Then the following diagram is homotopy commutative;*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CW^* \left(\rho(L_1), L_2; H_{\rho(L_1), L_2}^1 \right) & \xrightarrow{\cap \Gamma} & CW^* \left(L_1, L_2; H_{L_1, L_2}^1 \right) \\ \downarrow c_{\rho_t(L_1), L_2} & & \downarrow c_{L_1, L_2} \\ CW^* \left(\tau(L_1), L_2; H_{\tau(L_1), L_2}^2 \right) & \xrightarrow{\cap c_{\rho_t(\Gamma)}} & CW^* \left(L_1, L_2; H_{L_1, L_2}^2 \right) \end{array}.$$

Here, the vertical homomorphisms are continuation quasi-isomorphisms of Lagrangian Floer complexes, and c_{ρ_t} is a continuation quasi-isomorphism of Hamiltonian Floer complexes in Proposition 2.1. In particular, we have a canonical isomorphism of cohomologies

$$HF_{\rho}^*(L_1, L_2) \simeq HF_{\tau}^*(L_1, L_2).$$

Proof. The construction is almost the same as in Proposition 5.6, except we further use the identification $\rho_{l(t, R)}$ along the branch cut $\{0\} \times [0, 1/2] \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ for each R :

$$p(r) := \begin{cases} 0 & (1 \leq r \leq 2), \\ \text{increasing} & (2 \leq r \leq 3), \\ 1 & (3 \leq r), \end{cases} \quad l(t, R) := \begin{cases} 0 & (1/3 \ll t \leq 1/2), \\ \text{increasing} & (1/6 < t < 1/3), \\ p(R) & (0 \leq t \ll 1/6). \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\rho_{l(t, R)}$ is constantly $\rho_0 = \rho$ when $R < 2$, while it interpolates ρ and τ when $R > 3$; see Figure 12. We left details to the reader. \square




FIGURE 12

Remark 5.8. *Using the same argument, one can further prove the same commutative diagram when ρ_t is an isotopy given by a good perturbation.*

5.4. Proof of the main theorems. We provide proofs of Theorem 1.3, 1.6 and 1.8. Let us start with an easy relation between cohomologies HF_{ρ}^* and the variation operator \mathcal{V} .

Proposition 5.9. *We have canonical isomorphisms*

$$HF_{\rho}^*(L_1, L_2) \cong HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_1)[-1], L_2) \cong HW^*(\rho(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)).$$

Proof. We construct a canonical homotopy K between $CF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)$ and the following twisted complex of cochain complexes

$$CW^*(\rho(L_1), L_2)[1] \xrightarrow{m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L_1}^\rho(\Gamma), -)} CW^*(L_1, L_2).$$

Let $\{S_r\}_{r \in (0,1)}$ be a 1-parameter family of decorated discs with

- one interior marked point $-ri \in D^2$, equipped with positive cylindrical end η^+ ,
- one boundary marked point $1 \in \partial D^2$ equipped with positive strip-like end ϵ^+ ,
- one boundary marked point $-1 \in \partial D^2$ equipped with negative strip-like end ϵ^- , and
- a branch cut $(-ri, -i] \subset D^2$.

We also choose a domain-dependent almost complex structure J_{S_r} , Hamiltonian function H_{S_r} , and sub-closed one form α as before. Consider

$$\mathcal{M}_K(\Gamma, \xi_-, \xi_+; H_{S_r}, J_{S_r}) := \left\{ u: S_r \rightarrow M \left| \begin{array}{l} (du - X_{H_{S_r}}(u) \otimes \alpha)_{J_{S_r}}^{0,1} = 0, \\ \rho \circ u(e^{s+\frac{3\pi i}{2}}) = u(e^{s-\frac{\pi i}{2}}), \quad \log r < s < 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} (\epsilon^\pm)^* u = \xi_\pm, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\eta^+)^* u = \Gamma, \\ u(e^{\pi i t}) \in \mathcal{L}_{1,t}, t \in (0, 1), \quad u(e^{\pi i t}) \in L_2, t \in (1, 2) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

with a Lagrangian boundary condition as before. As $r \rightarrow 1$, the domain S_r degenerates into two discs which provide an $m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L_1}^\rho(\Gamma), -)$. Therefore, a rigid counting of this moduli space provides an operator K which satisfies

$$d \circ K + K \circ d = (-\cap \Gamma) - m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L_1}^\rho(\Gamma), -).$$

This proves the first isomorphism. The second isomorphism can be proven similarly after we move the branch cut to the opposite side of the disc. \square

The next proposition establishes a relation between HF_ρ^* and the Seifert pairing.

Theorem 5.10. $HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)$ is a finite-dimensional graded vector space whose Euler characteristic is

$$\chi(HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)) = (-1)^n S(v_2, v_1),$$

where S is the Seifert pairing (Definition 5.1) and v_i are the homology classes of $\mathcal{V}(L_i)$.

Proof. We use $\check{\rho}$ in the proof so that the action of Γ is clear. Consider the following diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & CW_{\geq 0}^*(\check{\rho}(L_1), L_2) & \longrightarrow & CW^*(\check{\rho}(L_1), L_2) & \longrightarrow & CW_{< 0}^*(\check{\rho}(L_1), L_2) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cap \Gamma & & \downarrow \cap \Gamma & & \downarrow \cap \Gamma \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & CW_{\geq 0}^*(L_1, L_2) & \longrightarrow & CW^*(L_1, L_2) & \longrightarrow & CW_{< 0}^*(L_1, L_2) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The horizontal short exact sequences are the decompositions of Floer complexes by the energy filtration; $CW_{\geq 0}^*$ denotes a subcomplex generated by generators whose action value is nonnegative, i.e., interior intersection points. The vertical maps are the twisted quantum cap action $\cap \Gamma$ and its induced maps. They make sense because the action value of Γ can be made arbitrarily small.

Claim: Right vertical map is an isomorphism. Note that the claim is true for an obvious reason when one of the L_i is closed. We may assume that both L_i have cylindrical ends. The claim follows if one shows that the right vertical map has the identity diagonal because the map is always lower-triangular with respect to the energy filtration. To be more specific about what it means, note that $CW_{< 0}^*(\check{\rho}(L_1), L_2)$ is canonically identified with $CW_{< 0}^*(\phi_b^1(L_1), L_2)$, where b is the Hamiltonian of slope $-\epsilon$ appeared in Proposition

3.1. We see that for each $\xi_+ \in CW^*(\check{\rho}(L_1), L_2)$ which is not an interior intersection, there is a canonical element $\xi_- = \phi_{-b}^1 \circ \xi \in CW^*(L_1, L_2)$. We claim that the following moduli space of curves

$$\mathcal{M}_K(\Gamma, \xi_-, \xi_+; U) := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_K(\Gamma, \xi_-, \xi_+) \mid u(S) \subset U\}$$

contains a single element for sufficiently small ϵ . It means that the induced map $\cap\Gamma$ on the associated graded module of energy filtration is the identity.

The rest of the claim is similar to [Rit13, Theorem 6.8] and Theorem 4.7. By Theorem 4.7, the associated graded map of $\cap\Gamma$ is equal to $\cap\Phi([U])$. There is a homotopy between $\mathcal{M}_K(\Gamma, \xi_-, \xi_+; U)$ and the moduli space of pairs consisting of (u, l) , where u is a pseudo-holomorphic strip used to define the continuation map and l is a Morse flow emanating from the fundamental class $[U]$ attached to the center of the strip; see Figure 13. The second condition is vacuous. There is one and only one such flow for generic points because the fundamental class is represented by the minimum of Morse function f on U . Meanwhile, there is a unique Floer continuation strip from ξ_+ to ξ_- for small ϵ , which corresponds to the constant strip for $\epsilon = 0$.




FIGURE 13

The claim implies that the right vertical map is homotopic to a lower-triangular matrix whose diagonal is the identity. Therefore, the right vertical map is null-homotopic and $HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the left vertical arrow in the diagram which is of finite dimension.

