THE DIFFERENTIAL PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

KIRPA GARG, SARTAJ UL HASAN, AND PANTELIMON STĂNICĂ

Abstract. Finding functions, particularly permutations, with good differential properties has received a lot of attention due to their possible applications. For instance, in combinatorial design theory, a correspondence of perfect c-nonlinear functions and difference sets in some quasigroups was recently shown [\[1\]](#page-25-0). Additionally, in a recent manuscript by Pal and Stănică $[20]$, a very interesting connection between the c-differential uniformity and boomerang uniformity when $c = -1$ was pointed out, showing that that they are the same for an odd APN permutations. This makes the construction of functions with low c-differential uniformity an intriguing problem. We investigate the c-differential uniformity of some classes of permutation polynomials. As a result, we add four more classes of permutation polynomials to the family of functions that only contains a few (non-trivial) perfect c-nonlinear functions over finite fields of even characteristic. Moreover, we include a class of permutation polynomials with low c-differential uniformity over the field of characteristic 3. As a byproduct, our proofs shows the permutation property of these classes. To solve the involved equations over finite fields, we use various techniques, in particular, we find explicitly many Walsh transform coefficients and Weil sums that may be of an independent interest.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with $q = p^n$ elements, where p is a prime number and n is a positive integer. We use $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ to denote the ring of polynomials in one variable X with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q and \mathbb{F}_q^* to denote the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of \mathbb{F}_q . If F is a function from \mathbb{F}_q to itself, by using Lagrange's interpolation formula, one can uniquely express it as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree at most $q-1$. A polynomial $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ is a permutation polynomial of \mathbb{F}_q if the mapping $X \mapsto F(X)$ is a bijection on \mathbb{F}_q . Permutation polynomials over finite fields are of great interest due to their numerous applications in coding theory [\[5,](#page-25-1) [14\]](#page-25-2), combinatorial design theory [\[6\]](#page-25-3), cryptography [\[17,](#page-25-4) [21\]](#page-26-1), and other areas of mathematics and engineering. These polynomials with some desired properties such as low differential uniformity, high algebraic degree and high nonlinearity act as an important candidate in designing cryptographically strong S-boxes and hence in providing secure communication.

Block ciphers are susceptible to a wide variety of attacks. One of the most effective cryptanalytic tools for attacking block ciphers is the differential attack introduced by Biham and Shamir in their paper [\[2\]](#page-25-5). To measure the resistance of a given function over a finite field (i.e., of a given S-box) against the differential attack, Nyberg [\[19\]](#page-25-6) introduced the notion of differential uniformity as follows. Let F be a function, $F : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$. For any

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 12E20, 11T06, 94A60.

Key words and phrases. Finite fields, permutation polynomials, c-differential uniformity.

 $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the derivative of F in the direction a is defined as $D_F(X, a) := F(X + a) - F(X)$ for all $X \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the Difference Distribution Table (DDT) entry $\Delta_F(a, b)$ at point (a, b) is the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_q$ of the equation $D_F(X, a) = b$. Further, the differential uniformity of F, denoted by Δ_F , is given by $\Delta_F := \max{\{\Delta_F(a, b) : a \in$ $\mathbb{F}_q^*, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We call the function F a perfect nonlinear (PN) function and an almost perfect nonlinear (APN) function when $\Delta_F = 1$ and $\Delta_F = 2$, respectively.

Borisov et al. [\[3\]](#page-25-7) introduced the concept of the multiplicative differentials of the form $(F(cX), F(X))$ and exploited this new class of differentials to attack certain existing ciphers. In 2020, Ellingsen et al. [\[7\]](#page-25-8) gave the generalized notion of differential uniformity and introduced the concept of (output)multiplicative differentials and the corresponding c-differential uniformity. For any function $F : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$ and for any $a, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the (multiplicative) c-derivative of F with respect to a is defined as ${}_{c}\Delta_{F}(X, a) := F(X+a) - cF(X)$ for all $X \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the c-Difference Distribution Table (c-DDT) entry $c\Delta_F(a, b)$ at point (a, b) is the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_q$ of the equation $c\Delta_F(X, a) = b$. The c-differential uniformity of F, denoted by $c\Delta_F$, is given by $c\Delta_F := \max\{c\Delta_F(a, b):$ $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $a \neq 0$ if $c = 1$. For $c = 1$, we recover the case of differential uniformity. When $c\Delta_F = 1$, we call F a perfect c-nonlinear (PcN) function and when $c\Delta_F = 2$, we call F an almost perfect c-nonlinear (APcN) function. Note that for monomial functions, $x \mapsto x^d$, the output differential $(c_1F(X), F(X))$ is the same as the input differential $(F(c_2X), F(X))$, where $c_1 = c_2^d$, which was the differential that Borisov et al. [\[3\]](#page-25-7) exploited.

Recently, the authors in [\[1\]](#page-25-0) pointed out a connection between the c-differential uniformity (cDU) and combinatorial designs by showing that the graph of a PcN function is a difference set in a quasigroup. Difference sets give rise to symmetric designs, which are known to construct optimal self complementary codes. Some types of designs also have applications in secret sharing and visual cryptography. Moreover, Pal and Stănică $[20]$ in a very recent manuscript show that the c-differential uniformity, when $c = -1$, of an odd APN permutation F (odd characteristic) equals its boomerang uniformity, and when F is a non-permutation, boomerang uniformity of F is the maximum of the (-1) -DDT entries irrespective of the first row/column. The construction of functions, particularly permutations, with low c-differential uniformity is an interesting problem, and recent work has focused heavily in this direction. One can refer to [\[9,](#page-25-9) [13,](#page-25-10) [15,](#page-25-11) [18,](#page-25-12) [26,](#page-26-2) [28,](#page-26-3) [30\]](#page-26-4) for the numerous functions with low c-differential uniformity investigated till now. There are very few known (non-trivial, that is, nonlinear) classes of PcN and APcN functions over a finite field with even characteristic; see, for example, [\[8,](#page-25-13) [10,](#page-25-14) [12,](#page-25-15) [24\]](#page-26-5).

In this paper, we compute the c-differential uniformity of some classes of permutation polynomials introduced in [\[16,](#page-25-16) [25,](#page-26-6) [29\]](#page-26-7). The methods we employ can be of independent interest, and they use Walsh transforms computations, Weil sums, and some detailed investigation of the involved equations via number theory tools. The structure of the paper is as follows. We first recall some results in Section [2,](#page-2-0) that are required in the subsequent sections. In Section [3,](#page-5-0) we compute the c-differential uniformity of four classes of permutation polynomials over finite fields of even characteristic. Further, Section [4](#page-20-0) deals with the c-differential uniformity of one class of permutation polynomials over finite fields of characteristic three. Finally, in Section [5,](#page-25-17) we conclude the paper.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first review a definition and provide some lemmas to be used later in subsequent sections. Throughout the paper, we shall use Tr^n_m to denote the (relative) trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, i.e., $\text{Tr}_{m}^{n}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} X^{p^{mi}}$, where m and n are positive integers and $m|n$. For $m = 1$, we use Tr to denote the absolute trace. Also $v_p(n)$ will denote the highest nonnegative exponent v such that p^v divides n (that is, the p-adic valuation).

We first recall the definition of the Walsh transform of a function.

Definition 2.1. [\[11\]](#page-25-18) For a function $F : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_p$, the Walsh transform of F at $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, is defined as

$$
\mathcal{W}_F(v) = \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{F(X) - \text{Tr}(vX)},
$$

where $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$ is a complex primitive pth root of unity.

We now present a lemma dealing with solutions of a cubic equation over a finite field with even characteristic. We take the form from [\[29,](#page-26-7) Lemma 2.2], which is derived from the original paper of Williams [\[27\]](#page-26-8).

Lemma 2.2. [\[29,](#page-26-7) Lemma 2.2] For a positive integer n and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$, the cubic equation $X^3 + X + a = 0$ has

- (1) a unique solution in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} if and only if $\text{Tr}^n_1(a^{-1}+1) = 1$;
- (2) three distinct solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} if and only if $p_n(a) = 0$, where the polynomial $p_n(X)$ is recursively defined by the equations $p_1(X) = p_2(X) = X, p_k(X) = p_{k-1}(X) + p_k(X)$ $X^{2^{k-3}} p_{k-2}(X)$ for $k \geq 3$;
- (3) no solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , otherwise.

Next, we recall five classes of permutation polynomials for which we investigate their c-differential uniformity. For an element δ in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$, we let $\bar{\delta} = \delta^{p^m}$.

Lemma 2.3. [\[29,](#page-26-7) Theorem 3.1] Let m be a positive integer and $p_m(X)$ be defined in Lemma [2.2](#page-2-1). Let $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ satisfies either $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, or $\delta \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with $\text{Tr}_{1}^{2m}(\delta) = \text{Tr}_{1}^{m}(1)$ and $p_m((\delta + \bar{\delta})^{-1}) \neq 0$. The polynomial

$$
F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + 1} + X
$$

permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$.

Lemma 2.4. [\[29,](#page-26-7) Theorem 2.1] For a positive integer $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and any element $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$, the polynomial

$$
F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}} + X
$$

permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$.

Lemma 2.5. [\[25,](#page-26-6) Theorem 3.1] For a positive integer $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and any element $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$, the polynomial

$$
F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{3 \cdot 2^{m-2} + 2^{2m-2}} + X
$$

permutes $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$.

Lemma 2.6. [\[16,](#page-25-16) Proposition 8] For a positive integer m and a fixed $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{3m}}$ with $\text{Tr}_{m}^{n}(\delta) = 0$, where $n = 3m$, the polynomial

$$
F(X) = (X^{p^{m}} - X + \delta)^{2p^{2m} + p^{m}} + (X^{p^{m}} - X + \delta)^{p^{2m} + 2p^{m}} + X
$$

permutes $\mathbb{F}_{p^{3m}}$.

Lemma 2.7. [\[16,](#page-25-16) Proposition 10] For a positive integer m and a fixed $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{2m}}$, if $(1 - [\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)]^4)$ is a square element in \mathbb{F}_{3^m} , then the polynomial

$$
F(X) = (X^{3^m} - X + \delta)^{3^m + 4} + (X^{3^m} - X + \delta)^5 + X
$$

is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{3^{2m}}$.

We also recall some results providing us Walsh transform coefficients of some functions, that would be required later in our results.

