

# Deep Group Interest Modeling of Full Lifelong User Behaviors for CTR Prediction

Qi Liu\*  
University of Science and Technology  
of China  
Hefei, China  
qiliu67@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Xuyang Hou\*  
Meituan  
Beijing, China  
houxuyang@meituan.com

Haoran Jin  
University of Science and Technology  
of China  
Hefei, China  
haoranjin@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Jin Chen  
University of Electronic Science and  
Technology of China  
Chengdu, China  
chenjin@std.uestc.edu.cn

Zhe Wang  
Meituan  
Beijing, China  
wangzhe65@meituan.com

Defu Lian  
University of Science and Technology  
of China  
Hefei, China  
liandefu@ustc.edu.cn

Tan Qu  
Meituan  
Beijing, China  
qutan@meituan.com

Jia Cheng  
Meituan  
Beijing, China  
jia.cheng.sh@meituan.com

Jun Lei  
Meituan  
Beijing, China  
leijun@meituan.com

## ABSTRACT

Extracting users' interests from their lifelong behavior sequence is crucial for predicting Click-Through Rate (CTR). Most current methods employ a two-stage process for efficiency: they first select historical behaviors related to the candidate item and then deduce the user's interest from this narrowed-down behavior subsequence. These methods, however, primarily focus on modeling the user's click behavior sequence to align with the CTR objective. This two-stage paradigm, though effective, leads to information loss. Solely using users' lifelong click behaviors doesn't provide a complete picture of their interests, leading to suboptimal performance. In our research, we introduce the Deep Group Interest Network (DGIN), an end-to-end method to model the user's entire behavior history. This includes all post-registration actions, such as clicks, cart additions, purchases, and more, providing a nuanced user understanding. We start by grouping the full range of behaviors using a relevant key (like `item_id`) to enhance efficiency. This process reduces the behavior length significantly, from  $O(10^4)$  to  $O(10^2)$ . To mitigate the potential loss of information due to grouping, we incorporate two categories of group attributes. Within each group, we calculate statistical information on various heterogeneous behaviors (like behavior counts) and employ self-attention mechanisms to highlight unique behavior characteristics (like behavior type). Based on this reorganized behavior data, the user's interests are derived using the Transformer technique. Additionally, we identify a subset of behaviors that share the same `item_id`

with the candidate item from the lifelong behavior sequence. The insights from this subset reveal the user's decision-making process related to the candidate item, improving prediction accuracy. Our comprehensive evaluation, both on industrial and public datasets, validates DGIN's efficacy and efficiency. Furthermore, an A/B test indicates that DGIN enhances CTR by 4.5% and Revenue per Mile by 2.0% in our online LBS advertising system.

## KEYWORDS

Click-Through Rate Prediction, User Interest Modeling, Lifelong Behaviors Modeling

### ACM Reference Format:

Qi Liu\*, Xuyang Hou\*, Haoran Jin, Jin Chen, Zhe Wang, Defu Lian, Tan Qu, Jia Cheng, and Jun Lei. 2018. Deep Group Interest Modeling of Full Lifelong User Behaviors for CTR Prediction. In *Proceedings of Make sure to enter the correct conference title from your rights confirmation email (Conference acronym 'XX)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

## 1 INTRODUCTION


In modern recommendation systems (RS), Click-Through Rate (CTR) prediction serves a vital role in estimating the probability of a user clicking on candidate items. The estimated probability is a decisive factor in the final ranking formula, which determines the items that will be displayed to the user. In recent years, numerous approaches have been proposed to improve the accuracy of CTR prediction. Mining interest patterns from the user's historical behaviors is a main research topic. Some works [19, 20] have found that life-long/long user behavior sequences containing abundant interest characteristics such as drifting, periodicity, and long correlation are more beneficial. However, the strict online latency limitation poses challenges in processing lifelong behavior sequences effectively.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](https://permissions@acm.org).

Conference acronym 'XX, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.  
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00  
<https://doi.org/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

\*The two authors contributed equally to this work.



**Figure 1: The relevance between CTR and the post-click behaviors. The left part shows that the user with more post-click behaviors has a higher CTR. The "Mode" in the right part represents the order among different types of post-click behaviors. The CTR values of the right part demonstrate the order of post-click behaviors can also reflect the user preference.**

It's urgent to unlock the potential of lifelong behavior sequences in a more efficient manner.

Existing works devote efforts to lifelong behavior sequence modeling and make some progress, typically following a two-stage approach. Firstly, a fast and lightweight search module retrieves hundreds of historical behaviors related to the candidate item from the tens of thousands of lifelong behaviors. Secondly, target attention is applied to extract the user's interest from the retrieved short sub-sequence. The focus of research has primarily been on the first stage. For example, SIM Hard [20] retrieves behaviors holding the same category\_id as the candidate item. Further, SIM Soft [20] selects behaviors of top-ranked inner products with the candidate item based on pre-trained item embeddings. UBR4CTR [22] applies the BM25 [24] as a relevance score and uses the inverted index to obtain relevant behaviors. ETA [4] utilizes locality-sensitive hashing (LSH) [8] and Hamming distance to perform user behavior retrieval based on low-cost bit-wise operations. SDIM [2] selects behaviors that share the same hash signature as the candidate item by multi-round hash collision. The two-stage paradigm represents a trade-off between effectiveness and efficiency.