Without loss of generality, one may assume L_1 and L_2 do not intersect along ∂M . Then the Euler number of $CW_{\geq 0}^*(L, K)$ provides a signed intersection number $[L] \bullet [K]$ between geometric cycles. By (5.1),

$$\chi \left(HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2) \right) = [L_1] \bullet [L_2] - [\rho(L_1)] \bullet [L_2] = -(v_1 \bullet \text{var}^{-1}(v_2)) = (-1)^n S(v_2, v_1).$$

□

Corollary 5.11. *The variation $\mathcal{V}(L)$ is a proper object for any Lagrangian L .*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5.9 combined with Theorem 5.10. □

Proposition 5.12. *The following hold.*

- (1) *There is a canonical duality $HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2) \simeq HF_\rho^{n-2-*}(L_2, \rho(L_1))^\vee$.*
- (2) *There is a long exact sequence of cohomologies*

$$\cdots \rightarrow HF_\rho^{n-1-*}(L_2, L_1)^\vee \rightarrow HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \rightarrow HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2) \rightarrow HF_\rho^{n-2-*}(L_2, L_1)^\vee \rightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. It is known that the wrapped Fukaya category of M carries a smooth $(n-1)$ -Calabi-Yau structure [Gan12]. $\mathcal{V}(L)$ is a proper object by Corollary 5.11. This implies that there is a canonical pairing

$$CF^*(\rho(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \otimes CF^{n-1-*}(\mathcal{V}(L_2), \rho(L_1)) \rightarrow CF^{n-1}(\mathcal{V}(L_2), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

which is non-degenerate on the cohomology level. Then the first claim follows from the chain of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} HF_\rho^*(L_1, L_2) &\simeq HW^*(\rho(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \\ &\simeq HW^{n-1-*}(\mathcal{V}(L_2), \rho(L_1))^\vee \\ &\simeq HW^{n-2-*}(\mathcal{V}(L_2)[-1], \rho(L_1))^\vee \\ &\simeq HF_\rho^{n-2-*}(L_2, \rho(L_1))^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

The second statement follows from the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow HW^{*-1}(L_1, \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \rightarrow HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \rightarrow HW^*(\rho(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \rightarrow HW^*(L_1, \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

induced by the canonical short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow CW^*(L_1[1], \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \xrightarrow{\text{inc.}} CW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} CW^*(\rho(L_1), \mathcal{V}(L_2)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then the second statement follows from the first statement combined with Proposition 5.9. \square

6. ADAPTED FAMILY AND EXCEPTIONAL COLLECTIONS

In this section, we deal with the categorification of another property of the Seifert form S ; it admits lower-triangular presentation.

Recall that given a Lefschetz fibration over \mathbb{C} , one can pick a base point on \mathbb{C} , choose vanishing paths to the critical values in \mathbb{C} , and find an ordered family of vanishing cycles, say (V_1, \dots, V_μ) in the Milnor fiber. Such a choice is called a *distinguished collection* of vanishing cycles which depends on the choice of vanishing paths. Then the Seifert form S has the following presentation:

$$(6.1) \quad S(V_i, V_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i < j), \\ 1 & (i = j). \end{cases}$$

A natural counterpart of this property is *directedness*. Indeed, Fukaya-Seidel category of a Lefschetz fibration is built upon this property. It can be described as a directed A_∞ -category whose objects are V_1, \dots, V_μ and morphisms between them are defined as

$$(6.2) \quad \text{hom}_{FS}^*(V_i, V_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i > j), \\ \mathbb{K} \cdot e_{V_i}[0] & (i = j), \\ CF^*(V_i, V_j) & (i < j). \end{cases}$$

Observe that directedness is imposed as a definition. Namely, the Poincaré duality $HF^*(V_i, V_j) \cong HF^{n-1-*}(V_j, V_i)^\vee$ has been broken on purpose. Seidel showed that its derived category does not depend on the choice of distinguished collection.

We will see that the story for HF_ρ^* goes the other way around. It is a property of a collection of Lagrangians on which HF_ρ^* vanishes in one direction.

Definition 6.1 (Exceptional collection). *An ordered family of Lagrangians (L_1, \dots, L_m) is said to be an exceptional collection with respect to HF_ρ^* if*

- (1) $HF_\rho^*(L_i, L_j) \cong 0$ for any $i > j$, and
- (2) $HF_\rho^*(L_i, L_i) \cong \mathbb{K} \cdot e_i[0]$ for any i .

The following calculations justify the name "exceptional".

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose (L_1, \dots, L_k) is an exceptional collection with respect to HF_ρ^* . For $i < j$, we have*

- (1) $HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_j), L_i) = HW^*(L_i, \mathcal{V}(L_j)) = 0$,
- (2) $HW^*(\rho(L_j), \mathcal{V}(L_i)) = HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_i), \rho(L_j)) = 0$, and
- (3) $HF_\rho^*(L_i, \rho(L_j)) = 0$.

Proof. The first and the second follow from Proposition 5.9. The third one follows from Proposition 5.12. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Suppose (L_1, \dots, L_k) is an exceptional collection with respect to HF_ρ^* . Then*

$$HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_i), \mathcal{V}(L_j)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}[0] \oplus \mathbb{K}[n-1] & (i = j), \\ HF_\rho^*(L_i, L_j) & (i < j), \\ HF_\rho^{n-1-*}(L_j, L_i)^\vee & (i > j) \end{cases}, \quad HF_\rho^*(L_i, L_j) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & (i > j), \\ \mathbb{K}[0] & (i = j), \\ HW^*(\mathcal{V}(L_i), \mathcal{V}(L_j)) & (i < j). \end{cases}$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Proposition 6.2 together with the long exact sequence in Proposition 5.12. \square

The Corollary 6.3 invokes the following question: suppose that we have a distinguished collection of vanishing cycles (V_1, \dots, V_μ) for a given singularity f . Is it possible to find an exceptional collection (K_1, \dots, K_μ) which maps to the corresponding vanishing cycles under the variation operator? We solve this problem topologically first. Let us denote the geometric signed intersection number $V_i \bullet V_j = a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i \neq j$.

Definition 6.4. *A collection of Lagrangians (K_1, \dots, K_μ) is said to be adapted to the distinguished collection of vanishing cycles (V_1, \dots, V_μ) if it satisfies the following intersection conditions.*

- (1) For any $j > i$, we require that $K_j \bullet V_i = -(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} a_{ji}$.
- (2) For any $j < i$, we require that $K_j \cap V_i = \emptyset$.
- (3) We require that K_j intersects V_j at a single point positively.

The sign in (1) is due to the Picard Lefschetz formula.

Remark 6.5. *We may relax the condition (2),(3) by $K_j \bullet V_i = 0$ and $K_j \bullet V_j = 1$ respectively, if we are only interested in the topological considerations. But the current condition (2),(3) would imply that this gives an exceptional collection in Definition 6.1.*

Example 6.6. *Let us discuss the A_n singularity given by $f(x, y) = x^{n+1} + y^2$. For simplicity, we will use pictures of A_4 case but the idea generalizes easily to all A_n cases. The A_4 Milnor fiber is a genus two Riemann surface with one boundary component. There is a distinguished collection of vanishing cycles*

$$(V_1^-, V_2^-, V_1^0, V_2^0).$$


(These labelings will be introduced in the next section.) The Milnor fiber M_f and vanishing cycles are given in Figure 14 (A). Here we orient the vanishing cycles so that $V_i^0 \bullet V_j^- = +1$ when they intersect.

One can find easily the adapted family as in Figure 14 (B). Note that K_i^- only intersects V_i^- , but K_i^0 intersects not only V_i^0 but also neighboring vanishing cycles (due to condition (1) above).

Now, let us show that an adapted family solves the topological representability.

Proposition 6.7. *If (K_1, \dots, K_μ) is adapted to (V_1, \dots, V_μ) , then we have*

$$\text{var}([K_i]) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} [V_i], \quad \forall i = 1 \dots \mu$$


 FIGURE 14. A_4 Milnor fiber

Proof. The monodromy map ρ of f is given by the composition of Dehn twists

$$\rho = \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_\mu.$$

We have the following from the intersection condition (2):

$$\tau_{i+1} \cdots \tau_\mu(K_i) = K_i.$$

Thus,

$$\rho(K_i) = \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_i(K_i).$$

Here, $\tau_i(K_i)$ has the homology class of $[K_i] + (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} [V_i]$. Thus $\tau_{i-1}(\tau_i(K_i))$ should be

$$[K_i] + (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} [V_i] + (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (K_i \bullet V_{i-1} + (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} V_i \bullet V_{i-1}) [V_{i-1}] = [K_i] + (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} [V_i]$$

where the latter two terms cancel out from our intersection condition (1). Proceeding similarly, we have $\rho(K_i)$ has the class $[K_i] + (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} [V_i]$. Therefore we have

$$[\rho(K_i)] - [K_i] = [K_i] + (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} [V_i] - [K_i] = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} [V_i].$$

□

7. EXCEPTIONAL COLLECTIONS FOR SOME CURVE SINGULARITIES

In this section, we construct exceptional collections of Lagrangians for some curve singularities. Recall that the elegant construction of A'Campo, called *divide* [A'C75b] gives an explicit description of a distinguished collection of vanishing cycles and the Milnor fiber. For simple divides, called divides of depth 0, we will construct an exceptional collection of non-compact connected Lagrangians adapted to this distinguished collection of vanishing cycles. For divides of higher depth, we expect that the corresponding objects are twisted complexes built out of disjoint non-compact Lagrangians. We will illustrate it in the case of depth 1.

7.1. A'Campo divide. Let us recall briefly the notion of divide and $A\Gamma$ diagram. First, we introduce a topological definition of divide. See [A'C75b], [A'C99] for more details.