Lemma 2.8. [\[4,](#page-25-19) Theorem 2] Let $K = \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ and $f(X) = \text{Tr}(X^{2^a+1} + X^{2^b+1})$, $0 \le a < b$. Furthermore, let $d_1 = \gcd(b - a, k)$, $d_2 = \gcd(b + a, k)$ and $\nu = \max\{v_2(b - a), v_2(b + a)\}\$, where v_2 is the 2-valuation, that is, the largest power of 2 dividing the argument. Also, let $S_{d_i} = \{X \in K : \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{F}_2 d_i}(X) = 0\}$ and $L_i = X + X^{2^{d_i}} + X^{2^{2d_i}} + \cdots + X^{2^{\frac{k}{2} - d_i}}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have the following cases:

Case 1: $v_2(b-a) = v_2(b+a) = v_2(k) - 1$ does not hold. Then:

(1) $v_2(k) \leq \nu$. Then $\mathcal{W}_f(\alpha) = 0$ if $\alpha \notin S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$.

(2) $v_2(k) > \nu$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(\alpha) = 0$ if $(L_1 \circ L_2)(\alpha) \neq 0$.

Case 2: $v_2(b-a) = v_2(b+a) = v_2(k) - 1$. Then $W_f(\alpha) = 0$ if $(L_1 \circ L_2)(\alpha + 1) \neq 0$.

Further, we also need a lemma given in [\[4\]](#page-25-19) to evaluate $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in K$ where f_u is a more general function $f_u(x) = \text{Tr}(u X^{2^a+1} + u X^{2^b+1})$, with $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{d_1}}$, where $d_1 =$ $gcd(b - a, k)$.

Lemma 2.9. [\[4,](#page-25-19) Theorem 3] Let $K = \mathbb{F}_{2^k}$ and $f(X) = \text{Tr}(X^{2^a+1} + X^{2^b+1})$, $0 \le a < b$. Furthermore, let $d_1 = \gcd(b - a, k)$, $d_2 = \gcd(b + a, k)$ and $\nu = \max\{v_2(b - a), v_2(b + a)\}.$ Also, let $S_{d_i} = \{X \in K : \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{F}_{2^{d_i}}}(X) = 0\}$ and $L_i = X + X^{2^{d_i}} + X^{2^{2d_i}} + \cdots + X^{2^{\frac{k}{2} - d_i}}$

for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, if $f_u(x) = Tr(uX^{2^a+1} + uX^{2^b+1})$ where $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{d_1}}$, then we have the following cases:

Case 1: $v_2(a) = v_2(b) < v_2(k)$ does not hold. Then:

For any
$$
u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{d_1}}
$$
 there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{d_1}}$ such that $u = \beta^{2^{a+1}}$ and

$$
\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(\alpha) = \mathcal{W}_f\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right).
$$

Case 2: $v_2(a) = v_2(b) < v_2(k)$. Then:

- (1) $v_2(k) \leq \nu$. If $\frac{\alpha}{u^{2-b}} \notin S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$, then $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(\alpha) = 0$.
- (2) $v_2(k) > \nu$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(\alpha) = 0$ if $(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{\alpha}{u^{2-b}} \right)$ $\Big) \neq 0.$

The following lemma can be gleaned from the proof of [\[11,](#page-25-18) Proposition 2].

Lemma 2.10. Let m be a positive integer and $n = 2m$. Also, let $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}(i = 0, \ldots, m)$ for an odd prime p. Then the absolute square of Walsh transform coefficient of the function $f(X) = \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i X^{p^i+1}\right)$ at $v \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is given by

$$
|\mathcal{W}_f(v)|^2 = \begin{cases} p^{n+\ell} & \text{if } f(X) + \text{Tr}(-vX) \equiv 0 \text{ on } Ker(L) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where ℓ is dimension of kernel of the linearized polynomial $L(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (a_i X^{p^i} + (a_i X)^{p^{n-i}})$.

For our first theorem, we will be using the following lemma that we will now prove. The result for the case of finite fields with odd characteristic is already mentioned in the above Lemma [2.10.](#page-4-0) We show that this result also holds in the case of $p = 2$, and we add its proof here for completeness.

Lemma 2.11. Let m be a positive integer and $n = 2m$. Also, let $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}(i = 0, \ldots, m)$. Then the square of Walsh transform coefficient of the function $f(X) = \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i X^{2^i+1}\right)$ at $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{W}_f(w)^2 = \begin{cases} 2^{n+\ell} & \text{if } f(X) + \text{Tr}(wX) \equiv 0 \text{ on } Ker(L) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where ℓ is dimension of kernel of the linearized polynomial $L(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (a_i X^{2^i} + a_i^{2^{n-i}} X^{2^{n-i}})$.

Proof. We can easily write the square of Walsh transform coefficient of the function f : $X \mapsto \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i X^{2^i+1}\right)$ at w as

$$
\mathcal{W}_f(w)^2 = \sum_{X,Y} (-1)^{f(X) + \text{Tr}(wX)} (-1)^{f(Y) + \text{Tr}(wY)} \n= \sum_{Y,Z} (-1)^{f(Y+Z) + \text{Tr}(w(Y+Z))} (-1)^{f(Y) + \text{Tr}(wY)} \text{ (where } X = Y + Z) \n= \sum_Z (-1)^{f(Z) + \text{Tr}(wZ)} \sum_Y (-1)^{f(Y) + f(Z) + f(Y+Z)}.
$$

We first simplify $f(Y) + f(Z) + f(Y + Z)$ as follows:

$$
f(Y) + f(Z) + f(Y + Z) = \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i \left(Y^{2^{i}+1} + Z^{2^{i}+1} + (Y + Z)^{2^{i}+1}\right)\right)
$$

$$
= \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i \left(YZ^{2^{i}} + ZY^{2^{i}}\right)\right)
$$

$$
= \text{Tr}\left(Y\sum_{i=0}^{m} \left(a_i Z^{2^{i}} + (a_i Z)^{2^{n-i}}\right)\right)
$$

$$
= \text{Tr}(YL(Z)),
$$

where $L(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i Z^{2^i} + a_i^{2^{n-i}} Z^{2^{n-i}}$ is the linearized polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with kernel of dimension l, denoted by $\text{Ker}(L)$. This will give us

$$
\mathcal{W}_f(w)^2 = \sum_Z (-1)^{f(Z) + \text{Tr}(wZ)} \sum_Y (-1)^{\text{Tr}(YL(Z))}
$$

= $2^n \sum_{Z \in Ker(L)} (-1)^{f(Z) + \text{Tr}(wZ)}$.

The above equality holds because for those $Z \notin \text{Ker } (L)$, $YL(Z)$ forms a permutation over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} making the inner sum in the square of the Walsh transform zero. To proceed further, we consider \mathbb{F}_{2^n} as an *n*-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_2 and hence $L(Z)$ as a linear transformation of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . As $f(Y) + f(Z) + f(Y + Z) = \text{Tr}(YL(Z))$, we get that $f(Z) + \text{Tr}(wZ)$ is linear on the kernel of L. This will imply that either $f(Z) + \text{Tr}(wZ)$ is identically zero on $\text{Ker}(L)$, or $f(Z) + \text{Tr}(wZ)$ is an onto map on $\text{Ker}(L)$. Hence, the claim is shown. \square

Next, we recall the general technique given in [\[23\]](#page-26-9) using the expression for the number of solutions to a given equation over finite fields in terms of Weil sums. The authors used this technique to compute the c-DDT entries. Let $\chi_1 : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}$ be the canonical additive character of the additive group of \mathbb{F}_q defined as follows

$$
\chi_1(X) := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}(X)}{p}\right).
$$

One can easily observe (see, for instance [\[22\]](#page-26-10)) that number of solutions $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) \in$ \mathbb{F}_q^n of the equation $F(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = b$, denoted by $N(b)$, is given by

(2.1)
$$
N(b) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_1(\beta(F(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) - b)).
$$

We would be using the above expression to calculate the c-differential uniformity of a few permutations over finite fields in the forthcoming sections.

3. PERMUTATIONS OVER \mathbb{F}_{2^n} with low c-differential uniformity

We first consider the c-differential uniformity of the function $F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X +$ $(\delta)^{2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}+1}+X$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , where $n=2m$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. From Lemma [2.3,](#page-2-2) we know that F is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . In the following theorem, we give conditions on δ and c for which F turns out to be either a PcN or an APcN function.

Theorem 3.1. Let $F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}+1} + X$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , where $n = 2m$.

- (1) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Then F is PcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$. Moreover, F is APcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.
- (2) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with $\text{Tr}_1^{2m}(\delta) = \text{Tr}_1^{m}(1)$ and $p_m((\delta + \overline{\delta})^{-1}) \neq 0$, where $p_m(X)$ is the polynomial defined in Lemma [2.2](#page-2-1). Then F is PcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$ and is of c-differential uniformity ≤ 4 for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.

Proof. Clearly, by expanding the given trinomial, one can easily simplify $F(X)$ and gets the below expression

$$
F(X) = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}+1}) + \delta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-1}}) + (\delta^{2^{m-2}+1} + \delta^{2^{2m-2}+1}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-2}}) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-2}+1} + X^{2^{2m-2}+1}) + \delta^{2^{m-2}+2^{2m-2}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X) + X + \delta^{2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}+1}.
$$

Recall that, for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the c-DDT entry $_c\Delta_F(a, b)$ is given by the number of solutions $X\in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ of the following equation,

$$
(3.1) \tF(X+a) + cF(X) = b,
$$

which is the same as,

$$
(1 + c)F(X) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}})X + a(X^{2^{m-1}} + X^{2^{2m-1}})) + F(a) + \delta^{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + 1}
$$

+ $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}})X + a(X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}})) = b.$

Now, by using Equation [\(2.1\)](#page-5-1), the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ of the above equation, that is, $_c\Delta_F(a, b)$, is given by

$$
2^{n} \, {}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta((1+c)F(X) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}})X + a(X^{2^{m-1}} + X^{2^{2m-1}})) + b))}
$$
\n
$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}})X + a(X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}}))))
$$
\n
$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + \delta^{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}+1}))},
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
2^{n} \, {}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) + b + \delta^{2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}+1}\right)\right)} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)F(X)\right)} \\
(-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}})X + a(X^{2^{m-1}} + X^{2^{2m-1}})))\right)} \\
(-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}})X + a(X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}})))\right)}.
$$

We now use the following notations

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)F(X))
$$

\n
$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}})X + a(X^{2^{m-1}} + X^{2^{2m-1}}))))
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}})X + a(X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}}))))).
$$