Although effective, those algorithms still suffer two non-negligible limitations: biased interest caused by retrieval and incomplete interest description resulting from considering only historical click behavior. The first retrieval stage retains only the top-relevant behaviors and discards at least 95% of the historical behaviors, leading to biased interest estimation. What's worse, the existing relevance inconsistency [3] between the two cascading stages exacerbates the problem. In order to be consistent with the CTR task, existing methods just aggregate the historical click behavior to form the lifelong behavior sequence. However, users interact with items in multiple types of behaviors. For example, in an online location-based services (LBS) platform, there are various forms of behaviors, including click, add-to-cart, browse-dishes, view-comments, and purchase. The lifelong click behavior sequence alone cannot comprehensively depict the user's interest since the intensity of interest reflected by different types of behaviors varies. Thus, the full lifelong user behaviors provide an opportunity to capture fine-grained interest patterns. As shown in Figure 1, the counts and order of the user's behaviors after clicking demonstrate post-click behaviors can play

a role in preference de-noising, which is impossible in a click-only situation.

To address the bias and incomplete interest modeling, we propose DGIN: Deep Group Interest Network for full lifelong user behavior modeling. DGIN extracts the user's interest from the full lifelong behavior sequence in an efficient end-to-end manner. The relationship between interest and behavior is that interest determines behavior, and behavior reflects interest. While there may be unlimited behaviors, there are only limited interests. Therefore, we first group full lifelong behaviors into interest sets based on an informative key. This key can be an existing concept (e.g. item\_id, category\_id) or learned from the data, and it acts as the interest center. The grouping operation transforms the lifelong behavior sequence into interest sets, significantly reducing its magnitude. In our approach, we use the item\_id as the key for grouping, based on the observation that users have repeated consumption habits in our online LBS platform. As shown in Figure 2, the grouping operation reduces the magnitude of behavior from  $O(10^4)$  to  $O(10^2)$ . To alleviate the information lost during grouping, we supplement two types of interest attributes. First, we calculate statistical values (e.g. behavior counts) of various heterogeneous behaviors within the group. This information reflects the user's intensity towards the interest, as depicted in Figure 1. We consider the unique characteristics (e.g. behavior type, timestamp) of each behavior within the group. We use self-attention to aggregate these characteristic sequences. The two types of interest attributes preserve as much unique information from each behavior as possible. After obtaining the interest sets, we perform target attention between the candidate item and the interest sets to extract the user's interest. This end-to-end full lifelong behavior modeling approach ensures unbiased and comprehensive user interest representation with negligible information loss. On the other hand, the full lifelong sequence contains the user's evolving regularity towards certain items. This evolving regularity is beneficial for predicting the candidate item. Therefore, we sample the behaviors that have the same item\_id as the candidate item from the full lifelong behavior sequence. By performing target attention between this sub-sequence and the candidate item, we extract the user's interest, which represents their psychological decision habit towards the candidate item, especially in the online LBS platform with abundant repeated interactions.

Overall, we make the following contributions:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to achieve efficient end-to-end full lifelong user behavior sequence modeling. We reveal the necessity of introducing multiple types of behaviors into the lifelong sequence modeling.
- We propose the Deep Group Interest Network for capturing the user's long-term interest patterns. We apply the group operation to transform the behavior sequence into interest sets, which is the key to reducing computation overload. We also sample a sub-sequence to capture the user's decision pattern towards the candidate item.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of DGIN, we conduct offline experiments on both industrial and public datasets. The results of these experiments demonstrate a remarkable improvement achieved by DGIN. Furthermore, we also conduct online A/B test experiments to validate the performance of



**Figure 2: We analyze the distribution of the behavior’s length before and after grouping on *item\_id*. We rank each sample based on the length of the full lifelong behavior sequence in ascending order. The left half shows the corresponding behavior’s length at different quantiles before or after grouping. The right half displays the distribution of the behavior’s quantity in each group.**

DGIN in real-world systems. The results further confirm the effectiveness of DGIN in improving user behavior modeling and recommendation accuracy.

## 2 RELATED WORK

### 2.1 Click-Through Rate Prediction

CTR prediction has been a long-standing research hotspot in RS. Early CTR methods [15, 23, 27] mainly focus on the low-order feature interactions. Recently, methods based on deep learning have achieved amazing progress in CTR prediction. Wide&Deep [6] utilizes the linear model to memorization of feature interaction and takes the deep neural network to achieve generalization. DeepFM [12] replaces the linear model with FM to emphasize the second-order feature interactions. xDeepFM [17] further proposes the Compressed Interaction Network to capture the high-order feature interaction explicitly. DCN [26] applies a cross-vector network to learn informative feature interactions automatically.

Apart from the feature interaction methods, user behavior sequence modeling [5, 9–11, 29, 32–34] also attracts lots of attention and develops fast. It focuses on extracting the user’s interest from historical behaviors to improve the accuracy of preference estimation. Limited by the online latency, most existing methods design algorithms on the truncated short user behavior sequence, which only contains the user’s instant interest. DIN [34] first performs attention between candidate item and behavior sequence to extract interest by emphasizing target-relevant behaviors and suppressing target-irrelevant ones. DIEN [33] further takes a two-layer GRU [7] to model the temporal shifting and mine interest in the interest level. Meanwhile, some works introduce multiple types of behavior sequences [11, 13, 18, 28, 32, 35] into CTR modeling with the aim of obtaining comprehensive fine-grained interest. ATRANK [32] utilizes the Transformer [25] encoder-decoder network to acquire interest from the mixed behavior sequence which consists of various types of behaviors in chronological order. While DMT [11] uses different behavior modeling networks to process different types of behavior sequences. However, taking merely the short behavior sequence into consideration can not obtain the important long-term interest patterns and limit performance improvement.