Definition 7.1. *Let C be the disjoint union of intervals $\{I_i \cong [0, 1]\}_{i \in I}$ and circles $\{S_j\}_{j \in J}$ for some finite index sets I, J and $B_\epsilon(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a disc of radius ϵ . Consider an immersion $\gamma : C \rightarrow B_\epsilon(0)$. We call any connected component of $B_\epsilon(0) \setminus \gamma(C)$ which does not intersect $\partial B_\epsilon(0)$ a region. Then, a divide is the image of an immersion γ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) $\gamma(C)$ is connected and all intersection points of $\gamma(C)$ are only transversal double points.
- (2) Each circle $\gamma(S_j)$ is disjoint from $\partial B_\epsilon(0)$ for all $j \in J$.
- (3) $\gamma(I_i) \cap \partial B_\epsilon(0) = \gamma(\partial I_i)$ and $\gamma(I_i)$ intersects $\partial B_\epsilon(0)$ transversely for all $i \in I$.
- (4) Each region is homeomorphic to an open disc.

Suppose that a plane curve singularity f is totally real, i.e., admits a factorization into the product of r -many real irreducible factors where each factor should be irreducible as a complex function [GZ74a], [A'C75b]. Let us consider a deformation of each factor whose product gives a real Morsification $\{f_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq t_0}$ of f for sufficiently small $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. An *A'Campo divide* \mathbb{D}_f of f is given by the inclusion

$$\mathbb{D}_f := f_{t_0}^{-1}(0) \cap B_\epsilon(0) \hookrightarrow B_\epsilon(0)$$

for positive real number ϵ such that the Milnor number μ of f , the number d of double points, and the number r of irreducible factors satisfy the equation $\mu = 2d - r + 1$.

The definition of \mathbb{D}_f depends on the choice of a Morsification and we fix one.

Definition 7.2 (A Γ diagram [GZ74b], [A'C75b]). *Let \mathbb{D}_f be an A'Campo divide of f . Let us call any region of given A'Campo divide \mathbb{D}_f a + or - region depending on the value of f_{t_0} . The A'Campo–Gusein–Zade (A Γ for short) diagram $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$ of \mathbb{D}_f is the following planar graph.*

- (1) *Vertices for Saddle: each double point in the divide gives a vertex of type 0, called a saddle.*
- (2) *Vertices for maximum and minimum: each bounded region of the divide gives a vertex of type + or - (depending on the value of f in this region). There is no vertex for an unbounded region.*
- (3) *Edges: if a + region and a - region meet along their boundaries, we assign an edge connecting the corresponding vertices. Connect a saddle vertex and a \pm vertex if the neighborhood of the double point (for the saddle) intersects the region for the \pm vertex. There is no edge between vertices of the same type.*

Let $n_-, n_0, n_+ \geq 0$ be the number of -, 0, and + type vertices, respectively. We may choose an ordering among vertices to obtain the following ordered set

$$(7.1) \quad \{v_1^-, \dots, v_{n_-}^-, v_1^0, \dots, v_{n_0}^0, v_1^+, \dots, v_{n_+}^+\}.$$

An ordering among the vertices of the same type is chosen arbitrarily, and an ordering between different types is given by their sign: - vertices < 0 vertices < + vertices.

See Figure 2 (A) for the E_6 example.

A Γ diagram is the Coxeter–Dynkin diagram of vanishing cycles of the singularity f .

Theorem 7.3 ([A'C99]). *Given a divide \mathbb{D}_f of f , one can choose a set of vanishing paths so that the corresponding vanishing cycles in the Milnor fiber of f satisfy the following properties:*

- (1) *The ordered set of vertices (7.1) of A Γ diagram $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$ corresponds to the distinguished collection of vanishing cycles of f for this set of vanishing paths.*
- (2) *Two vanishing cycles intersect at a point if and only if the corresponding vertices are connected by an edge. Moreover, vanishing cycles are oriented so that we have*

$$V_i^+ \bullet V_j^0 = V_j^0 \bullet V_k^- = V_k^- \bullet V_i^- = +1$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq n_+, 1 \leq j \leq n_0$, and $1 \leq k \leq n_-$ if they intersect.

We define the notion of depth.

Definition 7.4. *The depth of vertices of the A Γ diagram $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$ is defined as follows.*

- (1) *If a vertex v meets a non-compact region in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{D}_f$, v has depth 0.*
- (2) *Remove all vertices of depth 0 and all edges that are connected to them from $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$ and obtain a new diagram $A\Gamma_1(\mathbb{D}_f)$. A vertex of $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$ has depth 1 if v is contained in $A\Gamma_1(\mathbb{D}_f)$ as a depth 0 vertex.*
- (3) *Inductively, remove all vertices of depth $< k$ and adjacent edges from $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$, and obtain a new diagram $A\Gamma_k(\mathbb{D}_f)$. A vertex of $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$ has depth k if v is contained in $A\Gamma_k(\mathbb{D}_f)$ as a depth 0 vertex.*

The depth of the $A\Gamma$ diagram (and its A Campo divide) is given by the maximum among depths of all its vertices.

The depth of vanishing cycle V_i^\bullet is defined by the depth of corresponding vertex v_i^\bullet in $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$.




FIGURE 15. Depth of vertices

7.2. Exceptional collection of Lagrangians for depth 0: construction. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.15. First, note that Proposition 5.7 ensures that HF_ρ^* does not depend on the choice of the representative ρ in the compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopy class. We would like to use a representative, denoted as τ , described as a composition of explicit Dehn twists.

By the general construction of Fernandez de Bobadilla and Pełka (see Section 5 of [dBP22]) for the family of singularities with the constant Milnor number, there exists a symplectic isotopy between the Milnor fibers and the monodromies of f and f_ϵ . So we may identify them using this isotopy from now on, and regard the vanishing cycles from the divide as Lagrangians in the Milnor fiber of f .

Assume that $A\Gamma$ diagram $A\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$ has depth 0. We denote the corresponding distinguished collection of vanishing cycles as

$$(7.2) \quad \vec{V}_f = (V_1^-, \dots, V_{n_-}^-, V_1^0, \dots, V_{n_0}^0, \dots, V_1^+, \dots, V_{n_+}^+)$$

At first, we may assume that the vanishing cycles constructed in Theorem 7.3 are already exact Lagrangian. A priori, the construction is only topological and their exact Lagrangian representatives may violate the intersection condition. However, one can recover minimal intersection conditions as in Theorem 7.3 using compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopies (cf. [Kea15, Proposition 2.35]).

Now, the monodromy τ in the Milnor fiber of f is defined by

$$\tau = \tau_{V_1^-} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{V_{n_-}^-} \circ \tau_{V_1^0} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{V_{n_0}^0} \circ \tau_{V_1^+} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+}$$

where $\tau_{V_i^\bullet}$ is the right Dehn twist along the vanishing cycle V_i^\bullet . Since two vanishing cycles V_i^\bullet, V_j^\bullet with the same sign are disjoint, the corresponding Dehn twists $\tau_{V_i^\bullet}, \tau_{V_j^\bullet}$ commute. (This is related to the fact that the order in Definition 7.2 among the vertices of the same type can be chosen arbitrarily.) Here, we assume that the supports of the Dehn twists $\tau_{V_i^\bullet}, \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^\bullet})$, satisfy that, up to compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopies of vanishing cycles,

- $\overline{\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^\bullet})} \cap \overline{\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_j^\bullet})} = \emptyset$ if $V_i^\bullet \cap V_j^\bullet = \emptyset$ and
- $M_f \setminus \cup \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^\bullet})$ retracts to ∂M_f .

Next, we perturb τ to remove a codimension 0 fixed point set near ∂M_f . Intuitively, we will add a small rotation in the Reeb vector field direction. A more precise procedure is as follows. For each Weinstein neighborhood $N_{V_i} \supset V_i$, $\tau_{V_i}|_{N_{V_i} \setminus V_i}$ is equal to the flow of Hamiltonian H_{V_i} which only depends on the fiber

direction and vanishes near ∂N_{V_i} . Therefore, by taking a small thickening of $M_f \setminus \cup \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^\bullet})$, one can find a τ -invariant open set N such that

- $\cup V_i^\bullet \subset N \subset \cup \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^\bullet})$,
- N is an open submanifold of M_f with ∂N a (union of) circle(s),
- $U := M_f \setminus \bar{N}$ is a (union of) cylinder(s) and retracts to the ∂M_f ,
- there is a C^∞ -trivialization of $U \cong S^1 \times [0, 1]$ so that the vector field ∂_t is equal to the Reeb flow at ∂M_f while it is equal to ν , the $\pi/2$ -rotation of the outward normal vector, at ∂N , and
- $\tau|_U$ equals the time-1 flow of a vector field X which satisfies $\langle X, \partial_t \rangle \geq 0$.