Therefore,

$$
(3.2) \quad {}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) + b + \delta^{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + 1}\right)\right)} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{T_{0} + T_{1}}.
$$

Case 1. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. To compute T_0 and T_1 , we first write

$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}})X + a(X^{2^{m-1}} + X^{2^{2m-1}}))))
$$

=
$$
\text{Tr}((\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^2)\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta^2))X),
$$

and

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)F(X))
$$

=
$$
\text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)((\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}+1}) + \delta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-1}}) + X + \delta^{2^{m-1}+1})\right)
$$

+
$$
\text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)\delta^{2^{m-1}}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X)\right)
$$

=
$$
\text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)\delta^{2^{m-1}+1}) + \text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c))(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}}))
$$

+
$$
\text{Tr}\left((\beta(1+c) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^2\beta^2(1+c)^2) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-1}}\beta(1+c)))X\right).
$$

Now Equation [\(3.2\)](#page-6-0) reduces to

$$
{}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{m-1}+1}))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(u(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}+1}) + wX)},
$$

where,

$$
u = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)\beta)
$$

\n
$$
w = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2})
$$

\n
$$
+ \beta(1+c) + \delta^{2}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)^{2}\beta^{2}) + \delta^{2^{m-1}}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)\beta).
$$

Further, splitting the above sum depending on whether $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)\beta)$ is 0 or not, we get

$$
2^{n} {}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)) = 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{m-1}+1}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}((\beta(1+c))X)}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{m-1}+1}))}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{m-1}+1}))}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(u(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}+1}) + wX)}
$$

$$
= S_{0} + S_{1},
$$

where S_0, S_1 are the two inner sums. We first consider the sum S_0 below,

$$
S_0 = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta(1+c)) = 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{m-1}+1}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}((\beta(1+c))X)}
$$

$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}\left((\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^2)\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta^2))X\right)}.
$$

To compute S_0 , we need to find number of solutions of the following equation in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

(3.3)
$$
(1+c)\beta + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2}) = 0.
$$

If $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$, then $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)\beta) = (1+c)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) = 0$, and hence Equation [\(3.3\)](#page-8-0) reduces to $(1+c)\beta = 0$. Thus, the inner sum in S_0 is zero for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ and so $S_0 = 2^n$.

Next, we let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Then we observe that for β 's satisfying $\overline{\mathrm{Tr}}_m^{2m}((1+c)\beta) = 0$, we have $\beta^{2^m} = \tilde{c}\beta$, where $\tilde{c} = (1 - c)^{1 - 2^m}$. If $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a) = 0$, then Equation [\(3.3\)](#page-8-0) vanishes only for $\beta = 0$. Now assume that $\text{Tr}^{2m}_m(a) \neq 0$, then after substituting the value of β^{2^m} in Equation [\(3.3\)](#page-8-0), it follows that Equation (3.3) can have at most two solutions, namely, $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2 =$ $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})(1+\tilde{c}) + (1+c)$ $\frac{\sqrt{1-\epsilon^2-1}}{(1+\epsilon^2)^2}$, which is clearly nonzero for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}}) \neq \frac{1+c}{1+\tilde{c}}$ $\frac{1}{1 + \tilde{c}}$. Hence, we get that the inner sum in S_0 is zero for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}.$ Thus, for $(a, b) = (a, F(a) + c\delta^{2^{m-1}+1})$ along with $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}}) \neq \frac{1+c}{1+a^{m}}$ $\frac{1}{1+\tilde{c}}$, we have $S_0 = 2^{n+1}$; and otherwise $S_0 = 2^n$.

Next, we consider

$$
S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)\beta) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{m-1}+1}))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(u(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}+1}) + wX\right)}
$$

$$
= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)\beta) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{m-1}+1}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} \mathcal{W}_{f_{u}}(w),
$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(w)$ is the Walsh transform of the function $f_u(X) = \text{Tr}(u(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}+1}))$ at w. We split our analysis in three cases depending on the value of m . Also, we have $k = 2m$, $a = m - 1$ and $b = 2m - 1$.

Subcase 1(a). Let m is odd, then $gcd(b - a, k) = m$ and $gcd(b + a, k) = 1$. Also, notice that $v_2(a) = v_2(m-1)$, $v_2(b) = v_2(2m-1) = 0$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(2m) = 1$. Summarizing, we observe that $v_2(a) = v_2(b) \le v_2(k)$ does not hold. From Lemma [2.9,](#page-3-0) one can see that $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(w) = \mathcal{W}_f(\frac{w}{n})$ $(\frac{w}{\eta})$, where $f(X) = Tr(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}+1})$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ such that $u = \eta^{2^{m-1}+1}$. We now show that $\mathcal{W}_{f}\left(\frac{w}{n}\right)$ $(\frac{w}{\eta}) = 0$ using Lemma [2.8.](#page-3-1) One can clearly observe that $0 = v_2(b-a) = v_2(b+a) = v_2(k)-1$. Hence it is sufficient to show $(L_1 \circ L_2)(\frac{w}{\eta}+1) \neq 0$.

Now,

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2)(X) = X + X^2 + X^{2^2} + \cdots + X^{2^{m-1}}.
$$

Hence,

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{\eta} + 1\right) = m + \frac{\beta(1+c)}{\eta} + \left(\frac{\beta(1+c)}{\eta}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\beta(1+c)}{\eta}\right)^{2^{m-1}} + \text{Tr}_1^m \left(\frac{\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}}) \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a)^2 \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta)^2}{\eta}\right) + \text{Tr}_1^m \left(\frac{\delta^2(1+c)^2 \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta)^2 + \delta^{2^{m-1}}(1+c) \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta)}{\eta}\right).
$$

Now, if $(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{\eta} + 1\right) = 0$, then we have $((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{\eta} + 1\right))^2 = 0$. This will give us

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{\eta} + 1\right) + \left((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{\eta} + 1\right)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{\eta} \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\beta (1 + c)) + m + m^2
$$

= $\frac{u}{\eta} + m + m^2 = 0,$

As $u^2 = \eta^3$, we have $u = (m+m^2)^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, that gives us $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((1+c)\beta) = 0$, which is obviously not true and hence we are done.

Subcase 1(b). Let $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If we have $v_2(m) = v(\geq 2)$, then $v_2(a) = v_2(b) = 0$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(2m) = v + 1$. Summarizing, we have $v_2(a) = v_2(b) < v_2(k)$ and $v_2(k) > v$. Thus, by using Lemma [2.9](#page-3-0) if we show that $L_1 \circ L_2\left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) \neq 0$ then we have $\mathcal{W}_G(w) = 0$, therefore, $S_1 = 0$ and then we showed the claim. So, our goal is to now show $L_1 \circ L_2 \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) \neq$ 0. As $d_1 = m$ and $d_2 = 2$, we have

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2)(X) = X + X^{2^2} + X^{2^{(2\cdot 2)}} + X^{2^{(3\cdot 2)}} + \cdots + X^{2^{m-2}}.
$$

Now, if $(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) = 0$, then we have $((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right))^{2^2} = 0$. This will give us

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) + \left((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right)\right)^{2^2} = \text{Tr}_m^{2m} \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) = \frac{1}{u^2} \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(w) = 0,
$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption that $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)) \neq 0$.

Subcase 1(c). Let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $d_1 = m$ and $d_2 = 4$. In this subcase, we have $v_2(a) = v_2(b) = 0$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(2m) = 2$. Summarizing all, we observe that $v_2(a) = v_2(b) < v_2(k)$ holds and $v_2(k) \leq \nu$. Then again from Lemma [2.9,](#page-3-0) if we show that $\frac{w}{u^2} \notin S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$, then we are done. In this subcase, $S_{d_1} = \{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{T}_{m}^{2m}(X) = 0\}$ and $S_{d_2} = \{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}_4^n(X) = 0\}$. Let $\frac{w}{u^2} \in S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$. Then we have $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\frac{w}{u^2}) = 0$, which implies that $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c))=0$, which is not possible. Hence, $\mathcal{W}_{G}(w)=0$, which renders $S_1 = 0.$

This above analysis shows the claim that F is PcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2m} \setminus \{1\}$ and APcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.

Case 2. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ with $\text{Tr}_1^{2m}(\delta) = \text{Tr}_1^m(1)$ and $p_m((\delta + \bar{\delta})^{-1}) \neq 0$. Then T_0, T_1 become

$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}})X + a(X^{2^{m-1}} + X^{2^{2m-1}}))))
$$

+
$$
\text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}})X + a(X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}}))))
$$

=
$$
\text{Tr}((\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^2)\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta^2))X)
$$

+
$$
\text{Tr}((\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(a^{2^2})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta)^{2^2})X),
$$

and,

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)F(X))
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + X^{2^{2m-1}+1}) + \delta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-1}}) + X + \delta^{2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}+1})
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)(\delta^{2^{m-2}+2^{2m-2}}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-2}+1} + X^{2^{2m-2}+1}))
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)(\delta^{2^{m-2}+1} + \delta^{2^{2m-2}+1})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-2}}))
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c))(X^{2^{m-1}+1} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})X^{2^{m-2}+1})
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c))^{2}X^{2^{1}+1} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c))^{2^{2}}X^{2^{2}+1}))
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}((\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2}\beta^{2}(1+c)^{2}) + \beta(1+c) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)(\delta^{2^{m-2}+2^{2m-2}})))X)
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2^{2}}(1+c)^{2^{2}}(\delta^{2^{m-2}+1} + \delta^{2^{2m-2}+1})^{2^{2}})X) + \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)\delta^{2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}+1})
$$

Hence, we can rewrite Equation [\(3.2\)](#page-6-0) as

$$
c\Delta_F(a,b) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + 1})}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(u_1 X^{2^{m-1} + 1} + u_2 X^{2^1 + 1} + u_3 X^{2^{m-2} + 1} + u_4 X^{2^2 + 1} + wX)},
$$

where,

$$
u_1 = \text{Tr}_m^{2m}((1+c)\beta)
$$

\n
$$
u_2 = \text{Tr}_m^{2m}((1+c)\beta)^2 = u_1^2
$$

\n
$$
u_3 = \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^{2m-2})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((1+c)\beta)
$$

\n
$$
u_4 = \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((1+c)\beta)^{2^2} = u_3^{2^2}
$$

\n
$$
w = \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^2\beta^2(1+c)^2) + \beta(1+c) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta(1+c)(\delta^{2m-2}+2^{2m-2}))
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta^{2^2}(1+c)^{2^2}(\delta^{2m-2}+1+\delta^{2^{2m-2}+1})^{2^2}) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\alpha^{2^2})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta)^{2^2}
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\alpha^{2m-1})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\alpha^2)\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta^{2^2}) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^{2m-2})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\alpha^{2m-2})\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta).
$$

.