### 2.2 Long User Behavior Sequence Modeling

Due to the effectiveness of user behavior sequence modeling, long behavior sequences modeling [2, 4, 19–21, 30, 31] have been explored. MIMN [19] applied the memory network and GRU to induce interest stored at the user interest center. However, MIMN barely processes sequences longer than  $10^3$ , and the induction process without the candidate item causes lots of information loss. After MIMN, the two-stage solution becomes the paradigm. The first stage retrieves hundreds of candidate-relevant behaviors from the long behavior sequence in an efficient way and then performs target attention with the retrieved behaviors to extract interest. SIM Hard [20] takes behaviors that have the same category as the candidate item, while SIM Soft [20] selects top-ranked behaviors according to the inner product between the candidate item and historical behaviors with the pre-trained item embeddings. UBR4CTR [22] applied the BM25 as the retrieve metric. Recently, ETA [4] and SDIM [2] have tried to do retrieving and extracting in an end-to-end manner. ETA uses LSH to perform item embedding binarization and takes the Hamming distance as the metric to filter behaviors. SDIM selects behaviors that hold the same hash signature as the candidate item through multi-round hash collision and the embedding of selected behaviors will be aggregated directly. Although end-to-end, the interest is still extracted from the retrieved sub-sequence and can’t escape the problem of biased interest. What’s worse, all those methods only take the click behavior into consideration, which leads to incomplete interest modeling. The above problems motivate us to explore a real efficient end-to-end method for full lifelong user behavior sequence modeling.

## 3 METHODS

We first introduce the general preliminaries of the CTR prediction task in Section 3.1. Then we describe the details of DGIN in Section 3.2, including the group operation and candidate-same sub-sequence modeling. In Section 3.3, we present our engineer solution for deploying DGIN. Finally, we will analyze the algorithm complexity of DGIN to verify its feasibility theoretically.

### 3.1 Preliminaries

CTR prediction aims at estimating the probability of the user clicking a candidate item under a specific context in the ranking stage. The instance can be represented by  $(\mathbf{x}, y)$ , where  $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}^u, \mathbf{x}^s, \mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{x}^c]$ ,  $y \in \{0, 1\}$  indicates click or not.  $\mathbf{x}^u, \mathbf{x}^s, \mathbf{x}^i$ , and  $\mathbf{x}^c$  represent the features’ set of user, user behavior sequence, candidate item, and context respectively. Given the training dataset  $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N)\}$ , we need to learn a model  $f$  to predict the CTR, which can be formulated as the following Eq. (1):

$$p_i = f(\mathbf{x}). \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i$  is the estimated probability and  $f$  is the CTR model. CTR model is usually trained as a binary classification problem by minimizing the negative log-likelihood loss on the training dataset  $D$ :

$$l(D) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \log(p_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i). \quad (2)$$

where  $N$  is the size of the training dataset. For conciseness, we omit the subscript  $i$  in the following description when no confusion.

As shown in Figure 3, DGIN is composed of the Embedding layer, the Group Module, and the Target Module. The original input  $\mathbf{x}$  of the CTR model is sparse one-hot vectors. It will go through the embedding layer to get the low-dimensional dense vectors as representation. The GM takes the already grouped lifelong behavior sequence as input. It first applies the self-attention mechanism to aggregate the unique characteristics of each behavior within the group and perform attention to extract long-term user interest in an end-to-end manner. Meanwhile, the TM takes the sub-sequence whose behaviors hold the same `item_id` as the candidate item and then obtains the psychological decision interest towards the candidate through another attention network. The extracted long-term interest and psychological decision interest will serve as the input of the Multi-Layer Perception.

### 3.2 Embedding Layer

Each field has its own embedding matrix  $\mathbf{E} = [\mathbf{e}_1; \mathbf{e}_2; \dots; \mathbf{e}_K] \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times d}$ , where  $K$  represents the cardinality of field and  $d$  donates the embedding dimensional. The  $\mathbf{e}_i$  serves as the embedding of the feature assigned index  $i$  in the field. We make all fields share the same embedding dimensional.

Since DGIN focuses on lifelong user behavior sequence modeling, we give processing detail about  $\mathbf{x}^s$ . The lifelong user behavior sequence consists of the user's various interactions (e.g. click, add-to-cart, browse-dishes, etc) with items in chronological order after registration. There  $\mathbf{x}^s$  can be represented as  $\mathbf{x}^s = [\mathbf{x}_1^s, \mathbf{x}_2^s, \dots, \mathbf{x}_L^s]$ , where  $L$  is the length of the lifelong behavior sequence. To fully describe each behavior, each  $\mathbf{x}_i^s$  has lots of attributes, such as `item_id`, `category_id`, `price`, `timestamp`, `location`, `behavior_type` etc. The embedding layer transform each attribute into corresponding embeddings  $\{\mathbf{e}_{i,item\_id}^s, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i,timestamp}^s, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i,behavior\_type}^s\}$ . We concatenate them together to form the behavior representation  $\mathbf{e}_i^s = [\mathbf{e}_{i,item\_id}^s, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i,timestamp}^s, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i,behavior\_type}^s]$ .

### 3.3 Group Module

Figure 4 shows the details of the Group Module. As the goal is to extract the user's interest towards the candidate item from the lifelong behavior sequence, it is reasonable to cluster the behaviors in a coarse interest level and then mine interest from the clustered interests, which will be more computationally efficient. In the offline data processing stage, GM first groups the lifelong behavior sequence into interest groups based on `item_id` in consideration of abundant repeated interactions in our scenario. Within each group, the behavior subsets are still stored in chronological order. However, grouping behaviors will damage the information integrality such as the occurrence times of different types of interaction, the spatio-temporal relationship among behaviors, etc. To make up for the lost information, we designed two types of features as the attributes of the interest groups, including the statistical and aggregated attributes. The statistical attributes are about the statistics of behaviors within the group from different perspectives and the aggregated attributes are obtained by applying self-attention to the unique attributes of original behaviors, such as timestamp. The common attributes (e.g. `item_id`, `category_id`) shared by behaviors within the group together with the supplementary attributes consists of the attributes of the interest groups. Due to the limited

interest groups, MHSA and MHTA perform attention between interest groups and the candidate item directly to obtain unbiased and comprehensive long-term interest.