Then consider a Hamiltonian function H such that $H|_N = 0$ and $H|_U$ is an increasing function on the radial coordinate with respect to the C^∞ -trivialization. For a small enough ϵ , the composition $\phi_{\epsilon H}^1 \circ \tau$ rotates U a little along S^1 -direction. In particular, if $L \cap U$ becomes a graph of some function on the radial coordinate, $\phi_{\epsilon H}^1 \circ \tau$ will displace it from itself inside U . We still denote this perturbation by τ by abuse of notation.

Note that A'Campo [A'C99] found a way to recover the Milnor fiber of f from the divide, using a building block (see Figure 16) for a neighborhood of a double point, and connecting them with half twists following the diagram (see Figure 3). Also, vanishing cycles in Theorem 7.3 can be drawn in the building block and Milnor fiber. We will construct an adapted Lagrangian family consisting of connected Lagrangians which will form a desired exceptional collection.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\text{A}\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$ be the depth 0 $\text{A}\Gamma$ diagram and \vec{V}_f as in (7.2) be a distinguished collection of vanishing cycles associated to $\text{A}\Gamma(\mathbb{D}_f)$. Then there exists an adapted family*

$$\vec{K}_f = (K_1^-, \dots, K_{n_-}^-, K_1^0, \dots, K_{n_0}^0, \dots, K_1^+, \dots, K_{n_+}^+)$$

for \vec{V}_f such that any K_i^\bullet is represented by a non-compact connected Lagrangian.

Before giving a proof, we briefly explain the construction. First, we find non-compact Lagrangians in the union of closed supports, $\cup \overline{\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^\bullet})}$. Then, at the boundary of non-compact Lagrangian, we extend it along the gradient flow of a chosen Hamiltonian H (and smooth it if needed). These extensions do not change any intersection patterns between Lagrangians. The reason why we divide the construction into two steps is that later, it is convenient to see intersections between K_i^\bullet and its monodromy image $\tau(K_i^\bullet)$.

Proof. We will find an adapted family satisfying the intersection conditions in Definition 6.4. For a double point of the divide, we can choose an open set of the Milnor fiber, diffeomorphic to the building block (see Figure 16). The double point meets 4 regions, and the building block has 4 corresponding arcs which may become parts of the vanishing cycles. Also, there exists a circle in the cylindrical part of the building block which corresponds to the vanishing cycle of the double point.

The main idea of the construction is the following. From the depth zero condition, each double point meets at least one unbounded region and thus the arc corresponding to the unbounded region does not become a part of the vanishing cycle. Let us call this an unnecessary arc. If a non-compact Lagrangian intersects an unnecessary arc in the building block, it does not create a new intersection number with the vanishing cycles.

Let us explain each case in more detail. For the vanishing cycles V_i^- corresponding to the bounded negative region, we need to find a non-compact Lagrangian K_i^- satisfying

$$K_i^- \bullet V_j^\bullet = \begin{cases} 1 & (\bullet = -, i = j), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

If all the positive neighboring regions of the given negative region are bounded, then the depth of the negative region cannot be zero. Thus, there exists at least one neighboring unbounded positive region. From the explanation above, we have an unnecessary arc in the building block of one of the neighboring double points. In Figure 16, the arc on the left should have a counterpart on the right, but we did not draw it as it is an unnecessary arc. Now, we choose K_i^- as in Figure 16. As explained above, it is obtained by an extension of a small piece in $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^-})$. Note that K_i^- is contained in this building block and intersects V_i^- only (it intersects the unnecessary arc, but this is not relevant to the adaptedness condition). Moreover, we can say that K_i^- does not intersect any supports of Dehn twists except $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^-})$. The same construction works for any $1 \leq i \leq n_-$.




FIGURE 16. K_i^- in the building block

There are two cases for each vanishing cycle V_i^0 associated with a double point. A non-compact Lagrangian K_i^0 should satisfy

$$K_i^0 \bullet V_j^\bullet = \begin{cases} 1 & (\bullet = 0, i = j), \\ 1 & (\bullet = -, V_j^- \text{ and } V_i^0 \text{ are connected in } A\Gamma \text{ diagram}), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

A priori, every double point has two positive neighboring regions and two negative neighboring regions. Then, there is at least one unbounded positive or negative neighboring region. If there is an unbounded negative region, in the building block of chosen double point, the arc on the front becomes an unnecessary arc. In this case, a non-compact Lagrangian K_i^0 is given as in Figure 17 (A). Here, we should choose K_i^0 to satisfy


$$(7.3) \quad \tau_{V_i^0}(K_i^0) \cap \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_j^-}) = \emptyset.$$

It is always possible by shrinking the support of $\tau_{V_j^-}$. K_i^0 intersects V_i^0 and V_j^- which is not the unnecessary arc (it does not intersect the unnecessary arc in this case). If there is an unbounded positive region, the arc on the right is an unnecessary arc. Hence, K_i^0 intersects $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^0})$ and $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_j^-})$ only. Also, one can choose a non-compact Lagrangian K_i^0 similarly as in Figure 17 (B).

Finally, for the vanishing cycles V_i^+ corresponding to the bounded positive region, a non-compact Lagrangian K_i^+ satisfies

$$K_i^+ \bullet V_j^\bullet = \begin{cases} 1 & (\bullet = +, i = j), \\ 1 & (\bullet = 0, V_j^0 \text{ and } V_i^+ \text{ are connected in } A\Gamma \text{ diagram}), \\ 1 & (\bullet = -, V_j^- \text{ and } V_i^+ \text{ are connected in } A\Gamma \text{ diagram}), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$


Note that this intersection condition is the same as $V_i^+ \bullet V_j^\bullet$ except when $\bullet = +, i = j$. Since the depth is 0, we can find a part where V_i^+ lives alone as in the $-$ case. Let \tilde{K}_i^+ be the non-compact Lagrangian in Figure

FIGURE 17. K_i^0 in the building block

18 which intersects $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_i^+})$ only. Then, we define $K_i^+ := \tau_{V_i^+}^{-1}(\tilde{K}_i^+)$. Topologically, K_i^+ is described as follows. We cut V_i^+ near the intersection of V_i^+ and the unnecessary arc, and attach two new ends to the boundary of the Milnor fiber as drawn in Figure 18. This K_i^+ and V_i^+ have almost the same intersection conditions with other vanishing cycles except V_i^+ itself, i.e., we have $K_i^+ \bullet V_i^+ = 1$ and

$$K_i^+ \bullet V_i^\bullet = V_i^+ \bullet V_i^\bullet$$

for any V_i^\bullet except V_i^+ . Thus, this K_i^+ satisfies the desired conditions.

FIGURE 18. K_i^+ in the building block

These constructions give the adapted family for \vec{V}_f in any depth 0 case. Obviously, each K_i^\bullet is connected by construction. \square

7.3. Exceptional collection of Lagrangians for depth 0: computations. We first make the following observations on intersections between constructed Lagrangians.

Lemma 7.6. *The following hold for the adapted family that is constructed in Lemma 7.5.*

- (1) Any pair of Lagrangians in the family are disjoint from each other.
- (2) $K_i^\bullet \cap \tau(K_j^\bullet) = \emptyset$ for all $\bullet = -, 0, +$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n_\bullet$.
- (3) $K_i^- \cap \tau(K_j^0) = \emptyset$, $K_i^- \cap \tau(K_j^+) = \emptyset$, and $K_i^0 \cap \tau(K_j^+) = \emptyset$ for any i, j .

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from the construction.

Recall that the monodromy τ is defined by

$$\tau = \phi_{\varepsilon H}^1 \circ \tau_{V_1^-} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_-}^-} \circ \tau_{V_1^0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_0}^0} \circ \tau_{V_1^+} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+}.$$

We claim that it is enough to consider only one Dehn twist for each K_j^\bullet because there is only the Dehn twist $\tau_{V_j^\bullet}$ acts nontrivially on K_j^\bullet . For the non-compact Lagrangian K_j^- given in Lemma 7.5, K_j^- intersects $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_j^-})$ only. Hence,

$$\tau_{V_{j+1}^-} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_-}^-} \circ \tau_{V_1^0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_0}^0} \circ \tau_{V_1^+} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^-) = K_j^-.$$

Let us consider the case $i = j$ first. After taking $\tau_{V_j^-}$, we have

$$K_j^- \cap \tau_{V_j^-} \circ \tau_{V_{j+1}^-} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_-}^-} \circ \tau_{V_1^0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_0}^0} \circ \tau_{V_1^+} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^-) \cong \partial K_j^- \times I$$

for some interval I . A non-compact Lagrangian

$$\tau_{V_j^-} \circ \tau_{V_{j+1}^-} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_-}^-} \circ \tau_{V_1^0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_0}^0} \circ \tau_{V_1^+} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^-)$$

does not intersect any $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_k^-})$ for $1 \leq k \leq n_-$ since $V_k^- \cap V_j^- = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n_-$ by the construction. Thus,

$$K_j^- \cap \tau_{V_1^-} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^-) \cong \partial K_j^- \times I.$$

Then using the perturbation $\phi_{\epsilon H}^1$, we can conclude for any $1 \leq j \leq n_-$,

$$K_j^- \cap \tau(K_j^-) = \emptyset.$$

If $i \neq j$, the difference is that $K_i^- \cap K_j^- = \emptyset$ by construction. This implies they are already disjoint before the Hamiltonian deformation:

$$K_i^- \cap \tau_{V_1^-} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^-) \cong \emptyset.$$

Hence, we have $K_i^- \cap \tau(K_j^-) = \emptyset$ and this proves (2) for $\bullet = -$ case.