We now split our analysis in two cases and define S_0 and S_1 depending on whether $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)\beta) = 0$ or not, respectively. We first compute S_0 as follows:

$$
S_{0} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)) = 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}+1}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}((\beta(1+c))X)}
$$

\n
$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}\left((\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2^{2}}(1+c)^{2^{2}}(\delta^{2^{m-2}+1} + \delta^{2^{2m-2}+1})^{2^{2}}) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta))X\right)}
$$

\n
$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}\left((\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{2}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)^{2^{2}})X\right)}
$$

\n
$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}\left((\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2}\beta^{2}(1+c)^{2}) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)(\delta^{2^{m-2}+2^{2m-2}})) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2}))X\right)}.
$$

If $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, then $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((1+c)\beta) = 0$ implies $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) = 0$ and thus $\beta^{2^m} = \beta$. This would further reduce S_0 as follows:

$$
S_0 = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta(1+c)) = 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + 1}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}((\beta(1+c)) X)}
$$

$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}((\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((\delta^{2^{m-2}+1} + \delta^{2^{2m-2}+1})^{2^2})\beta^{2^2}(1+c)^{2^2} + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^2)\beta^2(1+c)^2)X}.
$$

To compute S_0 , we need to find the number of solutions $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ of the following equation

$$
\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((\delta^{2^{m-2}+1}+\delta^{2^{2m-2}+1})^{2^2})(1+c)^4\beta^4+\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^2)(1+c)^2\beta^2+(1+c)\beta=0,
$$

or equivalently, to find the number of solutions $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ of the equation given below:

$$
\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^{2m+2} + \delta^{1+2}) (1+c)^3 \beta^3 + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^2) (1+c) \beta + 1 = 0.
$$

Further, multiplying the above equation by $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)$ and using $Z = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^2)(1+c)\beta$, one can rewrite the above equation as follows,

$$
Z^{3} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-1}})^{2} Z + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-1}})^{2} = 0.
$$

Substituting Z with $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-1}})Z$ in the above equation, we therefore get $Z^{3} + Z +$ $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-1}})^{-1} = 0$. From Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-1) the cubic equation $Z^{3} + Z + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-1}})^{-1} = 0$ cannot have a unique solution as $\text{Tr}_{1}^{m}(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-1}}) + 1) = \text{Tr}_{1}^{m}(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) + 1) = \text{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\delta) +$ $\text{Tr}_1^m(1) = 0$. Let $p_m(\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-1}})^{-1}) = 0$, then the cubic equation will have three distinct solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} and hence the cubic equation $Z^3 + Z + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{-1} = 0$ has three distinct solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , implying that $p_m((\delta + \bar{\delta})^{-1}) = 0$, which is a contradiction to the given assumption. Hence $S_0 = 2^n$.

Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2m} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{2m}$. Using a similar technique as above, we are led to finding the number of solutions $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ of the following equation,

$$
(3.4)
$$
\n
$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2^{2}}(1+c)^{2^{2}}(\delta^{2^{m-2}+1}+\delta^{2^{2m-2}+1})^{2^{2}})+\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)+\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2})\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2})
$$
\n
$$
+\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-2}})\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)+\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{2}})\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)^{2^{2}}+(1+c)\beta
$$
\n
$$
+\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2}\beta^{2}(1+c)^{2})+\operatorname{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)(\delta^{2^{m-2}+2^{2m-2}}))=0.
$$

Further, we reduce the above equation by substituting $\beta^{2^m} = \frac{(1+c)}{(1+c)^{2^m}}$ $\frac{(1+c)^m}{(1+c)^{2^m}}\beta = \tilde{c}\beta$, where $(1 + c)$

$$
\tilde{c} = \frac{(1+c)}{(1+c)^{2m}}, \text{ to get}
$$
\n
$$
(1+c)^{4} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^{2m+2^{2}} + \delta^{2^{2}+1}) \beta^{4} + (1+\tilde{c}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (a^{2^{m-1}}) \beta + (1+\tilde{c})^{2} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (a^{2}) \beta^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ (1+\tilde{c}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-2}}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (a^{2^{m-2}}) \beta + (1+\tilde{c})^{4} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (a^{2^{2}}) \beta^{4} + (1+c) \beta
$$
\n
$$
+ (1+c)^{2} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta)^{2} \beta^{2} = 0.
$$

Combining the coefficients of β^i for $i = 1, 2$ and 4, we rewrite the above equation in the following simplified way, $A(1+c)^4\beta^4 + B(1+c)^2\beta^2 + C(1+c)\beta = 0$, where

$$
A = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{5} + \frac{(1+\tilde{c})^{4}}{(1+c)^{4}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{2}}),
$$

\n
$$
B = \frac{(1+\tilde{c})^{2}}{(1+c)^{2}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2}) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2},
$$

\n
$$
C = \frac{(1+\tilde{c})}{(1+c)} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}}) + \frac{(1+\tilde{c})}{(1+c)} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-2}}) + 1.
$$

Notice that $A = \text{T}_{2m}^{2m}(\delta)B^2$, and hence substituting $u = (1+c)\beta$, we have the equation $Tr_m^{2m}(\delta)B^2u^4 + Bu^2 + Cu = 0$. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the solutions of the cubic equation $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)B^{2}u^{3} + Bu + C = 0$. Observe that B and C are in \mathbb{F}_{2m} , which implies that $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)B^2u^3 + Bu + C = 0$ is over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Hence our goal is to find the number of solution of the above cubic equation in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Multiply the cubic equation by $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^2)B$ and substituting $Z = (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)B)u$, we have $Z^{3} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)BZ + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2}BC = 0$, or equivalently

$$
Z^{3} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-1}})^{2} (B')^{2} Z + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta)^{2} BC = 0,
$$

where $(B')^2 = B$. Now replacing Z by $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-1}})B'Z$, we have

$$
Z^{3} + Z + \frac{\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2}BC}{\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-1}})^{3}(B')^{3}} = 0,
$$

which is the same as

(3.5)
$$
Z^3 + Z + \frac{\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2^{m-1}}C}{B'} = 0.
$$

Notice that for $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, the above equation reduces to $Z^3 + Z + \frac{\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2^{m-1}}}{\text{Tr}^{2m}(\delta)}$ $\frac{m^{(0)}}{\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)}=0.$ If the reduced equation has three solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} then $Z^3 + Z + \frac{1}{\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)} = 0$ also has three distinct solutions which is not true as $p_m((\delta + \bar{\delta})^{-1}) \neq 0$. Also, $\text{Tr}_{1}^{m}(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) + 1) \neq 1$, thus for $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, we have no solution of Equation [\(3.5\)](#page-12-0) in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . If $a \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, then we may have at most three solutions to Equation [\(3.5\)](#page-12-0). Thus we have $S_0 \leq 2^{n+2}$. We now consider S_1 as follows.

$$
S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \prod_{m} \left((1+c)\beta \right) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + 1}))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(u_{1}X^{2^{m-1} + 1} + u_{2}X^{2^{1} + 1} + u_{3}X^{2^{m-2} + 1} + u_{4}X^{2^{2} + 1} + wX)}
$$

$$
= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \prod_{m} \left((1+c)\beta \right) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2} + 1}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} \mathcal{W}_{G}(w),
$$

where $\mathcal{W}_G(w)$ is the Walsh transform of the trace of function $G(X) = u_1 X^{2^{m-1}+1}$ + $u_2X^{2^1+1} + u_3X^{2^m-2} + 1 + u_4X^{2^2+1}$ at w. Now from Lemma [2.11,](#page-4-1) the square of the Walsh transform coefficient of G is given by

$$
\mathcal{W}_G(w)^2 = \begin{cases} 2^{n+\ell} & \text{if } G(X) + \text{Tr}(wX) \equiv 0 \text{ on } \text{Ker } (L) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where ℓ is dimension of kernel of the linearized polynomial

$$
L(X) = u_1(X^{2^m} + X)^{2^{m-1}} + u_2(X^{2^m} + X)^2 + u_3(X^{2^m} + X)^{2^{m-2}} + u_4(X^{2^m} + X)^{2^2}.
$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \subseteq \text{Ker}(L)$. Thus, if we can show that $G(X) + \text{Tr}(wX) \neq 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, then $S_1 = 0$. We shall now make efforts to prove that

$$
G(X) + \text{Tr}(wX) = u_1 X^{2^{m-1}+1} + u_2 X^{2^1+1} + u_3 X^{2^{m-2}+1} + u_4 X^{2^2+1} + \text{Tr}(wX)
$$

is not identically zero on \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Observe that for $X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $G(X) + \text{Tr}(vX)$ reduces to the following polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} ,

$$
u_1 X^{2^{m-1}+1} + u_2 X^{2^{1}+1} + u_3 X^{2^{m-2}+1} + u_4 X^{2^{2}+1} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c))X + \dots + (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c))X)^{2^{m-1}}.
$$

If $m = 1$ then $G(X) + \text{Tr}(wX) = 0$ implies that $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1+c)) = 0$, which is not possible. For $m \geq 2$, the degree of $G(X) + Tr(wX)$ is strictly less than that of $2^m - 1$. Hence the \Box claim.

Next, we discuss the *c*-differential uniformity of $F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}} + X$ for some fixed values of c and δ .

Theorem 3.2. Let $F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}} + X$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , where $n = 2m$ and $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Then F is PcN for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

Proof. We know $F(X)$ is a permutation polynomial from Lemma [2.4.](#page-2-3) One can easily simplify $F(X)$ to get the below expression

$$
F(X) = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2^{m-2}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{m-1}+2^{2m-2}}) + \delta^{2^{2m-1}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2^{m-2}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-2}}) + (\delta^{2^{m-2}+2^{2m-2}} + \delta^{2^{2m-1}}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X)^{2^{m-1}} + X^{2^{m}} + \delta^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}}.
$$

Notice that for $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $F(X) = X^{2^m} + \delta^{2^m}$, would have c-differential uniformity 1. Let us assume $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) \neq 0$. Recall that, for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$, the c-DDT entry $c\Delta_{F}(a, b)$ is given by the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ of the equation $F(X + a) + cF(X) = b$, or, equivalently,

$$
(1+c)F(X) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-2}}+a^{2^{2m-2}})X^{2^{m-1}}+a^{2^{m-1}}(X^{2^{m-2}}+X^{2^{2m-2}}))
$$

+ F(a) + $\delta^{3\cdot 2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}} = b$.