**3.3.1 Statistical Attributes.** Inspired by **Quantity Breeds Quality**, we calculate the various statistics about quantity within the group. More behaviors towards the item mean more preference. Within the group, we count the total number of behaviors, total behavior types, and individual numbers of different types of behaviors. From the duration perspective, we calculate the average dwell time of all behaviors. And we will also obtain the average consumption amount of all purchase behaviors. The quantity, time, and money are three straightforward factors which reflect the user's interest. All the statistical attributes are processed during the offline data processing stage, and there is no extra inference cost. We represent the statistical attributes as Eq. (3).

$$\mathbf{attr}_s = [\mathbf{attr}_{counts}, \mathbf{attr}_{types}, \mathbf{attr}_{counts\_type1}, \dots, \mathbf{attr}_{avg\_price}] \quad (3)$$

**3.3.2 Aggregated Attribute.** Statistical attributes only reflect interest intensity, but can not tell the interest evolution. Within the group, the spatio-temporal attributes (like `timestamp`, `location` of behavior can represent the user's interest evolution process on the item. Meanwhile, we can also observe the user's attitude about the item from the heterogeneity interactions. For example, the recent click behavior may strongly impact the current click, while clicks long ago have little influence. However, purchases long ago may have a greater impact on current clicks. Thus, we use the attributes' sequence: `timestamp`, `location`, and `behavior_type` to supplement the information on the interest dynamics.

Specifically, suppose there are maximum of  $B$  behaviors in each group as  $\mathbf{b} = \langle \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_B \rangle$ . We concatenate the embeddings of `timestamp`, `location`, and `behavior_type` as the behavior's representation  $\mathbf{e}_{b_i} = [\mathbf{e}_{b_i,timestamp}, \mathbf{e}_{b_i,location}, \mathbf{e}_{b_i,behavior\_type}]$ . And the intra-group behavior sequence can be expressed as  $\mathbf{e}_b = [\mathbf{e}_{b_1}, \mathbf{e}_{b_2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{b_B}]$ . Due to the ability to model behavior pairs from multiple perspectives, we apply the multi-head self-attention (MHSA) to capture the interest evolution. The MHSA can be expressed as follows:

$$MHSA(\mathbf{e}_b) = \text{concat}(\text{head}_1, \dots, \text{head}_h)W^O, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{head}_i = \text{Softmax}\left(\frac{\mathbf{e}_b W_i^Q (\mathbf{e}_b W_i^K)^T}{\sqrt{d'}}\right) \mathbf{e}_b W_i^V, \quad (5)$$

where  $h$  is the number of heads,  $W_i^Q, W_i^K, W_i^V \in \mathbb{R}^{3d \times d'}$ ,  $W^O \in \mathbb{R}^{3d \times 3d}$ .  $3d$  and  $d'$  are the dimension of the input and weight vectors while  $d' = \frac{3d}{h}$ . Then, mean pooling is taken to process the  $MHSA(\mathbf{e}_b)$  and acquire the aggregated attribute as Eq. (6).

$$\mathbf{attr}_a = \text{mean\_pool}(MHSA(\mathbf{e}_b)). \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{attr}_a \in \mathbb{R}^{3d}$  is the aggregated attribute.

**3.3.3 Attention On Interest Set.** The grouping operation changes the full lifelong behavior sequence into a limited interest set. Three types of attributes are utilized to describe the characteristics of each interest group. The first is the identity attributes  $\mathbf{attr}_i$  including `item_id`, `category_id`, and etc. Secondly, statistical attributes are calculated to represent the interest intensity. Finally, aggregated attribute reflects the interest dynamic. After obtaining all attributes,




Figure 3: The overall framework of DGIN.




Figure 4: The detail of GM's architecture.

we use the MHSA to capture the relatedness of interest groups

by swapping information between interests from different latent spaces, which can strengthen the representation of each interest group. Based on the refined interest representation, we exploit the multi-head target attention (MHTA) to perform interest activation to get the user's interest in each candidate item. MHTA holds the network structure except takes the candidate item as query and the output of aforementioned MHSA as key and value. In the ideal attention mechanism, the identity attributes (like item\_id, category\_id, etc) of different behaviors holding the same item\_id will participate in the attention score many times, which is the source of redundant computation. GM avoids lots of redundant computation and achieves computation efficiency.

Specifically, suppose there are maximum of  $G$  interest groups in each full lifelong behavior sequence as  $\mathbf{g} = \langle \mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \dots, \mathbf{g}_G \rangle$ . The representation of each interest group is  $\mathbf{e}_{g_i} = [\mathbf{e}_{attr_i}, \mathbf{e}_{attr_s}, \mathbf{e}_{attr_a}]$ . We can get the interest groups' representation  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{g}} = [\mathbf{e}_{g_1}, \mathbf{e}_{g_2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{g_G}]$ . The fine-grained interest in the candidate item can be expressed as Eq. (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
 out_{MHTA}^{\mathbf{g}} &= LN(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{g}} + MHTA(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{g}})), \\
 out_{enc}^{\mathbf{g}} &= LN(out_{MHTA}^{\mathbf{g}} + FFN(out_{MHTA}^{\mathbf{g}})), \\
 out_{MHTA}^i &= LN(\mathbf{e}^i + MHTA(\mathbf{e}^i, out_{enc}^{\mathbf{g}})), \\
 interest_{GM} &= LN(out_{MHTA}^i + FFN(out_{MHTA}^i)),
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}^i$  is the representation of the candidate item,  $interest_{GM}$  is the unbiased and comprehensive interest extracted from the full lifelong behavior sequence. LN and FFN are the Layer Normalization and Point-wise Feed-Forward Networks. LN is utilized to accelerate




Figure 5: The detail of TM's architecture.

convergence and FFN aims at increasing the ability of nonlinear transform. To summarize, we think the computation efficiency results from reducing lots of redundant computation. To summarize, we think the computation efficiency results from reducing lots of redundant computation.