Next, let K_j^0 be the non-compact Lagrangian given in Lemma 7.5. Similarly, we have

- (i) $\tau_{V_{j+1}^0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_0}^0} \circ \tau_{V_1^+} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^0) = K_j^0$,
- (ii) $K_i^0 \cap \tau_{V_j^0} \circ \tau_{V_{j+1}^0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_0}^0} \circ \tau_{V_1^+} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^0) \cong \begin{cases} \partial K_i^0 \times I & (i = j), \\ \emptyset & (i \neq j) \end{cases}$ for some interval I , and
- (iii) $\tau_{V_j^0} \circ \tau_{V_{j+1}^0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_0}^0} \circ \tau_{V_1^+} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^0)$ does not intersect any $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_k^-})$ for $1 \leq k \leq n_-$ and $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_l^0})$ for $1 \leq l \leq n_0$.

The third one follows from the property (7.3) of K_i^0 . Hence, we get $K_i^0 \cap \tau(K_j^0) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n_0$.

Lastly, recall that a non-compact Lagrangian K_j^+ is defined by $\tau_{V_j^+}^{-1}(\tilde{K}_j^+)$ for a non-compact Lagrangian \tilde{K}_j^+ in Lemma 7.5. Since $\text{Supp}(\tau_{V_j^+}) \cap \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_k^+}) = \emptyset$ for any $k \neq j$,

$$\tau_{V_j^+} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{V_{n_+}^+} (K_j^+) = \tilde{K}_j^+.$$

Then, by the intersection conditions of \tilde{K}_j^+ with the supports of Dehn twists as above, it is easy to see that $K_i^+ \cap \tau(K_j^+) = \emptyset$ holds for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n_+$.

The third statement (3) of the lemma easily follows from the facts that any distinct two Lagrangians in the adapted family \vec{K}_f are disjoint and the intersection conditions

$$K_i^- \cap \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_j^0}) = \emptyset, K_i^- \cap \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_j^+}) = \emptyset, \text{ and } K_i^0 \cap \text{Supp}(\tau_{V_j^+}) = \emptyset.$$

□

Recall from Subsection 5.4 the quasi-isomorphism of monodromy Floer cohomology:

$$(7.4) \quad HF_\tau^*(K_i^\bullet, K_j^\bullet) \cong HW^*((K_i^\bullet[1] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{K_i^\bullet}(\Gamma)} \tau(K_i^\bullet)), K_j^\bullet).$$

By Lemma 7.6, $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{K_i^\bullet}^\tau(\Gamma) \in HW^*(K_i^\bullet, \tau(K_i^\bullet))$ is represented by the sum of two shortest Reeb chord from ∂K_i^\bullet to $\partial\tau(K_i^\bullet)$ since there is no interior intersection. The following will be helpful for computations.

Lemma 7.7. *Let K and K' be non-compact connected Lagrangians in the Milnor fiber M_f such that*

- (i) $K \cap K' = \emptyset$, $K \cap \tau(K) = \emptyset$, and $K' \cap \tau(K) = \emptyset$.
- (ii) K and $\tau(K)$ are not isomorphic in the wrapped Fukaya category of M_f .

Then, monodromy Floer cohomology can be computed as follows.

- (1) $HF_\tau^*(K, K) \cong \mathbb{K} \cdot e[0]$ where e is the identity morphism and
- (2) $HF_\tau^*(K, K') = 0$.

Proof. We can assume that the differentials vanish on $CW^*(\tau(K), K)$ and $CW^*(K, K)$ by choosing an appropriate Hamiltonian since K and $\tau(K)$ are disjoint (e.g., see the proof of Proposition 4.22). Then, there is one interior intersection point e which represents the identity morphism in $CW^*(K, K)$. Any other Hamiltonian chord representing a morphism in $CW^*(K, K)$ has a negative action value and hence it defines a morphism belonging to $CW_{<0}^*(K, K)$.

By the assumption of the differentials, we have

$$(7.5) \quad HF_\tau^*(K, K) \cong HW^*(\tau(K), K)[1] \xrightarrow{m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_K^\tau(c(\Gamma)), -)} HW^*(K, K).$$

where c is the continuation map. Remark that Theorem 4.7 is still valid for τ . Then by Corollary 4.10, we can conclude that the term of $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_K^\tau(c(\Gamma))$ with the lowest action value must be Γ_K , the cochain of shortest Reeb chord from K to $\tau(K)$ for any K . Therefore, $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_K^\tau(c(\Gamma))$ is Γ_K under the assumption (i).

Given a nontrivial morphism $q \in HW_{<0}^*(K, K)$, one can find a triangle which contributes to the m_2 -product $m_2(\Gamma_K, p) = q$ for some $p \in HW^*(\tau(K), K)$. This triangle can be described as follows. Let Q be a Hamiltonian which is C^2 -small in some compact subset $K \subset M_f$ and quadratic in the complement of K , and $\phi = \phi_Q^1$ be a time-1 Hamiltonian flow of Q . With the labeling of morphisms in Figure 19, there is precisely one triangle for the equation $m_2(\Gamma_K, p_k) = q_k$ for each $k \geq 1$.




FIGURE 19. Holomorphic triangle for the quantum cap action

If the identity morphism becomes an output of some product, then this implies that K and $\tau(K)$ are isomorphic objects in the wrapped Fukaya category. It is a contradiction. Thus, we have the isomorphism

$$HF_\tau^*(K, K) \cong \mathbb{K} \cdot e[0].$$

This proves the first result (1).

For the second statement (2), note that there is no morphism corresponding to the interior intersection in $HW^*(\tau(K), K')$ and $HW^*(K, K')$. Then, a modification of Figure 19 explains all the triangles which give the isomorphism between two cohomologies:

$$HW^*(\tau(K), K') \xrightarrow[\cong]{m_2(\Gamma_K, -)} HW^*(K, K').$$

Hence, the mapping cone of the twisted quantum cap action vanishes and this gives the second result. \square

Proposition 7.8. *The adapted family in Lemma 7.5 forms an exceptional collection.*

Proof. When there is only one Lagrangian in the adapted family \vec{K}_f (Morse case), the condition (ii) in Lemma 7.7 does not hold. But, the Milnor fiber is just a cylinder in this case and it is easy to see that the identity morphism cannot be an output of quantum cap action. This proves

$$HF^*(K, K) \cong \mathbb{K} \cdot e[0],$$

i.e., \vec{K}_f is an exceptional collection.

Now, we assume that \vec{K}_f consists of more than one Lagrangian. It is enough to show that the conditions in Lemma 7.7 hold for the adapted family \vec{K}_f according to order.

Let us choose any pair of non-compact Lagrangians K_i^\bullet and K_j° from \vec{K}_f with $K_i^\bullet > K_j^\circ$. Then, the condition (1) for this pair directly follows from Lemma 7.6.

For the condition (2), consider a Lagrangian K_i^- first. It intersects V_i^- only among vanishing cycles. However, its monodromy image $\tau(K_i^-)$ meets once all the other vanishing cycles which have an intersection with V_i^- . Since there is always a vertex with a different type by the assumption, this implies that there is a vanishing cycle V_j^* such that $K_i^- \cap V_j^* = \emptyset$ and $\tau(K_i^-) \cap V_j^* = p$, i.e.,

$$HF^*(K_i^-, V_j^*) = 0 \text{ and } HF^*(\tau(K_i^-), V_j^*) \neq 0.$$

It guarantees that K_i^- and $\tau(K_i^-)$ are not isomorphic in $\mathcal{WF}(M_f)$.

One can show the condition (2) for other cases similarly. Note that there are a vanishing cycle V_j^- that intersects with K_i^0 , but not with $\tau(K_i^0)$ (see Figure 17) for K_i^0 and a vanishing cycle V_k^0 that intersects with K_i^+ , but not with $\tau(K_i^+)$ (see Figure 18) for K_i^+ .

Then, the statement follows from the Lemma 7.7. \square

In the next lemma, we prove that $\mathcal{V}(K_i)$ is quasi-isomorphic to V_i up to an odd shift.