Now, by using Equation [\(2.1\)](#page-5-1), the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ of the above equation, $_c\Delta_F(a, b)$, is given by

$$
2^{n} c \Delta_{F}(a,b) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta((1+c)F(X) + F(a) + \delta^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}} + b))}
$$

\n
$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}})X^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{m-1}}(X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}})))}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + \delta^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}} + b))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta((1+c)F(X)))}
$$

\n
$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-2}}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}})X^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{m-1}}(X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}})))}.
$$

With,

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)F(X)),
$$

\n
$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-2}}) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} ((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}})X^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{m-1}} (X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}}))),
$$

the above equation becomes

$$
(3.6) \quad {}_c\Delta_F(a,b) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) + b + c\delta^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}}\right)\right)} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{T_0 + T_1}.
$$

Further, we simplify T_0 and T_1 as follows:

$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-2}}) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} ((a^{2^{m-2}} + a^{2^{2m-2}}) X^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{m-1}} (X^{2^{m-2}} + X^{2^{2m-2}})))
$$

=
$$
\text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-1}}) (a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}}) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\beta^2) X + \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\delta) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\alpha^2) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\beta^4) X),
$$

and,

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)F(X))
$$

=
$$
\text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2^{m-2}}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-1}+2^{m-2}}+X^{2^{m-1}+2^{2m-2}}))\right)
$$

+
$$
\text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)\delta^{2^{2m-1}}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2^{m-2}}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X^{2^{m-2}}))
$$

+
$$
\text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)((\delta^{2^{m-2}+2^{2m-2}}+\delta^{2^{2m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X)^{2^{m-1}}+X^{2^{m}}+\delta^{3\cdot 2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}})\right)
$$

$$
= \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)\delta^{3\cdot 2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}}) + \text{Tr}((\beta(1+c))^{2^m} X + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2}(\beta(1+c))^{4})X) + \text{Tr}(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((\beta(1+c))^{2}(\delta + \delta^{2^{m-1}+2^{2m-1}}))X + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((\beta(1+c))^{4})(X^{3} + X^{2^{m+1}+1}).
$$

Now Equation [\(3.6\)](#page-14-0) reduces to

$$
{}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) + b + c\delta^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}}\right)\right)}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(u(X^{2^{1}+1} + X^{2^{m+1}+1}) + wX\right)},
$$

where,

$$
u = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((\beta(1+c))^{4}) = (1+c)^{4}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)^{4}
$$

\n
$$
w = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2}) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{4})
$$

\n
$$
+ (\beta(1+c))^{2^{m}} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2}(\beta(1+c))^{4}) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((\beta(1+c))^{2}(\delta + \delta^{2^{m-1}+2^{2m-1}}))
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2^{m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2}) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(a^{2})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{4})
$$

\n
$$
+ (1+c)\beta^{2^{m}} + (1+c)^{4}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2}\beta^{4}) + (1+c)^{2}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2}(\delta + \delta^{2^{m-1}+2^{2m-1}})).
$$

Further, splitting the above sum depending on whether $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)$ is 0 or not, we get

$$
2^{n} {}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)=0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a)+b+c\delta^{3\cdot 2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}((1+c)\beta^{2^{m}}X)}
$$

$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}(((1+c)^{4}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{2}\beta^{4})+(1+c)^{2}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{2}(\delta+\delta^{2^{m-1}+2^{2m-1}})))X)}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta \neq 0)}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a)+b+c\delta^{3\cdot 2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}}))}
$$

$$
= \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(u(X^{2^{1}+1}+X^{2^{m+1}+1})+wX)}
$$

$$
= S_{0} + S_{1},
$$

where S_0, S_1 are the two inner sums. We first consider the sum S_0 below. We write

$$
S_0 = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) = 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta\left(F(a) + b + c\delta^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}}\right)\right)} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left((1+c)\beta^{2^m}X\right)} \\
\left. (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(((1+c)^4 \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta) \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^2 \beta^4) + (1+c)^2 \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta^2(\delta + \delta^{2^{m-1} + 2^{2m-1}}))\right)X\right)}
$$

DIFFERENTIAL PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS 17

$$
= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta)=0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a)+b+c\delta^{3\cdot 2^{2m-2}+2^{m-2}}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)X)}
$$

$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}((1+c)^4 \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)^3 \beta^4 + (1+c)^2 \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)\beta^2)X} = 2^n.
$$

The last identity follows by analyzing the number of solutions β of the following equation:

(3.7)
$$
\beta(1+c) + (1+c)^4 \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)^3 \beta^4 + (1+c)^2 \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta) \beta^2 = 0,
$$

or equivalently, nonzero solutions $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ of $Z^3 + Z + 1 = 0$, where $Z = (1+c)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\beta$. From Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-1) it is clear that the polynomial $p_m(X)$ has an odd number of terms if $m \neq 0 \pmod{3}$, and each of term is a monomial of X. Hence, it cannot have three distinct solutions in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Also, since $\text{Tr}_1^m(1+1) = 0$, then it cannot have a unique solution. Thus, Equation [\(3.7\)](#page-16-0) has only one solution $\beta = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} , and that gives us $S_0 = 2^n$. Next, we have

$$
S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}}))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(u(X^{2^{1}+1} + X^{2^{m+1}+1}) + wX\right)}
$$

$$
= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c\delta^{3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} + 2^{m-2}}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} \mathcal{W}_{f_{u}}(w),
$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(w)$ is the Walsh transform of the function $f_u(X) = \text{Tr}(u(X^{2^1+1} + X^{2^{m+1}+1}))$ at w. We split our analysis in two cases depending on the value of m . Also, we have $k = 2m$, $a = 1$ and $b = m + 1$.

Subcase 1(a). If m is odd, then $gcd(b - a, k) = m$ and $gcd(b + a, k) = 1$. Also, notice that $v_2(a) = v_2(1) = 0$, $v_2(b) = v_2(m + 1)$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(2m) = 1$. Summarizing, we observe that $v_2(a) = v_2(b) \le v_2(k)$ does not hold. From Lemma [2.9,](#page-3-0) one can see that $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(w) = \mathcal{W}_f(\frac{w}{n})$ $\frac{w}{\eta}$), where $f(X) = \text{Tr}(X^{2^1+1} + X^{2^{m+1}+1})$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ such that $u = \eta^{2^1+1}$. We now show that $\mathcal{W}_f(\frac{w}{\eta})$ $(\frac{w}{\eta}) = 0$ via Lemma [2.8.](#page-3-1) One can observe that $0 = v_2(b-a) = v_2(b+a) = v_2(k)-1.$ Hence it is sufficient to show that $(L_1 \circ L_2)(\frac{w}{\eta}+1) \neq 0.$ Now,

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2)(X) = X + X^2 + X^{2^2} + \cdots + X^{2^{m-1}}.
$$

Hence,

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{\eta} + 1\right) = m + \frac{\beta^{2^m} (1 + c)}{\eta} + \left(\frac{\beta^{2^m} (1 + c)}{\eta}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\beta^{2^m} (1 + c)}{\eta}\right)^{2^{m-1}} + \text{Tr}_1^m \left(\frac{\text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\delta^{2^{m-1}}) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (a^{2^{m-1}}) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\beta^2) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\delta) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (a^2) \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\beta^4)}{\eta}\right)
$$

$$
+\operatorname{Tr}^m_1\left(\frac{(1+c)^4\mathrm{Tr}^{2m}_m(\delta)\mathrm{Tr}^{2m}_m(\delta^{2^{2m+1}}\beta^4)+(1+c)^2\mathrm{Tr}^{2m}_m(\beta^2(\delta+\delta^{2^{m-1}+2^{2m-1}}))}{\eta}\right).
$$

Now, if $(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{\eta} + 1\right) = 0$, then we have $((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{\eta} + 1\right))^2 = 0$. This will give us $(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{n} + 1\right) + \left((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{n} + 1\right)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\beta^{2m} (1+c)) + m + m^2$

(3.8)
$$
(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{-1}{\eta} + 1 \right) + \left((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{-1}{\eta} + 1 \right) \right) = \frac{-1}{\eta} \Gamma_m^{2m} (\beta^2 \quad (1+c)) + m + m^2
$$

$$
= \frac{(1+c)}{\eta} \Gamma_m^{2m} (\beta) + m + m^2 = 0.
$$

As $u = \eta^3$, we have $\eta \neq 0$, that gives us $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) = 0$ which is obviously not true and hence we are done.

Subcase 1(b). Let $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If we have $v_2(m) = v(\geq 2)$, then $v_2(a) = v_2(b) = 0$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(2m) = v + 1$. Summarizing, we have $v_2(a) = v_2(b) < v_2(k)$ and $v_2(k) > v$. Thus, by using Lemma [2.9](#page-3-0) if we show that $L_1 \circ L_2\left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) \neq 0$ then we have $\mathcal{W}_G(w) = 0$ which gives us $S_1 = 0$ and then we are done with the claim. So, our goal is to now show that $L_1 \circ L_2 \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) \neq 0$. As $d_1 = m$ and $d_2 = 2$, we have

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2)(X) = X + X^{2^2} + X^{2^{(2\cdot 2)}} + X^{2^{(3\cdot 2)}} + \cdots + X^{2^{m-2}}.
$$

Now, if $(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) = 0$, then we have $((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right))^{2^2} = 0$. This will give us

$$
(L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) + \left((L_1 \circ L_2) \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right)\right)^{2^2} = \text{Tr}_m^{2m} \left(\frac{w}{u^2}\right) = \frac{1}{u^2} \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(w) = 0,
$$

which is a contradiction to the assumption that $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) \neq 0$.