### 3.4 Target Module

Figure 5 shows the detail of the Target Module. TM focuses on capturing the user's historical evolution process of the candidate item, which is ignored by previous methods. Firstly, TM samples the behaviors holding the same  $item\_id$  as the candidate item from the lifelong behavior sequence. The behavior pattern contained in this sub-sequence is a strong signal indicating the user's habit of the candidate item. For example, the user may click the candidate item every week or do a lot of micro behaviors and purchase finally. The signal is flooded to some extent in GM because other items take away some attention. MHSA and MHTA are still applied to capture the psychological decision interest of the candidate item. Specifically, this candidate aware sub-sequence can be expressed as  $\mathbf{t} = \langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_T \rangle$ . We use the original  $e_i^s$  to represent each behavior, and the sub-sequences representation is  $\mathbf{e}_t = \langle e_{t_1}, e_{t_2}, \dots, e_{t_T} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times md}$ , where  $m$  is the number of attributes. The modeling process follows Eq. (8):

$$\begin{aligned} out_{MHSA}^t &= LN(\mathbf{e}_t + MHSA(\mathbf{e}_t)), \\ out_{enc}^t &= LN(out_{MHSA}^t + FFN(out_{MHSA}^t)), \\ out_{MHSA}^i &= LN(\mathbf{e}^i + MHTA(\mathbf{e}^i, out_{enc}^t)), \\ interest_{TM} &= LN(out_{MHSA}^i + FFN(out_{MHSA}^i)), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $interest_{TM}$  is the the psychological decision interest.

### 3.5 Deployment

In this section, we introduce the whole system for deploying DGIN successfully. The system overview is shown in Figure 6. There are three sub-systems: data processing, offline training, and online serving.




Figure 6: The whole system architecture for deploying DGIN.

Table 1: Statistics of datasets.

Datasets	#Users	#Items	#Fields	#Instances
Taobao	988K	4M	7	0.1B
Industry	40M	417K	168	6.6B

**3.5.1 Data Processing.** We decouple the lifelong behavior sequence processing from the other features due to its requirements of storage and latency, named **User Behavior Processing Engine (UBPE)**. UBPE collects the user's various behaviors during the past two years and then groups them based on the  $item\_id$ . We build a two-level index structure for storing the grouped full lifelong behavior sequence. The first level key is  $user\_id$  and the second level key is  $item\_id$ . UBPE will calculate the statistic values and discretize them within each group, which can free the latency of processing statistical attributes in the model stage. As the long-term interest of the user remains stable in a short period, UBPE updates the data once a week. When a new week of data comes, the UBPE distributes each behavior based on the two-level keys and then updates the statistic values in a streaming manner.

**3.5.2 offline training.** During the offline training stage, each training instance contains  $user\_id$  and  $item\_id$ . The data assemblage engine retrieves the corresponding grouped full lifelong behavior sequence based on the given keys. The two-level index structure allows high throughput query, which will not bottleneck the model training.

**3.5.3 Online Serving.** The computation bottleneck of DGIN lies in the GM as it needs to process more behaviors. Luckily, the unbiased and comprehensive interest  $interest_{GM}$  can be extracted once because the GM is candidate-agnostic. When a batch of candidates comes, the inference engine only needs to retrieve the candidate-aware sub-sequence and obtain the psychological decision interest  $interest_{TM}$ .

## 4 EXPERIMENT SETUP

### 4.1 Datasets

Experiments are conducted on both industrial and public datasets. The static values of each dataset are shown in Table 1.

**Industry** is the CTR dataset collected from our online LBS platform. The last 30 days' logs are used for training and samples of the following day are for testing. To exploit abundant behavior information, we collect various historical behaviors of each user from the

past 2 years. The maximum length of the full lifelong behavior sequence is 10,000. There are 6 types of behaviors in our RS including click, add-to-cart, add-to-favorite, browse-dishes, view-comments, and purchase.

**Taobao** [36] is a widely used dataset for CTR prediction research. It is composed of user behaviors from Taobao’s industrial recommendation system. The dataset contains about 1 million users whose behaviors include clicking, adding-to-cart, and purchasing. We take the various behaviors of each user and sort them based on timestamps in order to construct the full lifelong behavior sequence. The maximum behavior sequence length is set to 500. Following MIMN [19], we use the former  $T - 1$  behaviors to predict whether the user will click the  $T - th$  item.

## 4.2 Baselines

- **DIN** [34] is the first work that extracts candidate-aware interest from the short-term click behavior sequence through target attention.
- **DIEN** [33] takes the two-layer GRU to capture the temporal evolution of behaviors. The first GRU layer aims at extracting interest. The second GRU layer is combined with the attention mechanism to capture the temporal interest evolution.
- **DMT** [11] models the user’s multiple types of behaviors with separate Transformer encoder-decoder networks to obtain fine-grained short-term interest.
- **DMBIN** [14] designs extra contrastive learning objectives based on DMT to disentangle behavior-specific and behavioral-invariant interests from various behaviors.
- **SIM Hard** [20] is a method of modeling long behavior sequence. It retrieves behaviors holding the same category as the candidate item in the first stage. In the second stage, target attention is utilized to extract interest from the retrieved sub-sequence.
- **ETA** [4] takes the LSH to generate a hash signature for the candidate item and behaviors. Then the first stage uses Hamming distance as the candidate-behavior relevance metric and the second stage obtains the interest. The training is in an end-to-end manner.
- **SDIM** [2] selects behaviors with the same hash signature as the candidate item through multi-round hash collision. The second stage linearly aggregates sampled behaviors from multiple rounds to obtain user interests. There is no target attention anymore.