Lemma 7.9. *In $\mathcal{WF}(M)$, $\mathcal{V}(K_i^\bullet)$ is quasi-isomorphic to V_i^\bullet up to an odd shift. Moreover, we can choose gradings on $\{K_i^\bullet\}$ which makes $\mathcal{V}(K_i^\bullet) \simeq V_i^\bullet[1]$.*

Proof. Recall that our K_i^\bullet intersects V_i^\bullet only once. In the course of the proof of Lemma 7.6, we also observed that the only Dehn twists act non-trivially on K_i^\bullet is τ_{V_i} . Therefore $\tau(K_i^\bullet)$ also intersects V_i^\bullet at single point. Then we can conclude that V_i^\bullet is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(K_i^\bullet)$ up to a shift using Proposition 4.22. The shift is odd because the choice of an orientation of K_i^\bullet is opposite to that of Proposition 4.22.

Note that we only decided the orientations of $\{K_i^\bullet\}$ and said nothing about gradings until now. We have the freedom of shifting the grading of K_i^\bullet by an arbitrary even number. Since the calculation involves V_i only, any even shift does not affect the gradings of other K_j° or previous computations of HF^* . \square

By combining Proposition 7.8 and Lemma 7.9, we obtain;

Theorem 7.10. *Let f be an isolated plane curve singularity having an A'Campo divide of depth 0. Denote by (V_1, \dots, V_μ) the distinguished collection of Lagrangian vanishing cycles in M from the given divide. Then, there exists an exceptional collection (with respect to HF_ρ^*) of non-compact connected Lagrangians (K_1, \dots, K_μ) adapted to (V_1, \dots, V_μ) satisfying*

$$\mathcal{V}(K_i) \simeq V_i[1] \in \mathcal{WF}(M).$$

7.4. Examples. In this subsection, we consider E_6 and A_n singularities as examples. We present explicit computation which hopefully gives a reader some flavor of HF_τ^* . We also describe an interesting observation regarding a relation between A_n singularities and a partially wrapped Fukaya category.

7.4.1. E_6 -singularity. We investigate the E_6 example given in the introduction. There is the adapted family $(K_1^-, K_2^-, K_1^0, K_2^0, K_3^0, K_1^+)$ in Figure 3 (B) associated to the vanishing cycles in Figure 3 (A). By Proposition 7.8, we know that the family $(K_1^-, K_2^-, K_1^0, K_2^0, K_3^0, K_1^+)$ is exceptional. Any two distinct Lagrangians in the family are disjoint from each other. Moreover, by Lemma 7.7, their monodromy Floer cohomology $HF_\tau^*(K_i^\bullet, K_j^\circ)$ vanishes when $\tau(K_i^\bullet) \cap K_j^\circ = \emptyset$ holds. Hence, it is enough to find pairs (K_i^\bullet, K_j°) such that $K_i^\bullet < K_j^\circ$ and $\tau(K_i^\bullet) \cap K_j^\circ \neq \emptyset$ in Figure 20 (A).




FIGURE 20

One of such pairs is (K_1^-, K_1^0) and we compute the monodromy Floer cohomology $HF_\tau^*(K_1^-, K_1^0)$. Recall that we have the following isomorphism from the proof of Proposition 5.9;

$$HF_\tau^*(K_1^-, K_1^0) \cong H^* \left(CW^*(\tau(K_1^-), K_1^0)[1] \xrightarrow{m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{K_1^-}^\tau(\Gamma), -)} CW^*(K_1^-, K_1^0) \right).$$

We claim that $m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{K_1^-}^\tau(\Gamma), -)$ is surjective with 1-dimensional kernel. The first component $CW^*(\tau(K_1^-), K_1^0)[1]$ consists of wrapped generators (which appear near boundary, or equivalently, have negative action values) and one interior intersection point $\tau(K_1^-) \cap K_1^0 = p_1$. The second component $CW^*(K_1^-, K_1^0)$ contains only wrapped generators. Then, $m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{K_1^-}^\tau(\Gamma), -)$ gives a bijection between all of the wrapped generators in the first component and the second component (see Lemma 7.7). Also, one can directly check that there are no bigons nor triangles corresponding to $m_1(p_1)$ and $m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{K_1^-}^\tau(\Gamma), p_1)$ in Figure 20 (B). This implies that

$$[p_1] \in HF_\tau^*(K_1^-, K_1^0)$$

and it is the unique generator of this cohomology.

There are 9 pairs of Lagrangians that have nontrivial monodromy Floer cohomology. In each case, they have one-dimensional monodromy Floer cohomology generated by the following intersection point:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(K_1^-) \cap K_1^0 &= p_1, \tau(K_1^-) \cap K_2^0 = p_2, \tau(K_1^-) \cap K_1^+ = p_3, \tau(K_2^-) \cap K_2^0 = p_4, \tau(K_2^-) \cap K_3^0 = p_5, \\ \tau(K_2^-) \cap K_1^+ &= p_6, \tau(K_1^0) \cap K_1^+ = p_7, \tau(K_2^0) \cap K_1^+ = p_8, \tau(K_3^0) \cap K_1^+ = p_9. \end{aligned}$$

For any pair, this can be shown as the same as the above pair (K_1^-, K_1^0) .

This computation can be represented by the following quiver (as a vector space, not an algebra):

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_1^- & \longrightarrow & K_2^0 & \longleftarrow & K_2^- \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ K_1^0 & \longrightarrow & K_1^+ & \longleftarrow & K_3^0 \end{array} .$$

This quiver is the same as the $A\Gamma$ diagram with orientation (see Figure 2 (B)). Note that we need to consider the degree of generators. However, we know about vanishing cycles that their morphism spaces are supported in degree 0. Then, by Proposition 5.12 (2), the monodromy Floer cohomologies also live in degree 0 part.

7.4.2. *A_n -singularity.* We revisit A_n -singularity $f(x, y) = x^{n+1} + y^2$. It has a well-known divide of depth zero whose distinguished collection and adapted family coincide with the one discussed in the previous Example 6.6.

We continue from the previous A_4 example (see Figure 14 (A)), but again the whole story generalizes to arbitrary A_n . There, we obtained distinguished collection consisting of four vanishing cycles $\vec{V}_f = (V_1^-, V_2^-, V_1^0, V_2^0)$ and its adapted family $\vec{K}_f = (K_1^-, K_2^-, K_1^0, K_2^0)$. For notational simplicity, let us denote $(V_1^-, V_2^-, V_1^0, V_2^0)$ by (V_1, V_3, V_2, V_4) , and the adapted family $(K_1^-, K_2^-, K_1^0, K_2^0)$ by (L_1, L_3, L_2, L_4) . Then endomorphism algebra of $\vec{V}_f = (V_1, V_3, V_2, V_4)$ is described using the following quiver Q :

$$\bullet_1 \longrightarrow \bullet_2 \longleftarrow \bullet_3 \longrightarrow \bullet_4.$$

We can choose gradings on vanishing cycles so that the morphisms between vanishing cycles in the Fukaya–Seidel category are concentrated at degree zero. After that, we have

$$(7.7) \quad HF_\tau^* \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^4 L_i, \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 L_i \right) \cong \text{Hom}_{FS}^* \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^4 V_i, \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 V_i \right) \cong \mathbb{K}Q.$$

where $\mathbb{K}Q$ denote the path algebra associated to Q .

Let us compute it more explicitly. From (7.7) or by Proposition 7.8, we know that HF_τ^* between two distinct Lagrangians vanishes except:

$$HF_\tau^*(L_1, L_2), HF_\tau^*(L_3, L_2), \text{ and } HF_\tau^*(L_3, L_4).$$

These are all one-dimensional and we would like to specify their generators. To do this, we give a more convenient description of the Milnor fiber and \vec{K}_f . A fundamental domain of M_f given in Figure 14 (A) is a decagon with a gluing rule as in Figure 21 (A). Each circle on the chosen five vertices represents a part of the unique boundary component of M_f . In this fundamental domain, the adapted family \vec{K}_f 14 (B) can be drawn as in Figure 21 (B).

From Figures 14 (B) and (C), one can check the following intersection conditions directly:


- Two distinct L_i and L_j are disjoint.
- There are three intersecting pairs: $\tau(L_1) \cap L_2 = \{p_1\}$, $\tau(L_2) \cap L_3 = \{p_2\}$, and $\tau(L_2) \cap L_4 = \{p_3\}$.

One can perform a similar computation as E_6 case in 7.4.1 to show that

$$[p_1] \in HF_\tau^*(L_1, L_2), [p_2] \in HF_\tau^*(L_3, L_2), \text{ and } [p_3] \in HF_\tau^*(L_3, L_4)$$

are the unique generators for each group.

We describe other natural representatives for a later purpose. Let $\eta \in CW^*(L_1, L_2)$ be the shortest Reeb chord, depicted in the Figure 22 (A). We claim that η and p_1 represent the same class. Indeed, there is an

FIGURE 21. Fundamental domain of A_4 Milnor fiber

element $\gamma \in CW^*(\tau(L_1), L_2)$, a short Reeb chord as in Figure 22 (A). One can check directly that $m_1(\gamma) = p_1$ and $m_2(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{O}_{L_1}^\tau(\Gamma), \gamma) = \eta$, which implies that η and p_1 differ by a coboundary as desired.