Subcase 1(c). Let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $d_1 = m$ and $d_2 = 4$. In this subcase, we have $v_2(a) = v_2(b) = 0$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(2m) = 2$. Summarizing, we observe that $v_2(a) = v_2(b)$ $v_2(k)$ holds and $v_2(k) \leq \nu$. Then again from Lemma [2.9,](#page-3-0) if we show that $\frac{w}{u^2} \notin S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$, then we are done. In this subcase, $S_{d_1} = \{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(X) = 0\}$ and $S_{d_2} = \{X \in$ \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : $\mathrm{Tr}_4^n(X) = 0$. Let $\frac{w}{u^2} \in S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$. Then we have $\mathrm{Tr}_m^{2m}(\frac{w}{u^2}) = 0$, which implies that $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) = 0$, which is not possible. Hence $\mathcal{W}_G(w) = 0$, giving us $S_1 = 0$. This shows the claim that F is PcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$, and the proof is done.

Theorem 3.3. Let $F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{3 \cdot 2^{m-2} + 2^{2m-2}} + X$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , where $n = 2m$ and $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Then F is PcN for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

Proof. Notice that $F(X)$ is a permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} from Lemma [2.5.](#page-2-4) The proof follows along a similar lines as in the aforementioned Theorem [3.2.](#page-13-0)

Next, we compute the c-differential uniformity of the permutation polynomial $F(X)$ = $(X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{2^{2m+1}+2^m} + (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{2^{2m}+2^{m+1}} + X$ and show that it is PcN for some values of c and δ .

Theorem 3.4. Let $F(X) = (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{2^{2m+1}+2^m} + (X^{2^m} + X + \delta)^{2^{2m}+2^{m+1}} + X$ over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , where $n=3m$. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $\text{Tr}^{3m}_m(\delta)=0$. Then F is PcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$.

Proof. First, we know that F is a permutation polynomial via Lemma [2.6.](#page-3-2) Next, by expanding the trinomial, one can easily simplify $F(X)$ and gets the below expression

$$
F(X) = \text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(X^{2^{m+1}+1} + X^{2^{2m+1}+1}) + (\delta^{2^{m+1}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}})X^{2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}}X^{2^{m}} + \delta^{2^{2m}}X^{2^{m+1}} + (\delta^{2^{m}} + \delta^{2^{2m}})X^{2^{2m+1}} + \delta^{2^{m}}X^{2} + (\delta^{2^{m+1}} + 1)X + \delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^{m}}.
$$

Since $\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\delta) = 0$, we can write the above equation as

$$
F(X) = \text{Tr}_{m}^{3m} (X^{2^{m+1}+1} + X^{2^{2m+1}+1}) + \delta^{2} X^{2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}} X^{2^{m}} + \delta^{2^{2m}} X^{2^{m+1}} + \delta X^{2^{2m+1}} + \delta^{2^{m}} X^{2} + (\delta^{2^{m+1}} + 1)X + \delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^{m}}.
$$

Recall that, for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the c-DDT entry $_c\Delta_F(a, b)$ is given by the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ of the equation $F(X + a) + cF(X) = b$, or, equivalently,

$$
(1 + c)F(X) + F(a) + \delta^{2^{m+1} + 2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1} + 2^m}
$$

+ Tr^{3m}_m $((a^{2^{m+1}} + a^{2^{2m+1}})X + a(X^{2^{m+1}} + X^{2^{2m+1}})) = b,$

From Equation [\(2.1\)](#page-5-1), the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ of the above equation is given by

$$
2^{n} c\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta((1+c)F(X) + F(a) + \delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^{m}}))}
$$

$$
(-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}((a^{2^{m+1}} + a^{2^{2m+1}})X + a(X^{2^{m+1}} + X^{2^{2m+1}})) + b))},
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
2^{n} c\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) + b + \delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^{m}}\right)\right)}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)F(X) + \beta \text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}((a^{2^{m+1}} + a^{2^{2m+1}})X + a(X^{2^{m+1}} + X^{2^{2m+1}}))\right)}.
$$

Using notations

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)F(X))
$$

\n
$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_m^{3m}((a^{2^{m+1}} + a^{2^{2m+1}})X + a(X^{2^{m+1}} + X^{2^{2m+1}}))))
$$

the above equation becomes (3.9)

$$
{}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) + b + \delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^{m}}\right)\right)} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{T_{0}+T_{1}}.
$$

Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$. To compute T_0 and T_1 , we simplify them further,

$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta(\text{Tr}_m^{3m}((a^{2^{m+1}} + a^{2^{2m+1}})X + a(X^{2^{m+1}} + X^{2^{2m+1}}))))
$$

= $(a^{2^{m+1}} + a^{2^{2m+1}})\text{Tr}_m^{3m}(\beta)X + (a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}})\text{Tr}_m^{3m}(\beta^{2^{m-1}})X,$

and,

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)F(X))
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)(\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(X^{2^{m+1}+1} + X^{2^{2m+1}+1}) + \delta^2 X^{2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}} X^{2^m} + \delta^{2^{2m}} X^{2^{m+1}})\right)
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)(\delta X^{2^{2m+1}} + \delta^{2^m} X^2 + (\delta^{2^{m+1}} + 1)X + \delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^m})\right)
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}\left(\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c))(X^{2^{m+1}+1} + X^{2^{2m+1}+1}) + \delta^{2^{m+1}}((\beta(1+c))^{2^m} + (\beta(1+c))^{2^{2m}})X\right)
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}\left((\beta(1+c))^{2^{2m-1}}\delta^{2^{m-1}}X + (\beta(1+c)\delta)^{2^{m-1}}X + (\beta(1+c)\delta^{2^m})^{2^{3m-1}}X\right)
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}\left(\beta(1+c)(\delta^{2^{m+1}}+1)X + \beta(1+c)(\delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^m})\right)
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}\left(\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c))(X^{2^{m+1}+1} + X^{2^{2m+1}+1}) + \beta(1+c)X + \text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c))\delta^{2^{m+1}}X\right)
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}\left(\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c))^{2^{m-1}}\delta^{2^{m-1}}X + \beta(1+c)(\delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^m})\right).
$$

Now Equation [\(3.9\)](#page-18-0) reduces to

$$
c\Delta_F(a,b) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c(\delta^{2^{m+1} + 2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1} + 2^m}))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(u(X^{2^{m+1} + 1} + X^{2^{2m+1} + 1}) + wX)},
$$

where,

$$
u = \text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}((1+c)\beta)
$$

\n
$$
w = (a^{2^{m+1}} + a^{2^{2m+1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta) + (a^{2^{m-1}} + a^{2^{2m-1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta^{2^{m-1}}) + \beta(1+c)
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c))\delta^{2^{m+1}} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c))^{2^{m-1}}\delta^{2^{m-1}}.
$$

As $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \setminus \{1\}$, we can split the above sum depending on whether $\text{Tr}_m^{3m}((1+c)\beta)$ is 0 or not, or equivalently, $\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta)$ is 0 or not. We write

$$
2^{n} c\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{T}_{m}^{3m}(\beta)=0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c(\delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^{m}})))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\left(\beta(1+c) + (a^{2^{m+1}} + a^{2^{2m+1}})\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta)\right)X\right)}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}} \\ \text{T}_{m}^{3m}(\beta) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) + b + c(\delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}} + \delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^{m}})))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(u(X^{2^{m+1}+1} + X^{2^{2m+1}+1}) + wX)}
$$

= S_0 + S_1,

where S_0 , S_1 are the two inner sums. We first consider the sum S_0 :

$$
S_0 = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^{3m}(\beta)=0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a)+b+c(\delta^{2^{m+1}+2^{2m}}+\delta^{2^{2m+1}+2^m})))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\beta(1+c)X)}.
$$

Clearly, the inner sum in S_0 is zero only for $\beta = 0$ and hence we have $S_0 = 2^n$. Next, we consider S_1 ,

$$
S_1 = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^m(\beta) \neq 0}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) + b + c(\delta^{2^m + 2^{2m} + 1})\right)\right)} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \mathcal{W}_{f_u}(w),
$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(w)$ is the Walsh transform of the function $f_u(X) = \text{Tr}(u(X^{2^{m+1}+1} + X^{2^{2m+1}+1}))$ at w. We split our analysis in three cases depending on the value of m . Also, we have $k = 3m, a = m + 1 \text{ and } b = 2m + 1.$

Subcase 1(a). If m is odd, then $gcd(b - a, k) = m$ and $gcd(b + a, k) = 1$. Also, notice that $v_2(a) = v_2(m+1)$, $v_2(b) = v_2(2m+1) = 0$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(3m) = 0$. Summarizing all, we observe that $v_2(a) = v_2(b) \le v_2(k)$ does not holds. From Lemma [2.9,](#page-3-0) one can see that $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(w) = \mathcal{W}_f(\frac{w}{\eta})$ $(\frac{w}{\eta})$, where $f(X) = \text{Tr}(X^{2^{m+1}+1} + X^{2^{2m+1}+1})$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ such that $u = \eta^{2^{m-1}+1}$. Also, observe that $0 = v_2(b-a) = v_2(b+a) \neq v_2(k)-1$ and $v_2(k) = \nu$. Hence it is sufficient to show that $\frac{w}{\eta} \notin S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$, where $S_{d_1} = \{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}^{3m}_m(X) = 0\}$ and $S_{d_2} = \{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}_1^n(X) = 0\}.$ It is easy to observe that $\text{Tr}_m^{3m}\left(\frac{w}{n}\right)$ $\frac{w}{\eta}$ $=$ $\frac{1}{\eta}$ $\frac{1}{\eta} \text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c)) =$ 0, which is not possible as $\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c)) \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{W}_{f_u}(w) = \mathcal{W}_{f}(\frac{w}{\eta})$ $(\frac{w}{\eta})=0.$

Subcase 1(b). Let $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If we have $v_2(m) = v(\geq 2)$, then $v_2(a) = v_2(b) = 0$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(3m) = v_2(m)$. Summarizing all, we have $v_2(a) = v_2(b) < v_2(k)$ and $v_2(k) = \nu$. Thus, by using Lemma [2.9](#page-3-0) if we show that $\frac{w}{u^{2-(2m+1)}} = \frac{w}{u^{2m-1}} \notin S_{d_1} \cap S_{d_2}$, where $S_{d_1} = \{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}_m^{3m}(X) = 0\}$ and $S_{d_2} = \{X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} : \text{Tr}_2^n(X) = 0\}$. As $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}\left(\frac{w}{u^{2^{m-1}}} \right)$ $= 0$, will imply $\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c)) = 0$, which will give us a contradiction to the assumption that $\text{Tr}_{m}^{3m}(\beta(1+c)) \neq 0$. Thus, $S_1 = 0$ in this case too.