In summary, DIN and DIEN model the short-term click behavior sequence. DMT and DMBIN focus on the short-term multiple types of behavior sequences. SIM Hard, ETA, and SDIM are the lifelong/long click behavior sequence modeling methods.

## 4.3 Evaluation Metric

Two widely used metrics AUC [1], and LogLoss [1] are chosen. The AUC (Area Under the ROC Curve) measures the ranking accuracy. A higher AUC indicates better performance. The LogLoss measures the accuracy of the estimated probability depending on the ground-truth label. Even a slight improvement is considered a

**Table 2: Performance of all methods on both datasets. The best result is in boldface and the second best is underlined. \* indicates that the difference to the best baseline is statistically significant at 0.01 level.**

	Industry		Taobao	
	AUC	Logloss	AUC	Logloss
DIN	0.6910	0.0606	0.6622	0.0626
DIEN	0.6916	0.0605	0.6806	0.0577
DMT	0.6946	0.0603	0.6955	0.0520
DMBIN	0.6953	0.0603	0.7252	0.0507
SIM Hard	0.6948	0.0603	0.7137	0.0558
ETA	0.6962	0.0602	0.7334	0.0500
SDIM	<u>0.6979</u>	<u>0.0601</u>	<u>0.7355</u>	<u>0.0498</u>
DGIN	<b>0.7028*</b>	<b>0.0598*</b>	<b>0.7663*</b>	<b>0.0488*</b>

significant boost for the industry recommendation task, as it leads to a significant increase in revenue.

## 4.4 Implementation Details

For a fair comparison, we keep the identical network structures except for the behavior sequence modeling module. For Sim Hard, ETA, and SDIM, we retrieve the top 50 most candidate-relevant behaviors into the second interest extraction stage. We implement DGIN\* with Tensorflow. For the industry dataset, the embedding size is 16 and the learning rate is  $5e - 4$ . We train the model using eight 80G A100 GPUs with the batch size 1500 of a single card. For the Taobao dataset, we set the embedding size to be 18, the learning rate to be  $1e - 3$ , and use a single 80 A100 for training with batch size 1024. We use Adam [16] as the optimizer for both datasets. We run all experiments five times and report the average result.

## 5 EXPERIMENT RESULTS

### 5.1 Overall Performance

Table 2 shows the results of all methods. DGIN obtains the best performance in both the Industry and Taobao datasets, which shows the effectiveness of DGIN. There are some insightful findings from the results. (1) The proposed DGIN reaches the best performance in both datasets on both metrics. Compared with existing user behavior sequence modeling methods, DGIN can extract comprehensive un-biased interest and psychological decision interest from the lifelong behavior sequence in an end-to-end manner. Both two interests achieve more fine-grained user understanding, which contributes the performance improvement. (2) DIEN performs better than DIN, which indicates the necessity of temporal information in extracting the user’s interest. (3) The performance can be significantly improved by using methods that model multiple types of behavior sequences. For example, methods DMT and DMBIN that take various behavior sequences achieve better performance than methods DIN and DIEN that only utilize the click behavior sequence. It demonstrates that only the click behavior sequence is not enough to understand the user. Multiple types of behavior

\*[https://anonymous.4open.science/r/WWW-DGIN\\_Codes-7815](https://anonymous.4open.science/r/WWW-DGIN_Codes-7815)

**Table 3: Results of Integrating Each Component Successively.**

	Industry		Taobao	
	AUC	Logloss	AUC	Logloss
DGIN-simple	0.6960	0.0602	0.7006	0.0517
+Statistical Attributes	0.6973	0.0602	0.7364	0.0500
++Aggregated Attribute	0.6998	0.0600	0.7423	0.0495
+++Sub-sequence (DGIN)	<b>0.7028</b>	<b>0.0598</b>	<b>0.7663</b>	<b>0.0488</b>

sequences can comprehensively reflect the user’s various interests from different perspectives. (4) Long-term interest obtained from the lifelong/long behavior sequence boosts the CTR prediction accuracy further. For example, ETA and SDIM gain higher AUC than the former methods of focusing on the short single/multiple types of behavior sequence(s). SIM Hard also holds an improvement compared to those methods except for DMBIN. The result reveals that the long-term interest reflects drifting and periodicity, and this long correlation is necessary for understanding the user comprehensively, which is impossible for a short behavior sequence. (5) The performance-increasing trend among SIM Hard, ETA, SDIM, and DGIN demonstrates finer granularity end-to-end training is effective. The totally two-stage method SIM Hard performs worst. SDIM uses more precise multi-round hash collision than LSH & Hamming distance in ETA to approximate the relevance truth between candidate and behaviors in an end-to-end manner, which achieves better ranking ability. Our proposed DGIN really does the end-to-end training on the full lifelong sequence by means of interest grouping. There is hardly any information loss in the DGIN, which is the key factor for improvement.

## 5.2 Ablation Study

In this section, we investigate the effect of each component in DGIN and display the result in Table 3. All the variants stem from DGIN-simple. DGIN-simple just groups the lifelong behavior sequence and only keeps the identity attributes, which abandons lots of information. We integrate statistical attributes, aggregated attributes, and the candidate-aware sub-sequence to the DGIN one after another.

From Table 3, we can find that all three components are beneficial for CTR prediction on both datasets. The contributions of the interest intensity contained in statistical attributes, the temporal-space information involved in aggregated attributes, and the psychological decision interest brought by candidate-aware sub-sequence to performance improvement are not mutually exclusive. When we add each of them into the DGIN-simple successively, the effectiveness gains improvement step by step. The results indicate that the interests hidden in the lifelong behavior sequence are multifarious. It’s necessary to design dedicated features or algorithms to capture the corresponding interest character. That is what DGIN does.