(A) Another representative η (B) $(1, 1)$ monodromy case

FIGURE 22

7.5. Relation to partially wrapped Fukaya category. We continue the computation in Example 7.4.2 and exhibit an interesting connection with certain partially wrapped Fukaya categories.

Let (D^2, Λ_{n+1}) be a disc with $(n+1)$ stops on ∂D^2 . Its partially wrapped Fukaya category $\mathcal{WF}(D^2, \Lambda_{n+1})$ is derived equivalent to $D^b(\text{Rep} Q_n)$, the derived category of representations of A_n quiver Q_n . Moreover, a choice of isotopy class of full arc system on (D^2, Λ_{n+1}) determines a set of generators of $\mathcal{WF}(D^2, \Lambda_{n+1})$. We refer to [HKK17] for more detailed computation.

First, observe that M_f has a two-fold covering given by $x: M_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ramified at $x = e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{n+1}}$, $k = 0, \dots, n$. We realize it as a Riemann surface of $\sqrt{1 - x^{n+1}}$, where the branch cut at $e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{n+1}}$ is chosen as $\{e^{r + \frac{2k\pi i}{n+1}} \mid r \geq 0\}$. By choosing two different sheets of the cover, we can split M_f into two pieces

$$M_f = M_1 \cup M_2, \quad M_1 \cap M_2 = \partial M_1 = \partial M_2.$$

Moreover, (D^2, Λ_{n+1}) sits inside M_1 as the unit disc inside the x -plane. Then M_1 is equal to the closure of x -plane minus the union of branch cuts, which is again equal to the Liouville sector given by a completion of $D^2 \setminus \Lambda_{n+1}$. (In fact the line field η on (D^2, Λ_{n+1}) , an extra data needed to put a grading on $\mathcal{WF}(D^2, \Lambda_{n+1})$, is determined by this covering.)

Second, observe that M_f has an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}/(n+1) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ action. An element $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ acts as $(x, y) \mapsto (e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{n+1}} x, (-1)^l y)$. Since we choose M_i as different sheets of the covering, $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ switches M_1 and M_2 . We remark that the element $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ is a representative of the monodromy up to positive boundary twist. Therefore, we can say that M_2 is the image of M_1 under the action of the monodromy.

Using this observation, any full arc system $\{\alpha_i\}$ for (D^2, Λ_{n+1}) can be viewed as a collection of objects $\{L_i\}$ of $\mathcal{WF}(M_f)$ by conical extension. It is clearer from the pictorial description of M_f . It is described as a boundary gluing of $2(n+1)$ -gon with $(n+1)$ puncture at each vertex for every two. M_1 is the sub $(n+1)$ -gon whose vertices consist of punctures. The Figure 23 below describes what is happening in A_4 -case.




FIGURE 23. The partially wrapped Fukaya category of (D^2, Λ_5) and the A_4 Milnor fiber

Proposition 7.11. *The canonical map $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{WF}(D^2, \Lambda_{n+1})}^*(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \hookrightarrow CW^*(L_i, L_j) \hookrightarrow CF_\rho^*(L_i, L_j)$ induces an isomorphism on cohomologies*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{WF}(D^2, \Lambda_{n+1})}^*(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \cong HF_\rho^*(L_i, L_j).$$

Proof. We use an algebraic action of $(1, 1)$ as a representative of the monodromy. Since α_i does not intersect to each other and $(1, 1)$ shifts M_1 and M_2 , we conclude that $\{L_i\} \cup \{(1, 1)(L_i)\}$ are mutually disjoint inside M_f . Also, remark that $(1, 1)$ has no fixed points by definition. Therefore, if L and $(1, 1)(L)$ does not intersect each other, then $\mathcal{CO}_L^{(1,1)}(\Gamma) \in CW^*(L, (1, 1)(L))$ is equal to the shortest Reeb chord Γ_L from L to $(1, 1)(L)$.

Suppose the left-hand side is zero, which means that the ends of α_i and α_j do not share the same puncture. Then any Reeb chord from L_i to L_j is decomposed as a concatenation of $\mathcal{CO}_{L_1}^{(1,1)}(\Gamma)$ with other shorter Reeb chord from $(1, 1)(L_i)$ to L_j . Using the argument similar to (2) of Lemma 7.7, we conclude

$$HF_{(1,1)}^*(L_i, L_j) \cong H^* \left(CW^*((1, 1)(L_i), L_j)[1] \xrightarrow{m_2(\mathcal{CO}_{L_1}^{(1,1)}(\Gamma), -)} CW^*(L_i, L_j) \right) \cong 0.$$

Suppose the left-hand side is non-zero. It means that the ends of α_i and α_j share a puncture, which is at most one because $\{\alpha_i\}$ forms a full arc system on a disc. When it is the case, $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{WF}(D^2, \Lambda_{n+1})}^*(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ is generated by a single Reeb chord $\eta_{i,j}$ from α_i to α_j . This chord is the only Reeb chord from L_i to L_j trapped inside M_1 . If not, the chord η' must pass through M_2 more than once, which implies such chords are written as a concatenation $\mathcal{CO}_{L_1}^{(1,1)}(\Gamma)$ with other shorter Reeb chord from $(1, 1)(L_i)$ to L_j as before: see Figure 22 (B). Using the argument similar to (1) of Lemma 7.7 and the discussion in Example 7.4.2, we conclude $HF_{(1,1)}^*(K_i, K_j)$ is also generated by a single class $[\eta_{i,j}]$. \square

7.6. Divides of higher depth. So far, we have discussed the cases of depth 0. We expect our construction of Subsection 7.3 to be generalized to higher depth cases. We will illustrate an idea of representing vanishing cycles of higher depth using twisted complexes built out of non-compact Lagrangians. But unfortunately, we would need the conjectural A_∞ -category \mathcal{C}_τ and the twisted complexes there of. Hence, we will consider a specific depth 1 example in detail, and leave the general construction for the future.

First, observe that for the classical variation operator (1.1), an inverse image of a vanishing cycle could be given by a disjoint union of several non-compact Lagrangians. But if we take the direct sum of these Lagrangians, then its endomorphism algebra would not be local, but that of vanishing cycles in Fukaya-Seidel category is local.

Instead, we expect that we can form a twisted complex built on these non-compact Lagrangians. Let us illustrate this in the following example.

Example 7.12. Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial

$$f(x, y) = x^5 - x^3 y^2 + x^2 y^2 + y^4 = (x^3 + y^2)(x + y)(x - y).$$

Its divide and $\text{A}\Gamma$ diagram are given in Figure 24. There are 10 vanishing cycles which are ordered as in Figure 24 (B). Its Milnor fiber is a Riemann surface with 4 genus and 3 boundary components and a monodromy τ is given by the composition of 10 Dehn twists.




FIGURE 24

The middle vertex v_6^0 is the unique depth 1 vertex. Let V_6^0 be the corresponding vanishing cycle. Topologically, we want to find $[K_6^0]$ with $\text{var}([K_6^0]) = -[V_6^0]$. We start by choosing any depth 0 vertex w adjacent to v_6^0 . In our case, we choose $w = v_2^-$ in Figure 24 (B).

A neighborhood of V_6^0 can be identified with that of vanishing cycle V_i^0 in Figure 17 (A). In the case of depth 0, we had a neighboring unbounded region, and the corresponding unnecessary arc (which was omitted in Figure 17 (A)). We suppose that this unnecessary arc is now a part of the vanishing cycle for v_2^- in the depth 1 case. We define K_6' to be K_i^0 in Figure 17 (A) under this identification. Then, we have

$$\text{var}([K_6']) = -[V_6^0] + [V_2^-].$$

Since the vertex v_2^- has depth 0, we can choose K_2^- as in Lemma 7.5 and we still have $\text{var}([K_2^-]) = -[V_2^-]$. Therefore, we found two disjoint non-compact Lagrangians K_6' and K_2^- such that

$$\text{var}([K_6'] + [K_2^-]) = -[V_6^0].$$

This together with depth 0 construction defines an adapted family.

Now, we explain how to define a twisted complex in this case. By our construction, we have an intersection point p between $\tau(K_6')$ and K_2^- : this is because $\tau_{V_6'}(K_6')$ intersects the vanishing cycle V_2^- , and thus $\tau_{V_2^-}(\tau_{V_6'}(K_6'))$ now intersects K_2^- . No other vanishing cycles are involved in this computation. The intersection point $p = \tau(K_6') \cap K_2^-$ defines a closed morphism in $HF_\rho^*(K_6', K_2^-)$.

We define K_6^0 as a twisted complex of this morphism:

$$K_6' \xrightarrow{p\epsilon} K_2^-.$$




FIGURE 25. Non-compact Lagrangians and the intersection point in the Milnor fiber

We expect the following to hold in \mathcal{C}_ρ .

- $\{K_i^\bullet\}_{i=1}^{10}$ forms an exceptional collection, and
- the endomorphism algebra of them is isomorphic to that of corresponding vanishing cycles.