Subcase 1(c). Let $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then we have $v_2(m) = 1$, $v_2(a) = v_2(b) = 0$ and $v_2(k) = v_2(3m) = v_2(m) = 1$. Summarizing all, we have $v_2(a) = v_2(b) < v_2(k)$ and $v_2(k) < \nu$. Then by using the same argument as in Subcase 1(b), we have $S_1 = 0$. This completes the proof. \Box

4. PERMUTATION POLYNOMIAL OVER \mathbb{F}_{3^n} with low c-differential uniformity

In this section, we consider the *c*-differential uniformity of polynomial $F(X) = (X^{3^m} (X+\delta)^{3^m+4} + (X^{3^m} - X + \delta)^5 + X$, which is a permutation, as stated in Lemma [2.7,](#page-3-3) over \mathbb{F}_{3^n} , where $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$ and $n = 2m$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $F(X) = (X^{3^m} - X + \delta)^{3^m+4} + (X^{3^m} - X + \delta)^5 + X$ over \mathbb{F}_{3^n} , where $n=2m$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$ such that $(1 - [\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)]^4)$ is a square element in $\mathbb{F}_{3^m}^*$. Then:

- (1) F is PcN for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m} \setminus \{1\}.$
- (2) Moreover, F is of c-differential uniformity 3 for all $c \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$.

Proof. Clearly, after simplifying

$$
F(X) = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)(X^{4\cdot 3^{m}} + X^{4} - X^{3^{m+1}+1} - X^{3^{m}+3} + \delta X^{3^{m+1}} + \delta^{3} X^{3^{m}} + \delta^{4})
$$

+
$$
\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)(-\delta X^{3} - \delta^{3} X) + X.
$$

We know that for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$, the c-DDT entry $_c\Delta_F(a, b)$ is given by the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$ of the following equation

$$
(4.1) \t\t F(X+a) - cF(X) = b,
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
(1-c)F(X) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^{3}-a^{3^{m+1}})X + a(X^{3}-X^{3^{m+1}})) + F(a) - \delta^{3^{m}+4} - \delta^{5} = b.
$$

Now, by using Equation [\(2.1\)](#page-5-1), the number of solutions $X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$, ${}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a, b)$, of the above Equation (4.1) is given by

$$
\frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \omega^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta((1-c)F(X) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}((a^3 - a^{3^{m+1}})X + a(X^3 - X^{3^{m+1}})))\right)} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - \delta^{3^m + 4} - \delta^5 - b))},
$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Equivalently,

$$
c\Delta_F(a,b) = \frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \omega^{\text{Tr}\,(\beta \left(F(a) - b - \delta^{3^m + 4} - \delta^5\right))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \omega^{\text{Tr}\,(\beta \left((1 - c)F(X) + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_m^{2m}((a^3 - a^{3^{m+1}})X + a(X^3 - X^{3^{m+1}}))\right))}.
$$

Let

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1-c)F(X)),
$$

\n
$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta) \text{Tr}_m^{2m}((a^3 - a^{3^{m+1}})X + a(X^3 - X^{3^{m+1}}))).
$$

Then,

(4.2)
$$
c\Delta_F(a,b) = \frac{1}{3^n} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \omega^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) - b - \delta^{3^m + 4} - \delta^5\right)\right)} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \omega^{\text{Tr}\left(\beta \left(F(a) - b - \delta^{3^m + 4} - \delta^5\right)\right)}.
$$

Case 1. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m} \setminus \{1\}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$. To compute T_0 and T_1 , we first write

$$
T_1 = \text{Tr}(\beta \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta) \text{Tr}_m^{2m}((a^3 - a^{3^{m+1}})X + a(X^3 - X^{3^{m+1}})))
$$

=
$$
\text{Tr}\left(\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)(a^3 - a^{3^{m+1}})X + \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta^{3^{m-1}}) \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta^{3^{m-1}})(a^{3^m} - a)^{3^{m-1}}X\right),
$$

and

$$
T_0 = \text{Tr}(\beta(1-c)F(X))
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}\left(\beta(1-c)(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)(X^{4\cdot3^{m}} + X^4 - X^{3^{m+1}+1} - X^{3^{m+3}} + \delta X^{3^{m+1}} + \delta^3 X^{3^{m}} + \delta^4) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)(-\delta X^3 - \delta^3 X) + X\right)
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}\left(\beta(1-c)(\delta^{3^{m}+4} + \delta^5)\right) + \text{Tr}\left(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c))X^4 + \beta(1-c)X - \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{3^{m-1}}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c))^{3^{m-1}}X^{3^{m-1}+1} + (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\delta\beta(1-c))^{3^{m-1}}X + \left(-(\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\delta\beta(1-c))^{3^{2m-1}} + (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\delta^3\beta(1-c))^{3^{m}} - \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\delta^3\beta(1-c))X\right).
$$

Now Equation [\(4.2\)](#page-21-1) reduces to

$$
{}_{c}\Delta_{F}(a,b) = \frac{1}{3^{n}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^{m}+4} + \delta^{5}))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(u_{1}X^{4} - u_{2}X^{3^{m-1}+1} + vX)},
$$

where,

$$
u_1 = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c))
$$

\n
$$
u_2 = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{3^{m-1}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c))^{3^{m-1}} = u_1^{3^{m-1}}
$$

\n
$$
v = \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)(a^3 - a^{3^{m+1}}) + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta^{3^{m-1}}) \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{3^{m-1}})(a^{3^{m}} - a)^{3^{m-1}} + \beta(1-c)
$$

\n
$$
+ (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\delta\beta(1-c))^{3^{m-1}} - (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\delta\beta(1-c))^{3^{2m-1}} + (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\delta^3\beta(1-c))^{3^{m}}
$$

\n
$$
- \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)\delta^3\beta(1-c).
$$

Further, splitting the above sum depending on whether $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)$ is 0 or not, we get

$$
S_{0} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)=0}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^{m}+4} + \delta^{5})))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}} \omega^{\text{Tr}\left(\left((1-c)\beta - (1-c)\beta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{4} + (1-c)^{3^{m-1}}\beta^{3^{m-1}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2 \cdot 3^{m-1}}\right) X\right)}
$$

$$
= 3^{n} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}^{*} \\ \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta)=0}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^{m}+4} + \delta^{5})))}
$$

$$
\sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}} \omega^{\text{Tr}\left(\left((1-c)\beta - (1-c)\beta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{4} + (1-c)^{3^{m-1}}\beta^{3^{m-1}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2 \cdot 3^{m-1}}\right) X\right)}.
$$

To compute S_0 , we need to compute the number of solutions $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$ for following equation,

$$
(1-c)\beta - (1-c)\beta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{4} + (1-c)^{3^{m-1}}\beta^{3^{m-1}} \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2 \cdot 3^{m-1}} = 0.
$$

It is clear that when $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) = 0$, the above equation has only one solution $\beta = 0$. Let us assume that $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta) \neq 0$. Raising the above equation to a cubic power, we get

$$
(1-c)^{3} \beta^{3} - (1-c)^{3} \beta^{3} (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta)^{4})^{3} - (1-c) \beta \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m} (\delta)^{2} = 0,
$$

or equivalently, $A\beta^3 - B\beta = 0$, where $A = (1-c)^3 (1 - \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^4)^3$ and $B = (1-c)\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^2$. One can clearly observe that $A\beta^3 - B\beta = 0$ has a solution in $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}^*$ if and only if $\frac{B}{A}$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_{3^m} . Now $\frac{B}{A} = \frac{\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)^2}{(1-c)^2(1-[\text{Tr}_n^2])^2}$ $\frac{\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2}}{(1-c)^{2}(1-[\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{4}])^{3}} = \left(\frac{\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)}{(1-c)\nu^{3}}\right)$ $(1 - c)\nu^3$ $\bigg)^2$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m}^*$ and $\nu^2 = (1 - \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)^4)$. Hence $\beta = \pm \frac{\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)}{(1 - \epsilon)^{1/m}}$ $\frac{\Pi_m(0)}{(1-c)\nu^3}$, however, $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) \neq 0$ as $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) =$ $\pm 2 \frac{\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)}{4}$

 $\frac{11_m (0)}{(1-c)\nu^3}$. This would give us a contradiction to the condition that $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) = 0$. Hence $S_0 = 3^n$.

Next we compute sum S_1 ,

$$
S_1 = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) \neq 0}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^m + 4} + \delta^5)))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \omega^{\text{Tr}\left(u_1 X^4 - u_2 X^{3^{m-1} + 1} + vX\right)}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta) \neq 0}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^m + 4} + \delta^5)))} \mathcal{W}_G(-v),
$$

where $W_G(-v)$ is the Walsh transform of trace of function $G(X) = u_1X^4 - u_2X^{3^{m-1}+1}$ at $-v$. Now, from Lemma [2.10,](#page-4-0) the absolute square of Walsh transform coefficient of G is given by

$$
|\mathcal{W}_G(-v)|^2 = \begin{cases} 3^{n+\ell} & \text{if } G(X) + \text{Tr}(vX) \equiv 0 \text{ on } \text{Ker } (L), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where ℓ is dimension of kernel of the linearized polynomial

$$
L(X) = u_1(X - X^{3^m})^3 - u_2(X - X^{3^m})^{3^{m-1}}.
$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbb{F}_{3^m} \subseteq \text{Ker}(L)$. Thus, if we can show that $G(X) + \text{Tr}(vX) \neq 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$, then $S_1 = 0$. We shall now prove that $G(X) + \text{Tr}(vX)$ is not identically zero on \mathbb{F}_{3^m} . For $X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$, the polynomial $G(X) + \text{Tr}(vX)$ gets reduced to the polynomial

$$
u_1X^4 - u_2X^{3^{m-1}+1} + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c))X + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c))^{3}X^3 + \dots + \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c))^{3^{m-1}}X^{3^{m-1}},
$$

which is a polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{3^m} . For $m = 1$, $G(X) + Tr(vX)$ is not identically zero on \mathbb{F}_3 as $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c)) \neq 0$. And for $m \geq 2$, it is easy to observe that degree of $G(X) + \text{Tr}(vX)$ is strictly less than $3^m - 1$ and hence the claim.

Case 2. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$. Then using the same values of u_1, u_2 and v as above, one can define the sums S_0 and S_1 depending upon $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta(1-c)) = 0$ or $\text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta(1-c)) \neq 0$.