## 5.3 The Effect of Modeling Multiple Types of Behaviors

In this section, we explore the influence of introducing multiple types of behaviors. The click-only means that we apply the DGIN

**Table 4: AUC and Logloss of modeling different types of behavior sequences on both datasets.**

	Industry		Taobao	
	AUC	Logloss	AUC	Logloss
SDIM	0.6979	0.0601	0.7355	0.0498
click-only	0.7003	0.0600	0.7442	0.0497
DGIN	<b>0.7028</b>	<b>0.0598</b>	<b>0.7663</b>	<b>0.0488</b>

**Table 5: AUC and Logloss of applying different grouping keys on the Industry and Taobao datasets.**

	Industry		Taobao	
	AUC	Logloss	AUC	Logloss
SDIM	0.6979	0.0601	0.7355	0.0498
category_id	0.6985	0.0601	0.7408	0.0496
item_id (DGIN)	<b>0.6998</b>	<b>0.0600</b>	<b>0.7423</b>	<b>0.0495</b>

to the lifelong click sequence and the *behavior\_type* attribute is removed.

From Table 4, We have two findings. First, the click-only variant of DGIN still outperforms the strong competitor SDIM. This comparison verifies that the information exploitation of one-stage is better than the two-stage methods. Second, DGIN beats the click-only variant on both datasets. Multiple types of behaviors indeed empower the CTR model to understand the user’s preference more fine-grained and comprehensively.

## 5.4 The Ways of Grouping by Different Keys

Since grouping the full lifelong behavior sequence is the key operation in the proposed DGIN, we investigate how the choice of key impacts the model’s performance in this section. Herein, we try to group the full lifelong behavior sequence based on the more coarse-grained interest key: *category\_id*. When using *category\_id* as the grouping key, there will exist different *item\_id* within a group. To solve the problem, we set the *item\_id* as an additional attribute of the Aggregated Attribute and aggregated by the MHSA. We also add some new attributes into the statistical attributes including the total number of *item\_id*, the number of unique *item\_id*, and the top-3 *item\_ids* with the highest frequency. In order to eliminate the influence of other factors, we remove candidate-aware sub-sequence modeling in the experiments.

The result is shown in Table 5 and we have the following observations. Both the DGIN and its variant gain better results than SDIM, which shows the robustness of DGIN. The performance drop can be alleviated by supplementing information through informative human-designed attributes. On the other hand, *item\_id* performs better than *category\_id* as the grouping key. The result indicates that fine granularity grouping retains more information and benefits the latter interest extraction.

**Table 6: A/B Test of DGIN compared to SIM Hard.**

Metrics	Accumulated Gains
CTR	+4.5%
RPM	+2.0%

## 5.5 Online A/B Test

We conduct an A/B test in the online LBS advertising system to measure the benefits of DGIN compared with the online baseline SIM Hard from 2023-05 to 2023-06. The DGIN is allocated with 10% experiment serving traffic and the SIM Hard holds the 70% main traffic. Table 6 shows the relative promotion of CTR and Revenue Per Mille (RPM) during one month’s testing. DGIN achieves 4.5% and 2.0% accumulated relative promotion on the CTR and RPM respectively during the A/B test period. This is a significant improvement in the online LBS advertising system and proves the effectiveness of DGIN. For now, DGIN has been deployed online and serves the main traffic, which contributes to great business revenue growth.

## 6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose the DGIN for full lifelong user behavior sequence modeling in the CTR prediction task. DGIN, consisting of a Group Module and a Target Module, aims at extracting fine-grained comprehensive unbiased interest and psychological decision interest to achieve a deep understanding of the user’s preference. To the best of our knowledge, DGIN is the first to achieve efficient end-to-end full lifelong user behavior sequence modeling. The significant improvements in both offline and online evaluations demonstrate the superiority of our proposed DGIN method.