At least the first topological part of the construction in this example can be carried out for any depth, which will appear elsewhere.

Theorem 7.13. For any A'Campo divide \mathbb{D}_f and a distinguished collection of vanishing cycles \vec{V}_f associated with it, there exists an adapted family of non-compact Lagrangians

$$\vec{K}_f = (K_1^-, \dots, K_{n_-}^-, K_1^0, \dots, K_{n_0}^0, \dots, K_1^+, \dots, K_{n_+}^+).$$

Here for a depth l vertex, the corresponding non-compact Lagrangian consists of $l + 1$ disjoint connected components.

REFERENCES

[AA21] Mohammed Abouzaid and Denis Auroux, *Homological mirror symmetry for hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$* , arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv:2111.06543.

[Abo10] Mohammed Abouzaid, *A geometric criterion for generating the Fukaya category*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **112** (2010), 191–240.

[A'C75a] Norbert A'Campo, *La fonction zeta d'une monodromie*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **50** (1975), 233–248.

[A'C75b] ———, *Le groupe de monodromie du déploiement des singularités isolées de courbes planes I*, Mathematische Annalen **213** (1975), 1–32.

[A'C98] ———, *Generic immersions of curves, knots, monodry and gordian number*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **88** (1998), 151–169.

[A'C99] ———, *Real deformations and complex topology of plane curve singularities*, Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse: Mathématiques, vol. 8, 1999, pp. 5–23.

[AGZV12] Vladimir Igorevich Arnold, Sabir Medgidovich Gusein-Zade, and Aleksandr Nikolaevich Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps: Volume II monodromy and asymptotic integrals*, vol. 83, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

- [Alb05] Peter Albers, *On the extrinsic topology of Lagrangian submanifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 38, 2341–2371. MR 2180810
- [Arn95] Vladimir Igorevich Arnold, *Some remarks on symplectic monodromy of milnor fibrations*, The Floer memorial volume **133** (1995), 99–103.
- [AS10] Mohammed Abouzaid and Paul Seidel, *An open string analogue of Viterbo functoriality*, Geometry & Topology **14** (2010), no. 2, 627–718.
- [AS19] Mohammed Abouzaid and Ivan Smith, *Khovanov homology from Floer cohomology*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (2019), no. 1, 1–79. MR 3867999
- [BC13] Paul Biran and Octav Cornea, *Lagrangian cobordism. I*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (2013), no. 2, 295–340.
- [BCS18] Paul Biran, Octav Cornea, and Egor Shelukhin, *Lagrangian shadows and triangulated categories*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.06630 (2018).
- [Bos22] Valentin Bosshard, *Lagrangian cobordisms in liouville manifolds*, Journal of Topology and Analysis (2022), 1–55.
- [CCJ20] Cheol-Hyun Cho, Dongwook Choa, and Wonbo Jeong, *Fukaya category for Landau-Ginzburg orbifolds*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.09198 (2020).
- [CFHW96] Kai Cieliebak, Andreas Floer, Helmut Hofer, and Kris Wysocki, *Applications of symplectic homology II: Stability of the action spectrum*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **223** (1996), no. 1, 27–45.
- [CNPP06] Clément Caubel, András Némethi, and Patrick Popescu-Pampu, *Milnor open books and milnor fillable contact 3-manifolds*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 3, 673–689.
- [dBP22] Javier Fernández de Bobadilla and Tomasz Peřka, *Symplectic monodromy at radius zero and equimultiplicity of μ -constant families*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.07007 (2022).
- [ET11] Wolfgang Ebeling and Atsushi Takahashi, *Strange duality of weighted homogeneous polynomials*, Compositio Mathematica **147** (2011), no. 5, 1413–1433.
- [FU09] Masahiro Futaki and Kazushi Ueda, *Homological mirror symmetry for Brieskorn-Pham singularities*, Proceeding of the 56th Japan Geometry Symposium (2009), 98–107.
- [Gan12] Sheel Ganatra, *Symplectic Cohomology and Duality for the Wrapped Fukaya Category*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2012, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Massachusetts Institute of Technology. MR 3121862
- [Gan21] ———, *Categorical non-properness in wrapped floer theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.06516 (2021).
- [Gau02] Ralf Gautschi, *Floer homology of algebraically finite mapping classes*, J. Symplectic Geom. **1** (2002), no. 2, 715–765.
- [Gir03] Emmanuel Giroux, *Géométrie de contact: de la dimension trois vers les dimensions supérieures*, arXiv preprint math/0305129 (2003).
- [GPS18] Sheel Ganatra, John Pardon, and Vivek Shende, *Sectorial descent for wrapped fukaya categories*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.03427 (2018).
- [Gut14] Jean Gutt, *Generalized conley-zehnder index*, Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques **23** (2014), no. 4, 907–932 (eng).
- [GZ74a] S. M. Gusein-Zade, *Dynkin diagrams for singularities of functions of two variables*, Functional Analysis and Its Applications **8** (1974), no. 4, 295–300.
- [GZ74b] ———, *Intersection matrices for certain singularities of functions of two variables*, Functional Analysis and Its Applications **8** (1974), no. 1, 10–13.
- [HKK17] Fabian Haiden, Ludmil Katzarkov, and Maxim Kontsevich, *Flat surfaces and stability structures*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS **126** (2017), 247–318.
- [HS20] Matthew Habermann and Jack Smith, *Homological Berglund-Hübsch mirror symmetry for curve singularities*, J. Symplectic Geom. **18** (2020), no. 6, 1515–1574. MR 4325377
- [Kea15] Ailsa Keating, *Lagrangian tori in four-dimensional milnor fibres*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **25** (2015), no. 6, 1822–1901.
- [KvK16] Myeonggi Kwon and Otto van Koert, *Brieskorn manifolds in contact topology*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **48** (2016), no. 2, 173–241.
- [LU21] YankıLekili and Kazushi Ueda, *Homological mirror symmetry for Milnor fibers of simple singularities*, Algebr. Geom. **8** (2021), no. 5, 562–586. MR 4371540
- [McL19] Mark McLean, *Floer cohomology, multiplicity and the log canonical threshold*, Geometry & Topology **23** (2019), no. 2, 957–1056.
- [MR23] Mark McLean and Alexander F. Ritter, *The McKay correspondence for isolated singularities via Floer theory*, J. Differential Geom. **124** (2023), no. 1, 113–168. MR 4593901
- [MW18] Cheuk Yu Mak and Weiwei Wu, *Dehn twist exact sequences through lagrangian cobordism*, Compositio Mathematica **154** (2018), no. 12, 2485–2533.
- [Poż99] Marcin Pożniak, *Floer homology, Novikov rings and clean intersections*, Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 196, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 119–181. MR 1736217
- [PV21] Alexander Polishchuk and Umut Varolgunes, *On homological mirror symmetry for chain type polynomials*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.03808 (2021).

- [Rit13] Alexander F. Ritter, *Topological quantum field theory structure on symplectic cohomology*, Journal of Topology **6** (2013), no. 2, 391–489.
- [Sei96] Paul Seidel, *The symplectic floer homology of a dehn twist*, Mathematical Research Letters **3** (1996), no. 6, 829–834.
- [Sei01a] ———, *More about vanishing cycles and mutation*, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000) (2001), 429–465.
- [Sei01b] ———, *Vanishing cycles and mutation*, European Congress of Mathematics: Barcelona, July 10–14, 2000 Volume II, Springer, 2001, pp. 65–85.
- [Sei03] ———, *A long exact sequence for symplectic floer cohomology*, Topology **42** (2003), 1003–1063.
- [Sei06] ———, *A biased view of symplectic cohomology*, Current developments in mathematics **2006** (2006), no. 1, 211–254.
- [Sei08] ———, *Fukaya categories and Picard-Lefschetz theory*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008. MR 2441780
- [Sei14] ———, *Critical points of complex polynomials from the symplectic viewpoint (lecture)*, 2014.
- [Sei18] ———, *Fukaya A_∞ -structures associated to Lefschetz fibrations. VI*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.07119 (2018).
- [Syl19] Zachary Sylvan, *On partially wrapped Fukaya categories*, J. Topol. **12** (2019), no. 2, 372–441. MR 3911570
- [Ulj17] Igor Uljarevic, *Floer homology of automorphisms of liouville domains*, Journal of Symplectic Geometry **15** (2017), no. 3, 861–903.
- [Var23] Umut Varolgunes, *Seifert form of chain-type invertible singularities*, Kyoto Journal of Mathematics **63** (2023), no. 1, 155–193.

CENTER FOR QUANTUM STRUCTURES IN MODULES AND SPACES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 08826, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: hanwoolb@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RESEARCH INSTITUTE IN MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: chocheol@snu.ac.kr

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES, DONGDAEMOON-GU, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: dwchoa@kias.re.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RESEARCH INSTITUTE IN MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GWANAK-GU, SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: wonbo.jeong@gmail.com