First,

$$
S_0 = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \\ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta(1-c)) = 0}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^m + 4} + \delta^5)))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(vX)}
$$

$$
= 3^n + \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}^* \\ \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\beta(1-c)) = 0}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^m + 4} + \delta^5)))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(vX)}
$$

To compute S_0 , we need to find the number of solutions for

$$
\begin{split} \operatorname{Tr}^{2m}_{m}(\beta) \operatorname{Tr}^{2m}_{m}(\delta)(a^{3} - a^{3^{m+1}}) + \operatorname{Tr}^{2m}_{m}(\beta^{3^{m-1}}) \operatorname{Tr}^{2m}_{m}(\delta^{3^{m-1}})(a^{3^{m}} - a)^{3^{m-1}} + \beta(1 - c) \\ + (\operatorname{Tr}^{2m}_{m}(\delta)\delta\beta(1 - c))^{3^{m-1}} - (\operatorname{Tr}^{2m}_{m}(\delta)\delta\beta(1 - c))^{3^{2m-1}} + (\operatorname{Tr}^{2m}_{m}(\delta)\delta^{3}\beta(1 - c))^{3^{m}} \\ - \operatorname{Tr}^{2m}_{m}(\delta)\delta^{3}\beta(1 - c) = 0, \end{split}
$$

or equivalently, using $\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c))=0$, we have

$$
(1 - \tilde{c})\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)(a - a^{3^{m}})^{3}\beta + (1 - \tilde{c})^{3^{m-1}}\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta^{3^{m-1}})(a^{3^{m}} - a)^{3^{m-1}}\beta^{3^{m-1}} + \beta(1 - c) + (\text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{2 \cdot 3^{m-1}}(1 - c)^{3^{m-1}}\beta^{3^{m-1}} - \text{Tr}_{m}^{2m}(\delta)^{4}(1 - c)\beta = 0,
$$

where $\tilde{c} = (1-c)^{1-3^m}$. Raising the above equation to the cubic power, we get $A\beta^3 - B\beta = 0$, where

$$
A = (1 - \tilde{c})^3 \text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\delta^3)(a - a^{3m})^9 + (1 - c)^3 - (1 - c)^3 (\text{Tr}_m^{2m} (\delta))^{12}
$$

and

$$
B = \tilde{c}(1 - \tilde{c})^{3^m} \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)(a - a^{3^m}) + \tilde{c}(1 - c)^{3^m} \text{Tr}_m^{2m}(\delta)^2.
$$

It is easy to see that except for $\beta = 0$, $A\beta^3 - B\beta = 0$ has a solution $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}^*$ if $\frac{B}{A}$ is a square (notice that for $a \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$, $\frac{B}{A}$ $\frac{B}{A}$ is always a square). If it is a square, then $A\beta + B\beta^3 = 0$ has three solution in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} , namely, $\beta = 0$, $\beta = \beta_1$ and $\beta = -\beta_1$. Hence, S_0 becomes

$$
3^{n} \left(1+\omega \text{Tr}(\beta_1(F(a)-b-c(\delta^{3^{m}+4}+\delta^5))) + \omega \text{Tr}(-\beta_1(F(a)-b-c(\delta^{3^{m}+4}+\delta^5)))\right).
$$

Clearly, for those pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$ for which $b = F(a) - c(\delta^{3^m+4} + \delta^5)$, we have $S_0 = 3^{n+1}$; and for the other pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$, we have $S_0 = 3^n(1 + \omega^{\text{Tr}(\alpha)} + \omega^{\text{Tr}(-\alpha)}),$ where $\alpha = \beta_1 (F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3m+4} + \delta^5))$. Hence, the maximum value that $S_0 = 3^n (1 +$ $\omega^{\text{Tr}(\alpha)} + \omega^{\text{Tr}(-\alpha)}$ can attain is 3^{n+1} , as $\text{Tr}(-\alpha) = -\text{Tr}(\alpha)$. This yields that $S_0 = 3^{n+1}$. Next, we analyze the second sum,

$$
S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}} \\ \Pi_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c)) \neq 0}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^{m}+4} + \delta^{5})))} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(u_{1}X^{3} - u_{2}X^{3^{m-1}+1} + vX)} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{n}} \\ \Pi_{m}^{2m}(\beta(1-c)) \neq 0}} \omega^{\text{Tr}(\beta(F(a) - b - c(\delta^{3^{m}+4} + \delta^{5})))} \mathcal{W}_{G}(-v),
$$

.

where $\mathcal{W}_G(-v)$ is the Walsh transform of trace of function $G: X \mapsto u_1X^4 - u_2X^{3^{m-1}+1}$ at $-v$. By following similar arguments as in the Case 1 above, one can show that $S_1 = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

5. Conclusions

In this paper we show that some permutation polynomials are PcN over finite fields of even characteristic and even dimension, for $c \neq 1$ in the subfield of half dimension. This adds to the small list of known (non-trivial) PcN functions. We also find a class of permutation polynomials over finite fields of characteristic 3, of even dimension $n = 2m$, which is PcN for $c \in \mathbb{F}_{3^m} \setminus \{1\}$, and has c-differential uniformity 3 for all $c \notin \mathbb{F}_{3^m}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Anbar, T. Kalayci, W. Meidl, C. Riera, P. Stănică, P_io N functions, complete mappings and quasigroup difference sets, J. Comb. Des. (2023) , <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcd.21916>.
- [2] E. Biham, A. Shamir, Differential cryptanalysis of DES-like cryptosystems, J. Cryptol. 4:1 (1991), 3–72.
- [3] N. Borisov, M. Chew, R. Johnson, D. Wagner, *Multiplicative Differentials*, In International Workshop on Fast Software Encryption (pp. 17-33). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2002).
- [4] A. Cosgun, *Explicit evaluation of Walsh transforms of a class of Gold type functions*, Finite Fields Appl. 50 (2018), 66–83.
- [5] C. Ding, T. Helleseth, Optimal ternary cyclic codes from monomials, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 59 (2013), 5898–5904.
- [6] C. Ding, J. Yuan, A family of skew Hadamard difference sets, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 113 (2006) 1526–1535.
- [7] P. Ellingsen, P. Felke, C. Riera, P. Stănică, A. Tkachenko, c-differentials, multiplicative uniformity, and (almost) perfect c-nonlinearity, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 66:6 (2020), 5781–5789.
- [8] K. Garg, S. U. Hasan, P. Stănică, Differential uniformity properties of some classes of permutation polynomials, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.01931> (2022).
- [9] S. U. Hasan, M. Pal, C. Riera, P. Stănică, On the c-differential uniformity of certain maps over finite fields, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 89 (2021), 221–239.
- [10] S. U. Hasan, M. Pal, P. Stănică, On the c-differential uniformity and boomerang uniformity of two classes of permutation polynomials, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 68 (2022), 679–691.
- [11] T. Helleseth, A. Kholosha, Monomial and quadratic bent functions over the finite fields of odd characteristic. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 52: 5 (2006), 2018–2032.
- [12] J. Jeong, N. Koo, S. Kwon, On non-monomial APcN permutations over finite fields of even characteristic, Finite Fields Appl. 89 (2023), 102196.
- [13] J. Jeong, N. Koo, S. Kwon, Investigations of c-differential uniformity of permutations with Carlitz rank 3, Finite Fields Appl. 86 (2023), 102145.
- [14] Y. Laigle-Chapuy, Permutation polynomials and applications to coding theory, Finite Fields Appl. 13 (2007), 58–70.
- [15] C. Li, C. Riera, P. Stănică, Low c-differentially uniform functions via an extension of Dillon's switching method, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.08760> (2022).
- [16] L. Li, S. Wang, C. Li, X. Zeng, Permutation polynomials $(x^{p^m} - x + \delta)^{s_1} + (x^{p^m} - x + \delta)^{s_2} + x$ over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , Finite Fields Appl. 51 (2018), 31–61.
- [17] R. Lidl, W.B. Mullen, Permutation polynomials in RSA-cryptosystems, in: Advances in Cryptology, Plenum, New York, 1984, pp. 293–301.
- [18] S. Mesnager, C. Riera, P. Stănică, H. Yan, and Z. Zhou, *Investigations on c-(Almost) Perfect Nonlinear* Functions, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 67:10 (2021), 6916–6925.
- [19] K. Nyberg, Differentially uniform mappings for cryptography, In T. Helleseth (ed), Advances in Cryptology-EUROCRYPT'93, 765 55–64, Springer, Heidelberg (1994).
- [20] M. Pal, P. Stănică, Cryptographic properties of the inverse function in odd characteristic and quasiplanarity, manuscript.
- [21] J. Schwenk, K. Huber, Public key encryption and digital signatures based on permutation polynomials, Electron. Lett. 34 (1998), 759–760.
- $[22]$ P. Stănică, Using double Weil sums in finding the c-boomerang connectivity table for monomial functions on finite fields, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput. 34, 581–602 (2023).
- [23] P. Stănică, C. Riera, A. Tkachenko, Characters, Weil sums and c-differential uniformity with an application to the perturbed Gold function, Cryptogr. Commun. 13 (2021), 891–907.
- [24] Z. Tu, N. Li, Y. Wu, X. Zeng, X. Tang, Y. Jiang, On the Differential Spectrum and the APcN Property of a Class of Power Functions Over Finite Fields, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 69:1 (2023), 582–597.
- [25] L. Wang, W. Baofeng, and L. Zhuojun. Further results on permutation polynomials of the form $(X^{p^m}-)$ $(X + \delta)^s + L(X)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$, Finite Fields Appl. 44 (2017), 92–112.
- [26] X. Wang, D. Zheng, L. Hu, Several classes of PcN power functions over finite fields, Discret. Appl. Math. 322 (2022), 1710–182.
- [27] K. S. Williams, Note on cubics over $GF(2^n)$ and $GF(3^n)$, J. Number Theory 7:4 (1975), 361-365.
- [28] Y. Wu, N. Li, X. Zeng, New PcN and APcN functions over finite fields, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 89 $(2021), 2637-2651.$
- [29] Z. Zha, L. Hu, Some classes of permutation polynomials of the form $(x^{p^m} - x + \delta)^s + x$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2m}}$, Finite Fields Appl. 40 (2016), 150–162.
- [30] Z. Zha, L. Hu, Some classes of power functions with low c-differential uniformity over finite fields, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 89 (2021), 1193–1210.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Jammu, Jammu 181221, India Email address: kirpa.garg@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Jammu, Jammu 181221, India Email address: sartaj.hasan@iitjammu.ac.in

Applied Mathematics Department, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA 93943, USA Email address: pstanica@nps.edu