## REFERENCES

- Christopher M Bishop and Nasser M Nasrabadi. 2006. *Pattern recognition and machine learning*. Vol. 4. Springer.
- Yue Cao, Xiaojiang Zhou, Jiaqi Feng, Peihao Huang, Yao Xiao, Dayao Chen, and Sheng Chen. 2022. Sampling is all you need on modeling long-term user behaviors for CTR prediction. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 2974–2983.
- Jianxin Chang, Chenbin Zhang, Zhiyi Fu, Xiaoxue Zang, Lin Guan, Jing Lu, Yiqun Hui, Dewei Leng, Yanan Niu, Yang Song, et al. 2023. TWIN: TTwo-stage Interest Network for Lifelong User Behavior Modeling in CTR Prediction at Kuaishou. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.02352* (2023).
- Qiwei Chen, Yue Xu, Changhua Pei, Shanshan Lv, Tao Zhuang, and Junfeng Ge. 2022. Efficient Long Sequential User Data Modeling for Click-Through Rate Prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.12212* (2022).
- Qiwei Chen, Huan Zhao, Wei Li, Pipei Huang, and Wenwu Ou. 2019. Behavior sequence transformer for e-commerce recommendation in alibaba. In *Proceedings of the 1st international workshop on deep learning practice for high-dimensional sparse data*. 1–4.
- Heng-Tze Cheng, Levent Koc, Jeremiah Harmsen, Tal Shaked, Tushar Chandra, Hrishi Aradhye, Glen Anderson, Greg Corrado, Wei Chai, Mustafa Ispir, et al. 2016. Wide & deep learning for recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 1st workshop on deep learning for recommender systems*. 7–10.
- Junyoung Chung, Caglar Gulcehre, KyungHyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.3555* (2014).
- Mayur Datar, Nicole Immorlica, Piotr Indyk, and Vahab S Mirrokni. 2004. Locality-sensitive hashing scheme based on p-stable distributions. In *Proceedings of the twentieth annual symposium on Computational geometry*. 253–262.
- Jian Dong, Yisong Yu, Yapeng Zhang, Yimin Lv, Shuli Wang, Beihong Jin, Yongkang Wang, Xingxing Wang, and Dong Wang. 2023. A Deep Behavior Path Matching Network for Click-Through Rate Prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.00302* (2023).
- Yufe Feng, Fuyu Lv, Weichen Shen, Menghan Wang, Fei Sun, Yu Zhu, and Keping Yang. 2019. Deep session interest network for click-through rate prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.06482* (2019).
- Yulong Gu, Zhuoye Ding, Shuaiqiang Wang, Lixin Zou, Yiding Liu, and Dawei Yin. 2020. Deep multifaceted transformers for multi-objective ranking in large-scale e-commerce recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 2493–2500.
- Huifeng Guo, Ruiming Tang, Yunming Ye, Zhenguo Li, and Xiuqiang He. 2017. DeepFM: a factorization-machine based neural network for CTR prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.04247* (2017).
- Long Guo, Lifeng Hua, Rongfei Jia, Binqiang Zhao, Xiaobo Wang, and Bin Cui. 2019. Buying or browsing?: Predicting real-time purchasing intent using attention-based deep network with multiple behavior. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*. 1984–1992.
- Tianqi He, Kaiyuan Li, Shan Chen, Haitao Wang, Qiang Liu, Xingxing Wang, and Dong Wang. 2023. DMBIN: A Dual Multi-behavior Interest Network for Click-Through Rate Prediction via Contrastive Learning. In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 1366–1375.
- Yuchin Juan, Yong Zhuang, Wei-Sheng Chin, and Chih-Jen Lin. 2016. Field-aware factorization machines for CTR prediction. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM conference on recommender systems*. 43–50.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980* (2014).
- Jianxun Lian, Xiaohuan Zhou, Fuzheng Zhang, Zhongxia Chen, Xing Xie, and Guangzhong Sun. 2018. xdeepfm: Combining explicit and implicit feature interactions for recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*. 1754–1763.
- Wentao Ouyang, Xiuwu Zhang, Li Li, Heng Zou, Xin Xing, Zhaojie Liu, and Yanlong Du. 2019. Deep spatio-temporal neural networks for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*. 2078–2086.
- Qi Pi, Weijie Bian, Guorui Zhou, Xiaoqiang Zhu, and Kun Gai. 2019. Practice on long sequential user behavior modeling for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*. 2671–2679.
- Qi Pi, Guorui Zhou, Yujing Zhang, Zhe Wang, Lejian Ren, Ying Fan, Xiaoqiang Zhu, and Kun Gai. 2020. Search-based user interest modeling with lifelong sequential behavior data for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 2685–2692.
- Jiarui Qin, Weinan Zhang, Rong Su, Zhirong Liu, Weiwen Liu, Guangpeng Zhao, Hao Li, Ruiming Tang, Xiuliang He, and Yong Yu. 2023. Learning to Retrieve User Behaviors for Click-through Rate Estimation. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 41, 4 (2023), 1–31.
- Jiarui Qin, Weinan Zhang, Xin Wu, Jiarui Jin, Yuchen Fang, and Yong Yu. 2020. User behavior retrieval for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 2347–2356.
- Steffen Rendle. 2010. Factorization machines. In *2010 IEEE International conference on data mining*. IEEE, 995–1000.
- Stephen Robertson, Hugo Zaragoza, et al. 2009. The probabilistic relevance framework: BM25 and beyond. *Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval* 3, 4 (2009), 333–389.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 30 (2017).
- Ruoxi Wang, Bin Fu, Gang Fu, and Mingliang Wang. 2017. Deep & cross network for ad click predictions. In *Proceedings of the ADKDD’17*. 1–7.
- Raymond E Wright. 1995. Logistic regression. (1995).
- Ruobing Xie, Cheng Ling, Yalong Wang, Rui Wang, Feng Xia, and Leyu Lin. 2021. Deep feedback network for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth International Conference on International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence*. 2519–2525.
- Weinan Xu, Hengxu He, Minshi Tan, Yunming Li, Jun Lang, and Dongbai Guo. 2020. Deep interest with hierarchical attention network for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the 43rd international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*. 1905–1908.
- Bei Yang, Jie Gu, Ke Liu, Xiaoxiao Xu, Renjun Xu, Qinghui Sun, and Hong Liu. 2023. Empowering General-purpose User Representation with Full-life Cycle Behavior Modeling. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. 2908–2917.
- Yuren Zhang, Enhong Chen, Binbin Jin, Hao Wang, Min Hou, Wei Huang, and Runlong Yu. 2022. Clustering based behavior sampling with long sequential data for CTR prediction. In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*. 2195–2200.

- [32] Chang Zhou, Jinze Bai, Junshuai Song, Xiaofei Liu, Zhengchao Zhao, Xiusi Chen, and Jun Gao. 2018. Atrank: An attention-based user behavior modeling framework for recommendation. In *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- [33] Guorui Zhou, Na Mou, Ying Fan, Qi Pi, Weijie Bian, Chang Zhou, Xiaoqiang Zhu, and Kun Gai. 2019. Deep interest evolution network for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, Vol. 33. 5941–5948.
- [34] Guorui Zhou, Xiaoqiang Zhu, Chenru Song, Ying Fan, Han Zhu, Xiao Ma, Yanghui Yan, Junqi Jin, Han Li, and Kun Gai. 2018. Deep interest network for click-through rate prediction. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining*. 1059–1068.
- [35] Meizi Zhou, Zhuoye Ding, Jiliang Tang, and Dawei Yin. 2018. Micro behaviors: A new perspective in e-commerce recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the eleventh ACM international conference on web search and data mining*. 727–735.
- [36] Han Zhu, Daqing Chang, Ziru Xu, Pengye Zhang, Xiang Li, Jie He, Han Li, Jian Xu, and Kun Gai. 2019. Joint optimization of tree-based index and deep model for recommender systems. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 32 (2019).